

Fine structure of the diffraction cone: manifestation of t -channel unitarity

László Jenkovszky¹ and István Szanyi²

¹*Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics (BITP), Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences
14-b, Metrologicheskaya str., Kiev, 03680, UKRAINE; jenk@bitp.kiev.ua*

²*Uzhgorod National University,
14, Universytets'ka str., Uzhgorod, 88000, UKRAINE; sz.istvan03@gmail.com*

We show that the deviation from exponential behavior of the diffraction cone observed near $t = -0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ both at the ISR and the LHC (so-called break) follows from a two-pion loop in the t -channel, imposed by unitarity. By using a simple Regge-pole model we extrapolate the "break" from the ISR energy region to that of the LHC.

PACS numbers: 13.75, 13.85.-t

I. INTRODUCTION

Following TOTEM's impressive results [1] on the low- $|t|$ measurements of the pp differential cross section at 8 TeV, and anticipating their new measurements at 13 TeV announced recently [2] we find it appropriate to remind of the physics behind the observed departure from the exponential behavior of the forward diffraction cone.

For the first time this phenomenon was observed in 1972 at the the CERN ISR [3], a deflection from the exponential behavior of the forward cone in proton-proton scattering around $-t = 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$, detected at several energies.

Experimentalists [1–3] quantify the departure from the linear exponential by replacing

$$|A^N| = a \exp(Bt) \rightarrow a \exp(b_1 t + b_2 t^2 + b_3 t^3 + \dots) \quad (1)$$

with coefficients b_i fitted to the data.

This effect can be well fitted [4] also by a relevant form factor (residue function) in the Regge-pole scattering amplitude. For a complete and up-to-date review see [5].

Soon after the ISR measurements, the phenomenon was interpreted [6] as manifestation of t -channel unitarity, producing a two-pion loop, as shown in Fig. 1, and resulting in a relevant threshold singularity in the Pomeron trajectory. This effect, for brevity called the "break", was confirmed by recent measurements by the TOTEM Collaboration at the CERN LHC, first at 8 TeV [1] and subsequently at 13 TeV [2].




FIG. 1: Feynman diagram for elastic scattering with a t -channel exchange containing a branch point at $t = 4m_\pi^2$.

The new LHC data from TOTEM at 8 TeV confirm the conclusions made [6] about the nature of the break and call for a more detailed analysis of the phenomenon. The new data triggered further theoretical work in this direction [7, 8], but many issues still remain open. Although the curvature, both at the ISR and the LHC is concave, convex cannot be excluded in other reactions and/or new energies. While the departure from a linear exponential was studied in details

both at the ISR and LHC energies, an extra(inter)polation between the two is necessary to clarify the uniqueness of the phenomenon. This is a challenge for the theory, and it can be done within Regge-pole models. Below we do so by using a very simple one, with two Regge exchanges, a Pomeron and a secondary effective Reggeon. To test its viability, we first fit its parameters to the proton-proton total section data (Sec. II).

The basic premise behind our approach is the introduction of a two-pion loop contribution in the t -channel through Regge trajectories, that are non-linear complex functions. As shown by Barut and Zwanziger [9], t -channel unitarity constrains the Regge trajectories near the t -channel threshold, $t \rightarrow t_0$ by

$$\Im \alpha(t) \sim (t - t_0)^{\Re \alpha(t_0) + 1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where t_0 is the lightest threshold, $4m_\pi^2$ in the case of the vacuum quantum numbers (Pomeron or f meson). Since $\Re \alpha(4m_\pi^2)$ is small, a square-root threshold is a reasonable approximation to the above constrain. Higher threshold, inevitable in the trajectory, may be approximated by their power expansion, *i.e.* by a linear term, as in Eqs. (5). This point is closely related also to the choice of the relevant interval in t under study. Note that the threshold singularity is at positive $t = 4m_\pi^2$, while the "break" is observed at negative t , "symmetric" to $4m_\pi^2$. This reflection is a property of analytic functions. The concave departure from the linear exponential, observed in the interval $0 \lesssim |t| \lesssim 0.3 \text{ GeV}^2$ can be fitted by a single square-root threshold in the trajectory, but it would not reproduce the subsequent ($-t \gtrsim 0.3 \text{ GeV}^2$) linearity of the exponential cone, persistent up to the dip (at $\approx -1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ at the ISR or -0.6 GeV^2 at the LHC). Note also that we treat only the strong (nuclear) amplitude, separated from Coulombic forces. Thus, the "break" (in fact a smooth deflection of the linear exponential) of the cone, has a relatively narrow location around $-t \approx 0.1 \pm 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$, both at the ISR and the LHC energies, whereupon it recovers its exponential shape, followed by the dip, whose position is strongly energy-dependent.

In the present paper we study the "break" within a simple Regge-pole model, assuming the universality of this phenomenon in high-energy hadron scattering. On fitting the model to the data, we proceed in two ways: 1) trying to minimize the number of free parameters, we adopt standard values for the trajectories, *e.g.* from Ref. [10]; 2) on the other hand, in view of the oversimplified nature of our "effective" Regge-pole model, we optionally let these parameters free, fitting them to the data.

In Sec. II we introduce a simple Regge-pole model, normalizing its energy dependence to pp total cross section data. In III we revise the 1972 ISR data and their fits to a Regge-pole model with a t -channel threshold imposed by unitarity. In Sec. IV a similar analyses of the LHC data is presented. Central is Sec. V, in which, by Regge-extrapolating the cross section from the ISR energy region to that of the LHC, we map the "break" fitted at the ISR to that seen at the LHC. Some conclusions are drawn in Sec. VI.

II. A SIMPLE REGGE-POLE MODEL

For our purposes we use a simple Regge pole model with a supercritical Pomeron [10] and an effective Reggeon contributions, denoted by A_f , close (but not similar) to the f Reggeon,

$$A(s, t) = A_P(s, t) + A_f(s, t), \quad (3)$$

where

$$A_P(s, t) = -a_P e^{b_P \alpha_P(t)} e^{-i\pi \alpha_P(t)/2} (s/s_{0P})^{\alpha_P(t)}, \quad A_f(s, t) = -a_f e^{b_f \alpha_f(t)} e^{-i\pi \alpha_f(t)/2} (s/s_{0f})^{\alpha_f(t)}, \quad (4)$$

with the trajectories

$$\alpha_P(t) = \alpha_{0P} + \alpha'_P t - \alpha_{1P}(\sqrt{4m_\pi^2 - t} - 2m_\pi), \quad \alpha_f(t) = \alpha_{0f} + \alpha'_f t - \alpha_{1f}(\sqrt{4m_\pi^2 - t} - 2m_\pi). \quad (5)$$

We use the norm:

$$\sigma_T(s) = \frac{4\pi}{s} \Im A(s, t=0), \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\pi}{s^2} |A(s, t)|^2. \quad (6)$$

The model contains 12 free parameters (a_P ($\sqrt{mbGeV^2}$), b_P (dimensionless), α_{0P} (dimensionless), α'_P (GeV^{-2}), α_{1P} (GeV^{-1}), s_{0P} (GeV^2), a_f ($\sqrt{mbGeV^2}$), b_f (dimensionless), α_{0f} (dimensionless), α'_f (GeV^{-2}), α_{1f} (GeV^{-1}), s_{0f}

(GeV²)), most of which are known a priori, needing only fine-tuning. We shall optionally use known values of the parameters and/or let them free.

Anticipating detailed fits to the low- $|t|$ data, we start with a simple fit to the data on proton-proton total cross section starting from 2.3 GeV, with fixed intercepts of the Pomeron $\alpha(0)_P = 1.0808$ and of the effective Reggeon $\alpha(0)_f = 0.5$ [10]. From this fit we find the parameters a_P and a_f , to be fine-tuned in what follows. We found by trial that the scaling parameters s_0 do not affect significantly the resulting fits, so we set $s_0 = 1$ (GeV²) everywhere, both for the Pomeron and for the "f".

The resulting fit is shown in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: Fit to pp total cross section with fixed intercepts. The data are from [11]

The fit gives $a_P = 1.44411$, $a_f = 1.56448$, $b_P = 1.08699$, $b_f = 4.42013$, thus the total cross section is determined by the expression: $\sigma_T(s) = 22.6709s^{0.0808} + 49.2985s^{-0.5}$.

If we do not fix the values of α_{0P} and α_{0f} , we obtain a slightly different fit for the total cross section of pp scattering shown in Fig. 3. The values of fitted parameters: $\alpha_{0P}=1.08414$, $\alpha_{0f}=0.550223$, $a_P=1.21022$, $a_f=2.20749$, $b_P=1.1991$, $b_f=3.19442$. In this case the total cross section is determined as $\sigma_T(s) = 21.5179s^{0.0841} + 47.5958s^{-0.4498}$.




FIG. 3: Fit to pp total cross section without fixed intercepts. The data are from [11]

III. THE "BREAK" AT ISR

At the ISR the proton-proton differential cross section was measured at $\sqrt{s} = 23.5, 30.7, 44.7, 52.8$ and 62.5 GeV in the interval $0.01 < -t < 0.35$ GeV². In all the above energy intervals the differential cross section changes its slope near $-t = 0.1$ GeV² by about two units of GeV². Below we fit the ISR data to a simple Regge pole model with two Regge exchanges - the Pomeron and an effective sub-leading trajectory.

The result of the fit is shown in Fig. 4.




FIG. 4: Present fit to the ISR data [11].

The values of the fitted parameters are presented in Table I.

α_{0P}	1.11828	α_{0f}	0.817835	α_{0P}	1.08 (fixed)	α_{0f}	0.5 (fixed)
α'_P	0.788847	α'_f	0.814786	α'_P	0.3 (fixed)	α'_f	1 (fixed)
α_{1P}	-0.189332	α_{1f}	0.290017	α_{1P}	0.03 (fixed)	α_{1f}	0.1 (fixed)
a_P	0.819335	a_f	0.158121	a_P	0.000223008	a_f	0.140832
b_P	1.04319	b_f	4.99955	b_P	9.148649	b_f	11.3814
s_{0P}	1 (fixed)	s_{0f}	1 (fixed)	s_{0P}	1 (fixed)	s_{0f}	1 (fixed)
χ^2/DOF		0.5251		χ^2/DOF		0.5746	
DOF		353		DOF		359	
(a) With 10 fitted parameters				(b) With 4 fitted parameters			

TABLE I: Values of the fitted parameters for ISR energies [11].

The local slope at the ISR, calculated as

$$B(s, t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \quad (7)$$

is shown in Fig. 5 (in case of 10 fitted parameters) and Fig. 6 (with 4 fitted parameters) .




FIG. 5: Local slopes calculated for ISR energies [11] with 10 fitted parameters.




FIG. 6: Local slopes calculated for ISR energies [11] with 4 fitted parameters.

Anticipating the comparison with the LHC data in the next two sections, here we present the ISR data also in the normalized form, used by TOTEM as

$$R = \frac{\frac{d\sigma}{dt} - ref}{ref}, \quad (8)$$

where $ref = Ae^{Bt}$. The result is shown in Fig. 7 (for 10 fitted parameters) and Fig. 8 (with 4 fitted parameters)




FIG. 7: R ratios calculated for the ISR [11] energies with 10 fitted parameters.




FIG. 8: R ratios calculated for the ISR [11] energies with 4 fitted parameters.

IV. THE "BREAK" AT THE LHC

Now we proceed in the same way with the 8 TeV TOTEM data. The results of the fits of the model Eqs. (3), (4), (5) to the 8 TeV data [1] are shown in Fig. 9.




FIG. 9: Result of the fit for TOTEM 8 TeV data [1].

The values of the fitted parameters are presented in Table II.

α_{0P}	1.08893	α_{0f}	0.614576	α_{0P}	1.08 (fixed)	α_{0f}	0.5 (fixed)
α'_{P}	0.463837	α'_{f}	0.953665	α'_{P}	0.3 (fixed)	α'_{f}	1 (fixed)
α_{1P}	0.0329217	α_{1f}	-0.0939313	α_{1P}	0.03 (fixed)	α_{1f}	0.1 (fixed)
a_P	1.18139	a_f	11.2719	a_P	0.0000453477	a_f	117137
b_P	1.16537	b_f	5.54316	b_P	10.7496	b_f	-20356.8
s_{0P}	1 (fixed)	s_{0f}	1 (fixed)	s_{0P}	1 (fixed)	s_{0f}	1 (fixed)
χ^2/DOF 0.09845				χ^2/DOF 0.09777			
DOF 20				DOF 26			
(a) With 10 fitted parameters				(b) With 4 fitted parameters			

TABLE II: Values of the fitted parameters for the TOTEM 8 TeV data [1].

From these fits we calculate the relevant local slopes $B(s, t)$ and R ratios for 8 TeV. The results are shown in Fig. 10 (with 10 fitted parameters) and Fig. 11 (with 4 fitted parameters).




FIG. 10: (a) Local slope and (b) the ratio R calculated for the TOTEM 8 TeV data [1] with 10 fitted parameters.




FIG. 11: (a) Local slope and (b) the ratio R calculated for the TOTEM 8 TeV data [1] with 4 fitted parameters.

Predictions for the differential cross section and R ratio at 13 TeV are shown in Fig. 12.




FIG. 12: Predictions for (a) differential cross section and (b) R ratio at 13 TeV.

V. MAPPING THE "LOW-ENERGY" BREAK TO THAT AT THE LHC

By using the Regge pole model, Eqs. (3), (4) and (5), now we map the "break" fitted at the ISR onto the TOTEM data. The result is shown in Fig. 13.




FIG. 13: Result of our extrapolation using the ISR and TOTEM 8 TeV data [1].

The values of the fitted parameters are presented in Table III.

α_{0P}	1.0971	α_{0f}	0.5 (fixed)	α_{0P}	1.08 (fixed)	α_{0f}	0.5 (fixed)
α'_{P}	0.487269	α'_{f}	1 (fixed)	α'_{P}	0.3 (fixed)	α'_{f}	1 (fixed)
α_{1P}	-0.0242427	α_{1f}	0.1 (fixed)	α_{1P}	0.03 (fixed)	α_{1f}	0.1 (fixed)
a_P	0.0538938	a_f	0.0735019	a_P	0.0000594678	a_f	-28.6249
b_P	3.84255	b_f	13.6625	b_P	10.4853	b_f	0.882867
s_{0P}	1 (fixed)	s_{0f}	1 (fixed)	s_{0P}	1 (fixed)	s_{0f}	1 (fixed)
χ^2/DOF		1.0033		χ^2/DOF		8.8174	
DOF		386		DOF		389	
(a) With 7 fitted parameters				(b) With 4 fitted parameters			

TABLE III: Values of fitted parameters in our extrapolation from ISR to the LHC.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The successful fit to the proton-proton total cross section with a simple model, Figs. 2 and 3 shows the efficiency of Regge poles in reproducing energy dependence. Much more complicated is the parametrization of the t -dependence, containing irregularities, in particular the "break" under discussion. Still, most of the fits presented in this paper are coherent and differ only by small details. To make the picture complete, we have quoted several options; one can see that the results are not too sensitive to the choice of the number of free parameters. For a better comparison between the "break" as seen at ISR and that at the LHC, we have refitted the ISR data, normalizing to an exponential "test function" (Figs. 7 and 8) as done at the LHC [1, 2]. The resulting predictions, Fig. 12 for the LHC energy 13 TeV may be of interest for experimentalists.

We have shown that the deviation from a linear exponential of the pp diffraction cone as seen at the ISR, $20.3 \leq \sqrt{s} \leq 62.5$ GeV and at the LHC, $\sqrt{s} = 8$ and 13 TeV are of similar nature: they appear nearly at the same value of $t \approx -0.1$ GeV², have the same concave shape of comparable "size", $\Delta B(t) \approx 2 \div 4$ GeV⁻² and may be fitted by similar t -dependent function. Mapping this t -dependence through the tremendous energy span from the ISR to the LHC (almost 3 orders of magnitude) is a highly non-trivial task. We have done it within the simplest Regge pole model, with two trajectories: a leading one, the Pomeron and a sub-leading effective Reggeon. More advanced and refined Regge-type models may improve the fit and clarify details.

The threshold singularity in question should be present also in the f trajectory, however it has secondary effect with respect to the Pomeron.

Note also that the low- $|t|$ structure of the diffraction cone was fitted also [4] by a relevant form factor (Regge

residue).

The results presented in this paper leave open and raise also several questions, namely:

1) theoretical calculations of the relative weight of the loop contribution, second term in Fig. 1 relative to the first one ("Born term") are needed;

2) why is the "break" observed only in elastic pp scattering, not in $p\bar{p}$, for example at the Tevatron? Once the Pomeron is universal, the effect should be present also in $p\bar{p}$. Non-observation of any convex or concave curvature in the diffraction cone at the Tevatron may be attributed to poor statistics of the relevant data (lacking Roman pots), preventing the observation of such a tiny effect.

To conclude, we expect more precise data in the low- $|t|$ region on elastic scattering and diffraction dissociation as well as further fits with improved phenomenological parametrizations. Theoretical calculations of the diagram (Fig. 1) may shed more light on the nature of the phenomenon. Needless to say, further attempts in this direction will be based on improved models for the scattering amplitude, with more details on individual Regge trajectories, including the Odderon.

Acknowledgements

L. J. was supported by the Program "Matter under Extreme Conditions" of the Nat. Ac. Sc. of Ukraine.

-
- [1] Antchev, G. et al. (TOTEM Collaboration). Nucl. Phys. **B 899** (2015) 527-546, arXiv:1503.08111; T. Csörgő (for the TOTEM Collaboration). Evidence for Non-Exponential Differential Cross-Section of pp Elastic Scattering at Low $|t|$ and $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV by TOTEM, arXiv:1602.00219.
 - [2] Nemes, Frigyes (for the TOTEM Collaboration), LHC optics and elastic scattering measured by the TOTEM experiment, arXiv:1602.06207 [hep-ex]; Antchev, G. et al. (TOTEM Collaboration). Measurement of Elastic pp Scattering at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in the Coulomb-Nuclear Interference Region Determination of the ρ -Parameter and the Total Cross-Section, arXiv:1610.00603.
 - [3] Barbiellini, B., Darriulat, P., Giambrini Palazzi, G., De Zorzi, G., Fainberg, A., Ferrero, M.I., Holder, M., McFarland, A., Maderni, G., Orito, S., Pilcher, J., Rubbia, C., Santroni, A., Sette, G., Staude, A., Strolin, P., Tittel, K. Small-angle proton-proton elastic scattering at very high energies ($460 \text{ GeV}^2 < s < 2900 \text{ GeV}^2$). Phys. Letters. 1972. V. B 39. P. 663.
 - [4] Fagundes, Daniel A., Grau, Agnes, Pacetti, Simone, Pancheri, Giulia, Srivastava, Yogendra N. Elastic pp scattering from the optical point to past the dip: an empirical parametrization from ISR to LHC. Phys. Rev. 2013. V. D 88. P. 094019.
 - [5] Pancheri, Giulia, Srivastava, Yogendra N., Introduction to the physics of the total cross-section at LHC: A Review of Data and Models, arXiv:1610.10038 [hep-ph], to be published in the European Journal of Physics C.
 - [6] Cohen-Tannoudji, G., Ilyin, V., Jenkovszky, Laszlo. A model for the pomeron trajectory. Lettere Nuovo Cim. 1972. V.5. P. 957.
 - [7] Jenkovszky, Laszlo and Lengyel, Alexander. Low- t structures in elastic scattering at the LHC. Acta Phys. Pol. 2015. V. B 46. P. 863.
 - [8] Fagundes, D.A., Jenkovszky, L., Miranda, E.Q., Pancheri, G., Silva, P.V.R.G., Fine structure of the diffraction cone: from the ISR to the LHC, International Journal of Modern Physics. 2016. V. A31. P. 1645022.
 - [9] Barut, A.O. and Zwanziger, D.E., Complex Angular Momentum in Relativistic S -Matrix Theory. Phys. Rev. 1962. V. 127. P. 974.
 - [10] Donnachie, Sandy, Dosch, Günter, Landshoff, Peter and Nachtmann, Otto. Pomeron physics and QCD, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
 - [11] <http://www.theo.phys.ulg.ac.be/alldata-v2.zip>.