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#### Abstract

In Perturbative QCD (PQCD) approach we study the direct $C P$ violation in the pure annihilation decay process of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$induced by the $\rho$ and $\omega$ double resonance effect. Generally, the $C P$ violation is small in the pure annihilation type decay process. However, we find that the $C P$ violation can be enhanced by double $\rho-\omega$ interference when the invariant masses of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs are in the vicinity of the $\omega$ resonance. For the decay process of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, the maximum $C P$ violation can reach $28.64 \%$.


PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 12.39.-x, 13.20.He, 12.15.Hh

## I. INTRODUCTION

$C P$ violation is an important area in searching new physics signals beyond the standard model(SM). It is generally believed that the $B$ meson system provides rich information about $C P$ violation. The theoretical work has been done in this direction in the past few years. $C P$ violation arises from the weak phase in the Cabibbo-Kobayasgi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [1, 2] in SM. Meanwhile, it is remarkable that $C P$ violation can still be produced by the interference effects between the tree and penguin amplitudes. Since the kinematic suppression, the strong phase associated with long distance rescattering is generally neglected during the past decades. Recently, the LHCb Collaboration found the large $C P$ violation in the three-body decay channels of $B^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $B^{ \pm} \rightarrow K^{ \pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}[3]$ 5]. Hence, the nonleptonic $B$ meson decay from the three-body and four-body decay channels has been become an important area in searching for $C P$ violation.

A mixing between the $u$ and $d$ flavor leads to the breaking of isospin symmetry for the $\rho-\omega$ system. The chiral dynamics has been shown restore the isospin symmetry [6]. The $\rho-\omega$ mixing matrix element $\tilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}(s)$ gives rise to isospin violation, where $s$ is the Mandelstam variable. The magnitude has been extracted by the pion form factor through the cross section of $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. We can separate the $\tilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}(s)$ into two contribution of the direct coupling of $\omega \rightarrow 2 \pi$ and the mixing of $\omega \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow 2 \pi$. The emergence of $\tilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}(s)$ arises from the inclusion of a nonresonant contribution to $\omega \rightarrow 2 \pi$. The appearance of the $\rho$ and $\omega$ resonance is associated with complex strong phase from relatively broad $\rho$ resonance region. Especially, there is perhaps larger strong phase from double $\rho$ and $\omega$ interference. The $C P$ violation origins from the weak phase difference and the strong phase difference. Hence, the decay process of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$is a great candidate for studying the origin of the $C P$ violation.

[^0]Meanwhile, it is known that the $C P$ violation is extremely tiny from the pure annihilation decay process in experiment. There is relatively large error in dealing with the decay amplitudes from the QCD factorization approach [7]. The perturbative QCD (PQCD) factorization approach [8-11] is based on $k_{T}$ factorization. The amplitude can be divided into the convolution of the Wilson coefficients, the light cone wave function, and hard kernels by the low energy effective Hamiltonian. The endpoint singularity can be eliminated by introducing the transverse momentum. However, The transverse momentum integration leads to the double logarithm term which is resummed into the Sudakov form factor. The nonperturbative dynamics are included in the meson wave function which can be extracted from experiment. The hard one can be calculated by perturbation theory.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the form of the effective Hamiltonian. In Sec. III we give the calculating formalism and calculation details of $C P$ violation from $\rho-\omega$ mixing in the $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$decay. In Sec. IV we show input parameters. We present the numerical results in Sec. V. Summary and discussion are included in Sec. VI. The related function defined in the text are given in the Appendix.

## II. THE EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

With the operator product expansion, the effective weak Hamiltonian can be written as [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{e f f}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{V_{u b} V_{u q}^{*}\left[C_{1}(\mu) Q_{1}^{u}(\mu)+C_{2}(\mu) Q_{2}^{u}(\mu)\right]-V_{t b} V_{t q}^{*}\left[\sum_{i=3}^{10} C_{i}(\mu) Q_{i}(\mu)\right]\right\}+\text { H.c. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=(d, s), G_{F}$ represents Fermi constant, $C_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, 10)$ are the Wilson coefficients, $V_{q_{1} q_{2}}\left(q_{1}\right.$ and $q_{2}$ represent quarks) is the CKM matrix element, and $O_{i}$ is the four quark operator. The operators $O_{i}$ have the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{1}^{u} & =\bar{d}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) u_{\beta} \bar{u}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\alpha} \\
O_{2}^{u} & =\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) u \bar{u} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \\
O_{3} & =\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \sum_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q^{\prime} \\
O_{4} & =\bar{d}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta} \sum_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}_{\beta}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \\
O_{5} & =\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \sum_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q^{\prime} \\
O_{6} & =\bar{d}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta} \sum_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}_{\beta}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \\
O_{7} & =\frac{3}{2} \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \sum_{q^{\prime}} e_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q^{\prime} \\
O_{8} & =\frac{3}{2} \bar{d}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta} \sum_{q^{\prime}} e_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}_{\beta}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \\
O_{9} & =\frac{3}{2} \bar{d} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b \sum_{q^{\prime}} e_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q^{\prime}, \\
O_{10} & =\frac{3}{2} \bar{d}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta} \sum_{q^{\prime}} e_{q^{\prime}} \bar{q}_{\beta}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are color indices, and $q^{\prime}=u, d, s, c$ or $b$ quarks. In Eq.(2) $O_{1}^{u}$ and $O_{2}^{u}$ are tree operators, $O_{3}-O_{6}$ are QCD penguin operators and $O_{7}-O_{10}$ are the operators associated with electroweak penguin diagrams. $C_{i}\left(m_{b}\right)$ can be written [11],

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=-0.2703, \quad C_{2}=1.1188 \\
& C_{3}=0.0126, \quad C_{4}=-0.0270 \\
& C_{5}=0.0085, \quad C_{6}=-0.0326 \\
& C_{7}=0.0011, \quad C_{8}=0.0004 \\
& C_{9}=-0.0090, \quad C_{10}=0.0022 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

So, we can obtain numerical values of $a_{i}$. The combinations $a_{i}$ of Wilson coefficients are defined as usual 9]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=C_{2}+C_{1} / 3, \quad a_{2}=C_{1}+C_{2} / 3, \\
& a_{3}=C_{3}+C_{4} / 3, \quad a_{4}=C_{4}+C_{3} / 3, \\
& a_{5}=C_{5}+C_{6} / 3, \quad a_{6}=C_{6}+C_{5} / 3, \\
& a_{7}=C_{7}+C_{8} / 3, \quad a_{8}=C_{8}+C_{7} / 3, \\
& a_{9}=C_{9}+C_{10} / 3, \quad a_{10}=C_{10}+C_{9} / 3 . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. $C P$ VIOLATION IN $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

## A. Formalism

The amplitudes $A^{\sigma}$ of the process $\bar{B}_{s}(p) \rightarrow V_{1}\left(p_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)+V_{2}\left(p_{2}, \epsilon_{2}\right)$ can be written [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\sigma}=\epsilon_{1 \mu}^{*}(\sigma) \epsilon_{2 \nu}^{*}(\sigma)\left(a g^{\mu \nu}+\frac{b}{m_{1} m_{2}} p^{\mu} p^{\nu}+\frac{i c}{m_{1} m_{2}} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} p_{1 \alpha} p_{2 \beta}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the helicity of the vector meson. $\epsilon_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $\epsilon_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)$ are the polarization vectors (momenta) of $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, respectively. $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ refer to the masses of the vector mesons $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. The invariant amplitudes a, b, c are associated with the amplitude $A_{i}$ ( i refer to the three kind of polarizations, longitudinal (L), normal (N) and transverse (T)). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\sigma}=M_{B_{s}}^{2} A_{L}+M_{B_{s}}^{2} A_{N} \epsilon_{1 \mu}^{*}(\sigma=T) \cdot \epsilon_{2 \mu}^{*}(\sigma=T)+i A_{T} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \rho} \epsilon_{1 \alpha}^{*}(\sigma) \epsilon_{2 \alpha}^{*}(\sigma) p_{1 \gamma} p_{2 \rho} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The longitudinal $H_{0}$, transverse $H_{ \pm}$of helicity amplitudes can be expressed $H_{0}=M_{B_{s}}^{2} A_{L}, H_{ \pm}=M_{B_{s}}^{2} A_{N} \mp$ $m_{1} m_{2} \sqrt{r^{2}-1} A_{T}$. The decay width is written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{P_{c}}{8 \pi M_{B_{s}}^{2}} A^{(\sigma)+} A^{(\sigma)}=\frac{P_{c}}{8 \pi M_{B_{s}}^{2}}\left|H_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|H_{+}\right|^{2}+\left|H_{-}\right|^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interaction of the photon and the hadronic matter can be described by the vector meson dominance model
(VMD) [14]. The photon can couple to the hadronic field through a $\rho$ meson. The mixing matrix element $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}(s)$ is extracted from the data of the cross section for $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$[15, 16]. The nonresonant contribution of $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ has been effectively absorbed into $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}$ which leads to the explicit $s$ dependence of $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}$ [17]. We can make the expansion $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}(s)=\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}\left(m_{\omega}^{2}\right)+\left(s-m_{\omega}\right) \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}^{\prime}\left(m_{\omega}^{2}\right)$. However, one can neglect the $s$ dependence of $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}$ in practice. The $\rho-\omega$ mixing parameters were determined in the fit of Gardner and O'Connell [18]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R e} \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}\left(m_{\omega}^{2}\right) & =-3500 \pm 300 \mathrm{MeV}^{2} \\
\mathfrak{I m} \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}\left(m_{\omega}^{2}\right) & =-300 \pm 300 \mathrm{MeV}^{2} \\
\widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}^{\prime}\left(m_{\omega}^{2}\right) & =0.03 \pm 0.04 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The formalism of the $C P$ violation is presented for the $\bar{B}_{s}^{0}$ meson decay process in the following. The amplitude $A$ $(\bar{A})$ for the decay process $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\left(B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)$can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=<\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\left|H^{T}\right| \bar{B}_{s}^{0}>+<\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\left|H^{P}\right| \bar{B}_{s}^{0}>,  \tag{9}\\
& \bar{A}=<\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\left|H^{T}\right| B_{s}^{0}>+<\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\left|H^{P}\right| B_{s}^{0}>, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H^{T}$ and $H^{P}$ refer to the tree and penguin operators in the Hamiltonian, respectively. We define the relative magnitudes and phases between the tree and penguin operator contributions as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| H^{T}\left|\bar{B}_{s}^{0}\right\rangle\left[1+r e^{i(\delta+\phi)}\right]  \tag{11}\\
\bar{A} & =\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| H^{T}\left|B_{s}^{0}\right\rangle\left[1+r e^{i(\delta-\phi)}\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ and $\phi$ are strong and weak phases, respectively. The weak phase difference $\phi$ can be expressed as a combination of the CKM matrix elements: $\phi=\arg \left[\left(V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}\right) /\left(V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*}\right)\right]$. The parameter $r$ is the absolute value of the ratio of tree and penguin amplitudes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \equiv\left|\frac{\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| H^{P}\left|\bar{B}_{s}^{0}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| H^{T}\left|\bar{B}_{s}^{0}\right\rangle}\right| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter of $C P$ violating asymmetry, $A_{c p}$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{C P}=\frac{|A|^{2}-|\bar{A}|^{2}}{|A|^{2}+|\bar{A}|^{2}}=\frac{-2\left(T_{0}^{2} r_{0} \sin \delta_{0}+T_{+}^{2} r_{+} \sin \delta_{+}+T_{-}^{2} r_{-} \sin \delta_{-}\right) \sin \phi}{\sum_{i=0+-} T_{i}^{2}\left(1+r_{i}^{2}+2 r_{i} \cos \delta_{i} \cos \phi\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|^{2}=\sum_{\sigma} A^{(\sigma)+} A^{(\sigma)}=\left|H_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|H_{+}\right|^{2}+\left|H_{-}\right|^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $T_{i}(i=0,+,-)$ represent the tree-level helicity amplitudes. We can see explicitly from Eq. (14) that both weak
and strong phase differences are responsible for $C P$ violation. $\rho-\omega$ mixing introduces the strong phase difference and well known in the three body decay processes of the bottom hadron 19-25]. Due to $\rho-\omega$ interference from the $u$ and d quark mixing, we can write the following formalism in an approximate from the first order of isospin violation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| H^{T}\left|\bar{B}_{s}^{0}\right\rangle & =\frac{2 g_{\rho}^{2}}{s_{\rho}^{2} s_{\omega}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega} t_{\rho \omega}+\frac{g_{\rho}^{2}}{s_{\rho}^{2}} t_{\rho \rho}  \tag{16}\\
\left\langle\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right| H^{P}\left|\bar{B}_{s}^{0}\right\rangle & =\frac{2 g_{\rho}^{2}}{s_{\rho}^{2} s_{\omega}} \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega} p_{\rho \omega}+\frac{g_{\rho}^{2}}{s_{\rho}^{2}} p_{\rho \rho} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{\rho \rho}\left(p_{\rho \rho}\right)$ and $t_{\rho \omega}\left(p_{\rho \omega}\right)$ are the tree (penguin) amplitudes for $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \rho^{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \omega$, respectively, $g_{\rho}$ is the coupling for $\rho^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}$ is the effective $\rho-\omega$ mixing amplitude which also effectively includes the direct coupling $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} . s_{V}, m_{V}$ and $\Gamma_{V}(V=\rho$ or $\omega)$ is the inverse propagator, mass and decay rate of the vector meson $V$, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{V}=s-m_{V}^{2}+\mathrm{i} m_{V} \Gamma_{V} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sqrt{s}$ being the invariant masses of the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs. There are double $\rho-\omega$ interference in the decay process of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. Hence, a factor of 2 appears in Eqs. (16), (17) compared with the case of single $\rho-\omega$ interference [19 27]. From Eqs. (9) (11) (16) (17) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e^{i \delta} e^{i \phi}=\frac{2 \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega} p_{\rho \omega}+s_{\omega} p_{\rho \rho}}{2 \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega} t_{\rho \omega}+s_{\omega} t_{\rho \rho}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{\rho \omega}}{t_{\rho \rho}} \equiv r^{\prime} e^{i\left(\delta_{q}+\phi\right)}, \quad \frac{t_{\rho \omega}}{t_{\rho \rho}} \equiv \alpha e^{i \delta_{\alpha}}, \quad \frac{p_{\rho \rho}}{p_{\rho \omega}} \equiv \beta e^{i \delta_{\beta}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}$ and $\delta_{q}$ are strong phases, one finds the following expression from Eqs. (19) (20):

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e^{i \delta}=r^{\prime} e^{i \delta_{q}} \frac{2 \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega}+\beta e^{i \delta_{\beta}} s_{\omega}}{2 \widetilde{\Pi}_{\rho \omega} \alpha e^{i \delta_{\alpha}}+s_{\omega}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain the $C P$ violating asymmetry in Eq. (14), $\sin \phi$ and $\cos \phi$ are needed, where $\phi$ is determined by the CKM matrix elements. In the Wolfenstein parametrization [28], one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\sin \phi & =-\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\eta^{2}}} \\
\cos \phi & =-\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\eta^{2}}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Calculation details

We can decompose the decay amplitude for the decay process $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega)$ in terms of tree-level and penguinlevel contributions depending on the CKM matrix elements of $V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*}$ and $V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}$. Due to the equations (14) (19) (20),
we calculate the amplitudes $t_{\rho \rho}, t_{\rho \omega}, p_{\rho \rho}$ and $p_{\rho \omega}$ in perturbative QCD approach. The $F$ and $M$ function associated with the decay amplitudes can be found in the appendix from the perturbative QCD approach.

There are four types of Feynman diagrams contributing to $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow M_{2} M_{3}\left(M_{2}, M_{3}=\rho\right.$ or $\left.\omega\right)$ annihilation decay mode at leading order. The pure annihilation type process can be classified into factorizable diagrams and non-factorizable diagrams [29, 30]. Through calculating these diagrams, we can get the amplitudes $A^{(i)}$, where $i=L, N, T$ standing for the longitudinal and two transverse polarizations. Because these diagrams are the same as those of $B \rightarrow K^{*} \phi$ and $B \rightarrow K^{*} \rho$ decays [29, 30], the formulas of $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow \rho \rho$ or $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow \rho \omega$ are similar to those of $B \rightarrow K^{*} \phi$ and $B \rightarrow K^{*} \rho$. We just need to replace some corresponding wave functions, Wilson coefficients and corresponding parameters.

With the Hamiltonian (1), depending on CKM matrix elements of $V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*}$ and $V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}$, the decay amplitudes $A^{(i)}(i=$ $L, N, T)$ for $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \rho^{0}$ in PQCD can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2} A^{(i)}\left(\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \rho^{0}\right)=V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*} t_{\rho \rho}^{i}-V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*} p_{\rho \rho}^{i}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tree level amplitude $t_{\rho \rho}$ can written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\rho \rho}^{i}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[a_{2}\right]+M_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[C_{2}\right]\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{B_{s}}$ refers to the decay constant of $\bar{B}_{s}$ meson.
The penguin level amplitude are expressed in the following

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\rho \rho}^{i}= & \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[2 a_{3}+\frac{1}{2} a_{9}\right]+f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L R, i}\left[2 a_{5}+\frac{1}{2} a_{7}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+M_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[2 C_{4}+\frac{1}{2} C_{10}\right]+M_{a n n}^{S P, i}\left[2 C_{6}+\frac{1}{2} C_{8}\right]\right\} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The decay amplitude for $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \omega$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A^{(i)}\left(\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \omega\right)=V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*} t_{\rho \omega}^{i}-V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*} p_{\rho \omega}^{i} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can give the tree level the contribution in the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\rho \omega}^{i}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[a_{2}\right]+M_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[C_{2}\right]\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the penguin level contribution are given as following

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\rho \omega}^{i}= & \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}\left\{f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[\frac{3}{2} a_{9}\right]+f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L R, i}\left[\frac{3}{2} a_{7}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+M_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left[\frac{3}{2} C_{10}\right]+M_{a n n}^{S P, i}\left[\frac{3}{2} C_{8}\right]\right\}+\left[\rho^{0} \leftrightarrow \omega\right] . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the definition of (20), we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha e^{i \delta_{\alpha}} & =\frac{t_{\rho \omega}}{t_{\rho \rho}}  \tag{29}\\
\beta e^{i \delta_{\beta}} & =\frac{p_{\rho \rho}}{p_{\rho \omega}}  \tag{30}\\
r^{\prime} e^{i \delta_{q}} & =\frac{p_{\rho \omega}}{t_{\rho \rho}} \times\left|\frac{V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}}{V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*}}\right|, \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}}{V_{u b} V_{u s}^{*}}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{\rho^{2}+\eta^{2}}}{\lambda^{2}\left(\rho^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. INPUT PARAMETERS

The CKM matrix, which elements are determined from experiments, can be expressed in terms of the Wolfenstein parameters $A, \rho, \lambda$ and $\eta$ [28]:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} & \lambda & A \lambda^{3}(\rho-\mathrm{i} \eta)  \tag{33}\\
-\lambda & 1-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} & A \lambda^{2} \\
A \lambda^{3}(1-\rho-\mathrm{i} \eta) & -A \lambda^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{4}\right)$ corrections are neglected. The latest values for the parameters in the CKM matrix are 31]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=0.22537 \pm 0.00061, \quad A=0.814_{-0.024}^{+0.023} \\
& \bar{\rho}=0.117 \pm 0.21, \quad \bar{\eta}=0.353 \pm+0.013 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\rho}=\rho\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\right), \quad \bar{\eta}=\eta\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (34) (35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.121<\rho<0.158, \quad 0.336<\eta<0.363 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other parameters and the corresponding references are listed in Table.1.
V. THE NUMERICAL RESULTS OF CP VIOLATION IN $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

In the numerical results, we find that the $C P$ violation can be enhanced via double $\rho-\omega$ mixing for the pure annihilation type decay channel $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$when the invariant mass of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$is in the vicinity of the $\omega$ resonance within perturbative QCD scheme. The $C P$ violation depends on the weak phase difference from

TABLE I: Input parameters used in this paper.

| Parameters | Input data | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fermi constant (in $\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ ) | $G_{F}=1.16638 \times 10^{-5}$ | [32] |
| Masses and decay widths (in GeV ) | $m_{B_{s}^{0}}=5.36677, \tau_{B_{s}^{0}}=1.512 \times 10^{-12} s$ $m_{\rho^{0}(770)}=0.77526, \Gamma_{\rho^{0}(770)}=0.1491$, $m_{\omega(782)}=0.78265, \Gamma_{\omega(782)}=8.49 \times 10^{-3}$, $m_{\pi}=0.13957, m_{W}=80.385$, $m_{u}=0.0023, m_{d}=0.0048$, $m_{s}=0.095, m_{c}=1.275$, $m_{t}=173.21, m_{b}=4.18$, | [32] |
| Decay constants (in MeV ) | $\begin{aligned} & f_{\rho}=209 \pm 2, \quad f_{\rho}^{T}=165 \pm 9 \\ & f_{\omega}=195.1 \pm 3, \quad f_{\omega}^{T}=145 \pm 10 \end{aligned}$ | [32, 33] |

CKM matrix elements and the strong phase difference which is difficult to control. The CKM matrix elements, which relate to $\rho, \eta, \lambda$ and $A$, are given in Eq.(34). The uncertainties due to the CKM matrix elements come from $\rho, \eta, \lambda$ and $A$. In our numerical calculations, we let $\rho, \eta, \lambda$ and $A$ vary among the limiting values. The numerical results are shown from Fig. 1 to Fig. 3 with the different parameter values of CKM matrix elements. The dash line, dot line and solid line corresponds to the maximum, middle, and minimum CKM matrix element for the decay channel of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, respectively. We find the results are not sensitive to the values of $\rho, \eta$, $\lambda$ and $A$. In Fig. 1 , we give the plot of $C P$ violating asymmetry as a function of $\sqrt{s}$. From the Fig. 1 one can see the $C P$ violation parameter is dependent on $\sqrt{s}$ and changes rapidly due to $\rho-\omega$ mixing when the invariant mass of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$is in the vicinity of the $\omega$ resonance. From the numerical results, it is found that the maximum $C P$ violating parameter reaches $28.64 \%$ in the case of $\left(\rho_{\text {mini }}, \eta_{\text {mini }}\right)$.


FIG. 1: The $C P$ violating asymmetry, $A_{c p}$, as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ for different CKM matrix elements. The dash line, dot line and solid line corresponds to the maximum, middle, and minimum CKM matrix element for the decay channel of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, respectively.

From Eq.(14), one can see that the $C P$ violating parameter depend on both $\sin \delta$ and $r$. The plots of $\sin \delta$ and $r$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ are shown in Fig. 2, and Fig. 3, respectively. It can be seen that $\sin \delta_{0}\left(\sin \delta_{-}\right.$and $\left.\sin \delta_{+}\right)$vary sharply at the range of the resonance in Fig. 2. One can see that $r$ change largely in the vicinity of the $\omega$ resonance.


FIG. 2: $\sin \delta$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ corresponding to central parameter values of CKM matrix elements for $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. The dash line, dot line and solid line corresponds to $\sin \delta_{0}, \sin \delta_{+}$and $\sin \delta_{-}$, respectively.


FIG. 3: Plot of $r$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ corresponding to central parameter values of CKM matrix elements for $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{0}(\omega) \rho^{0}(\omega) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. The dash line, dot line and solid line corresponds to $r_{0}, r_{+}$and $r_{-}$, respectively.

## VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we study the $C P$ violation for the pure annihilation type decay process of $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$in perturbative QCD. It has been found that the $C P$ violation can be enhanced greatly at the area of $\rho-\omega$ resonance. The maximum $C P$ violation value can reach $28.64 \%$ due to double $\rho$ and $\omega$ resonance.

The theoretical errors are large which follows to the uncertainties of results. Generally, power corrections beyond the heavy quark limit give the major theoretical uncertainties. This implies the necessity of introducing $1 / m_{b}$ power corrections. Unfortunately, there are many possible $1 / m_{b}$ power suppressed effects and they are generally nonperturbative in nature and hence not calculable by the perturbative method. There are more uncertainties in this scheme. The first error refers to the variation of the CKM parameters, which are given in Eq.(34). The second error comes from the hadronic parameters: the shape parameters, form factors, decay constants, and the wave function of the $B_{s}$ meson. The third error corresponds to the choice of the hard scales, which vary from 0.75 t to 1.25 t , which character-
izing the size of next-to-leading order QCD contributions. Therefore, the results for $C P$ violating asymmetrie of the decay process $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$is given as following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{C P}\left(\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=28.43_{-0.25-0.16-3.98}^{+0.21+0.25+5.62} \% \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first uncertainty is corresponding to the CKM parameters, the second comes from the hadronic parameters, and the third is associated with the hard scales. The LHC experiment may detect the large $C P$ violation for the decay process $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$in the region of the $\omega$ resonance.

## VII. APPENDIX: RELATED FUNCTIONS DEFINED IN THE TEXT

In this appendix we present explicit expressions of the factorizable and non-factorizable amplitudes with Perturbative QCD in Eq.(23) and Eq.(26) 10, 11, 34, 35]. The factorizable amplitudes $F_{a n n}^{L L, i}\left(a_{i}\right)$, and $F_{a n n}^{S P, i}\left(a_{i}\right)(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T})$ are written as

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, N}\left(a_{i}\right)=f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L R, N}\left(a_{i}\right) \\
f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, N}\left(a_{i}\right)=-8 \pi C_{F} M_{B_{s}}^{4} f_{B_{s}} r_{2} r_{3} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} b_{3} d b_{3}\left\{E_{a}\left(t_{c}\right) a_{i}\left(t_{c}\right) h_{a}\left(x_{2}, 1-x_{3}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)\right) \\
\\
\left.\quad-h_{a}\left(1-x_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{v}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{v}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{2}^{a}, b_{3}, b_{2}\right)\left[\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{a}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)+x_{3}\left(\phi_{2}^{v}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{a}\left(x_{3}\right)+\phi_{2}^{v}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{v}\left(x_{3}\right) \phi_{3}^{v}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right] \\
\\
\quad-\left(1-x_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{v}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{a}\left(x_{3}\right) \phi_{3}^{a}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right\} \phi_{2}^{a}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{v}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right] E_{a}\left(t_{c}^{\prime}\right) a_{i}\left(t_{c}^{\prime}\right)\right\} .\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{B_{s}} F_{a n n}^{L L, L}\left(a_{i}\right)= & 8 \pi C_{F} M_{B_{s}}^{4} f_{B_{s}} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} b_{3} d b_{3}\left\{a_{i}\left(t_{c}\right) E_{a}\left(t_{c}\right)\right. \\
& \times\left[\left(x_{3}-1\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-4 r_{2} r_{3} \phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 r_{2} r_{3} x_{3} \phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)-\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right] h_{a}\left(x_{2}, 1-x_{3}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \\
& +\left[x_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+2 r_{2} r_{3}\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)-\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 r_{2} r_{3} x_{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)+\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)\right] a_{i}\left(t_{c}^{\prime}\right) E_{a}\left(t_{c}^{\prime}\right) h_{a}\left(1-x_{3}, x_{2}, b_{3}, b_{2}\right)\right\} .  \tag{42}\\
& F_{a n n}^{L R, L}\left(a_{i}\right)=F_{a n n}^{L L, L}\left(a_{i}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

with the color factor $C_{F}=3 / 4, f_{B_{s}}$ refer to the decay constant of $\bar{B}_{s}$ meson and $a_{i}$ represents the corresponding Wilson coefficients for annihilation decay channels. In the above functions, $r_{2}\left(r_{3}\right)=m_{V} / m_{B_{s}}$ and $\phi_{2}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=\phi_{V}$ ( $V=\rho$ or $\omega$ ), where $m_{V}$ is the chiral scale parameter.

The non-factorizable amplitudes $M_{\text {ann }}^{L L, i}\left(a_{i}\right)$, and $M_{\text {ann }}^{S P, i}\left(a_{i}\right)(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T})$ are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a n n}^{L L, N}\left(a_{i}\right)=M_{a n n}^{S P, N}\left(a_{i}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{a n n}^{L L, N}\left(a_{i}\right)=- & 64 \pi C_{F} M_{B_{s}}^{4} r_{2} r_{3} / \sqrt{6} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{2} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{B_{s}}\left(x_{1}, b_{1}\right)\left[\phi_{2}^{v}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{v}\left(x_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\phi_{2}^{a}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{a}\left(x_{3}\right)\right] E_{a}^{\prime}\left(t_{d}\right) a_{i}\left(t_{d}\right) h_{n a}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
M_{a n n}^{L L, T}\left(a_{i}\right)=-M_{a n n}^{S P, T}\left(a_{i}\right)
$$

$$
M_{a n n}^{L L, T}\left(a_{i}\right)=-128 \pi C_{F} M_{B_{s}}^{4} r_{2} r_{3} / \sqrt{6} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{2} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{B_{s}}\left(x_{1}, b_{1}\right)\left[\phi_{2}^{v}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{a}\left(x_{3}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\phi_{2}^{a}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{v}\left(x_{3}\right)\right] E_{a}^{\prime}\left(t_{d}\right) a_{i}\left(t_{d}\right) h_{n a}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{a n n}^{L L, L}\left(a_{i}\right)= 32 \pi C_{F} M_{B_{s}}^{4} / \sqrt{6} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{2} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{B_{s}}\left(x_{1}, b_{1}\right) \\
& \times\left\{h _ { n a } ( x _ { 1 } , x _ { 2 } , x _ { 3 } , b _ { 1 } , b _ { 2 } ) \left[-x_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)-4 r_{2} r_{3} \phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)\right.\right. \\
&+r_{2} r_{3}\left(1-x_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)+\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)-\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right) \\
&\left.+r_{2} r_{3} x_{3}\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)-\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)+\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right] a_{i}\left(t_{d}\right) E_{a}^{\prime}\left(t_{d}\right) \\
&+h_{n a}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left[\left(1-x_{3}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\right. \\
&+\left(1-x_{3}\right) r_{2} r_{3}\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)+\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)-\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right) \\
&\left.\left.+x_{2} r_{2} r_{3}\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)-\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)+\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right] a_{i}\left(t_{d}^{\prime}\right) E_{a}^{\prime}\left(t_{d}^{\prime}\right)\right\}  \tag{48}\\
&\left.M_{a n n}^{S P, L}\left(a_{i}\right)=\begin{array}{rl}
32 & \pi
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times C_{F} M_{B_{s}}^{4} / \sqrt{6} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{B_{s}}\left(x_{1}, b_{1}\right) \\
&-4 r_{2} r_{3} \phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{3}^{s}\left(t_{d}\right) h_{n a}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left[( x _ { 3 } - 1 ) r _ { 3 } \left(x _ { 3 } \left(x_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)+\phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+r_{2} r_{3}\left(1-x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)-\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)+\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right] \\
&+a_{i}\left(t_{d}^{\prime}\right) E_{a}^{\prime}\left(t_{d}^{\prime}\right) h_{n a}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left[x_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
&\left.+x_{2} r_{2} r_{3}\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)+\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)-\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
&\left.\left.+r_{2} r_{3}\left(1-x_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{s}\left(x_{2}\right)-\phi_{2}^{t}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{s}\left(x_{3}\right)+\phi_{3}^{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

The hard scale $t$ are chosen as the maximum of the virtuality of the internal momentum transition in the hard amplitudes, including $1 / b_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{a} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{3}\right\}  \tag{50}\\
t_{a}^{\prime} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{1}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{3}\right\}  \tag{51}\\
t_{b} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, \sqrt{\left|1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right| x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{2}\right\}  \tag{52}\\
t_{b}^{\prime} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, \sqrt{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right| x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{2}\right\}  \tag{53}\\
t_{c} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{1-x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{2}, 1 / b_{3}\right\}  \tag{54}\\
t_{c}^{\prime} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{2}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{2}, 1 / b_{3}\right\}  \tag{55}\\
t_{d} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}}, \sqrt{1-\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{3}} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{2}\right\}  \tag{56}\\
t_{d}^{\prime} & =\max \left\{\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}}, \sqrt{\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{2}\right\} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

The hard functions $h$ are written as 36]

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{e}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{3}\right)=\left[\theta\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right) I_{0}\left(\sqrt{x}_{3} M_{B_{s}} b_{3}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x}_{3} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right)\right.  \tag{58}\\
& \left.+\theta\left(b_{3}-b_{1}\right) I_{0}\left(\sqrt{x}_{3} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x}_{3} M_{B_{s}} b_{3}\right)\right] K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right) S_{t}\left(x_{3}\right), \\
& h_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\left[\theta\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right) I_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right) I_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{1} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \times\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{i \pi}{2} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right), & x_{1}-x_{2}<0 \\
K_{0}\left(\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right), & x_{1}-x_{2}>0
\end{array},\right.  \tag{59}\\
& h_{a}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)^{2} S_{t}\left(x_{3}\right)\left[\theta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right) J_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta\left(b_{3}-b_{2}\right) H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{3}\right) J_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right)\right] H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{2} x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right),  \tag{60}\\
& h_{n a}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\frac{i \pi}{2}\left[\theta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right) J_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right) H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right) J_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \times K_{0}\left(\sqrt{1-\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{3}} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right),  \tag{61}\\
& h_{n a}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\frac{i \pi}{2}\left[\theta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right) J_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right) H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{2}\right) J_{0}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \times\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{i \pi}{2} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(\sqrt{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right), & x_{1}-x_{2}<0 \\
K_{0}\left(\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(1-x_{3}\right)} M_{B_{s}} b_{1}\right), & x_{1}-x_{2}>0
\end{array},\right. \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ are the Bessel function with $H_{0}^{(1)}(z)=\mathrm{J}_{0}(z)+i \mathrm{Y}_{0}(z)$.
The threshold re-sums factor $S_{t}$ follows the parameterized 37]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}(x)=\frac{2^{1+2 c} \Gamma(3 / 2+c)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(1+c)}[x(1-x)]^{c} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $c=0.4$. In the nonfactorizable contributions, $S_{t}(x)$ gives a very small numerical effect to the amplitude 38]. Therefore, we drop $S_{t}(x)$ in $h_{n}$ and $h_{n a}$.

The evolution factors $E_{e}^{(\prime)}$ and $E_{a}^{(\prime)}$ entering in the expressions for the matrix elements are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{e}(t)=\alpha_{s}(t) \exp \left[-S_{B}(t)-S_{3}(t)\right], & E_{e}^{\prime}(t)=\left.\alpha_{s}(t) \exp \left[-S_{B}(t)-S_{2}(t)-S_{3}(t)\right]\right|_{b_{1}=b_{3}} \\
E_{a}(t)=\alpha_{s}(t) \exp \left[-S_{2}(t)-S_{3}(t)\right], & E_{a}^{\prime}(t)=\left.\alpha_{s}(t) \exp \left[-S_{B}(t)-S_{2}(t)-S_{3}(t)\right]\right|_{b_{2}=b_{3}} \tag{65}
\end{array}
$$

in which the Sudakov exponents are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{B}(t) & =s\left(x_{1} \frac{M_{B_{s}}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_{1}\right)+\frac{5}{3} \int_{1 / b_{1}}^{t} \frac{d \bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_{q}\left(\alpha_{s}(\bar{\mu})\right)  \tag{66}\\
S_{2}(t) & =s\left(x_{2} \frac{M_{B_{s}}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_{2}\right)+s\left(\left(1-x_{2}\right) \frac{M_{B_{s}}}{\sqrt{2}}, b_{2}\right)+2 \int_{1 / b_{2}}^{t} \frac{d \bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_{q}\left(\alpha_{s}(\bar{\mu})\right) \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{q}=-\alpha_{s} / \pi$ is the anomalous dimension of the quark. The explicit form for the function $s(Q, b)$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
s(Q, b)= & \frac{A^{(1)}}{2 \beta_{1}} \hat{q} \ln \left(\frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{b}}\right)-\frac{A^{(1)}}{2 \beta_{1}}(\hat{q}-\hat{b})+\frac{A^{(2)}}{4 \beta_{1}^{2}}\left(\frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{b}}-1\right)-\left[\frac{A^{(2)}}{4 \beta_{1}^{2}}-\frac{A^{(1)}}{4 \beta_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{e^{2 \gamma_{E}-1}}{2}\right)\right] \ln \left(\frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{b}}\right) \\
& +\frac{A^{(1)} \beta_{2}}{4 \beta_{1}^{3}} \hat{q}\left[\frac{\ln (2 \hat{q})+1}{\hat{q}}-\frac{\ln (2 \hat{b})+1}{\hat{b}}\right]+\frac{A^{(1)} \beta_{2}}{8 \beta_{1}^{3}}\left[\ln ^{2}(2 \hat{q})-\ln ^{2}(2 \hat{b})\right] \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

where the variables are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q} \equiv \ln [Q /(\sqrt{2} \Lambda)], \quad \hat{b} \equiv \ln [1 /(b \Lambda)] \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coefficients $A^{(i)}$ and $\beta_{i}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\beta_{1}=\frac{33-2 n_{f}}{12}, \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{153-19 n_{f}}{24}, \\
A^{(1)}=\frac{4}{3}, \quad A^{(2)}=\frac{67}{9}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}-\frac{10}{27} n_{f}+\frac{8}{3} \beta_{1} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma_{E}}\right) \tag{70}
\end{array}
$$

with $n_{f}$ is the number of the quark flavors and $\gamma_{E}$ is the Euler constant. We will use the one-loop expression of the running coupling constant.

In this study, we use the model function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{B_{s}}(x, b)=N_{B_{s}} x^{2}(1-x)^{2} \exp \left[-\frac{M_{B_{s}}^{2} x^{2}}{2 \omega_{b}^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{b} b\right)^{2}\right] \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the share parameter $\omega_{b}=0.5 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{GeV}$, and the normalization constant $N_{B_{s}}=63.5688 \mathrm{GeV}$ is related to the $B_{s}$ decay constant $f_{B_{s}}=0.23 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{GeV}$.

For $\rho$ and $\omega$ vector meson, we use $\rho^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \bar{u}-d \bar{d})$ and $\omega=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u \bar{u}+d \bar{d})$. The distribution amplitudes of vector $\operatorname{meson}(\mathrm{v}=\rho$ or $\omega), \phi_{\rho}, \phi_{\omega}, \phi_{V}^{t}, \phi_{V}^{s}, \phi_{V}^{v}$, and $\phi_{V}^{a}$, are calculated using light-cone QCD sum rule 39]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\rho}(x) & =\frac{3 f_{\rho}}{\sqrt{6}} x(1-x)\left[1+0.15 C_{2}^{3 / 2}(t)\right]  \tag{72}\\
\phi_{\omega}(x) & =\frac{3 f_{\omega}}{\sqrt{6}} x(1-x)\left[1+0.15 C_{2}^{3 / 2}(t)\right]  \tag{73}\\
\phi_{V}^{t}(x) & =\frac{3 f_{V}^{T}}{2 \sqrt{6}} t^{2}  \tag{74}\\
\phi_{V}^{s}(x) & =\frac{3 f_{V}^{T}}{2 \sqrt{6}}(-t)  \tag{75}\\
\phi_{V}^{v}(x) & =\frac{3 f_{V}}{8 \sqrt{6}}\left(1+t^{2}\right)  \tag{76}\\
\phi_{V}^{a}(x) & =\frac{3 f_{V}}{4 \sqrt{6}}(-t) \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t=2 x-1$. Here $f_{V}$ is the decay constant of the vector meson with longitudinal polarization, whose values are shown in table [

The Gegenbauer polynomials $C_{n}^{\nu}(t)$ read,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{2}^{1 / 2}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 t^{2}-1\right), & C_{4}^{1 / 2}(t)=\frac{1}{8}\left(35 t^{4}-30 t^{2}+3\right) \\
C_{2}^{3 / 2}(t)=\frac{3}{2}\left(5 t^{2}-1\right), & C_{4}^{3 / 2}(t)=\frac{15}{8}\left(1-14 t^{2}+21 t^{4}\right)  \tag{78}\\
C_{1}^{3 / 2}(t)=3 t
\end{array}
$$
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