

December 26, 2021

On a reinterpretation of the Higgs field in supersymmetry and a proposal for new quarks

D.E. López-Fogliani^{a,b} and C. Muñoz^{c,d}*^aIFIBA, UBA & CONICET, Departamento de Física, FCEyN,
Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina**^bPontificia Universidad Católica Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina**^cDepartamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,
Campus de Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain**^dInstituto de Física Teórica UAM-CSIC,
Campus de Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain*

Abstract

In the framework of supersymmetry, when R -parity is violated the Higgs doublet superfield H_d can be interpreted as another doublet of leptons, since all of them have the same quantum numbers. Thus Higgs scalars are sleptons and Higgsinos are leptons. We argue that this interpretation can be extended to the second Higgs doublet superfield H_u , when right-handed neutrinos are assumed to exist. As a consequence, we advocate that this is the minimal construction where the two Higgs doublets can be interpreted in a natural way as a fourth family of lepton superfields, and that this is more satisfactory than the usual situation in supersymmetry where the Higgses are ‘disconnected’ from the rest of the matter and do not have a three-fold replication. On the other hand, in analogy with the first three families where for each lepton representation there is a quark counterpart, we propose a possible extension of this minimal model including a vector-like quark doublet representation as part of the fourth family. We also discuss the phenomenology of the associated new quarks.

Keywords: Supersymmetry, Higgses, right-handed neutrinos, phenomenology, new quarks.

1 Introduction

The Higgs particle in the framework of the standard model is intriguing, being the only elementary scalar in the spectrum, and introducing the hierarchy problem in the theory. Besides, whereas for the rest of the matter there is a three-fold replication, this does not seem to be the case of the Higgs since only one scalar/family has been observed. In the framework of supersymmetry, the presence of the Higgs is more natural: scalar particles exist by construction, the hierarchy problem can be solved, and the models predict that the Higgs mass must be $\lesssim 140$ GeV if perturbativity of the relevant couplings up to high-energy scales is imposed. In a sense, the latter has been confirmed by the detection of a scalar particle with a mass of about 125 GeV. However, in supersymmetry the existence of at least two Higgs doublets, H_d and H_u , is necessary, as in the case of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) [1], and as a consequence new neutral and charged scalar particles should be detected in the future to confirm the theory. Similar to the standard model, no theoretical explanation is given for the existence of only one family of Higgs doublets.

In this work we want to contribute a new vision of the Higgs(es) in the framework of supersymmetry. We will argue that the well known fact that the Higgs doublet superfield H_d has the same gauge quantum numbers as the doublets of leptons L_i , where $i = 1, 2, 3$ is the family index, is a clue that the Higgses can be reinterpreted as a fourth family of lepton superfields. Thus Higgs scalars are sleptons and Higgsinos are leptons. This can be done only when R -parity (R_p) is violated, since the standard model particles and their superpartners have opposite R_p quantum numbers. Early attempts in this direction can be found in Refs. [2, 3]. In particular, in the first paper it was pointed out that in theories with TeV scale quantum gravity, the scalar H_d can be a fourth family slepton. Since H_u is not present in that construction, with its role in the Lagrangian played by H_d through non-renormalizable couplings, H_d is proposed to be part of a complete standard model family in order to cancel anomalies. In the second paper, in the context of low-energy supersymmetry the scalar H_u was also included as a slepton as part of another complete family with opposite quantum numbers to the fourth family. Thus, four chiral families with standard model quantum numbers and one chiral family with opposite quantum numbers are present in that construction.

However, with the matter content of the MSSM, which is sufficient to cancel anomalies, this interpretation of H_d as another lepton superfield in the case of R_p violation cannot be extended to H_u in a natural way, as we will show in Section 2. Fortunately,

as we will discuss in Section 3, when right-handed neutrino superfields are allowed in the spectrum, not only the violation of R_p turns out to be natural solving the μ problem and reproducing easily current neutrino data, but also the interpretation of H_u as part of the fourth family of lepton superfields is straightforward. Finally, we will argue in Section 4 that, as a consequence, a vector-like quark doublet representation might also be part of the new fourth family, and we will briefly discuss its phenomenology. Our conclusions are left for Section 5.

2 Supersymmetry without right-handed neutrinos

Unlike the standard model where only one Higgs doublet scalar (together with its complex conjugated representation) is sufficient to generate Yukawas couplings for quarks and charged leptons at the renormalizable level, in supersymmetry we need a vector-like Higgs doublet representation, with their superfields usually denoted as:

$$H_d = \begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 \\ H_d^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_u = \begin{pmatrix} H_u^+ \\ H_u^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

In addition, the matter sector of the supersymmetric standard model, in the absence of right-handed neutrinos, contains also the following three families of superfields:

$$L_i = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_i^c, \quad Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ d_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} d_i^c \\ u_i^c \end{matrix}, \quad (2)$$

where we have defined u_i , d_i , ν_i , e_i , and u_i^c , d_i^c , e_i^c , as the left-chiral superfields whose fermionic components are the left-handed fields of the corresponding quarks, leptons, and antiquarks, antileptons, respectively.

With this matter content, the most general gauge-invariant renormalizable superpotential is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} W = & \mu H_u H_d + Y_{ij}^e H_d L_i e_j^c + Y_{ij}^d H_d Q_i d_j^c - Y_{ij}^u H_u Q_i u_j^c \\ & + \mu_i H_u L_i + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j e_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j d_k^c + \lambda''_{ijk} u_i^c d_j^c d_k^c, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the summation convention is implied on repeated indexes, and our convention for the contraction of two $SU(2)$ doublets is e.g. $H_u H_d \equiv \epsilon_{ab} H_u^a H_d^b$, with ϵ_{ab} the totally antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon_{12} = 1$.

In the absence of the terms in the second line, the terms in the first line of Eq. (3) constitute the superpotential of the MSSM, where baryon (B) and lepton (L) numbers

are conserved. This superpotential arises from imposing the Z_2 discrete symmetry R -parity [4], $R_p = (-1)^{2S}(-1)^{(3B+L)}$, which acts on the components of the superfields. Here S is the spin, and one obtains $R_p = +1$ for ordinary particles and -1 for their superpartners. Because of the different R_p quantum numbers, there can be no mixing between particles and superpartners.

If we allow the terms in the second line of Eq. (3) to be present, they violate R_p explicitly [4]. The first term $\mu_i H_u L_i$ which also violates lepton number, together with the superpotential of the MSSM, constitute the bilinear R -parity violation model (BRpV). This term contributes to the neutral scalar potential generating VEVs not only for the Higgses as in the MSSM, but also for the left sneutrinos, $\langle \tilde{\nu}_{iL} \rangle \neq 0$. The other three terms are the conventional trilinear lepton- and baryon-number-violating couplings. The presence of the couplings $\mu_i, \lambda_{ijk}, \lambda'_{ijk}$, violating lepton number could have easily been argued, once the μ -term and the Yukawa couplings for d -type quarks and charged leptons are introduced in the first line of the superpotential (3), by noting that the superfields H_d and L_i have the same gauge quantum numbers. Actually, the latter fact might lead us to interpret the Higgs superfield H_d as a fourth family of lepton superfields L_4 , in addition to the three families L_i of Eq. (2):

$$L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_4 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 \\ H_d^- \end{pmatrix} = H_d. \quad (4)$$

Notice that this is not possible in the case of the MSSM because the components of the superfields H_d and L_i have opposite quantum numbers under R_p . Unfortunately, we cannot interpret naturally the other Higgs superfield H_u in a similar way, given that it has no leptonic counterpart, in particular its neutral component. We will see in the next section that this counterpart is present when we enter right-handed neutrinos in our supersymmetric framework.

On the other hand, it is well known that the simultaneous presence of the couplings λ'_{ijk} and λ''_{ijk} , violating lepton and baryon number respectively, can be dangerous since they would produce fast proton decay. The usual assumption in the literature of the MSSM of invoking R_p to avoid the problem is clearly too stringent, since then the other couplings λ_{ijk} , and μ_i in the superpotential (3), which are harmless for proton decay, would also be forbidden. A less drastic solution, taking into account that the choice of R_p is *ad hoc*, is to use other Z_N discrete symmetries to forbid only λ''_{ijk} . This is the case e.g. of Z_3 Baryon-parity [5] which also prohibits dimension-5 proton decay operators, unlike R_p . In addition, this strategy seems reasonable if one expects all discrete symmetries to arise from the breaking of gauge symmetries of the underlying

unified theory [6], because Baryon-parity and R_p are the only two generalized parities which are ‘discrete gauge’ anomaly free [5]. Discrete gauge symmetries are also not violated [6] by potentially dangerous quantum gravity effects [7].

Given the relevance of string theory as a possible underlying unified theory, a robust argument in favour of the above mechanism is that, in string compactifications such as e.g. orbifolds, the matter superfields have several extra $U(1)$ charges broken spontaneously at high energy by the Fayet-Iliopoulos D-term, and as a consequence residual Z_N symmetries are left in the low-energy theory. As pointed out in Ref. [8], the same result can be obtained by the complementary mechanism that stringy selection rules can naturally forbid the λ''_{ijk} couplings discussed above, since matter superfields are located in general in different sectors of the compact space. As a whole, some gauge invariant operators violating R_p can be forbidden, but others are allowed [9].

Let us finally remark that although the BRpV has the interesting property of generating through the bilinear terms μ_i that mix the left-handed neutrinos ν_{iL} and the neutral Higgsino \tilde{H}_u^0 , one neutrino mass at tree level (and the other two masses at one loop), the μ problem [10] is in fact augmented with the three new supersymmetric mass terms which must be $\mu_i \lesssim 10^{-4}$ GeV, in order to reproduce the correct values of neutrino masses. This extra problem can be avoided imposing a Z_3 symmetry in the superpotential, which implies that only trilinear terms are allowed. Actually, this is what one would expect from a high-energy theory where the low-energy modes should be massless and the massive modes of the order of the high-energy scale. As pointed out in Ref. [11], this is what happens in string constructions, where the massive modes have huge masses of the order of the string scale and the massless ones have only trilinear terms at the renormalizable level. Thus one ends up with an accidental Z_3 symmetry in the low-energy theory.

To summarize the discussion, instead of the superpotential of Eq. (3), a more natural superpotential (in the sense of free of problems) with the minimal matter content of Eqs. (1) and (2) seems to be

$$\begin{aligned} W = & Y_{ij}^e H_d L_i e_j^c + Y_{ij}^d H_d Q_i d_j^c - Y_{ij}^u H_u Q_i u_j^c \\ & + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j e_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j d_k^c. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

However, this implies that not only the bilinear terms μ_i are forbidden, but also the crucial μ term generating Higgsino masses. In the next section we will discuss a solution through the presence of right-handed neutrinos which will also allow us to interpret the two Higgs doublet superfields as a fourth family of leptons.

3 Right-handed neutrinos and reinterpretation of the Higgs superfields

Right-handed neutrinos are likely to exist in order to generate neutrino masses. Thus we will add these superfields to the minimal matter content of Eq. (2), allowing us also to write it in a more symmetric way:

$$L_i = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} e_i^c \\ \nu_i^c \end{matrix}, \quad Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ d_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} d_i^c \\ u_i^c \end{matrix}. \quad (6)$$

This spectrum together with the Higgs superfields in Eq. (1) give rise to the following gauge invariant superpotential proposed in Refs. [11, 8]:

$$\begin{aligned} W = & Y_{ij}^e H_d L_i e_j^c + Y_{ij}^d H_d Q_i d_j^c - Y_{ij}^u H_u Q_i u_j^c - Y_{ij}^\nu H_u L_i \nu_j^c \\ & + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j e_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j d_k^c + \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{ijk} \nu_i^c \nu_j^c \nu_k^c + \lambda_i H_u H_d \nu_i^c. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This superpotential expands the one in Eq. (5) with the right-handed neutrinos, and is built using the same arguments of Section 2 in order to forbid the bilinear terms μ and μ_i , and the couplings violating baryon number λ''_{ijk} of superpotential (3). The first line corresponds to Yukawa couplings which conserve R_p , whereas the couplings in the second line violate R_p explicitly. In the absence of λ_{ijk} and λ'_{ijk} , R_p is restored in the limit $Y^\nu \rightarrow 0$.

The three terms with couplings Y^ν , λ_i and κ are characteristics of the ‘ μ from ν ’ supersymmetric standard model ($\mu\nu$ SSM) [11, 12], and are harmless for proton decay. They contribute to the neutral scalar potential generating VEVs not only for the Higgses and the left sneutrinos as in the BRpV, but also for the right sneutrinos. As a consequence of the electroweak symmetry breaking, a μ term of the order of the electroweak scale is generated dynamically with $\mu = \lambda_i \langle \tilde{\nu}_{iR} \rangle^*$. Let us also remark that the term with Y^ν contains the Dirac Yukawa couplings for neutrinos, and besides generates effectively bilinear couplings, $\mu_i = Y_{ij}^\nu \langle \tilde{\nu}_{jR} \rangle^*$, as those discussed in Section 2 for the BRpV. The κ term produces Majorana masses for the right-handed neutrinos, $M_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \kappa_{ijk} \langle \tilde{\nu}_{kR} \rangle^*$, instrumental in the generation of correct neutrino masses and mixing through a generalized electroweak-scale seesaw [11, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

Because of the VEVs acquired by the neutral scalars and the violation of R_p , all fields in the spectrum with the same color, electric charge and spin mix together contributing to the rich phenomenology of the $\mu\nu$ SSM. For example, the neutral

(scalar and pseudoscalar) Higgses mix with the left and right sneutrinos, the charged Higgses with the charged sleptons, the neutralinos of the MSSM with the left- and right-handed neutrinos, and the charginos with the charged leptons. Besides, in the $\mu\nu$ SSM the scale of the breaking is set up by the soft terms, which is in the ballpark of a TeV. These nice features give rise to realistic signatures of this model at colliders [13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], well verifiable at the LHC or at upcoming accelerator experiments. For example, prompt and/or displaced multi-leptons/taus/jets/photons final states.

Concerning cosmology in the $\mu\nu$ SSM, as a consequence of R_p violation the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is no longer a valid candidate for cold dark matter. Nevertheless, embedding the model in the context of supergravity, one can accommodate the gravitino [23, 12] as an eligible decaying dark matter candidate with a lifetime greater than the age of the Universe. Its detection is also possible through the observation of a gamma-ray line in the Fermi satellite [23, 24, 25, 26]. In Ref. [27], the generation of the baryon asymmetry of the universe was analysed in the $\mu\nu$ SSM, with the interesting result that electroweak baryogenesis can be realised.

Similarly to the discussion for λ_{ijk} and λ'_{ijk} in Section 2, the presence of the λ_i term which violates lepton number in the superpotential (7), could have been deduced from the presence of the couplings Y^ν because of the same quantum numbers for the superfields H_d and L_i . Actually, the simultaneous presence of both terms in order to solve the μ problem and generate correct neutrino masses implies that all the charges of H_d and L_i must be the same even if extra $U(1)$'s are present. Thus the argument of the extra $U(1)$ charges used in Section 2 to forbid the couplings λ''_{ijk} is unlikely that can be used in typical string models to forbid the couplings λ_{ijk} and λ'_{ijk} . This makes more robust the superpotential (7). Besides, as discussed in Ref. [8], even if λ_{ijk} and λ'_{ijk} are set to zero, they are generated by loop corrections (although with very small values) due to the presence in the superpotential of couplings like Y^d, Y^ν, λ_i .

In Section 2, the fact that the superfields H_d and L_i have the same gauge quantum numbers led us to discuss in Eq. (4) the possibility of interpreting H_d as a fourth family of lepton superfields L_4 . However, we were not able to interpret naturally the Higgs superfield H_u in a similar way, given that it has no leptonic counterpart in the spectrum of Eq. (2). On the contrary, for the spectrum of Eq. (6) it is possible to interpret H_u as another lepton superfield L_4^c :

$$L_4^c = \begin{pmatrix} e_4^c \\ \nu_4^c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_u^+ \\ H_u^0 \end{pmatrix} = H_u. \quad (8)$$

Thus, at the level of weak eigenstates the superfield H_d/L_4 contains the fourth-family left sneutrino and the H_u/L_4^c the fourth-family right sneutrino, as shown in Eqs. (4) and (8). In the limit where the others sneutrinos are decoupled in our model, the Higgs discovered at the LHC is described by a mixture of H_u and H_d as in the case of the MSSM. In addition, also as in the latter case, for reasonable values of $\tan\beta$ the standard model-like Higgs is mainly H_u . Therefore, in this supersymmetric framework the first scalar particle discovered at the LHC is mainly a right sneutrino belonging to a fourth-family vector-like lepton doublet representation.

To complete the argument, we must take into account what was mentioned above, that once the electroweak symmetry is broken the first three families of sneutrinos turn out to be mixed with the fourth one. Nevertheless, the left sneutrinos of the first three families are decoupled in all cases, since the mixing occurs through terms proportional to neutrino Yukawas or left sneutrino VEVs which are very small [8]. Concerning the right sneutrinos of the first three families, they are singlets of $SU(2)$ and can mix in general with the doublets H_u and H_d , similarly to the case of the Next-to-MSSM (NMSSM) [28] where one extra singlet is present. As a consequence, the decoupling limit is not necessarily a good approximation. For our model, where three singlets are present, discussions about viable regions of the parameter space and the expected signals at colliders were carried out in Refs. [19] and [22]. In those works, where not only LHC constraints but also LEP and Tevatron ones were applied to the parameter space, viable regions were obtained.

Summarizing, Eqs. (4) and (8) constitute our reinterpretation of Eq. (1), and therefore we can write the whole spectrum in the following way:

$$L_i = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} e_i^c \\ \nu_i^c \end{matrix}, \quad Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ d_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} d_i^c \\ u_i^c \end{matrix},$$

$$L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_4 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_4^c = \begin{pmatrix} e_4^c \\ \nu_4^c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

With this notation, Eq. (7) can be written in a more compact way as:

$$W = Y_{IJk}^e L_I L_J e_k^c + Y_{IJk}^d L_I Q_J d_k^c - Y_{4jk}^u L_4^c Q_j u_k^c - Y_{4jk}^\nu L_4^c L_j \nu_k^c + \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{ijk} \nu_i^c \nu_j^c \nu_k^c, \quad (10)$$

where $I = i, 4$ and $J = j, 4$ are the new family indexes, with $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, and the notation for the Yukawa couplings is self-explanatory.

4 Proposal for new quarks

We have identified in the previous section the minimal model where the two Higgs superfields can be interpreted in a natural way as a fourth family of leptons. One might think that this is just an academic discussion, in the sense that superpotential (10) is equivalent from the operational viewpoint to superpotential (7). Nevertheless, in this framework where in principle vector-like matter can be added to the fourth family consistently with the experiments, we find it natural to make the following proposal. In analogy with the first three families in Eq. (9), where each lepton representation has its quark counterpart, we add to the spectrum of the fourth family a vector-like quark doublet representation as counterpart of the vector-like lepton/Higgs doublet representation, implying in superfield notation:

$$L_i = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} e_i^c \\ \nu_i^c \end{matrix}, \quad Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ d_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} d_i^c \\ u_i^c \end{matrix},$$

$$L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_4 \\ e_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_4^c = \begin{pmatrix} e_4^c \\ \nu_4^c \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_4 = \begin{pmatrix} u_4 \\ d_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_4^c = \begin{pmatrix} d_4^c \\ u_4^c \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where Q_4 has hypercharge $\frac{1}{6}$ as for the first three families, whereas Q_4^c has by construction hypercharge $-\frac{1}{6}$ allowing the cancellation of anomalies¹. It is worth noticing here that the presence of extra vector-like matter is a common situation in string constructions² (see e.g. [9, 31, 32, 33]).

The spectrum of Eq. (11) implies that the following terms associated to the presence of the new quarks must be added to the superpotential in Eq. (7):

$$W = \lambda'_{i4k} L_i Q_4 d_k^c + Y_{4k}^d H_d Q_4 d_k^c - Y_{4k}^u H_u Q_4 u_k^c + Y_{j4k}^Q Q_j Q_4^c \nu_k^c + Y_{44k}^Q Q_4 Q_4^c \nu_k^c, \quad (12)$$

where the first one corresponds to trilinear lepton-number-violating couplings, the second and third contribute to the Yukawa couplings with the Higgses, and the last two terms contribute to the quark masses once the right sneutrinos acquire VEVs.

Working in low-energy supersymmetry, these terms will induce the corresponding trilinear soft-supersymmetry breaking terms in the Lagrangian. Together with the soft

¹Other extensions of the $\mu\nu$ SSM were discussed in Ref. [29] in the context of an extra $U(1)$ gauge symmetry.

²For a standard-like model containing only the Higgs doublets as vector-like representations, see however Ref. [30]. Remarkably, in that model the presence of three families of right-handed neutrinos is mandatory to achieve anomaly cancellation.

masses for the squark doublets \tilde{Q}_4 and \tilde{Q}_4^c they constitute the new terms in the soft Lagrangian. Notice that none of them contributes to the minimization of the tree-level neutral scalar potential.

Using now the ‘new’ notation for the Higgs superfields, Eq. (12) can be written as

$$W = Y_{I4k}^d L_I Q_4 d_k^c - Y_{44k}^u L_4^c Q_4 u_k^c + Y_{J4k}^Q Q_J Q_4^c \nu_k^c. \quad (13)$$

This equation together with Eq. (10) allow us to write the whole superpotential in the compact notation:

$$W = Y_{IJk}^e L_I L_J e_k^c + Y_{IJk}^d L_I Q_J d_k^c - Y_{4Jk}^u L_4^c Q_J u_k^c - Y_{4Jk}^\nu L_4^c L_J \nu_k^c + Y_{J4k}^Q Q_J Q_4^c \nu_k^c + \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{ijk} \nu_i^c \nu_j^c \nu_k^c. \quad (14)$$

New phenomenology is expected from the presence of the new quarks (and squarks) of the fourth family. Here we will discuss the specially interesting case of the quarks, given their mixing with the standard model ones and therefore the modification of the usual couplings to the W , Z and Higgs boson. For example, although in this construction the Higgs mass is already enhanced at tree level due to the λ_i couplings [8], the presence of the new quarks could help to enhance it further through one-loop effects [34]. Besides, the presence of flavour changing neutral currents (FCNCs) leads to a wide range of final states that can be analysed. Notice that large enough masses for the new quarks to be beyond the present experimental bounds, but still accessible at the LHC, can be generated by the last term in Eq. (12) with a Yukawa coupling $Y_{44k}^Q \sim 1$ and typical VEVs of the right sneutrinos $\langle \tilde{\nu}_{kR} \rangle \sim \text{TeV}$ as discussed in Section 3.

In the basis of 2-components spinors $(u_L^*)^T = (u_{IL}^*)$, $(u_R)^T = (u_{JR})$, one obtains the following up-quark mass terms in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -(u_L^*)^T m_u u_R + \text{h.c.}, \quad (15)$$

where, using a compact block notation,

$$m_u = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{ij}^{u*} \langle H_u^0 \rangle^* & Y_{i4k}^{Q*} \langle \tilde{\nu}_{kR} \rangle \\ Y_{4j}^{u*} \langle H_u^0 \rangle^* & Y_{44k}^{Q*} \langle \tilde{\nu}_{kR} \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

We can simplify further this matrix redefining the left-handed fields in such a way that the new entries $(m_u)_{i4}$ are vanishing and $(m_u)_{ij} = Y_{ij}'^{u*} \langle H_u^0 \rangle^*$, with Y'^u the redefined Yukawa coupling. After these replacements, the 4×4 mass matrix is diagonalized by two unitary matrices U_L^u and U_R^u :

$$U_L^{u\dagger} m_u U_R^u = m_u^{\text{dia}}, \quad (17)$$

with

$$u_R = U_R^u U_R, \quad u_L = U_L^u U_L. \quad (18)$$

Here, the 4 entries of the matrices U_L, U_R are the 2-component up-quark mass eigenstate fields. After a phase redefinition of the d_{4R} field to recover the conventions for the non-supersymmetric standard model extensions with vector-like quarks [35], the same formulas apply to the down-quark sector with the replacements $Y^u \rightarrow Y^d$ and $\langle H_u^0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle H_d^0 \rangle$ in Eq. (16).

Taking the above mixing matrices into account, in the basis of 4-components spinors with the projectors $P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$, charged currents are modified in the following way:

$$\mathcal{L}_W = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{U} \gamma^\mu V_L P_L D + \bar{U} \gamma^\mu V_R P_R D) W_\mu^+ + \text{h.c.}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$V_L = U_L^{u\dagger} U_L^d, \quad V_R = U_R^{u\dagger} \delta_R^{\text{dia}} U_R^d, \quad (20)$$

and the matrix $\delta_R^{\text{dia}} = \text{dia}(0, 0, 0, 1)$. Here, the measured CKM matrix corresponds to the (non-unitary) 3×3 block $(V_L)_{ij}$, and another (non-unitary) CKM matrix V_R must be defined for the right-handed quarks because of the new doublet $Q_{4R}^T = (u_{4R}, d_{4R})$.

Tree-level FCNC also occur due to the mixing in the right-handed sector induced by the new doublet. In particular, the neutral current interactions of quarks in the Lagrangian are:

$$\mathcal{L}_Z = -\frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W} (\bar{U} \gamma^\mu P_L U + \bar{U} \gamma^\mu X^u P_R U - \bar{D} \gamma^\mu P_L D - \bar{D} \gamma^\mu X^d P_R D - 2\sin^2\theta_W J_{em}^\mu) Z_\mu, \quad (21)$$

where the matrices

$$X^u = V_R V_R^\dagger = U_R^{u\dagger} \delta_R^{\text{dia}} U_R^u, \quad X^d = V_R^\dagger V_R = U_R^{d\dagger} \delta_R^{\text{dia}} U_R^d, \quad (22)$$

are hermitian and non-diagonal, mediating FCNCs.

Finally, the modified couplings between the neutral components of the Higgs doublets and quarks

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{H_u} &= -\frac{1}{\langle H_u^0 \rangle^*} \bar{U} \left(m_u^{\text{dia}} - (m_u)_{44} U_L^{u\dagger} \delta_R^{\text{dia}} U_R^u \right) P_R U H_u^{0*} + \text{h.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{H_d} &= -\frac{1}{\langle H_d^0 \rangle^*} \bar{D} \left(m_d^{\text{dia}} - (m_d)_{44} U_L^{d\dagger} \delta_R^{\text{dia}} U_R^d \right) P_R D H_d^{0*} + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

may change the production and decay of the standard model-like Higgs.

Numerous analyses of the phenomenology of vector-like quark singlet, doublet and triplet representations have been carried out in the literature in extensions of the standard model [36, 37, 35], studying limits on mixing between ordinary quarks and heavy partners, the allowed range of splitting between the heavy states, and the production at the LHC. In particular, the non-observation of FCNCs put stringent constraints on mixing, and only one light quark can have significant mixing with the vector-like quark. Since the vector-like quarks are usually expected to mix predominantly with the third generation [38], one can obtain upper limits on the corresponding mixing angles from new contributions to the oblique parameters S and T , and $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ observables [35]. These limits for the case of a vector-like doublet (T, B) , where T is a new up-type of quark with charge $+2/3$ and B is a new down-type of quark with charge $-1/3$, can be applied to our model and are given for the right-handed fields by $\sin \theta_R^u \lesssim 0.1$ and $\sin \theta_R^d \lesssim 0.06$. The mixing angles for the left-handed fields are not independent and must satisfy $\tan \theta_L^u = \frac{m_t}{m_T} \tan \theta_R^u$ and $\tan \theta_L^d = \frac{m_b}{m_B} \tan \theta_R^d$.

Concerning detection at the LHC, pair production processes dominated by QCD have the advantage of being model independent, with the new heavy quarks subsequently decaying into ordinary quarks and a gauge boson or a Higgs (see couplings in Eqs. (19), (21) and (23) for our case). A recent search [39] yields observed lower limits on T ranging between 715 and 950 GeV for all possible values of the branching ratios into the three decay modes $T \rightarrow Wb$, $T \rightarrow Zt$ and $T \rightarrow Ht$. Similarly, for B the $B\bar{B}$ production implies that the limits range between 575 and 813 GeV for all possible values of the branching ratios into the three decay modes $B \rightarrow Wt$, $B \rightarrow Zb$ and $B \rightarrow Hb$. In these analyses, the above limits on mixing angles are applied since it is assumed that the new quarks mainly couple to the third generation. If they are allowed to mix with all standard model families, dedicated searches may be necessary.

The above mass bounds can be applied to our supersymmetric case if the light Higgs is a standard model-like Higgs particle and the decays of the fourth-family quarks involving non-standard model particles (such as e.g. the heavier Higgses or squarks) are negligible. Otherwise, the new branching ratios should be taken into account implying a new phenomenology. In addition, if the lepton-number-violating couplings λ' of Eqs. (7) and (12), which also violate R_p , are not small enough, they also could give rise to new channels modifying the single production of the new quarks, as well as their decay processes. The analysis of these possibilities is beyond the scope of this work, and we plan to cover it in a forthcoming publication [40]. On the other hand,

the new processes induced by the terms characteristics of the $\mu\nu$ SSM, and violating also R_p , can be safely neglected because of the small value of Y^ν .

5 Conclusions

In this work, in the framework of supersymmetry with right-handed neutrinos we have been able to reinterpret in a natural way the Higgs superfields as a fourth family of lepton superfields. From the theoretical viewpoint, this seems to be more satisfactory than the situation in usual supersymmetric models, where the Higgses are ‘disconnected’ from the rest of the matter and do not have a three-fold replication. Inspired by this interpretation of the Higgs superfields, we have also proposed the possible existence of a vector-like quark doublet representation in the low-energy supersymmetric spectrum. These new quark superfields have the implication of a potentially rich phenomenology at the LHC.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, A. Casas, J. Moreno and A. Uranga for useful discussions. The work of D.E. López-Fogliani was supported by the Argentinian CONICET. He acknowledges the hospitality of the IFT during whose stay this work was started. The work of C. Muñoz was supported in part by the Programme SEV-2012-0249 ‘Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa’. We also acknowledge the support of the Spanish grant FPA2015-65929-P (MINECO/FEDER, UE), and MINECO’s Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme under grant MultiDark CSD2009-00064.

References

- [1] For a review, see: S. P. Martin, *A supersymmetry primer*, *Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys.* **18** (2008) 1, arXiv:hep-ph/9709356.
- [2] A.K. Grant and Z. Kakushadze, *Higgs as a slepton*, *Phys. Lett.* **B465** (1999) 108, arXiv:hep-ph/9906556.
- [3] C. Liu, *Supersymmetry and an extra vectorlike generation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 035004, arXiv:0907.3011[hep-ph].

- [4] For a review, see: R. Barbier *et al.*, *R-parity-violating supersymmetry*, *Phys. Rept.* **420** (2005) 1, arXiv:hep-ph/0406039.
- [5] L. E. Ibanez and G. G. Ross, *Discrete gauge symmetry anomalies*, *Phys. Lett.* **B260** (1991) 291; *Discrete gauge symmetries and the origin of baryon and lepton number conservation in supersymmetric versions of the standard model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B368** (1992) 3.
- [6] L. Krauss and F. Wilczek, *Discrete gauge symmetry in continuum theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 90.
- [7] G. Gilbert *Wormhole-induced proton decay*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B328** (1989) 159, and references therein.
- [8] N. Escudero, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz and R. R. de Austri, *Analysis of the parameter space and spectrum of the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *JHEP* **12** (2008) 099, arXiv:0810.1507[hep-ph].
- [9] J.A. Casas, E.K. Katehou and C. Muñoz, *$U(1)$ charges in orbifolds: anomaly cancellation and phenomenological consequences*, Oxford preprint, Nov. 1987, Ref: 1/88; *Nucl. Phys.* **B317** (1989) 171; J.A. Casas and C. Muñoz, *Yukawa couplings in $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$ orbifold models*, *Phys. Lett.* **B212** (1988) 343.
- [10] J.E. Kim and H.P. Nilles, *The μ problem and the strong CP problem*, *Phys. Lett.* **B138** (1984) 150.
- [11] D. E. López-Fogliani and C. Muñoz, *Proposal for a supersymmetric standard model*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 041801, arXiv:hep-ph/0508297.
- [12] For a recent review, see: C. Muñoz, *Searching for SUSY and decaying gravitino DM at the LHC and Fermi-LAT with the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, in *Proceedings, 11th International Workshop on the Dark Side of the Universe (DSU2015): Kyoto, Japan, December 14-18, 2015, PoS (DSU2015)* 034, arXiv:1608.07912[hep-ph].
- [13] P. Ghosh and S. Roy, *Neutrino masses and mixing, lightest neutralino decays and a solution to the μ problem in supersymmetry*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 069, arXiv:0812.0084[hep-ph].
- [14] A. Bartl, M. Hirsch, A. Vicente, S. Liebler, and W. Porod, *LHC phenomenology of the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *JHEP* **05** (2009) 120, arXiv:0903.3596[hep-ph].

- [15] J. Fidalgo, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz and R. R. de Austri, *Neutrino physics and spontaneous CP violation in the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *JHEP* **08** (2009) 105, arXiv:0904.3112[hep-ph].
- [16] P. Ghosh, P. Dey, B. Mukhopadhyaya, and S. Roy, *Radiative contribution to neutrino masses and mixing in $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 087, arXiv:1002.2705[hep-ph].
- [17] For a review, see: D. E. López-Fogliani, *The Seesaw mechanism in the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, CTP International Conference on Neutrino Physics in the LHC Era Luxor, Egypt, November 15-19, 2009, arXiv:1004.0884[hep-ph].
- [18] P. Bandhopadhyay, P. Ghosh and S. Roy, *Unusual Higgs boson signal in R-parity violating nonminimal supersymmetric models at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 115022, arXiv:1012.5762[hep-ph].
- [19] J. Fidalgo and C. Muñoz, *The Higgs sector of the $\mu\nu$ SSM and collider physics*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 020, arXiv:1107.4614[hep-ph].
- [20] P. Ghosh, D. E. López-Fogliani, V. Mitsou, C. Muñoz and R. Ruiz de Austri, *Probing the μ -from- ν supersymmetric standard model with displaced multileptons from the decay of a Higgs boson at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 015009, arXiv:1211.3177[hep-ph].
- [21] P. Ghosh, D. E. López-Fogliani, V. Mitsou, C. Muñoz and R. Ruiz de Austri, *Hunting physics beyond the standard model with unusual W^\pm and Z decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 035020, arXiv:1403.3675[hep-ph].
- [22] P. Ghosh, D. E. López-Fogliani, V. Mitsou, C. Muñoz and R. Ruiz de Austri, *Probing the $\mu\nu$ SSM with light scalars, pseudoscalars and neutralinos from the decay of a SM-like Higgs boson at the LHC*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 102, arXiv:1410.2070[hep-ph].
- [23] K.-Y. Choi, D.E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz and Roberto Ruiz de Austri, *Gamma-ray detection from gravitino dark matter decay in the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *JCAP* **03** (2010) 028, arXiv:0906.3681[hep-ph].
- [24] G.A. Gómez-Vargas, M. Fornasa, F. Zandanel, A.J. Cuesta, C. Muñoz, F. Prada and G. Yepes, *CLUES on Fermi-LAT prospects for the extragalactic detection of $\mu\nu$ SSM gravitino dark matter*, *JCAP* **02** (2012) 001, arXiv:1110.3305[hep-ph].

- [25] A. Albert, G.A. Gómez-Vargas, M. Grefe, C. Muñoz, C. Weniger, E.D. Bloom, E. Charles, M.N. Mazziotta and A. Morselli, *Search for 100 MeV to 10 GeV γ -ray lines in the Fermi-LAT data and implications for gravitino dark matter in the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *JCAP* **10** (2014) 023, arXiv:1406.3430[astro-ph.HE].
- [26] G.A. Gómez-Vargas, D.E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz, A.D. Pérez and R. Ruiz de Austri, *Search for sharp and smooth spectral signatures of $\mu\nu$ SSM gravitino dark matter with Fermi-LAT*, *JCAP* **03** (2017) 047, arXiv:1608.08640[hep-ph].
- [27] D.J.H. Chung and A.J. Long, *Electroweak phase transition in the $\mu\nu$ SSM*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 123531, arXiv:1004.0942[hep-ph].
- [28] For a review, see: U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie and A.M. Teixeira, *The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model*, *Phys. Rept.* **496** (2010) 1, arXiv:0910.1785[hep-ph].
- [29] J. Fidalgo and C. Muñoz, *The $\mu\nu$ SSM with an Extra $U(1)$* , *JHEP* **04** (2012) 090, arXiv:1111.2836[hep-ph].
- [30] L.E. Ibáñez, F. Marchesano and R. Rabadán, *Getting just the standard model at intersecting branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2001) 002, arXiv:hep-th/0105155.
- [31] J.A. Casas and C. Muñoz, *Three-generation $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)$ orbifold models through Fayet-Iliopoulos terms*, *Phys. Lett.* **B209** (1988) 214; *Three-generation $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$ models from orbifolds*, *Phys. Lett.* **B212** (1988) 343.
- [32] A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, H.P. Nilles and F. Quevedo, *Yukawa couplings in degenerate orbifolds: towards a realistic $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ superstring*, *Phys. Lett.* **B210** (1988) 101, Erratum: *Phys. Lett.* **B213** (1988) 564; A. Font, L.E. Ibáñez, F. Marchesano and A. Sierra, *The construction of ‘realistic’ four-dimensional strings through orbifolds*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B331** (1990) 421.
- [33] M. Cvetič, G. Shiu and A.M. Uranga, *Three-family supersymmetric standard-like models from intersecting brane worlds*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 201801, arXiv:hep-th/0107143.
- [34] K. Nickel and F. Staub, *Precise determination of the Higgs mass in supersymmetric models with vectorlike tops and the impact on naturalness in minimal GMSB*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 139, arXiv:1505.06077[hep-ph].

- [35] For a review, see: J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, R. Benbrik, S. Heinemeyer and M. Pérez-Victoria *A handbook of vector-like quarks: mixing and single production*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 094010, arXiv:1306.0572[hep-ph].
- [36] For a review, see: J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, *Identifying top partners at LHC*, *JHEP* **11** (2009) 030, arXiv:0907.3155[hep-ph].
- [37] For a review, see: Y. Okada and L. Panizzi, *LHC signatures of vector-like quarks*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2013) 2013, arXiv:1207.5607[hep-ph].
- [38] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, *Mixing with vector-like quarks: constraints and expectations*, *EPJ Web Conf.* **60** (2013) 16012, arXiv:1306.4432[hep-ph].
- [39] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration] *Search for production of vector-like quark pairs and of four top quarks in the lepton-plus-jets final state in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 105, arXiv:1505.04306[hep-ex].
- [40] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, D. E. López-Fogliani, C. Muñoz *et al.*, in preparation.