Low Mass X-Ray Binaries in the Inner Galaxy: Implications for Millisecond Pulsars and the GeV Excess

Daryl Haggard,^{*a,b*} Craig Heinke,^{*c*} Dan Hooper^{*d,e,f*} and Tim Linden^{*g*}

^aMcGill University, Department of Physics, 3600 rue University, Montreal, QC, H3A 2T8
^bMcGill Space Institute, 3550 rue University, Montreal, QC, H3A 2A7
^cUniversity of Alberta, Department of Physics, CCIS 4-183, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2E1

 $^d\mathrm{Fermi}$ National Accelerator Laboratory, Center for Particle Astrophysics, Batavia, IL 60510

 $^e\mathrm{University}$ of Chicago, Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Chicago, IL 60637

 f University of Chicago, Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, Chicago, IL 60637

 $^g {\rm Ohio}$ State University, Center for Cosmology and Astro Particle Physics (CCAPP), Columbus, OH 43210

E-mail: daryl.haggard@mcgill.ca, heinke@ualberta.ca, dhooper@fnal.gov, linden.70@osu.edu

Abstract. If millisecond pulsars (MSPs) are responsible for the excess gamma-ray emission observed from the region surrounding the Galactic Center, the same region should also contain a large population of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). In this study, we compile and utilize a sizable catalog of LMXBs observed in the the Milky Way's globular cluster system and in the Inner Galaxy, as well as the gamma-ray emission observed from globular clusters, to estimate the flux of gamma rays predicted from MSPs in the Inner Galaxy. From this comparison, we conclude that only up to ~4-23% of the observed gamma-ray excess is likely to originate from MSPs. This result is consistent with, and more robust than, previous estimates which utilized smaller samples of both globular clusters and LMXBs. If MSPs had been responsible for the entirety of the observed excess, INTEGRAL should have detected ~ 10^3 LMXBs from within a 10° radius around the Galactic Center, whereas only 42 LMXBs (and 46 additional LMXB candidates) have been observed.

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{ORCID:}\ \mathrm{http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6803-2138}$

²ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3944-6109

³ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8837-4127

⁴ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9888-0971

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Gamma-Ray Emission and LMXBs in Globular Clusters	2
3	LMXBs in the Inner Galaxy	5
4	Constraints on MSPs in the Inner Galaxy	8
5	Luminosity Function Evolution?	9
6	Summary and Conclusions	11

1 Introduction

A statistically significant excess of GeV gamma rays has been observed from the region surrounding the Galactic Center [1-10], with spectral and morphological characteristics consistent with the annihilation of dark matter particles. Although dark matter interpretations of this signal have generated a great deal of interest (see, for example, Refs. [11-34]), astrophysical origins for this emission have also been proposed. The leading astrophysical explanation for the observed excess is emission from a large population of unresolved millisecond pulsars (MSPs) [2-5, 35-38].¹ Two recent analyses of Fermi data have elevated this possibility by presenting evidence in favor of an unresolved point source population in this region of the sky [41, 42]. It is not yet possible, however, to discern whether these studies have, in fact, identified signatures of a faint point source population, or have instead been systematically affected by variations in the gamma-ray flux associated with the small-scale structure of the diffuse gamma-ray background (see, for example, Ref. [43]).

Several arguments have been leveled against an MSP interpretation of the gamma-ray excess. The first argument is based on a comparison of the gamma-ray luminosity function between observed MSPs and any posited MSP population in the Inner Galaxy.

If the luminosity function of both populations is similar, then any MSP explanation for the gamma-ray excess would be expected to result in many more gamma-ray point sources in the Inner Galaxy than have been reported [44–46]. Second, no plausible mechanism has been identified to explain how so many gamma-ray bright MSPs might have come to populate the Inner Galaxy. Although tidally disrupted globular clusters are expected to deposit a population of MSPs into the Inner Galaxy [37, 47], the gamma-ray emission from these sources is expected to generate only a few percent of the observed excess, once the effects of MSP evolution (i.e. spin-down) are accounted for [38].

In order for MSPs from disrupted globular clusters to produce the total luminosity of the gamma-ray excess, the necessary mass of the central stellar cluster would have to drastically exceed observations [38]. Third, it was argued in Ref. [45] that such a population of MSPs in the Inner Galaxy should be accompanied by a much larger population of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) than has been observed. As LMXBs are known to be the progenitors of

¹Young pulsars have also been considered within this context [39, 40], but are predicted to generate gammaray emission with a disk-like morphology, inconsistent with the observed excess.

MSPs, it is difficult to imagine any scenario in which a sufficiently large number of MSPs could be present in the Inner Galaxy without being accompanied by a comparably large population of LMXBs.

In this paper, we revisit this connection between MSPs and LMXBs. Specifically, we study the gamma-ray emission from the Milky Way's globular cluster population, as well as the population of LMXBs and LMXB candidates that have been observed in these systems. Under the reasonable assumption that the number of LMXBs per unit gamma-ray emission from MSPs is similar in the Inner Galaxy to the ratio measured in globular clusters, we can calculate the flux of gamma rays expected from unresolved MSPs near the Galactic Center. We conduct this exercise and derive an upper limit on the fraction of the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess that originates from MSPs. We conclude that the relatively small number of bright LMXBs present in the Inner Galaxy disfavors MSP interpretations of Fermi's gamma-ray excess.

2 Gamma-Ray Emission and LMXBs in Globular Clusters

The gamma-ray emission observed from globular clusters [48–50] is thought to originate from the MSPs contained within these systems [48, 51–59]. Due to the significant overabundance of MSPs in globular clusters, it is widely believed that these pulsars are generated through stellar interactions [60–66]. For this reason, in this study we focus on those globular clusters with high stellar encounter rates, $\Gamma_e > 0.5$ (in units such that $\Gamma_e = 1$ for NGC 104), as calculated in Ref. [65] (our results do not depend strongly on the precise choice of this cut). In Table 1, we list the 22 Milky Way globular clusters with $\Gamma_e > 0.5$ [65], as well as their distances [67] and gamma-ray fluxes as measured by the Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope. Note that although we do not take into account distance uncertainties in our analysis, these uncertainties are negligible to our final results, which are dominated by other factors, such as the Poisson errors associated with the number of sources.

In analyzing the publicly available Fermi-LAT data, we have followed the same procedure as described in Ref. [38], except here we have adopted a MSP-like spectral shape [50]:

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-1.57} \exp(-E_{\gamma}/3.78 \,\text{GeV}). \tag{2.1}$$

We have utilized 85 months of Pass 8 Source event class data in our analysis, applying standard cuts, and binning the data into 15 logarithmic energy bins between 0.1 and 100 GeV, as well as 280×280 angular bins spanning a $14^{\circ} \times 14^{\circ}$ region-of-interest centered on the position of the source. By determining the maximum improvement to the likelihood, we calculate the value of the test statistic (TS) for each globular cluster, as well as the likelihood profiles for the gamma-ray flux. The errors quoted for the fluxes in Table 1 denote the 1σ range, as determined using the full likelihood profile. Stacking this list of sources, we find that the total gamma-ray luminosity from this collection of globular clusters is given by $L_{\gamma} = 1.39^{+0.04}_{-0.05} \times 10^{36}$ erg/s, >0.1 GeV. After applying a mirrored sky location test [38, 68], we confirm that our false detection rate is expected to be small, and that spurious detections are unlikely to significantly impact this determination.² For further information pertaining

 $^{^{2}}$ We note that any spurious detections of globular clusters by Fermi, such as those resulting from the mismodeling of background fluctuations, would increase our estimate for the total gamma-ray luminosity from MSPs in the Inner Galaxy, making the results presented here conservative.

Globular Cluster	Flux $(erg/cm^2/s)$	Distance (kpc) Stellar Encounter Rate		TS
NGC 104	$2.51^{+0.05}_{-0.06} \times 10^{-11}$	4.46	1.00	3995.9
NGC 362	$6.74^{+2.63}_{-2.46} \times 10^{-13}$	8.61	0.74	9.69
Palomar 2	$< 2.69 \times 10^{-13}$	27.11	0.93	0.0
NGC 6624	$1.14^{+0.10}_{-0.10} \times 10^{-11}$	7.91	1.15	455.8
NGC 1851	$9.05^{+2.92}_{-2.67} \times 10^{-13}$	12.1	1.53	14.4
NGC 5824	$< 4.78 \times 10^{-13}$	32.17	0.98	0.0
NGC 6093	$4.32^{+0.57}_{-0.53} \times 10^{-12}$	10.01	0.53	91.9
NGC 6266	$1.84^{+0.07}_{-0.10} \times 10^{-11}$	6.83	1.67	850.7
NGC 6284	$< 2.85 imes 10^{-13}$	15.29	0.67	0.0
NGC 6441	$1.00^{+0.09}_{-0.07} \times 10^{-11}$	11.6	2.30	210.9
NGC 6652	$4.84^{+0.51}_{-0.52} \times 10^{-12}$	10.0	0.70	128.3
NGC 7078/M15	$1.81^{+0.40}_{-0.39} \times 10^{-12}$	10.4	4.51	29.7
NGC 6440	$1.57^{+0.10}_{-0.11} \times 10^{-11}$	8.45	1.40	311.2
Terzan 6	$2.18^{+1.20}_{-0.90} \times 10^{-12}$	6.78	2.47	5.1
NGC 6388	$1.77^{+0.06}_{-0.09} \times 10^{-11}$	9.92	0.90	778.4
NGC $6626/M28$	$1.95^{+0.13}_{-0.13} \times 10^{-11}$	5.52	0.65	749.8
Terzan 5	$6.61^{+0.17}_{-0.13} \times 10^{-11}$	5.98	6.80	2707.1
NGC 6293	$9.39^{+5.69}_{-5.45} \times 10^{-13}$	9.48	0.85	3.98
NGC 6681	$9.91^{+4.14}_{-3.86} \times 10^{-13}$	9.01	1.04	7.2
NGC 2808	$3.77^{+0.48}_{-0.48} \times 10^{-11}$	9.59	0.92	96.7
NGC 6715	$6.02^{+4.15}_{-3.77} \times 10^{-13}$	26.49	2.52	2.6
NGC 7089	$< \overline{4.50 \times 10^{-13}}$	11.56	0.52	0.0

Table 1. The gamma-ray fluxes (integrated between 0.1 and 100 GeV), distances [67], and stellar encounter rates of the 22 globular clusters in the Milky Way with stellar encounter rates of $\Gamma_e > 0.5$, as calculated in Ref. [65] and in units such that the rate for NGC 104 is equal to unity. In calculating the gamma-ray fluxes and test statistic (TS), we have adopted a millisecond pulsar-like spectral shape, $dN_{\gamma}/dE_{\gamma} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-1.57} \exp(-E_{\gamma}/3.78 \,\text{GeV})$.

to our background model, including the treatment of point sources, we direct the reader to Ref. [38].³

The INTEGRAL telescope provides us with our most sensitive and complete catalog of LMXBs in the Inner Galaxy, and we make use of these observations in Sec. 3 to characterize the LMXB population in and around the Galactic Center. In order to facilitate a comparison between the LMXBs found within globular clusters and those found within the Inner Galaxy, we have compiled a list of those LMXBs in our sample of 22 globular clusters (those with $\Gamma_e > 0.5$) that would almost certainly have been detected by INTEGRAL if they had instead

 $^{^{3}}$ The gamma-ray fluxes from the globular clusters NGC 6624 and NGC 6626 differ significantly from their values as presented in Ref. [38]. These two clusters each contain a source that is listed in the 3FGL catalog, whose spectrum was allowed to float in the previous analysis. Here, the fluxes shown reflect the total flux from each cluster, including that from any 3FGL sources that they may contain.

LMXB	Notes	Globular Cluster	References
4U 1820-30	Р	NGC 6624	[69-71]
4U 0513-40	Р	NGC 1851	[72–74]
4U 1746-37	Р	NGC 6441	[69, 75, 76]
XB 1832-330	Р	NGC 6652	[75, 77, 78]
M15 X-2	Р	NGC 7078/M15	[79-81]
AC 211	Р	NGC 7078/M15	[69, 80, 82]
SAX J1748.9-2021	T, XP	NGC 6440	[75, 83, 84]
GRS 1747-312	Т	Terzan 6	[85 - 87]
Terzan 6 X-2	Т	Terzan 6	[88]
IGR J17361-4441	Т	NGC 6388	[89, 90]
IGR J18245-2542	T, XP	NGC $6626/M28$	[91, 92]
EXO 1745-248	Т	Terzan 5	[93, 94]
IGR J17480-2446	Т	Terzan 5	[95 - 97]
Terzan 5 X-3	Т	Terzan 5	[98]
MAXI J0911-635	Т	NGC 2808	[99]

Table 2. The list of LMXBs in globular clusters with stellar encounter rates $\Gamma_e > 0.5$ observed between 2003 and 2016 that would have been detected by INTEGRAL if they had been located in the Inner Galaxy. In particular, these sources each reached an X-ray luminosity of $\gtrsim 10^{36}$ erg/s for a duration of a week or more. The codes in the "Notes" column denote whether a given LMXB is a persistent source (P), transient source (T), and/or an X-ray pulsar (XP). For each LMXB, the references listed include the detection by INTEGRAL.

been located in the Inner Galaxy (see Table 2). More specifically, we consider a given LMXB to be detectable by INTEGRAL (if it had been located in the Inner Galaxy) if it has reached an X-ray luminosity exceeding 10^{36} erg/s for a duration of at least a week at some point over the period of INTEGRAL's mission. This is a conservative choice of threshold, as INTEGRAL has collected sufficient exposure from the region around the Galactic Center to detect significantly fainter sources if they have been active for a long time. To compile this list, we begin with the 18 (non-quiescent) sources listed in Table 5 of Ref. [98], which contains all such LMXBs found in globular clusters, published as of 2014. To make a fair comparison with the collection of sources detected by INTEGRAL, we remove NGC 6440 X-2 from this list, as its peak luminosity of $L_X \sim (2-3) \times 10^{36}$ erg/s was only reached over a timescale of a day, and it wasn't detected by INTEGRAL, or even clearly detected by the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE/PCA) bulge monitoring, which is more sensitive [100]. We also removed the source XB 1732-304 from our list, as it has been quiescent since 1999, and thus does not overlap with the period covered by INTEGRAL. The other 16 LMXBs listed in Ref. [98] each have peak X-ray luminosities and durations large enough to have been detected by INTEGRAL if they had been located in the Inner Galaxy. In fact, we note that many of these LMXBs were originally detected by INTEGRAL (as denoted by the IGR names in Table 2). We then add to this list two more recently discovered transient sources which reached a luminosity of $\sim 10^{36}$ erg/s in 2016 (in NGC 2808) and in 2009 (in Terzan 6), respectively [88, 101]. We have removed the sources X1850-087 (in NGC 6712), 4U 1722-30

(in Terzan 2) and MXB 1730-335 (in Liller 1) from our sample, as $\Gamma_e < 0.5$ for each of these clusters. There are 15 globular cluster LMXBs that meet our selection criteria, shown in Table 2.

As a consequence of source confusion, it is likely that observations have missed some transient X-ray binary outbursts from globular clusters which were masked by the presence of a bright persistent LMXB. For example, Galloway *et al.* [94] presented evidence from the patterns of thermonuclear bursts in NGC 6441 that more than one LMXB was active in the cluster at two separate times (both earlier than our considered date range). To estimate how many X-ray binary outbursts were likely missed, we calculated the number of transient LMXB outbursts seen in globular clusters with and without persistent LMXBs (within our considered timeframe). In globular clusters without persistent LMXBs, we found 9 transient systems. The total encounter rate of these clusters is 24.6 (in units where the encounter rate of NGC 104 is unity), corresponding to a rate of $0.37^{+0.16}_{-0.13}$ transients per unit encounter rate. We then applied this to the five clusters which host persistent sources, which sum to a total normalized encounter rate of 10.2 (we neglect errors associated with encounter rates, which do not substantially increase the final uncertainties). From this exercise, we estimate that $3.7^{+2.9}_{-2.7}$ transients are likely to be missing from the list shown in Table 2 (including Poisson errors).

3 LMXBs in the Inner Galaxy

To establish and constrain the population of LMXBs in the Inner Galaxy, we make use of the INTEGRAL General Reference Catalog [102], which was constructed by merging several catalogs available in the HEASARC database [103–111]. From this catalog, we consider all sources within a 10° radius around the Galactic Center that were detected by either (or both) ISGRI or JEM-X, and that are listed as either an LMXB or as an unclassified source. We remove those sources that have been categorized as a supergiant fast X-ray transient, high-mass X-ray binary, black hole candidate, symbiotic X-ray binary, or as spurious. After applying these criteria, we are left with a collection of 42 LMXBs, along with 46 unclassified sources.

Of course, not all LMXBs observed in the innermost 10° around the Galactic Center are members of a population associated with the Inner Galaxy. Some are instead part of a population associated with the Galactic Disk. In Fig. 1, we present the distribution of these sources as observed on the sky. In the left frame, we plot the distribution of those sources characterized as LMXBs (red circles) or as unclassified (blue crosses) within the innermost ten degrees around the Galactic Center. We further divide this region into four subregions of equal solid area, finding that 17 (18) of the sources classified as LMXBs (unclassified) are present in the north and south subregions, while 25 (28) are present in the west and east subregions. This asymmetry between the number of sources in the north+south and west+east subregions provides evidence for a disk population of sources, in addition to any bulge or Inner Galaxy population that may be present. We further explore the morphology of observed LMXBs in the right frame of this figure, where we plot the distribution of those sources characterized as an LMXB as a function of galactic longitude (latitude) for sources that reside within $|b| < 10^{\circ}$ ($|l| < 10^{\circ}$). This demonstrates that there are more sources distributed along the plane than either north or south of the Inner Galaxy, again supporting the conclusion that a significant fraction of the observed LMXBs are not part of a bulge or Inner Galaxy population.



Figure 1. Left: The distribution of X-ray sources characterized as an LMXB (red circles) or as an unclassified source (blue crosses) within the innermost ten degrees around the Galactic Center, as given in the INTEGRAL Reference Source Catalog. We do not include those sources categorized as a supergiant fast X-ray transient, high-mass X-ray binary, black hole candidate, symbiotic X-ray binary, or as spurious. Right: The distribution of sources characterized as an LMXB as a function of galactic longitude (latitude) for sources that reside within $|b| < 10^{\circ}$ ($|l| < 10^{\circ}$).

To quantify and constrain the fraction of LMXBs in the direction of the Inner Galaxy that are either part of a spherically distributed population or a population associated with the Galactic Disk, we construct a simple morphological model. We begin with a spherical population, with a number density of sources that is described as follows:

$$n(r) \propto r^{-\Gamma},\tag{3.1}$$

where r is the distance to the Galactic Center. In order for such a source population to generate a gamma-ray morphology that is consistent with the Galactic Center excess, we require $\Gamma \simeq 2.0$ to 2.6 (corresponding to a dark matter density profile with an inner slope of $\gamma \simeq 1.0$ to 1.3). The projected distribution of this source population on the sky is given by:

$$P_{\rm sph}(\psi) \propto \int_{los} n(r) dl,$$
 (3.2)

where ψ is the angle observed and the integral is performed over the line-of-sight (los). In addition to this spherical source population, we further postulate a population associated with the Galactic Disk, with a projected distribution described as follows:

$$P_{\rm disk}(l,b) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{|b|}{b_{\rm scale}}\right),$$
(3.3)

where we treat the scale height, b_{scale} , as a free parameter. Combining these two populations, we write:

$$P(l,b) = (1 - f_{\rm disk}) P_{\rm sph}(l,b) + f_{\rm disk} P_{\rm disk}(l,b), \qquad (3.4)$$

where $P_{\rm sph}$ and $P_{\rm disk}$ are each individually normalized to the number of sources observed within the innermost 10° around the Galactic Center (see the left frame of Fig. 1) and $f_{\rm disk}$ is the fraction of those sources that are part of the disk population.



Figure 2. Using the distribution of LMXBs shown in the left frame of Fig. 1, we have fit the parameters of a model consisting of spherical and disk populations (see Eqns 3.1-3.4). After marginalizing over the width of the disk distribution, b_{scale} , we plot twice the log-likelihood of the fit as a function of the fraction of the LMXBs that are part of the disk population. We show results for several values of the slope of the density profile of the spherical component, $n(r) \propto r^{-\Gamma}$. After marginalizing over both Γ and b_{scale} , we conclude that 33^{+15}_{-22} % of the Inner Galaxy LMXBs belong to a disk-like population.

For a range of values of b_{scale} , f_{disk} , and Γ , we have calculated the predicted angular distribution of LMXBs and compared this to the observed distribution (discretizing the data into $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ bins). We find a best-fit for $\Gamma = 2.5$, $b_{\text{scale}} = 2.4^{\circ}$, and $F_{\text{disk}} = 0.33$, with variations as shown in Fig. 2. After marginalizing over both Γ and b_{scale} , we find that the fit to the observed distribution yields the following fraction of sources associated with a disk population: $F_{\text{disk}} = 0.33^{+0.15}_{-0.22}$.

Note that in deriving this result, we have not relied in any way on the distribution of sources outside of the innermost 10° . That being said, the distribution of sources shown in the right frame of Fig. 1 also supports the conclusion that roughly a third of the LMXBs in the innermost 10° are members of a disk-like population.

In this calculation, we have neglected variations in INTEGRAL's exposure over the solid angle of the Inner Galaxy. Although INTEGRAL's sensitivity to LMXBs is approximately radially symmetric over this region, its exposure falls somewhat with angular distance from the Galactic Center. More specifically, INTEGRAL's exposure is approximately flat within the central 5°-6°, but then drops below 50% of the maximum at about 9° from the Galactic Center (see, for example, Fig. 1 of Ref. [112]). This could subtly affect the parameters of the inferred LMXB distribution. In particular, the true value of slope, Γ , is likely to be slightly smaller (flatter) than shown in Fig. 2. We also note that source confusion within the innermost ~0.5° around the Galactic Center could slightly impact the distribution of LMXBs that is favored by our fit.

To estimate the impact of the non-uniformity of INTEGRAL's exposure, we repeated out fit calculation adopting a model in which INTEGRAL's sensitivity drops by a factor of 50% between 5° and 10° from the Galactic Center, and adopting the LMXB luminosity function described in Ref. [80]. This had the net effect of increasing the fraction of LMXBs that are associated with the disk population from 33% to 49% in our best-fit model, while negligibly impacting the preferred value of Γ .

4 Constraints on MSPs in the Inner Galaxy

From the information presented in the previous two sections, we can calculate the gamma-ray flux that is predicted from MSPs in the inner region of the Milky Way. In particular, these quantities are related as follows:

$$L_{\gamma}^{\rm IG} = L_{\gamma}^{\rm clusters} \times \left(\frac{N_{\rm LMXB}^{\rm IG}}{N_{\rm LMXB}}\right),\tag{4.1}$$

where $L_{\gamma}^{\text{clusters}}$ is the gamma-ray luminosity from the stacked sample of 22 globular clusters listed in Table 1 and N_{LMXB} is the number of (INTEGRAL detectable) LMXBs present in that same collection of globular clusters. We compare this to $N_{\text{LMXB}}^{\text{IG}}$, which is the number of LMXBs detected by INTEGRAL in the Inner Galaxy. We remind the reader that this relationship is predicated on the assumption that the relative populations of LMXBs and MSPs are the same in globular clusters and in the Inner Galaxy. We revisit and discuss this assumption further in Sec. 5.

We start by making a very conservative (and unrealistic) assumption that none of the MSPs in our sample of globular clusters would be resolved by Fermi if they were instead located in the Inner Galaxy. In this case, $L_{\gamma}^{\text{clusters}}$ is set to the full value as determined in Sec. 2, $L_{\gamma}^{\text{clusters}} = 1.39_{-0.05}^{+0.04} \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s}$. For $N_{\text{LMXB}}^{\text{IG}}$, we adopt values between $42 \times (1 - F_{\text{disk}})$ and $88 \times (1 - F_{\text{disk}})$, depending on the fraction of unclassified INTEGRAL sources in the Inner Galaxy region that are in fact LMXBs. Lastly, we take $N_{\text{LMXB}} = 18.7_{-4.5}^{+4.7}$, corresponding to the list of sources given in Table 2 and including Poisson errors as well as our estimate for the number of undetected transients. Combining the errors on the fitted value of F_{disk} with the poissonian errors on the observed numbers of observed LMXBs, we arrive at the following determination:

$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{IG}} = (2.09^{+0.86}_{-0.71}) \times 10^{36} \,\text{erg/s}, \qquad \text{Only Sources Classified as LMXBs}$$
$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{IG}} = (4.38^{+1.79}_{-1.48}) \times 10^{36} \,\text{erg/s}, \qquad \text{Including All Unclassified Sources}$$
(4.2)

Comparing this result with the measured gamma-ray luminosity of gamma-ray excess, $L_{\gamma} = (2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{37}$ erg/s integrated within 10° of the Galactic Center [8, 113], we estimate that $10.5^{+4.7}_{-4.1}\%$ (only LMXBs) or $21.9^{+9.9}_{-8.6}\%$ (LMXBs and unclassified) of the excess emission can be potentially attributed to an underlying MSP population. As mentioned above, however, this calculation almost certainly overestimates the fraction of the Galactic Center excess that arises from MSPs.

As the emission from gamma-ray bright globular clusters is in many cases dominated by the brightest individual MSP in that system [38, 114–116] (measured pulsations, in fact, confirm that the gamma-ray emission from NGC 6624 and NGC 6626 are dominated by the individual pulsars PSR J1823-3021A [114, 115] and PSR B1821-24 [116], respectively), we expect that a significant fraction of the gamma-ray emission from these MSPs would be resolved as point sources, and thus would not contribute to the Galactic Center excess (sources in the Fermi Third Source Catalog are generally included in the background models of such analyses). The gamma-ray emission from several of the globular clusters considered in this study (listed in Table 1) is very bright, leading these sources to easily be resolved as individual sources by Fermi, even if they had been located in the Inner Galaxy. In fact, the globular clusters NGC 6441, NGC 6440 and Terzan 5 are located within the innermost 10° around the Galactic Center and are contained within the 3FGL source catalog. In addition, the globular clusters NGC 6266, NGC 6388 or NGC 2808 (each with $L_{\gamma} > 10^{35}$ erg/s) are well above Fermi's detection threshold and would be very likely to have been detected by Fermi and contained within the 3FGL if located in the Inner Galaxy. To quantify how much of the gamma-ray emission from such globular clusters is likely to originate from MSPs that are detectable as individual point sources by Fermi, we utilize a Monte Carlo to draw from the MSP luminosity function as determined in Ref. [38], finding that from among these six globular clusters (NGC 6441, NGC 6440, Terzan 5, NGC 6266, NGC 6388 and NGC 2808), $41.9^{+10.3}_{-12.9}\%$ of their gamma-ray emission comes from MSPs with individual luminosities greater than 5×10^{34} erg/s, which we take as Fermi's approximate point source threshold in this region (the approximate flux of the faintest Inner Galaxy sources contained in the 3FGL catalog). In light of this, we remove the resolved component from the stacked gamma-ray flux, which has the impact of decreasing the predicted gamma-ray flux from unresolved MSPs in the Inner Galaxy from that presented in Eq. 4.2 to the following, more realistic, estimate:

$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{IG}} = (1.50_{-0.54}^{+0.65}) \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s}, \quad \text{Only Sources Classified as LMXBs}$$
$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{IG}} = (3.15_{-1.12}^{+1.37}) \times 10^{36} \text{ erg/s}, \quad \text{Including All Unclassified Sources}, \quad (4.3)$$

which corresponds to $7.5^{+3.6}_{-3.1}$ % (only LMXBs) or $15.7^{+7.5}_{-6.4}$ % (LMXBs and unclassified) of the emission associated with the Galactic Center excess. We further conclude that no more than 28.3% (95% CL, conservatively including all unclassified sources) of the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess originates from a centrally located population of MSPs, assuming that the luminosity function of MSPs and their abundance relative to LMXBs is similar to that observed in globular clusters. Put another way, we predict that a gamma-ray flux from MSPs that is as large as the observed Galactic Center excess should be accompanied by approximately ~ 10^3 detectable LMXBs; far more than the 42 (88) LMXBs (LMXBs and LMXB candidates) that have actually been observed by INTEGRAL. We note that this result is consistent with that presented previously in Ref. [45], but is more robust and has much smaller error bars due to the larger number of sources included in this analysis.

5 Luminosity Function Evolution?

The hypothesis that the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess could be generated by a population of unresolved MSPs is in considerable tension with both the number of gamma-ray sources and the number of LMXBs that have been observed in the direction of the Inner Galaxy. This conclusion, however, relies on the assumption that the luminosity function of MSPs, and their abundance relative to LMXBs, is similar to that observed in globular clusters. In this section, we revisit this assumption and consider whether one might be able to imagine an MSP population that is capable of generating the observed gamma-ray excess without conflicting with these related observations.

The population of LMXBs found within globular clusters is expected to be in an approximately steady-state configuration, with new LMXBs being formed through stellar encounters at a rate similar to those transitioning into an MSP phase. We would also expect this to be the case for the LMXBs and MSPs in the Inner Galaxy if they are produced through the evolution of the bulge's stellar population. If the Inner Galaxy's MSPs and LMXBs originate in tidally disrupted globular clusters, however, there could be a significant departure from steady-state. In particular, once a given globular cluster has migrated through dynamical friction into the Inner Galaxy and is tidally disrupted [37, 47, 117–119], new LMXBs will largely cease to be formed, leading to the evolution of the combined LMXB/MSP population. Due to low stellar encounter rates, we do not expect significant numbers of new LMXBs to form in the Inner Galaxy.

After the formation of an LMXB progenitor (either as a primordial binary, or through a stellar encounter) such a system will remain intact for some time, often a Gyr, before making contact and beginning the process of mass transfer.⁴ Following this phase, a typical LMXB is thought to remain active for a period of time on the order of a Gyr. For example, Ref. [122] calculates that the X-ray emissivity of a given LMXB remains constant for about 1.5 Gyrs after formation, and then declines with an e-folding timescale of roughly 1.5 Gyrs (see also, Ref. [123]). From this, we expect the MSP-to-LMXB ratio associated with a given globular cluster to begin undergoing significant evolution only after a Gyr or more has passed since its tidal disruption. Thus, if the MSPs responsible for the gamma-ray excess were almost entirely deposited in the Inner Galaxy more than ~1-3 Gyr ago, this could potentially explain the lack of observed LMXBs.

Over the same period of time, however, the gamma-ray luminosity function of the MSP population will also evolve. The gamma-ray luminosity of an individual MSP is given by:

$$L_{\gamma} = \eta_{\gamma} \dot{E}$$

$$= \eta_{\gamma} \frac{4\pi^2 I \dot{P}}{P^3}$$

$$\simeq 9.6 \times 10^{33} \, \text{erg/s} \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{B}{10^{8.5} \,\text{G}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3 \,\text{ms}}{P}\right)^4,$$
(5.1)

where η_{γ} is the gamma-ray efficiency, \dot{E} is the spin-down rate, I is the neutron star's moment of inertia and P and \dot{P} are the rotational period of the pulsar and its time derivative. The decline in the pulsar's rotational frequency results from magnetic-dipole braking, and is related to its magnetic field and period as follows:

$$\dot{P} \simeq 3.3 \times 10^{-20} \left(\frac{B}{10^{8.5} \,\mathrm{G}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3 \,\mathrm{ms}}{P}\right),$$
(5.2)

where B is the strength of the magnetic field, and we have taken $I = 10^{45}$ g cm². We can use this information to write the time-dependent gamma-ray luminosity for a given MSP:

$$L_{\gamma}(t) = 9.6 \times 10^{33} \,\mathrm{erg/s} \,\times \left(\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{0.2}\right) \left(\frac{B}{10^{8.5} \mathrm{G}}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{B}{10^{8.5} \mathrm{G}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{t}{1.45 \,\mathrm{Gyr}}\right) + \left(\frac{P_0}{3 \,\mathrm{ms}}\right)^2\right]^{-2},\tag{5.3}$$

where P_0 is the initial period of the pulsar.

After the debris of a collection of tidally disrupted globular clusters has evolved for several Gyrs or more, many of the LMXBs may have declined in luminosity, allowing them

 $^{^{4}}$ It is possible that some MSPs are formed from intermediate-mass X-ray binaries [120, 121] or other non-LMXB progenitors. What is important to our analysis is that the fraction of MSPs that are formed from LMXBs is similar in the Inner Galaxy as is found in globular clusters.

to go undetected by INTEGRAL. Over a similar timescale, however, most of the MSPs with a gamma-ray luminosity of $\sim 10^{34}$ erg/s or more will also become significantly less bright. And while this may enable such MSPs to evade detection by Fermi, this evolution also has the effect of significantly decreasing the total gamma-ray flux from this population, thus requiring an even larger number of MSPs in order to generate the observed Galactic Center excess. For an initial MSP luminosity function similar to that measured in globular clusters, for example, the total gamma-ray luminosity of the MSP population is predicted to fall by an order of magnitude over a period of ~ 1.4 Gyr [38], requiring a much larger number of pulsars to generate the observed intensity of the gamma-ray excess. If we consider the model of Gnedin *et al.* [47] (and as employed in Ref. [37]) to calculate the rate of globular cluster infall and tidal disruption, and adopt the best-fit MSP luminosity function of Ref. [38], we indeed find that spin-down evolution reduces the total gamma-ray luminosity from the MSP population by a factor of between $\sim 5-15$, depending on the assumed lifetime of the LMXB phase. Even if we consider the maximum possible quantity of disrupted globular clusters (such that they are responsible for the entire mass of the Millky Way's central stellar cluster), the accompanying MSPs are unlikely to generate more than a few percent of the observed gamma-ray excess [38], consistent with the findings of this study.

6 Summary and Conclusions

In this paper, we have revisited the connection between millisecond pulsars (MSPs) and lowmass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) in an effort to estimate and constrain the gamma-ray emission from the population of unresolved MSPs in the inner volume of the Milky Way. Toward this end, we have utilized the populations of LMXBs observed in globular clusters and in the Inner Galaxy, as well as the gamma-ray emission measured from globular clusters. From this comparison, we estimate that only $7.5^{+3.6}_{-3.1}$ % of the gamma-ray emission associated with the Galactic Center excess originates from MSPs. If we more conservatively assume that all of the unclassified LMXB candidates in the Inner Galaxy are in fact LMXBs, then our estimate increases to $15.7^{+7.5}_{-6.4}$ %. The relatively small number of LMXBs detected in the Inner Galaxy disfavors the possibility that the gamma-ray excess observed by Fermi originates primarily from a population of unresolved MSPs.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Ilias Cholis for helpful discussions. D. Haggard acknowledges support from a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant and a Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et Technologies Nouveaux Chercheurs Grant. C. Heinke is supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant and a Discovery Accelerator Supplement Grant. D. Hooper is supported by the US Department of Energy under contract DE-FG02-13ER41958. Fermilab is operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC, under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the US Department of Energy. T. Linden acknowledges support from NSF Grant PHY-1404311 to John Beacom. We acknowledge the Ohio Supercomputer Center for providing support for this work.

References

 L. Goodenough and D. Hooper, Possible Evidence For Dark Matter Annihilation In The Inner Milky Way From The Fermi Gamma Ray Space Telescope, 0910.2998.

- [2] D. Hooper and L. Goodenough, Dark Matter Annihilation in The Galactic Center As Seen by the Fermi Gamma Ray Space Telescope, Phys.Lett. B697 (2011) 412–428, [1010.2752].
- [3] D. Hooper and T. Linden, On The Origin Of The Gamma Rays From The Galactic Center, Phys. Rev. D84 (2011) 123005, [1110.0006].
- [4] K. N. Abazajian and M. Kaplinghat, Detection of a Gamma-Ray Source in the Galactic Center Consistent with Extended Emission from Dark Matter Annihilation and Concentrated Astrophysical Emission, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 083511, [1207.6047].
- [5] C. Gordon and O. Macias, Dark Matter and Pulsar Model Constraints from Galactic Center Fermi-LAT Gamma Ray Observations, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 083521, [1306.5725].
- [6] D. Hooper and T. R. Slatyer, Two Emission Mechanisms in the Fermi Bubbles: A Possible Signal of Annihilating Dark Matter, Phys. Dark Univ. 2 (2013) 118–138, [1302.6589].
- [7] T. Daylan, D. P. Finkbeiner, D. Hooper, T. Linden, S. K. N. Portillo, N. L. Rodd et al., The characterization of the gamma-ray signal from the central Milky Way: A case for annihilating dark matter, Phys. Dark Univ. 12 (2016) 1–23, [1402.6703].
- [8] F. Calore, I. Cholis and C. Weniger, *Background model systematics for the Fermi GeV excess*, 1409.0042.
- [9] FERMI-LAT collaboration, M. Ajello et al., Fermi-LAT Observations of High-Energy γ -Ray Emission Toward the Galactic Center, Astrophys. J. 819 (2016) 44, [1511.02938].
- [10] C. Karwin, S. Murgia, T. M. P. Tait, T. A. Porter and P. Tanedo, Dark Matter Interpretation of the Fermi-LAT Observation Toward the Galactic Center, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 103005, [1612.05687].
- [11] M. Abdullah, A. DiFranzo, A. Rajaraman, T. M. P. Tait, P. Tanedo and A. M. Wijangco, Hidden on-shell mediators for the Galactic Center γ-ray excess, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 035004, [1404.6528].
- [12] S. Ipek, D. McKeen and A. E. Nelson, A Renormalizable Model for the Galactic Center Gamma Ray Excess from Dark Matter Annihilation, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 055021, [1404.3716].
- [13] E. Izaguirre, G. Krnjaic and B. Shuve, The Galactic Center Excess from the Bottom Up, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 055002, [1404.2018].
- [14] P. Agrawal, B. Batell, D. Hooper and T. Lin, Flavored Dark Matter and the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 063512, [1404.1373].
- [15] A. Berlin, D. Hooper and S. D. McDermott, Simplified Dark Matter Models for the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 115022, [1404.0022].
- [16] A. Alves, S. Profumo, F. S. Queiroz and W. Shepherd, Effective field theory approach to the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 115003, [1403.5027].
- [17] C. Boehm, M. J. Dolan, C. McCabe, M. Spannowsky and C. J. Wallace, Extended gamma-ray emission from Coy Dark Matter, JCAP 1405 (2014) 009, [1401.6458].
- [18] A. Martin, J. Shelton and J. Unwin, Fitting the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess with Cascade Annihilations, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 103513, [1405.0272].
- [19] J. Huang, T. Liu, L.-T. Wang and F. Yu, Supersymmetric subelectroweak scale dark matter, the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess, and exotic decays of the 125 GeV Higgs boson, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 115006, [1407.0038].
- [20] D. G. Cerdeo, M. Peir and S. Robles, Low-mass right-handed sneutrino dark matter: SuperCDMS and LUX constraints and the Galactic Centre gamma-ray excess, JCAP 1408 (2014) 005, [1404.2572].

- [21] N. Okada and O. Seto, Gamma ray emission in Fermi bubbles and Higgs portal dark matter, Phys. Rev. D89 (2014) 043525, [1310.5991].
- [22] K. Freese, A. Lopez, N. R. Shah and B. Shakya, MSSM A-funnel and the Galactic Center Excess: Prospects for the LHC and Direct Detection Experiments, 1509.05076.
- [23] N. Fonseca, L. Necib and J. Thaler, Dark Matter, Shared Asymmetries, and Galactic Gamma Ray Signals, 1507.08295.
- [24] G. Bertone, F. Calore, S. Caron, R. R. de Austri, J. S. Kim, R. Trotta et al., Global analysis of the pMSSM in light of the Fermi GeV excess: prospects for the LHC Run-II and astroparticle experiments, 1507.07008.
- [25] J. M. Cline, G. Dupuis, Z. Liu and W. Xue, Multimediator models for the galactic center gamma ray excess, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 115010, [1503.08213].
- [26] A. Berlin, S. Gori, T. Lin and L.-T. Wang, Pseudoscalar Portal Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 015005, [1502.06000].
- [27] A. Achterberg, S. Amoroso, S. Caron, L. Hendriks, R. Ruiz de Austri and C. Weniger, A description of the Galactic Center excess in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model, JCAP 1508 (2015) 006, [1502.05703].
- [28] D. G. Cerdeno, M. Peiro and S. Robles, Fits to the Fermi-LAT GeV excess with RH sneutrino dark matter: implications for direct and indirect dark matter searches and the LHC, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 123530, [1501.01296].
- [29] J. Liu, N. Weiner and W. Xue, Signals of a Light Dark Force in the Galactic Center, JHEP 08 (2015) 050, [1412.1485].
- [30] D. Hooper, Z' mediated dark matter models for the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 035025, [1411.4079].
- [31] G. Arcadi, Y. Mambrini and F. Richard, Z-portal dark matter, JCAP 1503 (2015) 018, [1411.2985].
- [32] M. Cahill-Rowley, J. Gainer, J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Towards a Supersymmetric Description of the Fermi Galactic Center Excess, JHEP 02 (2015) 057, [1409.1573].
- [33] P. Ko and Y. Tang, Galactic center γ -ray excess in hidden sector DM models with dark gauge symmetries: local Z₃ symmetry as an example, JCAP **1501** (2015) 023, [1407.5492].
- [34] S. D. McDermott, Lining up the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess, Phys. Dark Univ. 7-8 (2014) 12–15, [1406.6408].
- [35] Q. Yuan and B. Zhang, Millisecond pulsar interpretation of the Galactic center gamma-ray excess, 1404.2318.
- [36] J. Petrovic, P. D. Serpico and G. Zaharijas, Millisecond pulsars and the Galactic Center gamma-ray excess: the importance of luminosity function and secondary emission, JCAP 1502 (2015) 023, [1411.2980].
- [37] T. D. Brandt and B. Kocsis, Disrupted Globular Clusters Can Explain the Galactic Center Gamma Ray Excess, Astrophys. J. 812 (2015) 15, [1507.05616].
- [38] D. Hooper and T. Linden, The Gamma-Ray Pulsar Population of Globular Clusters: Implications for the GeV Excess, JCAP 1608 (2016) 018, [1606.09250].
- [39] R. M. O'Leary, M. D. Kistler, M. Kerr and J. Dexter, Young Pulsars and the Galactic Center GeV Gamma-ray Excess, 1504.02477.
- [40] R. M. O'Leary, M. D. Kistler, M. Kerr and J. Dexter, Young and Millisecond Pulsar GeV Gamma-ray Fluxes from the Galactic Center and Beyond, 1601.05797.
- [41] S. K. Lee, M. Lisanti, B. R. Safdi, T. R. Slatyer and W. Xue, Evidence for Unresolved

Gamma-Ray Point Sources in the Inner Galaxy, 1506.05124.

- [42] R. Bartels, S. Krishnamurthy and C. Weniger, Strong support for the millisecond pulsar origin of the Galactic center GeV excess, 1506.05104.
- [43] S. Horiuchi, M. Kaplinghat and A. Kwa, *Investigating the Uniformity of the Excess Gamma rays towards the Galactic Center Region*, 1604.01402.
- [44] D. Hooper and G. Mohlabeng, The Gamma-Ray Luminosity Function of Millisecond Pulsars and Implications for the GeV Excess, JCAP 1603 (2016) 049, [1512.04966].
- [45] I. Cholis, D. Hooper and T. Linden, Challenges in Explaining the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess with Millisecond Pulsars, 1407.5625.
- [46] J. M. Siegal-Gaskins, R. Reesman, V. Pavlidou, S. Profumo and T. P. Walker, Anisotropies in the gamma-ray sky from millisecond pulsars, 1011.5501.
- [47] O. Y. Gnedin, J. P. Ostriker and S. Tremaine, Co-Evolution of Galactic Nuclei and Globular Cluster Systems, Astrophys. J. 785 (2014) 71, [1308.0021].
- [48] THE FERMI-LAT COLLABORATION collaboration, A. Abdo et al., A population of gamma-ray emitting globular clusters seen with the Fermi Large Area Telescope, 1003.3588.
- [49] FERMI-LAT COLLABORATION collaboration, F. Acero et al., *Fermi Large Area Telescope Third Source Catalog*, 1501.02003.
- [50] I. Cholis, D. Hooper and T. Linden, A New Determination of the Spectra and Luminosity Function of Gamma-Ray Millisecond Pulsars, 1407.5583.
- [51] R. N. Manchester, A. G. Lyne, S. Johnston, N. D'Amico, J. Lim and D. A. Kniffen, A 5.75-millisecond pulsar in the globular cluster 47 Tucanae, Nature 345 (June, 1990) 598–600.
- [52] R. N. Manchester, A. G. Lyne, C. Robinson, M. Bailes and N. D'Amico, Discovery of ten millisecond pulsars in the globular cluster 47 Tucanae, Nature 352 (July, 1991) 219–221.
- [53] C. Robinson, A. G. Lyne, R. N. Manchester, M. Bailes, N. D'Amico and S. Johnston, Millisecond pulsars in the globular cluster 47 Tucanae, MNRAS 274 (May, 1995) 547–554.
- [54] F. Camilo, D. Lorimer, P. C. C. Freire, A. Lyne and R. Manchester, Observations of 20 millisecond pulsars in 47 Tucanae at 20 cm, Astrophys.J. 535 (2000) 975, [astro-ph/9911234].
- [55] S. Bogdanov, J. E. Grindlay, C. O. Heinke, F. Camilo, P. C. C. Freire et al., Chandra X-Ray Observations of Nineteen Millisecond Pulsars in the Globular Cluster 47 Tucanae, Astrophys.J. 646 (2006) 1104–1115, [astro-ph/0604318].
- [56] A. K. Harding, V. V. Usov and A. G. Muslimov, High-energy emission from millisecond pulsars, Astrophys. J. 622 (2005) 531-543, [astro-ph/0411805].
- [57] W. Bednarek and J. Sitarek, High Energy Gamma-rays from Globular Clusters, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 377 (2007) 920–930, [astro-ph/0701522].
- [58] C. Venter, O. C. de Jager and A. C. Clapson, Predictions of Gamma-ray Emission from Globular Cluster Millisecond Pulsars Above 100 MeV, Astrophys. J. 696 (2009) L52–L55, [0903.3031].
- [59] K. S. Cheng, D. O. Chernyshov, V. A. Dogiel, C. Y. Hui and A. K. H. Kong, The Origin of Gamma-Rays from Globular Clusters, Astrophys. J. 723 (2010) 1219–1230, [1009.2278].
- [60] H. M. Johnston, S. R. Kulkarni and E. S. Phinney, Statistics of pulsars in globular clusters., in X-Ray Binaries and the Formation of Binary and Millisecond Radio Pulsars, pp. 349–364, 1992.
- [61] F. Camilo and F. A. Rasio, Pulsars in Globular Clusters, in Binary Radio Pulsars (F. A. Rasio and I. H. Stairs, eds.), vol. 328 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series,

p. 147, July, 2005. astro-ph/0501226.

- [62] N. Ivanova, C. O. Heinke, F. A. Rasio, K. Belczynski and J. M. Fregeau, Formation and evolution of compact binaries in globular clusters - II. Binaries with neutron stars, MNRAS 386 (May, 2008) 553–576, [0706.4096].
- [63] C. Y. Hui, K. S. Cheng and R. E. Taam, Dynamical Formation of Millisecond Pulsars in Globular Clusters, ApJ 714 (May, 2010) 1149–1154, [1003.4332].
- [64] R. S. Lynch and S. M. Ransom, A New Pulsar in Green Bank Telescope Searches of 10 Globular Clusters, ApJ 730 (Mar., 2011) L11, [1101.0763].
- [65] A. Bahramian, C. O. Heinke, G. R. Sivakoff and J. C. Gladstone, Stellar Encounter Rate in Galactic Globular Clusters, Astrophys. J. 766 (2013) 136, [1302.2549].
- [66] P. J. Turk and D. R. Lorimer, An empirical Bayesian analysis applied to the globular cluster pulsar population, MNRAS 436 (Dec., 2013) 3720–3726, [1309.7317].
- [67] W. E. Harris, A Catalog of Parameters for Globular Clusters in the Milky Way (2010 Revision), AJ 112 (1996) 1487.
- [68] T. Linden, Known Radio Pulsars Do Not Contribute to the Galactic Center Gamma-Ray Excess, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 063003, [1509.02928].
- [69] R. Giacconi, S. Murray, H. Gursky, E. Kellogg, E. Schreier, T. Matilsky et al., The Third UHURU Catalog of X-Ray Sources, ApJS 27 (Feb., 1974) 37.
- [70] A. Tarana, A. Bazzano, P. Ubertini and A. A. Zdziarski, INTEGRAL Spectral Variability Study of the Atoll 4U 1820-30: First Detection of Hard X-Ray Emission, ApJ 654 (Jan., 2007) 494-498, [astro-ph/0608599].
- [71] L. Stella, W. Priedhorsky and N. E. White, The discovery of a 685 second orbital period from the X-ray source 4U 1820 - 30 in the globular cluster NGC 6624, ApJ 312 (Jan., 1987) L17–L21.
- [72] G. W. Clark, X-ray binaries in globular clusters, ApJ 199 (Aug., 1975) L143–L145.
- [73] M. Fiocchi, A. Bazzano, L. Natalucci, R. Landi and P. Ubertini, The 17-min orbital period in the ultracompact X-ray binary 4U 0513-40, MNRAS 414 (June, 2011) L41–L44, [1103.4233].
- [74] D. R. Zurek, C. Knigge, T. J. Maccarone, A. Dieball and K. S. Long, An Ultracompact X-Ray Binary in the Globular Cluster NGC 1851, ApJ 699 (July, 2009) 1113–1118, [0905.0145].
- [75] A. J. Bird, E. J. Barlow, L. Bassani, A. Bazzano, A. Bodaghee, F. Capitanio et al., The First IBIS/ISGRI Soft Gamma-Ray Galactic Plane Survey Catalog, ApJ 607 (May, 2004) L33–L37.
- [76] M. Balucinska-Church, M. J. Church and A. P. Smale, The Orbital period of the dipping, bursting, globular cluster x-ray source XB 1746-371 from Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer observations, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 347 (2004) 334, [astro-ph/0310119].
- [77] P. Hertz and K. S. Wood, The nature of the low-luminosity globular cluster X-ray sources, ApJ 290 (Mar., 1985) 171–184.
- [78] M. C. Engel, C. O. Heinke, G. R. Sivakoff, K. G. Elshamouty and P. D. Edmonds, A 2.15 Hour Orbital Period for the Low Mass X-Ray Binary XB 1832-330 in the Globular Cluster NGC 6652, Astrophys. J. 747 (2012) 119, [1201.4131].
- [79] N. E. White and L. Angelini, The Discovery of a Second Luminous Low-Mass X-Ray Binary in the Globular Cluster M15, ApJ 561 (Nov., 2001) L101–L105, [astro-ph/0109359].
- [80] R. Krivonos, M. Revnivtsev, A. Lutovinov, S. Sazonov, E. Churazov and R. Sunyaev, INTEGRAL/IBIS all-sky survey in hard X-rays, A&A 475 (Nov., 2007) 775–784, [astro-ph/0701836].
- [81] A. Dieball, C. Knigge, D. R. Zurek, M. M. Shara, K. S. Long, P. A. Charles et al., An

ultracompact x-ray binary in the globular cluster m15 (ngc 7078), Astrophys. J. 634 (2005) L105–L108, [astro-ph/0510430].

- [82] S. A. Ilovaisky, M. Auriere, L. Koch-Miramond, C. Chevalier, J.-P. Cordoni and R. A. Crowe, The 17.1-h optical and X-ray orbital period of AC 211/X 2127 + 119 in M15, A&A 270 (Mar., 1993) 139–150.
- [83] T. H. Markert, D. E. Backman, C. R. Canizares, G. W. Clark and A. M. Levine, Observations of X rays from near NGC6440, Nature 257 (Sept., 1975) 32.
- [84] D. Altamirano, P. Casella, A. Patruno, R. Wijnands and M. van der Klis, Intermittent millisecond X-ray pulsations from the neutron-star X-ray transient SAX J1748.9-2021 in the globular cluster NGC 6440, Astrophys. J. 674 (2008) L45, [0708.1316].
- [85] P. Predehl, H. Braeuninger, W. Burkert and J. H. M. M. Schmitt, ROSAT observations of the X-ray halo around GX339 - 4, A&A 246 (June, 1991) L40–L43.
- [86] J. Chenevez, S. E. Shaw, E. Kuulkers, S. Brandt, T. J.-L. Courvoisier, K. Ebisawa et al., Three active neutron star X-ray transients: SAX J1747.0-2853, XTE J1739-285 and GRS 1747-312, The Astronomer's Telegram 734 (Feb., 2006).
- [87] J. J. M. in 't Zand, F. Hulleman, C. B. Markwardt, M. Mendez, E. Kuulkers, R. Cornelisse et al., Bursts, eclipses, dips and a refined position for the luminous low - mass x-ray binary in the globular cluster Terzan 6, Astron. Astrophys. 406 (2003) 233-244, [astro-ph/0302417].
- [88] S. Saji, H. Mori, H. Matsumoto, T. Dotani, M. Iwai, Y. Maeda et al., Peculiar lapse of periodic eclipsing event at low-mass X-ray binary GRS 1747-312 during Suzaku observation in 2009, PASJ 68 (June, 2016) S15, [1603.00202].
- [89] L. Gibaud, A. Bazzano, E. Bozzo, M. Cadolle-Bel, F. Capitanio, M. Del Santo et al., A new hard X-ray transient discovered by INTEGRAL: IGR J17361-4441, The Astronomer's Telegram 3565 (Aug., 2011).
- [90] E. Bozzo, C. Ferrigno, J. Stevens, T. M. Belloni, J. Rodriguez, P. R. d. Hartog et al., IGRJ17361-4441: a possible new accreting X-ray binary in NGC6388, Astron. Astrophys. 535 (2011) L1, [1109.5719].
- [91] A. Papitto, C. Ferrigno, E. Bozzo, N. Rea, L. Pavan, L. Burderi et al., Swings between rotation and accretion power in a binary millisecond pulsar, Nature 501 (Sept., 2013) 517–520, [1305.3884].
- [92] A. Papitto et al., The pulse profile and spin evolution of the accreting pulsar in Terzan 5, IGR J17480-2446, during its 2010 outburst, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 423 (2012) 1178, [1203.4096].
- [93] K. Makishima, T. Ohashi, H. Inoue, K. Koyama, M. Matsuoka, T. Murakami et al., Discovery of two new X-ray burst sources in the globular clusters Terzan 1 and Terzan 5, ApJ 247 (July, 1981) L23–L25.
- [94] D. K. Galloway, M. P. Muno, J. M. Hartman, P. Savov, D. Psaltis and D. Chakrabarty, Thermonuclear (Type-I) X-ray bursts observed by the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer, Astrophys. J. Suppl. 179 (2008) 360, [astro-ph/0608259].
- [95] P. Bordas, E. Kuulkers, J. Alfonso-Garzón, V. Beckmann, T. Bird, S. B. J. Chenevez et al., A hard X-ray transient in the direction of Terzan 5 detected by INTEGRAL, The Astronomer's Telegram 2919 (Oct., 2010).
- [96] T. E. Strohmayer, C. B. Markwardt, D. Pereira and E. A. Smith, A Refined Orbital Solution and the Transient Pulsar in Terzan 5 is Not Eclipsing, The Astronomer's Telegram 2946 (Oct., 2010).
- [97] A. Papitto, A. D'Ai', S. Motta, A. Riggio, L. Burderi, T. Di Salvo et al., The spin and orbit of

the newly discovered pulsar IGR J17480-2446, Astron. Astrophys. **526** (2011) L3, [1010.4793].

- [98] A. Bahramian, C. O. Heinke, G. R. Sivakoff, D. Altamirano, R. Wijnands, J. Homan et al., Discovery of the Third Transient X-Ray Binary in the Galactic Globular Cluster Terzan 5, ApJ 780 (Jan., 2014) 127, [1311.4449].
- [99] A. Sanna, A. Papitto, L. Burderi, E. Bozzo, A. Riggio, T. Di Salvo et al., Discovery of a new accreting millisecond X-ray pulsar in the globular cluster NGC 2808, ArXiv e-prints (Nov., 2016), [1611.02995].
- [100] C. O. Heinke, D. Altamirano, H. N. Cohn, P. M. Lugger, S. A. Budac, M. Servillat et al., Discovery of a Second Transient Low-Mass X-ray Binary in the Globular Cluster Ngc 6440, ApJ 714 (May, 2010) 894–903, [0911.0444].
- [101] A. Sanna, A. Papitto, L. Burderi, E. Bozzo, A. Riggio, T. Di Salvo et al., Discovery of a new accreting millisecond X-ray pulsar in the globular cluster NGC 2808, 1611.02995.
- [102] INTEGRAL General Reference Catalog, http://www.isdc.unige.ch/integral/catalog/39/catalog.html, .
- [103] Q. Z. Liu, J. van Paradijs and E. P. J. van den Heuvel, A catalogue of high-mass X-ray binaries, A&AS 147 (Nov., 2000) 25–49.
- [104] Q. Z. Liu, J. van Paradijs and E. P. J. van den Heuvel, A catalogue of low-mass X-ray binaries, A&A 368 (Mar., 2001) 1021–1054.
- [105] D. J. Macomb and N. Gehrels, A General Gamma-Ray Source Catalog, ApJS 120 (Feb., 1999) 335–397.
- [106] W. Forman, C. Jones, L. Cominsky, P. Julien, S. Murray, G. Peters et al., The fourth Uhuru catalog of X-ray sources., ApJS 38 (Dec., 1978) 357–412.
- [107] A. M. Levine, F. L. Lang, W. H. G. Lewin, F. A. Primini, C. A. Dobson, J. P. Doty et al., The HEAO 1 A-4 catalog of high-energy X-ray sources, ApJS 54 (Apr., 1984) 581–617.
- [108] A. Malizia, L. Bassani, S. N. Zhang, A. J. Dean, W. S. Paciesas and G. G. C. Palumbo, BATSE Observations of the Piccinotti Sample of Active Galactic Nuclei, ApJ 519 (July, 1999) 637-641, [astro-ph/9902054].
- [109] T. J. Turner, K. Nandra, D. Turcan and I. M. George, Tartarus-An ASCA AGN database, X-ray Astronomy: Stellar Endpoints, AGN, and the Diffuse X-ray Background 599 (Dec., 2001) 991–994.
- [110] M. Sugizaki, K. Mitsuda, H. Kaneda, K. Matsuzaki, S. Yamauchi and K. Koyama, Faint X-Ray Sources Resolved in the ASCA Galactic Plane Survey and Their Contribution to the Galactic Ridge X-Ray Emission, ApJS 134 (May, 2001) 77–102, [astro-ph/0101093].
- [111] M. Sakano, K. Koyama, H. Murakami, Y. Maeda and S. Yamauchi, ASCA X-Ray Source Catalog in the Galactic Center Region, ApJS 138 (Jan., 2002) 19–34, [astro-ph/0108376].
- [112] A. J. Bird, A. Bazzano, A. Malizia, M. Fiocchi, V. Sguera, L. Bassani et al., The IBIS soft gamma-ray sky after 1000 INTEGRAL orbits, Astrophys. J. Suppl. 223 (2016) 15, [1601.06074].
- [113] Ilias Cholis, private communication, .
- [114] P. C. C. Freire, A. A. Abdo, M. Ajello, A. Allafort, J. Ballet, G. Barbiellini et al., Fermi Detection of a Luminous γ-Ray Pulsar in a Globular Cluster, Science 334 (Nov., 2011) 1107-, [1111.3754].
- [115] FERMI-LAT collaboration, A. Abdo et al., The Second Fermi Large Area Telescope Catalog of Gamma-ray Pulsars, Astrophys.J.Suppl. 208 (2013) 17, [1305.4385].
- [116] T. Johnson, L. Guillemot, M. Kerr, I. Cognard, P. Ray et al., Broadband Pulsations from PSR

B182124: Implications for Emission Models and the Pulsar Population of M28, Astrophys.J. 778 (2013) 106, [1310.1852].

- [117] F. Antonini, R. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, A. Mastrobuono-Battisti and D. Merritt, Dissipationless Formation and Evolution of the Milky Way Nuclear Star Cluster, ApJ 750 (May, 2012) 111, [1110.5937].
- [118] F. Antonini, Origin and Growth of Nuclear Star Clusters around Massive Black Holes, ApJ 763 (Jan., 2013) 62, [1207.6589].
- [119] W. Bednarek and T. Sobczak, Gamma-rays from millisecond pulsar population within the central stellar cluster in the Galactic Center, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 435 (2013) L14, [1306.4760].
- [120] F. A. Rasio, E. D. Pfahl and S. Rappaport, Formation of Short-Period Binary Pulsars in Globular Clusters, ApJ 532 (Mar., 2000) L47–L50, [astro-ph/9911134].
- [121] P. Podsiadlowski, S. Rappaport and E. D. Pfahl, Evolutionary Sequences for Low- and Intermediate-Mass X-Ray Binaries, ApJ 565 (Feb., 2002) 1107–1133, [astro-ph/0107261].
- [122] T. Fragos, B. Lehmer, M. Tremmel, P. Tzanavaris, A. Basu-Zych, K. Belczynski et al., X-Ray Binary Evolution Across Cosmic Time, ApJ 764 (Feb., 2013) 41, [1206.2395].
- [123] P. J. Humphrey and D. A. Buote, Low-Mass X-ray Binaries and Globular Clusters in Early-Type Galaxies. I. Chandra Observations, Astrophys. J. 689 (2008) 983-1004, [astro-ph/0612058].