

Generalized Fourier transform method for solving nonlinear anomalous diffusion equations

Jie Yao,^{1,*} Cameron L. Williams,² Fazle Hussain,¹ and Donald J. Kouri^{2,3,†}

¹*Texas Tech University, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Lubbock, Texas, USA, 79409*

²*University of Houston, Department of Mathematics, Houston, Texas, USA, 77204*

³*University of Houston, Departments of Mechanical Engineering and Physics, Houston, Texas, USA, 77204*

(Dated: March 10, 2022)

Abstract

The solution of a nonlinear diffusion equation is numerically investigated using the generalized Fourier transform method. This equation includes fractal dimensions and power-law dependence on the radial variable and on the diffusion function. The generalized Fourier transform approach is the extension of the Fourier transform method used for the normal diffusion equation. The feasibility of the approach is validated by comparing the numerical result with the exact solution for a point-source. The merit of the numerical method is that it provides a way to calculate anomalous diffusion with an arbitrary initial condition.

* Previously at University of Houston, Department of Mechanical Engineering; Email: jie.yao@ttu.edu

† Email: kouri@central.uh.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, anomalous diffusion has been extensively studied in a variety of physical applications, such as turbulent diffusion [1], surface growth [2], transport of fluid in porous media [2], hydraulics problems [3], etc. The diffusion is usually characterized by the time dependence of mean-square displacement (MSD) viz., $\langle r^2 \rangle \propto t^\sigma$. The MSD grows linearly with time ($\sigma = 1$) for the normal diffusion case. The process is called sub-diffusion for $0 < \sigma < 1$ and super-diffusion for $\sigma > 1$. The standard normal diffusion described by the Gaussian distribution can be obtained from the usual Fokker-Planck equation with a constant diffusion coefficient and zero drift [4]. Extensions of the conventional Fokker-Planck equation have been used to study anomalous diffusion. For example, anomalous diffusion can be obtained by the usual Fokker-Planck equation, but with a variable diffusion coefficient [5, 6]. It can also be achieved by incorporating nonlinear terms in the diffusion term, or external forces [7–10]. In some approaches, fractional equations have been employed to analyze anomalous diffusion and related phenomena [11–14].

In this paper, we study the generalized nonlinear diffusion equation including a fractal dimension d and a diffusion coefficient which depends on the radial variable and the diffusion function ρ [15–17]

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = K_0 \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{d-1-\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rho^\nu \right), \quad (1)$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\rho(r, t_0) = \rho_0(r), \quad (2)$$

$$\rho(\infty, t) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where r is the radial coordinate, and θ and ν are real parameters. When the diffusion coefficient is a function of r only, it is a generalization of the diffusion equation for fractal geometry [18]. It is the traditional nonlinear diffusion equation when the diffusion coefficient depends on ρ only [19, 20]. Analytical solutions of eq. (1) with a point source have been reported in [15, 16], where an ansatz for ρ is proposed as a general stretched Gaussian function. In [17], the same analytic solutions were also obtained by using Lie group symmetry analysis.

Motivated by the research on generalized nonlinear diffusion, we propose here a numerical method for solving eq. (1) using a generalized Fourier transform. The generalized Fourier

transform (also called the Φ_n transform) is a new family of integral transforms developed by Willams et. al. [21, 22]. These transforms share all the properties of the Fourier transform; hence can be employed to perform more general frequency and time-frequency analysis [23, 24].

In section II, a brief introduction of the generalized Fourier transform is provided. The procedure of using the generalized Fourier transform for solving the generalized nonlinear diffusion equation is discussed in III A and III B. The method is validated by comparison between analytical and numerical results. Then, some numerical results for a non-Delta function initial condition are given in III C. Conclusions are drawn in IV.

II. GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORM

The generalized Fourier transform Φ_n is defined as

$$\Phi_n f(k) = \int \varphi_n(kx) f(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

where the integral kernel $\varphi_n(\omega x) = c_n(kx) + i s_n(kx)$ is,

$$c_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} |\eta|^{n-1/2} J_{-1+\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{|\eta|^n}{n} \right), \quad (5)$$

and

$$s_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^{n-1/2} J_{1-\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{|\eta|^n}{n} \right), \quad (6)$$

where $J_\nu(\eta)$ is the cylindrical Bessel function, and n is the transform order, i.e., $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is the special case with $n = 1$. The Φ_n transform shares many properties of the Fourier transform. Here we focus on two properties which will be used later. It is well-known that the Fourier transform preserves the functional form of a Gaussian; particularly, $\mathcal{F}[g_1] = g_1$ if $g_1(x) = \exp(-x^2/2)$. For the generalized Fourier transform, we have $\Phi_n g_n = g_n$, if $g_n(x) = e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}$.

In addition, the generalized Fourier transform also has the following derivative property:

$$\Phi_n \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} x^{2-2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f \right] = k^{-2n} \Phi_n f. \quad (7)$$

In [25], the Φ_n transform is developed for integer order case. However, it can be easily extended to the non-integer case; see [25] for additional discussion of the properties of the Φ_n transform.

III. SOLVING THE GENERALIZED DIFFUSION EQUATION WITH GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORM

It is well known that the Fourier transform can be used to find the solution for the standard diffusion equation [26]. Motivated by this idea, here we explore employing the generalized Fourier transform for solving the generalized nonlinear diffusion equation.

A. The O'Shaughnessy-Procaccia anomalous diffusion equation on fractals

Let us first consider the generalization of the diffusion equation for fractal geometry, where the diffusion coefficient is a function of r only (i.e., $\nu = 1$) [18]. Eq. (1) can be reduced to

$$\frac{\partial \rho(r, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{K_0}{r^{d-1}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^{d-1-\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rho(r, t) \right). \quad (8)$$

In order to perform the Φ_n transform, we apply the following scaling relationship

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\cdot) = dr^{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^d}(\cdot), \quad (9)$$

to eq. (8); and with some simplification, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\tilde{r}, t)}{\partial t} = \tilde{K}_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \tilde{r}^{2-\lambda/d} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \rho(\tilde{r}, t), \quad (10)$$

where $\tilde{K}_0 = K_0 d^2$, $\tilde{r} = r^d$, and $\lambda = 2 + \theta$.

By applying the Φ_n transform to both sides and employing the derivative identity (eq. (7)), we obtain the diffusion equation in the wavenumber domain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} = -\tilde{K}_0 k^{\lambda/d} \tilde{\rho}, \quad (11)$$

with $\tilde{\rho} = \Phi_n \rho$.

Eq. (11) can be exactly solved as

$$\tilde{\rho}(k, t) = e^{-\tilde{K}_0 k^{\lambda/d} t} \tilde{\rho}_0. \quad (12)$$

The solution to eq. (8) is then obtained by applying the inverse Φ_n transform to $\tilde{\rho}(k, t)$.

We validate the Φ_n transform method by comparing the numerical results with the analytical solution or a point source at the origin (i.e., $\rho(r, t_0) = \delta(r)$), which is given as




FIG. 1: Comparison between exact (line) and numerical (symbols) solutions with $K_0 = 1$, $D = 1$ and $\theta = 2.5$.

[18]

$$\rho_a(r, t) = \frac{\lambda}{d\Gamma(d/\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{K_0 \lambda^2 t} \right)^{d/\lambda} \exp \left(- \frac{r^\lambda}{K_0 \lambda^2 t} \right). \quad (13)$$

Fig. (1) shows the analytical and the numerical solution for $K_0 = 1$, $D = 1$, and $\theta = 2.5$ at different times. According to the classification discussed in [15], this example is a subdiffusion case with $\theta > D(1 - \nu)$. From fig. (1), it can be seen that the numerical solution is in good agreement with the analytical solution. In addition, we observe the short tail behaviours of the solution $\rho(r, t)$.

B. Generalized nonlinear equation

Now we consider the generalized nonlinear diffusion equation with $\nu \neq 1$. For the point source (or Dirac delta initial condition), Eq. (1) was analytically solved using a generalized stretched Gaussian function approach in [15]:

$$\rho(r, t) = \begin{cases} [1 - (1 - q)\beta(t)r^\lambda]^{1/(1-q)} / Z(t), & \text{if } 1 - (1 - q)\beta(t)r^\lambda \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $q = 2 - \nu$, and $\beta(t)$ and $Z(t)$ are functions given in eq. (12) in [15]. The same solution is derived in [16] using Lie group symmetry method.

In order to solve the generalized nonlinear diffusion equation numerically, we follow the procedure in III A, transforming the spatial domain equation to the wavenumber domain using the Φ_n transform. Instead of Eq. (11), the wavenumber domain diffusion equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} = -\tilde{K}_0 k^{\lambda/d} \tilde{\rho}^\nu, \quad (14)$$

with $\tilde{\rho}^\nu = \Phi_n(\rho^\nu)$.

Due to the presence of nonlinearity term in the right hand side of Eq. 14 (i.e., ρ^ν), an analytical solution in the form of Eq. 12 is difficult to be obtained. However, Eq. 14 can be numerically solved by employing certain types of time-stepping discretization methods for the time derivative. Here, the simple forward Euler finite difference scheme is employed for time discretization with $\Delta t = 0.01$ s. Equally spaced mesh with $N_r = 1001$ is used for the domain size $\tilde{r} = [0, 30]$. The comparisons between the exact [15, 16] and numerical solution for the point source (dirac Delta function $\delta(r)$) initial condition in scaled (\tilde{r}) and original (r) coordinates are shown in figs. (2a) and (2b), respectively. The parameters used here are $K_0 = 1$, $D = 3$, $\theta = 2.5$, and $\nu = 0.8$. Note that to avoid performing a Φ_n transform for the fractional order of Dirac Delta function (as the definition of δ^ν is also an ongoing research topic [27, 28]), we use $\rho_a(r, t_0 = 0.1)$ as the initial condition for our numerical simulation. Again, good agreement between the numerical and analytical solutions can be observed.


C. Generalized diffusion for arbitrary initial condition

The merit of the numerical approach using the generalized Fourier transform is that it provides a way for solving the generalized diffusion equation with arbitrary initial condition. In fig. (3), we present the numerical solution of the generalized diffusion equation for $K_0 = 1$, $D = 1$ and $\theta = 2.5$ with the Gaussian initial condition


$$\rho_0(r, t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_0}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4K_0 t_0}\right), \quad (15)$$

where $t_0 = 0.1$.

As we can see, the diffusion process finally approaches the same generalized Gaussian shape as in the point source case (fig. (1)). In [29], it was analytically shown that the



(a)



(b)

FIG. 2: Comparison between exact (line) and numerical (symbols) solutions in (a) scaled coordinate and (b) original coordinate with $K_0 = 1$, $D = 3$, $\theta = 2.5$ and $\nu = 0.8$.




FIG. 3: Numerical solution with $K_0 = 1$, $D = 1$ and $\theta = 2.5$ for the initial condition eq. (15). The solution for normal diffusion with the same initial condition (\square symbol) is also included for comparison.

normal diffusion equation, when initialized with a generalized Gaussian distribution will asymptotically approach its final solution, i.e., a Gaussian distribution. Here, we present a numerical example of what amounts to the “generalized central limit” behaviour in which the diffusion process will finally transform the arbitrary initial distribution to the corresponding generalized Gaussian distribution [30, 31]. A rigorous proof of the existence of the attractor of the generalized Gaussian diffusion has been done [32]. However, as mentioned in [31], the diffusion procedure, initialized with different distribution, may take very long time to reach its asymptotic behaviour. In addition, by comparing with the solution for normal diffusion with the same initial condition, the sub-diffusion process clearly exhibits the short tail behaviour.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a numerical method for solving the generalized nonlinear diffusion equation has been presented and validated. The method is based on the generalized Fourier transform Φ_n and has been validated by comparing the numerical solution with analytical solution for the point source. The presented method may serve as a useful tool to study a variety of systems involving the anomalous diffusion. Currently, no fast transform algorithm has yet been developed for the Φ_n transform. This issue will be investigated in a future study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Discussions with Bernhard G. Bodmann are appreciated. Partial support for this work was provided by resources of the uHPC cluster managed by the University of Houston under NFS Award Number 1531814.

-
- [1] V. Gavrilov, N. Klepikova, and H. Rodean, *Atmospheric Environment* **29**, 2317 (1995).
 - [2] H. Spohn, *Journal de Physique I* **3**, 69 (1993).
 - [3] E. Daly and A. Porporato, *Physical Review E* **70**, 056303 (2004).
 - [4] H. Risken, in *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer, 1984) pp. 63–95.
 - [5] K. S. Fa, *Physical Review E* **72**, 020101 (2005).

- [6] K. S. Fa, Physical Review E **84**, 012102 (2011).
- [7] M. Bologna, C. Tsallis, and P. Grigolini, Physical Review E **62**, 2213 (2000).
- [8] P. Assis Jr, P. da Silva, L. da Silva, E. Lenzi, and M. Lenzi, Journal of mathematical physics **47**, 3302 (2006).
- [9] E. Lenzi, M. Lenzi, T. Gimenez, and L. da Silva, Journal of Engineering Mathematics **67**, 233 (2010).
- [10] R. Zola, M. Lenzi, L. Evangelista, E. Lenzi, L. Lucena, and L. da Silva, Physics Letters A **372**, 2359 (2008).
- [11] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, Physics reports **339**, 1 (2000).
- [12] I. M. Sokolov, J. Klafter, and A. Blumen, Physics Today **55**, 48 (2002).
- [13] C. Tsallis and E. Lenzi, Chemical Physics **284**, 341 (2002).
- [14] F. Liu, V. Anh, and I. Turner, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **166**, 209 (2004).
- [15] L. Malacarne, R. Mendes, I. Pedron, and E. Lenzi, Physical Review E **63**, 030101 (2001).
- [16] I. T. Pedron, R. Mendes, L. C. Malacarne, and E. K. Lenzi, Physical Review E **65**, 041108 (2002).
- [17] B. Abraham-Shrauner, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **38**, 2547 (2005).
- [18] B. O'Shaughnessy and I. Procaccia, Physical review letters **54**, 455 (1985).
- [19] J. Crank, *The mathematics of diffusion* (Oxford university press, 1979).
- [20] G. Bluman and S. Kumei, Journal of Mathematical Physics **21**, 1019 (1980).
- [21] C. L. Williams, B. G. Bodmann, and D. J. Kouri, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications **23**, 660 (2017).
- [22] C. L. Williams, *From Generalized Fourier Transforms to Coupled Supersymmetry*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Houston (2017).
- [23] D. Kouri, N. Pandya, C. L. Williams, B. G. Bodmann, and J. Yao, Applied Mathematics **9**, 178 (2018).
- [24] D. J. Kouri, M. M. Zhang, and D. S. Zhang, in *2017 11th International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication Systems (ICSPCS)* (2017) pp. 1–5.
- [25] C. L. Williams, B. G. Bodmann, and D. J. Kouri, arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.4168 (2014).

- [26] R. Haberman, *Elementary applied partial differential equations*, Vol. 987 (Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1983).
- [27] C. Li, J. Fract. Calc. Appl **7**, 12 (2016).
- [28] F. Jarad, Y. Adjabi, D. Baleanu, and T. Abdeljawad, Advances in Difference Equations **2018**, 407 (2018).
- [29] C. Anteneodo, J. Dias, and R. Mendes, Physical Review E **73**, 051105 (2006).
- [30] G. Toscani, Journal of Evolution Equations **5**, 185 (2005).
- [31] V. Schwämmle, F. D. Nobre, and C. Tsallis, The European Physical Journal B **66**, 537 (2008).
- [32] D. J. Kouri, N. N. Pandya, C. L. Williams, B. G. Bodmann, and J. Yao, arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.00074 (2017).