

# Effect of pre-equilibrium phase on $R_{AA}$ and $v_2$ of heavy quarks in heavy ion collisions

Santosh K. Das <sup>1</sup>, Marco Ruggieri <sup>2</sup>, Francesco Scardina<sup>1,3</sup>,  
Salvatore Plumari<sup>1,3</sup> and Vincenzo Greco <sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Catania, Via S. Sofia 64, I-95125 Catania, Italy

<sup>2</sup> College of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yuquanlu 19A, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>3</sup> Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, INFN-LNS, Via S. Sofia 62, I-95123 Catania, Italy

**Abstract.** Heavy quark  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  have been calculated at RHIC energy considering initial conditions with and without pre-equilibrium phase to highlight the effect of the latter on heavy quark observables. The momentum evolution of the heavy quark has been studied by means of the Boltzmann transport equation. To model the pre-equilibrium phase we have used the KLN initial condition. We have found that the pre-equilibrium phase impacts the  $R_{AA}$  of about 20-25 % whereas the impact on  $v_2$  is very negligible. We have also calculated heavy quark  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  in the case of initializations with early thermalized quark-gluon plasma. We have checked that the particular form of the initial spectrum is not very important for  $R_{AA}$ , the larger energy density being more important. In fact, comparing the results obtained within the two initializations we have found that one can mimic the impact of the pre-equilibrium phase using a early thermalized QGP medium.

**Keywords:** Quark gluon plasma; Heavy quark transport; Pre-equilibrium phase; Nuclear suppression factor; Elliptic flow

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 24.85.+p, 05.20.Dd, 12.38.Mh

## 1. Introduction

Experimental heavy-ion collision (HIC) programs at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and Large Hadron Collider (LHC) indicate the production of a hot and dense medium whose main degrees of freedom are quarks and gluons, namely the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). The open heavy flavor mesons [1, 2] (mesons which contain one heavy quark, namely  $c$  and  $b$ ) have been identified as one among the few probes which may allow for a genuine study of the QGP properties. First of all they are created initially in the hard processes which are accessible to perturbative QCD (pQCD) calculations and therefore their initial distribution is theoretically known and verified by experiments. The momentum spectra of the heavy quarks (HQ) are too hard to come to equilibrium with the QGP during the evolution. Therefore the spectra of high momentum HQ carry information of their interaction with the plasma particles during the evolution and therefore on the plasma properties. The suppression of heavy quark momentum distributions quantified by  $(R_{AA}(p_T))$  [3, 4] at large momentum in the QGP and their elliptic flow ( $v_2$ ) [4] have been used as effective tools to characterize the system formed in HICs at RHIC and LHC.

The study of heavy flavor dynamics at RHIC and LHC in heavy ion collisions requires to consider the propagation of the former through every stage of the lifetime of the fireball produced by the collision, i.e. the pre-equilibrium [5, 6], QGP and hadronic phases [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] of which the most important is the evolution in the QGP phase. The momentum evolution of heavy flavors before the formation of QGP i.e. in the pre-equilibrium stage are currently approximated by free streaming. However this might be a too crude approximation because, as mentioned before, heavy quarks are produced in the very early stage due to their large masses ( $\tau_c \sim 1/2 M_c \simeq 0.1 \text{ fm}/c$ ), therefore it might be important to consider the interaction of these quarks with the evolving medium.

Several attempts have been made to study the  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  of heavy quarks within the framework of transport approach (either Boltzmann or Fokker-Planck) [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32] and models based on energy loss [33, 34, 35, 36], however ignoring the role of the pre-equilibrium stage. As shown in Ref. [55], the  $R_{AA}$  mostly develops from the very initial stage up to 3-4 fm/c where the energy density is very high, hence, the collisions take place at higher rate, while the  $v_2$  can be transferred to the heavy quarks only at later stages when the fireball has reached temperatures ( $T$ ) close to the critical temperature. The lifetime of the pre-equilibration phase is quite small, but it is the phase where the energy density is very high, hence the collisions take place at higher rate. More significantly, in a recent study [6], the magnitude of heavy quark drag and diffusion coefficients in the pre-equilibrium phase has been found to be quite significant and comparable to the values obtained with a thermalized gluonic system. This indicates the pre-equilibrium phase may impact the heavy quark observables i.e.  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$ . The effect of the pre-equilibrium phase might be more significant for low-energy nuclear collisions where

the lifetime of the pre-equilibrium phase is sizable. Keeping this in mind an attempt has been made in the present work to study the role of pre-equilibration phase on heavy quark  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  at RHIC energy.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we briefly discuss the initial conditions used to model the pre-equilibrium and QGP phase and the time evolution of heavy quark momentum. In section III, we discuss the results highlighting the impact of pre-equilibrium phase on heavy quark  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$ . Section IV is devoted to summary and conclusions.

## 2. Initial conditions and momentum evolution

To study the heavy quark momentum evolution, we employ the Boltzmann equation. The Boltzmann equation for the heavy quark distribution function can be written as [24, 59, 60]:

$$\begin{aligned} p^\mu \partial_\mu f_{HQ}(x, p) &= \mathcal{C}[f_q, f_g, f_{HQ}](x, p) \\ p^\mu \partial_\mu f_q(x, p) &= \mathcal{C}[f_q, f_g](x_q, p_q) \\ p^\mu \partial_\mu f_g(x, p) &= \mathcal{C}[f_q, f_g](x_g, p_g) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}[f_q, f_g, f_{HQ}](x, p)$  is the relativistic Boltzmann-like collision integral and the phase-space distribution function of the bulk medium consists of gluons appears as an integrated quantity in  $\mathcal{C}[f_q, f_g, f_{HQ}]$ . We assume that the evolution of  $f_q$  and  $f_g$  are independent of  $f_{HQ}(x, p)$ . We are interested in the evolution of the HQ distribution function  $f_{HQ}(x, p)$ . In the present study we use Boltzmann-Vlasov equation for the bulk evolution as discussed in detail in ref. [39].

The standard initial condition for simulation of the plasma fireball created at RHIC energy in the coordinate space distribution is taken from the Glauber model assuming boost invariance along the longitudinal direction and the momentum space distribution is a thermalized spectrum in the transverse plane at a time  $\tau_0 = 0.6$  fm/c with a maximum initial temperature at the center of the fireball  $T_0 = 340$  MeV. With this standard initial condition we can describe some of the bulk properties of the plasma, like the particle spectra and their elliptic flow [37, 38].

Generally speaking, changing  $\tau_0$  and  $T_0$  changes the final multiplicity (if temperature is scaled with proper time as in a one dimensional expansion), the elliptic as well as higher flows. This problem however has been already well studied in the literature, see for example [40]: for collisions at the highest RHIC energy, which is the one that we consider here, it has been found that  $\tau_0$  should be in between 0.5 and 1 fm/c and the initial temperature can scale accordingly by assuming a one dimensional expansion. These values can change a bit if one uses modern initializations based on classical field dynamics [43], bringing  $\tau_0$  to slightly smaller values and  $T_0$  (that is, the initial energy density) correspondingly to slightly higher values. Taking into account these results we can state that changing  $\tau_0$  in the range (0.4-1) fm/c does not lead to significant changes of the bulk evolution of the plasma.

Heavy quarks are distributed in momentum space according to the Fixed Order + Next-to-Leading Log (FONLL) [50] calculation which describe the D-mesons spectra in proton-proton collisions. For coordinate space, we distribute the charm quarks according to the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions  $N_{coll}$ . Due to their large mass, heavy quarks are produced at early stage of the heavy-ion collisions. Evolution of heavy quarks before the QGP phase has been previously approximated by a free streaming. In this manuscript, we are motivated to include the heavy quark evolution before the QGP phase by replacing the free streaming with the interaction of heavy quarks with an out-of-equilibrium bulk.

However, according to the standard picture of high energy nuclear collisions, the QGP phase should be anticipated by a pre-equilibrium phase. To include the pre-equilibrium phase, we consider the model known as factorized KLN model [56, 57, 58] which includes the saturation scale in an effective way through the unintegrated gluon distribution functions. We remark that using the KLN initialization we implement it both in coordinate and momentum space, thus using the out-of-equilibrium gluon spectrum that is usually neglected in hydro calculations (see ref. [37, 39]). For this initialization we take the initial time to be 0.2 fm/c: this time can be interpreted roughly as the time needed to dilute the Glasma fields thanks to the longitudinal expansion [41], making a description in terms of a kinetic theory appropriate; moreover, this is approximately the time needed to produce the gluon spectrum [42], assuming that  $Q_s \sim 1$  GeV and that the aforementioned time is of the order of  $1/Q_s$ . It has been shown in ref. [39] that the out-of-equilibrium momentum distribution of the KLN model affects the elliptic flow, generally leading to a smaller  $v_2$  than the one obtained assuming a thermalized spectrum. For details see ref. [39].


The general idea behind the different pre-equilibrium models is that at the initial time there is the formation of strong (hence classical) longitudinal gluon fields named the Glasma, as a consequence of the excitation of local color charge densities on the transverse plane of the two colliding nuclei; the strength of the initial gluon fields is mainly determined by the value of the saturation scale. The evolution of the Glasma immediately after the collision follows classical field dynamics: however this statement is no longer true as soon as the proper time becomes approximately equal to the inverse of the saturation scale, because the interactions as well as the longitudinal expansion dilute the fields making them weak, therefore the classical description alone is no longer enough and one needs to either shift to kinetic theory or to anisotropic hydrodynamics.

Within the KLN model we implement the quick transition to the kinetic theory: as a matter of fact, from zero up to the initialization time  $\tau_0$  the gluon spectrum is produced by the classical field dynamics, and the system becomes dilute enough to justify the use of kinetic theory. Another model that implements the transition to hydrodynamics is the IP-Glasma [43] in which at some  $\tau_0$  there is the shift from classical field dynamics to hydrodynamics. Some of us have also worked recently on the link between kinetic theory and classical field dynamics at earlier times, still describing the large fields by means of classical equations of motion but coupling these to the dynamics of particle

quanta that are created by the quantum instability (string breaking) [44, 45]. The KLN model has the advantage that it does not have the classical fields: at  $\tau_0$  they have decayed or anyway they are expected to be very small, too small to play a role in the heavy quark dynamics. Therefore KLN is enough to study the evolution of the heavy quarks in the early high energy medium produced by the decay of the Glasma. In the future we plan to address more quantitatively to this question by implementing the heavy quarks dynamics on the top of the classical gluon fields, but this problem is much more complicated than the one we solve here and well beyond our present scope.

Regarding the existence of a pre-equilibrium stage: theoretically the situation is quite well understood, at least in terms of initial condition. As we have specified above, immediately after the collision the effective color charges on the transverse planes of the colliding nuclei arrange themselves in order to be the sources of longitudinal fields. This statement is supported by the rigorous solution of the Yang Mills equations in the forward light cone, therefore there is a solid theoretical base on which the description of the initial condition lies. And this initial condition is an out of equilibrium one because it is highly anisotropic. The situation becomes more complicated when one tries to understand how the Glasma produces a quark gluon plasma that is fairly isotropic and thermalized. At the moment there are just few theoretical calculations that allow to study consistently this process, see [44, 46, 47] and references therein, However, regardless of the description that is used to model the early stage dynamics, the existence of a preequilibrium stage is necessary from the theoretical point of view because experimental data are nicely understood assuming that the system equilibrates in some finite (i.e. nonzero) time range, at the same time the initial condition being a system that is out of equilibrium. From the experimental point of view the situation is even more complicated: at the moment there is no clear experimental signature that allows to state without any doubt that the preequilibrium stage there exists. Good candidates might be the observables related to the direct photons [45, 48] but a clear statement cannot be done yet because of the large uncertainty both on theoretical calculations and on experimental data.

The elastic interaction of heavy quarks with the bulk medium has been considered within the framework of pQCD. We use the same Combridge matrix [49] that includes  $s$ ,  $t$ ,  $u$  channel diagram and their interferences terms for both the equilibrium and pre-equilibrium phase. The difference between the equilibrium and non-equilibrium phase are mainly due to how the bulk is distributed. For the detail on the differences in the interaction of heavy quark with the medium in pre-equilibrium and in a thermalized medium we refer to our eralier work [6]. The divergence associated with the t-channel diagram has been cured by a Debye screening mass  $m_D = gT$ , with  $g$  standing for a running coupling. For detail of the interaction we refer to our earlier work [24, 20]. Once the temperature of the bulk goes below  $T_c$ , we hadronizes the charm quark to D-meson. Hadronization of the c quark to D meson is obtained via fragmentation by means of the Peterson fragmentation function [51].



**Figure 1.**  $R_{AA}$  (left panel) and  $v_2$  (right panel) as a function  $p_T$  with and without the pre-equilibration phase at RHIC energy in minimum bias.

### 3. Results

With the two different initial conditions for the bulk evolution discussed in the above section, we proceed to evaluate the heavy quark modification factor  $R_{AA}(p_T)$ , defined as

$$R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{\frac{dN}{d^2p_T dy}^{Au+Au}}{N_{coll} \times \frac{dN}{d^2p_T dy}^{p+p}} \quad (2)$$

where  $N_{coll}$  is the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions. The other key observable is the elliptic flow  $v_2$ , define as

$$v_2 = \langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \right\rangle. \quad (3)$$

is a measure of the anisotropy in the angular distribution of the particles.

In Fig. 1 we show the  $R_{AA}$  (left panel) and  $v_2$  (right panel) as a function  $p_T$  with and without the pre-equilibration phase along with the experimental data obtained from ref. [61, 62]. We find that the effect of the pre-equilibrium phase on  $R_{AA}$  is substantial, as we find a suppression of approximately the 20% of the D-meson spectrum in comparison with the one obtained by the calculation without pre-equilibrium phase. This can be understood because the scattering rate in the initial stage is quite large due to the large energy density, hence  $R_{AA}$  gets a substantial suppression. The drag coefficient of the HQs may be little small in the pre-equilibrium phase as discussed in ref. [6] but this is a small effect with respect to the increase of the energy density moving back from  $\tau_0=0.6$  fm/c to  $\tau_0=0.2$  fm/c. On the other hand the impact of pre-equilibrium phase on  $v_2$  is negligible. It should be mentioned that the  $v_2$  of the bulk has been computed with the same value of the viscosity in the two calculations, and it turns out to be very similar in the two calculations as shown in ref. [39]. It can be mentioned that for the



comparison with experimental data one need to include heavy quark hadronization by coalescence plus fragmentation. Coalescence [14] dominate the hadronization in the low  $p_T$  domain and increase both the  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  which bring them close to data. Hadronic rescattering [30] after the hadronization also affect the heavy quark  $v_2$  which is beyond the scope of the manuscript. However, the prime aim of the paper is to highlight the effect of pre-equilibrium phase.

As mention earlier, the  $R_{AA}$  is more sensitive to the early stage of the evolution where the energy density is very high, hence, the collision take place at higher rate. In pre-equilibrium phase the energy density is maximum, although the life time of the pre-equilibrium phase is small, this trigger further collisions to have a stronger suppression. This pre-equilibrium phase rescattering does not help to develop  $v_2$  because bulk is yet to develop its own  $v_2$  which usually develops at the later stage of the evolution.

The suppression of  $R_{AA}$  that we have found in the case we consider the pre-equilibrium stage is mainly due to the higher collision rate in the aforementioned phase, rather than to the specific form of the spectrum used in the calculation. To prove this, we have also studied the impact of early thermalized QGP on heavy quarks  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$ . For this we use a different Glauber initial conditions for the bulk. We start the bulk evolution at a early time  $\tau_0 = 0.2$  fm/c like in the evolution with the KLN model and initial temperatures at the center of the fireball  $T_0 = 490$  MeV keeping the multiplicity same. The temperature has been obtained by assuming  $T \sim t^{-1/3}$  which is appropriate for a one dimensional expansion of a thermalized system. It can be mentioned that in this case we start the evolution at the same initial time as of the pre-equilibrium phase but with Glauber initial condition. In Fig. 1 we present the variation of  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  with  $p_T$  along with the other cases. We find that the suppression is stronger for the early evolution case mainly due to the large energy density which trigger more collisions. On the other hand the  $v_2$  is quit similar in all the cases.

It may be mentioned that the second set of Glauber initial condition is used to explore the effect of early evolution starting from the same initial time, 0.2 fm/c, as of the KLN. It is very unlikely to achieve equilibrium at RHIC within 0.2 fm/c, however it is a way to mimic the KLN initial condition and to prove that it is the early evolution having the higher energy density, not the specific form of the spectrum used, with affect the results.

We have also evaluated the  $p_T$  integrated  $v_2$  for all the three cases. We found that the  $p_T$  integrated  $v_2$  is very similar, 0.03320 and 0.03324 for the KLN and early thermalized Glauber initial conditions respectively, because in this two cases the  $R_{AA}(p_T)$ , hence the spectra, and  $v_2(p_T)$  are very similar. However, in the standard Glauber model the  $p_T$  integrated  $v_2$  is, 0.0384, larger than the other two cases. In this case we are getting a larger value of the  $p_T$  integrated  $v_2$  mainly due to the contribution from high  $p_T$  particles. The main point is that one can mimic the impact of the pre-equilibrium phase on heavy quark  $R_{AA}$  and  $v_2$  with a early thermalized QGP. It can be mentioned that the pre-equilibrium phase is governed by several complicated phenomena but in our case the pre-equilibrium phase is limited to a non-equilibrium bulk evolution.

Considering the fact that the life time of the pre-equilibrium phase is smaller at LHC than RHIC, we can expect a smaller impact of pre-equilibration phase at LHC energy.

#### 4. Summary and conclusions

We have studied the heavy quark momentum evolution in QGP produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions at RHIC energy within a Boltzmann transport equation comparing simulations with a pre-equilibrium phase with those without a pre-equilibrium phase. Our main goal has been to study the effect of the pre-equilibrium phase on heavy quark observables. To model the pre-equilibrium phase we have used the KLN initial condition. For the other case we start with the standard Glauber model in x-space and a thermal distribution in  $p_T$ . The interaction between the heavy quarks and the bulk have been treated within the framework of pQCD. We have observed that pre-equilibrium phase has a significant effect on heavy quark  $R_{AA}$  which is about 20-25%. On the other hand the effect of pre-equilibrium phase is negligible on heavy quark  $v_2$ . We have also investigated the impact of early thermalized QGP on heavy quark observables. We have checked that the particular form of the initial KLN spectrum is not very important in getting the effect on  $R_{AA}$ : as a matter of fact we have compared the results obtained within the KLN initialization with the one in which we assume a thermalized spectrum but with an initial time  $t=0.2$  fm/c as in the KLN case, with a larger initial temperature. In this case, although the shape of the spectrum is different from the KLN, the initial energy density and the scattering rate is in agreement with KLN. We have found that  $R_{AA}$  agrees in the two calculations, and that the  $R_{AA}$  at large  $p_T$  is suppressed in comparison with the one obtained ignoring the pre-equilibrium evolution, showing that the larger initial scattering rate in comparison with the one in the equilibrated QGP is the main cause of the difference among the calculations with and without an early evolution. We have found that the effect of the pre-equilibrium phase can be mimicked by an early thermalized QGP with larger initial temperature keeping the multiplicity fixed. The life time of the pre-equilibrium phase is longer at low colliding energy (low energy RHIC run and FAIR) where to achieve early equilibrium is very unlikely. A study at low colliding energy may shed some light on how to distinguish the two scenarios.

#### Acknowledgements

SKD, FS, SP and VG acknowledge the support by the ERC StG under the QGPDyn Grant n. 259684. MR acknowledges the CAS President's International Fellowship Initiative (Grant No. 2015PM008), and the NSFC projects (11135011 and 11575190). VG acknowledges the CAS Presidents International Fellowship Initiative (Grant No. 2016VBC002).



## 5. References

- [1] Prino F and Rapp R 2016 *J. Phys. G* **43** 093002
- [2] Aarts G *et al.*, arXiv:1612.08032 [nucl-th].
- [3] Abelev B I *et al.* (STAR Collaboration) 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 192301.
- [4] Adare A *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration) 2011 *Phys. Rev. C* **84**
- [5] Chesler P M, Lekaveckas M, Rajagopal K (2013) *JHEP* **1310** 013
- [6] Das S K, Ruggieri M, Mazumder S, Greco V, Alam J 2015 *J. Phys. G* **42** 9, 095108
- [7] Laine M (2011) *JHEP* **1104**, 124
- [8] He M, Fries R J, Rapp R (2011) *Phys. Lett. B* **701**, 445
- [9] Das S K, Ghosh S, Sarkar S, Alam J 2012 *Phys. Rev. D* **85** 074017; 2014 *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 017501
- [10] Abreu L M, Cabrera D, Llanes-Estrada F J, Torres-Rincon J M 2011 *Annals Phys.* **326**, 2737
- [11] Tolos L, Torres-Rincon J M 2013 *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 074019
- [12] Tolos L, Torres-Rincon J M, Das S K 2016 *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no.3, 034018
- [13] Hees H van, Greco V and Rapp R 2006 *Phys. Rev. C* **73**, 034913
- [14] Hees H van, Mannearelli M, Greco V and Rapp R 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 192301
- [15] Das S K, Alam J, Mohanty 2010 *Phys. Rev. C* **82** 014908 ; 2009 *Phys. Rev. C* **80** 054916
- [16] Majumdar S, Bhattacharyya T, Alam J, Das S K 2011 *Phys. Rev. C* **84** 044901
- [17] Alberico W M *et al.* 2011 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** 1666
- [18] Alberico W M *et al.* 2013 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** 2481
- [19] Cao S., Qin G.-Y., Bass S A 2013 *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 044907; 2015 *Phys. Rev. C* **92** 2, 024907
- [20] Das S K, Scardina F, Plumari S and Greco V (2015) *Phys. Lett. B* **747**, 260
- [21] Gossiaux P B, Aichelin J 2008 *Phys. Rev. C* **78** 014904
- [22] Uphoff J, Fochler O, Xu Z and Greiner C 2011 *Phys. Rev. C* **84** 024908; *Phys. Lett. B* **717** (2012) 430
- [23] He M, Fries R J, Rapp R 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, no. 11, 112301
- [24] Das S K, Scardina F, Plumari S, Greco V 2014 *Phys. Rev. C* **90** 044901.
- [25] Song T, Berrehrah H, Cabrera D, Torres-Rincon J M, Tolos L, Cassing W, Bratkovskaya E 2015 *Phys. Rev. C* **92** 014910
- [26] Cao S, Luo T, Qin G Y, Wang X N 2016, *Phys. Rev. C* **94**, no. 1, 014909
- [27] Younus M, Coleman-Smith C E, Bass S A and Srivastava D K 2015 *Phys. Rev. C* **91** 024912
- [28] Tripathy S, Bhattacharyya T, Garg P, Kumar P, Sahoo R and Cleymans J, arXiv:1606.06898 [nucl-th].
- [29] Das S K, Plumari P, Chatterjee S, Alam J, Scardina F, Greco V, arXiv:1608.02231 [nucl-th].
- [30] Das S K, Torres-Rincon J M, Tolos L, Scardina F and Greco V 2016 *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no.11, 114039
- [31] S. Cao, G. Y. Qin and S. A. Bass, *Phys. Rev. C* **92** (2015) no.2, 024907
- [32] Nahrgang M, Aichelin J, Gossiaux P B, Werner K 2016 *Phys. Rev. C* **93** 044909
- [33] Wicks S, Horowitz W, Djordjevic M, Gyulassy M 2007 *Nucl. Phys. A* **784**, 426 (2007).
- [34] Adil A, Vitev I 2007 *Phys. Lett. B* **649**, 139
- [35] Djordjevic M 2014 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** 4, 042302
- [36] Prado C A G, Noronha-Hostler J, Suaide A A P, Noronha J, Munhoz M G, Cosentino M R, arXiv:1611.02965 [nucl-th].
- [37] Ruggieri M, Scardina F, Plumari S, Greco V 2013 *Phys. Lett. B* **727** 177
- [38] Scardina F, Colonna M, Plumari S, Greco V 2013 *Phys. Lett. B* **724** 296
- [39] Ruggieri M, Scardina F, Plumari S, Greco V (2014) *Phys. Rev. C* **89** no.5, 054914
- [40] Song H, Bass S A, Heinz U, Hirano T, Shen C (2011) *Phys. Rev. C* **83** 054910
- [41] Lappi T (2006) *Nucl. Phys. A* **772** 200-212
- [42] Blaizot J -P, Lappi T, Mehtar-Tani Y (2010) *Nucl. Phys. A* **846** 63-82
- [43] Gale C, Jeon S, Schenke B, Tribedy P, Venugopalan R (2013) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** no.1, 012302
- [44] Ruggieri M, Puglisi A, Oliva L, Plumari S, Scardina F and Greco V (2015) *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 064904

- [45] Oliva L, Ruggieri M, Plumari S, Scardina F, Peng G X, Greco (2017) arXiv:1703.00116 [nucl-th]
- [46] Epelbaum T, Gelis F (2013) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 232301
- [47] Ryblewski R, Florkowski W (2013) *Phys. Rev. D* **88** 034028
- [48] Berges J, Reygers K, Tanji N, Venugopalan R (2017) *Phys. Rev. C* **95** no.5, 054904
- [49] Combridge B L (1979) *Nucl. Phys. B* **151**, 429
- [50] Cacciari M, Nason P, Vogt R 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 122001
- [51] Peterson C et al (1983) *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 105
- [52] Das S K, Chandra V, Alam J 2014 *J. Phys. G* **41** 015102.
- [53] Berrehrah H, Gossiaux P B, Aichelin J, Cassing W, Bratkovskaya E 2014 *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, no. 6, 064906
- [54] Greco V, Ko C M, Rapp R 2004 *Phys. Lett. B* **595** 202
- [55] Das S K, Scardina F, Plumari P, Greco V 2016 *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **668**, 1, 012051
- [56] Kharzeev D, Levin E, Nardi M 2005 *Nucl. Phys. A* **747**, 609
- [57] Drescher H J, Nara Y 2007 *Phys. Rev. C* **75**, 034905 .
- [58] Hirano T and Nara Y 2009 *Phys. Rev. C* **79**, 064904.
- [59] Xu Z, Greiner C (2005) *Phys. Rev. C* **71**, 064901
- [60] G. Ferini, M. Colonna , M. Di Toro and V. Greco, *Phys. Lett. B*, **670**, 325 (2009); V. Greco, M. Colonna, M. Di Toro and G. Ferini, *Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **62**, 562 (2009)
- [61] Thusty D *et al.* (STAR Collaboration) 2013 *Nucl. Phys. A* 904-905, 639c
- [62] Adamczyk L *et al.* (STAR Collaboration) 2014 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 142301