MANYBODY ASPECTS OF GRAVITY IN COMPACT STARS

Mofazzal Azam,[1,*] Jitesh R. Bhatt,[2,†] and M. Sami[3,‡]

[1] *Centre for theoretical physics, Jamia millia Islamia, New Delhi*
[2] *Theory Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad*
[3] *Centre for Theoretical Physics, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi*

(Dated: March 10, 2022)

Compact stars such as neutron stars and black holes are gravitationally bound many body systems. We investigate the importance of short and long range part of gravity for such systems. From our analysis, we conclude that the true essence of gravity lies with the long range nature of the interaction. At the end we show how these arguments in the manybody theory consistently leads to Dvali-Gomez picture of a black holes as a collective bound state of long wavelength gravitons.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Jb, 95.20.Sf

arXiv:1701.06189v2 [gr-qc] 23 Jul 2017

The distinguishing feature of gravity is that it is universal as well as long range. Short range interaction implies interaction of a particle with other particles only in its neibourhood and thus the number of particles it interacts with is proportional to local density. The long range of gravity in manybody context implies that every particle in the system interacts with every other particle and, thus, there is interaction at all length scales including the system size scale. We will be concerned here with manybody systems, stellar like compact objects, such as neutron stars and black holes, bound by gravity, and consider the role of short and long range part of the interaction in the stability of such systems using classical as well as quantum theory. We will see that in the manybody context, it is the long range part of the interaction that plays the dominant role. It is not hard to understand why it is so. Long range involves large number of particles within the range of the interaction and thus, even if the two-particle interaction energy is low, for a large system they add up to a very large number and easily dominate over the short range contributions. We consider here only the Newtonian part and no mention will be made of general relativity until at the end. Except for black holes, qualitative features of all gravitationally bound manybody systems can be understood using Newtonian part of gravity. In the case of neutron stars, general relativity introduces some change in the mass and radius but the qualitative features are unchanged. The neutron-neutron repulsive potential also plays a role in fixing the mass and radius of the neutron stars. The discussion given in this paper can easily be carried over to include this contribution and thus will not be considered. Our level of discussion is qualitative and sometimes even heuristic. We are more concerned about the physics of the problem and thus, quite often we ignore "order one" quantities. In tune with modern usage of terminology in high energy physics, we frequently use the word "infra-red (IR)", for long range and "ultra-violet (UV)" for short range. They refer to the wavelengths of quanta corresponding to the energetics of the problem.

We begin the discussion with stability analysis of a simple quantum mechanical problem. We encounter two types of instabilities in the Quantum Mechanical treatment of gravitationally bound systems - one is of UV origin and the other is of IR origin, and they are in some sense independent of each other. For the sake of illustration, let us consider a gravitationally bound system of two point particles of mass M and m. We assume that $M >> m$. It is a problem similar to that of a high Z hydrogenic atom but with the difference that the interaction is of gravitational origin. Thus, for the low lying orbits kinetic energy of the lighter particle is relativistic. We can write,

$$\mathcal{E} \approx c|p| - G\frac{Mm}{R}. \tag{1}$$

Using the uncertainty relation to replace p by $p \approx \hbar/R$ and we obtain,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{c\hbar}{R} - G\frac{Mm}{R} = \frac{m_p^2 - Mm}{GR}, \tag{2}$$

where, $m_p^2 = c\hbar/G$. When $\sqrt{Mm} > m_p$, the limit $R \rightarrow 0$, leads to $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow -\infty$. Therefore, the system is unstable as the ground state is not bounded from below. This instability persists even if we change the Newtonian potential $(1/R)$ with the Yukawa type potential $exp(-R/\lambda)/R$. This is because the instability in the present case is due to the short range part of the potential energy and it persists even when we remove the long range part of the potential by using the Yukawa type interaction. This short range or ultra-violet (UV) instability can exist even in a manybody system, but it can become important only at the Planck density.

As we explain later in the text, the long range or the infra-red (IR) effects of gravity become dominant much before we reach the Planck density[1].
The UV instability that we have discussed above is also known for high Z hydrogenic atoms. In this case the stability requirement puts a bound on $Z\alpha$. For many-

body Coulomb systems with overall charge neutrality and densities such that the constituent particles are relativistic, we require bound on $Z\alpha$ as well as α for the system to be stable [2, 3]. In this case, by stability, we mean that the binding energy per particle in the system is finite and is independent of the number of constituent particles. This kind of UV instability is also known in nuclear physics where the nucleons interact via short Yukawa potential. The stability of nuclei is assured by the existence of additional shorter range hard core repulsive potential [4, 5]. It should be noted that, the UV instability of gravity is important in a physical situation involving scattering of two particles having energy of the order of Planck mass. The instability in the manybody case makes it transparent that the UV instability is connected with the local density and not the system size. This is the lesson from the IR turncated Yukawa type short range gravitational interaction.

There are some subtleties in the case of manybody system with a gravitational interaction which we will discuss below. First of all there is no screening of the long range gravitational potential. Unlike in the case of Coulomb/Nuclear interaction, the overall size of the system plays a major role in fixing the local densities in a gravitationally bound system. We will argue that the UV instability in the manybody situation discussed above, in fact, is irrelevant in all physical situations. It is the IR instability which plays major role in fixing the local as well global properties of gravitationally bound manybody systems. However, for a situation like scattering of two particles with energies of the order of Planck mass, the UV aspects of gravitational interaction still remains relevant and important. We will first discuss the classical problem of Jeans length because , in our view, it is this length scale that is at the heart of IR behaviour of a gravitionally bound system. We consider here systems with constant density profile and investigate how this profile changes as we change the parameters of the problem. This is a bit artificial as gravitationally bounded systems always give rise to a peak in the central density profile and this feature is also generated by the infrared behaviour of gravity. However, for the theme to be discussed here this feature introduces unnecessary complications without any new insight.

Let us first discuss a problem which is very simple but important for the underlying theme of this paper. We consider a solid ball of radius R, density ρ and mass M. We drill a surface to surface hole through the centre of the sphere and put a point particle of mass m in this thin tunnel. The point particle at any point at distance r from the centre is under the influence of the gravitational potential of inner sphere of radius r, and the potential of the spherical shell of inner radius r and outer radius R. The potential energy $\Phi(r)$ of the interaction is,

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{2\pi}{3} G m \rho r^2 - 2\pi G m \rho R^2 \tag{3}$$

and thus the equation of motion of the point particle is,

$$m\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = -\frac{4\pi}{3} G\rho m r \tag{4}$$

Thus it is simply the equation of motion of a harmonic oscillator,

$$\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} + \frac{4\pi}{3} G\rho r = 0 \tag{5}$$

with frequency $\omega = (\frac{4\pi}{3})^{1/2} \times \sqrt{G\rho}$ and time period $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = \sqrt{3\pi} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{G\rho}}$. From now onwards quite often we will drop order one quantities and simply write $\omega = \sqrt{G\rho}$ and $T = 1/\sqrt{G\rho}$.
In the example above we considered the gravitational field of solid sphere of constant density and found a characteristic frequency. But we did not consider the sphere to be bound gravitationally. Thus the mass density in this problem is arbitrary. In a gravitationally bound system the density is fixed by over all size of the system and so, the problem is a bit artificial. However, it should be noted that even such an artificial system captures one important aspect of gravitational interaction: there is a lowest frequency of oscillation in the system , and corresponding to that there is a characteristic time scale. The essence of this time scale is understood when we consider gravitationally bound systems. Gravitationally bound manybody system has a characteristic length scale, called Jeans' length [6–8]. We shall argue that the Jeans length is actually dictated by the IR behaviour of gravity and is connected with the frequency and time scale just introduced.

Let us consider a spherically symmetric gas cloud of mass M, of constant density ρ and at pressure P. The volume of gas $V = \frac{4\pi}{3} R^3$ The total energy of the gas,

$$E = \frac{3}{2} PV - \frac{3}{5} \frac{GM^2}{R}. \tag{6}$$

We want to find the thermodynamic configuration for which the spherically symmetric gas cloud would become unstable under gravity. This is analysed by finding the extremum of energy per unit volume with respect to variations in density but keeping the total mass of the gas cloud fixed. We introduce the following notations: $\epsilon(\rho) = E/V$, $R = R(\rho)$, $c_s^2 = \frac{dP}{d\rho}$, c_s is the velocity of sound in the medium. Thus the conditions for the extremum of energy density are

$$\frac{d\epsilon(\rho)}{d\rho} = 0 \;\; ; \;\; \frac{dM(\rho)}{d\rho} = 0. \tag{7}$$

It leads to the following equation,

$$\frac{d\epsilon(\rho)}{d\rho} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{dP}{d\rho} - \frac{16\pi}{15}G\rho R^2 = 0. \tag{8}$$

Thus we have an extremum for,

$$R = R_J \equiv \sqrt{\frac{45}{32\pi}}\ \frac{c_s}{\sqrt{G\rho}};\ \ c_s = \sqrt{\frac{dP}{d\rho}}. \tag{9}$$

To investigate the nature of the extremum, we calculate the second derivative of the energy density at, $R = R_J$,

$$\frac{d^2\epsilon(\rho)}{d\rho^2} = 3c_s(\frac{dc_s}{d\rho}\ -\ \frac{1}{6}\ \frac{c_s}{\rho}). \tag{10}$$

This is negative when the speed of sound is independent of mass density. Thus the extremum is a maximum and fluid sphere is unstable for radius $R \geq R_J$. The more general condition for instability is obtained from,

$$\frac{dc_s}{d\rho} - \frac{1}{6}\ \frac{c_s}{\rho} < 0. \tag{11}$$

This gives $c_s \sim \rho^\eta$, where, $o \leq \eta < 1/6$. Thus from $dP/d\rho = c_s^2$, we get $P \sim \rho^{(2\eta+1)}$. The borderline for stability is given by $\eta = 1/6$.

Thus for radius $R \geq R_J$, and the equation state of the fluid, $P \sim \rho^{(\frac{4}{3}-\epsilon)}$, $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/3$, the fluid sphere is gravitationally unstable. Note that,

$$R_J \simeq c_s/\sqrt{G\rho}\ \ \rightarrow\ R_J \simeq \frac{c_s}{\sqrt{\frac{3GM}{4\pi R_J^3}}}\ \ \rightarrow\ R_J \simeq \frac{GM}{c_s^2}. \tag{12}$$

For a relativistic gas, velocity of sound is of the order of velocity light(c) and thus in the present case simply by replacing $c_s \rightarrow c$, we obtain $R \simeq \frac{GM}{c^2}$. This may suggests that we should be able to express the black hole radius in the form of Jeans radius,

$$R_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}\ \ \rightarrow\ R_g = \frac{8\pi G\rho R_g^3}{3c^2}\ \ \rightarrow\ R_g \simeq \frac{c}{\sqrt{G\rho}}. \tag{13}$$

However, the concept of mass density within a black hole is problematic or rather vague and therefore, this radius should be understood as the radius of some stellar configuration just before black hole formation.Thus, there seem to exist a pattern in these unstable configurations leading us to view classical black holes as extreme limit of such configurations.

The Jeans instability arises solely due to long range (IR) behaviour of the Gravitational interaction and is independent of the short range (UV) behaviour. We provide here a very simple qualitative argument. Let us make the replacement,

$$\Phi_N(r) \equiv -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r} \rightarrow \Phi(r) \equiv \Phi_N(r) - \Phi_{UV}(r),$$

where,

$$\Phi_{UV}(r) = -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r}\Theta(r_0 - r).$$

The potential $\Phi(r)$, concides with the Newtonian potential for $r \leq r_0$, but it equals to zero for $r > r_0$. Here r_0, is assumed to be "not too large" . This simply means that it is much much smaller than the system size. Latter, in the text, we will provide a rough estimate of its value.

Thus,

$$\Phi(r) = \Phi_N(r) \equiv -\frac{Gm_1m_2}{r} \quad for\ \ r > r_0,$$

$$\Phi(r) = 0\ \ for\ \ r \leq r_0.$$

The potential $\Phi(r)$ implies that each particle interacts only with particles outside a sphere of radius r around it. We will often refer to it as UV finite Newtonian potential. Let us calculate the potential energy of the many body system due to the short range part $\Phi_{UV}(r)$. The range of the interaction is r_0 and thus each particle interacts only with $N' \equiv \frac{4\pi}{3}r_0^3n \approx r_0^3n$ particles. (unlike in the case of Newtonian interaction where each particle interacts with all the N paticles in the system). Here the number density of particles, $n = N/V \simeq N/R^3$, mass density, $\rho = nm$, mass $M = Nm$. The interaction energy of single particle is given by, $-\frac{Gm^2nr_0^3}{r_0} = -Gnm^2r_0^2$. Thus the energy of interaction for all the N particles in the system due to the potential $\Phi_{UV}(r)$ is,

$$E_{UV} \approx -GNnm^2r_0^2. \tag{14}$$

The total potential energy due to Newtonian interaction is given by,

$$E_N = -\frac{3}{5}\frac{GM^2}{R} \simeq -Gm^2N^2/R \simeq -Gm^2n^{1/3}N^{5/3}, \tag{15}$$

where, we have used, $n^{1/3} \simeq N^{1/3}/R$. The total energy of interaction due to the UV finite interaction , $\Phi = \Phi_N - \Phi_{UV}$, is given by,

$$E = E_N - E_{UV} \simeq -Gm^2n^{1/3}N^{5/3} + Gm^2Nnr_0^2. \tag{16}$$

Thus, the per particle interaction energy is,

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_N - \epsilon_{UV} \simeq -Gm^2n^{1/3}N^{2/3} + Gm^2nr_0^2. \tag{17}$$

Note that the potential energy per particle, ϵ_N, depends on the total number of particles in the system. On the other hand, ϵ_{UV}, depends on the local density and is too small and negligible. Thus the potential energy per particle for UV finite potential $\Phi(r)$ is the same as that of Newtonian , $\epsilon = \epsilon_N - \epsilon_{UV} \simeq \epsilon_N$. Thus for the formation of Gravitationally bound structures UV part of the Newtonian potential is irrelevant.
It is relevant only when there is Planck scale density fluctuations .
It should be emphasized here that we are not proposing the UV modified gravity as an alternative to Newtonian gravity[11–14] but merely stressing the irrelevance of the short range part and the associated UV instability in the manybody context. We will show that the UV cutoff can be taken to be several *cms* (a range in which Newtonian gravity is well tested). Thus the emergence of stellar structures is solely due to the infrared instability of gravity. It is also not difficult to infer, in the case of compact stars, that infrared instability leads to the emergence of "Planck scale" quantity, such as the "Planck mass", but here it should be understood more like a critical value of a parameter which defines boundary between a stable and an unstable configuration. In $c = \hbar = 1$ unit, the Planck mass, $m_p = 1/\sqrt{G}$, is simply the inverse of the square root of gravitational coupling constant and it sets up the size of largest stable structure.

The energy per particle of a relativistic neutron star in the case of UV finite potential $\Phi(r)$, is,

$$\epsilon_{NS} \simeq c\hbar n^{1/3} - Gm^2 n^{1/3} N^{2/3} + Gm^2 n r_0^2. \qquad (18)$$

In this equation, the first term is the Fermi energy of a neutron in a relativistic neutron star, the second term comes from the Newtonian potential and the third term comes from the potential Φ_{UV}, that cancels the UV part of Newtonian potentials. The neutron star is unstable for $\epsilon_{NS} < 0$ [9, 10]. Thus for the stability ($\epsilon_{NS} > 0$), we gets the following condition:

$$N^{2/3} > \frac{c\hbar}{Gm^2} + n^{2/3} r_0^2 = \frac{m_p^2}{m^2} + n^{2/3} r_0^2. \qquad (19)$$

For a typical neutron star of mass density 10^{14} gms/cc, number density of neutrons, $n \simeq 10^{38}$ $neutrons/cc$, neutron mass, $m = 1GeV$, Planck mass, $m_p = 10^{19} GeV$, the first term in the equation above is 10^{38}. For UV cutoff parameter, $r_0 = 10$ cms, the second term is of order of 10^{28} which is ten order of magnitude less than the first term and, therefore, its contribution is negligible. The stability of neutron star is insensitive to the presence of the second term, even for the cutoff scale $r_0 = 10$ cms. Thus the stability of a neutron star is completely determined by the long range part of the gravitational interaction. Note the appearance of Planck mass in the formula even in the UV finite gravity. This shows that IR instability of gravity is also implicitly connected with Planck mass but there is no Planck length with the usual meaning in this case because now we have the UV cut off.

Lesson from the above discussion is that in the case of Newtonian gravity like in Coulomb and nuclear systems, there exists UV instability. In nuclear systems the hard core repulsive potential controls this instability. In relativistic Coulomb system, this instability leads to a bound on $Z\alpha$ and α which are realistic. In the case of gravity UV instability occurs only at densities of Planck scale. In a manybody situation such a density fluctuation is not possible unless a large macroscopic scale sub-system/system collapses to a point. However, IR instability occur at realistic densities and in some cases it leads to the formation of black hole much before the Planck scale density is reached.

For a gravitationally bound stellar object as emphasised earlier, it is the long range part of interaction that plays the major role in its binding. This means that it is the interaction at approximately the system-size length scale that determines the field configuration within the star. In a Quantum theory, this should correspond to virtual gravitons of system-size wavelength. As mass content within the size of a system increases, the occupation number of such gravitons should also increase. In Newtonian gravity, there is no self-interaction of the gravitational fields. However, in general relativity, there is a self-interaction and thus the virtual gravitons interact with each other. These are long wavelength low energy soft gravitons, and the interaction strength between two of them is weak. However, their number is very large and each one of them interact with all others. Thus an individual graviton is in the collective gravitational potential of all other gravitons. This makes the coupling strong. The question is : Can this collective interaction of Gravitons in black hole lead to the formation of coherent state of the gravitons? Dvali and Gomez in a series of publications have taken this view and tried to explain various aspects of black holes [15, 16]. They introduce a quantity called graviton occupation number,

$$N_g = \frac{cMR}{\hbar}. \qquad (20)$$

For a fixed R, this quantity is maximum when the mass, M is given by $R = 2GM/c^2$, i.e., equal to the black hole mass for the radius R. Before we discuss the black hole, let us consider a critical neutron star of mass M_n and number of neutrons N_n, where for the critical neutron star, $N_n \approx (\frac{c\hbar}{Gm_n^2})^{3/2}$ and $R_n \approx \frac{\hbar}{m_n c} N_n^{1/3}$. Here $M_n = N_n m_n$. The (zero point) kinetic energy of the neutrons in the star is, $\frac{c\hbar N_n^{4/3}}{R_n}$. Now for such a star, $N_g = \frac{cM_nR_n}{\hbar} = N_n^{4/3}$. Here we have used the fact that $R_n = \frac{\hbar}{m_n c} N_n^{1/3}$. Thus the total kinetic energy of system size gravitons, $N_g \times \frac{c\hbar}{R_n}$ is of the same order of magnitude

as the total kinetic energy of neutrons in the star (numerical factor of order one not considered). Let us define inertia of system size graviton as $m_g = \frac{\hbar}{R_n c}$, $p_g = \hbar/R$, being the momentum of such gravitons. Substituting, the values fot N_g and m_g, it is not hard to see that the total potential energy of self intetaction of gravitons, $-\frac{GN_g^2 m_g^2}{R_n}$ is of the same order of magnitude as that of the neutrons in the star.

To appreciate why we are doing this exercise, let us consider a neutron star which is increasing its mass by acreating and processing matter from its sarroundings.When its mass $M \geq M_{crit}$, and number of neutrons in the star $N \geq N_{crit}$, its potential energy is of the order of "$-GM^2/R = -GN^2 m_n^2/R$ ". Had this energy been radiated away, it will take away the inertia and thus the potential energy will decrease. On the other hand, if this energy stays within the system, it should be transfered to some constituent modes within the star. Note that with the increase in N, the number of neutrons, the kinetic energy increases as, $N^{4/3}$, but the potential energy decreases as N^2. There are hardly any constituent in significant numbers to carry the excess energy. This is where the gravitons become important. We have already seen that the soft gravitons collectively posses energy comparable to the potential energy of the star.

In refeference [17, 18], this problem has been studied for critical neutron stars within the framework of general relativity. Using various definitions of gravitational energy within general relativity, the authors find that 30 to 40 percent of energy content of star is in the form of gravitational field. This is consistent with our heuristic argument. Along with our arguments for the dominance of system size interaction, this again suggest that for understanding compact stars such as neutron stars and black holes, we should be studying the role played by gravitons of system size wavelength. Like the gluons in QCD (and unlike the photons in QED), the gravitons have self-interaction and are likely to form collective state .

The Dvali-Gomez conjecture states that the number of such system size gravitons is maximum for black hole, and they are self sourcing. The kinetic energy and the potential energy of self-interaction approximately balance each other. They also suggest that, at maximum packing within the black hole, the system size gravitons undergo transition to bose condensed state [16]. In the light of the discussion above, such a scenario for the black holes clearly look very promising.

Thus even for black holes, it is the long range part of the gravity thet plays the major role. This leads us to conclude that the essence of gravity lies in the long range nature of the interaction.

* Electronic address: mofazzal.azam@gmail.com
† Electronic address: jiteshbhatt.prl@gmail.com
‡ Electronic address: samijamia@gmail.com

[1] W. Thirring, A Course of Mathematical Physics, Vol 4, Springer, 1983
[2] E.H. Lieb and H.-T. Yau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 1695; Commun. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 177
[3] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss and H. Siedentop, Helv. Phys. Acta **69** (1996) 974 ; arXiv: 9608060[**v1** cond-mat]
[4] B.L. Cohen, Concepts of Nuclear Physics, McGraw Hill, 1971
[5] A. deShalit and H. Feshbach, Theoretical Nuclear Physics, Vol. 1, Chapters I and II, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1974
[6] James H. Jeans, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. (London) **199** (1902) 1
[7] Michael K.-H. Kiessling, Adv. Appl. Math. **31** (2003) 132
[8] C. Clarke and B. Carlswell, Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007
[9] S.L. Shapiro and S.A. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars: The Physics of Compact Objects, John Wiley, New York, 1983
[10] S. Chandrasekhar, Nobel Lecture, The Nobel Foundation, 1984, Published in Rev. Mod. Phys. **56** (1984) 137
[11] E.G. Adelberger, B.R. Heckel and A.E. Nelson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **53** (2003) 77, arXiv: 0307284**v1** [gr-qc]
[12] E.J. Salumbides, W. Ubachs and V.I. Korobov, Journal of Molecular Spectroscoph, **300** (2014) 55, arXiv: 1308.1711**v1** [hep-ph]
[13] M. Azam, M. Sami, C.S. Unnikrishnan and T. Shiromizu, Phys. Rev. (Rapid Communication) **D77** (2008) 101101
[14] M.Azam and M. Sami, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 024024
[15] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, "Self-completeness of Einstein Gravity", arXiv:1005.3497**v1** [hep-th]
[16] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, "Black Holes Quantum N-Portrait", Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 742 ; arxiv: 1112.3359**v1** [hep-th]
[17] D. Dyrda, B. Kinasiewisz, M. Kutschera and M. Szmaglinski, Acta Phys. Polon.**B 37** (2006) 357, arXiv: 0601061**v1** [gr-qc]
[18] S.S. Xulu, PhD thesis, arXiv: 0308070**v1** [hep-th]