I. INTRODUCTION

Augustin [2], [3] derived the sphere packing bound for the product channels without assuming the stationarity. Assuming that order \( \frac{1}{2} \) Renyi capacity of the component channels are \( O(\ln n) \), we have derived the sphere packing bound for product channels with a prefactor that is polynomial in the block length \( n \). [2] Theorem 2. In this manuscript, we derive analogous results for two families of memoryless channels. As we have done for the product channels in [2], we first derive a non-asymptotic outer bound for codes on a given memoryless channel, then we derive our asymptotic result using this bound.

In [3, Chapter VII], Augustin pursued an analysis similar to ours and derived the sphere packing bound for memoryless channels with convex constraints on the empirical distribution of the input codewords.

A. Model and Notation

For any set \( X \), \( \mathcal{P}(X) \) is the set of all probability mass functions that are non-zero only on finitely many members of \( X \); \( \mathcal{M}(X) \) is the set of all non-zero mass functions with the same property. For any measurable space \( (Y, \mathcal{Y}) \), \( \mathcal{P}(Y) \) is the set of all probability measures and \( \mathcal{M}(Y) \) is set of all finite measures. For any \( \mu, q \in \mathcal{M}(Y) \), \( \mu \leq q \) iff \( \mu(\mathcal{E}) \leq q(\mathcal{E}) \) \( \forall \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Y} \). Similarly, for any \( \mu, q \in \mathcal{M}(Y) \), \( \mu \leq q \) iff \( \mu(\mathcal{E}) \leq q(\mathcal{E}) \) \( \forall \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Y} \).

For any set \( S \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) we denote the interior of \( S \) by \( \text{int} S \). For any set \( S \) in a vector space we denote the convex hull of \( S \) by \( \text{ch} S \).

A product channel is \( W : X^t \rightarrow Y^t \) iff there exist channels \( W_t : X_t \rightarrow Y_t \) for all \( t \in T \) satisfying \( W(x) = \prod_{t \in T} W_t(x_t) \) for all \( x \in X \). From the definition of \( \mathcal{M}(X) \) it follows that \( \mathcal{M}(X^t) = \bigotimes_{t \in T} \mathcal{M}(X_t) \).

A product channel is stationary iff all \( W_t \)'s are identical. If \( X \subset \prod_{t \in T} X_t \) then \( W \) is a memoryless channel.
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Abstract—For any channel with a convex constraint set and finite Augustin capacity, existence of a unique Augustin center and associated Erven-Harremoes bound are established. Augustin-Legendre capacity, center, and radius are introduced and proved to be equal to the corresponding Renyi-Gallager entities. Sphere packing bounds with polynomial prefactors are derived for codes on two families of channels: (possibly non-stationary) memoryless channels with multiple additive cost constraints and stationary memoryless channels with convex constraints on the empirical distribution of the input codewords.

such a channel, one first chooses the most populous constant composition sub-code and then derives the sphere packing bound for the code using the sphere packing bound for the constant composition sub-code. This technique, however, fails when the input set of the channel is infinite. We show that a sphere packing bound similar to Theorem 11 holds for codes on stationary memoryless channels with convex constraints on the empirical distribution of the input codewords.

In the rest of this section, we describe our model and notation and state our main asymptotic result. In Section III we introduce and analyze Augustin information, mean, capacity, and center as purely measure theoretic concepts. The role of these concepts in our analysis is analogous to the role of corresponding Renyi concepts in [11], [12]. In Section III we investigate the cost constrained Augustin capacity more closely and introduce the concepts of Augustin-Legendre information and Renyi-Gallager information, together with the associated means, capacities, centers, and radii. Our main aim in Section III is to express the cost constrained Augustin capacity and center in terms of Augustin-Legendre capacity and center. In Section IV we derive non-asymptotic outer bounds for codes on two families memoryless channels.

1The issue here is not a matter of rescaling: certain conclusions of Augustin's analysis are not correct when cost functions are not bounded.

2Shannon's approximation error terms in [15] are considerably better than ours. But his derivation relies heavily on the geometry of the output space. Our derivation, on the other hand, is oblivious to it.
An \((M, L)\) channel code on \(W : X \to \mathcal{P}(Y)\) is an ordered pair \((\Psi, \Theta)\) composed of an encoding function \(\Psi : M \to X\) and a decoding function \(\Theta : y \to M\) where \(M = \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}\), \(\mathcal{L}(L \subseteq M\) and \(|L| = L\), and \(\Theta\) is measurable as a function from the measurable space \((Y, \mathcal{Y})\).

Given an \((M, L)\) channel code \((\Psi, \Theta)\) on \(W : X \to \mathcal{P}(Y)\) the average error probability \(P_{e}^m\) and the conditional error probability \(P_{e}^m(m \in M\) are given by

\[
P_{e}^m \triangleq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m \in M} P_{e}^m \quad \text{and} \quad P_{e}^m \triangleq W(\Psi(m))(\{m \neq \hat{m}\}).
\]

A cost function \(\rho\) is a function from the input set to \(\mathbb{R}^+\) for some \(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+\). We assume without loss of generality that

\[
\inf_{x \in X} \rho^{\ell}(x) = 0 \quad \forall \ell \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}.
\]

Let \(I_{\rho}\) be the set of feasible cost constraints for \(\mathcal{P}(X)\):

\[
I_{\rho} = \{q : q \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \exists p \in \mathcal{P}(X) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{x} p(x) \rho(x) \leq q\}
\]

Then \(I_{\rho}\) is a convex set with non-empty interior. A cost function \(\rho\) for a product channel \(W\) is said to be additive iff there exists a \(p : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+\) for each \(t \in \mathcal{T}\) such that

\[
\rho(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} p(t)(x) \quad \forall x \in X.
\]

An encoding function \(\Psi\), hence the corresponding cost, is said to satisfy the cost constraint \(\rho\) iff \(\forall m \in M: \rho(\Psi(m)) \leq q\). A code on a product channel \(W : \prod_{t \in \mathcal{T}} X_t \to \mathcal{P}(Y)\) is said to satisfy an empirical distribution constraint \(A \subset \mathcal{P}(X_1)\) iff the empirical distribution, i.e. type or composition, of \(\Psi(m)\) is in \(A\) for all \(m \in M\).

B. Main Result

**Assumption 1.** \(\{(W_t, \mu_t, \nu_t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\) is an ordered sequence of channels with associated cost functions and cost constraints satisfying the following condition: \(\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+\), \(K \in \mathbb{R}^+\) s.t.

\[
\max_{t \leq n} C^2_{W_t, \nu_t} \leq K \ln(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_n \in \text{int} \Gamma_{\rho(1, n)}
\]

for all \(\forall n \geq n_0\) where \(\rho(1, n) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \rho(t)(x)\).

**Theorem 1.** Let \(\{(W_t, \mu_t, \nu_t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\) be a sequence satisfying **Assumption 1** \(\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \geq 0\) be orders satisfying \(0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < 1\) and \(\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+\). Then for any sequence of codes \(\{\Psi_t, \Theta_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\) on the product channels \(\{W_t[1, n]\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}\) satisfying

\[
\forall m \in M, \Psi(t)[1, n](\nu_t) \leq q_n
\]

\[
C_{\alpha_0, W_t[1, n], \nu_t} + \varepsilon \ln^2 n - \ln \ln \frac{1}{\nu_n} \leq C_{\alpha_1, W_t[1, n], \nu_t} \quad \forall n \geq n_0
\]

there exists a \(\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+\) and an \(n_1 \geq n_0\) such that

\[
P_{e}^{\sigma} \geq \frac{1}{n} e^{-E_{\rho}(\ln \frac{dW(x)}{dW}(\nu_t, \nu_t))} \quad \forall n \geq n_1
\]

where

\[
E_{\rho}(R, W, \sigma) = \sup_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( C_{\sigma, W_t, \rho} - R \right)
\]

**Theorem 1** follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 through an analysis similar to the in [12] [III-E]. An asymptotic result similar to **Theorem 1** for codes on stationary memoryless channels with convex empirical distribution constraints can be proved using Lemma 1 and the bound given in equation (10).

**Recall** that for any encoder \(\Psi\) a deterministic MAP decoder obtains minimum \(P_{e}^{\alpha}\) among all, possibly non-deterministic, decoders.

**Augustin [33] §33** has the following additional hypothesis: \(\forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{T}, \rho(\varepsilon) \leq 1\).
B. The Constrained Augustin Capacity and Center

**Definition 3.** For any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), and \( A \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \), the order \( \alpha \) Augustin capacity of \( W \) for constraint set \( A \) is
\[
C_{\alpha,W,A} \triangleq \sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} I_\alpha(p;W).
\]
Using the definition of \( I_\alpha(p;W) \) we get
\[
C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_\alpha(W||q,p).
\]

Proofs of the propositions presented in this subsection can be found in [13]. They are very similar to the proofs of the corresponding claims in [11], [13], [14], [20] for Renyi capacity; we invoke Lemma [1] instead of [11] Lemma 10.

**Lemma 2.** For any \( W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \) and \( A \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \)

(a) \( C_{\alpha,W,A} : (0,1] \to [0,\infty) \) is increasing and continuous
(b) \( \frac{1}{\alpha} C_{\alpha,W,A} : (0,1) \to [0,\infty) \) is decreasing and continuous
(c) \( \exists \alpha \in (0,1) \) s.t. \( C_{\alpha,W,A} < \infty \) if \( C_{\alpha,W,A} < \infty \) \( \forall \phi \in (0,1) \).

**Theorem 2.** \( \forall \alpha \in (0,1], W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), and convex \( A \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \),
\[
\sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_\alpha(W||q,p) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha(W||q,p).
\]
If \( C_{\alpha,W,A} < \infty \) then \( \exists p_{\alpha,W,A} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), called the order \( \alpha \) Augustin center of \( W \) for the constraint set \( A \), such that
\[
C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha(W||p_{\alpha,W,A})\cdot
\]
If \( \lim_{i \to \infty} I_{\alpha}(p_i^{(1)};W) = C_{\alpha,W,A} < \infty \) for a \( \{p_i^{(1)}\} \subset \mathcal{A} \) then \( \{p_i^{(1)}\} \in \mathfrak{I} \) is a Cauchy sequence for the total variation metric on \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \) and \( p_{\alpha,W,A} \) is its unique limit point.

Lemma [1] and Theorem 2 imply for all \( \alpha \in (0,1], p \in \mathcal{A} \)
\[
C_{\alpha,W,A} - I_\alpha(p;W) \geq D_\alpha(p_{\alpha,W,A},p)\cdot
\]

Using Lemma [1] and Theorem 2 we can prove the following Erven-Harreboes bound for Augustin capacity.

**Lemma 3.** For any \( \alpha \in (0,1], W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), and convex \( A \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( C_{\alpha,W,A} < \infty \), and \( q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \),
\[
\sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} D_\alpha(W||p) \geq C_{\alpha,W,A} + D_\alpha(q_{\alpha,W,A},q)\cdot
\]
Erven-Harreboes bound, the continuity of \( C_{\alpha,W,A} \) in \( \alpha \), and Pinsker's inequality imply the continuity of \( q_{\alpha,W,A} \) in \( \alpha \) for the total variation topology on \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \).

**Lemma 4.** For any \( \eta \in (0,1], W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), convex \( A \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \), \( C_{\eta,W,A} \in \mathcal{A} \), \( C_{\phi,W,A} < \infty \), and \( \alpha, \phi \) satisfying \( 0 < \alpha < \phi \leq \eta \),
\[
C_{\phi,W,A} - C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{A}} D_{\alpha}(q_{\alpha,W,A},q_{\phi,W,A})\cdot
\]
Furthermore, \( q_{\alpha,W,A} \) \( (0,\eta) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \) is continuous in \( \alpha \) for the total variation topology on \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \).

**Lemma 5.** For any \( \alpha \in (0,1] \), product channel \( W \) for a finite index set \( T \), convex sets \( A_t \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_t) \) for each \( t \in T \), and \( A = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}_t) \}
\[
C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sum_{t \in T} C_{\alpha,W_t,A_t}\cdot
\]
Furthermore, if \( C_{\alpha,W,A} < \infty \) then \( q_{\alpha,W,A} = \prod_{t \in T} q_{\alpha,W_t,A_t}\cdot
\]

III. The Cost Constrained Augustin Capacity With a slight abuse of notation we define the cost constrained Augustin capacity as
\[
C_{\alpha,W,\rho} \triangleq \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} I_\alpha(p;W) \quad \forall \rho \in \Gamma_\rho
\]
where \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) : \sum p(x) \rho(x) \leq \rho \). Note that Theorem [2] and Lemmas [3] and [4] hold for \( C_{\alpha,W,\rho} \) because \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \) is a convex set. We denote Augustin center by \( q_{\alpha,W,\rho}\).

**Lemma 6.** For any \( \alpha \in (0,1], W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), \( \rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \),

(a) \( C_{\alpha,W,\rho} : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) is increasing and concave in \( \alpha \).
(b) If \( C_{\alpha,W,\rho} < \infty \) for a \( \alpha \in \mathcal{I}(\rho) \) then \( \exists q_{\alpha,W,\rho} \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0} \).

The set of all such \( q_{\alpha,W,\rho} \)'s for an \( \alpha \) is convex and compact.

**Lemma 7.** For any \( \alpha \in (0,1], \rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}) \), the order \( \alpha \) constrained Augustin capacity as
\[
C_{\alpha,W,\rho} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{t \in T} I_{\alpha}(p_t(x_t);z_t)
\]
for constraint set \( \rho(z_t) \), \( \alpha \), the order Augustin-Legendre (A-L) information for prior \( p \) and Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda \) is
\[
I_\alpha^\rho(p;W) = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} I_\alpha(p;W) = \lambda \cdot \left( \sum_{t \in T} \rho(x_t)(x_t) \right)\cdot
\]
We call \( I_\alpha^\rho(p;W) \) A-L information because of the convex conjugate pair \( f_{\alpha,p} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to (-\infty,\infty) \) and \( f_{\alpha,p}^* : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R} \)
\[
f_{\alpha,p}(\xi) = \begin{cases} -I_\alpha(p;W) & \rho \geq E_p[\rho] \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
\[
f_{\alpha,p}^*(\xi) = \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \xi \cdot \rho - f_{\alpha,p}(\rho) = \xi \cdot E_p[\rho] + I_\alpha(p;W)
\]
Thus one can write \( C_{\alpha,W,\rho} \) in terms of \( I_\alpha(p;W) \) as
\[
C_{\alpha,W,\rho} = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{\rho \geq 0} I_\alpha(p;W) + \lambda \cdot \rho\cdot
\]
\[I_\alpha(p;W) \] is convex, decreasing and continuous in \( \lambda \). Furthermore, by Lemma [1] for \( \alpha \in (0,1] \) we have:
\[
I_\alpha^\rho(p;W) = D_\alpha(W||q_{\alpha,W,\rho},p) - \lambda \cdot E_p[p] + D_\alpha(W||q,p) - \lambda \cdot E_p[p] + D_\alpha(q_{\alpha,W,\rho},q)\cdot
\]
For any $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, $\rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$, the $\alpha$-L capacity $C^\alpha_{\alpha,W}$ and the $\alpha$-L radius $S^\alpha_{\alpha,W}$ are given by
\[
C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W),
S^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})) \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} D_{\alpha}(W(x) \| q) - \lambda \cdot \rho(x).
\]

Using the definition of $I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W)$, $I_{\alpha}(p; W)$ and $S^\alpha_{\alpha,W}$ we get
\[
C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}))} D_{\alpha}(W(x) \| q) - \lambda \cdot \rho(x).
\]

Lemma 8. For any $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, $\rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$.
\begin{enumerate}
\item[(a)] $C^\alpha_{\alpha,W}$ is convex, decreasing and lower semicontinuous in $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$ and continuous in $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$. \hfill ($\alpha$)
\item[(b)] $\delta_{\alpha,W} - \delta_{\alpha,W} < \alpha$.
\end{enumerate}

Theorem 3. For any $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, $\rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$.
\[C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = S^\alpha_{\alpha,W}.\]

If $C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} < \infty$ then $\exists q_{\alpha,W} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, called the order $\alpha$ A-L center of $W$ for the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$, such that
\[C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} D_{\alpha}(W(x) \| q_{\alpha,W}) - \lambda \cdot \rho(x).\]

B. The R-G Information, Mean, Capacity, and Center
For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$, $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, cost function $\rho : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$, and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ the order $\alpha$ Renyi-Gallager (R-G) information for prior $p$ and Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ is
\[I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W) = \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}))} D_{\alpha}(p \circ W \| \rho \| q \circ p).\]

The order $\alpha$ R-G capacity for Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ is
\[C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W).\]

Using the definition of $I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W)$ and $C^\alpha_{\alpha,W}$ we get
\[C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}))} D_{\alpha}(p \circ W \| \rho \| q \circ p).\]

Using the converse of log function and Jensen's inequality one can show that $I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W) \geq I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W) \leq I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W)$ for $\alpha \in (1,\infty)$. On the other hand, one can show by substitution that $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$.

\[I^\alpha_{\alpha}(p; W) = D_{\alpha}(p \circ W \| \rho \| q_{\alpha,W}) \]

where $q_{\alpha,p}$ is the R-G mean given in theorem similar to [11] Thm 1 holds for R-G quantities.

Then
\[C^\alpha_{\alpha,W} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}))} D_{\alpha}(p \circ W \| \rho \| q \circ p).
\]

IV. SPHERE BOUNDING
Lemma 11. For any $w = w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n$, $q = q_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes q_n$, $\kappa \geq 3$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathcal{Y}_0}$.
\[g_{\alpha,\Phi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\kappa} \bar{E}_{\alpha,\Phi} \left[ \min \left\{ \frac{d_{\alpha,\Phi}}{d_{\alpha,\Phi}} \right\} - \min \left\{ \frac{d_{\alpha,\Phi}}{d_{\alpha,\Phi}} \right\} \right] \]
\[\|w\| \leq (1/\alpha + \kappa) \phi_{\alpha,\Phi} \left[ \min \left\{ \frac{d_{\alpha,\Phi}}{d_{\alpha,\Phi}} \right\} - \min \left\{ \frac{d_{\alpha,\Phi}}{d_{\alpha,\Phi}} \right\} \right] \]

where $\phi_{\alpha,\Phi}$ is the sphere bounding in the spirit of [11] Thm 5, but instead of Chebyshev ineq. it relies on Berry-Essen Thm via [12] Lem 9.

Our sphere bounding for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is
\[C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}))} \|C_{\alpha,W,A} - R\|_{\alpha} \]
\[E_{\alpha,\Phi}(R, W, \alpha) = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}))} \|C_{\alpha,W,A} - R\|_{\alpha} \]

Lemma 12. For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, $A \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.
\[C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}))} \|C_{\alpha,W,A} - R\|_{\alpha} \]
\[C_{\alpha,W,A} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}))} \|C_{\alpha,W,A} - R\|_{\alpha} \]

Proof of Lemma 12 is identical to that of Lem 11.
Then distribution on $\rho$ for $\alpha \in \int_{\rho}^\infty$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, $\lambda$, $\lambda^\alpha_\phi \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha-1} - \frac{1}{e^{\lambda_\phi (1-1)\varepsilon}} \ln \frac{\lambda_\phi}{\lambda_{\rho W'}}$. Hence,

$$D_1 (\rho \| \mu_{\alpha}) = D_\alpha (\rho (m) \| \mu_{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{e^{1-1}}{e^{\lambda_{\rho W'}}} \| \mu_{\rho W'} \|.$$  

Thus we can bound $D_1 (\rho_{
abla m} \| \mu_{\alpha})$ using the non-negativity of the Renyi divergence, i.e. [8] Thm 8], and equation (7) as $D_1 (\rho_{\nabla m} \| \mu_{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} + e^{-1}$. Hence

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} D_1 (\rho_{\nabla m} \| \mu_{\alpha}) + \frac{\gamma}{(1-\alpha)} \ln \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\Gamma}{(1-\alpha)} \rightarrow \infty.$$  

$$D_1 (\rho_{\nabla m} \| \mu_{\alpha})$$ is continuous in $\alpha$ by [12] Lem 7], then by the intermediate value theorem [14] 4.23\),$ $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ s.t.

$$D_1 (\rho_{\nabla m} \| \mu_{\alpha}) + \frac{\Gamma}{(1-\alpha)} \ln \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\Gamma}{(1-\alpha)} m.$$  

Lemma 13 follows from Lemma 11 through a pigeon hole argument similar to the one invoked in [12] eq (68)-(69).

If $W$ is stationary and memoryless Lemma 13 can be proved by setting $\rho_{\phi} \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\rho_{\phi}} \rho_{\phi} \rho_{\phi} \phi d\phi$. Furthermore, bound given in (10) can be obtained for codes satisfying a convex empirical distribution constraint $A \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ by setting $\rho_{\phi} \equiv \int_{\rho_{\phi}} \rho_{\phi} \rho_{\phi} \phi d\phi$ and $\rho_{\phi} \equiv \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)}(1-\varepsilon) \rho_{\phi} + e^{-2} \rho_{\phi} \rho_{\phi} \phi d\phi$, where $B_{\phi} \subset \mathcal{P}(\{x \in X : \phi \in A \text{ s.t.} \rho(x) > 0\})$. 

$$\gamma = 3 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\rho W'}}{\nabla}} \Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha} (1-\varepsilon) \rho_{\phi} + e^{-2} \rho_{\phi} \phi d\phi \quad \chi.$$  

(10)
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