
M-ESTIMATION METHOD BASED ASYMMETRIC OBJECTIVE FUNCTION *

Authors: MEHMET NIYAZI ÇANKAYA
– Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Statistics, Uşak University, Uşak,
Turkey (mehmet.cankaya@usak.edu.tr)

OLCAY ARSLAN
– Faculty Science, Department of Statistics, Ankara University, Ankara,
Turkey (oarslan@ankara.edu.tr)

Abstract:

- The asymmetric ρ function is proposed as an alternative to Huber ρ function to model skewness and obtain robust estimators for the location, scale and skewness parameters. The robustness and asymptotic properties of the asymmetric M-estimators are explored. A simulation study and real data examples are given to illustrate the performance of proposed asymmetric M-estimation method over the symmetric M-estimation method. It is observed from the simulation results that the asymmetric M-estimators perform better than Huber M-estimators when the data have skewness. The application on regression is also considered.

Key-Words:

- *Asymmetric Huber; M-estimation; Robustness.*

1. Introduction

The robust estimation method for the location parameter was proposed by [12]. In the robustness, there are different influence functions have been considered. [2, 11] gave the influence functions to estimate the location and scale parameters robustly. It can be observed that these functions are symmetric. Then, it is not possible to model the asymmetry in data set. In our proposal, we will consider the asymmetric form of influence functions. The asymmetric form of Huber M-estimation will be proposed. The benefit of our asymmetric Huber M-estimation is that the location, scale and skewness parameters can be estimated when the asymmetrically data set does not come from a distribution. In other words, it is well known that the distribution assumption on data set can be a restrictive. In such a case, the location, scale and skewness parameters can be estimated by means of the function we proposed. These approaches for estimating the parameters are in the robust methods.

To get the asymmetric objective function denoted by ρ_{ESH} , the distributions proposed by [16, 17, 18, 7] will be used. A family of these distributions are proposed by [7]. The some special values of parameters in distribution proposed by [7] give the epsilon-skew normal (ESN) and epsilon skew Laplace distributions (ESL). The details of how one can get ESN and ESL are given by [7]. We will use these distributions to get the asymmetric objective function. In this context, we will give the following approach:

Let f be a probability density function. $\rho = -\log(f)$ is known to be objective function in the robustness. The normalizing constant in f can be removed. Let

- $\rho_{ESN}(u) = \frac{u^2}{2(1-\text{sign}(u)\varepsilon)^2}$

and

- $\rho_{ESL}(u) = \frac{|u|}{2^{1/2}(1-\text{sign}(u)\varepsilon)}$

be an objective functions of ESN and ESL distributions.

Huber’s ρ function is given by the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(u) = \begin{cases} u^2 & , |u| \leq k; \\ 2k|u| - k^2 & , |u| > k, \end{cases}$$

and $\rho'(u) = 2\psi(u)$, that is, the function ψ is a derivative of ρ .

$$(1.2) \quad \psi(u) = \begin{cases} u & , |u| \leq k; \\ \text{sign}(u)k & , |u| > k. \end{cases}$$

2. M-estimation based on Asymmetric Objective Function

We used the Huber’s ρ function to propose asymmetric Huber M-estimation. The parameter k in Huber is the tuning parameter to get the robust estimators. In our case, asymmetric Huber M-estimation has c_1 and c_2 that are the tuning parameters due to fact that we will propose the asymmetric form of Huber’s ρ function.

As it is given, the asymmetric Huber M-estimation can be considered as follow:

$$(2.1) \quad \rho^*(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{u}{2^{1/2}(1+\varepsilon)}, & (-\infty, c_1); \\ \frac{u^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & [c_1, 0]; \\ \frac{u^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & [0, c_2]; \\ \frac{u}{2^{1/2}(1-\varepsilon)}, & (c_2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

The proposed asymmetric ρ^* function is not continuous at points c_1 and c_2 . After the required regularization on function ρ^* is done, the following new ρ^{**} function can be proposed as follow:

$$(2.2) \quad \rho^{**}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_1 u}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} - \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & (-\infty, c_1); \\ \frac{u^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & [c_1, 0]; \\ \frac{u^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & [0, c_2]; \\ \frac{c_2 u}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} - \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & (c_2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are the tuning parameters and the continuity of ρ^* is guaranteed via these parameters. Here, ε is a skewness parameter to model the asymmetry.

Definition 2.1. The function ρ^{**} in equation (2.2) is defined to be asymmetric ρ_{ESH} function.

ρ_{ESH} is used to show the asymmetric ρ^{**} function we proposed. When $c_1 = c_2$ and $\varepsilon = 0$, ρ_H in equation (1.1) can be obtained.

The function ψ is a derivative of function ρ_{ESH} . It can be given in the following form:

$$(2.3) \quad \psi(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & (-\infty, c_1); \\ \frac{u}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & [c_1, 0]; \\ \frac{u}{(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & [0, c_2]; \\ \frac{c_2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & (c_2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

The estimators of parameters θ , σ ve ε can be obtained by means of asymmetric objective function given in equation (2.2). The functions ψ_{ESH} , ψ_{ESN} ve

ψ_{ESL} can be obtained from the objective functions ρ_{ESH} , ρ_{ESN} ve ρ_{ESL} . When $\varepsilon = 0$, the influence functions (ψ), the symmetric influence functions ψ_H, ψ_N and ψ_L are obtained.

2.1. M-estimators generated by asymmetric M-objective function

Suppose that the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are distributed as a probability density function f . The parameters θ, σ and ε in function f exists and they are location, scale and skewness parameters, respectively. There are other parameters in the considered f , however we are not interested in other parameters.

In our proposal, our aim is to estimate the parameters θ, σ and ε for the random sample $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. The random sample is supposed to be asymmetrically distributed. Owing to the fact that the probability density function is not known, using the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method is not possible. In such a case, the function Q given in the following form is proposed to estimate the parameters interested.

$$(2.4) \quad Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_{ESH} \left(\frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} \right) + n \log(\sigma) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)$$

The function in equation (2.4) is minimized. To get the estimators of parameters θ, σ and ε , we will take the derivative of parameters interested.

Let u_i be $\frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)}$. Then, the derivative of $Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n)$ with respect to θ and setting it to zero will produce the following equation.

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\theta} \left(\frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} \right) \frac{-1}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} = 0.$$

The weight function is defined to be $w(u_i) = \psi_{\theta}(u_i)/u_i$. Then, the M-estimator of location parameter θ will be

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{x_i}{(\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon}))^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{1}{(\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon}))^2}}.$$

where $w_i = w\left(\frac{x_i - \hat{\theta}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})}\right)$. The derivative of $Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n)$ with respect to σ

and setting it to zero will produce the following equation.

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\sigma} \left(\frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} \right) \frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma^2(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} + \frac{n}{\sigma} = 0.$$

The weight function is defined to be $w(u_i) = \psi_{\sigma}(u_i)/u_i$. Then, the M-estimator of scale parameter σ will be

$$(2.8) \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{(x_i - \hat{\theta})^2}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})^2}.$$

where $w_i = w\left(\frac{x_i - \hat{\theta}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})}\right)$. The derivative of $Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n)$ with respect to ε and setting it to zero will produce the following equation.

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} Q(\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon; X_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} \right) \frac{(x_i - \theta)\text{sign}(x_i - \theta)}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)^2} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{sign}(x_i - \theta)}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \theta)\varepsilon)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The weight function is defined to be $w(u_i) = \psi_{\varepsilon}(u_i)/u_i$. Then, the M-estimator of skewness parameter ε will be

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})^2} - w_i \frac{(x_i - \hat{\theta})^2 \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})}{\hat{\sigma}^2(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})^3} \right] / \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})^2}.$$

The weight function is defined to be $w_i = w\left(\frac{x_i - \hat{\theta}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta})\hat{\varepsilon})}\right)$.

The weight function of these parameters will be given as follow:

$$(2.11) \quad w(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2 u}, & (-\infty, c_1]; \\ \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & [c_1, 0); \\ \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & [0, c_2]; \\ \frac{c_2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2 u}, & [c_2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

As a result, the estimators of parameters θ , σ and ε are gotten. The weight function in equation (2.11) can give the different weights in data set that is negative and positive sides of axis. Thus, the estimators can model the asymmetry in the data set.

2.2. The Computation Steps of Estimators

The random sample is $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is the iteration number. Then iterative reweighting algorithm (IRA) will be given in the following form:

1. Step $\theta^{(1)}$, $\sigma^{(1)}$ ve $\varepsilon^{(1)}$ are the initial values to start the algorithm.

2. Step The weight function w in equation (2.11) is computed by using the following form:

$$u_i^{(k)} = \frac{x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k)}}{\hat{\sigma}^{(k)}(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})}$$

3. Step The estimated value of parameter θ is computed by

$$\hat{\theta}^{(k+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(k)} \frac{x_i}{(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)})^2(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(k)} \frac{1}{(\hat{\sigma}^{(k)})^2(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^2}}.$$

4. Step The estimated value of parameter σ is computed by

$$(\hat{\sigma}^2)^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(k)} \frac{(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})^2}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^2}$$

5. Step The estimated value of parameter ε is computed by

$$\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^2} - w_i^{(k+1)} \frac{(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})^2 \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})}{(\hat{\sigma}^{(k+1)})^2(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^3} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - \text{sign}(x_i - \hat{\theta}^{(k+1)})\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^2}}$$

where $w_i^{(k+1)} = w(u_i^{(k+1)})$. Then, the weight function w in second step is computed by using the estimates $\hat{\theta}^{(k+1)}$, $\hat{\sigma}^{(k+1)}$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)}$.

6. Step If the norm of vector $(\hat{\theta}^{(k+1)} - \hat{\theta}^{(k)}, \hat{\sigma}^{(k+1)} - \hat{\sigma}^{(k)}, \hat{\varepsilon}^{(k+1)} - \hat{\varepsilon}^{(k)})^T$ is bigger than the prescribed value $\epsilon > 0$, the steps are repeated until the prescribed value $\epsilon > 0$ is guaranteed. Finally, the values at last steps are assigned to be estimates of parameters.

3. Robustness Properties of Estimators

In this section, the robustness properties of estimators of parameters θ , σ and ε will be examined. In this context, the influence function that is an indicator for the local robustness and gross error sensitivity that is an indicator of global robustness are considered for the estimators of θ , σ and ε .

3.1. The Influence Function of Estimators

The function ρ in equation (2.2) is used to get the following functions $\psi_\theta(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rho_{ESH}(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma(1-\text{sign}(x)\varepsilon)})$, $\psi_\sigma(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \rho_{ESH}(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma(1-\text{sign}(x)\varepsilon)})$ and $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \rho_{ESH}(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma(1-\text{sign}(x)\varepsilon)})$. For $\theta = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, these functions are given by

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3}, & (-\infty, c_1(1+\varepsilon)]; \\ \frac{-x}{(1+\varepsilon)^4}, & [c_1(1+\varepsilon), 0); \\ \frac{-x}{(1-\varepsilon)^4}, & [0, c_2(1-\varepsilon)]; \\ \frac{-c_2}{(1-\varepsilon)^3}, & [0 + c_2(1-\varepsilon), \infty). \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \psi_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-c_1 x}{(1+\varepsilon)^3}, & (-\infty, c_1(1+\varepsilon)]; \\ \frac{-x^2}{(1+\varepsilon)^4}, & [c_1(1+\varepsilon), 0); \\ \frac{-x^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^4}, & [0, c_2(1-\varepsilon)]; \\ \frac{-c_2 x}{(1-\varepsilon)^3}, & [c_2(1-\varepsilon), \infty). \end{cases}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \psi_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-3c_1 x}{(1+\varepsilon)^4} + \frac{c_1^2}{(1+\varepsilon)^3}, & (-\infty, c_1(1+\varepsilon)]; \\ \frac{-2x^2}{(1+\varepsilon)^5}, & [c_1(1+\varepsilon), 0); \\ \frac{2x^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^5}, & [0, c_2(1-\varepsilon)]; \\ \frac{3c_2 x}{(1-\varepsilon)^4} - \frac{c_2^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^3}, & [c_2(1-\varepsilon), \infty). \end{cases}$$

$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \psi_\theta(x) = \frac{-c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3} < \infty$, however $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \psi_\sigma(x) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \psi_\varepsilon(x) = -\infty$. Then, they are not finite. When the parameters σ and ε are known, the influence function of estimator of parameter θ is finite. However, the influence function of estimators of three parameters are not finite at the same time as it is proved by the tools given by the following forms:

The influence function of estimators of three parameters is

$$(3.4) \quad IF(x; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}) = -B^{-1} \Psi(x)$$

where $\Psi(x) = (\psi_\theta(x), \psi_\sigma(x), \psi_\varepsilon(x))^T$ and

$$(3.5) \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} E_{ESN}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi_\theta(X)] & E_{ESN}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \psi_\theta(X)] & E_{ESN}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \psi_\theta(X)] \\ & E_{ESN}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \psi_\sigma(X)] & E_{ESN}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \psi_\sigma(X)] \\ & & E_{ESN}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \psi_\varepsilon(X)] \end{bmatrix}$$

ESN shows that the underlying distribution is taken as *ESN* to get the integral values. It should be noted that $\det(B) \neq 0$. Then, the matrix B^{-1} exists. Thus, the influence function of estimators of three parameters exists.

The equation (3.4) can be rewritten as the following form:

$$(3.6) \quad IF(x; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11}\psi_{\theta}(x) + T_{12}\psi_{\sigma}(x) + T_{13}\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \\ T_{21}\psi_{\theta}(x) + T_{22}\psi_{\sigma}(x) + T_{23}\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \\ T_{31}\psi_{\theta}(x) + T_{32}\psi_{\sigma}(x) + T_{33}\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} IF_1(x; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}) \\ IF_2(x; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}) \\ IF_3(x; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where T_{ij} represents the row i . and column j . of matrix B^{-1} ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). Here, the components IF_1, IF_2 and IF_3 of the influence function (IF) are not finite, because $\psi_{\sigma}(x)$ and $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x)$ are not finite. Thus, the influence function of the estimators is not finite. It is known that the norm of influence function is defined to be the gross error sensitivity. Then, the gross error sensitivity is given by the following form:

$$(3.7) \quad GES(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \rho_{ESH}) = \{(IF_1)^2 + (IF_2)^2 + (IF_3)^2\}^{1/2}.$$

The components IF_1, IF_2 and IF_3 are not finite. Then, $GES(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \rho_{ESH})$ will not be finite.

3.2. Breakdown Point of Estimator for Location Parameter

[14] and [25] give the assumption for the breakdown properties of location M-estimator. The convexity in asymmetric case is satisfied. Then, these assumptions given below can be used to satisfy the M-estimator generated from the asymmetric objective function.

1. $\rho(0) = 0$ [14] and [25].
2. $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u) = \infty$ [14] and [25].
3. $\psi(u) = \frac{d}{du}\rho(u)$ is continuous for every point of u . [14].
4. Let u_0 exist when $\psi(u)$ is nondecreasing for $0 < u \leq u_0$ and nonincreasing for $u_0 < u < \infty$ for monotone ψ functions [25].

Let us check these assumptions for asymmetric objective and influence functions.

1. $\rho_{ESH}(0) = 0$,
2. $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{ESH}(u) = \infty$,
3. The function ψ is continuous for every point of u .

4. The function $\psi(u)$ is increasing for the point c_2 given arbitrarily for $[0, c_2]$ and it is constant for (c_2, ∞)

These assumptions given above are satisfied. Then, the location estimator obtained from the asymmetric objective function ρ_{ESH} has a global robustness that is breakdown point. The value of breakdown point is $1/2$.

4. Asymptotic Properties

The asymptotic properties that are consistency and asymptotic normality of estimators for the parameters θ, σ ve ε will be examined in this section. The function Q in equation (2.4) is used to show the asymptotic property. The following equations can be obtained after taking the derivatives with respect to parameters and setting them to zero. The explicit forms of the following equations were given by the equations (2.5),(2.7) and (2.9).

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\theta}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = 0$$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\sigma}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = 0$$

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\varepsilon}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = 0$$

The simultaneous estimations of the parameters θ, σ and ε

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(x_i; \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

where $\Psi = (\psi_{\theta}, \psi_{\sigma}, \psi_{\varepsilon})$. The approach given by [24] is adapted into the asymmetric M-estimation. Then, there is an one solution of equation (4.4) at least.

Suppose that $\hat{\theta}$ exists for each of $\hat{\sigma}$. Then,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\theta}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = 0.$$

the location estimation $\hat{\theta}$

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n}(x_i) \leq \hat{\theta} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n}(x_i)$$

is satisfied. Thus, at least one solution can exist for the location estimation. When the $\hat{\sigma}$ changes from 0 to ∞ . The term

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\sigma}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = 0.$$

changes from $\sup\{\psi_{\sigma}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ to 0. The estimation of parameter ε will be solution of the following equation.

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\varepsilon}(x_i; \theta, \sigma, \varepsilon) = 0.$$

The solution is in interval $(-1, 1)$, because $\varepsilon \in (-1, 1)$. Thus, at least one solution of equation (4.4) can be given.

To guarantee the uniqueness of solution, the following two conditions are satisfied [19]:

1. The function ρ_{ESH} is differentiable.
2. The Jacobian of equation (4.4) exists and upper-left corner principal minors of matrix is non-zero.

We will examine whether the conditions are satisfied.

1. The proposed function ρ_{ESH} is differentiable at each points of the interval $[c_1, c_2]$. However, the derivative of ρ_{ESH} is zero at the intervals $(-\infty, c_1)$ and (c_2, ∞) .
2. To construct the equation (4.4)

$$\lambda(\tau) = E_{ESN}\Psi(X, \tau), \quad \tau = (\theta, \sigma, \varepsilon)$$

then

$$B_{jk} = \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial \tau_k}, \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3$$

exists each term of matrix B

Constructing the matrix B in second condition was proposed by [13, 21].

This matrix will be given when the asymptotic normality of estimators. Left-upper three corners of the matrix are given as follows:

$$K_1 = |B_{11}|, \quad K_2 = \begin{vmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{vmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{vmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} \\ B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33} \end{vmatrix}$$

K_1, K_2 and K_3 should be non-zero. Then, the solutions of equation (4.4) has an unique solution, because the conditions 1. and 2. are satisfied.

Uniqueness of solution was guaranteed. Then, we will examine whether the estimators $\hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}$ ve $\hat{\varepsilon}$ are consistent. The convexity can hold when the asymmetric form of objective function is proposed. Then, we can use the assumptions considered by [10].

1. $E_{ESN}[\rho_{ESH}(X)] < \infty$
2. $E_{ESN}[\psi_{\theta}(X)] < \infty, E_F[\psi_{\sigma}(X)] < \infty, E_F[\psi_{\varepsilon}(X)] < \infty$

The result of $E_{ESN}[\rho_{ESH}(X)]$ is given by

$$(4.8) \quad E[\rho(X)] = \frac{-c_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{c_1^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}(1+\varepsilon)} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \\ \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{c_2^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}(1-\varepsilon)} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right).$$

The results of $E_{ESN}[\psi_{\theta}(X)], E_{ESN}[\psi_{\sigma}(X)], E_{ESN}[\psi_{\varepsilon}(X)]$ are given by

$$(4.9) \quad E[\psi_{\theta}(X)] = \frac{-c_1}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{1}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{c_2}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right),$$

$$(4.10) \quad E[\psi_{\sigma}(X)] = \frac{c_1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\ - \frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{c_2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right),$$

$$(4.11) \quad E[\psi_{\varepsilon}(X)] = \frac{3c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_1^2}{(1+\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\ - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\ + \frac{3c_2}{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{c_2^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right).$$

These results will be finite when the c_1, c_2, σ and ε are finite. Then, the conditions 1. and 2. were satisfied. The consistency of estimators obtained simultaneously was been examined. Then, it can examine the asymptotic normality

of estimators. Since the estimators are not explicit form, the Taylor expansion of influence function will be consider as follow:

$$(4.12) \quad \Psi(x_i, \hat{\tau}) = \Psi(x_i, \tau) + (\hat{\tau} - \tau)\dot{\Psi}(x_i, \tau) + \mathbf{R}_n^*$$

the summation of both sides are taken and multiplied by $1/n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{0} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(x_i, \tau) + (\hat{\tau} - \tau) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\Psi}(x_i, \tau) + \mathbf{R}_n$$

was gotten, where $\dot{\Psi}(x_i, \tau) = \frac{\partial \Psi(x_i, \tau)}{\partial \tau^T}$. If $[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\Psi}(x_i, \tau)]^{-1}$ exists,

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} -(\hat{\tau} - \tau) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\Psi}(x_i, \tau) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi(x_i, \tau) + \mathbf{R}_n \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\tau} - \tau) &= B_n^{-1} \sqrt{n} A_n + \sqrt{n} \mathbf{R}_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\sqrt{n} \mathbf{R}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{0}$. Under the regularity of conditions, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, the weak of large numbers

$$(4.14) \quad B_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-\dot{\Psi}(x_i, \tau)) \xrightarrow{P} E[-\dot{\Psi}(X, \tau)] = B$$

can be obtained. By means of central limit theorem,

$$(4.15) \quad \sqrt{n} A_n \xrightarrow{D} N_3(0, A), \quad A = E[\Psi(X, \tau) \Psi(X, \tau)^T]$$

can be obtained. Here, Ψ is three-dimensional. Thus, by means of the Slutsky's multivariate lemma,

$$(4.16) \quad \sqrt{n}(\hat{\tau} - \tau) \xrightarrow{D} N_3(0, B^{-1} A (B^T)^{-1})$$

N_3 shows the 3-dimensional asymptotic normally distributed.

Then, the matrices A and B exist and the inverse of matrix B exists as well. These matrices are obtained when the underlying distribution is chosen as *ESN*.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} E[\psi_\theta^2(X)] & E[\psi_\sigma(X)\psi_\theta(X)] & E[\psi_\varepsilon(X)\psi_\theta(X)] \\ & E[\psi_\sigma^2(X)] & E[\psi_\varepsilon(X)\psi_\sigma(X)] \\ & & E[\psi_\varepsilon^2(X)] \end{bmatrix}$$

The elements of matrix A are

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\psi_\theta^2(X)] &= \frac{c_1^2}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon)^5 2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2^2}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon)^5 2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E[\psi_\sigma(X)\psi_\theta(X)] &= \frac{-c_1^2}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{2}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon) \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(2, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon) \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(2, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2^2}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E[\psi_\varepsilon(X)\psi_\theta(X)] &= \frac{-3c_1^2}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^4 \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{c_1^3}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^5 2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(2, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(2, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{3c_2^2}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^4 \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2^3}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^5 2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E[\psi_\sigma^2(X)] &= \frac{c_1^2}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{2(1+\varepsilon)}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2^2}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E[\psi_\varepsilon(X)\psi_\sigma(X)] &= \frac{3c_1^2}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_1^3}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{4}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{3c_2^2}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2^3}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E[\psi_\varepsilon^2(X)] &= \frac{9c_1^2}{(1+\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{3\sqrt{2}c_1^3}{(1+\varepsilon)^4 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{c_1^4}{(1+\varepsilon)^5 2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{8}{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{8}{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{9c_2^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^3 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{3\sqrt{2}c_2^3}{(1-\varepsilon)^4 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2^4}{(1-\varepsilon)^5 2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$B = - \begin{bmatrix} E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\psi_\theta(X)\right] & E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\psi_\theta(X)\right] & E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\psi_\theta(X)\right] \\ & E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\psi_\sigma(X)\right] & E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\psi_\sigma(X)\right] \\ & & E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\psi_\varepsilon(X)\right] \end{bmatrix}$$

The elements of matrix B are

Table 1: Asymptotic variance of estimators

	$Var(\hat{\tau})/n$	$n = 30$	$n = 50$	$n = 100$	$n = 150$
	$Var(\hat{\theta})/n$	0.190253	0.114152	0.057076	0.038051
$\varepsilon = -0.2$	$Var(\hat{\sigma})/n$	0.018747	0.011248	0.005624	0.003749
$c_1 = -1.1, c_2 = 3.7$	$Var(\hat{\varepsilon})/n$	0.021061	0.012637	0.006318	0.004212
	$Var(\hat{\theta})/n$	0.059406	0.035644	0.017822	0.011881
$\varepsilon = -0.5$	$Var(\hat{\sigma})/n$	0.022944	0.013767	0.006883	0.004589
$c_1 = -0.7, c_2 = 5.0$	$Var(\hat{\varepsilon})/n$	0.016147	0.009688	0.004844	0.003229
	$Var(\hat{\theta})/n$	0.010032	0.006019	0.003010	0.002006
$\varepsilon = -0.8$	$Var(\hat{\sigma})/n$	0.035191	0.021114	0.010557	0.007038
$c_1 = -0.1, c_2 = 6.4$	$Var(\hat{\varepsilon})/n$	0.023486	0.014091	0.007046	0.004697

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\psi_{\theta}(X)\right] &= \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3\sigma^22\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^3\sigma^22\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\psi_{\theta}(X)\right] &= \frac{c_1}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon)^22\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma^2(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{c_2}{\sigma^2(1-\varepsilon)^22\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\psi_{\theta}(X)\right] &= \frac{3c_1}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^32\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{\pi}} \gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{\pi}} \gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{3c_2}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)^32\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\psi_{\sigma}(X)\right] &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}c_1}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{3(1+\varepsilon)}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{3(1-\varepsilon)}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{2}c_2}{\sigma^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\psi_{\sigma}(X)\right] &= \frac{-3c_1}{\sigma(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{4}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{3c_2}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right), \\
E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon}(X)\right] &= \frac{-6\sqrt{2}c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{3c_1^2}{(1+\varepsilon)^32\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{10}{(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_1^2}{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) + \frac{10}{(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{6\sqrt{2}c_2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(1, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right) - \frac{3c_2^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^32\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{c_2^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

4.1. Simulation Study for Estimators of Location, Scale and Skewness Parameters

To test the performance of asymmetric M-estimators, the contaminated version of ESN distribution will be considered as follow:

$$0.90ESN(\theta = 0, \sigma = 1, \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0) + 0.10ESL(\theta = 0, \sigma = 1, \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0)$$

1000 runs are performed. The sample sizes of each run are 30, 50, 100 and 150. The relative efficiencies of estimators are also computed.

$$(4.17) \quad RE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau}) = \left(\frac{MSE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau})}{MSE_{ESN}(\hat{\tau})} \right) 100$$

$$(4.18) \quad RE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau}) = \left(\frac{MSE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau})}{MSE_{ESL}(\hat{\tau})} \right) 100$$

$$(4.19) \quad RE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau}) = \left(\frac{MSE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau})}{MSE_{ESt}(\hat{\tau})} \right) 100$$

$$(4.20) \quad RE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau}) = \left(\frac{MSE_{ESH}(\hat{\tau})}{MSE_H(\hat{\tau})} \right) 100$$

MSE is mean squared error obtained from simulation. ESH is epsilon-skew Huber M-estimator, ESN, ESL and ESt epsilon-skew normal, Laplace and t distributions, respectively. H is symmetric Huber M-estimator. Three degrees of asymmetry are considered to test the asymmetric M-estimators. We make a comparison between the symmetric Huber M-estimator and asymmetric Huber M-estimator. In tables, maximum likelihood estimators of location, scale and skewness parameters of ESN, ESL and epsilon-skew t (ESt) considered by [4] distributions are given. The comparison of them with asymmetric M-estimator is also considered. Tables 2-4 shows that asymmetric M-estimator (ESH) outperforms generally than the maximum likelihood and M-estimators when the data set has outliers. The initial points of θ , σ and ε to start the algorithm are $\text{median}(x)$, $MAD(x) = \text{median}(|x_i - \text{median}(x)|)$ and 0, respectively. Here, $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Three degrees of asymmetry are considered.

4.2. Real Data Application on Estimations of Location, Scale and Skewness Parameters

The real data sets are considered to show the performance of the asymmetric M-estimation (ESH). As an indicator of the goodness of fitness, AIC (Akaike information criterion) ve BIC (Bayesian information criterion) are considered. They are defined as the following forms:

Table 2: Asymmetric M (ESH), ML and M Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.2$): $c_1 = -1.10, c_2 = 3.70, k = 1.4$

		$n = 30$				$n = 50$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	
<i>ESH</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0357	0.1645	0.1658	100	-0.0340	0.0944	0.0956	100
σ	1.0	1.1180	0.0633	0.0773	100	1.1566	0.0348	0.0594	100
ε	-0.2	-0.1603	0.0200	0.0216	100	-0.1804	0.0153	0.0160	100
<i>ESN</i>									
θ	0.0	0.3057	0.0911	0.1846	90	0.3209	0.0181	0.1211	79
σ	1.0	1.2466	0.1105	0.1714	45	1.2999	0.0696	0.1595	37
ε	-0.2	-0.1462	0.0569	0.0598	36	-0.2200	0.0454	0.0455	35
<i>ESL</i>									
θ	0.0	0.1736	0.1014	0.1315	126	0.1809	0.0565	0.0892	107
σ	1.0	0.6316	0.0137	0.1494	52	0.6499	0.0074	0.1300	46
ε	-0.2	-0.1306	0.0308	0.0356	61	-0.1263	0.0172	0.0226	71
<i>ESt</i>									
θ	0.0	0.0096	0.2177	0.2178	76	0.0257	0.1335	0.1341	71
σ	1.0	0.6850	0.0145	0.1137	68	0.7136	0.0081	0.0902	66
ε	-0.2	-0.2156	0.0873	0.0876	25	-0.2007	0.0510	0.0510	31
<i>Huber M</i>									
θ	0.0	0.3342	0.0714	0.1831	91	0.3640	0.0388	0.1714	56
σ	1.0	1.1378	0.0425	0.0615	126	1.0848	0.0222	0.0294	202
		$n = 100$				$n = 150$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	
<i>ESH</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0080	0.0580	0.0581	100	0.0176	0.0364	0.0367	100
σ	1.0	1.1555	0.0197	0.0439	100	1.1702	0.0106	0.0396	100
ε	-0.2	-0.1708	0.0049	0.0057	100	-0.1891	0.0011	0.0012	100
<i>ESN</i>									
θ	0.0	0.1596	0.0616	0.0871	67	0.1904	0.0213	0.0575	64
σ	1.0	1.2967	0.0421	0.1331	33	1.3220	0.0274	0.1311	30
ε	-0.2	-0.1810	0.0230	0.0234	24	-0.2346	0.0094	0.0106	11
<i>ESL</i>									
θ	0.0	0.1711	0.0395	0.0688	84	0.1566	0.0223	0.0468	78
σ	1.0	0.6492	0.0043	0.1274	34	0.6531	0.0024	0.1228	32
ε	-0.2	-0.1352	0.0093	0.0135	42	-0.1321	0.0066	0.0112	11
<i>ESt</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0206	0.0741	0.0746	78	0.0004	0.0446	0.0446	82
σ	1.0	0.7239	0.0041	0.0804	55	0.7160	0.0030	0.0800	49
ε	-0.2	-0.2270	0.0252	0.0259	22	-0.2103	0.0156	0.0157	8
<i>Huber M</i>									
θ	0.0	0.3298	0.0168	0.1256	46	0.3208	0.0112	0.1141	32
σ	1.0	1.0469	0.0184	0.0206	213	1.0640	0.0095	0.0136	291

$$(4.21) \quad AIC = 2k - 2\log(L(\hat{\tau}; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$$

$$(4.22) \quad BIC = -2\log(L(\hat{\tau}; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) + k\log(n)$$

Table 3: Asymmetric M (ESH), ML and M Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.5$): $c_1 = -0.70, c_2 = 5.00, k = 1.4$

		$n = 30$				$n = 50$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	
<i>ESH</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0828	0.1534	0.1602	100	-0.0741	0.1083	0.1138	100
σ	1.0	1.0458	0.0546	0.0567	100	1.0274	0.0369	0.0377	100
ε	-0.5	-0.4123	0.0294	0.0371	100	-0.4415	0.0137	0.0171	100
<i>ESN</i>									
θ	0.0	0.4399	0.1043	0.2979	54	0.3843	0.0774	0.2251	50
σ	1.0	1.4055	0.2091	0.3735	15	1.4629	0.1720	0.3863	10
ε	-0.5	-0.3794	0.0520	0.0665	56	-0.4288	0.0341	0.0392	44
<i>ESL</i>									
θ	0.0	0.4512	0.1137	0.3172	51	0.3933	0.0694	0.2241	51
σ	1.0	0.6787	0.0200	0.1233	46	0.6933	0.0118	0.1059	36
ε	-0.5	-0.2724	0.0353	0.0871	43	-0.3064	0.0207	0.0582	29
<i>ESt</i>									
θ	0.0	0.1200	0.2063	0.2207	73	0.0367	0.1215	0.1228	93
σ	1.0	0.6934	0.0145	0.1085	52	0.7172	0.0068	0.0868	43
ε	-0.5	-0.4559	0.0777	0.0797	47	-0.4947	0.0443	0.0443	39
<i>Huber M</i>									
θ	0.0	1.0894	0.1644	1.3512	12	1.0201	0.0895	1.1299	10
σ	1.0	1.2912	0.1274	0.2122	27	1.3318	0.0893	0.1994	19
		$n = 100$				$n = 150$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	
<i>ESH</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0824	0.0504	0.0572	100	-0.0692	0.0458	0.0506	100
σ	1.0	0.9851	0.0187	0.0189	100	0.9636	0.0106	0.0119	100
ε	-0.5	-0.4894	0.0047	0.0049	100	-0.4958	0.0028	0.0028	100
<i>ESN</i>									
θ	0.0	0.3546	0.0472	0.1730	33	0.3134	0.0359	0.1341	38
σ	1.0	1.4866	0.0814	0.3181	6	1.5389	0.0716	0.3619	3
ε	-0.5	-0.4603	0.0191	0.0207	24	-0.4924	0.0109	0.0110	26
<i>ESL</i>									
θ	0.0	0.3927	0.0350	0.1892	30	0.3832	0.0271	0.1739	29
σ	1.0	0.6942	0.0059	0.0994	19	0.7056	0.0045	0.0912	13
ε	-0.5	-0.3091	0.0109	0.0474	10	-0.3166	0.0071	0.0408	7
<i>ESt</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0568	0.0594	0.0626	91	-0.0796	0.0348	0.0509	99
σ	1.0	0.7204	0.0046	0.0828	23	0.7276	0.0032	0.0774	15
ε	-0.5	-0.5554	0.0218	0.0248	20	-0.5638	0.0121	0.0162	17
<i>Huber M</i>									
θ	0.0	1.0369	0.0646	1.1398	5	1.0252	0.0588	1.1099	5
σ	1.0	1.4452	0.0589	0.2571	7	1.2744	0.0780	0.1533	8

Example 1: The data set in the website <http://discover.nci.nih.gov/datasetsNature2000.jsp> is analyzed by [3] and [22]. In this study, the asymmetric M-estimator (ESH), ESN, ESL, ES t , N (Normal) and Huber M-estimation (H) distributions are used

Table 4: Asymmetric M (ESH), ML and M Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.8$): $c_1 = -0.10, c_2 = 6.40, k = 1.4$

		$n = 30$				$n = 50$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	
<i>ESH</i>									
θ	0.0	0.0689	0.0886	0.0934	100	-0.0262	0.0377	0.0383	100
σ	1.0	1.0331	0.0899	0.0910	100	1.0235	0.0578	0.0584	100
ε	-0.8	-0.7178	0.0227	0.0294	100	-0.7189	0.0105	0.0171	100
<i>ESN</i>									
θ	0.0	0.4769	0.1218	0.3492	27	0.3285	0.0700	0.1779	22
σ	1.0	1.7538	0.4441	1.0123	9	1.7556	0.2643	0.8352	7
ε	-0.8	-0.6577	0.0311	0.0513	57	-0.7121	0.0173	0.0250	68
<i>ESL</i>									
θ	0.0	0.7885	0.1531	0.7748	12	0.7100	0.0721	0.5762	7
σ	1.0	0.8315	0.0406	0.0690	132	0.8008	0.0194	0.0591	99
ε	-0.8	-0.4075	0.0331	0.1872	16	-0.4351	0.0181	0.1513	11
<i>ESt</i>									
θ	0.0	0.1809	0.1671	0.1999	47	0.1678	0.0788	0.1069	36
σ	1.0	0.7402	0.0156	0.0830	110	0.7365	0.0101	0.0795	73
ε	-0.8	-0.7586	0.0469	0.0486	61	-0.7262	0.0264	0.0319	53
<i>Huber M</i>									
θ	0.0	1.8830	8.1574	11.7032	1	1.8797	6.1185	9.6521	0
σ	1.0	1.3302	0.8120	0.9209	10	1.9495	0.7885	1.6899	4
		$n = 100$				$n = 150$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE	
<i>ESH</i>									
θ	0.0	-0.0811	0.0166	0.0232	100	-0.0959	0.0060	0.0152	100
σ	1.0	0.9754	0.0208	0.0214	100	0.9858	0.0171	0.0173	100
ε	-0.8	-0.7595	0.0038	0.0065	100	-0.7639	0.0028	0.0041	100
<i>ESN</i>									
θ	0.0	0.2700	0.0371	0.1100	21	0.2194	0.0244	0.0726	21
σ	1.0	1.7370	0.1247	0.6679	3	1.8112	0.1056	0.7637	2
ε	-0.8	-0.7397	0.0089	0.0125	52	-0.7679	0.0060	0.0070	58
<i>ESL</i>									
θ	0.0	0.6761	0.0425	0.4996	5	0.6810	0.0281	0.4918	3
σ	1.0	0.7981	0.0086	0.0494	43	0.8164	0.0062	0.0399	43
ε	-0.8	-0.4410	0.0097	0.1386	5	-0.4415	0.0066	0.1351	3
<i>ESt</i>									
θ	0.0	0.0500	0.0433	0.0458	51	0.0323	0.0223	0.0234	65
σ	1.0	0.7362	0.0041	0.0737	29	0.7427	0.0033	0.0695	25
ε	-0.8	-0.7899	0.0141	0.0142	45	-0.8009	0.0076	0.0076	54
<i>Huber M</i>									
θ	0.0	2.0201	1.8066	5.8874	0	2.1522	1.1568	5.7889	0
σ	1.0	1.8971	0.9565	1.7602	1	2.1260	0.6387	1.9067	1

to analyze the data set. The tuning constants of asymmetric Huber M-estimators are $c_1 = -0.1, c_2 = 0.3$, the tuning constant of Huber M-estimators is $k = 0.2$

Table 5: Example 1: Estimates of parameters, $\log L$, AIC ve BIC

	ESH	ESN	ESL	ESt	N	H
$\hat{\theta}$	0.0386(0.0815)	0.1240(0.1677)	0.2480	0.2157(0.1170)	0.2838(0.0047)	0.0332(0.1606)
$\hat{\sigma}$	0.1195(0.0491)	0.5139(0.0469)	0.3033	0.3778(0.0329)	0.5330(0.0023)	0.1355(0.0875)
$\hat{\varepsilon}$	-0.2049(0.2551)	-0.1839(0.1884)	-0.0452	-0.0373(0.1788)	-	-
$\log L$	27.1501	-15.7852	8.2659	-45.3664	-17.8779	24.1149
AIC	-48.3002	37.5703	-10.5318	96.7328	39.7559	-44.2297
BIC	-42.0171	43.8534	-4.2487	103.0159	43.9446	-40.0410

Table 6: Example 2: Estimates of parameters, $\log L$, AIC ve BIC

	ESH	ESN	ESL	ESt	N	H
$\hat{\theta}$	0.1130(0.1287)	0.2371(0.4414)	-0.4931	-0.1179(0.3899)	-0.8721(0.0505)	-0.2550(0.3717)
$\hat{\sigma}$	0.2260(0.0928)	1.5598(0.1423)	0.9042	1.3172(0.4006)	1.7419(0.0252)	0.3837(0.1983)
$\hat{\varepsilon}$	0.3144(0.2286)	0.5231(0.1633)	0.1345	0.2950(0.1709)	-	-
$\log L$	-20.5648	-82.3323	-57.3653	-113.2674	-88.9332	-48.8577
AIC	47.1297	170.6645	120.7305	232.5347	181.8664	101.7154
BIC	53.4127	176.9476	127.0135	238.8178	186.0551	105.9041

and the parameter ν that is a tuning constant for the ES t distribution is $\nu = 5$. Table 5 gives the estimates of parameters, $\log(L)$, AIC and BIC values.

Example 2: The tuning constants of asymmetric Huber M -estimators are $c_1 = -0.25, c_2 = 0.1$, the tuning constant of Huber M -estimators is $k = 0.25$ and the parameter ν that is a tuning constant for the ES t distribution is $\nu = 5$. Table 6 gives the estimates of parameters, $\log(L)$, AIC and BIC values. The tuning constants were tired until the smallest values of AIC and BIC are gotten for these two examples.

5. Regression Application on Asymmetric M -Estimation

The regression model is considered as an application of asymmetric M -estimation.

$$(5.1) \quad y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b} + u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where y is dependent variable. \mathbf{x} is explanatory variable. $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{p-1})$ is a vector of parameters. u is error terms. We will get the asymmetric M -estimators.

5.1. Asymmetric M -estimation and its Estimators

The regression model in equation (5.1) is considered. Suppose that the error terms u are asymmetrically distributed. Then, it can be possible to model the asymmetry in data set via the skewness parameter ε . To estimate the parameters

\mathbf{b} , σ and ε , the following function Q will be considered.

$$Q(\mathbf{b}, \sigma, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_{ESH} \left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b}}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)} \right) + n \log(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)$$

The minimization of function Q with respect to parameters will give the estimators of parameters.

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\mathbf{b}} \left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b}}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)} \right) \frac{\mathbf{x}_i}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)} = 0$$

let r_i be $\frac{u_i}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)}$. Then, $w(r_i) = \psi_{\mathbf{b}}(r_i)/r_i$ is defined in the robustness. Then, asymmetric M -estimator is

$$(5.3) \quad \hat{\mathbf{b}} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \frac{w_i}{(\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon}))^2} \mathbf{x}_i^T \right]^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \frac{w_i}{(\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon}))^2} y_i$$

where $w_i = w\left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})}\right)$ is weight function.

To get the estimator for the parameter σ , we will get the derivative with respect to σ

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{-n}{\sigma} + \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\sigma} \left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b}}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)} \right) \frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b}}{(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)\sigma^2} = 0$$

$r_i = \frac{u_i}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)}$. Then, $w(r_i) = \psi_{\sigma}(r_i)/r_i$ is weight function. The asymmetric M -estimator of scale parameter is as follow:

$$(5.5) \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})^2}{(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})^2}$$

where $w_i = w\left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})}\right)$. The derivative of function $Q(\mathbf{b}, \sigma, \varepsilon)$ with respect to parameter ε is taken

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{\text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})}{1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon}} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{\varepsilon}(r_i) \frac{(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})}{(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})^2} = 0$$

$r_i = \frac{u_i}{\sigma(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{b})\varepsilon)}$. $w(r_i) = \psi_{\varepsilon}(r_i)/r_i$. The asymmetric M -estimator of skewness parameter is as follow:

$$(5.7) \quad \hat{\varepsilon} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})}{(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})^2} - w_i \frac{(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})^2 \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})}{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})^3} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})^2}}$$

$w_i = w\left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})}\right)$. The weight function for the asymmetric M -estimators is as follow

$$(5.8) \quad w(r_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2 r_i}, & (-\infty, c_1); \\ \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}, & [c_1, 0]; \\ \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^2}, & [0, c_2]; \\ \frac{c_2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2 r_i}, & (c_2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

where $r_i = \frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\hat{\sigma}(1 - \text{sign}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{b}})\hat{\varepsilon})}$. The computation steps are similar to the previous case, because the estimates of parameters location, scale and skewness are considered. Thus, we omitted the steps for the regression case.

5.2. Simulation Study for Estimators of Regression, Scale and Skewness Parameters

In the simulation setting, the simulation plan is same with the estimations of location, scale and skewness parameters. The true regression model is as follow:

$$(5.9) \quad y_i = 3x_{0i} + 5x_{1i} + x_{2i} - 4x_{3i} + 2x_{4i} - 2x_{5i} + u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

The error terms u are distributed asymmetrically. The explanatory variables are $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_5$. The initial points of $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$, σ and ε to start the algorithm are the vector of $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, MAD and 0, respectively. Three degrees of asymmetry are considered. In tables, the results shows that the asymmetric M-estimators outperforms than the maximum likelihood estimators of regression, scale and skewness parameters of ESN, ESL and ESt distributions generally.

5.3. Real Data Application on Estimations of Regression, Scale and Skewness Parameters

Example 3: The MartinMarietta data set was analyzed by [6], [5, 8, 9, 3, 4] and [1]. The estimates of the regression parameters were obtained by these studies. They assume that the data set consists of 60 monthly observations from January 1982 to December 1986. [6] introduce a linear regression model $y = b_0 + b_1 CRSP + u$ where y is the excess rate of the MartinMarietta company, $x = CRSP$ is an index of the excess rate of return for the New York market, and u is an error term. The tuning constants of asymmetric Huber M-estimators are $c_1 = -0.015, c_2 = 0.03$, the tuning constant of Huber M-estimators is $k = 0.03$ and the parameter ν that is a tuning constant for the ESt distribution is $\nu = 1.5$. Table 13 gives the estimates of parameters, $\log(L)$, AIC and BIC values.

Table 7: Asymmetric M (ESH) and ML Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.2$): $c_1 = -1.10, c_2 = 5.20$

	$n = 30$					$n = 50$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE		$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE
<i>ESH</i>									
b_0	3.0	2.9675	0.1484	0.1495	100	2.8862	0.0841	0.0929	100
b_1	5.0	4.9565	0.0344	0.0362	100	5.0044	0.0253	0.0253	100
b_2	1.0	1.0536	0.0618	0.0647	100	0.9990	0.0230	0.0230	100
b_3	-4.0	-3.9775	0.0351	0.0356	100	-3.9779	0.0260	0.0265	100
b_4	2.0	1.9788	0.0458	0.0463	100	2.0315	0.0329	0.0339	100
b_5	-2.0	-1.9260	0.0457	0.0511	100	-1.9911	0.0236	0.0237	100
σ	1.0	1.0267	0.0135	0.0142	100	1.0364	0.0098	0.0112	100
ε	-0.2	-0.1685	0.0141	0.0151	100	-0.2003	0.0124	0.0124	100
<i>ESN</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.3478	0.0591	0.1801	83	3.3773	0.0219	0.1642	57
b_1	5.0	5.0192	0.0807	0.0811	45	5.0420	0.0517	0.0535	47
b_2	1.0	1.0348	0.0929	0.0941	69	1.0235	0.0344	0.0350	66
b_3	-4.0	-3.9830	0.0779	0.0781	46	-3.9705	0.0473	0.0482	55
b_4	2.0	1.9189	0.1256	0.1322	35	2.0516	0.0344	0.0371	91
b_5	-2.0	-1.9313	0.0697	0.0745	69	-1.9883	0.0339	0.0340	70
σ	1.0	1.1339	0.0783	0.0963	15	1.2276	0.1005	0.1523	7
ε	-0.2	-0.0354	0.0068	0.0339	44	-0.0810	0.0089	0.0231	54
<i>ESL</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.1078	0.1612	0.1728	87	3.1346	0.0803	0.0998	93
b_1	5.0	4.7403	0.1761	0.2435	15	4.6403	0.1550	0.2844	8
b_2	1.0	0.8516	0.0902	0.1122	58	0.9095	0.0978	0.1060	22
b_3	-4.0	-3.7824	0.2043	0.2517	14	-3.7205	0.1581	0.2363	11
b_4	2.0	1.7326	0.1880	0.2595	18	1.8225	0.0721	0.1071	32
b_5	-2.0	-1.8504	0.1347	0.1571	33	-1.8451	0.0905	0.1145	21
σ	1.0	0.6916	0.0384	0.1335	11	0.7090	0.0209	0.1056	11
ε	-0.2	-0.1064	0.0194	0.0282	53	-0.1351	0.0122	0.0164	76
<i>ESl</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.1056	0.3187	0.3298	45	2.9574	0.2092	0.2111	44
b_1	5.0	4.9588	0.0862	0.0879	41	5.0119	0.0388	0.0390	65
b_2	1.0	1.0232	0.1097	0.1103	59	1.0038	0.0334	0.0334	69
b_3	-4.0	-4.0213	0.0663	0.0668	53	-3.9777	0.0398	0.0403	66
b_4	2.0	1.9617	0.0981	0.0996	46	1.9986	0.0354	0.0354	96
b_5	-2.0	-1.9408	0.0581	0.0616	83	-1.9755	0.0394	0.0400	59
σ	1.0	0.7318	0.2703	0.3422	4	0.6817	0.0086	0.1100	10
ε	-0.2	-0.1841	0.1730	0.1733	9	-0.2764	0.1022	0.1080	12

Example 4: The same regression model considered in the previous example 3 is again taken. This data set can get the PET package. It is called as "la". The dependent variable "y=la\$PET510" and the explanatory variable "x=la\$bflow" are standardized. "bflow" is a variable representing the blood flow. The variable "PET510" is measured via the PET (Positron Emission Tomography) machines. The detailed discussion can be found in the package named as gamlss.nl. The [20, 15, 23] studies also analyzed this data set. The tuning

Table 8: Asymmetric M (ESH) and ML Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.2$): $c_1 = -1.10, c_2 = 5.20$

	$n = 100$					$n = 150$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE		$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE
<i>ESH</i>									
b_0	3.0	2.7998	0.0428	0.0828	100	2.8049	0.0311	0.0692	100
b_1	5.0	5.0042	0.0099	0.0099	100	4.9814	0.0094	0.0098	100
b_2	1.0	0.9832	0.0066	0.0069	100	0.9984	0.0068	0.0068	100
b_3	-4.0	-4.0094	0.0144	0.0144	100	-3.9802	0.0065	0.0069	100
b_4	2.0	2.0029	0.0081	0.0081	100	2.0063	0.0064	0.0064	100
b_5	-2.0	-1.9821	0.0092	0.0095	100	-1.9935	0.0079	0.0079	100
σ	1.0	1.0473	0.0044	0.0066	100	1.0447	0.0025	0.0045	100
ε	-0.2	-0.2070	0.0044	0.0044	100	-0.2157	0.0039	0.0041	100
<i>ESN</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.3573	0.0224	0.1501	55	3.3669	0.0093	0.1439	48
b_1	5.0	5.0270	0.0187	0.0194	51	4.9870	0.0181	0.0183	53
b_2	1.0	0.9920	0.0137	0.0138	50	1.0008	0.0096	0.0096	71
b_3	-4.0	-4.0387	0.0267	0.0282	51	-3.9871	0.0094	0.0095	72
b_4	2.0	2.0043	0.0215	0.0215	38	2.0051	0.0114	0.0114	56
b_5	-2.0	-1.9846	0.0155	0.0157	60	-1.9977	0.0131	0.0131	60
σ	1.0	1.2661	0.0389	0.1097	6	1.2943	0.0254	0.1120	4
ε	-0.2	-0.0951	0.0051	0.0161	27	-0.0980	0.0046	0.0150	27
<i>ESL</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.0491	0.0971	0.0996	83	3.0047	0.0839	0.0840	82
b_1	5.0	4.6588	0.1551	0.2815	3	4.6069	0.1201	0.2746	4
b_2	1.0	0.8519	0.0355	0.0679	10	0.9336	0.0409	0.0453	15
b_3	-4.0	-3.6718	0.0844	0.1921	8	-3.6635	0.0846	0.1908	4
b_4	2.0	1.8236	0.0774	0.1065	8	1.8640	0.0835	0.1020	6
b_5	-2.0	-1.8170	0.0808	0.1143	8	-1.8302	0.0596	0.0884	9
σ	1.0	0.7443	0.0227	0.0881	8	0.7855	0.0212	0.0673	7
ε	-0.2	-0.1175	0.0079	0.0147	30	-0.1789	0.0130	0.0135	31
<i>ESt</i>									
b_0	3.0	2.9470	0.0871	0.0982	84	2.8777	0.0823	0.0973	71
b_1	5.0	4.9989	0.0134	0.0134	74	4.9699	0.0128	0.0130	75
b_2	1.0	0.9859	0.0109	0.0111	62	1.0080	0.0092	0.0093	73
b_3	-4.0	-4.0174	0.0184	0.0187	77	-3.9771	0.0076	0.0081	85
b_4	2.0	2.0119	0.0162	0.0163	50	2.0060	0.0068	0.0069	93
b_5	-2.0	-1.9831	0.0142	0.0145	66	-2.0023	0.0127	0.0127	63
σ	1.0	0.7386	0.0042	0.0726	9	0.7685	0.0030	0.0566	8
ε	-0.2	-0.2604	0.0497	0.0513	9	-0.2935	0.0332	0.0419	10

constants of asymmetric Huber M-estimators are $c_1 = -1, c_2 = 0.7$, the tuning constant of Huber M-estimators is $k = 1$ and the parameter ν that is a tuning constant for the ESt distribution is $\nu = 2$. If a value is bigger than the $Q_3 + 1.5IQR = 1.6686$, the added value with y direction is considered to be an outlier. The maximum value of explanatory variable is 5.4276, the added value is 5. Here, Q_3 is the third quantile. IQR is an interquartile range. The sample size is $n = 251$. After adding one outlier, the sample size is $n = 252$. Table 14

Table 9: DAsymmetric M (ESH) and ML Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.5$): $c_1 = -0.30, c_2 = 5.30$

τ	$n = 30$					$n = 50$			
	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE		$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE
<i>ESH</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.0629	0.1043	0.1083	100	2.9531	0.0506	0.0528	100
b_1	5.0	5.0174	0.0496	0.0499	100	5.0219	0.0193	0.0197	100
b_2	1.0	1.0027	0.0419	0.0419	100	1.0106	0.0163	0.0164	100
b_3	-4.0	-4.0016	0.0511	0.0511	100	-4.0097	0.0266	0.0267	100
b_4	2.0	2.0080	0.0472	0.0473	100	2.0126	0.0122	0.0123	100
b_5	-2.0	-1.9778	0.0446	0.0451	100	-2.0076	0.0171	0.0172	100
σ	1.0	1.0301	0.1086	0.1095	100	0.9721	0.0100	0.0108	100
ε	-0.5	-0.5097	0.0278	0.0279	100	-0.5230	0.0089	0.0094	100
<i>ESN</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.9324	0.0643	0.9338	12	3.9961	0.0563	1.0485	5
b_1	5.0	5.0071	0.0898	0.0899	56	5.0391	0.0630	0.0645	31
b_2	1.0	1.0402	0.0853	0.0869	48	1.0118	0.0603	0.0605	27
b_3	-4.0	-4.0389	0.0957	0.0972	53	-4.0706	0.0783	0.0833	32
b_4	2.0	2.0174	0.0877	0.0880	54	2.0291	0.0631	0.0639	19
b_5	-2.0	-2.0281	0.1258	0.1266	36	-2.0227	0.0709	0.0714	24
σ	1.0	1.4340	0.3739	0.5623	19	1.5196	0.2371	0.5071	2
ε	-0.5	-0.1376	0.0126	0.1439	19	-0.1717	0.0098	0.1176	8
<i>ESL</i>									
b_0	3.0	4.3295	0.1289	0.5785	19	3.6835	0.1095	0.5767	9
b_1	5.0	4.7333	0.1948	0.2659	19	4.7566	0.1906	0.2499	8
b_2	1.0	0.9364	0.1432	0.1473	28	0.8366	0.0845	0.1112	15
b_3	-4.0	-3.7703	0.2556	0.3084	17	-3.7676	0.1708	0.2248	12
b_4	2.0	1.9062	0.1776	0.1864	25	1.9135	0.1227	0.1302	9
b_5	-2.0	-1.9351	0.1649	0.1691	27	-1.8726	0.1472	0.1634	11
σ	1.0	0.7930	0.0520	0.0949	115	0.7978	0.0513	0.0922	12
ε	-0.5	-0.1640	0.0205	0.1334	21	-0.1658	0.0114	0.1231	8
<i>ESl</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.0856	0.1987	0.2060	53	3.0557	0.1434	0.1465	36
b_1	5.0	4.9587	0.0886	0.0903	55	5.0196	0.0340	0.0344	57
b_2	1.0	0.9923	0.0832	0.0832	50	1.0168	0.0378	0.0381	43
b_3	-4.0	-4.0140	0.1452	0.1454	35	-4.0211	0.0310	0.0315	85
b_4	2.0	1.9574	0.1279	0.1297	36	2.0144	0.0359	0.0361	34
b_5	-2.0	-2.0362	0.0874	0.0887	51	-1.9977	0.0250	0.0250	69
σ	1.0	0.6531	0.0376	0.1580	69	0.6898	0.0104	0.1066	10
ε	-0.5	-0.5929	0.0841	0.0927	30	-0.5810	0.0680	0.0746	13

and 15 give the estimates of parameters, $\log(L)$, AIC and BIC values.

Table 10: Asymmetric M (ESH) and ML Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.5$): $c_1 = -0.30, c_2 = 5.30$

	$n = 100$					$n = 150$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE		$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE
<i>ESH</i>									
b_0	3.0	2.8901	0.0155	0.0285	100	2.8595	0.0083	0.0280	100
b_1	5.0	5.0040	0.0039	0.0039	100	4.9915	0.0033	0.0034	100
b_2	1.0	1.0027	0.0053	0.0053	100	0.9958	0.0041	0.0041	100
b_3	-4.0	-3.9976	0.0037	0.0037	100	-3.9993	0.0029	0.0029	100
b_4	2.0	1.9966	0.0025	0.0025	100	2.0003	0.0014	0.0014	100
b_5	-2.0	-2.0032	0.0036	0.0036	100	-2.0024	0.0024	0.0024	100
σ	1.0	0.9736	0.0038	0.0045	100	0.9815	0.0028	0.0032	100
ε	-0.5	-0.5164	0.0027	0.0030	100	-0.5072	0.0016	0.0016	100
<i>ESN</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.9450	0.0240	0.9171	3	3.9202	0.0151	0.8619	3
b_1	5.0	5.0383	0.0316	0.0331	12	5.0192	0.0206	0.0210	16
b_2	1.0	0.9864	0.0287	0.0289	18	1.0054	0.0171	0.0171	24
b_3	-4.0	-4.0314	0.0328	0.0338	11	-4.0368	0.0171	0.0184	16
b_4	2.0	1.9962	0.0234	0.0234	11	2.0151	0.0148	0.0150	9
b_5	-2.0	-2.0215	0.0220	0.0225	16	-2.0180	0.0107	0.0111	22
σ	1.0	1.5933	0.1231	0.4751	1	1.6149	0.0927	0.4807	1
ε	-0.5	-0.2053	0.0074	0.0942	3	-0.2249	0.0066	0.0823	2
<i>ESL</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.5221	0.1011	0.3737	8	3.4696	0.0808	0.3014	9
b_1	5.0	4.5857	0.3390	0.5106	1	4.5264	0.1515	0.3757	1
b_2	1.0	0.8813	0.0979	0.1120	5	0.9225	0.0603	0.0663	6
b_3	-4.0	-3.6655	0.1793	0.2912	1	-3.6455	0.1292	0.2549	1
b_4	2.0	1.8401	0.0709	0.0965	3	1.8302	0.0605	0.0893	2
b_5	-2.0	-1.8937	0.1010	0.1119	3	-1.8087	0.0618	0.1009	2
σ	1.0	0.8658	0.1095	0.1275	4	0.8737	0.0444	0.0603	5
ε	-0.5	-0.2029	0.0118	0.1000	3	-0.2169	0.0107	0.0909	2
<i>ESl</i>									
b_0	3.0	2.9438	0.0577	0.0608	47	2.9242	0.0342	0.0400	70
b_1	5.0	4.9897	0.0192	0.0192	20	4.9879	0.0099	0.0100	34
b_2	1.0	0.9879	0.0157	0.0158	33	0.9934	0.0075	0.0075	55
b_3	-4.0	-3.9983	0.0127	0.0127	30	-4.0147	0.0091	0.0093	31
b_4	2.0	1.9915	0.0091	0.0092	27	2.0051	0.0053	0.0053	26
b_5	-2.0	-2.0110	0.0085	0.0086	41	-1.9994	0.0074	0.0074	32
σ	1.0	0.7626	0.0052	0.0615	7	0.7793	0.0039	0.0526	6
ε	-0.5	-0.5857	0.0205	0.0279	11	-0.5775	0.0113	0.0173	9

Table 11: Asymmetric M (ESH) and ML Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.8$): $c_1 = -0.01, c_2 = 6.20$

	$n = 30$					$n = 50$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE		$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE
<i>ESH</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.4040	0.2139	0.3771	100	3.1880	0.1209	0.1562	100
b_1	5.0	4.9738	0.1024	0.1031	100	5.0228	0.0768	0.0773	100
b_2	1.0	1.0093	0.1496	0.1496	100	1.0040	0.0468	0.0468	100
b_3	-4.0	-4.0286	0.1756	0.1764	100	-3.9875	0.0475	0.0476	100
b_4	2.0	1.8981	0.1859	0.1963	100	2.0424	0.0417	0.0435	100
b_5	-2.0	-1.9979	0.1245	0.1245	100	-1.9866	0.0307	0.0308	100
σ	1.0	1.1666	0.3282	0.3559	100	1.0377	0.1754	0.1768	100
ε	-0.8	-0.7395	0.0463	0.0500	100	-0.8319	0.0223	0.0233	100
<i>ESN</i>									
b_0	3.0	4.6000	0.2060	2.7660	14	4.5671	0.0794	2.5350	6
b_1	5.0	5.0640	0.2286	0.2327	44	5.0713	0.1417	0.1468	53
b_2	1.0	1.0032	0.1768	0.1769	85	1.0373	0.1224	0.1238	38
b_3	-4.0	-4.0691	0.2639	0.2687	66	-4.0339	0.0845	0.0857	56
b_4	2.0	1.9688	0.2828	0.2837	69	2.0409	0.1182	0.1199	36
b_5	-2.0	-2.0679	0.2640	0.2686	46	-2.0494	0.0867	0.0892	35
σ	1.0	2.0024	0.8743	1.8791	19	2.1712	0.7601	2.1317	8
ε	-0.8	-0.1903	0.0095	0.3812	13	-0.2500	0.0109	0.3134	7
<i>ESL</i>									
b_0	3.0	4.1359	0.2345	1.5248	25	4.0596	0.1143	1.2372	13
b_1	5.0	4.7404	0.3929	0.4602	22	4.7188	0.1857	0.2647	29
b_2	1.0	1.0128	0.1411	0.1412	106	0.9760	0.1342	0.1348	35
b_3	-4.0	-3.7965	0.3274	0.3688	48	-3.6758	0.1485	0.2536	19
b_4	2.0	1.8841	0.2825	0.2960	66	1.8651	0.1080	0.1262	35
b_5	-2.0	-1.9028	0.2800	0.2894	43	-1.9026	0.1127	0.1222	25
σ	1.0	0.9353	0.1219	0.1260	282	0.9547	0.0549	0.0570	310
ε	-0.8	-0.1981	0.0150	0.3773	13	-0.2408	0.0118	0.3245	7
<i>ESt</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.2988	0.5966	0.6859	55	3.2092	0.1182	0.1619	96
b_1	5.0	4.8167	0.5956	0.6292	17	4.9793	0.0493	0.0497	155
b_2	1.0	0.9902	0.4074	0.4075	37	0.9896	0.0538	0.0539	87
b_3	-4.0	-3.7916	0.6577	0.7012	25	-3.9680	0.0785	0.0795	60
b_4	2.0	1.8722	0.2551	0.2714	72	2.0209	0.0895	0.0899	48
b_5	-2.0	-1.8194	0.4842	0.5168	24	-2.0189	0.0477	0.0480	64
σ	1.0	0.6930	0.0436	0.1379	258	0.7431	0.0278	0.0938	189
ε	-0.8	-0.6726	0.0726	0.0889	56	-0.7778	0.0458	0.0463	50

Table 12: Asymmetric M (ESH) and ML Estimators ($\varepsilon = -0.8$): $c_1 = -0.01, c_2 = 6.20$

	$n = 100$					$n = 150$			
τ	$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE		$\hat{\tau}$	$Var(\hat{\tau})$	$MSE(\hat{\tau})$	RE
<i>ESH</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.0883	0.0105	0.0183	100	2.9272	0.0092	0.0145	100
b_1	5.0	5.0099	0.0081	0.0082	100	5.0020	0.0012	0.0012	100
b_2	1.0	0.9911	0.0115	0.0116	100	1.0028	0.0013	0.0014	100
b_3	-4.0	-4.0027	0.0060	0.0061	100	-4.0009	0.0022	0.0022	100
b_4	2.0	1.9883	0.0063	0.0064	100	1.9970	0.0023	0.0023	100
b_5	-2.0	-1.9932	0.0047	0.0047	100	-1.9987	0.0016	0.0016	100
σ	1.0	0.9697	0.0077	0.0086	100	0.9668	0.0034	0.0045	100
ε	-0.8	-0.7452	0.0042	0.0072	100	-0.7639	0.0045	0.0058	100
<i>ESN</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.5584	0.3346	0.5631	3	3.5236	0.2240	0.4760	3
b_1	5.0	5.0601	0.0545	0.0581	14	5.0768	0.0218	0.0277	4
b_2	1.0	1.0179	0.0397	0.0400	29	1.0039	0.0320	0.0321	4
b_3	-4.0	-4.0586	0.0418	0.0452	13	-4.0589	0.0317	0.0352	6
b_4	2.0	2.0107	0.0409	0.0410	16	2.0366	0.0191	0.0205	11
b_5	-2.0	-2.0415	0.0511	0.0529	9	-2.0498	0.0295	0.0320	5
σ	1.0	2.1763	0.3331	1.7168	1	2.1919	0.2047	1.6253	0
ε	-0.8	-0.2917	0.0068	0.2652	2	-0.3090	0.0052	0.2462	2
<i>ESL</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.9831	0.1062	1.0727	2	3.9723	0.1267	1.0721	1
b_1	5.0	4.6342	0.1360	0.2698	3	4.6800	0.1799	0.2563	1
b_2	1.0	0.9216	0.0817	0.0878	13	0.9271	0.0729	0.0782	2
b_3	-4.0	-3.6813	0.1384	0.2400	3	-3.6560	0.1091	0.2274	1
b_4	2.0	1.8291	0.0965	0.1257	5	1.8276	0.0551	0.0848	3
b_5	-2.0	-1.8124	0.0778	0.1130	4	-1.8239	0.0724	0.1035	2
σ	1.0	0.9879	0.0429	0.0430	20	0.9977	0.0327	0.0327	14
ε	-0.8	-0.2682	0.0125	0.2953	2	-0.2683	0.0116	0.2942	2
<i>ESl</i>									
b_0	3.0	3.0865	0.0394	0.0469	39	3.0720	0.0270	0.0322	45
b_1	5.0	5.0086	0.0081	0.0082	100	5.0022	0.0046	0.0046	27
b_2	1.0	0.9993	0.0108	0.0108	107	0.9977	0.0031	0.0031	43
b_3	-4.0	-4.0001	0.0063	0.0063	97	-3.9974	0.0054	0.0054	40
b_4	2.0	1.9980	0.0082	0.0082	78	1.9895	0.0058	0.0059	39
b_5	-2.0	-1.9989	0.0073	0.0073	64	-2.0069	0.0046	0.0046	35
σ	1.0	0.7816	0.0055	0.0532	16	0.7993	0.0037	0.0440	10
ε	-0.8	-0.8105	0.0146	0.0147	49	-0.7969	0.0082	0.0082	71

Table 13: Example 3: Estimates of parameters, $\log L$, AIC ve BIC

	ESH	ESN	ESL	ES _t	N	H
\hat{b}_0	-0.0047	-0.0177	-0.0099	-0.0092	0.0011	-0.0016
\hat{b}_1	0.3607	1.5118	0.6846	1.0009	1.8025	0.4241
$\hat{\sigma}$	0.1240	0.1105	0.0546	0.0633	0.1210	0.1373
$\hat{\varepsilon}$	-0.1116	-0.2581	-0.1093	-0.0459	-	-
$\log L$	70.1209	58.8884	63.7627	66.1233	53.9302	63.0189
AIC	-134.2418	-111.7768	-121.5255	-126.2466	-101.8604	-120.0377
BIC	-127.9588	-105.4938	-115.2424	-119.9636	-95.5774	-113.7547

Table 14: Example 3: Estimates of parameters, $\log L$, AIC ve BIC

	ESH	ESN	ESL	ES _t	N	H
\hat{b}_0	-0.0773	-0.0841	-0.0280	0.0118	-0.0000	-0.0229
\hat{b}_1	0.7299	0.8094	0.4586	0.6881	0.8046	0.7688
$\hat{\sigma}$	0.6013	0.9618	0.4908	0.5486	0.9980	0.6629
$\hat{\varepsilon}$	-0.0320	-0.1599	0.0000	0.0813	-	-
$\log L$	-176.1575	-265.8107	-258.1030	-223.6345	-274.4067	-191.9880
AIC	360.3149	539.6215	524.2060	455.2690	554.8134	389.9761
BIC	374.4167	553.7233	538.3078	469.3708	565.3897	400.5524

Table 15: Example 4(Added outlier): Estimates of parameters, $\log L$, AIC ve BIC

	ESH	ESN	ESL	ES _t	N	H
\hat{b}_0	-0.0876	-0.0850	-0.0316	0.0103	0.0288	-0.0175
\hat{b}_1	0.7440	0.9262	0.4746	0.6930	0.9491	0.7959
$\hat{\sigma}$	0.6305	1.1451	0.5220	0.5538	1.2504	0.6943
$\hat{\varepsilon}$	-0.0528	-0.2532	-0.0323	0.0734	-	-
$\log L$	-189.6646	-314.7171	-273.7550	-231.9491	-334.5094	-207.4125
AIC	387.3292	637.4342	555.5100	471.8983	675.0188	420.8250
BIC	401.4469	651.5519	569.6277	486.0160	685.6070	431.4133

6. Conclusions

Asymmetric Huber M-estimators are suggested by using the $-\log(f)$ as an objective function in robustness. Asymmetric M-estimators have a skewness parameter to model the potential skewness in data set. The asymptotic properties of asymmetric M-estimators are examined. Firstly, the existence and uniqueness of the proposed objective function with respect to parameters are examined. After that, the asymptotic normality of estimators can be shown via the well known Taylor expansion of the proposed asymmetric M-function and the multivariate Slutsky’s lemma is used. For the proposed estimators, the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix is provided. The influence function as a local robustness property of estimators was provided. The breakdown point as an indicator of global robustness of location estimator is shown to be 1/2 after providing the assumptions of the robustness criteria of breakdown. An application on regression was also considered. Real data examples for both cases were provided. The results show the competence of our proposed estimators when there is a potential asymmetry in data set. The asymptotic properties of regression case will be considered as a comprehensive study. The asymmetric M-estimates in R software will be added. The asymmetric forms of Welsch, Hampel functions will be suggested to model the asymmetry in data set.

REFERENCES

- [1] Actaş, S. Kasap, P. Şenoğlu, B. and Arslan, O. 2013. One-step M-estimators: Jones and Faddys skewed t-distribution. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, Vol. 40(7), 1545-1560.
- [2] Andrews, D., Bickel, P., Hampel, F., Huber, P.J., Rogers, W. and Tuckey, J., 1972, *Robust Estimates of Location: Survey and Advances*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- [3] Arslan, O. 2009. Maximum likelihood parameter estimation for the multivariate skew slash distribution. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, Vol.79(20), 2158-2165.
- [4] Arslan, O. and Gen A.. 2009. The skew generalized t distribution as the scale mixture of a skew exponential power distribution and its applications in robust estimation. *Statistics*, Vol.43(5), 481-498.
- [5] Azzalini, A. and Capitanio, A. 2003. Distributions generated by perturbation of asymmetry with emphasis on a multivariate skew t distribution. *J. R. Stat. aSoc. Ser. B* 65, 367389.
- [6] R.J. Butler, J.B. McDonald, R.D. Nelson, and S.B. White, Robust and partially adaptive estimation of regression models, *Rev. Econ. Stat.* 72 (1990), pp. 321327.
- [7] Çankaya M.N.; Bulut Y.M.; Dođru F.Z., Arslan O. A Bimodal Extension of the Generalized Gamma Distribution, *Revista Colombiana de Estadística*, 2015, 38(2), 353-370.

- [8] DiCiccio, T.J., and Monti, A.C., 2004. Inferential aspects of the skew exponential power distribution. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* Vol. 99(466), 439-450.
- [9] Genc, A.. 2007. A generalization of the univariate slash by a scale mixtured exponential power distribution. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation*. Vol. 36(5), 937-947.
- [10] Haberman, S.J. 1989. Concavity and Estimation. *The Annals of Statistics*. JSTOR, Vol.17(4), 1631-1661.
- [11] Hampel, F.R.; Ronchetti, E.M.; Rousseeuw, P.J.; and Stahel, W.A. *Robust Statistics: The Approach Based on Influence Functions*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 1986, 36-39, New York.
- [12] Huber, P.J. *Robust Estimation of a Location Parameter*, *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 1964, Vol. 40, (1), 73-101.
- [13] Huber, P.J. *Robust statistics*, 1981, Springer.
- [14] Huber, P.J. 1984. Finite Sample Breakdown of M- and P-Estimators. *Annals of Statistics*, Vol.12(1), 119-126.
- [15] Jones, M.C. and Faddy, M.J. 2003. A skew extension of the t distribution with applications. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc B*. 65(1), 159-174.
- [16] Elsalloukh, H. 2005. Further Results on the Epsilon-Skew Exponential Power Distribution. University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 1-15.
- [17] Elsalloukh, H. 2008. The Epsilon-Skew Laplace Distribution. University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 5-19.
- [18] Elsalloukh, H., Guardiola, J.H. and Young, M. 2005. The Epsilon-Skew Exponential Power Distribution Family. *Far East Journal of Theoretical Statistics*. Pushpa Publishing. Vol. 17(1), 97-112.
- [19] Fujimoto, T., and Herrero, C. 2000. *A Univalence Theorem for Nonlinear Mappings: An Elementary Approach*, 2015.
- [20] Lange, K.L. Little, R.J.A. and Taylor, J.M.G. 1989. Robust statistical modelling using the t distribution. *J. Am. Statist. Ass*, Vol. 84(408), 881-896.
- [21] Maronna, R. Martin, D. and Yohai, V. 2006. *Robust Statistics: Theory and Methods*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 403, UK.
- [22] Purdom, E., and Holmes, S.P., 2005. Error distribution for gene expression data. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 4, Article 16,7-33.
- [23] Rigby, R.A. and Stasinopoulos, D.M. 2006. Using the Box-Cox t distribution in GAMLSS to mode skewnees and and kurtosis. *Statistical Modelling*, Vol. 6(3), 209-229.
- [24] Scholz, F.W. 1965. *Comparison of Optimal Location Estimators*. PhD Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1-33.
- [25] Zhang, J.L. and Li, G. 1998. Breakdown properties of location M-estimators. *Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 26(3), 1170-1189.