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#### Abstract

In 1954 B. H. Neumann discovered that if $G$ is a group in which all conjugacy classes are finite with bounded size, then the derived group $G^{\prime}$ is finite. Later (in 1957) Wiegold found an explicit bound for the order of $G^{\prime}$. We study groups in which the conjugacy classes containing commutators are finite with bounded size. We obtain the following results.

Let $G$ be a group and $n$ a positive integer. If $\left|x^{G}\right| \leq n$ for any commutator $x \in G$, then the second derived group $G^{\prime \prime}$ is finite with $n$-bounded order.

If $\left|x^{G^{\prime}}\right| \leq n$ for any commutator $x \in G$, then the order of $\gamma_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is finite and $n$-bounded.


## 1. Introduction

Given a group $G$ and an element $x \in G$, we write $x^{G}$ for the conjugacy class containing $x$. Of course, if the number of elements in $x^{G}$ is finite, we have $\left|x^{G}\right|=\left[G: C_{G}(x)\right]$. A group is said to be a BFC-group if its conjugacy classes are finite and of bounded size. One of B. H. Neumann's discoveries was that in a BFC-group the derived group $G^{\prime}$ is finite [3]. It follows that if $\left|x^{G}\right| \leq n$ for each $x \in G$, then the order of $G^{\prime}$ is bounded by a number depending only on $n$. A first explicit bound for the order of $G^{\prime}$ was found by J. Wiegold [7], and the best known was obtained in [1] (see also [4] and [6]).

In the present article we deal with groups $G$ such that $\left|x^{G}\right| \leq n$ whenever $x$ is a commutator, that is, $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ for suitable $x_{1}, x_{2} \in G$. Here and throughout the article we write $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ for $x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} x_{2}$. As usual, we denote by $G^{\prime}$ the derived group of $G$ and by $G^{\prime \prime}$ the derived group of $G^{\prime}$ (the second derived group of $G$ ).

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $G$ a group in which $\left|x^{G}\right| \leq n$ for any commutator $x$. Then $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is finite and $n$-bounded.

Further, we consider groups $G$ in which $\left|x^{G^{\prime}}\right| \leq n$ whenever $x$ is a commutator.

Theorem 1.2. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $G$ a group in which $\left|x^{G^{\prime}}\right| \leq n$ for any commutator $x$. Then $\left|\gamma_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|$ is finite and $n$-bounded.

Here $\gamma_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the third term of the lower central series of $G^{\prime}$. We do not know whether under hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 the second derived group $G^{\prime \prime}$ must necessarily be finite. Note that under hypothesis of Theorem $1.1 \gamma_{3}(G)$ can be infinite. This can be shown using any example of an infinite torsion-free metabelian group whose commutator quotient is finite (see for instance [2]).

We make no attempts to obtain good bounds for $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|$ in Theorem 1.1 and $\left|\gamma_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|$ in Theorem 1.2. The proofs given here yield bounds $n^{54 n^{14}}$ and $n^{12 n^{10}}$, respectively. The bounds however do not look realistic at all.

## 2. Proofs

Let $G$ be a group generated by a set $X$ such that $X=X^{-1}$. Given an element $g \in G$, we write $l_{X}(g)$ for the minimal number $l$ with the property that $g$ can be written as a product of $l$ elements of $X$. Clearly, $l_{X}(g)=0$ if and only if $g=1$. We call $l_{X}(g)$ the length of $g$ with respect to $X$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $H$ be a group generated by a set $X=X^{-1}$ and let $K$ be a subgroup of finite index $m$ in $H$. Then each coset $K b$ contains an element $g$ such that $l_{X}(g) \leq m-1$.

Proof. If $b \in K$, the result is obvious. Therefore we assume that $b \notin K$. Choose $g \in K b$ in such a way that $s=l_{X}(g)$ is as small as possible and suppose that $s \geq m$. Write $g=x_{1} \cdots x_{s}$ with $x_{i} \in X$ and set $y_{j}=x_{1} \cdots x_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, s$. Since $s$ is the minimum of lengths of elements in $K b$, it follows that none of the elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}$ lies in $K$. Thus, these $s$ elements belong to the union of at most $m-1$ right cosets of $K$ and we conclude that $K y_{i}=K y_{j}$ for some $1 \leq i<j \leq s$. It is now easy to see that the element $h=y_{i} x_{j+1} \ldots x_{s}$ belongs to $K b$ while $l_{X}(h)<l_{X}(g)$. This is a contradiction with the choice of $g$.

In the sequel the above lemma will be used in the situation where $H$ is the derived group of a group $G$ and $X$ is the set of commutators in $G$. Therefore we will write $l(g)$ to denote the smallest number such that the element $g \in G^{\prime}$ can be written as a product of as many commutators.

Recall that if $H$ is a group and $a \in H$, the subgroup $[H, a]$ is generated by all commutators of the form $[h, a]$, where $h \in H$. It is well-known that $[H, a]$ is always normal in $H$. Recall that in any group $G$ the following "standard commutator identities" hold.
(1) $[x, y]^{-1}=[y, x]$;
(2) $[x y, z]=[x, z]^{y}[y, z]$;
(3) $[x, y z]=[x, z][x, y]^{z}$.

In what follows the above identities will be used without explicit references.

We will now fix some notation and hypothesis.
Hypothesis 2.2. Let $G$ be a group and $K$ a subgroup containing $H=G^{\prime}$. Let $X$ denote the set of commutators in $G$ and suppose that $C_{K}(x)$ has finite index at most $n$ in $K$ for each $x \in X$. Let $m$ be the maximum of indices of $C_{H}(x)$ in $H$, where $x \in X$. Suppose further that $a \in X$ and $C_{H}(a)$ has index precisely $m$ in $H$. Choose $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in H$ such that $l\left(b_{i}\right) \leq m-1$ and $a^{H}=\left\{a^{b_{i}} ; i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$. (The existence of such elements is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1.) Set $U=C_{K}\left(\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\rangle\right)$.

Lemma 2.3. Assume Hypothesis 2.2. Then for any $x \in X$ the subgroup $[H, x]$ has finite $m$-bounded order.

Proof. Choose $x \in X$. Since $C_{H}(x)$ has index at most $m$ in $H$, by Lemma 2.1 we can choose elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ such that $l\left(y_{i}\right) \leq$ $m-1$ and $[H, x]$ is generated by the commutators $\left[y_{i}, x\right]$. For each $i=1, \ldots, m$ write $y_{i}=y_{i 1} \ldots y_{i(m-1)}$, where $y_{i j} \in X$. The standard commutator identities show that $\left[y_{i}, x\right]$ can be written as a product of conjugates in $H$ of the commutators $\left[y_{i j}, x\right]$. Let $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ be the conjugates in $H$ of elements from the set $\left\{x, y_{i j} ; 1 \leq i, j \leq m\right\}$. Since $C_{H}(h)$ has finite index at most $m$ in $H$ for each $h \in X$, it follows that $s$ is $m$-bounded. Let $T=\left\langle h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}\right\rangle$. It is clear that $[H, x] \leq T^{\prime}$ and so it is sufficient to show that $T^{\prime}$ has finite $m$-bounded order. Observe that $C_{H}\left(h_{i}\right)$ has finite index at most $m$ in $H$ for each $i=1, \ldots, s$. It follows that the center $Z(T)$ has index at most $m^{s}$ in $T$. Thus, Schur's theorem [5, 10.1.4] tells us that $T^{\prime}$ has finite $m$-bounded order, as required.

Note that the subgroup $U$ has finite $n$-bounded index in $K$. This follows from the facts that $l\left(b_{i}\right) \leq m-1$ and $C_{K}(x)$ has index at most $n$ in $K$ for each $x \in X$.

The next lemma is somewhat analogous with Lemma 4.5 of Wiegold [7].

Lemma 2.4. Assume Hypothesis 2.2. Suppose that $u \in U$ and $u a \in$ $X$. Then $[H, u] \leq[H, a]$.

Proof. Since $u \in U$, it follows that $(u a)^{b_{i}}=u a^{b_{i}}$ for each $i=$ $1, \ldots, m$. Therefore the elements $u a^{b_{i}}$ form the conjugacy class $(u a)^{H}$. For an arbitrary element $g \in H$ there exists $h \in\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ such that $(u a)^{g}=u a^{h}$ and so $u^{g} a^{g}=u a^{h}$. Therefore $[u, g]=a^{h} a^{-g} \in[H, a]$. The lemma follows.

Proposition 2.5. Assume Hypothesis 2.2 and write $a=[d, e]$ for suitable $d, e \in G$. There exists a subgroup $U_{1} \leq U$ with the following properties.
(1) The index of $U_{1}$ in $K$ is $n$-bounded;
(2) $\left[H, U_{1}^{\prime}\right] \leq[H, a]^{d^{-1}}$;
(3) $\left[H,\left[U_{1}, d\right]\right] \leq[H, a]$.

Proof. Set

$$
U_{1}=U \cap U^{d^{-1}} \cap U^{d^{-1} e^{-1}}
$$

Since the index of $U$ in $K$ is $n$-bounded, we conclude that the index of $U_{1}$ in $K$ is $n$-bounded as well. Choose arbitrarily elements $h_{1}, h_{2} \in U_{1}$. Write

$$
\left[h_{1} d, e h_{2}\right]=\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]^{d}\left[d, h_{2}\right]\left[h_{1}, e\right]^{d h_{2}}[d, e]^{h_{2}}
$$

and so

$$
\left[h_{1} d, e h_{2}\right]^{h_{2}^{-1}}=\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]^{d h_{2}^{-1}}\left[d, h_{2}\right]^{h_{2}^{-1}}\left[h_{1}, e\right]^{d}[d, e] .
$$

Denote the product $\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]^{d h_{2}^{-1}}\left[d, h_{2}\right]^{h_{2}^{-1}}\left[h_{1}, e\right]^{d}$ by $u$. Thus, the right hand side of the above equality is $u a$ while, obviously, on the left hand side we have a commutator. Let us check that $u \in U$. We see that $\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]^{d h_{2}^{-1}} \in U_{1}^{d h_{2}^{-1}} \leq U$ because $U_{1}^{d} \leq U$. By the same reason, $\left[d, h_{2}\right]^{h_{2}^{-1}} \in U$. Finally, $\left[h_{1}, e\right]^{d} \in U_{1}^{d} U_{1}^{e d} \leq U$ so indeed $u \in U$. By Lemma [2.4, $[H, u] \leq[H, a]$. This holds for any choice of $h_{1}, h_{2} \in U_{1}$. In particular, taking $h_{1}=1$ we see that $\left[H,\left[d, h_{2}\right]^{h_{2}^{-1}}\right] \leq[H, a]$ while taking $h_{2}=1$ we conclude that $\left[H,\left[h_{1}, e\right]^{d}\right] \leq[H, a]$. It now follows that $\left[H,\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]^{d h_{2}^{-1}}\right] \leq[H, a]$. Since $[H, a]$ is normal in $H$, we have $\left[H,\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]\right] \leq[H, a]^{d^{-1}}$ and so $\left[H, U_{1}^{\prime}\right] \leq[H, a]^{d^{-1}}$, which proves that $U_{1}$ has property 2. Examine again the inclusion $\left[H,\left[d, h_{2}\right]^{h_{2}^{-1}}\right] \leq[H, a]$. Since $[H, a]$ is normal in $H$, it follows that $\left[H,\left[U_{1}, d\right]\right] \leq[H, a]$. Therefore $U_{1}$ has property 3 as well. The proof is now complete.

We are ready to prove our main results.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $G$ is a group in which $\left|x^{G}\right| \leq$ $n$ for any commutator $x$. We need to show that $\left|G^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is finite and $n$ bounded.

We denote by $X$ the set of commutators in $G$ and set $H=G^{\prime}$. Let $m$ be the maximum of indices of $C_{H}(x)$ in $H$, where $x \in X$. Of course, $m \leq n$. Choose $a \in X$ such that $C_{H}(a)$ has index precisely $m$ in $H$. Choose $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in H$ such that $l\left(b_{i}\right) \leq m-1$ and $a^{H}=\left\{a^{b_{i}} ; i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, m\}$. Set $U=C_{G}\left(\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\rangle\right)$. Note that the index of $U$ in $G$ is $n$-bounded. Applying Proposition [2.5 with $K=G$ we find a subgroup $U_{1}$, of $n$-bounded index, such that $\left[H, U_{1}^{\prime}\right] \leq\left\langle[H, a]^{G}\right\rangle$. Since the index of $U_{1}$ in $G$ is $n$-bounded, we can find $n$-boundedly many commutators $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s} \in X$ such that $H=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}, H \cap U_{1}\right\rangle$. Let $T$ be the normal closure in $G$ of the product of the subgroups $[H, a]$ and $\left[H, c_{i}\right]$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, s$. By Lemma 2.3 each of these subgroups has $n$-bounded order. Our hypothesis is that each of them has at most $n$ conjugates. Thus, $T$ is a product of $n$-boundedly many finite subgroups, normalizing each other and having $n$-bounded order. We conclude that $T$ has finite $n$-bounded order. Therefore it is sufficient to show that the second derived group of the quotient $G / T$ has finite $n$-bounded order. So we pass to the quotient $G / T$. To avoid complicated notation the images of $G, H$ and $X$ will be denoted by the same symbols. We observe that the derived group of $H U_{1}$ is contained in $Z(H)$. This follows from the facts that $H U_{1}$ is generated by $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}$ and $U_{1}$ and modulo $T$ we have $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s} \in Z(H)$ and $U_{1}^{\prime} \leq Z(H)$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ denote the family of subgroups $S \leq G$ with the following properties.
(1) $H \leq S$;
(2) $S^{\prime} \leq Z(H)$;
(3) $S$ has finite index in $G$.

We already know that $\mathcal{X}$ is non-empty since it contains $H U_{1}$. Choose $J \in \mathcal{X}$ of minimal possible index $j$ in $G$. Since the index of $U_{1}$ in $G$ is $n$-bounded, the index $j$ is $n$-bounded, too. We will now use induction on $j$. If $j=1$, then $J=G$ and $H \leq Z(H)$. So $G^{\prime \prime}=1$ and we have nothing to prove. Thus, we assume that $j \geq 2$.

Again, we take a commutator $a_{0} \in X$ such that $C_{H}\left(a_{0}\right)$ has maximal possible index in $H$ and write $a_{0}=[d, e]$ for suitable $d, e \in G$. If both $d$ and $e$ belong to $J$, we conclude (since $J^{\prime} \leq Z(H)$ ) that $H$ is abelian and $G^{\prime \prime}=1$. Thus, assume that at least one of them, say $d$, is not in $J$. We will use Proposition [2.5 with $K=G$. It follows that there is a subgroup $V$ of $n$-bounded index in $G$ such that $[H,[V, d]] \leq\left[H, a_{0}\right]$. Replacing if necessary $V$ by $V \cap J$, without loss of generality we can assume that $V \leq J$. Let $L=J\langle d\rangle$. Note that $L^{\prime}=J^{\prime}[J, d]$. Let $1=g_{1}, \ldots, g_{t}$ be a full system of representatives of the right cosets of $V$ in $J$. Then $[J, d]$ is generated by $[V, d]^{g_{1}}, \ldots,[V, d]^{g_{t}}$ and $\left[g_{1}, d\right], \ldots,\left[g_{t}, d\right]$. This is
straightforward from the fact that $[v g, d]=[v, d]^{g}[g, d]$ for any $g, v \in G$. Next, for each $i=1, \ldots, t$ set $x_{i}=\left[g_{i}, d\right]$. Let $R$ be the normal closure in $G$ of the product of the subgroups $\left[H, a_{0}\right]^{g_{i}}$ and $\left[H, x_{i}\right]$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. By Lemma 2.3 each of these subgroups has $n$-bounded order. Our hypothesis is that each of them has at most $n$ conjugates. Thus, $R$ is a product of $n$-boundedly many finite subgroups, normalizing each other and having $n$-bounded order. We conclude that $R$ has finite $n$ bounded order. We see that $\left[H, L^{\prime}\right] \leq R$. Since $d \notin J$, the index of $L$ in $G$ is strictly smaller than $j$. Therefore, by induction on $j$, the second derived group of $G / R$ is finite with bounded order. Taking into account that also $R$ is finite with bounded order, we deduce that $G^{\prime \prime}$ is finite with bounded order. The proof is now complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall that $G$ is a group in which $\left|x^{G^{\prime}}\right| \leq$ $n$ for any commutator $x$. We need to prove that $\gamma_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is finite with $n$-bounded order. As before, we write $X$ for the set of commutators in $G$ and $H$ for the derived group. Choose a commutator $a \in X$ such that $C_{H}(a)$ has maximal possible index in $H$. We will use Proposition 2.5 with $K=H$. It follows that $H$ contains a subgroup $U_{1}$ of finite $n$-bounded index such that $\left[H, U_{1}^{\prime}\right] \leq[H, a]^{d^{-1}}$ for some $d \in G$. Write $b_{0}=a^{d^{-1}}$ and so $\left[H, U_{1}^{\prime}\right] \leq\left[H, b_{0}\right]$. Since the index of $U_{1}$ in $H$ is $n$ bounded, we can find $n$-boundedly many commutators $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} \in X$ such that $H=\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}, U_{1}\right\rangle$. Let $T$ be the product of the subgroups [ $H, b_{i}$ ] for $i=0,1, \ldots, s$. By Lemma [2.3] each of these subgroups has $n$-bounded order. All of them are normal in $H$ and so $T$ is normal in $H$ and has finite $n$-bounded order. The center of $H / T$ contains the images of $U_{1}^{\prime}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}$. It follows that the quotient of $H / T$ over its center is abelian. Therefore $\gamma_{3}(H) \leq T$, which completes the proof.
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