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Abstract

Let \( R \) be a finite valuation ring of order \( q^r \) where \( q \) is odd and \( A \) be a subset of \( R \). In the present paper, we prove that there exists a point \( u \) in the Cartesian product set \( A \times A \subset R^2 \) such that the size of the pinned distance set at \( u \) satisfies

\[
|\Delta_u(A \times A)| \gg \min \left\{ q^r, \frac{|A|^3}{q^{2r}-1} \right\}.
\]

This implies that if \(|A| \geq q^{r-\frac{1}{2}}\), then the set \( A \times A \) determines a positive proportion of all possible distances.

1 Introduction

Erdős-Falconer type problems in discrete geometry ask for a threshold on the size of a set so that the set determines the given geometric configurations. These problems have been studied by many authors both in continuous and discrete setting.

In [9], Erdős observed that \( \sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n} \) integer grid determines \( C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right) \) distances and he conjectured that the minimum number of distances determined by a \( n \)-point set in the plane is indeed \( C\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right) \), where \( C \) is an absolute constant. Despite many works and progress, the Erdős distance problem was open until recently. In 2010, Guth and Katz [10] employed a polynomial partitioning technique based on Elekes-Sharir framework to prove that \( n \) points in the plane determine at least \( C\frac{n}{\log n} \) distances. This result solved the Erdős distance problem up to a \( \sqrt{\log} \) factor.

The distance problem in finite field plane was first studied by Bourgain, Katz and Tao in [5]. The result in [5] was later generalized by various authors to higher dimensional vector spaces. It was also extended to many other geometric configurations in finite field geometry, see for instance [2–4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, 16] and references therein. In particular, in [16], Petridis proved the following pinned distance result for Cartesian product subsets of vector spaces over prime fields.
Theorem 1.1. [16, Theorem 1.1] Let $p$ be an odd prime and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. There exist $a, b \in A$ such that

$$|\Delta_{(a,b)}(A \times A)| = \Omega(\min\{p, |A|^{3/2}\}).$$

Similar geometric problems in modules over finite cyclic rings were studied by Covert, Iosevich and Pakianathan in [8]. Using a Fourier analytic approach, the authors of [8] proved the following.

Theorem 1.2. [8, Theorem 1.3] Let $E \subset \mathbb{Z}_q^d$, where $q = p^l$. Suppose

$$|E| \gg l(l + 1)q^{(2l-1)d+\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

Then the distance set $\Delta(E)$ determined by the points of $E$ satisfies

$$\Delta(E) \supset \mathbb{Z}_q^* \cup \{0\}.$$

Later in [12], Hieu and Vinh proved the following distance result in the context of finite cyclic rings.

Theorem 1.3. [12, Theorem 2.7] Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ be of cardinality $|A| \gg q^{1-\frac{1}{2r}}$. Then, the size of the distance set determined by $A^n$ satisfies

$$|\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_q}(A^n)| \gg \min\left\{q, \frac{|A|^{2n-1}}{(r q^{2-\frac{1}{r}})^{n-1}}\right\}.$$  

Now, let $R$ denote a finite valuation ring. In this paper, we study a variant of distance problem, namely pinned distance problem, for Cartesian product subsets $A \times A$ of $R^2$.

Note that, the method we use to prove the main result of this paper is analogous to the one given by Petridis in [16, Theorem 1.1]. More precisely, we first see pinned distances at a fixed point in $R^2$ as a point-plane incidence in $R^3$. Then we employ the point-plane incidence bound for multisets in $R^3$ which is recently given by Van The et al. in [17, Theorem 2.3]. This yields the lower bound for the size of the specified pinned distance set in $R^2$ in Theorem 1.4.

We should mention that the distance result we obtain in Theorem 1.4 recovers the pinned distance result in [16] in the finite field setting. Also, in the setting of modules over finite cyclic rings $\mathbb{Z}_q$, it is an improvement on the distance results given in [8, 12] for the Cartesian product sets $A \times A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q^2$.

Before stating the main theorem, let us recall some necessary definitions.
We note that a detailed definition of finite valuation ring can be found in [15]. In order to make the statements precise and self contained, we will review the definition and provide some key examples in this note. A finite valuation ring is a finite principal ideal domain which is local. Given a finite valuation ring \( R \), we associate two parameters \( q \) and \( r \) to \( R \) as follows. Let the maximal ideal \( M \) of \( R \) to be of the form \( M = (\pi) \), where \( \pi \) is the uniformizer of \( R \), i.e., a non unit defined up to a unit of \( R \). Let \( r \) be the nilpotency degree of \( \pi \), that is the smallest positive integer with the property that \( \pi^r = 0 \) and \( q \) be the size of the residue field \( F = R/(\pi) \). Therefore, \( R \) has the filtration

\[
R \supset (\pi) \supset (\pi^2) \cdots \supset (\pi^r) = 0,
\]

where \( |R| = q^r \). Some examples of finite valuation rings are as follows.

1. Finite fields \( \mathbb{F}_q \), where \( q = p^k \) is a prime power.
2. Finite cyclic rings \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \), where \( p \) is a prime.
3. Function fields \( F[x]/(f^k) \), where \( F \) is a finite field and \( f \) is an irreducible polynomial in \( F[x] \).
4. \( \mathcal{O}/(p^k) \), where \( \mathcal{O} \) is the ring of integers in a number field and \( p \) is a prime in \( \mathcal{O} \).

Let us also write some of the examples above with parameters \( q \) and \( r \) as stated in the definition. Note that for the finite field \( R = \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \), \( p \) is a prime, we have \( q = p^k \) and \( r = 1 \). And for the finite cyclic ring \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \) we have the filtration

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \supset (p) \supset (p^2) \cdots \supset (p^k) = 0.
\]

Hence \( r = k \) and \( q = |\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}/(p)| = p \) in this case.

Next we recall the notion of distance in this context. For two points \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_d) \) and \( v = (v_1, \ldots v_d) \) in \( R^d \), the distance between them is given by

\[
||u - v|| = (u_1 - v_1)^2 + \cdots + (u_d - v_d)^2.
\]

For a subset \( E \subset R^d \), the distance set determined by \( E \) is

\[
\Delta(E) = \{| ||u - v|| : u, v \in E \},
\]

and the distance set pinned at a fixed point \( u \) of \( E \) is defined by

\[
\Delta_u(E) = \{| ||u - v|| : v \in E \}.
\]

Throughout \( R \) will denote a finite valuation of order \( q^r \), where \( q \) is an odd prime power. \( X \gg Y \) means that there exists an absolute constant \( c \) such that \( X \geq cY \), and ” \( \ll \) ” is defined similarly.
1.2 Statement of Main Result

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $R$ be a finite valuation ring of order $q^r$, $q$ is an odd prime power, and $A \subset R$. There exists a point $u \in A \times A \subset R^2$ such that

$$|\Delta_u(A \times A)| \gg \min \left\{ q^r, \frac{|A|^3}{q^{2r-1}} \right\}.$$

In particular, if $|A| \geq q^{r-\frac{4}{3}}$, then $\Delta_u(A \times A) \gg q^r$ for some $u \in A \times A$ and hence $A \times A$ determines a positive proportion of all possible distances.

**Remark 1.5.** Let $R = \mathbb{F}_p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Note that in this case we can take $q = p$ and $r = 1$ in Theorem 1.4 and conclude that if $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, then there exists $u \in A \times A \subset \mathbb{F}_p^2$ such that

$$|\Delta_u(A \times A)| \gg \min \left\{ p, \frac{|A|^3}{p} \right\}.$$

In particular, if $|A| > p^{\frac{4}{5}}$, then $|\Delta_u(A \times A)| \gg p$ for some $u \in A \times A$. This result matches with the result of Petridis given in [16, Theorem 1.1] in the context of prime fields and generalize it to the broader context of finite valuation rings.

**Remark 1.6.** We note that the result in [8, Theorem 1.3] in the special case $d = 2$ implies that if $E \subset \mathbb{Z}_q^2$, where $q = p^l$, and $|E| \gg l(l+1)q^{2-\frac{4}{3}}$, then

$$\Delta(E) \supset \mathbb{Z}_q^\ast.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1.4 implies that if $E = A \times A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q^2$, where $q = p^l$, and $|E| = |A \times A| \geq q^{2-\frac{4}{3}}$, then $|\Delta(E)| = |\Delta(A \times A)| \gg q$.

Therefore, in terms of getting a positive proportion of all possible distances, Theorem 1.4 improves the result in [8, Theorem 1.3] for Cartesian product sets of the form $A \times A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q^2$.

**Remark 1.7.** In [12, Theorem 2.7], for $n = 2$, the following result was obtained for subsets of finite cyclic rings. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ be of cardinality $|A| \gg q^{1-1/2r}$, where $q = p^r$. Then the number of distances determined by $A \times A$ satisfies

$$|\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}_q}(A \times A)| \gg \min \left\{ q, \frac{|A|^3}{rq^{2-1/r}} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.4 can be seen as a generalization of this result to finite valuation rings and a slight improvement in the context of finite cyclic rings.
2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

For the proof of Theorem 1.4, we will need the following lemma from [16]. We note here that though Petridis stated Lemma 2.1 for subsets of finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$, it can be readily checked that the same proof applies for subsets of any finite valuation ring.

Lemma 2.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $N$ be the number of solutions to
\[
2u \cdot (v - w) + \|w\| - \|v\| = 0, \tag{2.1}
\]
where $u, v, w \in E$. Then there exists $u \in E$ such that $|\Delta_u(E)| \geq \frac{|E|^3}{N}$.

We will also use the following point-plane incidence bound in $\mathbb{R}^3$ from [17].

Theorem 2.2. [17, Theorem 2.3] Let $Q, \Pi$ be weighted set of points and planes in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the weighted integer function $w$, both total weight $W$. Suppose that maximum weights are bounded by $w_0 \geq 1$ Let the number of weighted incidences be
\[
I_w = \sum_{q \in Q, \pi \in \Pi} w(q)w(\pi)\delta_{q\pi},
\]
where
\[
\delta_{q\pi} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } q \in \pi, \\
0 & \text{if } q \notin \pi.
\end{cases}
\]
Then the number $I_w$ of weighted incidences is bounded as follows:
\[
I_w = \sum_{q \in \pi} w(q)w(\pi) \ll \frac{1}{q^r}W^2 + q^{2r-1}W.
\]

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first note that if we write $u = (u_1, u_2)$, $v = (v_1, v_2)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2)$, where $u_i, v_i, w_i \in A$, then the equation (2.1) can be written as
\[
2u_1(v_1 - w_1) + 2u_2(v_2 - w_2) + (w_2^2 - v_2^2) = v_1^2 - w_1^2
\]
which can be restated as
\[
(2u_1, v_2 - w_2, w_2^2 - v_2^2) \cdot (v_1 - w_1, 2u_2, 1) = v_1^2 - w_1^2. \tag{2.2}
\]
Next we define a set of points $Q$ and a set of planes $\Pi$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as follows:
\[
Q = \{(2u_1, v_2 - w_2, w_2^2 - v_2^2) : u_1, v_2, w_2 \in A\}
\]
and
\[
\Pi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \cdot (v_1 - w_1, 2u_2, 1) = v_1^2 - w_1^2 : v_1, w_1, u_2 \in A\}
\]
Then it follows that the number of incidences $|I(Q, \Pi)|$ between $Q$ and $\Pi$ is equal to the number of solutions of the equation (2.2) which is $N$ in Lemma 2.1.

Note that the total weight of $Q$ and $\Pi$ are both $W = |A|^3$. Hence, Theorem 2.2 implies that

\[
N = |I(Q, \Pi)| \\
\leq \frac{1}{q^r}W^2 + q^{2r-1}W \\
= \frac{1}{q^r}|A|^6 + q^{2r-1}|A|^3.
\]

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, there exists $u \in A \times A$ such that

\[
|\Delta_u(A \times A)| \geq \frac{|A|^6}{N} \gg \min \left\{ q^r, \frac{|A|^3}{q^{2r-1}} \right\}
\]

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

\hspace{1cm} \Box
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