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Abstract

Let $(T_f, m_f)$ denote the mod $p$ local Hecke algebra attached to a normalised Hecke eigenform $f$, which is a commutative algebra over some finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $p$ and with residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$. By a result of Carayol we know that, if the residual Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_f : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is absolutely irreducible, then one can attach to this algebra a Galois representation $\rho_f : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(T_f)$ that is a lift of $\bar{\rho}_f$. We will show how one can determine the image of $\rho_f$ under the assumptions that (i) the image of the residual representation contains $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, (ii) that $m_f^2 = 0$, and (iii) that the coefficient ring is generated by the traces. As an application we will see that the methods that we use allow us to deduce the existence of certain $p$-elementary abelian extensions of big non-solvable number fields.
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1 Introduction

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f) q^n \in S_k(N; \mathbb{C})$ be a normalised Hecke eigenform, whose coefficients lie in some ring of integers of a number field, and let $\bar{\rho}_f : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ denote the attached mod $p$ Galois representation, which is semisimple and uniquely determined by the coefficients of $f$ mod $p$, for primes $\ell \nmid Np$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field generated by the $a_\ell(f)$ mod $p$. Consider the ring homomorphism

$$\lambda_f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q,$$

$$T_n \mapsto a_n(f) \text{ mod } p$$

and let $m_f := \ker(\lambda_f)$, which is a maximal ideal of $T$. We denote by $T_{m_f}$ the localisation of $T$ at $m_f$. Then $T_{m_f}$ is a commutative local finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra and, if moreover we assume that the residual Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_f$ is absolutely irreducible, by Theorem 3 in [2], we have a continuous Galois representation

$$\rho_f : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(T_{m_f})$$

such that $\bar{\rho}_f = \pi \circ \rho_f$, where $\pi$ extends the natural projection $\pi : T_{m_f} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$. In this setting, one is naturally interested in the image of $\rho_f$.

We will be study the case $\text{Im}(\bar{\rho}_f) = \text{GL}_D^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ indicates where the determinants of $\bar{\rho}_f$ lie. Let $M^0_D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the trace-0 matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$. In the present paper we will prove the following result.
Theorem. Let $F_q$ denote a finite field of characteristic $p$ and $q = p^d$ elements, and suppose that $q \neq 2, 3, 5$. Let $(T, m_T)$ be a finite-dimensional local commutative $F_q$-algebra equipped with the discrete topology, and with residue field $T/m_T \cong F_q$. Suppose that $m_T^2 = 0$. Let $\Gamma$ be a profinite group and let $\rho : \Gamma \to \text{GL}_2(T)$ be a continuous representation such that

(a) $\text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq \text{GL}_D^2(T)$, where $D \subseteq F_q^\times$ is a subgroup.

(b) $\text{Im}(\overline{\rho}) = \text{GL}_D^2(F_q)$, where $\overline{\rho}$ denotes the reduction $\rho \mod m_T$.

(c) $T$ is generated as $F_q$-algebra by the set of traces of $\rho$.

Let $m := \dim F_q m_T$ and let $t$ be the number of different traces in $\text{Im}(\rho)$.

(i) If $p \neq 2$, then $t = q^m + 1$ and

$$\text{Im}(\rho) \cong (M_2^0(F_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_2^0(F_q)) \rtimes \text{GL}_D^2(F_q) \cong \text{GL}_D^2(T).$$

(ii) If $p = 2$, then $t = q^\alpha \cdot ((q - 1)2^\beta + 1)$, for some unique $0 \leq \alpha \leq m$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq d(m - \alpha)$, and in this case $\text{Im}(\rho) \cong M \rtimes \text{SL}_2(F_q)$, where $M$ is an $F_2[\text{SL}_2(F_q)]$-submodule of $M_2^0(m_T)$ of the form

$$M \cong \underbrace{M_2^0(F_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_2^0(F_q)}_{\alpha} \oplus C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_2,$$

where $C_2 \subseteq S$ is a subgroup with 2 elements of the scalar matrices. Moreover, $M$ is determined uniquely by $t$ up to isomorphism.

An important application of the these representations is that they can give us information on some abelian extensions of number fields. The Galois representations that we investigate correspond to abelian extensions of very big non-solvable number fields that standard methods do not allow to treat computationally.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we prove the image-splitting theorem (theorem 2.1), a generalisation of Manoharmayum’s main theorem in [6] that will allow us to express $\text{Im}(\rho_f)$ as a semidirect product of certain submodule $M \subseteq M_2^0(m_f)$ and $\text{GL}_D^2(F_q)$. In section 3 we give a complete classification of the possible images of 2-dimensional Galois representations with coefficients in local algebras over finite fields under the hypothesis previously mentioned (theorem 3.1). In section 4 we use this result in the situation where the Galois representation takes values in mod $p$ Hecke algebras coming from modular forms. The classification of the images appearing in this setting is completely solved in odd characteristic. In even characteristic we can only reduce the problem to a finite number of possibilities. In section 5 we see how one can compute explicit examples in characteristic 2 and show how in each case one can have a conjectural image. We then state some questions that arise after contrasting many examples. Finally in section 6 we will see that the methods on group extensions that we develop allow us to deduce the existence of $p$-elementary abelian extensions of big number fields, which are not computationally approachable so far.
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2 Im(ρ) as a semidirect product

We will start by proving the image-splitting theorem, a result that generalises the Main Theorem in [6]. As a consequence of this result, we will be able to express, under certain conditions, the image of ρf as a semidirect product, a first step to completely determine Im(ρf).

In order to do this, we need to work in a more general situation. Let (T,mT) denote a finite-dimensional commutative local Fq-algebra with residue field T/mT ∼= Fq of characteristic p, and denote by π : T → T/mT the natural projection. Suppose that mT2 = 0. Consider a closed subgroup G ⊆ GLDn(T), where D ⊆ Fq× denotes the subgroup where the determinants in G lie. Suppose that π(G) = GLD2(Fq). This gives us a short exact sequence

$$1 \to H \to G \to GLD2(F_q) \to 1,$$

where H is an Fp(GLD2(Fq))-module inside the abelian group of trace-0 matrices M02(mT) with coefficients in mT ∼= Fq. The image-splitting theorem will allow us to describe the group G as a semidirect product H ⋊ GLD2(Fq). Equivalently, it tells us that the previous short exact sequence admits a split.

Let us introduce some notation necessary to state the image-splitting theorem. Let k be a finite field of characteristic p. Let W(k) denote its ring of Witt vectors and denote by T : k → W(k) ⊆ ℚp the Teichmüller lift. Consider a complete local ring (A,mA) with residue field containing k and consider the inclusion ι0 : k → A/mA. Since W(k) is a p-ring with residue field k, by the structure theorem for complete local rings ([7], Theorem 29.2) we have that there exists a local homomorphism ι : W(k) → A which induces ι0 on the residue fields. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W(k) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & A \\
\downarrow T & & \downarrow \iota_0 \\
k & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & A/m_A
\end{array}$$

Denote by W(k)A the image of ι : W(k) → A. Consider a subgroup D ⊆ k× and define the following group

$$GL^n_D(W(k)) := \{g \in GL_n(W(k)) \mid \det(g) \in T(D)\}.$$ 

For any subring X ⊆ A, we define the group

$$GL^n_D(X) := \{g \in GL_n(X) \mid \det(g) \in \iota(T(D))\}.$$ 

We will prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1** (Image-splitting theorem). Let (A,mA) be a complete local noetherian ring with maximal ideal mA and finite residue field A/mA of characteristic p. Let π : A → A/mA denote the natural projection. Suppose we are given a subfield k of A/mA and a closed subgroup G of GLn(A). Assume that the cardinality of k is at least 4 and that k ≠ F5 if n = 2 and k ≠ F4 if n = 3. Suppose that π(G) ⊇ GLDn(k). Then G contains a conjugate of GLDn(W(k)A).

With notations as in the theorem above, we may assume without loss of generality that π(G) = GLDn(k). Indeed, consider \( \overline{G} := G \cap \pi^{-1}(GLDn(k)) \). Then \( \pi(\overline{G}) = GLDn(k) \). If we see that \( \overline{G} \) contains a conjugate of \( GLDn(W_A) \), then this conjugate also lies in G. The next step is to see that actually we can assume that \( G \subseteq GLDn(A) \). We will need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** The group \( G \cap GLDn(A) \) is a closed normal subgroup of G and the quotient group \( G/(G \cap GLDn(A)) \) is pro-p.
Proof. Consider the group homomorphism $G \to \text{det}(G) \to \text{det}(G)/(T(D) \cap \text{det}(G))$, whose kernel is $G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A)$. By the first isomorphism theorem, we have an isomorphism

$$G/(G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A)) \cong \text{det}(G)/(G \cap T(D)).$$

Let us show that $\text{det}(G)/(T(D) \cap \text{det}(G))$ is a pro-$p$ group. We have $D \equiv \text{det}(G) \mod m_A$, and if we consider this congruence multiplicatively, we have $D \equiv \text{det}(G) \mod 1 + m_A$. Since $T(D) \equiv D \mod 1 + m_A$, we have

$$T(D) \equiv \text{det}(G) \mod 1 + m_A \Rightarrow \text{det}(G) \subseteq (1 + m_A) \cdot T(D).$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{det}(G)/(T(D) \cap \text{det}(G)) \subseteq (1 + m_A)/(T(D) \cap (1 + m_A)) = 1 + m_A.$$ Since the 1-units are a pro-$p$ group, any subgroup of them will also be a pro-$p$ group and we are done. 

Since $G/(G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A))$ is pro-$p$, this implies that $\pi(G/(G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A)))$ is a $p$-group. Thus $\pi(G)/\pi(G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A)) = \text{GL}_n^D(k)/\pi(G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A))$ is a $p$-group, so $\pi(G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A))$ is normal in $\text{GL}_n^D(k)$ and has index a power of $p$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $k$ be a finite field of cardinality at least 4. Let $J$ be a normal subgroup of $\text{GL}_n^D(k)$ not contained in the scalar matrices $S$ and with $\text{det}(J) = D$. Denote by $\overline{J}$ its image in $\text{PGL}_n^D(k)$. Then $\overline{J} = \text{PGL}_n^D(k)$.

Proof. Let $K := J \cap \text{SL}_n(k)$. We have the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
K & \subseteq & J & \subseteq & \text{GL}_n^D(k) & \subseteq & \text{GL}_n(k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\overline{K} & \subseteq & \overline{J} & \subseteq & \text{PGL}_n^D(k) & \subseteq & \text{PGL}_n(k)
\end{array}
$$

Since $J \subseteq \text{GL}_n^D(k)$ and $\text{SL}_n(k) \subseteq \text{GL}_n^D(k)$, we also have that $K \subseteq \text{SL}_n(k)$. Thus $\overline{K} \subseteq \text{PSL}_n(k)$. Now $\text{PSL}_n(k)$ is simple since $\#k \geq 4$, and since $K \not\subseteq k^\times$, we must have $\overline{K} = \text{PSL}_n(k)$. Thus we have

$$\text{PSL}_n(k) \subseteq \overline{J} \subseteq \text{PGL}_n^D(k) \subseteq \text{PGL}_n(k).$$

Since there is an isomorphism $\text{PGL}_n(k)/\text{PSL}_n(k) \simeq k^\times/(k^\times)^n$ given by the determinant map $\text{det} : \text{PGL}_n \to k^\times/(k^\times)^n$, and since $\text{det}(J) = D$, we conclude that $\overline{J} = \text{PGL}_n^D(k)$. 

Let $H := G \cap \text{GL}_n^D(A)$ and $J := \pi(H) \subseteq \text{GL}_n^D(k)$. Since $\pi(G) = \text{GL}_n^D(k)$, we have that $J$ is not contained in $S$. We thus can apply the previous lemma to $J$ and we obtain that $\overline{J} = \text{PGL}_n^D(k)$. Thus since $J \not\subseteq k^\times$, we have $J = \text{GL}_n^D(k)$. Finally, since $\pi(H) = \text{GL}_n^D(k)$, if we prove that $H$ contains a conjugate of $\text{GL}_n^D(W_A)$, this will also lie in $G$. So we can assume, without loss of generality, that $G \subseteq \text{GL}_n^D(A)$.

Observe that the kernel of $\pi : \text{GL}_n^D(A) \to \text{GL}_n^D(k)$ is a closed pro-$p$ subgroup of $\text{GL}_n^D(A)$. Using the main result of Manoharmayum [6], by conjugating $G$, we will from now on assume that $G$ itself contains $\text{SL}_n(W(k)_A)$. The following result is proved in [9], proposition 2.3.3.

Lemma 2.4 (Profinite Schur-Zassenhaus theorem). Let $H$ be a profinite group with a normal closed pro-$p$ subgroup $P$ such that $H/P$ is finite of order prime to $p$. Then there exists a finite subgroup $A$ of $H$ that maps isomorphically to $H/P$, and any two such subgroups $A, A'$ of $H$ are conjugate by an element of $G$.
In the following we will call such a group $A$ in $H$ as in the previous lemma a complement of $P$.

Let $T_n^D(k)$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $GL_n(k)$ whose determinant lies in $D$. Clearly $T_n^D(k)$ lies in $GL_n^D(k)$. Let $H^D$ be the closed subgroup of $G$ that is the inverse image in $G$ under $\pi$ of $T_n^D(k)$. Let $A^D$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $GL_n^D(W(k)_A)$ whose entries are Teichmüller lifts of the elements in $T_n^D(k)$. When $D$ is the trivial group we just use the superscript 1 instead of $\{1\}$. Let $P$ be the inverse image in $G$ under $\pi$ of the trivial group.

Observe that $A^1$ lies in $G$ by our hypothesis that $G$ contains $SL_n(W(k)_A)$. In particular, $A^1$ inside $H^1$ is a complement of $P$. If we can show that $A^D$ is contained in $P$, then the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete, since $GL_n^D(W(k)_A)$ is generated by $A^D$ and $SL_n(W(k)_A)$.

**Lemma 2.5.** Under the previous notation, $A^D$ is contained in $H^D$.

**Proof.** Let $A_D$ in $H^D$ be a complement of $P$ according to Lemma 2.4. Let $A_1$ be the inverse image of $T_n^D(k)$ inside $A_D$, so that $A_1$ is the complement of $P$ in $H^1$. By Lemma 2.4 there exists $g \in H^1$ such that $A_1$ and $A^1$ are conjugate. Hence, after conjugating this by $g$, we can assume that $A_1 = A^1$ and that $A_D$ contains $A^1$. Now $A_D$ is commutative, and hence it lies in the commutator of $A^1$.

Under the hypothesis on $k$ and $n$ in Theorem 2.1 one can see that the commutator subgroup of $A^1$ is the set of diagonal matrices in $GL_n^D(A)$, as the entries of the elements in $A^1$ are Teichmüller lifts). In particular, $A_D$ lies in this subgroup.

Finally, for a diagonal matrix in $GL_n^D(A)$, any entry has finite order prime to $p$ if and only if it is a Teichmüller lift of its reduction. It follows that $A_D = A^D$, and this completes the proof of the lemma (and thus of Theorem 2.1). \hfill \qed

**Corollary 2.6.** Let $k$ be a finite field of characteristic $p$ with cardinality at least 4, $k \neq \mathbb{F}_5$ if $n = 2$ and $k \neq \mathbb{F}_4$ if $n = 3$. Let $(A, m_A)$ be a finite-dimensional commutative local $k$-algebra with residue field $k$ and $m_A^n = 0$. Let $G \subseteq GL_n^D(A)$ be a subgroup. Suppose that $G \mod m_A = GL_n^D(k)$. Then there exists an $\mathbb{F}_p[GL_n^D(k)]$-submodule $M \subseteq M_n^0(m_A)$ such that $G$ is, up to conjugation by an element $u \in GL_n(A)$ with $\pi(u) = 1$, a (non-twisted) semidirect product of the form

$$G \simeq M \rtimes GL_n^D(k).$$

**Proof.** We are in the hypothesis of the image-splitting theorem, and since moreover we are assuming that $A$ is a $k$-algebra, we have that $W(k)_A = k$. So in this situation there exists $u \in GL_n(A)$ such that $\pi(u) = 1$ and $G \supseteq u^{-1}GL_n^D(k)u$. Let $G' := uGu^{-1} \subseteq GL_n^D(A)$. Denote by $\pi : A \to A/m_A \simeq k$ the natural projection and consider the following split short exact sequence:

$$0 \to M_n(m_A) \to GL_n(A) \xrightarrow{\pi} GL_n(k) \to 1.$$

Take $m = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in M_n(m_A)$. An easy computation shows that $1 + m \in GL_n^D(A)$ if and only if $\text{tr}(m) = 0$:

$$\det(1 + m) = \det \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 + m_{11} & \ldots & m_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n1} & \ldots & 1 + m_{nn} \end{array} \right) = 1 + \text{tr}(m) + m_A^2,$$

and $1 + \text{tr}(m) + m_A^2 = 1 + \text{tr}(m) \in k$ if and only if $\text{tr}(m) = 0$. This gives a split short exact sequence

$$0 \to M_n^0(m_A) \to GL_n^D(A) \xrightarrow{\pi} GL_n^D(k) \to 1.$$
and we have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \to & M_0^0(\ker \pi) & \to & GL_n^D(A) & \to & GL_n^D(k) & \to & 1 \\
& & \cup & \ & \cup & \ & \ & \\
0 & \to & M & \to & G' & \to & GL_n^D(k) & \to & 1.
\end{array}
\]
with \( G' \supseteq GL_n^D(k) \) and \( M \subseteq M_0^0(\ker \pi) \) a \( GL_n^D(k) \)-submodule. So in particular, the second short exact sequence splits and \( G' \) is of the form \( G' = M \times GL_n^D(k) \).

\[\square\]

3 Explicit description of \( \text{Im}(\rho) \)

We will study continuous odd Galois representations
\[\rho : G_Q \to GL_2(\mathbb{T})\]
where \((T, m_T)\) denotes a finite-dimensional local commutative algebra over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of characteristic \( p \), equipped with the discrete topology and with \( \mathbb{F}_q \) as residue field. We assume that \( \mathbb{T} \) is generated by the trace of the image of \( \rho \) and that \( m_T^2 = 0 \).

We use the image-splitting theorem to determine, under the hypothesis that \( \rho \) has big residual image, the image of \( \rho \). More concretely, if \( \overline{\rho} : G_Q \to GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \) denotes the corresponding residual Galois representation, we will assume that \( \text{Im}(\overline{\rho}) = GL_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \), where \( D = \text{Im}(\det(\overline{\rho})) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times \). Then we will show that the number \( t \) of different traces in \( \text{Im}(\rho) \) and the dimension \( m \) of \( m_T \) determine uniquely, up to isomorphism, the group \( \text{Im}(\rho) \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) denote a finite field of characteristic \( p \) and \( q = p^d \) elements, and suppose that \( q \neq 2, 3, 5 \). Let \((T, m_T)\) be a finite-dimensional local commutative \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-algebra equipped with the discrete topology, and with residue field \( T/m_T \cong \mathbb{F}_q \). Suppose that \( m_T^2 = 0 \). Let \( \Gamma \) be a profinite group and let \( \rho : \Gamma \to GL_2(\mathbb{T}) \) be a continuous representation such that

(a) \( \text{Im}(\overline{\rho}) = GL_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \), where \( \overline{\rho} \) denotes the reduction \( \rho \mod m_T \) and \( D := \text{Im}(\det e_\overline{\rho}) \),

(b) \( \text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq GL_2^D(\mathbb{T}) \),

(c) \( \mathbb{T} \) is generated as \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-algebra by the set of traces of \( \rho \).

Let \( m := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} m_T \) and let \( t \) be the number of different traces in \( \text{Im}(\rho) \).

If \( p \neq 2 \), then \( t = q^{m+1} \) and
\[
\text{Im}(\rho) \cong (M_0^0(\mathbb{F}_q) + \ldots + M_0^0(\mathbb{F}_q)) \times GL_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong GL_2^D(\mathbb{T}).
\]

If \( p = 2 \), then \( t = q^\alpha \cdot ((q - 1)2^\beta + 1) \), for some \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq m \) and \( 0 \leq \beta \leq d(m - \alpha) \), and in this case \( \text{Im}(\rho) \cong M \times GL_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \), where \( M \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_2[GL_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)] \)-submodule of \( M_0^0(m_T) \) of the form
\[
M \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_0^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_0^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus C_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus C_2,
\]

where \( C_2 \subseteq S \) is a subgroup of order 2 of the scalar matrices. Moreover, \( M \) is determined uniquely by \( t \) up to isomorphism.

**Proof.** By Cohen Structure Theorem (cf. [5] Theorem 7.7) and the assumption that \( m_T^2 = 0 \), we have that \( T \cong \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m]/(X_i X_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \). Let \( \pi : T \to T/m_T \cong \mathbb{F}_q \). Then \( \ker \pi = m_T \) is a \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-vector space of dimension \( m \). Put \( G := \text{Im}(\rho) \subseteq GL_2^D(\mathbb{T}) \). We will separate the cases \( p \neq 2 \) and \( p = 2 \).
By the assumptions on $\mathbb{F}_q$ we know (after Corollary 2.6) that, if $p \neq 2$, then $G \simeq M \rtimes \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for some $\text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$-submodule $M \subseteq M^0_2(\ker \pi) \simeq M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M^0_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since $p \neq 2$, we are in the case where $M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a simple $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)]$-module, so by Lemma 6.4 we know that $M$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of, a priori, $\alpha \leq m$ copies of $M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let us count the number of traces of $G$ (depending on $\alpha$). Consider the following split short exact sequence

$$
0 \to M^0_2(\ker \pi) \to \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{T}) \to \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \to 1
$$

$$
0 \to M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M^0_m(\mathbb{F}_q) \to \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q X_1, \ldots , X_m)/(X_i X_j)_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \to \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \to 1
$$

Then for an element $\mu \in M \subseteq M^0_2(\ker \pi)$ we have $\iota(\mu) = 1 + A_1 X_1 + \ldots + A_\alpha X_\alpha$, where $A_i \in M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$. Then for an element $g \in G$, we have $g = (1 + \mu)h$, with $\mu \in M$ and $h \in \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and

$$
\text{tr}(g) = \text{tr}((1 + \mu)h) = \text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(A_1h)X_1 + \ldots + \text{tr}(A_\alpha h)X_\alpha.
$$

Let $t$ denote the number of different traces in $G$. Then

$$
t = \# \left\{ \text{tr}(h) + \sum_{i=1}^\alpha \text{tr}(A_i h)X_i : A_i \in M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q), h \in \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \right\}
$$

$$
= \# \{ \text{tr}(h) : h \in \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^\alpha \# \{ \text{tr}(A_i h)X_i : A_i \in M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q), h \in \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q) \}
$$

$$
= q \prod_{i=1}^\alpha \# \{ 0, X_i, aX_i, \ldots , a^{q-1}X_i \} = q^{\alpha+1},
$$

where $\alpha$ is some element in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order $q - 1$.

Finally, note that if we had $t = q^{\alpha+1}$, with $\alpha < m$, then we can assume, without loss of generality, that the set of traces of $G$ is $T := \{ a_0 + a_1 X_1 + \ldots + a_\alpha X_\alpha \mid a_0, \ldots , a_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$ and by assumption, $T = \langle T \rangle$. On the other hand, we have an isomorphism

$$
\text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{T}) \simeq (M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M^0_m(\mathbb{F}_q)) \rtimes \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)
$$

given by the previous split short exact sequence. In particular, we have that $X_{\alpha+1} \in T$, so $X_{\alpha+1}$ is a linear combination of $X_1, \ldots , X_\alpha$, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose that $p = 2$. By the assumptions on $\mathbb{F}_q$ we can use Corollary 2.6 and obtain that $G \simeq M \rtimes \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for some $\mathbb{F}_2[\text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)]$-submodule $M \subseteq M^0_2(\ker \pi) \simeq M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We are in the case where $M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is indecomposable, it contains the semisimple $\mathbb{F}_2[\text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)]$-module $S = \{ \lambda \text{Id}_2 : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$, and $M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)/S$ is simple (cf. Lemma 6.5). Thus we can apply Lemma 6.5 and we obtain that

$$
M \simeq N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_m, \quad \text{with } N_i \subseteq M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q),
$$

where each $N_i$ is either $M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or an $\mathbb{F}_2$-subspace of $S$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since the indecomposable subspaces of $S$ are of the form $C_2 \simeq \{ 0, \lambda \}$ with $\lambda \in S$, we have $S \simeq C_2^\alpha$, and

$$
M \simeq M^0_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M^0_m(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_2, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq m, \ 0 \leq \beta \leq d(m - \alpha).
$$
Consider the following split short exact sequence:

\[
0 \to M^n_0(\ker \pi) \xrightarrow{\imath \cdot m} GL^D_2(\mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\pi} GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \to 1
\]

\[
0 \to M^n_0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \cdots \oplus M^n_0(\mathbb{F}_q) \to GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m]/(X_1X_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}) \to GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \to 1
\]

\[
\left( \binom{a_i b_i}{c_i d_i}, \ldots, \binom{a_m b_m}{c_m d_m} \right) \mapsto \left( \binom{0}{1} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \binom{a_i b_i}{c_i d_i} \right) X_1 + \cdots + \left( \binom{a_m b_m}{c_m d_m} \right) X_m
\]

\[
\left( \binom{a_0 b_0}{c_0 d_0} \right) \leftarrow \left( \binom{a_0 b_0}{c_0 d_0} \right)
\]

In this setting, after a possible reordering of the variables \(X_i\), for an element \(\mu \in M\) we have

\[
\iota(\mu) = 1 + \mu = 1 + A_1 X_1 + \cdots + A_\alpha X_\alpha + B_1 X_{\alpha + 1} + \cdots + B_s X_m,
\]

where

\[
A_k \in N_k \simeq M^n_0(\mathbb{F}_q), \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq \alpha,
\]

\[
B_k = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
b_k & 0 \\
0 & b_k
\end{array} \right) \in N_{\alpha+k} \simeq C_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus C_2 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq s = m - \alpha, \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^s e_k = \beta.
\]

We want to compute the number of different traces in \(G\) depending on the module \(M\). For an element \(g \in G\) we have \(g = (1 + \mu)h\) with \(\mu \in M\) and \(h \in GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q)\), and

\[
\text{tr}(g) = \text{tr}((1 + \mu)h)
\]

\[
= \text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(A_1 h)X_1 + \cdots + \text{tr}(A_\alpha h)X_\alpha + \text{tr}(B_1 h)X_{\alpha + 1} + \cdots + \text{tr}(B_s h)X_m
\]

\[
= \text{tr}(h) + \text{tr}(A_1 h)X_1 + \cdots + \text{tr}(A_\alpha h)X_\alpha + \text{tr}(h)B_1 X_{\alpha + 1} + \cdots + \text{tr}(h)B_s X_m
\]

\[
= \text{tr}(A_1 h)X_1 + \cdots + \text{tr}(A_\alpha h)X_\alpha + \text{tr}(h)(1 + B_1 X_{\alpha + 1} + \cdots + B_s X_m).
\]

Let \(t\) denote the number of different traces in \(G\). Then

\[
t = \# \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\alpha \text{tr}(A_k h)X_k + \text{tr}(h)(1 + \sum_{k=1}^s b_k X_{\alpha + k}) : A_k \in M^n_2(\mathbb{F}_q), b_k \in C_2^{e_k}, h \in GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \right\}
\]

\[
= \# \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\alpha a_k X_k + \text{tr}(h)(1 + \sum_{k=1}^s b_k X_{\alpha + k}) : a_k \in \mathbb{F}_q, b_k \in C_2^{e_k}, h \in GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \right\}
\]

\[
= \# \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^\alpha a_k X_k : a_k \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \cdot \# \left\{ \text{tr}(h)(1 + \sum_{k=1}^s b_k X_{\alpha + k}) : b_k \in C_2^{e_k}, h \in GL^D_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \right\},
\]

where \(t_1 = q^\alpha\) and

\[
t_2 = \# \{0\} + \# \{ x \cdot (1 + b_{1j_1} X_{\alpha + 1} + \cdots + b_{sj} X_m) : b_{kj} \in C_2^{e_k}, x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \}
\]

\[
= 1 + (q - 1) \cdot 2^{e_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot 2^{e_s} = 1 + (q - 1) \cdot 2 \sum e_k = 1 + (q - 1)2^\beta.
\]

So we obtain the formula \(t = q^\alpha \cdot (1 + (q - 1)2^\beta)\).

Finally, let us prove that the number of traces determines the module \(M\) up to isomorphism.

Let \(t' = q^\alpha' \cdot (1 + (q - 1)2^{\beta'})\). Let us check that if \(t = t'\) then one has \(\alpha = \alpha'\) and \(\beta = \beta'\). Recall that \(q = 2^L\). Suppose that \(\alpha \geq \alpha'\). Then

\[
q^\alpha(1 + (q - 1)2^\beta) = q^{\alpha'}(1 + (q - 1)2^{\beta'}) \iff q^{\alpha - \alpha'}(1 + (q - 1)2^{\beta}) = 1 + (q - 1)2^{\beta'}.
\]

If \(\beta' > 0\) then \((R) \equiv 1 \mod 2\), so \(\alpha = \alpha'\) and then \(\beta = \beta'\). If \(\beta' = 0\) then \((R) = q\). Since \(1 + (q - 1)2^\beta \geq q\) and \(q^{\alpha - \alpha'} \geq 1\), we necessarily have \(\beta = 0 = \beta'\) and \(\alpha = \alpha'\).
Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 shows that if the field cut out by $\overline{p}$ admits some abelian extension (of the type we are considering), then it is a “big one”. So the cases $m \geq 2$ will occur rarely.

4 Application: Computation of images of Galois representations with values in mod $p$ Hecke algebras

In order to apply Theorem 3.1 to Galois representations coming from modular forms, let us recall some notation. Fix a level $N \geq 1$, a weight $k \geq 2$, a prime $p \nmid N$ and a Dirichlet character $\varepsilon : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the Hecke algebra of $S_k(N,\varepsilon;\mathbb{F}_q)$ generated by the good operators $T_\ell$, i.e. those with $\ell \nmid Np$. For a normalised Hecke eigenform $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f)q^n \in S_k(N,\varepsilon;\mathbb{F}_p)$, whose coefficients generate the field $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $m_f := \ker \lambda_f$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}$ given by the ring homomorphism $\lambda_f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{F}_q$, $T_\ell \mapsto a_\ell(f)$. Consider the local algebra $\mathbb{F}_f := \mathbb{T}_{m_f}$, which we will refer to as the local mod $p$ Hecke algebra associated to the mod $p$ modular form $f$. Let $\rho_f : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_f)$ be the Galois representation attached to $\mathbb{T}_f$ and let $\overline{\rho}_f : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ denote its reduction modulo the maximal ideal. Assume that $\text{Im}(\overline{\rho}) = \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $D = \text{Im}(\det \overline{\rho}_f)$. Let $m := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} m_f$ and let $t$ denote the number of different traces in $\text{Im}(\rho_f)$. Then using the previous theorem one can easily deduce that, if $p \neq 2$, then $t = q^{m+1}$ and

$$\text{Im}(\rho_f) \simeq (M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q)) \rtimes \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

If $p = 2$, then $t = q^m \cdot ((q-1)2^\beta + 1)$, for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq m$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq d(m - \alpha)$, and in this case

$$\text{Im}(\rho_f) \simeq \underbrace{(M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q))}_{\alpha} \oplus \underbrace{C_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus C_2}_{\beta} \rtimes \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

where $C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ is a subgroup of 2 elements.

As one can see, in characteristic 2 the result does not allow to completely determine the image of $\rho_f$. Using the packages HeckeAlgebras and ArtinAlgebras (cf. [5]) implemented in Magma, one can compute these algebras, and try to determine the image in concrete cases.

The idea is to compute, for a fixed level, weight and character, all local Hecke algebras on the corresponding space. For each one of them, after ensuring that the conditions of theorem 3.1 are satisfied, one just has to compute Hecke operators up to certain bound, and count the number of different operators that appear. If one computes far enough, this number can give us a candidate for the image of the corresponding Galois representation. We will see explicit examples of this procedure in the following section.

5 Examples in characteristic 2

In [1] many examples for $p = 2$ are listed for $m = 1, 2$ and 3. In this setting, since there are several possibilities for the image of $\rho_f$ (which we can distinguish by the number of different traces $t$ computed), we can only “guess” the group that we obtain. However, we will see that the possible numbers of traces that can be obtained in each case are integers enough separated so that it is very likely that we can guess the right number of traces. Here we will summarise the results obtained in [1]. We take $1 \leq N \leq 1500$ and $k = 2, 3$.

Examples with $m = 1$.

Here we have $t = q^\alpha \cdot ((q-1)2^\beta + 1)$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq d(1 - \alpha)$. The possible numbers of traces in this setting are summarised in table [1].
Let us describe a concrete example in full detail. The first example that we find with $m = 1$ and degree $d = 2$ is in level $N = 67$. In this case, by computing Hecke operators up to $b = 1000$, we find $\tilde{t} = 7$. As table 1 shows, we have the following possibilities for $t$: 4, 7, 13 or 16. So we can only exclude $t = 4$. Let $T(1), \ldots, T(7)$ denote the seven operators that we find. If we take a closer look to them, we observe the following multiplicities for each one:

$$21 \times T(1), \ 31 \times T(2), \ 15 \times T(3), \ 14 \times T(4), \ 39 \times T(5), \ 16 \times T(6), \ 30 \times T(7).$$

So if the theoretical number of traces were $t = 13$ or 16, it would mean that there are still at least 6 Hecke operators left to appear, which seems highly unlikely.

Just to be even more confident that $t = 7$, if we compute up to $b = 5000$, we still find $\tilde{t} = 7$ and the following multiplicities:

$$58 \times T(1), \ 114 \times T(2), \ 69 \times T(3), \ 67 \times T(4), \ 185 \times T(5), \ 63 \times T(6), \ 111 \times T(7).$$

This means that the group $G$ in this case would be $G \simeq C_2 \rtimes \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_4)$.

**Examples with $m = 2$.**

Here we have $t = q^\alpha \cdot ((q - 1)2^\beta + 1)$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq d(2 - \alpha)$. The possible numbers of traces in this setting are summarised in table 2.

**Examples with $m = 3$.**

Here we have $t = q^\alpha \cdot ((q - 1)2^\beta + 1)$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq d(3 - \alpha)$. The possible numbers of traces in this setting are summarised in table 3.
In table 3 we summarise all the examples that we have computed. We separate the cases by the dimension \( m \) of the maximal ideal \( m_f/m_f^2 \), the degree \( d \) of the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and the weight \( k \). We run through levels \( 1 \leq N \leq 1500 \) and count the number of different examples that we obtain depending on the number of different traces that we find. When we find 2 examples that come form the same modular form (i.e. when we find two examples whose operators are exactly the same) then we denote this in the table by \( 2 \times \).

After the previous tables, one is led to the following questions.

**Question 5.1.** If \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} m_f/m_f^2 = 1 \), then \( G \simeq C_2 \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \).

**Question 5.2.** If \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} m_f/m_f^2 = 2 \), then

\[
\text{Im}(\rho_f) \simeq \begin{cases} 
(C_2 \oplus C_2) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q), & \text{or} \\
(C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q), & \text{or} \\
(M^1_0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus C_2) \rtimes \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q). & \text{or}
\end{cases}
\]

**Question 5.3.** If \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} m_f/m_f^2 = 3 \), then

\[
\text{Im}(\rho_f) \simeq \begin{cases} 
(C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q), & \text{or} \\
(C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q), & \text{or} \\
(M^1_0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2) \rtimes \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q). & \text{or}
\end{cases}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>$N = p_1$</th>
<th>$N = p_1p_2$</th>
<th>$N = p_1p_2p_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$2 \times 15$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$2 \times 9$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$2 \times 19$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$2 \times 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2 \times 11$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times 11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2 \times 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$M^3_q(F_q) \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>$M^3_q(F_q) \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2 \times 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>241</td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>$M^4_q(F_q) \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Number of examples found
6 Existence of big non-solvable extensions of number fields

In this section we use the previous results to predict the existence of some $p$-elementary abelian field extensions. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote a finite field of characteristic $p$ and let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T})$ be a Galois representation that takes values in some finite-dimensional local commutative $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra $\mathbb{T}$. Suppose that $\mathbb{T}$ is generated by the traces of $\rho$, and that $\rho$ has big residual image, i.e. $\text{Im}(\rho) = \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

The explicit description that we give in section 3 of the image of $\rho$ in this situation allows us to compute a part of a certain ray class field of the field $K$ cut out by $p$ (i.e. $G_K = \text{ker}(\rho)$). More concretely, let $m$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}$, assume that $m^2 = 0$ and let $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} m$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of characteristic $p \neq 2$. Assume the hypothesis of theorem 3.1 Then there exist number fields $L/K/\mathbb{Q}$ with $G_L = \ker(\rho)$ and $G_K = \ker(\rho)$ such that $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and

$$\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \rtimes \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}),$$

with $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ acting on $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ by conjugation. Moreover, the extension $L/K$ is an abelian extension of degree $p^{3dm}$ that cannot be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and which is unramified at all primes $\ell \nmid pN$.

Proof. Let $\overline{G} := \text{Im}(\rho)$, $G := \text{Im}(\rho)$ and $H := M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$. By Theorem 3.1 we have that $G \simeq H \rtimes \overline{G}$.

This gives a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 1$, so $\overline{G}$ acts on $H$ by conjugation through preimages. Let $L := \overline{G}^{\ker(\rho)}$ and $K := \overline{G}^{\ker(\rho)}$. Then Galois theory tells us that we have field extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H & L & \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
K & G & \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Q} & \mathbb{Q} & \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})
\end{array}$$

The group $H$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{3dm}$, where $q = p^d$. Thus it is a $p$-elementary abelian group, so $L/K$ is an abelian Galois extension, unramified outside $Np$ and of degree $p^{3dm}$. Moreover, since $H$ is a simple $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)]$-module and the conjugation action of $\overline{G}$ on $H$ is nontrivial, we have that the extension $L/K$ cannot be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.  

Proposition 6.2. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of characteristic 2 and assume the hypothesis of theorem 3.1 Then there are integers $0 \leq \alpha \leq m$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq d(m - \alpha)$ such that $t = q^\alpha \cdot ((q - 1)2^\beta + 1)$, and there exists number fields $L/K/\mathbb{Q}$ with $G_L = \ker(\rho)$ and $G_K = \ker(\rho)$ such that $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and

$$\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq M \rtimes \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}),$$

where $M$ is an $\mathbb{F}_2[\text{GL}_2^D(\mathbb{F}_q)]$-submodule of $M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of the form $M := M_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q)^\alpha \oplus G_2^\beta$, and the group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ acts by conjugation on $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. The extension $L/K$ is an abelian extension of degree $2^{3dm+\beta}$ unramified at all primes $\ell \nmid 2N$. 
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Moreover, if $\alpha \neq 0$ there is an extension $L_1$ of $K$ with $\text{Gal}(L_1/K) \simeq M^n_1(\mathbb{F}_q)^{\alpha}$ which cannot be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and an extension $L_2$ of $K$ with $\text{Gal}(L_2/K) = C_2^\beta$, such that $L = L_1L_2$.

Proof. Let $\overline{G} := \text{Im}(\rho)$, $G := \text{Im}(\rho)$ and $H := M^n_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^{\alpha} \oplus C_2^\beta \simeq C_2^{3\alpha + \beta}$. By Proposition 3.1 we have that $G \simeq H \rtimes \overline{G}$. This gives a short exact sequence $1 \to H \to G \to \overline{G} \to 1$, so $\overline{G}$ acts on $H$ by conjugation through preimages.

Let $L := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\ker(\rho)$ and $K := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\ker(\overline{G})$. Let $H_1 := M^n_2(\mathbb{F}_q)^{\alpha}$, $H_2 := C_2^\beta$, $L_1 := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{H_1}$ and $L_2 := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{H_2}$. By Galois theory, we have field extensions

The group $H$ is an abelian group of order $2^{3\alpha + \beta}$, and the corresponding field extension is unramified outside $2N$ because $\rho$ is. Moreover, since $H_2$ is contained in the centre of $G$, the action of $\overline{G}$ on $H_2$ is trivial, so $L_1 = KE$, and the Galois group of the field extension $L_1/K$ is isomorphic to the Galois group of $E/\mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, $H_2$ is not contained in the centre of $G$, so the action of $\overline{G}$ on $H_2$ is nontrivial, and the extension $L_2/K$ cannot be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. \qed

Appendix: Auxiliary lemmas

**Lemma 6.3.** Assume that $k \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ if $n = 2$. Let $M \subseteq M^n_0(k)$ be an $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{GL}_n^D(k)]$-submodule for the conjugation action. Then, either $M$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ or $M = M^n_0(k)$. Thus $M^n_0(k)/\mathbb{S}$ is a simple $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{GL}_n^D(k)]$-module, and the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{S} \to M^n_0(k) \to \mathbb{V} \to 0$$

does not split when $p \mid n$.

Proof. Since any $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{GL}_n^D(k)]$-submodule of $M^n_0(k)$ is also an $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{SL}_n(k)]$-submodule, we can apply Lemma 3.3 of [3]. \qed

**Lemma 6.4.** Let $R$ be a ring and $M$ a semisimple left $R$-module which decomposes as $M = M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_t$, with $M_i \subseteq M$ simple modules. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a submodule of $M$. Then $N$ is semisimple and is isomorphic to a direct sum of a subset of the modules $M_1, \ldots, M_t$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.12 in [1], for every submodule $N \subseteq M$ there exists a submodule $N' \subseteq M$ such that $M = N \oplus N'$. Now by Corollary 14.6 in [3] we have that, in this case, $N$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of a subset of the modules $M_1, \ldots, M_t$. \qed

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote a finite field of characteristic 2, and let $(\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{m}_T)$ be a finite-dimensional local commutative $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra equipped with the discrete topology, and with residue field $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m}_T \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$. In this section we will prove the analogous result of the previous section in characteristic 2. We
will determine the image of a continuous representation \( \rho : \Gamma \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}/m_2^\infty) \) of a profinite group \( \Gamma \), under the assumption that the image of the residual representation \( \overline{\rho} : \Gamma \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is of the form \( \text{Im}(\overline{\rho}) = \text{GL}_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \), where \( D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n \) denotes the image of the determinant of \( \overline{\rho} \), and \( q \geq 4 \).

As we will see, the case of characteristic 2 is more complicated, due to the fact that the module \( \text{M}_2^0(\mathbb{F}_q) \) of trace-0 matrices is no longer a simple module. Thus more work needs to be done. We start with a technical lemma that will be needed afterwards.

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( k \) be a field with trivial Brauer group, \( G \) a finite group and \( M \) an indecomposable \( k[G] \)-module. Suppose that \( M \) has a semisimple submodule \( S \subseteq M \) such that \( M/S \) is simple, and such that all the other submodules of \( M \) are contained in \( S \). Consider a submodule \( N \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M \). Then \( N \simeq N_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus N_n \), with \( N_i \subseteq M \) submodule.

**Proof.** For any module \( M \), denote by \( M^n \) the direct sum \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M \). Let \( T \) denote the simple module \( M/S \) and consider the projection \( \pi : M^n \to T^n \), which gives a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & S^n & \to & M^n & \pi \to & T^n & \to & 0 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \iota \\
0 & \to & N \cap S^n & \to & N & \pi \to & \pi(N) & \to & 0.
\end{array}
\]

Note that, since \( T^n \) is a semisimple module, by Lemma 6.4 we have that \( \pi(N) \simeq T^k \), for some \( 0 \leq k \leq n \). Let \( \alpha : T^k \to \pi(N) \) denote this isomorphism. We will see that we can assume that \( \pi(N) \) maps onto the first \( k \) copies of \( T \) (after isomorphism). Consider the composition

\[ \varphi_{ij} : T \xrightarrow{\iota_j} T^k \xrightarrow{\alpha} \pi(N) \xrightarrow{\iota_i} T^n \xrightarrow{\pi_i} T, \]

where \( \iota_j \) denotes the natural inclusion of \( T \) into the \( j \)-th component of \( T^k \), for \( 1 \leq j \leq k \), and \( \pi_i \) denotes the natural projection from \( T^n \) to the \( i \)-th component \( T \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Now, since \( \varphi_{ij} \) is an endomorphism of a simple \( k[G] \)-module, by Schur’s lemma (cf. [5], 3.17) we have that \( \text{End}_{k[G]}(\pi(N)) \) is a division ring. Since we are assuming that the Brauer group of \( k \) is trivial, this gives that \( \text{End}_{k[G]}(M) = k \), so \( \text{End}_{k[G]}(M) \subseteq k \). Thus we have that \( \varphi_{ij} \) is just multiplication by a scalar, so we can write \( \varphi_{ij}(t) = a_{ij} t \), for \( a_{ij} \in k \), \( t \in T \). We then can express \( \varphi := \iota \circ \alpha \) as

\[ \varphi : \begin{pmatrix} T^k \\ t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_k \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_k \end{pmatrix}. \]

Since \( \varphi \) is injective, the matrix \( A := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nk} \end{pmatrix} \) has rank \( k \). Using Gauß elimination, we can find an invertible \( n \times n \) matrix \( C \) such that

\[ CA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Note that \( \cdot C : M^n \to M^n \) and \( \cdot C : T^n \to T^n \) are isomorphisms of \( k[G] \)-modules. By construction we obtain

\[ C \cdot \iota(\pi(N)) = C \cdot \iota \cdot \alpha(T^k) = C \cdot \varphi(T^k) = T \bigoplus_{k} \cdots \bigoplus_{k} T \bigoplus_{n-k} 0. \]
So, without loss of generality, we can assume that
\[ \pi(N) = T \oplus \ldots \oplus T \oplus 0 \oplus \ldots \oplus 0 \subseteq T^n. \]

Let \( T_i \) denote the \( i \)th component of \( T \) in \( T^n \). Consider the submodule \( N \cap M_i \subseteq M \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), we have that \( \pi(N) \cap T_i = T \), which implies that \( S \subseteq N \cap M_i \), and by the assumptions on \( M \), we have \( N \cap M_i = M \). For \( k + 1 \leq i \leq n \), we have that \( \pi(N) \cap T_i = 0 \), and thus \( N \cap M_i \subseteq S \).

If we put \( S = S_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus S_t \), with \( S_i \subseteq S \) simple modules for \( 1 \leq i \leq t \), we obtain
\[ N \simeq M \oplus \ldots \oplus M \oplus L, \]
where \( L \subseteq S^l \), for some \( 0 \leq l \leq n - k \), is isomorphic to a direct sum of a subset of the modules \( S_1, \ldots, S_t \) (after Lemma 6.3). \( \square \)
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