

# Depth Separation for Neural Networks

Amit Daniely\*

February 1, 2022

## Abstract

Let  $f : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function of the form  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = g(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$  for  $g : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We give a simple proof that shows that poly-size depth two neural networks with (exponentially) bounded weights cannot approximate  $f$  whenever  $g$  cannot be approximated by a low degree polynomial. Moreover, for many  $g$ 's, such as  $g(x) = \sin(\pi d^3 x)$ , the number of neurons must be  $2^{\Omega(d \log(d))}$ . Furthermore, the result holds w.r.t. the uniform distribution on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . As many functions of the above form can be well approximated by poly-size depth three networks with poly-bounded weights, this establishes a separation between depth two and depth three networks w.r.t. the uniform distribution on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .

## 1 Introduction and main result

Many aspects of the expressive power of neural networks has been studied over the years. In particular, separation for deep networks [11, 10], expressive power of depth two networks [4, 8, 7, 2], and more [5, 3]. We focus on the basic setting of depth 2 versus depth 3 networks. We ask what functions are expressible (or well approximated) by poly-sized depth-3 networks, but cannot be approximated by an exponential size depth-2 network.

Two recent papers [9, 6] addressed this issue. Both papers presented a specific function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $f$  can be approximated w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  by a  $\text{poly}(d)$ -size depth 3 network, but not by a  $\text{poly}(d)$ -size depth 2 network. In Martens et al. [9] this was shown for  $f$  being the inner product mod 2 and  $\mathcal{D}$  being the uniform distribution on  $\{0, 1\}^d \times \{0, 1\}^d$ . In Eldan and Shamir [6] it was shown for a different (radial) function and some (unbounded) distribution.

We extend the above results and prove a similar result for an explicit and rich family of functions, and w.r.t. the uniform distribution on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . In addition, our lower bound on the number of required neurons is stronger: while previous papers showed that the number of neurons has to be exponential in  $d$ , we show exponential dependency on  $d \log(d)$ . Last, our proof is short, direct and is based only on basic Harmonic analysis over the sphere. In contrast, Eldan and Shamir [6]'s proof is rather lengthy and requires advanced technical tools such as tempered distributions, while Martens et al. [9] relied on the discrepancy of the inner product function mod 2. On the other hand, Eldan and Shamir [6] do not put any restriction on the magnitude of the weights, while we and Martens et al. [9] do require a mild (exponential) bound.

---

\*Google Brain

Let us fix an activation function  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we denote  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = (\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n))$ . We say that  $F : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be implemented by a depth-2  $\sigma$ -network of width  $r$  and weights bounded by  $B$  if

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = w_2^T \sigma(W_1 \mathbf{x} + W_1' \mathbf{x}' + b_1) + b_2,$$

where  $W_1, W_1' \in [-B, B]^{r \times d}$ ,  $w_2 \in [-B, B]^r$ ,  $b_1 \in [-B, B]^r$  and  $b_2 \in [-B, B]$ . Similarly,  $F : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be implemented by a depth-3  $\sigma$ -network of width  $r$  and weights bounded by  $B$  if

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = w_3^T \sigma(W_2 \sigma(W_1 \mathbf{x} + W_1' \mathbf{x}' + b_1) + b_2) + b_3$$

for  $W_1, W_1' \in [-B, B]^{r \times d}$ ,  $W_2 \in [-B, B]^{r \times r}$ ,  $w_3 \in [-B, B]^r$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in [-B, B]^r$  and  $b_3 \in [-B, B]$ . Denote

$$N_{d,n} = \binom{d+n-1}{d-1} - \binom{d+n-3}{d-1} = \frac{(2n+d-2)(n+d-3)!}{n!(d-2)!}.$$

Let  $\mu_d$  be the probability measure on  $[-1, 1]$  given by  $d\mu_d(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}(1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dx$  and define

$$A_{n,d}(f) = \min_{p \text{ is degree } n-1 \text{ polynomial}} \|f - p\|_{L^2(\mu_d)}$$

Our main theorem shows that if  $A_{n,d}(f)$  is large then  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \mapsto f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$  cannot be approximated by a small depth-2 network.

**Theorem 1 (main).** *Let  $N : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function implemented by a depth-2  $\sigma$ -network of width  $r$ , with weights bounded by  $B$ . Let  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and define  $F : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$ . Then, for all  $n$ ,*

$$\|N - F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \geq A_{n,d}(f) \left( A_{n,d}(f) - \frac{2rB \max_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4dB+B}} |\sigma(x)| + 2B}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}} \right)$$

**Example 2.** Let us consider the case that  $\sigma(x) = \max(0, x)$  is the ReLU function,  $f(x) = \sin(\pi d^3 x)$ ,  $n = d^2$  and  $B = 2^d$ . In this case, lemma 5 implies that  $A_{n,d}(f) \geq \frac{1}{5e\pi}$ . Hence, to have  $\frac{1}{50e^2\pi^2}$ -approximation of  $F$ , the number of hidden neurons has to be at least,

$$\frac{\sqrt{N_{d,d^2}}}{20e\pi 2^{2d}(1 + \sqrt{4d}) + 2^{d+1}} = 2^{\Omega(d \log(d))}$$

On the other hand, corollary 7 implies that  $F$  can be  $\epsilon$ -approximated by a ReLU network of depth 3, width  $\frac{16\pi d^5}{\epsilon}$  and weights bounded by  $2\pi d^3$

## 2 Proofs

Throughout, we fix a dimension  $d$ . All functions  $f : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be assumed to be square integrable w.r.t. the uniform measure. Likewise, functions  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be assumed to be square integrable w.r.t.  $\mu_d$  or  $\mu_d \times \mu_d$ . Norms and inner products of such functions are of the corresponding  $L^2$  spaces. We will use the fact that  $\mu_d$  is the probability measure on  $[-1, 1]$  that is obtained by pushing forward the uniform measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  via the function  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto x_1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n : L^2(\mu_d) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_d)$  the projection on the complement of the space of degree  $\leq n-1$  polynomials. Note that  $A_{n,d}(f) = \|\mathcal{P}_n f\|_{L^2(\mu_d)}$ .

## 2.1 Some Harmonic Analysis on the Sphere

The  $d$  dimensional Legendre polynomials are the sequence of polynomials over  $[-1, 1]$  defined by the recursion formula

$$P_n(x) = \frac{2n+d-4}{n+d-3}xP_{n-1}(x) - \frac{n-1}{n+d-3}P_{n-2}(x)$$

$$P_0 \equiv 1, P_1(x) = x$$

We also define  $h_n : S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \sqrt{N_{d,n}}P_n(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$ , and for  $\mathbf{x} \in S^{d-1}$  we denote  $L_n^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}') = h_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ . We will make use of the following properties of the Legendre polynomials.

**Proposition 3** (e.g. [1] chapters 1 and 2).

1. For every  $d \geq 2$ , the sequence  $\{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}P_n\}$  is orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mu_d)$ .
2. For every  $n$ ,  $\|P_n\|_{\infty} = 1$  and  $P_n(1) = 1$ .
3.  $\langle L_i^{\mathbf{x}}, L_j^{\mathbf{x}'} \rangle = P_i(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)\delta_{ij}$ .

## 2.2 Main Result

We say that  $f : S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an *inner product function* if it has the form  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \phi(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$  for some function  $\phi : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_d \subset L^2(S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1})$  be the space of inner product functions. We note that

$$\|f\|^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'} \phi^2(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \|\phi\|^2 = \|\phi\|^2$$

Hence, the correspondence  $\phi \leftrightarrow f$  defines an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces between  $L^2(\mu_d)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_d$ . In particular, the orthonormal basis  $\{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}P_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is mapped to  $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . In particular,

$$\mathcal{P}_n \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i h_i \right) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \alpha_i h_i$$

Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \in S^{d-1}$ . We say that  $f : S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')$ -separable if it has the form  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \psi(\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$  for some  $\psi : [-1, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We note that each neuron implements a separable function. Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}'} \subset L^2(S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1})$  be the space of  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')$ -separable functions. We note that

$$\|f\|^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'} \psi^2(\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{x}' \rangle) = \|\psi\|^2$$

Hence, the correspondence  $\psi \leftrightarrow f$  defines an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces between  $L^2(\mu_d \times \mu_d)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}'}$ . In particular, the orthonormal basis  $\{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}P_n \otimes \sqrt{N_{d,m}}P_m\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$  is mapped to  $\{L_n^{\mathbf{v}} \otimes L_m^{\mathbf{v}'}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ .

The following theorem implies theorem 1, as under the conditions of theorem 1, any hidden neuron implement a separable function with norm at most  $B \max_{|x| \leq \sqrt{4dB+B}} |\sigma(x)|$ , and the bias term is a separable function with norm at most  $B$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f : S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an inner product function and let  $g_1, \dots, g_r : S^{d-1} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be separable functions. Then

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^r g_i \right\|^2 \geq \|\mathcal{P}_n f\|^2 \left( \|\mathcal{P}_n f\| - \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^r \|g_i\|}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}} \right) \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'} h_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') L_i^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) L_j^{\mathbf{v}'}(\mathbf{x}') &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} L_i^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}'} h_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') L_j^{\mathbf{v}'}(\mathbf{x}') \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} L_i^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}'} L_n^{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}') L_j^{\mathbf{v}'}(\mathbf{x}') \\
&= \delta_{nj} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} L_i^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) P_n(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}' \rangle) \\
&= \frac{\delta_{nj}}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} L_i^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{x}) L_n^{\mathbf{v}'}(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \frac{\delta_{nj} \delta_{ni} P_n(\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}' \rangle)}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Suppose now that  $f = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \alpha_i h_i$  and suppose that  $g = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j$  where each  $g_j$  depends only on  $\langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{v}'_j, \mathbf{x}' \rangle$  for some  $\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}'_j \in S^{d-1}$ . Write  $g_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k,l}^j L_k^{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{x}) L_l^{\mathbf{v}'_j}(\mathbf{x}')$ . By equation (2),  $L_k^{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{x}) L_l^{\mathbf{v}'_j}(\mathbf{x}')$  is orthogonal to  $f$  whenever  $k \neq l$ . Hence, if we replace each  $g_j$  with  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k,k}^j L_k^{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{x}) L_k^{\mathbf{v}'_j}(\mathbf{x}')$ , the l.h.s. of (1) does not increase. Likewise, the r.h.s. does not decrease. Hence, we can assume w.l.o.g. that each  $g_j$  is of the form  $g_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^j L_i^{\mathbf{v}_j}(\mathbf{x}) L_i^{\mathbf{v}'_j}(\mathbf{x}')$ . Now, using (2) again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - g\|^2 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\| \alpha_i h_i - \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_i^j L_i^{\mathbf{v}_j} \otimes L_i^{\mathbf{v}'_j} \right\|^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left\| \alpha_i h_i - \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_i^j L_i^{\mathbf{v}_j} \otimes L_i^{\mathbf{v}'_j} \right\|^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \alpha_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^r \langle \alpha_i h_i, \beta_i^j L_i^{\mathbf{v}_j} \otimes L_i^{\mathbf{v}'_j} \rangle \\
&= \|\mathcal{P}_n f\|^2 - 2 \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\beta_i^j \alpha_i P_i(\langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}'_j \rangle)}{\sqrt{N_{d,k}}} \\
&\geq \|\mathcal{P}_n f\|^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta_i^j| |\alpha_i|}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}} \\
&\geq \|\mathcal{P}_n f\|^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |\beta_i^j|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |\alpha_i|^2} \\
&\geq \|\mathcal{P}_n f\|^2 - \frac{2 \|\mathcal{P}_n f\| \sum_{j=1}^r \|g_j\|}{\sqrt{N_{d,n}}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 2.3 Approximating the cosine function

**Lemma 5.** Define  $g_{d,m}(x) = \sin(\pi\sqrt{d}mx)$ . Then, for any  $d \geq d_0$ , for a universal constant  $d_0 > 0$ , and for any degree  $k$  polynomial  $p$  we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 (g_{d,m}(x) - p(x))^2 d\mu_d(x) \geq \frac{m-k}{4e\pi m}$$

*Proof.* We have that (e.g. [1])  $d\mu_d(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}(1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}dx$ . Likewise, for large enough  $d$  and  $|x| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$  we have  $1-x^2 \geq e^{-2x^2} \geq e^{-\frac{2}{d}}$  and hence  $(1-x^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \geq e^{-\frac{d-3}{d}} \geq e^{-1}$ . Likewise, since  $\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \sim \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}}$ , we have that for large enough  $d$  and  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ ,  $d\mu_d(x) \geq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2e\pi}$ . Hence, for  $f \geq 0$  we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x) d\mu_d(x) \geq \int_{-d^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{d^{-\frac{1}{2}}} f(x) d\mu_d(x) \geq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2e\pi} \int_{-d^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{d^{-\frac{1}{2}}} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2e\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{d}}\right) dt$$

Applying this equation for  $f = g_{d,m} - p$  we get that

$$\int_{-1}^1 (g_{d,m}(x) - p(x))^2 d\mu_d(x) \geq \frac{1}{2e\pi} \int_{-1}^1 (\sin(\pi mx) - q(x))^2 dx$$

Where  $q(x) := p\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{d}}\right)$ . Now, in the  $2m$  segments  $I_i = \left(-1 + \frac{i-1}{m}, -1 + \frac{i}{m}\right)$ ,  $i \in [2m]$  we have at least  $m-k$  segments on which  $x \mapsto \sin(\pi mx)$  and  $q$  do not change signs and have opposite signs. On each of these intervals we have  $\int_I (\sin(\pi mx) - q(x))^2 dx \geq \int_0^{\frac{1}{m}} \sin^2(\pi mx) dx = \frac{1}{2m}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6** (e.g. [6]). Let  $\sigma(x) = \max(x, 0)$  be the ReLU activation,  $f : [-R, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an  $L$ -Lipschitz function, and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is a function

$$g(x) = f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \sigma(\gamma_i x - \beta_i)$$

for which  $\|g - f\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$ . Furthermore,  $m \leq \frac{2RL}{\epsilon}$ ,  $|\beta_i| \leq R$ ,  $|\alpha_i| \leq 2L$ ,  $\gamma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and  $g$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz on all  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Corollary 7.** Let  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be an  $L$ -Lipschitz function and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define  $F : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  by  $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)$ . There is a function  $G : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  that satisfies  $\|F - G\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$  and furthermore  $G$  can be implemented by a depth-3 ReLU network of width  $\frac{16d^2L}{\epsilon}$  and weights bounded by  $\max(4, 2L)$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6 there is a depth-2 network  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{square}}$  that calculates  $\frac{x^2}{2}$  in  $[-2, 2]$ , with an error of  $\frac{\epsilon}{2dL}$  and has width at most  $\frac{16dL}{\epsilon}$  and hidden layer weights bounded by 2, and prediction layer weights bounded by 4. For each  $i \in [d]$  we can compose the linear function  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \mapsto x_i + x'_i$  with  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{square}}$  to get a depth-2 network  $\mathcal{N}_i$  that calculates  $\frac{(x_i + x'_i)^2}{2}$  with an error of  $\frac{\epsilon}{2dL}$  and has the same width and weight bound as  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{square}}$ . Summing the networks  $\mathcal{N}_i$  and subtracting 1 results with a depth-2 network  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{inner}}$  that calculates  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle$  with an error of  $\frac{\epsilon}{2L}$  and has width  $\frac{16d^2L}{\epsilon}$  and hidden layer weights bounded by 2, and prediction layer weights bounded by 4.

Now, again by lemma 6 there is a depth-2 network  $\mathcal{N}_f$  that calculates  $f$  in  $[-1, 1]$ , with an error of  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ , has width at most  $\frac{2L}{\epsilon}$ , hidden layer weights bounded by 1 and prediction layer weights bounded by  $2L$ , and is  $L$ -Lipschitz. Finally, consider the depth-3 network  $\mathcal{N}_F$  that is the composition of  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{inner}}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_f$ .  $\mathcal{N}_F$  has width at most  $\frac{16d^2L}{\epsilon}$  weight bound of  $\max(4, 2L)$ , and it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{N}_F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') - F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')| &= |\mathcal{N}_f(\mathcal{N}_{\text{inner}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')) - f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)| \\
&\leq |\mathcal{N}_f(\mathcal{N}_{\text{inner}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')) - \mathcal{N}_f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)| + |\mathcal{N}_f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle) - f(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle)| \\
&\leq L|\mathcal{N}_{\text{inner}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
&\leq L\frac{\epsilon}{2L} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [1] K. Atkinson and W. Han. *Spherical Harmonics and Approximations on the Unit Sphere: An Introduction*, volume 2044. Springer, 2012.
- [2] Andrew R Barron. Approximation and estimation bounds for artificial neural networks. *Machine Learning*, 14(1):115–133, 1994.
- [3] Nadav Cohen, Or Sharir, and Amnon Shashua. On the expressive power of deep learning: A tensor analysis. In *29th Annual Conference on Learning Theory*, pages 698–728, 2016.
- [4] G. Cybenko. Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of control, signals and systems*, 1989.
- [5] Olivier Delalleau and Yoshua Bengio. Shallow vs. deep sum-product networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 666–674, 2011.
- [6] Ronen Eldan and Ohad Shamir. The power of depth for feedforward neural networks. In *29th Annual Conference on Learning Theory*, pages 907–940, 2016.
- [7] Ken-Ichi Funahashi. On the approximate realization of continuous mappings by neural networks. *Neural networks*, 2(3):183–192, 1989.
- [8] Kurt Hornik, Maxwell Stinchcombe, and Halbert White. Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural networks*, 2(5):359–366, 1989.
- [9] James Martens, Arkadev Chattopadhyaya, Toni Pitassi, and Richard Zemel. On the representational efficiency of restricted boltzmann machines. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 2877–2885, 2013.
- [10] Itay Safran and Ohad Shamir. Depth separation in relu networks for approximating smooth non-linear functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.09887*, 2016.
- [11] Matus Telgarsky. Representation benefits of deep feedforward networks. In *COLT*, 2016.