arXiv:1702.08787v4 [math.PR] 3 Apr 2020

Spectral-free estimation of Lévy densities in high-frequency regime

Céline Duval * and Ester Mariucci †

Abstract

We construct an estimator of the Lévy density of a pure jump Lévy process, possibly of infinite variation, from the discrete observation of one trajectory at high frequency. The novelty of our procedure is that we directly estimate the Lévy density relying on a pathwise strategy, whereas existing procedures rely on spectral techniques. By taking advantage of a compound Poisson approximation, we circumvent the use of spectral techniques and in particular of the Lévy–Khintchine formula. A linear wavelet estimator is built and its performance is studied in terms of L_p loss functions, $p \geq 1$, over Besov balls. We recover classical nonparametric rates for finite variation Lévy processes and for a large nonparametric class of symmetric infinite variation Lévy processes. We show that the procedure is robust when the estimation set gets close to the critical value 0 and also discuss its robustness to the presence of a Brownian part.

Keywords. Lévy density estimation, infinite variation, Lévy processes, nonparametric estimation.
AMS Classification. 60E07, 60G51, 62G07, 62M99.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivations

It is now acknowledged that diffusion processes with jumps are good tools for modeling time varying random phenomena whose evolution exhibits sudden changes in value. One of the simplest way to allow for jumps is by considering a Lévy process, that is a continuous time process of the form $X_t = bt + \Sigma W_t + \text{Jumps}$, where W is a Brownian motion. The peculiarity of a Lévy process X is that for any $t > 0$, the law of X_t is infinitely divisible and the paths of X may have discontinuities. This explains why Lévy processes are a fundamental building block of many stochastic models; many of them have been suggested and extensively studied, for example, in *mathematical finance*; in *physics*, for turbulence, laser cooling and in quantum theory; in *engineering* for networks, queues and dams; in *economics* for continuous time-series models, in *actuarial science* for the calculation of insurance and re-insurance risk (see e.g. [2, 5, 6, 8, 31] for reviews and other applications).

The continuous part of X is characterized by two real parameters (b, Σ^2) and it can be handled easily. The behavior of the jump part is instead described by an infinite-dimensional

*Université Paris Descartes, MAP5, UMR CNRS 8145. E-mail: celine.duval@parisdescartes.fr
†Otto von Guericke Universität Magdeburg, Germany. E-mail: mariucci@ovgu.de.

object, the so-called Lévy measure or, equivalently, by the Lévy density whenever the Lévy measure admits a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. If the Lévy density f is continuous, $f(x_0)$ determines how frequent jumps of size close to x_0 are to occur per unit of time. Thus, to understand the jump behavior of X, it is of crucial importance to estimate f.

When dealing with Lévy processes, two approaches are typically used:

- A spectral approach based on the Lévy–Khintchine formula which relates the characteristic function of X_t to the Lévy density f.
- A pathwise approach based on the Lévy–Itô decomposition (see (3) below).

Techniques employed to address the estimation problem of Lévy densities systematically rely on spectral approaches. They have proven their efficiency both theoretically and numerically. An exception is represented by [16], where the properties of a projection estimator of the Lévy density are discussed. In the present work we circumvent the use of spectral techniques in favor of a pathwise strategy. Having a spectral-free procedure paves the way to new techniques for studying richer classes of jump processes for which an equivalent of the Lévy–Khintchine formula is not available.

One way to proceed is to translate from a probabilistic to a statistical setting Corollary 8.8 in [33]: "*Every infinitely divisible distribution is the limit of a sequence of compound Poisson distributions.*" We are also motivated by the fact that a compound Poisson approximation has been successfully applied to approximate general pure jump Lévy processes, both theoretically and for applications. For example, it is a standard way to simulate trajectories of pure jump Lévy processes (see e.g. Chapter 6, Section 3 in [13]). An alternative strategy would consist in taking advantage of the asymptotic equivalence result in [27] to construct an estimator of the Lévy density f. Yet, the resulting estimator would have the strong disadvantage of being randomized and, more fundamentally, it would require the knowledge of f in a neighborhood of the origin.

In the literature, nonparametric estimation of finite Lévy densities, i.e. Lévy densities of compound Poisson processes, is well understood both from high frequency and low frequency observations (see, among others, [4, 7, 10, 9, 14, 35]). Building an estimator of f for a Lévy process X with infinite Lévy measure is a more demanding task; for any time interval $[0, t]$, the process X almost certainly jumps infinitely many times. In particular, f is unbounded in any neighborhood of the origin. The techniques used for compound Poisson processes do not generalize immediately. Nevertheless, many results on the estimation of -infinite- Lévy densities from discrete data already exist. Spectral techniques enabled to build estimates of functionals of the Lévy density, such as $xf(x)$ or $x^2 f(x)$ —which arise naturally when using the Lévy–Khintchine formula— leading to estimators of f on compact sets away from 0. A non-exhaustive list of works estimating f for L_2 and L_∞ loss functions includes [12, 11, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 28, 34]; a review is also available in the textbook [3].

1.2 Notations and definitions

Before detailing the results, we introduce some necessary notations and definitions.

Lévy–Itô decomposition It is well known that any Lévy process X is a càdlàg process that can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= bt + \Sigma W_t + \lim_{\eta \to 0} \left(\sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s \mathbb{1}_{|\Delta X_s| \leq 1} - t \int_{|x| \leq 1} x \nu(dx) \right) + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta X_s \mathbb{1}_{|\Delta X_s| > 1} \\ &=: bt + \Sigma W_t + M_t(1) + Z_t(1), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, ν is a Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (y^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty$, $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion, $\Delta X_r = X_r - \lim_{s \uparrow r} X_s$ and the processes W, M and Z are independent.

In this paper we focus on the class of pure jump Lévy processes of the form

$$X_t := \begin{cases} \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta X_s & \text{if} \quad \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x| \nu(dx) < \infty, \\ M_t(1) + Z_t(1) & \text{if} \quad \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x| \nu(dx) = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

For all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, the Lévy–Itô decomposition allows to write a Lévy process X as in (2) as the sum of two independent Lévy processes, the first one (resp. the second one) having jumps smaller (resp. larger) in absolute value than ε. Namely

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= t b_\nu(\varepsilon) + \lim_{\eta \to 0} \left(\sum_{s \leq t} \Delta X_s \mathbb{1}_{(\eta, \varepsilon]}(|\Delta X_s|) - t \int_{\eta < |x| \leq \varepsilon} x \nu(dx) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t(\varepsilon)} Y_i(\varepsilon) \\ &=: t b_\nu(\varepsilon) + M_t(\varepsilon) + Z_t(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the drift $b_\nu(\varepsilon)$ is defined as

$$b_\nu(\varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon} x \nu(dx) & \text{if} \quad \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x| \nu(dx) < \infty, \\ -\int_{\varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 1} x \nu(dx) & \text{if} \quad \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x| \nu(dx) = \infty, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$M(\varepsilon) = (M_t(\varepsilon))_{t \geq 0}$ and $Z(\varepsilon) = (Z_t(\varepsilon))_{t \geq 0}$ are two independent Lévy processes. The process $M(\varepsilon)$ is a centered martingale consisting of the sum of the *small jumps* i.e. the jumps of size smaller than ε. The process $Z(\varepsilon)$ instead, is a compound Poisson process defined as follows: $N(\varepsilon) = (N_t(\varepsilon))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Poisson process of intensity $\lambda_\varepsilon := \int_{|x| > \varepsilon} \nu(dx)$ and $(Y_i(\varepsilon))_{i \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. random variables independent of $N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\mathbb{P}(Y_1(\varepsilon) \in A) = \nu(A)/\lambda_\varepsilon$, for all $A \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$.

Compound Poisson approximation Denote by f (resp. f_ε) the *Lévy density* of X (resp. $Z(\varepsilon)$), i.e. $f(x) = \frac{\nu(dx)}{dx}$ (resp. $f_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon} \nu(dx)}{dx}$). Let h_ε be the density, with respect to the Lebesgue measure, of the random variables $(Y_i(\varepsilon))_{i \geq 0}$, i.e. $h_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon / \lambda_\varepsilon$. We are interested in estimating f in any set of the form $A(\varepsilon) := (-\overline{A}, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, \overline{A})$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\overline{A} \in (\varepsilon, \infty]$. The latter condition is technical; if X is a compound Poisson process we may choose $\overline{A} := +\infty$, otherwise we work under the simplifying assumption that $A(\varepsilon)$ is a bounded set. Observe that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$f(x) \mathbb{1}_{A(\varepsilon)}(x) = f_\varepsilon(x) \mathbb{1}_{A(\varepsilon)}(x) = \lambda_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon(x) \mathbb{1}_{A(\varepsilon)}(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5}$$

Therefore, estimating f in $A(\varepsilon)$ from the increments of X is equivalent to estimating the Lévy density of the compound Poisson part of X, namely $Z(\varepsilon)$, from the increments of X. When $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$, we may take $\varepsilon = 0$ and Equation (3) reduces to a compound Poisson process with intensity $\lambda = \nu(\mathbb{R})$ and jump density $h = f/\lambda$.

A nonparametric class of Lévy densities Assume that the Lévy measure ν is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and denote by f the *Lévy density* of X. We pay special attention to the following nonparametric class of Lévy densities. Consider $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and M a positive constant, define the class of functions

$$\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha} := \left\{ f : f(x) \leq \frac{M}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \forall |x| \leq 2 \right\}. \tag{6}$$

A Lévy density f belongs to the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $M > 0$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$, if $\sup_{x\in[-2,2]} f(x)|x|^{1+\alpha} \leq M$. In particular $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ contains any $\widetilde{\alpha}$-stable Lévy density such that $\widetilde{\alpha} \leq \alpha$. Any finite variation Lévy process is in the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,1}$, for some positive M.

In Theorem 2, we provide upper bounds for general Lévy densities. To derive explicit rates of convergence we will examine, in particular, the cases where f belongs to $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ relying on the results of [15] (see Appendix B).

Observation setting and loss function Suppose we observe X on $[0,T]$ at the sampling rate $\Delta > 0$. Without loss of generality, set $T := n\Delta$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define

$$\mathbf{X}_{n,\Delta} := (X_\Delta, X_{2\Delta} - X_\Delta, \ldots, X_{n\Delta} - X_{(n-1)\Delta}). \tag{7}$$

We consider the high frequency setting where $\Delta \to 0$ and $T = n\Delta \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. The assumption $n\Delta \to \infty$ is necessary to construct a consistent estimator of f. The difference with the works listed in Section 1.1 is that we build a spectral-free estimator of f, without smoothing treatment at the origin, and study the following L_p risk. Define the class $L_{p,\varepsilon} = \big\{ g : \|g\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}} := \Big(\int_{A(\varepsilon)} |g(x)|^p dx \Big)^{1/p} < \infty \big\}$, where $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $A(\varepsilon)$ is the estimation set defined above. Define the loss function

$$\ell_{p,\varepsilon}(\widehat{f}, f) := \big(\mathbb{E}[\|\widehat{f} - f\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}] \big)^{1/p} = \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{A(\varepsilon)} |\widehat{f}(x) - f(x)|^p dx \right] \right)^{1/p}, \quad p \in [1,\infty).$$

Finally, denote by P_Δ the distribution of the random variable X_Δ and by P_n the law of the random vector $\mathbf{X}_{n,\Delta}$ defined in (7). Since X is a Lévy process, its increments are i.i.d., hence

$$P_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} P_{i,\Delta} = P_\Delta^{\otimes n}, \quad \text{where} \quad P_{i,\Delta} = \mathscr{L}(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}).$$

In the following, whenever confusion may arise, the reference probability in expectations is explicitly stated, for example, writing $\mathbb{E}_{P_n}$.

1.3 Estimation strategy and results

For any fixed $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ (when $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ the choice $\varepsilon = 0$ is allowed), taking advantage of Equation (5), we build an estimator of f on the set $A(\varepsilon)$ by constructing estimators for λ_ε and h_ε separately. For that we consider the increments of (7) larger than ε in absolute value. Define the dataset

$$\mathbf{D}_{n,\varepsilon} := \big\{ X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta},\ i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon \big\}, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$ is the subset of indices such that $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon := \big\{i = 1, \dots, n : |X_{(i-1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta}| > \varepsilon\big\}$. Its random cardinality is denoted by

$$\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}(|X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}|). \tag{9}$$

Our estimation strategy is the following.

1. We build an estimator of λ_ε using the following Lemma:

   Lemma 1. *Let X be a Lévy process with Lévy measure ν absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$*

   $$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_t| \geq \varepsilon)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]} \nu(dy).$$

   In particular, Lemma 1 implies $\lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\mathbb{P}(M_t(\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ and

   $$\lambda_\varepsilon = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}\mathbb{P}(|X_t| > \varepsilon), \quad \forall\, \varepsilon \in (0, 1]. \tag{10}$$

   Lemma 1 is a modification of Lemma 6 in Rüschendorf and Woerner [32]. Their proof relies on spectral arguments, we provide a spectral free version of the proof in the Appendix.

2. From the observations $\mathbf{D}_{n,\varepsilon}$ in (8) we build a wavelet estimator $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ of h_ε using that, for Δ small, the random variables $(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta})_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon}$ are i.i.d. with a density close to h_ε (see Lemma 3 below).

3. Finally, we estimate f on $A(\varepsilon)$ following (5) by

   $$\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}(x) := \widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x)\mathbb{1}_{A(\varepsilon)}(x), \quad \forall x \in A(\varepsilon). \tag{11}$$

The presence of the small jumps makes the estimation of h_ε and λ_ε from observed increments larger than ε delicate. Indeed, if i_0 is such that $|X_{i_0\Delta} - X_{(i_0-1)\Delta}| > \varepsilon$, it is not automatically true that there exists $s \in ((i_0 - 1)\Delta, i_0\Delta]$ such that $|\Delta X_s| > \varepsilon$ (or any other fixed positive number).

In Section 2 we establish upper bounds for the L_p risks of the estimators $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}$ and $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ (see Theorem 1, Proposition 1 and Corollary 2). The main difficulty in their study lies in the presence of the small jumps that play a role in both cases. We stress that when ε is fixed, the quantity λ_ε is bounded. But as we generalize the results to the case $\varepsilon \to 0$ (see Section 3.2.3) this is no longer true: whenever $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$, it holds $\lambda_\varepsilon \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore, in all the results of the paper we always keep explicit the dependency in λ_ε.

Our main results on the estimator (11) of the Lévy density f are given in Section 3. Theorem 2 provides a general upper bound that tends to 0, regardless of the rate at which Δ tends to 0. Interestingly, the estimation strategy leads to an upper bound where terms depending on the behavior of the small jumps appear. These terms make it difficult to derive an explicit rate of convergence without additional assumptions on the Lévy density. Therefore, in Theorems 3 and 4 we consider additional assumptions, satisfied in particular by the classes introduced in

(6), and derive explicit rates of convergence. Similarly to what happens when using spectral procedures, Theorem 3 ensures that we recover the classical rates for finite variation Lévy densities when $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$ (see e.g. [11, 12]). Furthermore, we show that this rate is attained for a large nonparametric class of symmetric infinite variation Lévy processes. Theorem 4 generalizes the rates of Theorem 3, in particular to slower regimes for Δ. Finally, Theorem 5 shows that our procedure is robust to the case $\varepsilon \to 0$. For the sake of clarity, the results of Sections 2 and 3 are stated for purely discontinuous Lévy processes, even though our procedure generalizes to the presence of a Gaussian part as detailed in Section 3.4.

Finally, Section 4 contains the proofs of the main results while Appendix A collects the proofs of the auxiliary results and in Appendix B technical results of [15] are partially reproduced and used to establish Theorems 3, 4 and 5.

2 Preliminary estimators

2.1 Statistical properties of $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}$

First, we define an estimator of the intensity of the Poisson process $Z(\varepsilon)$ in terms of $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)$, the number of jumps that exceed ε following (10).

Definition 1. *Let $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the estimator of $\lambda_\varepsilon = \int_{|x|>\varepsilon} \nu(dx)$ defined by*

$$\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon} := \frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}{n\Delta}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)$ is defined as in (9).

Observe that $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,0} \asymp N_{n\Delta}/(n\Delta)$ as $\Delta \to 0$, which is the maximum likelihood estimator of λ_0 in the experiment $\{\mathcal{P}(\lambda_0\Delta),\ \lambda_0 \in (0,\infty)\}$. In [29] and [30], estimators of the cumulative distribution function of the Lévy measure, which is closely related to λ_ε, are build and Donsker theorems are derived. In [30] a direct approach similar to (12) is considered, the performances are investigated in $L_\infty(V)$, for a domain V bounded away from 0. We establish the following L_p bound for $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let $n \geq 1$, $\Delta > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ be such that $n\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon) \geq 1$. Let $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the estimator of λ_ε defined in (12). Then, there exists a constant C, depending only on p, such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\big[|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon} - \lambda_\varepsilon|^p\big] \leq \Big|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)}{\Delta}\Big|^p + C\Big(\frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)}{n\Delta^2}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad \forall p \in [1,\infty).$$

In general, the quantity $\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)/\Delta$ is not easy to handle. By Lemma 1, it holds $\lim_{\Delta\to 0}\Big|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta|>\varepsilon)}{\Delta}\Big| = 0$, but the rate of convergence is not known in general. Nevertheless, in many cases of interest, it holds that $|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta|>\varepsilon)}{\Delta}| = O(\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)$, as $\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon \to 0$. This motivates Assumption $(H_1(\delta,c))$ below that leads to Corollary 1.

Assumption $H_1(\delta,c)$: X is a Lévy process with a Lévy measure ν such that

$$\big|\mathbb{P}(|X_t| > \varepsilon) - t\lambda_\varepsilon\big| \leq ct^2, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq \delta,\ 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, \tag{$H_1(\delta,c)$}$$

where c is a constant that does not depend on t.

Corollary 1. *Let X be as in (2) and such that $(H_1(\delta, c))$ is satisfied for some $\delta > 0$ and $c > 0$. Let $0 < \Delta \leq \delta$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $n \geq 1$; the estimator (12) of λ_ε satisfies for all $p \in [1, \infty)$*

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\left[|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon} - \lambda_\varepsilon|^p\right] \leq C\bigg(\Big(\frac{\lambda_\varepsilon + \Delta}{n\Delta}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p\bigg),$$

where C is a positive constant depending on p and c.

Assumption $(H_1(\delta, c))$ requires a non-asymptotic control on the cumulative distribution function of the Lévy process for small times. Asymptotic expansions have been established in the literature such as Theorem 3.2 in [18], where a control of $\mathbb{P}(X_t > y)$ is given for y bounded away from the origin. However, no indication on how small t should be nor on how large y should be is given.

It is possible to establish that $(H_1(\delta, c))$ holds true on the nonparametric class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ (see (6)). This is a consequence of [15] whose main results are reproduced in Appendix B. Theorem 7 ensures that for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $M > 0$, $(H_1(\delta, c))$ is satisfied for $\delta = \frac{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{M4^{1+\alpha}}$ and c depending on α, ε and M. Moreover, if X is symmetric and its Lévy density is $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$, Theorem 10 ensures that for $\alpha \in [1, 2)$ and $M > 0$, $(H_1(\delta, c))$ is satisfied for $\delta = \frac{(2-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{M2^{1+\alpha}}$ and c depending on α, ε and M. Note that any Lévy density of the form $f(x) = L(x)/x^{1+\alpha}$ for all $x \in [-2, 2] \setminus \{0\}$ where L is a bounded differentiable function with bounded derivative is $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$. In particular it is satisfied if X is an α-stable process. Furthermore, in Theorems 7 and 10, the dependency in ε of the constant c is explicit, which will allow to control the L_p loss between $\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}$ and λ_ε in the asymptotic $\varepsilon \to 0$.

2.2 Statistical properties of $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$

2.2.1 Construction of $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$

To recover the jump density h_ε, we exploit the high frequency setting. For Δ small, it holds $h_\varepsilon \approx \mathcal{L}(X_\Delta \big| |X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)$. Focusing on the increments larger than ε in absolute value, we estimate the density h_ε using a linear wavelet density estimator and study its performances uniformly over Besov balls (see Kerkyacharian and Picard [25] or Härdle et al. [22]). We state the result and assumptions in terms of the quantity of interest f.

Preliminary on Besov spaces Let (Φ, Ψ) be a pair of scaling function and mother wavelet which are compactly supported, of class C^r and generate a regular wavelet basis adapted to the estimation set $A(\varepsilon)$ (e.g. Daubechie's wavelet). Moreover suppose that $\{\Phi(x - k), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal family of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. For all $f \in L_{p,\varepsilon}$ we write for $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \Lambda_{j_0}} \alpha_{j_0 k}(f)\Phi_{j_0 k}(x) + \sum_{j \geq j_0} \sum_{k \in \Lambda_j} \beta_{jk}(f)\Psi_{jk}(x), \quad \forall x \in A(\varepsilon),$$

where $\Phi_{j_0 k}(x) = 2^{\frac{j_0}{2}}\Phi(2^{j_0}x - k)$, $\Psi_{jk}(x) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}}\Psi(2^j x - k)$ and the coefficients are

$$\alpha_{j_0 k}(f) = \int_{A(\varepsilon)} \Phi_{j_0 k}(x)f(x)dx \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{jk}(f) = \int_{A(\varepsilon)} \Psi_{jk}(x)f(x)dx.$$

As we consider compactly supported wavelets, for every $j \geq j_0$, the set Λ_j incorporates boundary terms that we choose not to distinguish in notation for simplicity. In the sequel we apply this decomposition to h_ε. This is justified because $f_\varepsilon \in L_{p,\varepsilon}$ implies $h_\varepsilon \in L_{p,\varepsilon}$ and the coefficients of its decomposition are $\alpha_{j_0k}(h_\varepsilon) = \alpha_{j_0k}(f)/\lambda_\varepsilon$ and $\beta_{j_0k}(h_\varepsilon) = \beta_{j_0k}(f)/\lambda_\varepsilon$. The latter can be interpreted as the expectations of $\Phi_{j_0k}(U)$ and $\Psi_{jk}(U)$ where U is a random variable with density h_ε with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

We define Besov spaces in terms of wavelet coefficients as follows. For $r > s > 0$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ a function f belongs to the Besov space $B^s_{p,q}(A(\varepsilon))$ if the norm

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(A(\varepsilon))} := \Big(\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{j_0}} |\alpha_{j_0k}(f)|^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \Big[\sum_{j \geq j_0} \Big(2^{j(s+1/2-1/p)} \Big(\sum_{k \in \Lambda_j} |\beta_{jk}(f)|^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Big)^q \Big]^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{13}$$

is finite, with the usual modification if $q = \infty$. We consider Lévy densities f with respect to the Lebesgue measure, whose restriction to the set $A(\varepsilon)$ lies into a Besov ball:

$$\mathscr{F}(s, p, q, \mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon)) = \big\{ f \in L_{p,\varepsilon} \ : \ \|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(A(\varepsilon))} \leq \mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon \big\}, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon := \mathfrak{M}\lambda_\varepsilon$, for a fixed constant $\mathfrak{M}$. Note that the regularity assumption is imposed on $f_{|A(\varepsilon)}$ viewed as an $L_{p,\varepsilon}$ function. Therefore the dependency in $A(\varepsilon)$ lies in $\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon$. Also, the parameter p measuring the loss of our estimator is the same as the one measuring the Besov regularity of the function, this is discussed in Section 2.2.2. Lemma 2 below follows immediately from the definitions of h_ε and the Besov norm (13).

Lemma 2. *For all $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, let f be in $\mathscr{F}(s, p, q, \mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$. Then, $h_\varepsilon = \frac{f_\varepsilon}{\lambda_\varepsilon}$ belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}\big(s, p, q, \mathfrak{M}, A(\varepsilon)\big)$.*

Construction of $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ To estimate the jump density h_ε, we only have access to the indirect observations $\{X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta},\ i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\}$, where for each $i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$, it holds

$$X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta} = M_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - M_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon) + \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon) + Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon).$$

The problem is twofold. First, there is a deconvolution problem as the information on h_ε is contained in the observations $\{Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon), i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\}$. The distribution of the noise $M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon)$ is unknown, but it is small as its variance $\mathbb{V}(M_\Delta(\varepsilon)) = \Delta \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon} x^2 \nu(dx) \to 0$ as $\Delta \to 0$. Then, we neglect this noise:

$$X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta} \approx Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon), \quad \forall i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon. \tag{15}$$

Second, overlooking that it is possible that for some $i_0 \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$, $|X_{i_0\Delta} - X_{(i_0-1)\Delta}| > \varepsilon$ and $Z_{i_0\Delta} - Z_{(i_0-1)\Delta} = 0$, yet the common density of $Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta} | Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta} \neq 0$ is not h_ε but it is given by

$$p_{\Delta,\varepsilon} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N_\Delta(\varepsilon) = k | N_\Delta(\varepsilon) \neq 0) h_\varepsilon^{\star k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_\varepsilon \Delta)^k}{k!(e^{\lambda_\varepsilon \Delta} - 1)} h_\varepsilon^{\star k}, \tag{16}$$

where $\star$ denotes the convolution product. Again, in the asymptotic $\Delta \to 0$, we neglect the possibility that more than one jump of $N(\varepsilon)$ occurred in an interval of length Δ.

Lemma 3. *For all $p \geq 1$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ and $\Delta > 0$, it holds $\big\|p_{\Delta,\varepsilon} - h_\varepsilon\big\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}} \leq 2\Delta e^{\lambda_\varepsilon \Delta}\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}$.*

Define the estimator based on the chain of approximations $h_\varepsilon \approx p_{\Delta,\varepsilon} \approx \mathcal{L}(X_\Delta | |X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)$

$$\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{k \in \Lambda_J} \widehat{\alpha}_{J,k} \Phi_{Jk}(x), \quad x \in A(\varepsilon), \tag{17}$$

where J is an integer to be chosen and

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{J,k} := \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\geq 1}}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon} \Phi_{Jk}(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}).$$

If $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) = 0$, the estimator $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ is 0, which occurs with probability $(1 - \mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon))^n \leq e^{-n\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta|>\varepsilon)}$. We work with a linear estimator even if linear estimators are not always minimax for general Besov spaces $B^s_{\pi,q}$, $1 \leq \pi, q \leq \infty$ ($\pi \neq p$). Indeed, to evaluate the loss caused by neglecting the small jumps $M_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ (see (15)), we make an approximation at order 1 of our estimator $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$. We thus require our estimator to depend smoothly on the observations, which is not the case for usual thresholding methods. Finally, we recall that on the class $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$ this estimator is optimal in the context of density estimation from direct i.i.d. observations (see [25], Theorem 3).

2.2.2 Upper bound results

Adapting the results of [25], we derive a conditional upper bound for the estimation of h_ε when the Lévy measure is infinite. Recall that $A(\varepsilon) = (-\overline{A}, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, \overline{A})$ with $\overline{A} \in (\varepsilon, \infty)$.

Proposition 1. *Suppose $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$, fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and that f belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$ defined in (14), for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $\varepsilon < \overline{A} < \infty$. If $1 \leq p < 2$ suppose that $h_\varepsilon(x) \leq w_\varepsilon(x)$, $\forall x \in A(\varepsilon)$ for some symmetric function $w_\varepsilon \in L_{p/2}$. Let $r > s > \frac{1}{p}$ and let $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the wavelet estimator of h_ε on $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in (17). Let $v_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(|M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon)| > \varepsilon)$, $F_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)$, $\sigma^2(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon} x^2 \nu(dx)$ and $\mu_p(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon} |x|^p \nu(dx)$. For any $\Delta > 0$ such that $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, the following inequality holds. For all $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all finite $p \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\big[\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon}) - h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}} | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big] \\
&\qquad \leq C\Big\{ 2^{2Jp}\Big[\Big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{p/2} + \Big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{p}\Big] \\
&\qquad + 2^{-Jsp} + 2^{Jp/2}\ell_{p,\varepsilon}^{p/2}\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-p/2} + 2^{J(p-1)}\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{(1-p)}\mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + (e^{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\Delta\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p \\
&\qquad + 2^{J(5\frac{p}{2}-1)}\Big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{1-p}(\Delta\mu_p(\varepsilon) + (\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}}) + \mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\big(\sigma^2(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)^p\Big]\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$, $\ell_{p,\varepsilon} := \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}\mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}\mathbf{1}_{1\leq p<2}$ and C only depends on s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$.

Comments If $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$, then $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon} = 0$ and we get $\mathbb{E}\big[\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon} - h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}} | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big] \leq \|h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}$. A straightforward adaptation of the proof of Proposition 1 allows to take $\overline{A} = +\infty$ if X is a

compound Poisson process. The constraint on $h_\varepsilon \le w_\varepsilon$ for $1 \le p < 2$, is classical (see e.g. [25]). For instance, it is satisfied if h_ε is compactly supported. Assumption $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \le \frac{1}{3}$ is not restrictive, by means of Lemma 1, it holds for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$

$$\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} = \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon} + \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{\Delta}\Big(\frac{\Delta}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon}\Big) \le \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon} + \frac{2\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon) + 2\Delta^2 b_\nu(\varepsilon)^2}{\Delta\varepsilon^2}\Big(\frac{\Delta}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon}\Big) \xrightarrow[\Delta\to 0]{} 0. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, on the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $M > 0$ defined in (6), Theorems 6 and 7 permit to derive a bound in $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \le C_{\alpha,M,\varepsilon}\Delta$, when ε is fixed. A similar result can be obtained if $\alpha \in (1,2)$ assuming additionally that f is symmetric: Theorems 8 and 9 give a bound of the form $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \le C_{\alpha,M,\varepsilon}\Delta^{1/\alpha}$, when ε is fixed. Finally, if $\alpha = 1$, the same holds by replacing Δ with $\Delta\log(1/\Delta)$.

To get unconditional bounds we introduce the following result.

Lemma 4. *Let $F_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)$. For all $r \ge 0$ we have*

$$\Big(\frac{3nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{2}\Big)^{-r} \le \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big|\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) \ge 1\big] \le 2\exp\big(\tfrac{-3nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{32}\big) + \Big(\frac{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{2}\Big)^{-r}.$$

Using Lemma 4, we remove the conditioning on $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$. The terms appearing in the following upper bound are discussed in the more general setting of Section 3.1.1 below.

Corollary 2. *Fix $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, assume that f belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon,A(\varepsilon))$ defined in (14), for some $1 \le q \le \infty$, $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\varepsilon < \overline{A} < \infty$. If $1 \le p < 2$ suppose that $h_\varepsilon(x) \le w_\varepsilon(x)$, $\forall x \in A(\varepsilon)$ for some symmetric function $w_\varepsilon \in L_{p/2}$. Let $r > s > \frac{1}{p}$ and let $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the wavelet estimator of h_ε on $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in (17). For any $n \ge 1$ and $\Delta > 0$ such that $nF_\Delta(\varepsilon) \ge 1$ and $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \le \frac{1}{3}$, the following inequality holds. For all $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \ge 2$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big[\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon}) - h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}^p\big] &\le \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}^p\big(1 - F_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^n \\
&+ C\Big\{2^{2Jp}\Big[\Big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)^2}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p\Big] \\
&+ 2^{-Jsp} + 2^{J\frac{p}{2}}\ell_{p,\varepsilon}^{p/2}\big(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^{-p/2} + \Big(\frac{2^J}{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{(p-1)}\mathbf{1}_{p\ge 2} + (e^{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\Delta\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p \\
+ 2^{J(5\frac{p}{2}-1)}\Big[(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{1-p}(\Delta\mu_p(\varepsilon) + (\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}}) + (nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{-\frac{p}{2}}\big(\sigma^2(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)^p\Big]\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\ell_{p,\varepsilon} := \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}\mathbf{1}_{p\ge 2} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}\mathbf{1}_{1\le p<2}$ and $C > 0$ depending only on s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$.

3 Statistical properties of $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$

3.1 Main Theorem: general result

Combining the results in Proposition 1 and Corollary 2 we derive the following upper bound for the estimator $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$ of the Lévy density f, when $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$.

Theorem 2. *Fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, suppose f belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon,A(\varepsilon))$ defined in (14), for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\varepsilon < \overline{A} < \infty$. If $1 \leq p < 2$ suppose that $f_\varepsilon(x) \leq \lambda_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon(x)$, $\forall x \in A(\varepsilon)$ for some symmetric function $w_\varepsilon \in L_{p/2}$. Let $r > s > \frac{1}{p}$ and let $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the estimator of f on $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in (11). For any $n \geq 1$ and $\Delta > 0$ such that $nF_\Delta(\varepsilon) \geq 1$ and $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, the following inequality holds. For all $J \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon},f\big)\big]^p \leq C\Big\{&\|f\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\big(1-F_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^n + \Big(\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n\Delta^2}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Big|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{\Delta}\Big|^p \\ &+ \lambda_\varepsilon^p\Big\{2^{2Jp}\Big[\Big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)^2}\Big)^{p/2} + \Big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p\Big] \\ &+ 2^{-Jsp} + 2^{Jp/2}\ell_{p,\varepsilon}^{p/2}\big(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^{-p/2} + \left(\frac{2^J}{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\right)^{p-1}\mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + (e^{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\Delta\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p \\ &+ 2^{J(5\frac{p}{2}-1)}\Big[(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{1-p}\big(\Delta\mu_p(\varepsilon) + (\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) + (nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{-\frac{p}{2}}\big(\sigma^2(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)^p\Big]\Big\}\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

where $v_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(|M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon)| > \varepsilon)$, $F_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)$, $\sigma^2(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon} x^2\nu(dx)$, $\mu_p(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon}|x|^p\nu(dx)$, $\ell_{p,\varepsilon} := \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}\mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}\mathbf{1}_{1\leq p<2}$ and C depends on s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$.

3.1.1 Comments on the upper bound

Theorem 2 gives an explicit upper bound for the L_p-risk restricted to the estimation set $A(\varepsilon)$ for the estimation of the Lévy density $f = \frac{\nu(dx)}{dx}$ of a pure jump Lévy process as in (2). Note that Lemma 1 (see also (18)) ensures that $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)/F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ tends to 0 when $\Delta \to 0$. Therefore the upper bound of Theorem 2 tends to 0 whenever $\Delta \to 0$ and $n\Delta \to \infty$, under the assumption that the Lévy density f has a Besov norm restricted to $A(\varepsilon)$ that does not grow more than a constant times λ_ε.

We provide below a rough intuition of the different terms appearing in Theorem 2. The estimation strategy relies on different approximations that entail four different sources of errors (points 3-4-5 hereafter are related to the estimation of h_ε).

1. *Controlling the presence of jumps*: The term $\|f_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\big(1-F_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^n$ provides a control of the risk when no jumps larger than ε are observed in a dataset. This term is bounded by $\|f_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}e^{-nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}$ and tends to 0 exponentially fast under the assumption $nF_\Delta(\varepsilon) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

2. *Estimation of λ_ε:* it leads to the error $\Big(\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n\Delta^2}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Big|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{\Delta}\Big|^p := E_1$.

3. *Neglecting the event $\{|M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon)| > \varepsilon\}$:* Considering that each time an increment X_Δ exceeds the threshold ε the associated Poisson process $N_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ is nonzero leads to the error
$$2^{2J}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)^2}} + \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\right\} \asymp 2^{2J}\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} := E_2.$$
This error is unavoidable as we do not observe $M(\varepsilon)$ and $Z(\varepsilon)$ separately.

4. *Neglecting the presence of $M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon)$:* In (15) we ignore the convolution structure of the observations. This produces an error E_3 in

$$2^{J(\frac{5}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\Big\{(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{-1+\frac{1}{p}}\big(\Delta\mu_p(\varepsilon) + (\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) + (nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big(\sigma^2(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)^p\Big\}.$$

It seems reasonable to neglect $M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta$: the distribution of $M_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ is unknown. Even if we did know it, deconvolution methods essentially rely on spectral approaches which we meant to avoid.

5. *Estimation of the compound Poisson $Z(\varepsilon)$:* This estimation problem is solved in two steps. First, we neglect the event $\{N_\Delta(\varepsilon) \geq 2\}$ which generates the error: $E_4 := e^{\lambda_\varepsilon \Delta}\Delta\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}$. This could have been improved considering a corrected estimator as in [14], but it would have added even more heaviness in the final result. Second, for $2^J \lesssim nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)$, we recover an estimation error that is classical for the density estimation problem from i.i.d. observations in $E_5 := 2^{-Js} + 2^{J/2}\ell_{p,\varepsilon}^{1/2}\big(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^{-1/2}$.

The rate of convergence is not explicit in terms of Δ, it depends on the quantities $F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and therefore on the Lévy measure ν. Consequently, we cannot say in general which one of the above error terms E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 or E_5 is predominant.

Moreover, the rate of convergence depends on the choice made for J. There is a bias term in 2^{-Jsp} (see E_5), where s is the regularity of f, that decreases with J, whereas all the other —variance type— terms (E_2, E_3 and the second term of E_5) increase with J. Ideally, J should be selected of the order of J_n^* a minimizer of the upper bound of Theorem 2. In the results below, from the idea that E_2 and E_3 are –under suitable assumptions– remainder terms and should not intervene in the final rate, we focus only on E_5 and select J as a minimizer of E_5. An adaptive procedure to select J is discussed in Section 3.3.

In Theorems 3 and 4 below, the rates of convergence for the L_p-risk $\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)$ are given under additional assumptions on $F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$, which are satisfied on the class $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha,M}$ defined in (6). Finally, Theorem 5 deals with the case $\varepsilon \to 0$, it shows that our estimator is robust in this context.

3.1.2 Example: Compound Poisson process

If X is a compound Poisson process, we fix $\varepsilon = 0$. Then $\lambda := \lambda_0 < \infty$, $F_\Delta(0) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_0\Delta}$ and $v_\Delta(0) = \mu_p(0) = \sigma^2(0) = b_\nu(0) = 0$. The bound given in Theorem 2 simplifies and choosing J such that $2^J = (n\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2s+1}}$ we get $\big[\ell_{p,0}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,0}, f\big)\big]^p \leq C\big\{(n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} + \Delta^p\big\}$, where the first term is the optimal rate of convergence to estimate $p_{\Delta,0}$ from the observations $\boldsymbol{D}_{n,0}$ and the second term is a deterministic error due to the omission of the event that more than one jump may occur in an interval of length Δ (see also [14]).

3.2 An explicit rate under additional assumptions

3.2.1 Regimes where $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$

Without any specific assumption on the Lévy density, the rate of Theorem 2 is not explicit. This rate can be simplified under the additional assumptions $(H_1(\delta, c))$, $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$ and $nv_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1$ as well as choosing J such that $2^J = (n\Delta)^{1/(2s+1)}$. The dimension J is selected such that the

estimator of h_ε is rate optimal from the observations $\mathbf{D}_{n,\varepsilon}$. The following theorem is derived from Theorem 2 (see Appendix A for the proof).

Theorem 3. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let ν be a Lévy measure admitting a density f with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, suppose that f belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}_1} := \{f \in \mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon)),\ \lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1, f \in \mathscr{H}_1\}$, where $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$ is defined in (14), for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, and $\varepsilon < \overline{A} < \infty$ and where $\mathscr{H}_1 := \{f :\ (H_1(\delta,c))$ is satisfied$\}$, for some $\delta > 0$ and $c > 0$. Let $r > s > \frac{1}{p}$ and let $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the estimator of f on $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in (11), let n and Δ be such that $n\Delta \geq 6$, $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$, $\Delta \leq \frac{1}{2c} \wedge \delta$ and $nv_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1$. Then, for all $p \geq 2$, it holds that $\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p$ is bounded uniformly over $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}_1}$ by*

$$C \begin{cases} (n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} & \text{if } s \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{p}, \\ \max\big\{(n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}, \Delta^{1\wedge\frac{p}{2}}(n\Delta)^{-\frac{4s(p-1)-3p}{2(2s+1)}}, (n\Delta)^{\frac{p(1-2s)}{(2s+1)}}\big\} & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where C is a constant depending on ε, s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$.

If $1 \leq p < 2$, the result of Theorem 3 follows from the case $p \geq 2$ and the Hölder inequality, as the set $A(\varepsilon)$ is bounded and $f \in L_{2,\varepsilon} \cap L_{\frac{2p}{p-2},\varepsilon}$.

The quantity $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ appearing in the hypotheses of Theorem 3 can be easily controlled on the class of subordinators satisfying $(H_1(\delta,c))$, $\Delta \leq \delta$, for instance for a Gamma process. Indeed, for any subordinator X it holds $\mathbb{P}(X_\Delta > \varepsilon) = v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta} + 1 - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}$, under Assumption $(H_1(\delta,c))$ it becomes $v_\Delta(\varepsilon) = e^{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}(F_\Delta(\varepsilon) + e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta} - 1) = O\big(\Delta^2\big)$ as $\Delta \to 0$. More generally, it is possible to give explicit upper bounds for $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ on a larger class of Lévy processes than the subordinators, namely the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $M > 0$, on which it is possible to show that

$$v_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq C_{\alpha,M,\varepsilon}\Delta^2, \tag{19}$$

see Theorem 6. The same result can be obtained on the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (1,2)$, under the additional assumption of a symmetric Lévy density which is also $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$, see Equation (40). Concerning the generality of Assumption $(H_1(\delta,c))$ we emphasize that it is always satisfied for Lévy densities in $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ (see Theorem 7) and for symmetric Lévy densities in $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in [1,2)$ that are $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$, see Theorem 10.

3.2.2 An explicit rate for all regimes

Assumption $H_2(\beta,\delta,c)$: X is a Lévy process as in (2) such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|M_t(\varepsilon) + tb_\nu(\varepsilon)| > \varepsilon) \leq ct^\beta, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq \delta,\ \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, \tag{$H_2(\beta,\delta,c)$}$$

for some $\beta > 1$ and where c is a positive constant that does not depend on t.

Note that $(H_2(\beta,\delta,c))$ is satisfied for $\beta = 2$ if f belongs to the class $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha,M}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ (see (6) and Theorem 6) or if X is a subordinator such that $(H_1(\delta,c))$ is satisfied. If X is a symmetric infinite variation process, $(H_2(\beta,\delta,c))$ is satisfied for $\beta = 1 + 1/\alpha$ if f belongs to the class $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha,M}$, $\alpha \in [1,2)$ (see Theorem 8). Observe that the exponent $1 + 1/\alpha$ cannot be improved in general, see Section 2.2 in [15]). However, if, additionally, f is $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$, the result can be improved with $\beta = 2$ (see (40)). The case $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$ being covered by Theorem 3, we concentrate on the cases $\beta \in (1,2)$ or $n\Delta^2 > 1$.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let ν be a Lévy measure admitting a density f with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, suppose that f belongs to the class $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2}$ defined by*

$$\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2} := \{f \in \mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon,A(\varepsilon)),\ \lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1, f \in \mathscr{H}_1 \cap \mathscr{H}_2\},$$

with $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon,A(\varepsilon))$ defined in (14), for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, and $\varepsilon < \overline{A} < \infty$, $\mathscr{H}_1 := \{f,\ (H_1(\delta,c))$ is satisfied$\}$ and $\mathscr{H}_2 := \{f,\ (H_2(\beta,\delta,c))$ is satisfied$\}$ for some $c > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $\beta > 1$. Let $r > s > \frac{1}{p}$ and let $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the estimator of f on $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in (11). Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and that Δ is such that $\Delta \leq \frac{1}{6c} \wedge \delta$.

Then, for all $p \geq 2$, the L_p-risk $\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p$ is bounded uniformly over $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}_1,\mathscr{H}_2}$ by

$$C\begin{cases} \max\Big((n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}, v_3\Big) & \text{if } n\Delta_n^2 > 1 \ \text{ and } \ \beta \geq 2 \\ \max\Big((n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}, v_2, v_3, \widetilde{v}_3\Big) & \text{if } n\Delta_n^2 > 1 \ \text{ and } \ \beta \in (1,2), \\ \max\Big((n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}, v_1, v_2, \widetilde{v}_3\Big) & \text{if } n\Delta_n^2 \leq 1 \ \text{ and } \ \beta \in (1,2), \end{cases}$$

where $v_1 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{3p-2sp}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^{\frac{p}{2}(\beta-1)}$, $v_2 := \Delta^{(\beta-1)p}(n\Delta)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}$, $v_3 := \Delta^p(n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}$ and $\widetilde{v}_3 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}(n\Delta)^{1-p}\Delta$, C is a constant depending on ε, s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$.

From the proof of Theorem 4, we have the following relations: $v_1 \leq v_2$ if and only if $n\Delta^\beta \geq 1$. Moreover, if $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$, then $(n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} \vee \widetilde{v}_3 = (n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}$ if $s \geq 3/2 - 1/p$ and $v_1 \vee \widetilde{v}_3 = v_1$ if $s \geq 1/2$. If $n\Delta^2 > 1$, $\beta \geq 2$ and $s \leq 3/2 - 1/p$ then $v^* \vee v_3 = v_3$.

3.2.3 Estimation in a neighborhood of the origin

In the following result, we make explicit the dependency in ε of the bound for $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$. This shows that $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$ is robust when $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_n \to 0$ slowly with respect to Δ.

Theorem 5. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let ν be a Lévy measure admitting a density f with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ and suppose that $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha} \cap \mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon,A(\varepsilon))$ for some $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, and $\varepsilon < \overline{A} < \infty$ (see (6) and (14)). If $\alpha \in [1,2)$ suppose additionally that f is symmetric and is $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$.*

Let $r > s > 3/2 - 1/p$ and let $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}$ be the estimator of f on $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in (11). Suppose that n, Δ and ε are such that $\lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1$, $\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^\alpha \leq 1$, $\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, $n(\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-2\alpha})^2 \leq 1$ and $\Delta \leq \overline{\Delta}$, where $\overline{\Delta}$ is defined in (39).

Then, for all $p \geq 2$, it holds

$$\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p \leq C\lambda_\varepsilon^p(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}},$$

where C is a constant depending on α, M, s, p, $\|f\|_{L_{p,1}}$, $\|f\|_{L_{p/2,1}}$, λ_1, $\mu_r(1)$, $r \in \{1,2,p\}$, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$.

Note that for $p = 2$ and X an α-stable processes, $\alpha \in (0,2)$, using $\lambda_\varepsilon = C_{\alpha,\lambda_1}\varepsilon^{-\alpha}$, we recover for n, Δ and ε selected as in Theorem 5 that $\ell_{2,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big) \leq C\lambda_\varepsilon(n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{s}{2s+1}}$.

3.3 Discussion

3.3.1 General comments

Theorem 3 ensures that for any finite variation process of the form (2) –whose Lévy density is automatically in $\mathscr{L}_{1,M^-}$ in regimes such that $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$, our estimator attains the rate $(n\Delta)^{-sp/(2s+1)}$ uniformly over a Besov class of regularity $s \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$. This rate is also attained for any symmetric infinite variation process such that its Lévy density is in $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [1,2)$ and is $M\varepsilon^{-2+\alpha}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(2/3\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$. As mentioned earlier, the Lipschitz condition is satisfied if $f(x) = L(x)/x^{1+\alpha}$ for all $x \in [-2,2] \setminus \{0\}$ where L is a bounded differentiable function with bounded derivative. This regularity condition on f on a neighborhood of ε is different from requiring that $f \in \mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$.

This result generalizes [11]: there, under the assumptions $p = 2$, $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$ and $x^2 f$ is in a Sobolev class of regularity $s > \frac{1}{2}$, the same rate is attained for the estimation of $x^2 f$ for a L_2 loss function. However, in dimension $d = 1$, the hypothesis $x^2 f$ is in a Sobolev space with regularity $s > \frac{1}{2}$ implies that $\|x^2 f\|_\infty < \infty$ and that $f \in \mathscr{L}_{1,M}$ for $M := \|x^2 f\|_\infty$ (see Theorem 4.2 in [1]). Therefore, we generalize [11] to L_p loss functions and to symmetric infinite variation Lévy processes in $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (1,2)$.

Moreover, the results of Theorem 4 generalizes the latter to L_p, $p \geq 1$ loss functions and without assumptions on Δ other that $\Delta \to 0$. In these cases the rate of our procedure may be slower than $(n\Delta)^{-sp/(2s+1)}$.

Theorem 5 shows that our estimator is robust when ε gets close to the critical value 0. This form of results was not studied in the literature. Unsurprisingly, the rate deteriorates as ε gets close to the critical value 0 as it gets multiplied with the increasing quantity $\lambda_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2s+1}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}\left(1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}\right)}$.

3.3.2 Discussion on optimality

The question whether the upper bound of Theorem 2 is optimal remains open. When estimating $f\mathbf{1}_{A(\varepsilon)}$, for ε fixed, we in fact estimate a Poisson measure. It is well known that the minimax rate of convergence over Besov classes with regularity s for the estimation of the Lévy density of a compound Poisson process from the observation of n of its increments sampled at rate Δ is $(n\Delta)^{-s/(2s+1)}$, see e.g. [14].

However, in the present context, the data available to estimate the Poisson measure are not the increments of a compound Poisson process but those of a general Lévy process. This is a more challenging problem and in particular we can deduce that the rate $(n\Delta)^{-s/(2s+1)}$ cannot be improved on the class $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$ (see also Section 4 of [16]). This rate is obtained in Theorem 3 under the additional assumptions $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$, $s \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$, $(H_1(\delta, c))$ with $\Delta \leq \delta$ and $nv_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1$. Remark that for Lévy densities belonging to the nonparametric class $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha,M}$ if $\alpha \in (0,1)$ or for smooth symmetric Lévy densities in $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha,M}$ with $\alpha \in [1,2)$, the last two conditions are automatically satisfied as soon as $n\Delta^2 \leq C_{M,\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1}$, where $C_{M,\alpha,\varepsilon}$ is as in (19). Theorem 5 shows that this rate is robust to small values of ε.

Finally, we observe that there exist examples of Lévy processes of infinite variation and with non-smooth Lévy densities that do not satisfy Assumption $(H_1(\delta, c))$, see e.g. [26]. Upper bounds for the quantities $F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ are still known in this situation, see [15]. More precisely, for symmetric Lévy densities in $\mathscr{L}_{\alpha,M}$ with $\alpha \in (1,2)$, it holds

$$|F_\Delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_\varepsilon \Delta| \leq K_{M,\alpha,\varepsilon} \Delta^{1+1/\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad v_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq J_{M,\alpha,\varepsilon} \Delta^{1+1/\alpha}, \tag{20}$$

for some constants $K_{M,\alpha,\varepsilon}$ and $J_{M,\alpha,\varepsilon}$ whose dependency in M, α and ε can be made explicit. Using (20) in Theorem 2 leads to a rate that depends on α and which is slower than $(n\Delta)^{-s/(2s+1)}$. Nevertheless it is hard to say whether such a rate of convergence is optimal.

3.3.3 Adaptive selection procedure for J

Motivated by the belief that the dominating term in the upper bound of Theorem 2 is $2^{-Js} + 2^{J/2}\ell_{p,\varepsilon}^{1/2}(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon))^{-1/2}$, in Theorems 3 and 4 ($\varepsilon$ is fixed) we selected J_n^* such that $2^{J_n^*} = (n\Delta)^{1/(2s+1)}$. A similar quantity depending on ε is considered in Theorem 5. However, such J_n^* depends on the unknown regularity s and is not feasible in practice. We propose here a data-driven procedure to select a suitable dimension J.

Usually, for wavelet type estimators, adaptation is achieved by thresholding techniques. However, the study of our estimator relies on the property that $(X_{i\Delta})_i \mapsto \widehat{\alpha}_{J,k}\big((X_{i\Delta})_i\big)$ is differentiable, which is no longer true if we threshold the coefficients $\big(\widehat{\alpha}_{J,k}\big)_k$.

Ideally, J_n should be selected of the order of J_n^* a minimizer of the upper bound of Theorem 2 or equivalently a term realizing the trade-off between this bias term 2^{-Jsp} and all the variance terms depending on J (see E_2, E_3 and the second term of E_5 in Section 3.3.1). The following adaptive procedure to select J_n from the observations is inspired from the Goldenshluger and Lepskii's method see e.g. [19]. Select $\widehat{J}_n$ such that an estimator of the bias is of the order of the variance. Denote by $\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon} := \widehat{f}_n(J)$ (see (11) and (17)), and consider the data driven choice

$$\widehat{J}_n := \min\Big\{J \in \mathcal{J},\ \|\widehat{f}_n(J) - \widehat{f}_n(J')\|_p^p \le \kappa V_p(J),\ J \le J',\ J' \in \mathcal{J}\Big\}, \tag{21}$$

where $\mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, \lfloor \log(T)/\log(2) \rfloor\}$, $\kappa > 0$ is a constant to be calibrated and $V_p(J)$ is an upper bound of the variance term appearing in the bound of Theorem 2. We do not seek for dimensions larger than $\lfloor \log(T)/\log(2) \rfloor$ as then the variance term no longer tends to 0 (see E_5 in Section 3.3.1). However, the problem of the definition (21) is that it requires an explicit, sharp, upper bound for $V_p(J)$ which is not available without additional assumptions on the Lévy density f, n and Δ. Under the Assumptions of Theorem 3, we can set,

$$V_p(J) = \frac{2^{Jp/2}}{(n\Delta)^{p/2}} + \frac{2^{2Jp}}{(n\Delta)^p} + \frac{2^{J(\frac{5p}{2}-1)}}{(n\Delta)^{p-1}}\Delta, \quad p \ge 2.$$

We do not investigate the question whether the resulting estimator $\widehat{f}_n(\widehat{J}_n)$ satisfies the same upper bound –up to a numerical constant– as $\widehat{f}_n(J_n^*)$. A key element in establishing such a bound would be the control of the deviations of $\widehat{J}_n$ from J_n^*.

3.4 Extensions

If the Lévy process has a Brownian component, the estimator presented here applies and the results established can be generalized without technical difficulties. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a Lévy process of the form:

$$\widetilde{X}_t = tb_\nu(\varepsilon) + \sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon) + Z_t(\varepsilon),$$

where W is a standard Wiener process, independent of $M(\varepsilon)$ and $Z(\varepsilon)$. This corresponds to consider $\widetilde{X}_t = \sigma W_t + X_t$ with X as in (2).

Similarly, consider the increments $\big(\widetilde{X}_{i\Delta} - \widetilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta}, i \in \widetilde{\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon}\big)$ where $\widetilde{\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon} := \big\{i = 1, \dots, n : |\widetilde{X}_{i\Delta} - \widetilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta}| > \varepsilon\big\}$. Equations (12) and (17) applied to these increments give estimators of λ_ε and h_ε. Since $\sigma W_\Delta \overset{d}{=} \sigma\sqrt{\Delta}\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, in the asymptotic $\Delta \to 0$ approximation (15) still makes sense when applied to the increments of $\widetilde{X}$.

Theorem 1 holds true in this setting, its proof remains unchanged by the additional Brownian part. However, the quantity $|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{P}(|\widetilde{X}_\Delta| > \varepsilon)|$ needs to be handled differently in examples. Moreover, at the expense of small modifications in its proof, Corollary 2 still holds after replacing in its statement $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ by $v_{\Delta,\sigma}(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}\big(|\Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon) + M_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \sigma W_\Delta| > \varepsilon\big)$ (this quantity agrees with the previous definition when $\sigma = 0$).

Combining those results, Theorem 2 holds. Applying Lemma 1, we recover that $\mathbb{P}(|\widetilde{X}_\Delta| > \varepsilon) = O(\Delta)$ and $v_{\Delta,\sigma}(\varepsilon) = o(\Delta)$ as $\Delta \to 0$, from which we obtain the consistency of the procedure. Moreover, Theorems 3, 4 and 5 can also be obtained adapting $(H_2(\beta,\delta,c))$ accordingly, replacing $M_t(\varepsilon) + tb_\nu(\varepsilon)$ with $M_t(\varepsilon) + tb_\nu(\varepsilon) + \sigma W_t$. Finally, as discussed in Section 2.5 of [15] the results of Appendix B also hold in presence of a Gaussian component and Assumptions $(H_1(\delta,c))$ and $(H_2(\beta,\delta,c))$ are satisfied on the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $M > 0$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$.

Another interesting extension would be to apply this methodology to estimate the Lévy density of an Itô semimartingale from high frequency observations as studied in [23]. Then, for Δ small enough and under suitable assumptions, the contribution of the drift and the diffusive part of the process can be neglected and the above strategy should generalize. However, it would induce more technicalities in the proofs as the additional drift and diffusive part are not necessarily constant nor deterministic.

4 Proofs

In the sequel, C is a constant whose value may vary from line to line. Its dependencies may be given in indices. Proofs of auxiliary lemmas are postponed to Appendix A.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $F_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta| > \varepsilon)$ and $\widehat{F}_\Delta(\varepsilon) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,\infty)}(|X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}|)$. The following holds

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\big|\lambda_\varepsilon - \widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}\big|^p\Big] \le 2^p\bigg\{\Big|\lambda_\varepsilon - \frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{\Delta}\Big|^p + \frac{1}{\Delta^p}\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\big|F_\Delta(\varepsilon) - \widehat{F}_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big|^p\Big]\bigg\}. \tag{22}$$

To control the second term in (22), we introduce the i.i.d. centered random variables

$$U_i := \frac{\mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,\infty)}(|X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}|) - F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For $p \ge 2$, an application of the Rosenthal inequality together with $\mathbb{E}\big[|U_i|^p\big] = O\big(\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n^p}\big)$ ensure the existence of a constant C_p such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|^p\Big] \le C_p\bigg(n^{1-p}F_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \Big(\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n}\Big)^{p/2}\bigg).$$

For $p \in [1,2)$, the Jensen inequality and the previous result for $p=2$ lead to

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|^p\Big] \le \Big(\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|^2\Big]\Big)^{p/2} \le \Big(\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n}\Big)^{p/2}.$$

In the asymptotic $n\to\infty$, using (22), we are only left to show that, for $p\ge 2$,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta^p}\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|^p\Big] = O\Big(\Big(\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n\Delta^2}\Big)^{p/2}\Big). \tag{23}$$

An application of the Bernstein inequality (using that $|U_i|\le n^{-1}$ and the fact that the variance $\mathbb{V}[U_i]\le \frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n^2}$) allows us to deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|\ge t\Big) \le 2\exp\Big(-\frac{t^2 n}{2F_\Delta(\varepsilon)+\frac{2t}{3}}\Big).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|^p\Big] = p\int_0^\infty t^{p-1}\mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|\sum_{i=1}^n U_i\Big|\ge t\Big)dt \le 2p\int_0^\infty t^{p-1}\exp\Big(-\frac{t^2 n}{2F_\Delta(\varepsilon)+\frac{2t}{3}}\Big)dt.$$

Observe that, for $t\le \frac{3}{2}F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$, the denominator $2F_\Delta(\varepsilon)+\frac{2t}{3}$ is smaller than $3F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ while for $t\ge\frac{3}{2}F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ we have $2F_\Delta(\varepsilon)+\frac{2t}{3}\le 2t$. It follows, after a change of variables, that

$$\int_0^\infty t^{p-1}\exp\Big(-\frac{t^2 n}{2F_\Delta(\varepsilon)+\frac{2t}{3}}\Big)dt \le \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{3F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{n}\Big)^{p/2}\Gamma\Big(\frac{p}{2}\Big)+\Big(\frac{2}{n}\Big)^p\Gamma\Big(p,\frac{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{4}\Big), \tag{24}$$

where, $\Gamma(s,x)=\int_x^\infty x^{s-1}e^{-x}dx$ is the incomplete Gamma function and $\Gamma(s)=\Gamma(s,0)$ is the usual Gamma function. To conclude, we use the classical estimate for the incomplete Gamma function for $|x|\to\infty$: $\Gamma(s,x)\approx x^{s-1}e^{-x}\big(1+\frac{s-1}{x}+O(x^{-2})\big)$. When (24) is divided by Δ^p, it is asymptotically $O\big((n\Delta)^{-p}e^{-nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\big)$, which goes to 0 faster than (23). □

4.2 Proof of Proposition 1

Preliminary As the proof is lengthy, we enlighten here the main difficulties arising from the fact that the estimator $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ uses the observations $\boldsymbol{D}_{n,\varepsilon}$, i.e. $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}=\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{D}_{n,\varepsilon})$. As $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\neq\emptyset$ it holds $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\ge 1$.

1. The cardinality of $\boldsymbol{D}_{n,\varepsilon}$ is $\boldsymbol{n}(\varepsilon)$ that is random. That is why in Proposition 1 we study the risk of this estimator conditionally on $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$.

2. An observation of $\boldsymbol{D}_{n,\varepsilon}$ is not a realization of h_ε. Indeed, an increment of $Z(\varepsilon)$ does not necessarily correspond to one jump, whose density is h_ε. More demanding, the presence of the small jumps $M(\varepsilon)$ needs to be taken into account. To do so we split the sample $\boldsymbol{D}_{n,\varepsilon}$ in two parts according to the presence or absence of jumps in the Poisson part. On the subsample where the Poisson part is nonzero, we make an expansion at order 1 and we neglect the presence of the small jumps.

Expansion of $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ Consider $\boldsymbol{D}_{n,\varepsilon} = \{X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta},\ i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\}$ the increments larger than ε. Recall that, for each i, we have

$$X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta} = \Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon) + M_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - M_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon) + Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon).$$

We split the sample as follows: $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon := \{i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\ Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon) \neq 0\}$ and $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon^c := \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon \setminus \mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$. Denote by $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)$ the cardinality of $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$. To avoid cumbersomeness, in the remainder of the proof we write M instead of $M(\varepsilon)$ and Z instead of $Z(\varepsilon)$. Recall that $\Phi_{Jk}(x) = 2^{\frac{J}{2}}\Phi(2^J x - k)$. Using that Φ is continuously differentiable we can write, $\forall k \in \Lambda_J$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\alpha}_{J,k} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon} \left\{\Phi_{Jk}(Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}) + 2^{3J/2}(M_{i\Delta} - M_{(i-1)\Delta} + b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)\Phi'(2^J\eta_i - k)\right\} \\ + \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon^c} \Phi_{Jk}(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_i \in [\min\{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}, X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}, \max\{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}, X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x, \{X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon}) = \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \widehat{\alpha}_{J,k}\Phi_{Jk}(x) := \frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x, \{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}) \\ + \frac{2^{3J/2}}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon} (M_{i\Delta} - M_{(i-1)\Delta} + b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta) \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \Phi'(2^J\eta_i - k)\Phi_{Jk}(x) \\ + \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon^c} \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \Phi_{Jk}(M_{i\Delta} - M_{(i-1)\Delta} + b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)\Phi_{Jk}(x),\end{aligned}$$

where conditional on $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$, $\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon})$ is the linear wavelet estimator of $p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}$ defined in (16) from $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)$ direct measurements. Explicitly, it is defined as follows

$$\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x, \{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}) = \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k}\Phi_{Jk}(x), \tag{25}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon} \Phi_{Jk}(Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta})$. This is not an estimator as both $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$ and $\{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}$ are not observed. However, $\widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k}$ approximates the quantity

$$\alpha_{J,k} := \int_{A(\varepsilon)} \Phi_{Jk}(x)p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx. \tag{26}$$

Decomposition of the $L_{p,\varepsilon}$ loss Taking the $L_{p,\varepsilon}$ norm and applying the triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{X_{i\Delta}-X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon})-h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\le C_p\Big\{&\Big(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p\|\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{Z_{i\Delta}-Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon})-h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\\&+\Big(1-\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p\|h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\\&+\frac{2^{3Jp/2}}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^p}\int_{A(\varepsilon)}\Big|\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}(M_{i\Delta}-M_{(i-1)\Delta}+b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta)\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J}\Phi'(2^J\eta_i-k)\Phi_{Jk}(x)\Big|^p dx\\&+\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^p}\int_{A(\varepsilon)}\Big|\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon^c}\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J}\Phi_{Jk}(M_{i\Delta}-M_{(i-1)\Delta}+\Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon))\Phi_{Jk}(x)\Big|^p dx\Big\}\\&=C_p\big\{T_1+T_2+T_3+T_4\big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

After taking expectation conditionally on $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$, we bound each term separately.

Remark 1. *If X is a compound Poisson process and we take $\varepsilon=0$, then $\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}=\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}$ (and $\boldsymbol{n}(0)=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(0)$) and $T_2=T_3=T_4=0$.*

Control of T_1 We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{Z_{i\Delta}-Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon})-h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\le C_p\big\{&\|\widetilde{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{Z_{i\Delta}-Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon})-p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\\&+\|p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}-h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\big\}=:C_p(T_5+T_6).\end{aligned}$$

The deterministic term T_6 is bounded using Lemma 3 by $(2\Delta e^{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p$. Taking the expectation conditionally on $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$ of T_5, we recover the linear wavelet estimator of $p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}$ studied by Kerkyacharian and Picard [25] (see their Theorem 2). For the sake of completeness we reproduce the main steps of their proof. The control of the bias is the same as in [25]. Noticing that Lemma 2 implies $p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}\in\mathscr{F}\big(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M},A(\varepsilon)\big)$ (see Lemma 5.1 in [14]), we get

$$\mathbb{E}\big[T_5|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\big]\le C_p\Big\{2^{-Jsp}\mathfrak{M}^p+2^{J(p/2-1)}\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J}\mathbb{E}[|\widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k}-\alpha_{J,k}|^p|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon]\Big\},$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k}$ and $\alpha_{J,k}$ are defined in (25) and (26). First consider the case $p\ge2$. We start by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\frac{1}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}\Phi_{Jk}(Z_{i\Delta}-Z_{(i-1)\Delta})-\int_{A(\varepsilon)}\Phi_{Jk}(x)p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)\Big|\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\Big]=\\&\sum_{I\subset\{1,\dots,n\}}\mathbb{1}_{\{I=\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\}}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\frac{1}{|I|}\sum_{i\in I}\Phi_{Jk}(Z_{i\Delta}-Z_{(i-1)\Delta})-\int_{A(\varepsilon)}\Phi_{Jk}(x)p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)\Big|\Big],\end{aligned}$$

where $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of the set I. To bound the last term we apply the inequality of Bretagnolle and Huber to the i.i.d. centered random variables $\big(\Phi_{Jk}(Z_{i\Delta}-Z_{(i-1)\Delta})-$

$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{Jk}(Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta})]\big)_{i\in I}$ bounded by $2^{J/2+1}\|\Phi\|_\infty$, conditional to $\{\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon = I\}$. We obtain the bound on the previous term

$$C_p \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \Big\{ \frac{1}{|I|^{p/2}} \Big[2^J \int_{A(\varepsilon)} \Phi(2^J x - k)^2 p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx \Big]^{p/2} + \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} \frac{2^{(p-2)(J/2+1)} \|\Phi\|_\infty^{p-2}}{|I|^{p-1}} \int_{A(\varepsilon)} 2^J \Phi(2^J x - k)^2 p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx \Big\}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \mathbb{E}[|\widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k} - \alpha_{J,k}|^p | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon, \mathscr{K}_\varepsilon] \leq C_p \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \Big\{ \frac{1}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{p/2}} \Big[2^J \int_{A(\varepsilon)} \Phi(2^J x - k)^2 p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx \Big]^{p/2} + \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} \frac{2^{(p-2)(J/2+1)} \|\Phi\|_\infty^{p-2}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{p-1}} \int_{A(\varepsilon)} 2^J \Phi(2^J x - k)^2 p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx \Big\},$$

where, as developed in [25],

$$\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \Big[\int_{A(\varepsilon)} 2^J \Phi(2^J x - k)^2 p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx \Big]^{p/2} \leq \mathfrak{M} 2^J \|p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}^{p/2} \leq \mathfrak{M} 2^J \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}^{p/2}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \int_{A(\varepsilon)} 2^J \Phi(2^J x - k)^2 p_{\Delta,\varepsilon}(x)dx \leq \mathfrak{M} 2^J.$$

We can then conclude that

$$\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J} \mathbb{E}[|\widetilde{\alpha}_{J,k} - \alpha_{J,k}|^p | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon, \mathscr{K}_\varepsilon] \leq C_p \mathfrak{M} \Big\{ \frac{2^J \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}^{p/2}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{p/2}} + \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} \frac{2^{Jp/2} \|\Phi\|_\infty^{p-2}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{p-1}} \Big\}.$$

Plugging this last inequality in T_5 we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[T_5 | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon, \mathscr{K}_\varepsilon] \leq C \Big\{ 2^{-Jsp} \mathfrak{M}^p + \mathfrak{M} \Big(\Big(\frac{2^J \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{p/2} + \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} \Big(\frac{2^J}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{p-1} \Big) \Big\},$$

where C is a constant depending on p and $\|\Phi\|_\infty$. Gathering all terms we get, for $p \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{Z_{i\Delta} - Z_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}) - h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}^p | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon, \mathscr{K}_\varepsilon \Big] \leq C \Big\{ \Big(2^{-Js} \mathfrak{M} \Big)^p + \mathfrak{M} \Big(\Big(\frac{2^J \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{p/2} + \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} \Big(\frac{2^J}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{p-1} \Big) + (e^{\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon} \Delta \|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p \Big\},$$

where C depends on p and $\|\Phi\|_\infty$. For $p \in [1, 2)$, together with the additional assumption of h_ε, following the lines of the proof of Theorem 2 of Kerkyacharian and Picard [25] we obtain the same bound as above replacing $\|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}$ with $\|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}}$. Finally, using $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon) \leq \mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)$we have established for $p \geq 1$ that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[T_1 | \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon, \mathscr{K}_\varepsilon \Big] \leq C \Big\{ \Big(2^{-Js} \mathfrak{M} \Big)^p + \mathfrak{M} \Big(\Big(\frac{2^J \ell_{p,\varepsilon}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{p/2} + \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} \Big(\frac{2^J}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{p-1} \Big) + (e^{\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon} \Delta \|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p \Big\}, \quad (28)$$

where C depends on p and $\|\Phi\|_\infty$ and $\ell_{p,\varepsilon} := \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}} \mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}} \mathbf{1}_{1\leq p<2}$.

Note that taking J such that $2^J = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2s+1}}$ we have, uniformly over $\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M},A(\varepsilon))$, an upper bound in $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{-s/(2s+1)}$ for the estimation of h_ε, which is the optimal rate of convergence for a density from $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)$ direct independent observations (see [25]). Moreover, we did not use that $A(\varepsilon)$ is bounded to control this quantity, it was possible to have $\overline{A} = \infty$.

Control of T_3 Using the fact that Φ' is compactly supported, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[T_3|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon] \leq \frac{2^{\frac{3Jp}{2}}\|\Phi'\|_\infty^p}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^p}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J}\Phi(x-k)\Big|^p\frac{dx}{2^J}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}\big(M_{i\Delta}-M_{(i-1)\Delta}+b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)\Big|^p|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\Big].$$

Furthermore, we use the following upper bound for the last term in the expression above:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}\big(M_{i\Delta}-M_{(i-1)\Delta}+b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)\Big|^p|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\Big]&\\ \leq C_p\Big\{\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}\big(M_{i\Delta}-M_{(i-1)\Delta}\big)\Big|^p|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\Big]+\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^p\Big\}.&\end{aligned}$$

From the Rosenthal inequality conditional on $\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$ we derive for $p\geq 2$

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|\sum_{i\in\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon}\big(M_{i\Delta}-M_{(i-1)\Delta}\big)\Big|^p|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\Big] \leq C_p\Big\{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}[|M_\Delta|^p]+\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}[M_\Delta^2]\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big\}.$$

Observe that $\mathbb{E}[M_\Delta^2] = \Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon)$. There exists a constant C_p', only depending on p, such that $\mathbb{E}[|M_\Delta|^p] \leq \Delta\int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon}|x|^p\nu(dx) + C_p'(\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{p/2}$.Set $\mu_p(\varepsilon) = \int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon}|x|^p\nu(dx)$.

For $p\in[1,2)$ we obtain the same result using the Jensen inequality and the latter inequality with $p=2$. Next,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big|\sum_{k\in\Lambda_J}\Phi(x-k)\Big|^p\frac{dx}{2^J} \leq 2^{-J}|\Lambda_J|^p\|\Phi\|_p^p.$$

As Φ is compactly supported, and since we estimate h_ε on a set bounded by $\overline{A}$, for every $j\geq 0$, the set Λ_J has cardinality bounded by $|\Lambda_J| \leq C2^J$, where C depends on the support of Φ and $\overline{A}$. It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[T_3|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon] \leq C\|\Phi'\|_\infty^p\|\Phi\|_p^p 2^{J(5p/2-1)}\Big\{&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-p}(\Delta\mu_p(\varepsilon)+(\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{p/2})\\ &+\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-p}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\sigma^2(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^{p/2}+\Big(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Control of T_4 Similarly, for the last term we have

$$\mathbb{E}[T_4|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon] \leq 2^{J(2p-1)}\|\Phi\|_\infty^p\|\Phi\|_p^p\Big(1-\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p. \tag{30}$$

Deconditioning on $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$ Substituting (28), (29) and (30) into (27), and noticing that T_2 is negligible compared to $\mathbb{E}(T_4|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\big[\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(\{X_{i\Delta}-X_{(i-1)\Delta}\}_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon})-h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon,\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon\big]\\
&\quad\le C\Big\{2^{2Jp}\Big(1-\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p+2^{-Jsp}+\Big(\frac{2^J\ell_{p,\varepsilon}}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{p/2}+\Big(\frac{2^J}{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{p-1}\mathbf{1}_{p\ge2}+(e^{\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon}\Delta\|f\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}})^p\\
&\qquad+2^{J(3p/2-1)}|\Lambda_J|^p\Big[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-p}(\Delta\mu_p(\varepsilon)+(\Delta\sigma^2(\varepsilon))^{p/2})\\
&\qquad+\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-p}\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\sigma^2(\varepsilon)\Delta\big)^{p/2}+\Big(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)b_\nu(\varepsilon)\Delta}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}\Big)^p\Big]\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

where C depends on s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$. To remove the conditional expectation on $\mathscr{K}_\varepsilon$ we apply the following lemma, whose proof is postponed in the appendix.

Lemma 5. *Let $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)=\mathbb{P}(|M_\Delta(\varepsilon)+\Delta b_\nu(\varepsilon)|>\varepsilon)$ and $F_\Delta(\varepsilon)=\mathbb{P}(|X_\Delta|>\varepsilon)$. If $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\le\frac{1}{3}$ and $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\ge1$, then for all $r\ge0$, there exists a constant C depending on r such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big]&\le C\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\\
\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon))^r\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big]&\le C\Big\{\Big(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{r/2}+\Big(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^r\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using Lemma 5 and that $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\le\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)$, we complete the proof. □

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2. For all $0<\varepsilon\le1$, we decompose $\ell_{p,\varepsilon}(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon},f)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon},f\big)\big]^p&\le2^{p-1}\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\big|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}-\lambda_\varepsilon\big|^p\Big]\|h_\varepsilon\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}+2^{p-1}\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\Big[\big|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}\big|^p\big\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}-h_\varepsilon\big\|^p_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\Big]\\
&=:2^{p-1}(I_1+I_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The term I_1 is controlled by means of Theorem 1 combined with the fact that if $f_\varepsilon\in\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon,A(\varepsilon))$ then $h_\varepsilon\in\mathscr{F}(s,p,q,\mathfrak{M},A(\varepsilon))$, which implies $\|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}}\le\mathfrak{M}$. Concerning the term I_2, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$I_2\le\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\big[|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}|^{2p}\big]}\int_{A(\varepsilon)}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\big[\big|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x)-h_\varepsilon(x)\big|^{2p}\big]}dx=\sqrt{J_1}\sqrt{J_2}.$$

The term J_1 is treated using the triangle inequality $\mathbb{E}[|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}|^{2p}]\le C_p(\lambda_\varepsilon^{2p}+\mathbb{E}[|\widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon}-\lambda_\varepsilon|^{2p}])$ and Theorem 1. For J_2, notice that as $A(\varepsilon)$ is bounded, an application of the Jensen inequality yields:

$$\int_{A(\varepsilon)}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\big[\big|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}(x)-h_\varepsilon(x)\big|^{2p}\big]}dx\le C\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{P_n}\big[\|\widehat{h}_{n,\varepsilon}-h\|^{2p}_{2p}\big]},$$

where C depends on $\overline{A}$. The rate of the right hand side of the inequality has been studied in Corollary 2. □

Acknowledgements The work of E. Mariucci has been partially funded by the Federal Ministry for Education and Research through the Sponsorship provided by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 314838170, GRK 2297 MathCoRe, and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through grant CRC 1294 'Data Assimilation'.

References

[1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*, volume 140 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, second edition, 2003.

[2] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, T. Mikosch, and S. I. Resnick. *Lévy processes: theory and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[3] D. Belomestny, F. Comte, V. Genon-Catalot, H. Masuda, and M. Reiß. *Lévy Matters IV - Estimation for discretely observed Lévy processes*, volume 2128. Springer International Publishing, 2015.

[4] D. Belomestny, S. Gugushvili, M. Schauer, and P. Spreij. Nonparametric bayesian inference for lévy subordinators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.11267*, 2018.

[5] F. Biagini, J. Bregman, and T. Meyer-Brandis. Electricity futures price modeling with lévy term structure models. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 18(01):1550003, 2015.

[6] O. Boxma, J. Ivanovs, K. Kosiński, and M. Mandjes. Lévy-driven polling systems and continuous-state branching processes. *Stochastic Systems*, 1(2):411–436, 2011.

[7] B. Buchmann and R. Grübel. Decompounding: an estimation problem for Poisson random sums. *Ann. Statist.*, 31(4):1054–1074, 2003.

[8] P. Carr, H. Geman, D. B. Madan, and M. Yor. The fine structure of asset returns: An empirical investigation. *The Journal of Business*, 75(2):305–333, 2002.

[9] A. Coca. Adaptive nonparametric estimation for compound poisson processes robust to the discrete-observation scheme. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.09849*, 2018.

[10] A. Coca. Efficient nonparametric inference for discretely observed compound poisson processes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 170(1-2):475–523, 2018.

[11] F. Comte and V. Genon-Catalot. Nonparametric estimation for pure jump Lévy processes based on high frequency data. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 119(12):4088–4123, 2009.

[12] F. Comte and V. Genon-Catalot. Estimation for Lévy processes from high frequency data within a long time interval. *Ann. Statist.*, 39(2):803–837, 2011.

[13] R. Cont and P. Tankov. *Financial modelling with jump processes*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. 2004.

[14] C. Duval. Density estimation for compound Poisson processes from discrete data. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(11):3963–3986, 2013.

[15] C. Duval and E. Mariucci. Non-asymptotic control of the cumulative distribution function of Lévy processes. *arXiv:2003.09281*.

[16] J. E. Figueroa-López. Nonparametric estimation of Lévy models based on discrete-sampling. In *Optimality*, volume 57 of *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.*, pages 117–146. 2009.

[17] J. E. Figueroa-López. Sieve-based confidence intervals and bands for Lévy densities. *Bernoulli*, 17(2):643–670, 2011.

[18] J. E. Figueroa-López and C. Houdré. Small-time expansions for the transition distributions of Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 119(11):3862–3889, 2009.

[19] A. Goldenshluger, O. Lepski, et al. Universal pointwise selection rule in multivariate function estimation. *Bernoulli*, 14(4):1150–1190, 2008.

[20] S. Gugushvili. Nonparametric estimation of the characteristic triplet of a discretely observed Lévy process. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, 21(3):321–343, 2009.

[21] S. Gugushvili. Nonparametric inference for discretely sampled Lévy processes. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 48(1):282–307, 2012.

[22] W. Härdle, G. Kerkyacharian, D. Picard, and A. Tsybakov. *Wavelets, approximation, and statistical applications*, volume 129. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[23] M. Hoffmann and M. Vetter. Weak convergence of the empirical truncated distribution function of the Lévy measure of an Itō semimartingale. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 127(5):1517–1543, 2017.

[24] J. Kappus. Nonparametric estimation for irregularly sampled lévy processes. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*, pages 1–27, 2015.

[25] G. Kerkyacharian and D. Picard. Density estimation in Besov spaces. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 13(1):15–24, 1992.

[26] P. Marchal. Small time expansions for transition probabilities of some Lévy processes. *Electronic communications in probability*, 14:132–142, 2009.

[27] E. Mariucci. Asymptotic equivalence for pure jump Lévy processes with unknown Lévy density and Gaussian white noise. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 126(2):503–541, 2016.

[28] M. H. Neumann and M. Reiß. Nonparametric estimation for Lévy processes from low-frequency observations. *Bernoulli*, 15(1):223–248, 2009.

[29] R. Nickl and M. Reiß. A Donsker theorem for Lévy measures. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263(10):3306–3332, 2012.

[30] R. Nickl, M. Reiß, J. Söhl, and M. Trabs. High-frequency Donsker theorems for Lévy measures. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 164(1-2):61–108, 2016.

[31] R. C. Noven, A. E. Veraart, and A. Gandy. A Lévy-driven rainfall model with applications to futures pricing. *AStA Advances in Statistical Analysis*, 99(4):403–432, 2015.

[32] L. Rüschendorf and J. H. C. Woerner. Expansion of transition distributions of Lévy processes in small time. *Bernoulli*, 8(1):81–96, 2002.

[33] K.-I. Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[34] M. Trabs. Quantile estimation for Lévy measures. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125(9):3484–3521, 2015.

[35] B. van Es, S. Gugushvili, and P. Spreij. A kernel type nonparametric density estimator for decompounding. *Bernoulli*, 13(3):672–694, 2007.

A Additional proofs

Proof of Lemma 1 Using the decompositions (1) and (3), for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ it holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(|X_t| \geq \varepsilon) - t\lambda_\varepsilon &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j(\varepsilon)\Big| \geq \varepsilon\Big) e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon t} \frac{(\lambda_\varepsilon t)^n}{n!} - t\lambda_\varepsilon \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(|tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon)| \geq \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(N_t(\varepsilon) \geq 2) \\ &\quad + \lambda_\varepsilon t \mathbb{P}(|tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon) + Y_1(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon) \qquad (31) \\ &:= T_1 + T_2 + T_3,\end{aligned}$$

for some drift b_ε that might depend on ε. We shall complete the proof by showing that $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_j}{t} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Control of T_1. By the Markov inequality, for all $k \geq 1$ it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(|tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon)| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^{-2k} \mathbb{E}\Big[\big(tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon)\big)^{2k}\Big].$$

Observe that $tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean tb_ε and variance $t\Sigma^2$ independent of $M_t(\varepsilon)$. Hence, by the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big[\big(tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon)\big)^{2k}\big] &= \mathbb{E}\big[M_t(\varepsilon)^{2k}\big] + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k}{j} \mathbb{E}\big[(tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t)^{2k-j}\big] \mathbb{E}\big[M_t(\varepsilon)^j\big] \\ &:= T_{1,1} + T_{1,2}.\end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we establish that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_{1,1}}{t} = \mu_{2k}(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_{1,2}}{t} = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

where $\mu_j(\varepsilon) := \int_{|x|\leq\varepsilon} x^j \nu(dx)$, $j \geq 2$. To see that, one needs to control the moments of $M_t(\varepsilon)$ and $tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t$. To compute $\mathbb{E}[M_t(\varepsilon)^j]$ we use that the kth cumulant of $M_t(\varepsilon)$ is $t\mu_k(\varepsilon)$ and the

properties of Bell's polynomials to derive that for $j \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[M_t(\varepsilon)^j] &= B_j(0, t\sigma^2(\varepsilon), t\mu_3(\varepsilon), \ldots, t\mu_j(\varepsilon)) = \sum_{l=1}^{j} t^l B_{j,l}(0, \sigma^2(\varepsilon), \mu_3(\varepsilon), \ldots, \mu_j(\varepsilon)) \\ &= tB_{j,1}(0, \sigma^2(\varepsilon), \mu_3(\varepsilon), \ldots, \mu_j(\varepsilon)) + O(t^2) = t\mu_j(\varepsilon) + O(t^2) \text{ as } t \to 0, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where B_j and $B_{j,l}$ denote the j-th complete exponential Bell polynomial and the incomplete exponential Bell polynomials, respectively. From (32), it directly follows that $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_t(\varepsilon)]^j}{t} = \mu_j(\varepsilon)$ for all $j \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Secondly, using the formulas for the moments of Gaussian distributions, we derive that $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_{1,2}}{t} = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Collecting all pieces together, we deduce that

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(|tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon)| \geq \varepsilon)}{t} \leq \frac{\mu_{2k}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{2k}}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

We then conclude that $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_1}{t} = 0$ by observing that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2k}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{2k}} = 0$. Indeed, let us write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} x^{2k}\nu(dx)}{\varepsilon^{2k}} &= \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\Big)^{2k} f(x)dx = \left(\int_{-\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}} + \int_{-\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}}^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}} + \int_{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}}^{\varepsilon} \right) \Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\Big)^{2k} f(x)dx \\ &= A_1(k) + A_2(k) + A_3(k).\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} x^2 f(x)dx < \infty$ joined with $\big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\big)^{2k} \leq \big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\big)^2$, $\forall k \geq 1$ and $x \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, we get

$$A_1(k) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}} x^2 f(x)dx \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Similarly, $A_3(k)$ tends to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Finally, we have that

$$A_2(k) \leq \Big(1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{k}}\Big)^{2k-2} \frac{\sigma^2(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \sim \frac{\sigma^2(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \exp\Big(-\frac{2k-2}{\varepsilon\sqrt{k}}\Big) \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Control of T_2. As $\mathbb{P}(N_t(\varepsilon) \geq 2) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon t} - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon t}\lambda_\varepsilon t$ it holds $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_2}{t} = 0$.

Control of T_3. The fact that $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_3}{t} = 0$ is implied by

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \mathbb{P}(|tb_\varepsilon + \Sigma W_t + M_t(\varepsilon) + Y_1(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon) = 0$$

which holds true by the dominated convergence theorem as $W_0 = 0$, $M_0(\varepsilon) = 0$ and $|Y_1(\varepsilon)| \geq \varepsilon$ a.s. □

Proof of Lemma 3 Using the definition (16) we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}p_{\Delta,\varepsilon} - h_\varepsilon &= h_\varepsilon\Big(\frac{e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}{1 - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}} - 1\Big) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}(\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta)^k}{k!(1 - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta})} h_\varepsilon^{\star k} \\ &= \Big(\frac{e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}{1 - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}} - 1\Big)h_\varepsilon + (\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta)^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}(\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta)^{k-2}}{k!(1 - e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta})} h_\varepsilon^{\star k}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the L_p norm and using the Young inequality together with the fact that h_ε is a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e. $\|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{1,\varepsilon}} \leq 1$, we get

$$\big\|p_{\Delta,\varepsilon} - h_\varepsilon\big\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}} \leq \Big(\Big|\frac{e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}{1-e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}} - 1\Big| + \frac{(\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta)^2}{1-e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}\Big)\|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}} \leq 2\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta e^{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}\|h_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p,\varepsilon}},$$

as desired.

Proof of Lemma 4 We have $\boldsymbol{n}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,\infty)}(|X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}|) = \widehat{\lambda}_{n,\varepsilon} n\Delta$. We introduce the centered i.i.d. random variables $V_i = \mathbb{1}_{(\varepsilon,\infty)}(|X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}|) - F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$, which are bounded by 2 and such that $\mathbb{E}[V_i^2] \leq F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$. Applying the Bernstein inequality we have,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|\frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}{n} - F_\Delta(\varepsilon)\Big| > x\Big) \leq 2\exp\Big(-\frac{nx^2}{2(F_\Delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{2x}{3})}\Big), \quad x > 0. \tag{33}$$

Fix $x = F_\Delta(\varepsilon)/2$, on the set $A_x = \big\{\big|\frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}{n} - F_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big| \leq x\big\}$ we have

$$n\frac{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{2} \leq \mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) \leq n\frac{3F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{2}. \tag{34}$$

Moreover it holds that $\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\mathbb{1}_{A_x^c}\big] + \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\mathbb{1}_{A_x}\big]$. Since $r \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) \geq 1$, using (33) and (34) we get the following upper bound

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big] \leq 2\exp\big(-\tfrac{3}{32}nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big) + \Big(\frac{nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{2}\Big)^{-r}$$

and the lower bound $\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big] \geq \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\mathbb{1}_{A_x}\big] \geq \Big(\frac{3nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{2}\Big)^{-r}$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 5 For the first inequality, the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4. Using the definition of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)$ we have $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{i\in\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon} \mathbb{1}_{Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon)\neq Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon)}$. For $i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon$, we set $W_i := \mathbb{1}_{Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon)\neq Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon)}$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[W_i | i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}\big(Z_{i\Delta}(\varepsilon) \neq Z_{(i-1)\Delta}(\varepsilon)\big||X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}| > \varepsilon\big) = 1 - \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)},$$

using the independence of $M(\varepsilon)$ and $Z(\varepsilon)$. The variables $W_i - \mathbb{E}[W_i|i \in \mathscr{I}_\varepsilon]$ are centered, i.i.d., bounded by 2 and such that the following bound on the variance holds: $\mathbb{V}(W_i|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}$. Applying the Bernstein inequality we have,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\Big|\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} - \Big(1 - \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)\Big| > x\Big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\Big) \leq 2\exp\Big(-\frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)x^2}{2\big(\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} + \frac{2x}{3}\big)}\Big), \quad x > 0. \tag{35}$$

Fix $x = \frac{1}{2}$, on the set $A_x = \big\{\big|\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)} - (1 - \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)})\big| \leq \frac{1}{2}\big\}$ we have

$$\frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}{6} < \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) \leq \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon), \tag{36}$$

if $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$. It follows from (35), (36) and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon) \geq 1$ that for $r \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big] \leq 2\exp\big(-\tfrac{3}{16}\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\big) + \Big(\frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}{6}\Big)^{-r}.$$

Finally, using that for all $x > 0$ we have $x^r e^{-x} \leq C_r := r^r e^{-r}$, we derive

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)^{-r}\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big] \leq C_r \mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)^{-r} + \Big(\frac{\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)}{6}\Big)^{-r},$$

which leads to the first part of the result.

The second part of the result can be obtained by means of the Rosenthal inequality. For $r \geq 0$, we have, using that $\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) \geq \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon))^r\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big] \leq C_r\Big\{\mathbb{E}\Big(\Big|\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\big(1 - \tfrac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\big) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\Big|^r\Big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\Big) + \Big(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\tfrac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^r\Big\}.$$

The Rosenthal inequality leads to, for $r \geq 2$,

$$E\Big[\Big|\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\big(1 - \tfrac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\big) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon)\Big|^r\Big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\Big] \leq C_r\Big(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\tfrac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{r/2}.$$

Thanks to the Jensen inequality we can also treat the case $0 < r < 2$ recovering the same inequality. Therefore, it follows that for all $r > 0$

$$\mathbb{E}\big[(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(\varepsilon))^r\big|\mathscr{I}_\varepsilon\big] \leq C\Big\{\Big(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{r/2} + \Big(\mathbf{n}(\varepsilon)\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big)^r\Big\}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3 Fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, the proof is a consequence of Theorem 2. In the sequel C is a constant, possibly depending on ε, s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$, whose value may change from line to line. First, using $(H_1(\delta, c))$ and since $\Delta \leq \frac{1}{2c} \wedge \delta \leq \frac{\lambda_\varepsilon}{2c}$ it holds that $F_\Delta(\varepsilon) \geq \lambda_\varepsilon\Delta/2$, therefore $1 - F_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq e^{-F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta/2}$. This together with $nv_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1$, leads to $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$ whenever $n\Delta \geq 6$, using that $\lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1$ on $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{H}_1}$.

Replacing $2^J = (n\Delta)^{1/(2s+1)}$ and using that $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$ and $v_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq 1/n$, the upper bound given in Theorem 2 can be rewritten in

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p &\leq C\Big\{e^{-n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon/2} + \Big(\frac{1}{n\Delta}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p + \frac{(n\Delta)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}}{(n\Delta)^p} + (n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} \\ &\quad + (n\Delta)^{\frac{-2s(p-1)}{2s+1}}\mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Big[(n\Delta)^{1-p}\big(\Delta + \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) + n^{-\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p\Big]\Big\} \\ &\leq C\Big\{(n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} + (n\Delta)^{\frac{p(1-2s)}{(2s+1)}} + (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Big[(n\Delta)^{1-p}\big(\Delta + \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}}\big)\Big]\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where as ε is fixed, the quantities λ_ε, $\mu_p(\varepsilon)$, $\sigma^2(\varepsilon)$ and $b_\nu(\varepsilon)$ are included in the constant C, together with the terms $\|f\|_{p/2,\varepsilon} \leq \|f\|^2_{p,\varepsilon} \leq (\lambda_\varepsilon\mathfrak{M})^2$ using the Hölder inequality and that $f \in \mathscr{F}(s, p, q, \mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$. For $p \geq 2$, i.e. $\Delta^{p/2} \leq \Delta$, the dominating terms in the latter inequalities are the following

$$v^* := (n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}, \quad v_1 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{p(1-2s)}{2s+1}}, \quad v_2 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{3p-4s(p-1)}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta.$$

The following computations lead, for $s \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ and $p \geq 2$, to Theorem 3 as

$$\frac{v_1}{v^*} = (n\Delta)^{\frac{p(1-s)}{2s+1}} \leq 1, \quad \frac{v_2}{v^*} = (n\Delta)^{\frac{3p-2s(p+1)}{2(2s+1)}} n\Delta^2 \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_2}{v_1} = (n\Delta)^{\frac{p+4s}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta.$$

Proof of Theorem 4 Fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, the proof is a consequence of Theorem 2. In the sequel C is a constant, possibly depending on ε, s, p, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$, whose value may change from line to line. First, using $(H_1(\delta, c))$ and that $\lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1$, it holds for $\Delta \leq \frac{1}{6c} \wedge \delta \leq \frac{\lambda_\varepsilon}{2c}$ that $F_\Delta(\varepsilon) \geq \lambda_\varepsilon \Delta/2$ and $1 - F_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon \Delta/2}$. Additionally, using the latter together with $(H_2(\beta, \delta, c))$, $\beta > 1$, and $\Delta \leq \frac{1}{6c}$ gives $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Replacing $2^J = (n\Delta)^{1/(2s+1)}$, the upper bound given in Theorem 2 can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p &\leq C\bigg\{e^{-n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon/2} + \Big(\frac{1}{n\Delta}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p + (n\Delta)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}\Big[\Big(\frac{\Delta^{\beta-1}}{n\Delta}\Big)^{p/2} + \Delta^{p(\beta-1)}\Big] \\
&+ (n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} + (n\Delta)^{-\frac{2s(p-1)}{2s+1}}\mathbf{1}_{p\geq 2} + (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Big[(n\Delta)^{1-p}\big(\Delta + \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) + n^{-\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p\Big]\bigg\} \\
&\leq C\bigg\{(n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} + (n\Delta)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}\Big[\Big(\frac{\Delta^{\beta-1}}{n\Delta}\Big)^{p/2} + \Delta^{p(\beta-1)}\Big] \\
&\quad + (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Big[(n\Delta)^{1-p}\big(\Delta + \Delta^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) + n^{-\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p\Big]\bigg\}, \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

where, ε being fixed, the quantities λ_ε, $\mu_p(\varepsilon)$, $\sigma^2(\varepsilon)$ and $b_\nu(\varepsilon)$ are included in the constant C as well as $\|f\|_{p/2,\varepsilon} \leq \|f\|_{p,\varepsilon}^2 \leq (\lambda_\varepsilon \mathfrak{M})^2$ using the Hölder inequality and that $f \in \mathscr{F}(s, p, q, \mathfrak{M}_\varepsilon, A(\varepsilon))$. Consider the case $n\Delta^2 > 1$, Equation (37) simplifies in

$$\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p \leq C\bigg\{(n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} + (n\Delta)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}\Big[\Big(\frac{\Delta^{\beta-1}}{n\Delta}\Big)^{p/2} + \Delta^{p(\beta-1)}\Big] + (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^p\bigg\}.$$

Set $v^* := (n\Delta)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}}$ and

$$v_1 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{3p-2sp}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^{\frac{p}{2}(\beta-1)}, \; v_2 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}\Delta^{p(\beta-1)}, \; v_3 := (n\Delta)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^p.$$

Next, note that $\frac{v_1}{v_2} = (n\Delta^\beta)^{-\frac{p}{2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{v_1}{v^*} &= (n\Delta^\beta)^{\frac{3p}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^{\frac{p(\beta-1)}{2s+1}(s-1)} = (n\Delta^2)^{\frac{3p}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^{\frac{p}{2(2s+1)}(2s(\beta-1)+\beta-4)}, \\
\frac{v_2}{v^*} &= (n\Delta^\beta)^{\frac{2p+sp}{2s+1}}\Delta^{ps\frac{(\beta-1)}{2s+1}} = (n\Delta^2)^{\frac{2p+sp}{2s+1}}\Delta^{p\beta-3p\frac{1+s}{2s+1}}, \\
\frac{v_3}{v^*} &= (n\Delta^2)^{\frac{5p+2sp-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^{\frac{2sp-3p+2}{2(2s+1)}} \geq 1 \qquad \text{if } s \leq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{p}, \\
\frac{v_2}{v_3} &= (n\Delta)^{\frac{2-p}{2(2s+1)}}\Delta^{p(\beta-2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_1}{v_3} = (n\Delta^2)^{\frac{1-p-sp}{2s+1}}\Delta^{\frac{p}{2}(\beta-2)+\frac{p-2}{2(2s+1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that, if $\beta > 2$ and as $p \geq 2$ then $v_3 \geq v_2$ and $v_3 \geq v_1$. If $\beta \in (1, 2)$, the constraint $n\Delta^2 > 1$ implies $n\Delta^\beta \geq 1$ and $v_1 < v_2$. The order of the other terms depends on the rate of Δ according to n.

For the case $n\Delta^2 \leq 1$, the case $\beta \geq 2$ is covered by Theorem 3. If $\beta \in (1, 2)$, we add the terms v_1 and v_2 that now intervene in the rate. Theorem 4 follows.

Proof of Theorem 5 Consider $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $M > 0$; straightforward computations give for $r \in \{1, p/2, p\}$,

$$\int_{|x|>\varepsilon} f(x)^r dx \leq \frac{2M^r}{r-1+r\alpha}\varepsilon^{1-r-r\alpha} + \int_{|x|>1} f(x)^r dx, \quad \mu_p(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{2M}{p-\alpha}\varepsilon^{p-\alpha} + \mu_p(1). \tag{38}$$

For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, set $\mathbf{C}_{1,\alpha} := \max\{2\mathrm{C}_1, \mathrm{D}_1 + \mathrm{D}_1(2/\alpha+\lambda_1) + 2(2/\alpha+\lambda_1)^2\}$ where C_1, D_1 and D_2 appear in Theorems 6 and 7. Theorem 7 and (38) give for $\Delta < \frac{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{M4^{1+\alpha}}$ that $|F_\Delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_\varepsilon\Delta| \leq M^2\mathbf{C}_{1,\alpha}\Delta^2\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$, using that $\lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1$ and $\Delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{2M^2\mathbf{C}_{1,\alpha}}$ it holds $\frac{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}{2} \leq F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $(1-F_\Delta(\varepsilon)) \leq e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta/2}$. Additionally, it follows from Theorem 6 that $v_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq M^2\mathbf{C}_{1,\alpha}\Delta^2\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$. Thus, for $\Delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{6M^2\mathbf{C}_{1,\alpha}}$ it follows that $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

For $\alpha \in [1,2)$, set $\mathbf{C}_{2,\alpha} := \mathrm{F}_1 + 2\alpha\mathrm{F}_2 + 2\mathrm{F}_3(2/\alpha+\lambda_1)^2$ where F_1, F_2 and F_3 appear in Theorem 10. Theorem 10 and (38) give for $\Delta < \frac{(2-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{2^{1+\alpha}M}$ that $|F_\Delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_\varepsilon\Delta| \leq M^2\mathbf{C}_{2,\alpha}\Delta^2\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$. Similarly, using that $\lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1$ and $\Delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{2M^2\mathbf{C}_{2,\alpha}}$ it holds $\frac{\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta}{2} \leq F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $(1-F_\Delta(\varepsilon)) \leq e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon\Delta/2}$. Additionally, it follows from (40) that $v_\Delta(\varepsilon) \leq M^2\mathbf{C}_{2,\alpha}\Delta^2\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$. Hence, for $\Delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{6M^2\mathbf{C}_{2,\alpha}}$ we deduce that $\frac{v_\Delta(\varepsilon)}{F_\Delta(\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

We set

$$\overline{\Delta} := \begin{cases} 1 \wedge \frac{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{M4^{1+\alpha}} \wedge \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{6M^2\mathbf{C}_{1,\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1), \\ 1 \wedge \frac{(2-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{2^{1+\alpha}M} \wedge \frac{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{6M^2\mathbf{C}_{2,\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1,2). \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

In the sequel C is a constant, possibly depending on α, M, s, p, $\|f\|_{L_{p,1}}$, $\|f\|_{L_{p/2,1}}$, λ_1, $\mu_p(1)$, $\|\Phi\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi'\|_\infty$, $\|\Phi\|_p$ and $\mathfrak{M}$, whose value may change from line to line.

The proof is a consequence of Theorem 2. First, we choose the resolution J that perform the compromise between the terms 2^{-Jsp} and $2^{Jp/2}\ell_{p,\varepsilon}^{p/2}\big(nF_\Delta(\varepsilon)\big)^{-p/2}$, where $\lambda_\varepsilon\ell_{p,\varepsilon} = \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L_{p/2,\varepsilon}} \leq C_{p,M,\alpha,\|f\|_{p/2,1}}\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p}-(1+\alpha)}$ from Equation (38). We choose J such that $2^J = (\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{1/(2s+1)}$ and replace it in the bound of Theorem 2 that can be rewritten for $p \geq 2$ and after simplification in

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\ell_{p,\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{f}_{n,\varepsilon}, f\big)\big]^p \leq C\Bigg\{ & \Big(\frac{\lambda_\varepsilon}{n\Delta}\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + \Delta^p\varepsilon^{-2\alpha p} + \lambda_\varepsilon^p(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{-\frac{sp}{2s+1}} \\
& + \lambda_\varepsilon^p(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{2p}{2s+1}}\Big[\Big(\frac{\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}}{n\lambda_\varepsilon^2}\Big)^{p/2} + \Big(\Delta\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1}\Big)^p\Big] + (\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon)^p\varepsilon^{1-p-p\alpha} \\
& + \lambda_\varepsilon^p(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{5p-2}{2(2s+1)}}\Big[(n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon)^{1-p}\big(\Delta\varepsilon^{p-\alpha} + (\Delta\varepsilon^{2-\alpha})^{\frac{p}{2}}\big) \\
& \qquad + (\lambda_\varepsilon n\Delta)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\big(\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}\Delta\big)^{\frac{p}{2}} + (\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\Delta)^p\Big]\Bigg\} \\
:= {} & v_1 + v_2 + v^* + v_3 + v_4 + v_5 + v_6 + v_7 + v_8 + v_9.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumptions $\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2 \geq 1$, $n(\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-2\alpha})^2 \leq 1$, $\Delta \leq 1$, $\varepsilon \leq 1$, $\lambda_\varepsilon \geq 1$ and $\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^\alpha \leq 1$,

we obtain for $p \geq 2$ and $s \geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{v_2}{v_1} = (n(\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-2\alpha})^2)^{p/2}\Delta^{p/2}\lambda_\varepsilon^{-3p/2} \leq 1,\\
&\frac{v_1}{v^*} = (\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{p(\frac{s}{2s+1}-\frac{1}{2})}\lambda_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}(1+\alpha)-1} \leq 1, \qquad \frac{v_3}{v_4} = (n\Delta^2\varepsilon^{-2\alpha})^{-p/2} > 1,\\
&\frac{v_3}{v^*} = (\varepsilon^{-4\alpha}n\Delta^2\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p(2+s)}{2(2s+1)}}(n\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{-p/2}\varepsilon^{4\alpha\frac{p(2+s)}{2s+1}+(1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p})\frac{p(2+s)}{2s+1}-\alpha p},\\
&\quad \leq (\varepsilon^{-4\alpha}n\Delta^2\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p(2+s)}{2(2s+1)}}(n\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{-p/2}\varepsilon^{5\alpha\frac{p(2+s)}{2s+1}-\alpha p} \leq 1,\\
&\frac{v_5}{v^*} = (n\Delta^2\lambda_\varepsilon^2\varepsilon^{-4\alpha})^{\frac{sp}{2s+1}}(\Delta\varepsilon^{-1-\alpha})^{\frac{sp+p}{2s+1}}\varepsilon^{\frac{1+4\alpha sp}{2s+1}} \leq 1,\\
&\frac{v_6}{v_7} = (\Delta\varepsilon^{-\alpha})^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \geq 1, \qquad \frac{v_6}{v_8} = (n\Delta^2\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-\alpha})^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \geq 1, \qquad \frac{v_6}{v_9} = (n\Delta^2\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-\alpha})^{1-p} \geq 1,\\
\text{and}\quad &\frac{v_6}{v^*} = (\varepsilon^{1+\alpha-\frac{2}{p}}n\Delta\lambda_\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{3p-2-2sp}{2(2s+1)}}(n\Delta^2\lambda_\varepsilon^2\varepsilon^{-4\alpha})(\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^2)^{p-1}\varepsilon^{3\alpha+\alpha p} \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

B Some inequalities on the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$

We partially reproduce here the main results of [15] that provide a control of the quantities $F_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ and $v_\Delta(\varepsilon)$ on the class $\mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $M > 0$ (see (6)). Hereafter, the dependency in α of the constants is not given. For explicit values of the constants in the following Theorems, the reader is referred to [15].

Theorem 6. *Let ν be a Lévy measure absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and denote by $f = \frac{d\nu}{dx}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $M > 0$, $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ and $t \in (0, (1-\alpha)M^{-1}\varepsilon^\alpha 4^{-(1+\alpha)})$. Then, there exists a constant $\mathrm{C}_1 > 0$, only depending on α, such that $\mathbb{P}(|tb_\nu(\varepsilon) + M_t(\varepsilon)| \geq \varepsilon) \leq 2t^2M^2\mathrm{C}_1\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}$.*

Theorem 7. *Let X be a finite variation Lévy process of the form $X_t = \sum_{0<s\leq t}\Delta X_s$ with Lévy measure ν absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and denote by $f = \frac{d\nu}{dx}$. Suppose that $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $M > 0$. If $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, then there exist two constants D_1 and D_2 only depending on α, such that for all $t \in (0, (1-\alpha)M^{-1}\varepsilon^\alpha 4^{-(1+\alpha)})$ it holds:*

$$|\mathbb{P}(|X_t| > \varepsilon) - \lambda_\varepsilon t| \leq t^2\big(M^2\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\mathrm{D}_1 + M\lambda_\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-\alpha}\mathrm{D}_2 + 2\lambda_\varepsilon^2\big).$$

Theorem 8. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let ν be a symmetric Lévy measure absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and denote by $f = \frac{d\nu}{dx}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, $\alpha \in [1,2)$, $M > 0$, $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ and $t \in (0, (\varepsilon/2)^\alpha(1 \wedge ((2-\alpha)/2M))$. Then, there exists a constant $\mathrm{E}_1 > 0$, only depending on α, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(|M_t(\varepsilon)| \geq \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{2^{2+\alpha}Mt^{1+1/\alpha}}{\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}}\left(1 + \frac{M}{\alpha(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)}\right) + 2t^2M^2\mathrm{E}_1\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (1,2),\\
\mathbb{P}(M_t(\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{4t^2M^2}{\varepsilon^2}\left(e^{2+1/e} + \frac{37}{9}\right) + \frac{4Mt^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{16M^2}{\varepsilon^2}t^2\ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2t}\right), \quad \alpha = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 9. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let ν be a symmetric Lévy measure with density f with respect to the Lebesgue measure and $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [1,2)$ and $M > 0$. Then, for all $0 < t < (\varepsilon/2)^\alpha\big(1 \wedge ((2-\alpha)/2M)\big)$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, it holds:*

$$|\mathbb{P}(|X_t| > \varepsilon) - \lambda_\varepsilon t| \leq \mathrm{G}_1 \frac{t^{1+1/\alpha}}{\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}} + \mathrm{G}_2 \frac{t^2}{\varepsilon^{2\alpha}} + \mathrm{G}_3 \frac{t^2}{\varepsilon^2} \ln\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{t}\Big) \mathbb{1}_{\alpha=1},$$

where G_1, G_2 and G_3 are positive constants, only depending on M, α and λ_1.

Theorem 10. *Let X be a Lévy process as in (2) and let ν be a symmetric Lévy measure having a density f with respect to the Lebesgue measure with $f \in \mathscr{L}_{M,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [1,2)$ and $M > 0$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ and assume that f is $M\varepsilon^{-(2+\alpha)}$-Lipschitz on the interval $(3/4\varepsilon, 5/4\varepsilon)$. For all $t \in (0, \frac{(2-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{2^{1+\alpha}M})$, it holds:*

$$|\mathbb{P}(|X_t| > \varepsilon) - \lambda_\varepsilon t| \leq t^2 M^2 \big(\mathrm{F}_1 \varepsilon^{-2\alpha} + \lambda_1 \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \mathrm{F}_2\big) + 2t^2\lambda_1^2 + \frac{t^4 M^4 \mathrm{F}_3}{\varepsilon^{4\alpha}},$$

where F_1, F_2 and F_3 are universal positive constants, only depending on α.

Note that Theorem 10 applied to $\nu = \nu \mathbf{1}_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}$, satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 10, permits to improve the result of Theorem 8 as follows

$$\mathbb{P}(|M_t(\varepsilon)| > \varepsilon) \leq M^2 \mathrm{F}_1 t^2 \varepsilon^{-2\alpha} + \frac{t^4 M^4 \mathrm{F}_3}{\varepsilon^{4\alpha}}. \tag{40}$$

If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, Theorem 7 permits to derive that Assumption $(H_1(\delta, c))$ is valid for $\delta = \frac{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{M4^{1+\alpha}} =: \delta_0$ and a constant c depending only on α, M and whose dependency in ε is explicit. Theorem 6 ensures that Assumption $(H_2(\beta, \delta, c))$ is fulfilled with $\beta = 2$, $\delta = \delta_0$ and c depending only on α, M and whose dependency in ε is explicit.

If $\alpha \in [1,2)$ and the Lévy measure ν is symmetric, Theorem 10 (under an addition local Lipschitz condition on f) permits to derive that Assumption $(H_1(\delta, c))$ is valid for $\delta = \frac{(2-\alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha}{M2^{1+\alpha}} =: \delta_1$ and a constant c depending only on α, M and whose dependency in ε is explicit. Theorem 8 ensures that Assumption $(H_2(\beta, \delta, c))$ is fulfilled with $\beta = 1 + 1/\alpha$, $\delta = \delta_1$ (if $M \geq 1/2$). This can be improved using (40) in $\beta = 2$ under a local Lipschitz condition on f.