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#### Abstract

The branching ratio and direct $C P$ asymmetry of the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay are estimated with the perturbative QCD approach firstly. It is found that (1) The direct $C P$-violating asymmetry is close to zero. (2) the branching ratio $\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right) \gtrsim 10^{-10}$ might be measurable at the future experiments.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The $\Upsilon(1 S)$ meson is the ground $S$-wave spin-triplet bottomonium (bound state of $b \bar{b}$ ) with the well-established quantum number of $I^{G} J^{P C}=0^{-} 1^{--}$[1]. Its mass, $m_{\Upsilon(1 S)}=$ $9460.30 \pm 0.26 \mathrm{MeV}[1]$, is less than the kinematic open-bottom threshold. Phenomenologically, the dominated $\Upsilon(1 S)$ hadronic decay through the $b \bar{b}$ pairs annihilation into three gluons, with branching ratio $\mathcal{B} r(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow g g g)=(81.7 \pm 0.7) \%$ [1] , is suppressed by the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka rule [2-4]. The partial width of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ electromagnetic decay through the $b \bar{b}$ pairs annihilation into a virtual photon, $(3+R) \Gamma_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$, is proportional to $Q_{b}^{2}$, where $Q_{b}$ $=-1 / 3$ is the electric charge of the bottom quark in the unit of $|e|, R$ is the ratio of the inclusive production cross section of hadrons to the $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$pair production cross section, and $\Gamma_{\ell^{+} \ell^{-}}$is the partial width of the pure leptonic $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$decay. Besides ${ }^{1}$, the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ meson can also decay via the weak interactions within the standard model, although the branching ratio is very small, about $2 / \tau_{B} \Gamma_{\Upsilon(1 S)} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-8}\right)[1]$, where $\tau_{B}$ and $\Gamma_{\Upsilon(1 S)}$ are the lifetime of the $B_{u, d, s}$ meson and the total width of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ meson, respectively. In this paper, we will study the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decays with the perturbative QCD (pQCD) approach [6 8]. The motivation is listed as follows.

From the experimental point of view, (1) over $10^{8} \Upsilon(1 S)$ data samples were accumulated by the Belle detector at the KEKB $e^{+} e^{-}$asymmetric energy collider [9]. It is hopefully expected that more and more upsilon data samples will be collected with great precision at the forthcoming SuperKEKB and the running upgraded LHC. A large amount of $\Upsilon(1 S)$ data samples offer a realistic possibility to search for the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ weak decays which in some cases might be detectable. Theoretical studies on the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ weak decays are necessary to give a ready reference. (2) For the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay, the back-to-back final states with opposite electric charges have definite momentums and energies in the center-of-mass frame of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ meson. In addition, identification of either a single flavored $D_{s}$ or $B_{c}$ meson is free from the low double-tagging efficiency [10], and can provide an unambiguous evidence of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ weak decay. Of course, it should be noticed that small branching ratios for the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ weak decays make the observation extremely challenging, and any evidences of

[^0]an abnormally large production rate of either a single $D_{s}$ or $B_{c}$ meson might be a hint of new physics [10].

From the theoretical point of view, the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ weak decays permit one to crosscheck parameters obtained from the $b$-flavored hadron decays, to further explore the underlying dynamical mechanism of the heavy quark weak decay, and to test various phenomenological approaches. In recent several years, many attractive methods have been developed to evaluate hadronic matrix elements (HME) where the local quark-level operators are sandwiched between the initial and final hadron states, such as pQCD [6-8], the QCD factorization [11] and the soft and collinear effective theory [12-15] , which could give reasonable explanation for many measurements on the nonleptonic $B_{u, d}$ decays. The $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay is favored by the color factor due to the external $W$ emission topological structure, and by the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) factors $\left|V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*}\right|$, so it should have a large branching ratio. However, as far as we know, there is no theoretical investigation on the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow$ $B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay at the moment. In this paper, we will predict the branching ratio and direct $C P$-violating asymmetry of the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay with the pQCD approach to confirm whether it is possible to search for this process at the future experiments.

This paper is organized as follows. In section III, we present the theoretical framework and the amplitude for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay. Section $\Pi I$ is devoted to numerical results and discussion. Finally, we conclude with a summary in the last section.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

## A. The effective Hamiltonian

Using the operator product expansion and renormalization group equation, the effective Hamiltonian responsible for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay is written as [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{2} C_{i}(\mu) Q_{i}(\mu)-V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*} \sum_{j=3}^{10} C_{j}(\mu) Q_{j}(\mu)\right\}+\text { H.c. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{F}=1.166 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}[1]$ is the Fermi coupling constant; the CKM factors are expressed as a power series in the Wolfenstein parameter $\lambda \sim 0.2$ [1],

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*} & =+A \lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{2} A \lambda^{4}-\frac{1}{8} A \lambda^{6}\left(1+4 A^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{8}\right)  \tag{2}\\
V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*} & =-V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*}-A \lambda^{4}(\rho-i \eta)+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{8}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The Wilson coefficients $C_{i}(\mu)$ summarize the physical contributions above the scale of $\mu$, and have been reliably evaluated to the next-to-leading logarithmic order. The local operators are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{1}=\left[\bar{c}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\alpha}\right]\left[\bar{s}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) c_{\beta}\right],  \tag{4}\\
Q_{2}=\left[\bar{c}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta}\right]\left[\bar{s}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) c_{\alpha}\right],  \tag{5}\\
Q_{3}=\sum_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\alpha}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\beta}\right],  \tag{6}\\
Q_{4}=\sum_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}\right],  \tag{7}\\
Q_{5}=\sum_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\alpha}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\beta}\right],  \tag{8}\\
Q_{6}=\sum_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}\right],  \tag{9}\\
Q_{7}=\sum_{q} \frac{3}{2} Q_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\alpha}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\beta}\right],  \tag{10}\\
Q_{8}=\sum_{q} \frac{3}{2} Q_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}\right],  \tag{11}\\
Q_{9}=\sum_{q} \frac{3}{2} Q_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\alpha}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\beta}\right],  \tag{12}\\
Q_{10}=\sum_{q} \frac{3}{2} Q_{q}\left[\bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) b_{\beta}\right]\left[\bar{q}_{\beta} \gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\gamma_{5}\right) q_{\alpha}\right], \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $Q_{1,2}, Q_{3, \cdots, 6}$, and $Q_{7, \cdots, 10}$ are usually called as the tree operators, QCD penguin operators, and electroweak penguin operators, respectively; $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are color indices; $q$ denotes all the active quarks at the scale of $\mu \sim \mathcal{O}\left(m_{b}\right)$, i.e., $q=u, d, s, c, b$.

## B. Hadronic matrix elements

To obtain the decay amplitudes, the remaining works are to calculate the hadronic matrix elements of local operators as accurately as possible. Based on the $k_{T}$ factorization theorem [17] and the Lepage-Brodsky approach for exclusive processes [18], HME can be written as the convolution of hard scattering subamplitudes containing perturbative contributions with the universal wave functions reflecting the nonperturbative contributions with the pQCD approach, where the transverse momentums of quarks are retained and the Sudakov factors are introduced, in order to regulate the endpoint singularities and provide a naturally
dynamical cutoff on nonperturbative contributions. Usually, the decay amplitude can be factorized into three parts: the hard effects incorporated into the Wilson coefficients $C_{i}$, the process-dependent scattering amplitudes $T$, and the universal wave functions $\Phi$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x d b C_{i}(t) T(t, x, b) \Phi(x, b) e^{-S} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is a typical scale, $x$ is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the valence quark, $b$ is the conjugate variable of the transverse momentum, and $e^{-S}$ is the Sudakov factor.

## C. Kinematic variables

The light cone kinematic variables in the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ rest frame are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\Upsilon} & =p_{1}=\frac{m_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1,0),  \tag{15}\\
p_{B_{c}} & =p_{2}=\left(p_{2}^{+}, p_{2}^{-}, 0\right),  \tag{16}\\
p_{D_{s}} & =p_{3}=\left(p_{3}^{-}, p_{3}^{+}, 0\right),  \tag{17}\\
k_{i} & =x_{i} p_{i}+\left(0,0, \vec{k}_{i T}\right),  \tag{18}\\
\epsilon_{\Upsilon}^{\|} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,-1,0), \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ and $\vec{k}_{i T}$ are the longitudinal momentum fraction and transverse momentum of the valence quark, respectively; $\epsilon_{\Upsilon}^{\|}$is the longitudinal polarization vector of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ meson. The notation of momentum is showed in Fig.1(a). There are some relations among these kinematic variables.

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i}^{ \pm}=\left(E_{i} \pm p\right) / \sqrt{2}  \tag{20}\\
s=2 p_{2} \cdot p_{3}  \tag{21}\\
t=2 p_{1} \cdot p_{2}=2 m_{1} E_{2}  \tag{22}\\
u=2 p_{1} \cdot p_{3}=2 m_{1} E_{3}  \tag{23}\\
p=\frac{\sqrt{\left[m_{1}^{2}-\left(m_{2}+m_{3}\right)^{2}\right]\left[m_{1}^{2}-\left(m_{2}-m_{3}\right)^{2}\right]}}{2 m_{1}} \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p$ is the common momentum of the final $B_{c}$ and $D_{s}$ states; $m_{1}=m_{\Upsilon(1 S)}, m_{2}=m_{B_{c}}$ and $m_{3}=m_{D_{s}}$ denote the masses of the $\Upsilon(1 S), B_{c}$ and $D_{s}$ mesons, respectively.

## D. Wave functions

The HME of diquark operators squeezed between the vacuum and $\Upsilon(1 S), B_{c}, D_{s}$ mesons are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle 0| b_{i}(z) \bar{b}_{j}(0)\left|\Upsilon\left(p_{1}, \epsilon_{\|}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{4} f_{\Upsilon} \int d k_{1} e^{-i k_{1} \cdot z}\left\{k_{\|}\left[m_{1} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(k_{1}\right)-\not p_{1} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(k_{1}\right)\right]\right\}_{j i}  \tag{25}\\
\left\langle B_{c}^{+}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \bar{c}_{i}(z) b_{j}(0)|0\rangle & =\frac{i}{4} f_{B_{c}} \int d k_{2} e^{i k_{2} \cdot z}\left\{\gamma_{5}\left[\not p_{2}+m_{2}\right] \phi_{B_{c}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right\}_{j i}  \tag{26}\\
\left\langle D_{s}^{-}\left(p_{3}\right)\right| \bar{s}_{i}(z) c_{j}(0)|0\rangle & =\frac{i}{4} f_{D_{s}} \int_{0}^{1} d k_{3} e^{i k_{3} \cdot z}\left\{\gamma_{5}\left[\not p_{3}+m_{3}\right] \Phi_{D_{s}}\left(k_{3}\right)\right\}_{j i}, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{\Upsilon}, f_{B_{c}}, f_{D_{s}}$ are decay constants.
There are several phenomenological models for the $D_{s}$ meson wave functions (for example, Eq.(30) in Ref.[19]). In this paper, we will take the model favored by Ref. [19] via fitting with measurements on the $B \rightarrow D P$ decays.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{D_{s}}(x, b)=6 x \bar{x}\left\{1+C_{D}(1-2 x)\right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} w^{2} b^{2}\right\}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{x}=1-x ; x$ and $b$ are the longitudinal momentum fraction and the conjugate variable of the transverse momentum $k_{T}$ of the strange quark in the $D_{s}$ meson, respectively; the exponential term represents the $k_{T}$ distribution; $C_{D}=0.4 \pm 0.1$ and $w=0.2 \mathrm{GeV}$ [19].

Due to $m_{\Upsilon(1 S)} \simeq 2 m_{b}$ and $m_{B_{c}} \simeq m_{b}+m_{c}$, nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics [20-22] and Schrödinger equation can be used to describe both $\Upsilon(1 S)$ and $B_{c}$ mesons. The wave functions of an isotropic harmonic oscillator potential are given in Ref. [23],

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}(x)=A x \bar{x} \exp \left\{-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{8 \beta_{1}^{2} x \bar{x}}\right\},  \tag{29}\\
\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}(x)=B(x-\bar{x})^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{8 \beta_{1}^{2} x \bar{x}}\right\},  \tag{30}\\
\phi_{B_{c}}(x)=C x \bar{x} \exp \left\{-\frac{\bar{x} m_{c}^{2}+x m_{b}^{2}}{8 \beta_{2}^{2} x \bar{x}}\right\}, \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta_{i}=\xi_{i} \alpha_{s}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ with $\xi_{i}=m_{i} / 2$; parameters $A, B, C$ are the normalization coefficients satisfying the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d x \phi_{\Upsilon}^{v, t}(x)=1, \quad \int_{0}^{1} d x \phi_{B_{c}}(x)=1 . . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## E. Decay amplitudes

The Feynman diagrams for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay are shown in Fig.1. There are two types: the emission and annihilation topologies, where diagrams containing gluon exchanges between the quarks in the same (different) mesons are entitled (non)factorizable diagrams.


FIG. 1: Feynman diagrams for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay with the pQCD approach, including the factorizable emission diagrams (a,b), the nonfactorizable emission diagrams (c,d), the nonfactorizable annihilation diagrams (e,f), and the factorizable annihilation diagrams (g,h).

By calculating these diagrams with the pQCD master formula Eq.(14), the decay amplitudes of $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)=\sqrt{2} G_{F} \pi f_{\Upsilon} f_{B_{c}} f_{D_{s}} \frac{C_{F}}{N} m_{\Upsilon}^{3}\left(\epsilon_{\Upsilon} \cdot p_{D_{s}}\right) \\
& \times\left\{V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*}\left[\mathcal{A}_{a+b}^{L L} a_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{c+d}^{L L} C_{2}\right]-V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}\left[\mathcal{A}_{a+b}^{L L}\left(a_{4}+a_{10}\right)\right.\right. \\
&+\mathcal{A}_{a+b}^{S P}\left(a_{6}+a_{8}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{c+d}^{L L}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{c+d}^{S P}\left(C_{5}+C_{7}\right) \\
&+\mathcal{A}_{e+f}^{L L}\left(C_{3}+C_{4}-\frac{1}{2} C_{9}-\frac{1}{2} C_{10}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{e+f}^{L R}\left(C_{6}-\frac{1}{2} C_{8}\right) \\
&+\mathcal{A}_{g+h}^{L L}\left(a_{3}+a_{4}-\frac{1}{2} a_{9}-\frac{1}{2} a_{10}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{g+h}^{L R}\left(a_{5}-\frac{1}{2} a_{7}\right) \\
&\left.\left.+\mathcal{A}_{e+f}^{S P}\left(C_{5}-\frac{1}{2} C_{7}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{F}=4 / 3$ and the color number $N=3$.
The parameters $a_{i}$ are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=C_{i}+C_{i+1} / N, \quad(i=1,3,5,7,9) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=C_{i}+C_{i-1} / N, \quad(i=2,4,5,6,10) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The building blocks $\mathcal{A}_{a+b}, \mathcal{A}_{c+d}, \mathcal{A}_{e+f}, \mathcal{A}_{g+h}$ denote the contributions of the factorizable emission diagrams Fig.1(a,b), the nonfactorizable emission diagrams Fig.1(c,d), the nonfactorizable annihilation diagrams Fig.1(e,f), the factorizable annihilation diagrams Fig.1(g,h), respectively. They are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{i+j}^{k}=\mathcal{A}_{i}^{k}+\mathcal{A}_{j}^{k} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts $i$ and $j$ correspond to the indices of Fig.1, the superscript $k$ refers to one of the three possible Dirac structures, namely $k=L L$ for $(V-A) \otimes(V-A), k=L R$ for $(V-A) \otimes(V+A)$, and $k=S P$ for $-2(S-P) \otimes(S+P)$. The explicit expressions of these building blocks are collected in the Appendix A.

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the rest frame of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ meson, the $C P$-averaged branching ratio and direct $C P$ violating asymmetry for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ weak decay are written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{12 \pi} \frac{p}{m_{\Upsilon}^{2} \Gamma_{\Upsilon}}\left|\mathcal{A}\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)\right|^{2},  \tag{37}\\
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)=\frac{\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c}^{+} D_{s}^{-}\right)-\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c}^{-} D_{s}^{+}\right)}{\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c}^{+} D_{s}^{-}\right)+\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c}^{-} D_{s}^{+}\right)}, \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the decay width $\Gamma_{\Upsilon}=54.02 \pm 1.25 \mathrm{keV}[1]$.
The numerical values of other input parameters are listed as follows.
(1) The Wolfenstein parameters [1]: $A=0.814_{-0.024}^{+0.023}, \lambda=0.22537 \pm 0.00061, \bar{\rho}=$ $0.117 \pm 0.021$, and $\bar{\eta}=0.353 \pm 0.013$, where $(\rho+i \eta)=(\bar{\rho}+i \bar{\eta})\left(1+\lambda^{2} / 2+\cdots\right)$.
(2) Masses of quarks [1]: $m_{c}=1.67 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{b}=4.78 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{GeV}$.
(3) Decay constants: $f_{\Upsilon(1 S)}=676.4 \pm 10.7 \mathrm{MeV}$ [23], $f_{B_{c}}=489 \pm 5 \mathrm{MeV}$ [24], and $f_{D_{s}}=$ $257.5 \pm 4.6 \mathrm{MeV}$ [1].

Finally, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)=\left(3.78_{-0.26-0.38-0.25-0.32}^{+0.27+0.42+0.50+0.34}\right) \times 10^{-10},  \tag{39}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)=\left(4.79_{-0.20-1.00-0.44-0.39}^{+0.21+1.14+0.18+0.36}\right) \times 10^{-5}, \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where the central values are obtained with the central values of input parameters; the first uncertainties come from the CKM parameters; the second uncertainties are due to the variation of mass $m_{b}$ and $m_{c}$; the third uncertainties arise from the typical scale $\mu=$ $(1 \pm 0.1) t_{i}$, where the expressions of $t_{i}$ for different topologies are given in Eqs. A31 A34); and the fourth uncertainties correspond to the variation of decay constants $f_{\Upsilon}, f_{B_{c}}, f_{D_{s}}$ and shape parameter $C_{D}$ in Eq.(28). There are some comments.
(1) It is seen from Eq. (39) that branching ratio for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay can reach up to $10^{-10}$, which might be accessible at the running LHC and forthcoming SuperKEKB. For example, the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ production cross section in p- Pb collision is a few $\mu b$ with the LHCb [25] and ALICE [26] detectors at LHC. Over $10^{12} \Upsilon(1 S)$ mesons per $a b^{-1}$ data collected at LHCb and ALICE are in principle available, corresponding to a few hundreds of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ $\rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ events.
(2) Compared the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay with the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} \pi$ decay [23], they are both the color-favored and CKM-favored. There is only the emission topologies and only the tree operators contributing to the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} \pi$ decay. Besides the emission topologies and tree operators, there are other contributions from the annihilation topologies and penguin operators for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay. In addition, there is another important factor, the decay constant $f_{D_{s}}>2 f_{\pi}$. This might explain the fact that although the final phase spaces for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay is more compact than those for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} \pi$ decay, there is still the relation ${ }^{2}, \mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right)>\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} \pi\right)$ with the pQCD approach.
(3) It is shown from Eq. (40) that the direct $C P$ asymmetry for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay is close to zero. The fact should be so. As it is well known, the magnitude of direct $C P$ asymmetry is proportional to the sine of weak phase difference. First and foremost, the weak phase difference between the CKM factors $V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*}$ and $V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}$ are suppressed by the factor of $\lambda^{2}$. Secondly, compared with the tree contributions appearing with $V_{c b} V_{c s}^{*}$, the penguin and annihilation contributions always accompanied with $V_{t b} V_{t s}^{*}$ are suppressed by the small Wilson coefficients.
(4) As it is well known, due to mass $m_{B_{c}}>m_{\Upsilon(1 S)} / 2$, the momentum transition in the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay may be not large enough. One might question whether the pQCD
${ }^{2}$ The branching ratio for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} \pi$ decay is about $\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} \pi\right) \sim \mathcal{O}\left(10^{-11}\right)$ [23] with the pQCD approach.
approach is applicable and whether the perturbative calculation is reliable. Therefore, it is necessary to check what percentage of the contributions comes from the perturbative region. The contributions to branching ratio from different region of $\alpha_{s} / \pi$ are showed in Fig.(22). One can clearly see from Fig.(2) that more than $90 \%$ contributions to branching ratio come from the $\alpha_{s} / \pi \leq 0.3$ region, and the contributions from nonperturbative region with large $\alpha_{s} / \pi$ are highly suppressed. One important reason is that assisting with the typical scale in Eqs. (A31) A34), the quark transverse momentum is retained and the Sudakov factor is introduced to effectively suppress the nonperturbative contributions within the pQCD approach [6-8].


FIG. 2: The contributions to the branching ratio from different region of $\alpha_{s} / \pi$ (horizontal axises), where the numbers over histogram denote the percentage of the corresponding contributions.
(5) There are many uncertainties on our results. Other factors, such as the contributions of higher order corrections to HME, relativistic effects and so on, which are not considered here, deserve the dedicated study. Our results just provide an order of magnitude estimation.

## IV. SUMMARY

The $\Upsilon(1 S)$ weak decay is legal within the standard model. With the potential prospects of the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ at high-luminosity dedicated heavy-flavor factories, the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$, weak decays are studied with the pQCD approach. It is found that with the nonrelativistic wave functions for $\Upsilon(1 S)$ and $B_{c}$ mesons, branching ratios $\mathcal{B} r\left(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}\right) \gtrsim 10^{-10}$, which might be measurable in future experiments. The direct $C P$-violating asymmetry for the $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow B_{c} D_{s}$ decay is close to zero because of the tiny weak phase difference.
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## Appendix A: The building blocks of decay amplitudes

For the sake of simplicity, we decompose the decay amplitude Eq.(33) into some building blocks $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{k}$, where the subscript $i$ on $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{k}$ corresponds to the indices of Fig. $\mathbb{1}$, the superscript $k$ on $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{k}$ refers to one of the three possible Dirac structures $\Gamma_{1} \otimes \Gamma_{2}$ of the four-quark operator $\left(\bar{q}_{1} \Gamma_{1} q_{2}\right)\left(\bar{q}_{1} \Gamma_{2} q_{2}\right)$, namely $k=L L$ for $(V-A) \otimes(V-A), k=L R$ for $(V-A) \otimes(V+A)$, and $k=S P$ for $-2(S-P) \otimes(S+P)$. The explicit expressions of $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{k}$ are written as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{a}^{L L}= & \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& E_{a}\left(t_{a}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) H_{a b}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{a}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left\{x_{2}+r_{3}^{2} \bar{x}_{2}+r_{2} r_{b}\right\},  \tag{A1}\\
\mathcal{A}_{a}^{S P}= & -2 r_{3} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& E_{a}\left(t_{a}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) H_{a b}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{a}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left\{r_{b}+r_{2} \bar{x}_{2}\right\},  \tag{A2}\\
\mathcal{A}_{b}^{L L}= & \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) E_{b}\left(t_{b}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{b}\right) \\
& H_{a b}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{b}, b_{2}, b_{1}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\left[2 r_{2} r_{c}-r_{2}^{2} x_{1}-r_{3}^{2} \bar{x}_{1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\left[2 r_{2} x_{1}-r_{c}\right]\right\},  \tag{A3}\\
\mathcal{A}_{b}^{S P}= & -2 r_{3} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) E_{b}\left(t_{b}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{b}\right) \\
& H_{a b}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{b}, b_{2}, b_{1}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(2 r_{2}-r_{c}\right)-\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right) \bar{x}_{1}\right\},  \tag{A4}\\
\mathcal{A}_{c}^{L L}= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{3} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{c}\left(t_{c}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{c}\right) H_{c d}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{c}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\frac{s\left(x_{1}-\bar{x}_{3}\right)}{m_{1}^{2}}+2 r_{2}^{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right]+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right) r_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right\}, }  \tag{A5}\\
\mathcal{A}_{c}^{S P}= & -\frac{1}{N} r_{3} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{3} d b_{3} \alpha_{s}\left(t_{c}\right) \\
& \delta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{c}\left(t_{c}\right) H_{c d}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{c}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \\
& \left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right) r_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{3}-x_{2}\right)+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-\bar{x}_{3}\right)\right\}, \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}_{d}^{L L}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{3} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{d}\left(t_{d}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{d}\right) H_{c d}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{d}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left[\frac{s\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)}{m_{1}^{2}}-r_{3} r_{c}\right]+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right) r_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right\},  \tag{A7}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{d}^{S P}=-\frac{1}{N} r_{3} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{3} d b_{3} \alpha_{s}\left(t_{d}\right) \\
& \delta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{d}\left(t_{d}\right) H_{c d}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta_{d}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \\
& \left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right) r_{2}\left(r_{c} / r_{3}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}-r_{c} / r_{3}\right)\right\},  \tag{A8}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{e}^{L L}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{e}\left(t_{e}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{e}\right) H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{e}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left[\frac{s\left(x_{1}-\bar{x}_{3}\right)}{m_{1}^{2}}+2 r_{2}^{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+r_{2} r_{3}\left(x_{2}-\bar{x}_{3}\right)\right]-r_{b} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \text {, }  \tag{A9}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{e}^{L R}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{e}\left(t_{e}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{e}\right) H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{e}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left[\frac{s\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)}{m_{1}^{2}}+2 r_{3}^{2}\left(\bar{x}_{3}-x_{1}\right)+r_{2} r_{3}\left(x_{2}-\bar{x}_{3}\right)\right]+r_{b} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \text {, }  \tag{A10}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{e}^{S P}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{e}\left(t_{e}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{e}\right) H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{e}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \\
& \left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right) r_{b}\left(r_{2}+r_{3}\right)+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\left[r_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)+r_{3}\left(\bar{x}_{3}-x_{1}\right)\right]\right\},  \tag{A11}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{f}^{L L}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{f}\left(t_{f}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{f}\right) H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{e}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left[\frac{s\left(\bar{x}_{1}-x_{2}\right)}{m_{1}^{2}}+2 r_{3}^{2}\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)+r_{2} r_{3}\left(\bar{x}_{3}-x_{2}\right)\right]-r_{b} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \text {, }  \tag{A12}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{f}^{L R}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{f}\left(t_{f}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{f}\right) H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{e}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left[\frac{s\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)}{m_{1}^{2}}+2 r_{2}^{2}\left(x_{2}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)+r_{2} r_{3}\left(\bar{x}_{3}-x_{2}\right)\right]+r_{b} \phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \text {, }  \tag{A13}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{f}^{S P}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{1} d b_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d b_{3} \delta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) \\
& \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) E_{f}\left(t_{f}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{f}\right) H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{e}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \\
& \left\{\phi_{\Upsilon}^{v}\left(x_{1}\right) r_{b}\left(r_{2}+r_{3}\right)+\phi_{\Upsilon}^{t}\left(x_{1}\right)\left[r_{2}\left(x_{2}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)+r_{3}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{A14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{g}^{L L}= & \mathcal{A}_{g}^{L R}=\int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{3} d b_{3} \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) \\
& E_{f}\left(t_{g}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{g}\right) H_{g h}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{g}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)\left\{x_{2}+r_{3} \bar{x}_{2}\left(r_{3}-2 r_{2}\right)\right\},  \tag{A15}\\
\mathcal{A}_{h}^{L L}= & \mathcal{A}_{h}^{L R}=\int_{0}^{1} d x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d x_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{2} d b_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{3} d b_{3} \phi_{B_{c}}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{D_{s}}\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right) \\
& E_{h}\left(t_{h}\right) \alpha_{s}\left(t_{h}\right) H_{g h}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta_{h}, b_{3}, b_{2}\right)\left\{\bar{x}_{3}+r_{2} x_{3}\left(r_{2}-2 r_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+r_{b}\left(r_{3}-2 r_{2}\right)\right\}, \tag{A16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the mass ratio $r_{i}=m_{i} / m_{1} ; \bar{x}_{i}=1-x_{i}$; variable $x_{i}$ is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the valence quark; $b_{i}$ is the conjugate variable of the transverse momentum $k_{i \perp}$; and $\alpha_{s}(t)$ is the QCD coupling at the scale of $t$.

The function $H_{i}$ are defined as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{a b}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta, b_{i}, b_{j}\right)=K_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\alpha_{e}} b_{i}\right)\left\{\theta\left(b_{i}-b_{j}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\beta} b_{i}\right) I_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\beta} b_{j}\right)+\left(b_{i} \leftrightarrow b_{j}\right)\right\},  \tag{A17}\\
& H_{c d}\left(\alpha_{e}, \beta, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)=\left\{\theta(-\beta) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\beta} b_{3}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2} \theta(\beta)\left[i J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{3}\right)-Y_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{3}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \times\left\{\theta\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\alpha_{e}} b_{2}\right) I_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\alpha_{e}} b_{3}\right)+\left(b_{2} \leftrightarrow b_{3}\right)\right\},  \tag{A18}\\
& H_{e f}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\left\{\theta(-\beta) K_{0}\left(\sqrt{-\beta} b_{1}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2} \theta(\beta)\left[i J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{1}\right)-Y_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{1}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \times \frac{\pi}{2}\left\{\theta\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)\left[i J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}} b_{1}\right)-Y_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}} b_{1}\right)\right] J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}} b_{2}\right)+\left(b_{1} \leftrightarrow b_{2}\right)\right\},  \tag{A19}\\
& H_{h g}\left(\alpha_{a}, \beta, b_{i}, b_{j}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\left\{i J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}} b_{j}\right)-Y_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}} b_{j}\right)\right\} \\
& \times\left\{\theta\left(b_{i}-b_{j}\right)\left[i J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{i}\right)-Y_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{i}\right)\right] J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta} b_{j}\right)+\left(b_{i} \leftrightarrow b_{j}\right)\right\}, \tag{A20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{0}$ and $Y_{0}\left(I_{0}\right.$ and $\left.K_{0}\right)$ are the (modified) Bessel function of the first and second kind, respectively; $\alpha_{e}\left(\alpha_{a}\right)$ is the gluon virtuality of the emission (annihilation) diagrams; the subscript of the quark virtuality $\beta_{i}$ corresponds to the indices of Fig.1. The definition of the particle virtuality is listed as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{e} & =\bar{x}_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+\bar{x}_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}-\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2} t  \tag{A21}\\
\alpha_{a} & =x_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\bar{x}_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+x_{2} \bar{x}_{3} s,  \tag{A22}\\
\beta_{a} & =m_{1}^{2}-m_{b}^{2}+\bar{x}_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}-\bar{x}_{2} t,  \tag{A23}\\
\beta_{b} & =m_{2}^{2}-m_{c}^{2}+\bar{x}_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}-\bar{x}_{1} t,  \tag{A24}\\
\beta_{c} & =x_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\bar{x}_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2} \\
& -x_{1} x_{2} t-x_{1} \bar{x}_{3} u+x_{2} \bar{x}_{3} s,  \tag{A25}\\
\beta_{d} & =x_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-m_{c}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -x_{1} x_{2} t-x_{1} x_{3} u+x_{2} x_{3} s,  \tag{A26}\\
\beta_{e} & =x_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\bar{x}_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-m_{b}^{2} \\
& -x_{1} x_{2} t-x_{1} \bar{x}_{3} u+x_{2} \bar{x}_{3} s,  \tag{A27}\\
\beta_{f} & =\bar{x}_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\bar{x}_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-m_{b}^{2} \\
& -\bar{x}_{1} x_{2} t-\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{3} u+x_{2} \bar{x}_{3} s,  \tag{A28}\\
\beta_{g} & =x_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+m_{3}^{2}+x_{2} s,  \tag{A29}\\
\beta_{h} & =\bar{x}_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}+\bar{x}_{3} s-m_{b}^{2} . \tag{A30}
\end{align*}
$$

The typical scale $t_{i}$ and the Sudakov factor $E_{i}$ are defined as follows, where the subscript $i$ corresponds to the indices of Fig.1.

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{a(b)}=\max \left(\sqrt{-\alpha_{e}}, \sqrt{-\beta_{a(b)}}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{2}\right),  \tag{A31}\\
t_{c(d)}=\max \left(\sqrt{-\alpha_{e}}, \sqrt{\left|\beta_{c(d)}\right|}, 1 / b_{2}, 1 / b_{3}\right),  \tag{A32}\\
t_{e(f)}=\max \left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}}, \sqrt{\left|\beta_{e(f)}\right|}, 1 / b_{1}, 1 / b_{2}\right),  \tag{A33}\\
t_{g(h)}=\max \left(\sqrt{\alpha_{a}}, \sqrt{\beta_{g(h)}}, 1 / b_{2}, 1 / b_{3}\right),  \tag{A34}\\
E_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}\exp \left\{-S_{\Upsilon(1 S)}(t)-S_{B_{c}}(t)\right\}, & i=a, b \\
\exp \left\{-S_{\Upsilon(1 S)}(t)-S_{B_{c}}(t)-S_{D_{s}}(t)\right\}, & i=c, d, e, f \\
\exp \left\{-S_{B_{c}}(t)-S_{D_{s}}(t)\right\}, & i=g, h\end{cases}  \tag{A35}\\
S_{\Upsilon(1 S)}(t)=s\left(x_{1}, p_{1}^{+}, 1 / b_{1}\right)+2 \int_{1 / b_{1}}^{t} \frac{d \mu}{\mu} \gamma_{q},  \tag{A36}\\
S_{B_{c}}(t)=s\left(x_{2}, p_{2}^{+}, 1 / b_{2}\right)+2 \int_{1 / b_{2}}^{t} \frac{d \mu}{\mu} \gamma_{q},  \tag{A37}\\
S_{D_{s}}(t)=s\left(x_{3}, p_{3}^{+}, 1 / b_{3}\right)+2 \int_{1 / b_{3}}^{t} \frac{d \mu}{\mu} \gamma_{q}, \tag{A38}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\gamma_{q}=-\alpha_{s} / \pi$ is the quark anomalous dimension; the explicit expression of $s(x, Q, 1 / b)$ can be found in the appendix of Ref. [6].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In addition, there are the radiative decay $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow \gamma g g$ and the magnetic dipole transition decay $\Upsilon(1 S)$
    $\rightarrow \gamma \eta_{b}$ [5]. The branching ratio for the radiative decay is $\mathcal{B} r(\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow \gamma g g)=(2.2 \pm 0.6) \%$ [1]. No signals of the magnetic dipole transition decay $\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_{b}$ have been seen experimentally until now.

