

International Journal of Modern Physics E
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

Effects of coupled channels on $c\bar{b}$ masses and decays in NRQM with OGEP

Manjunath Bhat

Department of Physics, St Philomena college, Darbe, Puttur 574 202, India
manjunathbhat61@yahoo.in

Antony Prakash Monteiro

Department of Physics, St Philomena college, Darbe, Puttur 574 202, India
aprakashmonteiro@gmail.com

K. B. Vijaya Kumar

Department of Physics, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri P.O., Mangalore - 574199, India
kbvijayakumar@yahoo.com

Received Day Month Year

Revised Day Month Year

The complete spectrum of $c\bar{b}$ states is obtained in a phenomenological non relativistic quark model(NRQM), which consists of a confinement potential and one gluon exchange potential (OGEP) as effective quark - antiquark potential with coupled channel effects. We make predictions for the radiative decay (E1 and M1) widths and weak decay widths of $c\bar{b}$ states in the framework of NRQM formalism.

Keywords: Mesons; Phenomenological quark models; Non relativistic quark models; Leptonic; semileptonic; radiative decays of mesons, coupled channel effects

PACS: 14.40.-n;12.3.-x,12.39.-Jh,13.20.-v

1. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of masses of $c\bar{b}$ states gives us an opportunity to obtain information on the nature of the strong interaction thereby it throws up an interesting issue and a tantalizing problem. Since the charmed bottom meson $c\bar{b}$ is an intermediate state of the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ mesons, its analysis could give detailed information on the balance between perturbative and non perturbative effects. There are a good number of theoretical models that study leptonic, semi leptonic and hadronic decay channels of $c\bar{b}$ states. Using NRQM formalism we have already studied mass spectra and decay properties of $c\bar{b}$ meson. This work attempts to study the effects of coupled channels on $c\bar{b}$ masses and its decays in NRQM.

The NRQM formalism is found to provide systematic treatment of the perturbative and non perturbative components of QCD at hadronic scale. The masses of the $c\bar{b}$ states are predicted using NRQM whose parameters are tuned to produce the spectra of the observed

charmonium and bottomonium states.

The paper is organized in 4 sections. In sec. 2 we give the description of our model in the theoretical background, the framework of the coupled-channel analysis and description of various decays. In sec. 3 we discuss the results and the conclusions are drawn in sec. 4 with a comparison to other models.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1. The Hamiltonian

In our model we use the Hamiltonian which includes kinetic energy, confinement potential and one gluon potential (OGEP)[1–4].

$$H = K + V_{CONF} + V_{OGEP} \quad (1)$$

where K is the kinetic energy part, V_{CONF} is confinement potential that comes from the non perturbative treatment of QCD, V_{OGEP} is the residual interaction from perturbative treatment of quark-antiquark system.

2.2. The Linear Confinement Potential

In literature different types of confinement potentials are chosen depending upon the unique features of the phenomenological quark model under consideration. They can be harmonic oscillator potential ($V \sim r^2$) or logarithmic potential ($V \sim \ln(r)$) or linear potential ($V \sim r$). We deem it fit to choose linear potential that represents non perturbative effect of QCD that explains quark confinement within the color singlet system [2, 3].

$$V_{CONF}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = -a_c r_{ij} \vec{\lambda}_i \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j \quad (2)$$

where a_c is the confinement strength, λ_i and λ_j are the generators of the color SU(3) group for the i^{th} and j^{th} quarks. Since confinement is of two body system we leave out the spin-orbit contribution for it adds nothing practically to the interaction.

2.3. The Short Distance Behaviour

The one gluon exchange potential(OGEP) describes the short distance behavior. The central part of the two-body potential due to OGEP is [4],

$$V_{OGEP}(\vec{r}_{ij}) = \frac{\alpha_s}{4} \vec{\lambda}_i \cdot \vec{\lambda}_j \left[\frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \frac{\pi}{M_i M_j} \left(\frac{M_i}{M_j} + \frac{M_j}{M_i} + \frac{2}{3} \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j \right) \delta(\vec{r}_{ij}) \right] \quad (3)$$

where the first term represents the residual Coulomb energy and the second term is the chromo-magnetic interaction leading to the hyperfine splitting. σ_i is the Pauli spin operator and α_s is the quark-gluon coupling constant.

The spin-orbit interaction of OGEP is given by,

$$V_{OGEP}^{SO}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4} \lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j \left[\frac{3}{8M_i M_j} \frac{1}{r^3} (\vec{r} \times \vec{p}) \cdot (\vec{\sigma}_i + \vec{\sigma}_j) \right] \quad (4)$$

The following tensor term [5, 6] is considered,

$$V_{OGEP}^{ten}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4} \lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j \left[\frac{1}{4M_i M_j} \frac{1}{r^3} \right] \hat{S}_{ij} \quad (5)$$

where,

$$\hat{S}_{ij} = [3(\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{\sigma}_j \cdot \hat{r}) - \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j] \quad (6)$$

2.4. Coupled Channel Effects

The coupled channel effects (hadronic loop effects) have been neglected by most of the QCD inspired potential models in calculating the masses of mesons. The BD , $B_s D_s$ etc., channels strongly couple to the $c\bar{b}$ states. These channels give rise to mass shifts both below and above BD meson pair creation threshold. Also above threshold these effects lead to the strong decay of B_c meson. These effects in our calculation are introduced explicitly through the 3P_0 pair creation model for the decay of meson $A \rightarrow B + C$ which was proposed by Micu and developed by Le Yaouanc *et al* and others[7–10]. The main assumption of the model is that the strong decay of meson A takes place through the creation of a pair of quark and anti-quark from vacuum with quantum number $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. The created quark anti-quark pair recombines with the quark and anti-quark in the initial meson state forming final meson states i.e, mesons B and C . [7–21].

In the coupled channel model, the full hadronic state is given by [8–10]

$$|\psi\rangle = |A\rangle + \sum_{BC} |BC\rangle \quad (7)$$

for open flavour strong decay $A \rightarrow BC$. Here A , B , C denote mesons.

The wave function $|\psi\rangle$ obeys the equation

$$H|\psi\rangle = M|\psi\rangle \quad (8)$$

The Hamiltonian H for this combined system consists of a valence Hamiltonian H_0 and an interaction Hamiltonian H_I which couples the valence and continuum sectors. The matrix element of the valence-continuum coupling Hamiltonian is given by [9, 10]

$$\langle BC|H_I|A\rangle = h_{fi} \delta(\vec{P}_A - \vec{P}_B - \vec{P}_C) \quad (9)$$

where h_{fi} is the decay amplitude.

The mass shift of meson A due to its continuum coupling to BC can be expressed in terms of partial wave amplitude \mathcal{M}_{LS} [8, 10]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta M_A^{(BC)} &= \int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^2}{E_B + E_C - M_A - i\epsilon} \int d\Omega_p |h_{fi}(p)|^2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^2}{E_B + E_C - M_A - i\epsilon} \sum_{LS} |\mathcal{M}_{LS}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta M_A^{(BC)} = \mathcal{P} \int_0^\infty dp \frac{p^2}{E_B + E_C - M_A} \sum_{LS} |\mathcal{M}_{LS}|^2 + i\pi \left(\frac{p * E_B * E_C}{M_A} \sum_{LS} |\mathcal{M}_{LS}|^2 \right) |_{E_B + E_C = M_A} \quad (10)$$

The decay amplitude h_{fi} can be combined with relativistic phase space to give the differential decay rate, which is

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{A \rightarrow BC}}{d\Omega} = 2\pi P \frac{E_B E_C}{M_A} |h_{fi}|^2 \quad (11)$$

where in the rest frame of A, we have $\vec{P}_A = 0$ and $P = |\vec{P}_B| = |\vec{P}_C|$, and

$$P = \sqrt{[M_A^2 - (M_B + M_C)^2][M_A^2 - (M_B - M_C)^2]} / (2M_A) \quad (12)$$

Finally the total decay rate is given by [8, 10]

$$\Gamma_{A \rightarrow BC} = 2\pi P \frac{E_B E_C}{M_A} \sum_{LS} |\mathcal{M}_{LS}|^2 \quad (13)$$

2.5. Decay Properties

2.5.1. Electric Dipole (E1) Transitions

The partial width for electric dipole (E1) transitions is given by

$$\Gamma_{(i \rightarrow f + \gamma)} = (2J' + 1) \frac{4}{3} Q_b^2 \alpha k_0^3 S_{if}^E |\mathcal{E}_{if}|^2 \quad (14)$$

Here k_0 is the energy of the emitted photon and it is given by $k_0 = \frac{m_a^2 - m_b^2}{2m_a}$. α is the fine structure constant. $Q_b = 1/3$ is the charge of the b quark in units of $|e|$, the statistical factor $S_{if}^E = \max(1, l') \left\{ \begin{matrix} J & 1 & J' \\ l' & s & l \end{matrix} \right\}^2$, J, J' are the total angular momentum of initial and final mesons, l, l' are the orbital angular momentum of initial and final mesons and s is the spin of initial meson.

$$\mathcal{E}_{if} = \frac{3}{k_0} \int_0^\infty r^3 R_{nl}(r) R'_{nl}(r) dr \left[\frac{k_0 r}{2} j_0 \left(\frac{k_0 r}{2} \right) - j_1 \left(\frac{k_0 r}{2} \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

is the radial overlap integral which has the dimension of length, with $R_{nl}(r)$ being the normalized radial wave functions for the corresponding states.

2.5.2. Magnetic Dipole (M1) Transitions

The partial decay width for M1 transitions is [22–28]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{a \rightarrow b + \gamma} = & \delta_{L_a L_b} 4\alpha k_0^3 \frac{E_b(k_0)}{m_a} \left(\frac{Q_c}{m_c} + (-1)^{S_a + S_b} \frac{Q_{\bar{b}}}{m_{\bar{b}}} \right)^2 (2S_a + 1) \times (2S_b + 1) (2J_b + 1) \\ & \left\{ \begin{matrix} S_a & L_a & J_a \\ J_b & 1 & S_b \end{matrix} \right\}^2 \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & S_a & S_b \end{matrix} \right\}^2 \times \left[\int_0^\infty R_{n_b L_b}(r) r^2 j_0(kr/2) R_{n_a L_a}(r) dr \right]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\int_0^\infty dr R_{n_b L_b}(r) r^2 j_0(kr/2) R_{n_a L_a}(r)$ is the overlap integral for unit operator between the coordinate wave functions of the initial and the final meson states, $j_0(kr/2)$ is the spherical Bessel function, m_b is the mass of bottom quark. J_b is the total angular momentum of final meson state.

2.5.3. Weak Decays

Weak decays of B_c meson plays a special role in our understanding of physics of the Standard Model and beyond. Various diagrams can contribute to the weak decays at the quark level. These are mainly a) Spectator quark, b) W-exchange, c) W-annihilation and d) Penguin diagrams. Due to the helicity and color considerations, the W-exchange diagrams are suppressed. Penguin diagrams are also expected to be small in strength. Hence the dominant quark level processes seem to be the process in which one of the quarks(anti-quark) behave like spectator and the W-annihilation[29, 30]. Using this picture, after evaluating the contributing diagrams we get the decay widths for a hadron containing a b quark or c quark as in eqns(18, 19 and 20).

A rough estimate of the B_c weak decay widths can be done by treating the \bar{b} -quark and c -quark decays independently so that B_c decays can be divided into three classes [31, 32]: (i) the \bar{b} -quark decay with spectator c -quark, (ii) the c -quark decay with spectator \bar{b} -quark, and (iii) the annihilation $B_c^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l (c\bar{s}, u\bar{s})$, where $l = e, \mu, \tau$. The total decay width can be written as the sum over partial widths

$$\Gamma(B_c \rightarrow X) = \Gamma_1(\bar{b} \rightarrow X) + \Gamma_2(c \rightarrow X) + \Gamma_3(ann) \quad (17)$$

In the spectator approximation:

$$\Gamma_1(\bar{b} \rightarrow X) = \frac{9G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 m_b^5}{192\pi^3} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(c \rightarrow X) = \frac{5G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2 m_c^5}{192\pi^3} \quad (19)$$

In the above expressions V_{cb} and V_{cs} are the elements of the CKM matrix, $G_F = 1.16637 \times 10^{-5}$ is the Fermi coupling constant, m_c and m_b are the masses of c and b quarks respectively.

The decay of vector meson into charged leptons proceeds through the virtual photon ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow l^+ l^-$). The 3S_1 and 3D_1 states have quantum numbers of a virtual photon, $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ and can annihilate into lepton pairs through one photon. Annihilation widths such as $c\bar{b} \rightarrow l\nu_l$ are given by the expression

$$\Gamma_3(ann) = \frac{G_F^2}{8\pi} |V_{bc}|^2 f_{B_c}^2 M_{B_c} \sum_i m_i^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_i^2}{M_{B_c}^2}\right) C_i \quad (20)$$

where m_i is the mass of the heavier fermion in the given decay channel. For lepton channels $C_i = 1$ while for quark channels $C_i = 3|V_{q\bar{q}}|^2$.

The pseudo scalar decay constant f_{B_c} is defined by [33]

$$\langle 0 | \bar{b}(x) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 c(x) | B_c(k) \rangle = i f_{B_c} V_{cb} k^\mu \quad (21)$$

where k^μ is the four-momentum of the B_c meson. In the non relativistic limit the pseudo scalar decay constant is proportional to the wave function at the origin and is given by van Royen-Weisskopf formula [34]

$$f_{B_c} = \sqrt{\frac{12}{M_{B_c}}} \psi(0) \quad (22)$$

Here $\psi(0)$ is wavefunction at the origin.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mass Spectra

The parameters used in our model are listed in Table 1. We have fixed the parameters using the approach used in our earlier works [1, 3, 35]. We obtain the parameter b by minimizing the expectation value of the Hamiltonian i.e., $\frac{\partial \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\partial b} = 0$. The confinement strength a_c is fixed by the stability condition for variation of mass of the vector meson (B_c^* meson) against the size parameter b . We initially assume a set of values for the parameters α_s , m_b , m_c and we construct a 5×5 matrix and diagonalize the matrix to obtain mass of B_c meson states. Then we tune these parameters to obtain an agreement with the experimental value for the mass of B_c meson.

m_c (MeV)	1525.0
m_b (MeV)	4825.0
b (fm)	0.350
α_s	0.3
a_c MeV fm ⁻¹	175

Table 1: Parameters of the model

We evaluate the bare state masses and shifts due to BD , $B_s D_s$, $B^0 D^0$, $B^* D$, $B_s^* D_s$, $B^* D^*$ and $B_s^* D_s^*$ loops (with $M_B = 5279.26$ MeV, $M_{B_s} = 5366.77$ MeV, $M_{B^0} = 5279.58$ MeV, $M_{B^*} = 5324.6$ MeV, $M_{B_s^*} = 5415.4$ MeV, $M_D = 1869.61$ MeV, $M_{D_s} = 1968.30$ MeV, $M_{D_0} = 1864.84$ MeV, $M_{D^*} = 2006.96$ MeV and $M_{D_s^*} = 2112.1$ MeV).

We consider the mixing between 3P_1 and 1P_1 and also between 3D_2 and 1D_2 eigenstates due to the spin-orbit interaction terms. The mixing yields the B_c mesons with $J = 1$ and $J = 2$ states $P1$, $P1'$, $D2$ and $D2'$. These states are in general represented as

$$|nL'\rangle = |n^1L_J\rangle \cos \theta_{nL} + |n^3L_J\rangle \sin \theta_{nL} \quad (23)$$

$$|nL\rangle = -|n^1L_J\rangle \sin \theta_{nL} + |n^3L_J\rangle \cos \theta_{nL} \quad (24)$$

Bare $c\bar{b}$ State								
$n^{2S+1}L_J$	BD	$B_s D_s$	$B_0 D_0$	$B^* D$	$B_s^* D_s$	$B^* D^*$	$B_s^* D_s^*$	Total
1^1S_0	0	0	0	-5.661	-5.033	-10.434	-9.328	-30.456
1^3S_1	-2.046	-1.805	-2.052	-3.955	-3.496	-7.293	-6.488	-27.135
1^3P_0	-57.922	-57.406	-57.946	0	0	-19.088	-18.932	-211.294
1^1P_1	0	0	0	-18.49	-18.393	-37.603	-37.901	-112.387
1^3P_1	0	0	0	-38.390	-38.049	0	0	-76.439
1^3P_2	-40.618	-40.314	-40.632	0	0	0	0	-121.557
2^1S_0	0	0	0	-1.547	-1.361	-2.837	-2.523	-8.268
2^3S_1	-0.546	-0.476	-0.548	-1.929	-1.711	-1.049	-0.920	-7.179
1^3D_1	-30.675	-30.312	-30.682	-15.326	-15.146	-3.077	-3.044	-128.262
1^1D_2	0	0	0	-3.147	-3.111	-27.643	-27.49	-61.391
1^3D_2	0	0	0	-27.214	-27.552	-69.486	-68.957	-193.209
1^3D_3	-40.753	-40.359	-40.772	-54.308	-53.783	-20.835	-20.606	-230.663
2^3P_0	-148.72	-146.395	-148.828	0	0	-48.589	-47.903	-540.435
2^1P_1	0	0	0	-25.081	-24.744	-49.343	-48.741	-147.909
2^3P_1	0	0	0	-98.623	-97.088	0	0	-195.711
2^3P_2	-79.114	-77.890	-79.171	0	0	0	0	-236.175

Table 2: Mass shifts (in MeV).

State	This work	Ref.[36]	Ref. [37]	Ref. [38]	Ref. [33]	Ref.[39]	Ref.[40]	Ref.[41]
$n^{2S+1}L_J$								
1^1S_0	6276	6247	6253	6260	6264	6270	6271	6286
1^3S_1	6347	6308	6317	6340	6337	6332	6338	6341
1^3P_0	6654	6689	6683	6680	6700	6699	6706	6701
$1P$	6683	6738	6717	6730	6730	6734	6741	6737
$1P'$	6729	6757	6729	6740	6736	6749	6750	6.760
1^3P_2	6732	6773	6743	6760	6747	6762	6768	6772
2^1S_0	6853	6853	6867	6850	6856	6835	6855	6882
2^3S_1	6881	6886	6902	6900	6899	6881	6887	6914
1^3D_1	6990		7008	7010	7012	7072	7028	7019
$1D$	6985		7001	7020	7012	7077	7041	7028
$1D'$	7010		7016	7030	7009	7079	7036	7028
1^3D_3	7021		7007	7040	7005	7081	7045	7032
2^3P_0	7107		7088	7100	7108	7091	7122	
$2P$	7123		7113	7140	7135	7126	7145	
$2P'$	7128		7124	7150	7142	7145	7150	
2^3P_2	7136		7134	7160	7153	7156	7164	

Table 3: B_c meson mass spectrum (in MeV).

$$J = L = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where θ_{nL} is a mixing angle, and the primed state has the heavier mass. For $L = J = 1$ we have mixing of P states, with mixing angles $\theta_{1P} = 0.4^\circ$ and $\theta_{2P} = 0.05^\circ$. Similarly for $L = J = 2$ we have mixing of D states, with mixing angle $\theta_{1D} = 0.05^\circ$.

Table 3 shows the results for the masses of the $c\bar{b}$ states. The calculated masses are compared with other theoretical models and with available experimental data. Overall we obtain a good fit to the spectrum. The hyperfine mass splitting of singlet and triplet states $m(n^3S_1) - m(^1S_0)$ can shed light on the spin dependence of the energy levels. We obtain a hyperfine splitting of 71 MeV which is in good agreement with the other theoretical models. This difference is justified by calculating the $^3S_1 - ^1S_0$ splitting of the ground state which is given by

$$M(^3S_1) - M(^1S_0) = \frac{32\pi\alpha_s|\psi(0)|^2}{9m_c m_b} \quad (25)$$

We predict a mass of 6853 MeV for the first radial excitation $B_c(2S)$ which is in good agreement with the experimental value $6842 \pm 4 \pm 5$ MeV of $B_c(2S)$ [42]. The first radial excitation $B_c(2S)$ is heavier than $B_c(1S)$ by 577 MeV. The hyperfine splitting of 2S states is 28 MeV. The difference between the $B_c^*(2S)$ and $B_c^*(1S)$ masses turns out to be 534 MeV.

3.2. Decay Properties

The dominant multipole transitions $E1$ and $M1$ have been studied and this helps us to extract information about new meson states and discover them. Radiative transitions are very important and interesting because the charge structure of the mesons and their quantum numbers can be determined through these transitions. We consider $E1$ and $M1$ radiative transitions non relativistically for B_c meson states. This potential model approach provides detailed predictions which are further compared with experimental data. The possible $E1$ decay modes listed in Table 4 are calculated and values of widths are given in the same. Though most of the predictions qualitatively agree with other theoretical models, some differ. These differences are due to different phase spaces arising from the different mass predictions. Wavefunction effects also play a major role in determining decay widths. The choice of $^3P_1 - ^1P_1$ mixing angles in different models is also a cause for the significant difference between the theoretical models in case of transitions involving $P1$ and $P1'$ states.

The radiative $M1$ transition rates of B_c meson states are calculated and the results are presented in Table 5. The $M1$ decay widths for allowed transitions ($n^3S_1 \rightarrow n'^1S_0 + \gamma$, $n = n'$) have been calculated and are compared with other non relativistic quark models [33, 41, 43]. The decay widths of hindered transitions ($n \neq n'$) are zero in the non relativistic limit due to the orthogonality of the initial and final state wave functions. The hindered $M1$ transition rates are enhanced in this model by incorporating relativistic effects to the wavefunction.

We have calculated weak decay widths of B_c meson. The decay widths are calculated using $|V_{bc}| = 0.044$ [44] and $|V_{cs}| = 0.975$ [44]. Calculated values of $\Gamma_1(\bar{b} \rightarrow X)$ is 9.628×10^{-4} eV, $\Gamma_2(c \rightarrow X)$ is 7.712×10^{-4} eV and Γ_3 is 3.56×10^{-6} eV. Adding these results we get the total decay width $\Gamma(\text{total}) = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 = 18.104 \times 10^{-4}$ eV corresponding to a life time of $\tau = 0.364$ ps. The values of decay constant in various theoretical models are listed in Table 6 and in Table 7 we compare the life time of B_c meson calculated in our model with other models.

Transition	k_0 MeV	This Work keV	Ref. [39] keV	Ref. [33] keV	Ref. [37] keV	Ref.[41] keV
$1^3P_0 \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	307	30.7	75.5	79.2	65.3	74.2
$1P \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	336	49.4	87.1	99.5	77.8	75.8
$1P' \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	382	74.3	13.7	0.1	8.1	26.2
$1^3P_2 \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	385	112.7	122	112.6	102.9	126
$1P \rightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	407	31.9	18.4	0	11.6	32.5
$1P' \rightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	453	44.5	147	56.4	131.1	128
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^3P_0\gamma$	227	8.0	5.53	7.8	7.7	9.6
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1P\gamma$	198	8.5	7.65	14.5	12.8	13.3
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1P'\gamma$	152	3.9	0.74	0	1.0	2.5
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^3P_2\gamma$	149	6.3	7.59	17.7	14.8	14.5
$2^1S_0 \rightarrow 1P\gamma$	170	5.0	1.05	0	1.9	6.4
$2^1S_0 \rightarrow 1P'\gamma$	124	1.9	4.40	5.2	15.9	13.1
$2^3P_0 \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	760	0		21.9	16.1	
$2P \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	776	0		22.1	15.3	
$2P' \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	781	0		2.1	2.5	
$2^3P_2 \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	789	0		25.8	19.2	
$2P \rightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	847	0			3.1	
$2P' \rightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	852	0			20.1	
$2^3P_0 \rightarrow 2^3S_1\gamma$	197	15.0	34.0	41.2	25.5	
$2P \rightarrow 2^3S_1\gamma$	242	31.7	45.3	54.3	32.1	
$2P' \rightarrow 2^3S_1\gamma$	247	48.2	10.4	5.4	5.9	
$2^3P_2 \rightarrow 2^3S_1\gamma$	255	49.5	75.3	73.8	49.4	
$2P \rightarrow 2^1S_0\gamma$	270	47.5	13.8		8.1	
$2P' \rightarrow 2^1S_0\gamma$	275	68.7	90.5		58.0	

Table 4: E1 transition rates of B_c meson.

The $c\bar{b}$ states which lie below BD threshold are stable against strong decays. However, the states which are above the BD threshold undergo two body strong decays. We have calculated strong decay widths of $c\bar{b}$ states which lie above the BD threshold using the equation (13). The decay widths are calculated within the 3P_0 pair creation model. The results are presented in Table 8.

Transition	k_0	This work	Ref. [41]	Ref. [43]	Ref. [39]	Ref.[33]
	$\Gamma(keV)$	$\Gamma(keV)$	$\Gamma(keV)$	$\Gamma(keV)$	$\Gamma(keV)$	$\Gamma(keV)$
$1\ ^3S_1 \rightarrow 1\ ^1S_0\gamma$	71	0.059	0.190	0.060	0.073	0.135
$2\ ^3S_1 \rightarrow 2\ ^1S_0\gamma$	28	0.0017	0.043	0.010	0.030	0.029

Table 5: **M1 transition rates for the B_c meson.**

Parameter	This work	Ref.[45]	Ref. [46]	Ref.[47]	Ref.[48]
f_{B_c}	439.735	500	512	479	440 ± 20

Table 6: **Comparison of predictions for the pseudo scalar decay constant of the B_c meson.**

This work	Experiment[44]	Ref.[31]	Ref.[37]	Ref.[49]	Ref. [50]
0.379	0.452 ± 0.033	0.47	0.55 ± 0.15	0.50	0.75

Table 7: **Comparison of life time of B_c meson (in ps).**

Transition	$\Gamma(MeV)$
$2\ ^1P_1 \rightarrow B^* + D$	54.599
$2\ ^3P_1 \rightarrow B^* + D$	2.145
$2\ ^3P_2 \rightarrow B + D$	99.386
$2\ ^3P_2 \rightarrow B^0 + D^0$	108.185
$2\ ^3P_2 \rightarrow B^* + D$	31.247
$1\ ^3D_2 \rightarrow B^* + D$	0.198
$1\ ^3D_2 \rightarrow B_s^* + D_s$	5.837
$1\ ^3D_2 \rightarrow B^* + D^*$	2.123
$1\ ^3D_2 \rightarrow B_s^* + D_s^*$	20.885

Table 8: **Strong decay widths of the B_c meson.**

4. Conclusions

From the study of mass spectra and decay properties of $c\bar{b}$ states using a non relativistic quark model with coupled channel effects we draw the following conclusions

- (1) Our results for mass spectra for $c\bar{b}$ states with coupled channel effects included for ground states agree within a few MeV, when compared to other theoretical models. For calculation of mass spectrum, the coupled channel effects are notably visible.
- (2) Our calculated value of the hyperfine splitting of the ground state vector and pseudo scalar $c\bar{b}$ states 71 MeV, agree with the value predicted by Penin et al, $M(B_c^*) - M(B_c) = 50 \pm 17(th)$ MeV[51].
- (3) The ground state B_c and B_c^* meson masses lie within the ranges $6194\text{ MeV} < M_{B_c} < 6292\text{ MeV}$ and $6284\text{ MeV} < M_{B_c^*} < 6357\text{ MeV}$ as quoted by Kwong and Rosner[22].

- (4) While calculating $M1$ hindered transition rates, we find relativistic effects play an important role. The zero rates of hindered transitions are due to wavefunction orthogonality. The inclusion of the relativistic effects may increase the values of hindered transition rates.
- (5) We find, our calculated $E1$ decay rates are in good agreement with the other theoretical model calculations. The differences found in decay rates are ascribed to differences in mass predictions, wavefunction effects and mixing angles.
- (6) Branching ratio for b -quark decays is 53% , for c -quark decays 42% and for annihilation channel it is 5% in estimating the weak decay widths.
- (7) The life time of $c\bar{b}$ state, f_{B_c} and strong decay widths predicted in this work are found to be in good agreement with experimental values as well as with other theoretical predictions.

The NRQM in this study has proven successful in describing B_c meson properties. All the observed states can be successfully accommodated in our model.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (APM) is grateful to BRNS, DAE, India for granting the project and JRF (37(3)/14/21/2014BRNS).

References

1. K. Vijaya Kumar, B. Hanumaiah and S. Pepin, *Eur.Phys. J A* **19** (2004) 247.
2. Bhavyashri, S. Sarangi, G. Saldanha and K. B. V. Kumar, *Pramana J. Phys.* **70** (2008) 75.
3. K. B. V. Kumar *et al.*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **22** (2009) 4209, [arXiv:0811.4308 \[hep-ph\]](#).
4. A. De Rújula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, *Phys. Rev. D* **12** (Jul 1975) 147.
5. A. Buchmann, Y. Yamauchi and A. Faessler, *Phys. Lett. B* **225** (1989) 301 .
6. A. Valcarce, A. Buchmann, F. Fernández and A. Faessler, *Phys. Rev. C* **51** (Mar 1995) 1480.
7. L. Micu, *Nuclear Physics B* **10** (1969) 521 .
8. J.-F. Liu and G.-J. Ding, *The European Physical Journal C* **72** (2012) 1.
9. T. Barnes and E. S. Swanson, *Phys. Rev. C* **77** (May 2008) 055206.
10. E. S. Ackleh, T. Barnes and E. S. Swanson, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (Dec 1996) 6811.
11. N. A. Trnqvist, *Annals of Physics* **123** (1979) 1 .
12. S. Ono and N. A. Törnqvist, *Z. Phys. C - Particles and Fields* **23** (1984) 59.
13. N. A. Törnqvist, *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields* **68** (1995) 647.
14. N. A. Törnqvist and M. Roos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (Mar 1996) 1575.
15. E. van Beveren, G. Rupp, T. A. Rijken and C. Dullemond, *Phys. Rev. D* **27** (Apr 1983) 1527.
16. K. Heikkilä, N. A. Törnqvist and S. Ono, *Phys. Rev. D* **29** (Jan 1984) 110.
17. E. van Beveren, C. Dullemond and G. Rupp, *Phys. Rev. D* **21** (Feb 1980) 772.
18. T. Barnes, F. E. Close, P. R. Page and E. S. Swanson, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (Apr 1997) 4157.

12 REFERENCES

19. N. A. Törnqvist, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **16** (1985) 503.
20. P. Geiger and N. Isgur, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (Aug 1991) 799.
21. H.-Y. Zhou and Y.-P. Kuang, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (Aug 1991) 756.
22. W. Kwong and J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. D* **38** (Jul 1988) 279.
23. V. Novikov, L. Okun, M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, M. Voloshin and V. Zakharov, *Phys. Rep.* **41** (1978) 1 .
24. N. Brambilla *et al.*, *CERN Yellow Report*, CERN-2005-005 (2004) [hep-ph/0412158](#).
25. W. Caswell and G. Lepage, *Phys. Lett. B* **167** (1986) 437 .
26. G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G. P. Lepage, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (Feb 1995) 1125, [hep-ph/9407339](#).
27. N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo, *Phys. Lett. B* **470** (1999) 215 , [hep-ph/9910238](#).
28. B. A. Thacker and G. P. Lepage, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (Jan 1991) 196.
29. G. Altarelli, N. Cabibbo, G. Corb, L. Maiani and G. Martinelli, *Nuclear Physics B* **208** (1982) 365 .
30. A. Ali and C. Greub, *Physics Letters B* **259** (1991) 182 .
31. A. A. El-Hady, M. A. K. Lodhi and J. P. Vary, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (Mar 1999) 094001, [arXiv:hep-ph/9807225](#).
32. S. S. Gershtein, A. K. Likhoded and S. Slabospitsky, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **06** (1991) 2309.
33. E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (Jun 1994) 5845, [hep-ph/9402210](#).
34. R. Royen and V. F. Weisskopf, *Il Nuovo Cimento A* **50** (1967) 617.
35. K. Vijaya Kumar, A. Rath and S. Khadkikar, *Pramana* **48** (1997) 997.
36. S. N. Gupta and J. M. Johnson, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (Jan 1996) 312, [arXiv:hep-ph/9511267](#).
37. S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded and A. V. Tkabladze, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (Apr 1995) 3613.
38. J. Zeng, J. W. Van Orden and W. Roberts, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (Nov 1995) 5229, [arXiv:hep-ph/9412269](#).
39. D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (Jan 2003) 014027, [hep-ph/0210381v2](#).
40. S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (Jul 1985) 189.
41. L. P. Fulcher, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (Aug 1999) 074006, [hep-ph/9806444](#).
42. (ATLAS Collaboration) Collaboration (G. Aad *et al.*), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (Nov 2014) 212004, [arXiv:1407.1032 \[hep-ex\]](#).
43. S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded and A. V. Tkabladze, *Physics-Uspekhi* **38** (1995) 1, [hep-ph/9504319](#).
44. K. Olive *et al.*, *Chinese Physics C* **38** (2014) 090001.
45. W. Buchmüller and S. H. H. Tye, *Phys. Rev. D* **24** (Jul 1981) 132.
46. A. Martin, *Phys. Lett. B* **93** (1980) 338 .
47. C. Quigg and J. L. Rosner, *Phys. Lett. B* **71** (1977) 153 .
48. C. Davies, K. Hornbostel, G. Lepage, A. Lidsey, J. Shigemitsu and J. Sloan, *Phys. Lett. B* **382** (1996) 131 , [arXiv:hep-lat/9602020](#).

REFERENCES 13

49. V. Kiselev (2003) [hep-ph/0308214](#).
50. S. Godfrey, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (Sep 2004) 054017, [hep-ph/0406228](#).
51. A. Penin, A. Pineda, V. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Physics Letters B* **593** (2004) 124