Dissipative Effects on Inertial-Range Statistics at High Reynolds numbers
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Using the unique capabilities of the Variable Density Turbulence Tunnel at the Max Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization, Göttingen, we report experimental results on classical grid turbulence that uncover fine, yet important details of the structure functions in the inertial range. This was made possible by measuring extremely long time series of up to $10^{10}$ samples of the turbulent fluctuating velocity, which corresponds to $O(10^7)$ large eddy turnover times. These classical grid measurements were conducted in a well-controlled environment at a wide range of high Reynolds numbers from $R_\lambda = 110$ up to $R_\lambda = 1600$, using both traditional hot-wire probes as well as NSTAP probes developed at Princeton University. We found that deviations from ideal scaling are anchored to the small scales and that dissipation influences the inertial-range statistics at scales larger than the near-dissipation range.

One of the distinguishing features of turbulent flows is the deviation of its statistics from Gaussian, resulting in the frequent occurrence of extreme events. Despite decades of research, an exact prediction or description of the statistics of these extreme events based upon the governing Navier-Stokes equations by N\"{a}ver \[20\] and Stokes \[33\] is still absent. The rate of extreme events, such as strong wind gusts in natural turbulent flows are connected to the tails of the probability density function of the longitudinal velocity increments $f(\delta u, r)$. The moments of this statistical object are the longitudinal structure functions of $n$th order $S_n = \langle (u(x) - u(x + r))^n \rangle$. Here, $u(x)$ is the longitudinal velocity component aligned with the separation $r$ and $x$ the position. One of the few exact results that can be derived from the Navier-Stokes equations under the assumptions of stationarity, homogeneity and isotropy concerns the third-order longitudinal structure function,

$$S_3(r) - 6\nu \frac{d}{dr}S_2(r) = -\frac{4}{5}\langle \epsilon \rangle r + q(r). \quad (1)$$

This relation was derived originally by de Kármán & Howarth \[15\] for correlation functions and reformulated by Kolmogorov \[18\] in terms of structure functions. Here, $q(r)$ is a source term containing the information about energy injection, $\nu$ the kinematic viscosity and $\langle \epsilon \rangle$ the mean energy dissipation rate. In the limit of infinite Reynolds numbers, $\nu \rightarrow 0$, the second term on the left-hand side of the equation vanishes as long as the derivative remains finite. Following the classical cascade picture by Richardson \[27\] and the original arguments by Kolmogorov \[17\], there is an intermediate range of scales where neither the energy injection at the large scales nor energy dissipation at small scales influence the statistics of the flow. In the inertial range, one obtains Kolmogorov’s famous four-fifths law,

$$S_3(r) = -\frac{4}{5}\langle \epsilon \rangle r. \quad (2)$$

The variation of the third-order structure function with the Reynolds number can be seen in figure 1. With the Reynolds number approaching higher values, an inertial range emerges and the third-order structure function appears to fulfill Kolmogorov’s famous four-fifths law.

Further assuming self-similarity of the turbulent flow at different scales, one can generalize the result to structure functions of arbitrary orders $n$, with unknown constants $C_n$,

$$S_n(r) = C_n (\epsilon r)^{n/3}. \quad (3)$$

In this framework, the scaling exponents of the structure functions $\zeta_n = n/3$ are simply a linear function of the order. For real turbulent flows, the assumption of self-similarity does not hold and numerous refined models have been proposed to describe structure functions in the inertial range. Kolmogorov \[19\] allowed for intermittency of the energy dissipation rate following a comment by Landau & Lifschitz \[20\] resulting in the K62 framework.
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The $\beta$-model by Frisch et al. \cite{12} applied concepts of fractal dimensions to turbulent flows, being refined by Benzi et al. \cite{4} in the random-$\beta$-model. The $p$-model by Meneveau & Sreenivasan \cite{24} and Meneveau & Sreenivasan et al. \cite{25} allows for unequal distribution of energy in the eddy-breaking process of the energy cascade. The $\gamma$-model by Andrews et al. \cite{1} proposed a $p$-distribution of the energy dissipation, while Kida \cite{16} assumed a log-stable distribution. She & Lévéque \cite{29} built a parameter-free model based upon a hierarchy of dissipation moments. This was extended by Dubrulle \cite{10}. All of these models have in common power-law scaling of the structure functions in the inertial range, with varying predictions for the scaling exponents as a nonlinear function of the order. Assuming the validity of these predictions one should be able to extract scaling exponents from the data by computing logarithmic derivatives $d\log(S_n)/d\log(r) = \zeta_n$. A power-law behavior here would correspond to a horizontal line in a graph of $d\log(S_n)/d\log(r)$ against $r$. All deviations from this line are connected to deviations from power-law behavior (e.g. \cite{2}). Such a graph of our data is shown in figure 2. Despite the large Reynolds numbers however, there seems to be no obvious approach to true scaling.

This lack of observable scaling is a common feature in experimental turbulent flows and led to an extraction method developed by Benzi et al. \cite{3} called Extended Self-Similarity (ESS). Rather than scaling with respect to the separation $r$, it is proposed that structure functions scale with respect to each other, namely $S_n \propto S_{m,n}$, with the relative scaling exponents $\zeta_{m,n}$. Note that different definitions of $S_m$ can influence the resulting scaling exponents \cite{33}.

We performed the experiments in the Variable Density Turbulence Tunnel (the VDTT) at the Max Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization \cite{6}. The wind tunnel was a pressurizable closed-circuit wind tunnel, in which either air or sulfur hexafluoride (SF$_6$) circulated. By changing the pressure of the gas, we could adjust its kinematic viscosity, $\nu$, and thus the Reynolds number, $R_\lambda$, without changing the geometrical boundary conditions or the mean speed of the flow. We set the pressure to values between 1 and 15 bar, and so prepared viscosities between $1.55 \cdot 10^{-5} m^2/s$ and $1.42 \cdot 10^{-7} m^2/s$.

To produce turbulence, we used a bi-planar grid of square grid bars of mesh spacing of 1.8 cm that blocked about 40% of the cross section of the tunnel. The mean speed, $U$, of the flow was kept constant at 4.2 m/s. The temperature of the gas was stable to within 0.2 K over arbitrary times and was set to fixed values between 22.0°C and 23.4°C.

The velocity of the gas was measured at either 7.1 m or 8.3 m downstream of the grid with the NSTAP probes developed at Princeton university \cite{2, 35}. These were micro-fabricated hot-wire probes, manufactured in two different ways such that they had either 30 or 60 micron long sensor elements. In addition to the data acquired with the NSTAPs, we also acquired data with larger hot-wire probes of traditional construction produced by Dan-tec Dynamics, 0.45 or 1.25 mm long. The data acquired with the Dantec probes are not shown in this paper, but they gave results consistent with those from the NSTAP and the smaller Dantec probes. The longer datasets presented in this paper were acquired in conjunction with those shown in our paper on the decay of turbulence \cite{33}, but the present data are much longer as needed for the different purpose of this paper - providing sufficient statistics to uncover details of the inertial-range statistics.

The quantity measured by the hot-wire probes is the velocity time series $v(t)$ observed at a single position, low-pass filtered at either 30 kHz or 100 kHz, and sampled at either 60 kHz or 200 kHz, respectively. The turbulence intensity $u'$ of the tunnel, was between 1.6% and 3.6% of the mean speed $U$, which is small enough safely to invoke Taylor’s hypothesis \cite{34} in order to convert the functions of time, $v(t)$, to functions of space, so that $v(tU) = v(x)$.

We divide the various data into two categories, which
we call datasets A and B. Each dataset is comprised of measurements made at different Reynolds numbers. What separates the datasets is the length of the velocity records. Dataset A consisted of 14 measurements, which were each between 6 and 9 hours long, or $O(10^6)$ integral scales long. Dataset B consisted of 4 measurements, which were each between 2 and 3 days long, or $O(10^7)$ integral scales long. Take note that the amount of data obtained in these datasets exceeds any comparable experiment by an order of magnitude, making it possible to investigate fine details in the inertial-range structure of turbulence. The integral length scale for all flows was about 0.1 m. Altogether, the data sets span Taylor Reynolds numbers between 110 and 1600.

The aforementioned models considered statistics in the inertial range where, as in the classical cascade model, neither dissipation nor energy injection play a role. However, in real turbulence, there is no sharp distinctive scale between the ranges where the statistics change. Even well above the Kolmogorov length, dissipation influences the statistics, leading to the so-called near-dissipation range [14]. A successful model describing the effects of dissipation in the near-dissipation regime [12] has been developed by Meneveau [23]. He noted that an order-dependent viscous cutoff-scale leads to deviations from scaling behavior in the near-dissipation range that grow larger as the Reynolds number increases. There are numerous alternative models for the near-dissipation range for example by She [28], Biferale [5] and Chevillard et al. [4]. Additional detailed investigations of the transition between the dissipative range and the inertial range have been conducted by e.g. [11] and [9] in terms of the bottleneck effect of the energy spectrum. It has been further shown that ringing in the energy spectrum also affects the transition between different ranges of structure functions and their Fourier-space representations ([21], [22] and [8]). We do not discriminate between the models and chose to compare our data with Meneveau’s multifractal model for convenience. In the multifractal model, the structure functions are functions of both $r/\eta$ and $r/L$,

$$S_n = f_n \left( \frac{r}{\eta} \right) \left( \frac{r}{L} \right)^{\zeta_n}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Using the the predictions of the $p$-model by Meneveau & Sreenivasan [24] and Meneveau & Sreenivasan [23], Meneveau [23] computes the functional form of $f_n(r/\eta)$. The scaling exponents of the $p$-model are nonlinear functions of $n$ [22],

$$\zeta_n = 1 - \log_2 \left( p^{n/3} + (1 - p)^{n/3} \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Allowing for an order-dependent viscous cutoff scale, Meneveau [23] computes the functional form for the structure functions as

$$f_n(r/\eta) = \left( 1 + \left( c_a \frac{r}{\eta} \left( c_b \cdot R_\lambda^{1/2} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha_p}{\alpha_p}} \right)^{-2} \right)^{(\zeta_n-n)/2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

with $c_a = 0.1 \cdot 15^{-0.75}$, $c_b = 1/13$ and

$$\alpha_p = \frac{p^{n/3} \log_2 p + (1 - p)^{n/3} \log_2 (1 - p)}{p^{n/3} + (1 - p)^{n/3}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

As in the original publication, we set the free parameter $p$ to 0.7. $p$ governs how unequally energy is distributed among eddies breaking during the turbulent cascade.

Figure 3 shows ESS-plots from the multifractal model. We present the logarithmic derivative of the fourth-order structure function by the second-order structure function as given by the multifractal model [23] using the $p$-model [24] for the scaling exponents and turbulence parameters from several VDTT-datasets for $R_\lambda$ between 110 and 1600. The model predicts a single oscillation with a minimum at about $20\eta$.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r/\eta$</th>
<th>$d\log(S_4)/d\log(S_p)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^1$</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^2$</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Prediction for the shape of the logarithmic derivative of the fourth-order structure function with respect to the second-order structure function as given by the multifractal model [23] using the $p$-model [24] for the scaling exponents and turbulence parameters from several VDTT-datasets for $R_\lambda$ between 110 and 1600. The model predicts a single oscillation with a minimum at about $20\eta$. |
FIG. 4: Logarithmic derivative of the fourth-order structure with respect to the second-order structure function, obtained by NSTAP probes. The thick lines correspond to dataset B, thin lines to dataset A. The data span Taylor-scale Reynolds numbers from 110 to 1600. For comparison, the prediction of the model by She & Lévêque [29] is indicated by a dashed line. Note that the K41 prediction is 2. The near-dissipation range deviations are in agreement with the predictions of the multifractal model by Meneveau [23]; there is an overshoot at about 20η (○). However, more structural details are observable in the inertial range, being uncovered by the large amount of statistics. These additional oscillatory features are marked by □, ◊ and △.

It has since been a commonly accepted procedure to extract scaling exponents from ESS plots by averaging over scales that are reasonably far away from the Kolmogorov scale, in accordance with the multifractal predictions. However, the high-Reynolds number, extremely long datasets from the VDTT imply the need for a more refined interpretation. Figure 4 shows the logarithmic derivative of the fourth-order structure function with respect to the second-order structure function for the whole range of Reynolds numbers between \( R_\lambda = 100 \) and \( R_\lambda = 1600 \) in datasets A and B. In the near-dissipation range, between 10η and 30η the data are in good qualitative agreement with the predictions of the multifractal model as seen in 3. For instance, with increasing Reynolds number, the minimum in the near-dissipation range (○) grows significantly in depth. At smaller scales, the NSTAP data starts to get distorted by electric noise from the CTA measurement system and temporal and spatial filtering effects begin to influence the probe response. Nonetheless, our experiments uncover features not reported in the literature. While the multifractal model predicts a monotonic approach from the near-dissipation range minimum toward the value of the ratio of the scaling exponents, the VDTT data show an overshoot (□) at around 70η, which is approximately independent of Reynolds number. In contrast to the expectations, the data from the VDTT do not approach a constant value at higher \( r/\eta \). Instead, an only weakly Reynolds-number dependent and persistent substructure in the inertial range becomes visible.

Figure 5 shows the positions, Π, of the substructures in figure 4 in terms of the Kolmogorov scale η as a function of the Reynolds number \( R_\lambda \). The solid black line is 0.5 · \( L/\eta \), predicted by our experiments. Circles represent the location of the near-dissipation range minimum, squares the first maximum, diamonds the second minimum and triangles the second maximum. Open symbols correspond to dataset A, filled symbols to dataset B. Once the Reynolds number is high enough, the features denoted by circles, squares and diamonds and triangles appear to be only weakly dependent on the Reynolds number.

FIG. 5: The positions Π of the extrema in the logarithmic derivatives of figure 4 in terms of the Kolmogorov scale η as a function of the Reynolds number \( R_\lambda \). The solid black line is 0.5 · \( L/\eta \), predicted by our experiments. Circles represent the location of the near-dissipation range minimum, squares the first maximum, diamonds the second minimum and triangles the second maximum. Open symbols correspond to dataset A, filled symbols to dataset B. Once the Reynolds number is high enough, the features denoted by circles, squares and diamonds and triangles appear to be only weakly dependent on the Reynolds number.

These findings have significant implications for the interpretation of the statistical behavior of turbulent flows.
The persistent structures in the ESS-plots are in contradiction with the prediction of a power-law behavior in the inertial range, and imply that there is an oscillatory component in the structure functions themselves, albeit small, as an order-dependent cutoff scale as in the multifractal model is by construction unable to include multiple overshoots. A consequence is that with different choices of averaging intervals the same data yield different scaling exponents. The non-power law behavior demands refined models of the structure functions. The maxima and minima we find in the inertial range are not strongly dependent on the Reynolds number and are anchored to the small scales $\eta$. This unexpected behavior shows that far away from the dissipation range, far even from the near-dissipation range, dissipative effects still qualitatively affect the statistics of the flow and it implies meso-scale organization of small-scale structures. These findings might be associated with more complex cascade processes hinted in [25]. It is not clear from our data how far up from the dissipation range in scale the oscillations persist. Future investigations should measure at even higher Reynolds number to achieve a higher ratio of $L/\eta$ and to uncover more features of the inertial-range statistics. With an active grid installed, the VDTT is able to achieve Reynolds number significantly higher than the classic grid data presented here and will be such a further step.
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