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Monolayer films of FeSe grown on SrTiO₃ substrates exhibit significantly higher superconducting transition temperatures than those of bulk FeSe. Interaction of electrons in the FeSe layer with dipolar SrTiO₃ phonons has been suggested as the cause of the enhanced transition temperature. In this paper we systematically study the coupling of SrTiO₃ longitudinal optical phonons to the FeSe electron, including also electron-electron Coulomb interactions at the random phase approximation level. We find that the electron-phonon interaction between FeSe and SrTiO₃ substrate is almost entirely screened by the electronic fluctuations in the FeSe monolayer, so that the net electron-phonon interaction is very weak and unlikely to lead to superconductivity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery of superconductivity, or its signatures at temperatures of orders 70K (tunneling measurements) and 100K (in situ four-point measurements) in monolayers of FeSe grown on the (001) and (111) surfaces of niobium-doped SrTiO₃ (STO) challenges our understanding of superconductivity in the pnictide compounds and has stimulated intense research activity. Monolayer FeSe on STO is heavily electron doped relative to bulk FeSe⁴. Surface potassium doping of freestanding FeSe films⁶ produces transition temperatures as high as 45K, and systematic variation of carrier concentration using gate doping with liquid dielectrics reveals that the high transition temperature appears at the point where the doping is large enough to eliminate the zone center hole pockets⁷. However, the highest transition temperatures induced by pure electron doping are about 45K, still notably less than the 70K or 100K reported for monolayer FeSe on STO, and recent studies of monolayer FeSe on anatase TiO₂ report similarly high transition temperatures⁸, strongly suggesting that an additional substrate-specific transition occurs. One clue to the nature of the substrate-specific interactions is provided by recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements⁹, which reveal “replica” bands, images of the FeSe conduction band shifted up in binding energy by an amount comparable to one of the longitudinal optical (LO) phonon energies in SrTiO₃. These bands are attributed to interaction of electrons in the FeSe with very long wavelength optical phonons in the SrTiO₃.

Theoretical papers have appeared analyzing the interfacial enhancement of the Tc and the presence of replica bands in monolayer FeSe on STO via the electron - LO phonon interaction¹⁰,¹¹. However, these studies focus mainly on the electron phonon interaction, neglecting the Coulomb interaction between the FeSe electrons. This interaction may screen the electron-phonon interaction. In an extreme antiadiabatic limit and with Thomas-Fermi screening, Gor’kov¹² recently studied the electron phonon interactions in the FeSe/STO system and argued that the LO phonons from STO are not enough to induce such a high Tc found in the FeSe/STO system.

In this paper, we present an analysis that treats the STO LO phonons and the FeSe Coulomb interaction on an equal footing. We find that although the LO phonons in STO generates an attractive potential, it is strongly screened by the electrons in FeSe layer, so that the electron-phonon interaction suppressed, producing neither replica bands nor an appreciable contribution to superconductivity for reasonable parameters. This is similar to the result found in Inkinson and Anderson on plasmon-mediated superconductivity¹³.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the screened electron phonon interaction in FeSe/STO. Section III gives a RPA level analysis of the total interaction. Section IV discusses the 2D plasmons in FeSe. Section V analyses the net phonon contribution to the electron-electron interaction. In section VI we discuss the possibility of replica bands. Finally Section VII is a summary and conclusion. Appendices give details of derivations.

II. ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION

We consider a monolayer of FeSe grown on top of a semi-infinite SrTiO₃ crystal. We take the STO to occupy the z < 0 half-plane and assume that the electrons in the FeSe occupy a layer of negligible thickness at a distance z₁ > 0 from the surface. In the actual system, z₁ ≈ 4.3Å. In the SrTiO₃ we consider that each unit cell i hosts several atomic displacement modes, labelled by an index a and characterized by a displacement vector $\vec{d}_a$ with effective charge $Z_{ae}$ (e is the electron charge) so the dipole moment due to a given ionic displacement is $Z_{ae}\vec{d}_a$. We Fourier transform on the in-plane coordinates and label the planes parallel to the interface by J so that the dipole moment is $Z_{ae}\vec{d}_a(q)_J$. In FeSe we focus on the electronic charge density $-e\rho$ which we write as a function of the in-plane momentum q.

To derive the Hamiltonian we write the total Coulomb energy as

$$H_{Coul} = \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{H}_{DD}(q) + \mathcal{H}_{D\rho}(q) + \mathcal{H}_{\rho\rho}(q)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
with
\[ \mathcal{H}_{DD}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J,J',a,b} V_{DD}(J,J',q) Z_a Z_b d(q)^a_j d(-q)^b_j, \] (2)
\[ \mathcal{H}_{DP}(q) = \sum_a V_{DP}(J,q) Z_a d(q)^a_j \rho(-q) \] (3)
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\rho \rho}(q) = \frac{1}{2} V_{\rho \rho}(q) \rho(q) \rho(-q) \] (4)
where \( V_{DD}(q,J,J',q) \) gives the interaction energy between dipoles of unit charge and in-plane momentum \( q \) in layers \( J, J', \) etc.

Determining the interactions \( V \) requires solving an electrostatics problem that is complicated by the spatial asymmetry (vacuum at \( z > z_1 \) and \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) at \( z < 0 \)) and the lack of momentum conservation in the \( z \) direction. However, useful simplifications occur in the long wavelength limit of interest here. Details are given in Appendix A. The results depend on three effective dielectric constants: \( \epsilon_1 \) parametrizing the strength of an electric field in the FeSe layer due to charges in this layer, \( \epsilon_2 \) parametrizing the strength of an electric field in the \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) due to charges in the STO and \( \epsilon_* \) describing the field in the FeSe layer due to a charge in the STO. The dependence of the effective dielectric constant on wavevector is shown in Fig. 1 (this dependence is not denoted explicitly in the formulas that follow.) Important parameters of \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \) and \( \epsilon_* \) are \( \epsilon_{\text{STO}}, \) and \( \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} \). \( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} \) is the “high frequency” dielectric constant of the \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \), formally defined as the bulk \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) dielectric constant at frequencies much less than the \( \text{SRTiO}_3 \) band gap, if the dipolar phonons are frozen. Similarly, \( \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} \) is the dielectric constant of FeSe if the electronic charge fluctuations are frozen. Detailed formulas are in the Appendix A and the asymptotics are in Table I (note in particular the simplicity of the long wavelength limit, in which half of the field lines are in vacuum and half in the \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \)). Note that the high frequency dielectric constants \( \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} \) and \( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} \) are both small and the intrinsic momentum dependences of \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \) and \( \epsilon_* \) are weak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( qz_1 )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_1 )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_2 )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} + 1/2 )</td>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} + 1/2 )</td>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} + \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \infty )</td>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} + 1/2 )</td>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} + \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} )</td>
<td>( \epsilon_{\text{STO}} + \epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} + 1/4\epsilon_{\text{FeSe}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After solving the electrostatic problem and integrating out the phonons (see Appendix B) we obtain a Lagrangian describing the electron density fluctuations screened by the \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \) longitudinal phonons as
\[ \mathcal{L}_{el} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_{\rho q} \rho_{-q} V_{\rho \rho}(q, \Omega) \] (5)
with effective electron-electron interaction
\[ V_{\rho \rho}(q, \Omega) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{q \epsilon_{\text{ion}}(q, \Omega)} \] (6)

III. ELECTRONIC DENSITY FLUCTUATIONS: RPA SCREENING AND FINAL EFFECTIVE INTERACTION

The interaction in Eq. 6 is screened by electronic density fluctuations, which we treat here at the random phase approximation (RPA) level, leading to the final interaction
\[ V^+(q, \Omega) = \frac{V_{\rho \rho}(q, \Omega)}{1 - \chi_0(q, \Omega) V_{\rho \rho}(q, \Omega)} \] (8)
with $\chi_0$ the free electron approximation to the electronic polarizability. We calculate $\chi_0$ in two dimensions and Matsubara frequency assuming two parabolic bands representing the two zone-face-centered electron bands of FeSe:

$$
\chi_0(q, \Omega) = 4 \int \frac{kdkd\theta}{4\pi^2} \left[ f(\varepsilon_{k+\frac{q}{2}}) - f(\varepsilon_{k-\frac{q}{2}}) \right] \equiv -N_0 \Phi(q, \Omega)
$$

with the prefactor 4 expressing the spin and valley degeneracy of the electrons and total density of states $N_0 = 2m/\pi$ with $m$ the electron mass.

It is convenient to work in dimensionless units, defining

$$
\tilde{q} = \frac{q}{2k_F}
$$

$$
\tilde{z} = 4k_F z_1
$$

$$
\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{\Omega}{4E_F}
$$

with $E_F = k_F^2/(2m)$. We also introduce the gas parameter $r_S = 2/a_B k_F$ where $a_B = e^2/m e^2$ is the effective Bohr radius. In our previous work\textsuperscript{17}, we found for band parameters appropriate to monolayer FeSe on SrTiO$_3$ $m \approx m_e$, and $k_F \approx 0.22/A$ so $E_F \approx 0.1eV$ (strong correlation effects may reduce this value). With $\epsilon_1 \approx 3$ for small wavevectors, we have $a_B \approx 3a_B$, and so $r_S \approx 6$. We also rescale all dielectric functions by $\epsilon_1$ and denote the rescaled functions with tildes (e.g. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{ion} = \epsilon_{ion}/\epsilon_1$), so

We then define a dimensionless interaction $v^* = N_0 V^*$ and obtain

$$
v^*(q, \Omega) = \frac{r_S}{1 + \frac{r_S}{q \tilde{\epsilon}_{ion}} \Phi} = \frac{r_S}{\tilde{q} \tilde{\epsilon}_{tot}}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{\epsilon}_{tot} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{RPA} + \tilde{\epsilon}_{ion} - 1
$$

$$
\tilde{\epsilon}_{RPA} = 1 + \frac{r_S}{\tilde{q}} \Phi
$$

IV. PLASMON

Plasmon frequencies are zeros of the total dielectric function, in other words frequencies $\omega_{pl}(q)$ satisfying

$$
\epsilon_{tot}(q, \omega_{pl}(q)) = 0
$$

Using Eqs. 7 and 15 and adopting the small-q limit form for $\Phi$ we obtain for the plasmon dispersion

$$
\frac{r_S}{\tilde{q}} \left( 1 - \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{pl}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}_{pl}^2 - \tilde{q}^2}} \right) + \left[ 1 - \gamma e^{-\tilde{q}^2} \sum_a \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_a^2}{\tilde{\Omega}_a^2 - \tilde{\omega}_{pl}^2} \right]^{-1} = 0
$$

Note that for $\tilde{q} > \tilde{\omega}_{pl}$ the plasmon enters the particle-hole continuum and becomes overdamped and Eq. 17, which gives the dispersion for undamped plasmons, does not apply.

Let us first consider the limit $\tilde{\omega}_{pl} \ll \tilde{\Omega}_a$, in which case $\tilde{q} \ll \tilde{\omega}_{pl}$, the last term is approximately $\left( \epsilon_{ion}(0,0)^{-1} + \tilde{q} \tilde{z} \right)^{-1}$ with $\epsilon_{ion}(0,0) \gg 1$ and we have, approximately

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{pl}^2 = \frac{r_S \tilde{q}}{2 \epsilon_{ion}(0,0)} + \frac{r_S \tilde{z}^2}{2} \tilde{q}^2
$$

Thus at very low energies and long wavelengths we have a conventional 2D plasmon with square root dispersion determined by the long wavelength dielectric constant, which is large. At the scale $\tilde{q} \approx 1/(\epsilon_{ion}(0,0) \tilde{z})$ the wavevector dependence of the dielectric function (arising from the set-back of the FeSe layer from the SrTiO$_3$) becomes important and the dispersion becomes linear in wavevector. However, when $\omega_{pl}$ becomes comparable to the lowest optic phonon frequency, the frequency dependence of the dielectric function becomes important and the dispersion changes.

Band theory for the monolayer FeSe on STO\textsuperscript{17} gives $r_S = 5.7$, $\tilde{z} = 3.8$, $\tilde{\epsilon}(0,0)^{-1} = 0.04$. The lowest important phonon frequency $\tilde{\Omega}_1 \approx 0.025$ so the momentum at which the plasmon crosses the phonon is about $\tilde{q} \sim 0.005$; at this scale the quadratic term in Eq. 18 is small so the regime of linearly dispersing plasmons is obscured by the phonon bands.

At frequencies above the highest phonon frequency the plasmon dispersion crosses over to the standard unrenormalized square-root dispersion. Compared to the long wavelength case, the only difference is the dielectric constant, which enters the frequency as the square root, so the dispersion curve is changed by a factor of about 4.

Fig. 3 shows the locus of the zeros of the dielectric function for the band parameters obtained in our previous work\textsuperscript{17}. The renormalized plasmon is visible only at the very lowest frequencies.
some contribution to pairing but the transverse modes, which are not screened, make a larger contribution.

To understand the differences arising in the present situation it is useful to consider a simplified situation in which there is only one optic phonon mode (bare frequency $\Omega_{LO}$) and assume that the host material is tuned exactly to the ferroelectric instability $\gamma = 1$. Then we may rewrite Eq. 21 using Eq. 7 as (in the denominator we approximated $1 - e^{-\bar{q}^2} \rightarrow \bar{q}^2$)

$$v_{ph} = v_{RPA} \frac{\epsilon_{tot} - \epsilon_{RPA}}{\epsilon_{tot}} = v_{RPA} \frac{\epsilon_{tot} - \epsilon_{ion} - \epsilon_{ion} \Phi}{\epsilon_{tot}}$$

As expected, the interaction is confined to momenta less than or of order of $\bar{q}^{-1} \approx 0.25$. The frequencies $\Omega = \bar{q}$ corresponding to these momenta are of the order of the highest phonon frequency. Thus on the Matsubara axis, for $\Omega \lesssim \bar{q}$, $\Phi$ and $v_{RPA} \approx 1$ and the phonon contribution of the interaction $v_{ph}$ is approximately $1/r_S^2 \lesssim 0.04$ using the band parameters mentioned above. These qualitative conclusions are confirmed by the two panels of Fig. 4 which show the $r_S$ and momentum dependence of $v_{ph}$ at zero Matsubara frequency. We see that the interaction is enhanced at small momentum and small $r_S$ but is never even as large as unity.
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**FIG. 3.** Collective mode dispersions with the interaction with the STO LO modes for $r_S = 5.7$, $\xi = 4k_F z_1 = 3.89$. The shaded region represents the particle-hole continuum.

**V. INTERACTION**

There are various ways to view the combined electron-electron and electron-ion interactions. For a first approach we follow the theory of electron-phonon interactions in semiconductors\textsuperscript{18,19} and define the electron-phonon interaction as the difference between the total interaction and the purely electronic (here RPA) parts

$$v^*(q, \Omega) = v_{RPA}(q, \Omega) - v_{ph}(q, \Omega)$$

where

$$v_{RPA} = \frac{\Omega}{\Omega - r_S + \bar{q}} = \frac{\Omega}{r_S + \bar{q}} \Phi$$

and (note the standard sign convention for which a positive $v_{ph}$ is an attractive interaction)

$$v_{ph} = v_{RPA} \frac{\epsilon_{tot} - \epsilon_{RPA}}{\epsilon_{tot}} = v_{RPA} \frac{\epsilon_{tot} - \epsilon_{ion} - \epsilon_{ion} \Phi}{\epsilon_{tot}}$$

Refs. 18 and 19 argued that the $v_{RPA}$ term should be viewed as the RPA-screened electron-phonon coupling. However, in the present case the imaginary part of $v_{ph}$ changes sign as the frequency is varied, it cannot be interpreted as the longitudinal phonon propagator renormalized by coupling to electrons (see Appendix C for details).

In a conventional metal, $\epsilon$ has negligible momentum dependence, and is different from unity only for frequencies less than the longitudinal optic phonon frequency. For these frequencies, for all momenta except for the very narrow range $q < \Omega_{LO}/v_F$ we may set $\Phi = 1$. For typical $\epsilon$ values somewhat larger than 1 and typical metallic $r_S \sim 2$ we find a dimensionless interaction of the order of (but somewhat smaller than) unity. Thus in many conventional superconductors longitudinal modes make
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**FIG. 4.** Zero frequency $v_{ph}$, left: as a function of $r_S$, and right: as a function of $q$.

For larger $\Omega$ and smaller $\bar{q}$, $\Phi$ becomes small, $v_{RPA}$ becomes larger while the percentage of the $v_{ph}$ in the total interaction is reduced. To obtain a significant interaction one must achieve a much smaller set-back distance, so that $\epsilon$ is large even for $q \sim 1$ and have more weakly correlated electron gas (smaller $r_S$). This qualitative analysis is confirmed by the detailed numerics presented in Fig. 5. Thus in effect electronic screening strongly reduces the interaction, so very little significant effect of phonons on electronic properties remains.

**VI. REPLICA BANDS**

Recent photoemission experiments have reported replica bands in monolayer FeSe on STO\textsuperscript{6}. A replica

---

This text is a summary of the content from a research paper, focusing on the interactions between electrons and phonons, particularly within the context of superconductors and semiconductors. The author discusses the nature of electron-phonon interactions, their effects on band parameters, and how these interactions can be understood through the lens of the RPA. The paper also touches on the implications of these interactions for real-world materials, such as superconductors and semiconductors, and highlights the importance of electronic screening in weakening the effects of phonon interactions on electronic properties.
band is an image of the main band, shifted to a higher binding energy by a momentum independent energy. Replica bands are obtained theoretically in electron-boson calculations involving an extreme forward-scattering limit and on the Matsubara axis so analytically continuing the interaction:

\[ \Sigma(k, \omega) = \int dq \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^3} G^0(k + q, \omega + \nu)V^*(q, \nu) \]

(23)

with \( G^0 \) the free electron Green’s function. In the extreme forward scattering limit and on the Matsubara axis

\[ V^*_\text{forward} = \frac{g_0^2\nu}{\omega - (\varepsilon_k - \Omega_0) - i\delta} \frac{2\Omega_0}{\nu^2 + \Omega_0^2} \]

(24)

so analytically continuing

\[ \Sigma_{\text{forward}}(k, \omega) = \frac{g_0^2n_f}{\omega - (\varepsilon_k - \Omega_0) - i\delta} + \frac{g_0^2(1 - n_f)}{\omega - (\varepsilon_k + \Omega_0) - i\delta} \]

(25)

with \( n_f \) the Fermi function.

In the upper and lower left panels of Fig. 6 we show the spectral function computed using Eq. 25 for a line through the M point (center of the electron pocket). The main peak is the quasiparticle energy, and the replica band is visible at higher binding energy. The distance between the main peak and the shakeoff peak is dependent on the electron-phonon interaction matrix\(^{11}\).

Fig. 7 shows the low frequency behavior of the real and imaginary parts of total interaction computed for FeSe/STO, computed using a simplified model in which only the highest frequency phonon is retained. We see that the interaction has considerable structure as a function of momentum and energy, and is not particularly peaked at small momentum. For this reason, the interactions (which are essentially the same as in the absence of phonons), produce a wide tail in the energy dispersion curve but no replica bands.

Indeed, replica bands are not always reported in experiments monolayer FeSe on STO with superconducting \( T_c \) greater than 60K, the replica bands are not always reported. Thus it is possible that certain surface treatments change the surface electronic states. Our calculation shows that replica bands are not a natural consequence of a coupling between substrate dipolar phonons and electrons in the monolayer.

**VII. SUMMARY**

We have studied the coupling of dipole active (LO) phonons in the depletion regime of SrTiO\(_3\) to electrons in a monolayer of FeSe. LO phonons produce a dipole field which is long ranged, allowing many STO phonon modes to couple to the electrons in the FeSe. However, because the coupling is Coulombic, it is screened by the total charge density fluctuations in FeSe. At the RPA level, we find that the electron fluctuations in FeSe screen most of the electron-phonon interaction, leaving the overall phonon-mediated potential very weak, and unable either to produce a significant contribution to superconductivity or to cause the ”shadow bands” observed in recent experiments. We therefore conclude that some other
an anonymous referee for pointing out the error in the original Eq. 25.

Appendix A: Electrostatic problem and boundary conditions

We set up the interface normal to $z$ direction. STO substrate fills the $z < 0$ semi-space with high frequency dielectric constant $\epsilon_{\text{STO}}$, and the dipoles $\vec{d}$ live in the STO depletion layer that starts at $z = 0$ and extends to negative $z_0$, the width of depletion region$^{17}$. In general each unit cell contains $N$ frozen dipoles (for STO $N = 3$). Each dipole has an effective dipole moment $Z_i$ with $i = 1\ldots N$. FeSe monolayer is modeled within the $0 < z < z_1$ layer, with high frequency dielectric constant $\epsilon_{\text{FeSe}}$ and a two-dimensional charge density at $z = z_1$. $\rho(r) = \rho_{2D}(r_{2D})\delta(z - z_1)$. $z > z_1$ space is vacuum. Here for simplicity we assume the high frequency dielectric constant for the space between STO and the FeSe sheet is the same with $\epsilon_{\text{FeSe}}$. In-plane Fourier transform a three dimensional Coulomb potential leaves the out-of-plane dimension in a exponential factor $\exp(-qz)$.

The static Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{Coul}} = \int \frac{d^3r}{a^3} \rho(r)\Phi^c(r) + \rho(r)\Phi^d(r)$$

$$+ \sum_i Z_i \vec{d}_i(r) \cdot \nabla \Phi^c(r) + \sum_i Z_i \vec{d}_i(r) \cdot \nabla \Phi^d(r)$$

(A1)
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Our calculations were performed within the RPA approximation, which captures the long range Coulomb effects but is not quantitatively accurate in the strongly correlated, low electron density situation relevant to FeSe. It is possible that a more sophisticated calculation including vertex corrections$^{20}$ and a better treatment of the electronic screening in the low density limit might change the physics. Finally, our analyses do not rule out other possibilities that do not couple to the total electron density fluctuations, for example magnetic or nematic fluctuations$^{21}$. 

FIG. 6. Electron spectral function computed for idealized delta-function forward scattering model (Eq. 25 (left panels) and physical electron-phonon coupling (right panels). Top panels: greyscale (color online) representation of spectral function as function of frequency and of wavevector along $\Gamma - M - \Gamma$. Bottom panels: energy dispersion curves computed at $q = 0.1(2\pi/a)$ away from M point. The self energy is calculated from Eq. 23 with the frequency integration cut at $|\omega| = 20$ eV and $64 \times 64$ q points within the Fermi surface. Here $a$ is the lattice constant of the physical (2Fe) Brillouin zone.

FIG. 7. Greyscale plot of magnitude of dimensionless interaction $v^\star$ computed in plane of momentum and real frequency using the simplified model containing only the the highest LO phonon at $r_S = 5.7$. Left, real part. Right: imaginary part. Bottom panels are the zoomed in parts of the upper panels for small wavevectors.
Here we define the charge density and dipole density with respect to the lattice constant of STO \( a \), and thus there are two Fe per unit cell. \( \Phi^e \) and \( \Phi^d \) denote the effective potential generated by electrons and dipoles, respectively.

So for the effective potential of electrons, we can assume

\[
\Phi^e = \begin{cases} 
\Phi^e_{d-} e^{-q(z-z_1)}, & z > z_1 \\
\Phi^e_{d+} + \Phi^e_{e} e^{-q(z-z_1)} + \Phi^e_{e} e^{q(z-z_1)}, & 0 < z < z_1 \\
\Phi^e_{e} e^{q(z-z_1)}, & z < 0
\end{cases}
\] (A2)

with \( \Phi_i \) to be determined by boundary conditions.

At \( z = z_1 \), we have

\[
\Phi^e_{3} = \Phi^e_{d+} + \Phi^e_{e} \tag{A3}
\]

\[
q \Phi^e_{3} + \epsilon_{FeSe} q (\Phi^e_{d+} - \Phi^e_{e}) = 4 \pi \rho_q \tag{A4}
\]

At \( z = 0 \),

\[
\Phi^e_{1} e^{-qz_1} = \Phi^e_{d+} e^{-qz_1} + \Phi^e_{e} e^{qz_1} \tag{A5}
\]

\[-q \Phi^e_{1} e^{-qz_1} = -q \epsilon_{FeSe} (\Phi^e_{d+} e^{-qz_1} - \Phi^e_{e} e^{qz_1}) \tag{A6}
\]

Solving equations above gives

\[
\Phi^e = \begin{cases} 
\left( 1 - e^{-2qz_1} \epsilon_{STO} \epsilon_{FeSe} \right) e^{-q(z-z_1)} \Phi^e_{d+}, & z > z_1 \\
\left( e^{q(z-z_1)} - e^{-q(z+z_1)} \right) e^{qSTO} \epsilon_{FeSe} \Phi^e_{d+}, & 0 < z < z_1 \\
2 \epsilon_{FeSe} e^{q(z-z_1)} \Phi^e_{d+}, & z < 0
\end{cases}
\]

with

\[
\Phi^e_{d+} = \frac{4 \pi \rho_q}{q} \left( (\epsilon_{FeSe} + 1) + (\epsilon_{FeSe} - 1) \frac{\epsilon_{STO} - \epsilon_{FeSe}}{\epsilon_{STO} + \epsilon_{FeSe}} e^{-2qz_1} \right)^{-1} \tag{A7}
\]

Similarly, in-plane Fourier transform the dipole field generated by the semi-infinite space of STO also gives \( e^{-qz} \) factor for the out-of-plane dimension. We write

\[
\Phi^d = \begin{cases} 
\Phi^d_{d-} e^{-qz_1}, & z > z_1 \\
\Phi^d_{d+} e^{-qz_1} + \Phi^d_{e} e^{-qz_1}, & 0 < z < z_1 \\
\Phi^d_{e} e^{qz_1}, & z < 0
\end{cases}
\] (A9)

with boundary conditions at \( z = z_1 \),

\[
\Phi^d_{3} e^{-qz_1} = \Phi^d_{d+} e^{-qz_1} + \Phi^d_{e} e^{-qz_1} \tag{A10}
\]

\[-q \Phi^d_{3} e^{-qz_1} = \epsilon_{FeSe} q (\Phi^d_{d+} e^{qz_1} - \Phi^d_{e} e^{-qz_1}) \tag{A11}
\]

and at \( z = 0 \),

\[
\Phi^d_{d+} + \Phi^d_{d-} = \Phi^d_{1} \tag{A12}
\]

\[-q \epsilon_{FeSe} (\Phi^d_{d+} - \Phi^d_{d-}) + q \epsilon_{STO} \Phi^d_{1} = \sum_i Z_i d^m_q \tag{A13}
\]

These lead to

\[
\Phi^d = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{2 \epsilon_{FeSe}}{\epsilon_{FeSe} + 1} \right) \Phi^d_{d-} e^{-qz}, & z > z_1 \\
\left( e^{-qz} + \frac{\epsilon_{FeSe} - 1}{\epsilon_{FeSe} + 1} e^{q(z-z_1)} \right) \Phi^d_{d+}, & 0 < z < z_1 \\
\left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon_{FeSe} - 1}{\epsilon_{FeSe} + 1} e^{-2qz_1} \right) \Phi^d_{e} e^{qz}, & z < 0
\end{cases}
\] (A14)

with

\[
\Phi^d_{d-} = \frac{4 \pi \sum_i Z_i d^m_q(d_q, 0)}{q} \times \left( (\epsilon_{STO} + \epsilon_{FeSe}) + (\epsilon_{STO} - \epsilon_{FeSe} - 1) e^{-2qz_1} \right)^{-1} \tag{A15}
\]

From the above electric potentials, we can write the interaction terms as

\[
H_{e-d} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2 \pi e^2 \sum_i Z_i \rho_q d^m_q e^{-qz_1}}{q \epsilon_{*}} \tag{A16}
\]

\[
H_{d-e} = \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2 \pi e^2 \sum_i Z_i q_d^m q e^{-qz_1}}{q \epsilon_{*}} \tag{A17}
\]

where the interactions have been summed over \( z \), with \( q \) here in-plane. \( \epsilon_{*} \) expresses the effective dielectric constant associated to the interacting electron-dipole fields,

\[
\epsilon_{*} = \frac{1}{4 \epsilon_{FeSe}} \left[ (\epsilon_{STO} + \epsilon_{FeSe}) \left( \epsilon_{FeSe} + 1 \right) \right] + (\epsilon_{STO} - \epsilon_{FeSe}) \left( \epsilon_{FeSe} - 1 \right) e^{-2qz_1} \tag{A18}
\]

This value varies between \( (\epsilon_{STO} + 1)/2 \), for \( qz_1 = 0 \) where the case reduces to the surface of a dielectrics, and \( (\epsilon_{STO} + \epsilon_{FeSe})/4\epsilon_{FeSe} \) for \( qz_1 \rightarrow \infty \).

Thus in reciprocal space we may write the static Hamiltonian,

\[
H_{Coul} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4 \pi e^2}{e_{1} q^2} \rho_q \rho_{-q} + \frac{4 \pi e^2}{e_{1} q^2} \epsilon_{*} \sum_m \frac{Z_i d^m_q \rho_q - Z_m d^m_q \rho_{-q}}{a \epsilon_2} \tag{A19}
\]

where \( q \) is a 3D momentum and \( q_{||} \) is the in-plane component. \( \epsilon_{1} \) and \( \epsilon_{2} \) represent the effective dielectric functions

\[
\epsilon_{1} = \epsilon_{*} \left( \frac{2 \epsilon_{FeSe}}{\epsilon_{STO} + \epsilon_{FeSe}} \right) \left( 1 - e^{-2qz_1} \epsilon_{STO} - \epsilon_{FeSe} \right)^{-1} \tag{A20}
\]

\[
\epsilon_{2} = \epsilon_{*} \left( \frac{2 \epsilon_{FeSe}}{1 + \epsilon_{FeSe}} \right) \left( 1 + e^{-2qz_1} \epsilon_{FeSe} - 1 \right)^{-1} \tag{A21}
\]

For \( qz_1 = 0 \) \( \epsilon_{1} \) and \( \epsilon_{2} \) reduce to \( (\epsilon_{STO} + 1)/2 \), resemble to the dielectric constant at the interface of vacuum and a semi-infinite STO. For \( qz_1 \rightarrow \infty \), they reduce to \( (\epsilon_{STO} + 1)/2 \) and \( (\epsilon_{FeSe} + \epsilon_{STO})/2 \), respectively.

### Appendix B: Decoupling the phonons

Next we include the energy of multiple dipole oscillations that contribute to the dynamic energy

\[
H_d = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3q}{a^3} \sum_{ij} K_{ij} d_i(r) d_j(r) + M_{ij} d_i(r) d_j(r) \tag{B1}
\]
with $K$ and $M$ the force and mass matrices for transverse optical phonons that are nonpolar. The lowest eigenvalue of $K$ goes to zero at the ferroelectric transition.

Combining $H_{\text{Coul}}$ and $H_d$, we have

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3q \frac{4\pi e^2}{(2\pi)^3} \epsilon_1 q^2 \rho_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{q}} +$$

$$\frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2} \sum_i \left( Z_i d_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}^{-\mathbf{q}} - Z_i d_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{q}} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{ij} \left( K_{ij} + \frac{4\pi Z_i Z_j e^2}{\alpha \epsilon_2} - M_{ij} \Omega^2 \right) d_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{q}} d_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}^{-\mathbf{q}} \quad (B2)$$

To decouple the dynamic phonon term, we shift the dipoles as

$$d_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow d_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{q}} + i \rho_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{4\pi Z_i e^2 e^{-q_1 z_1}}{q \epsilon_2} D \quad (B3)$$

where we define

$$D = \left( K_{ij} + \frac{4\pi Z_i Z_j e^2}{\alpha \epsilon_2} - M_{ij} \Omega^2 \right)^{-1} \quad (B4)$$

$D$ can be viewed as the longitudinal phonon propagator in STO, with the dipole-dipole Coulomb energy term leading to the large LO-TO splitting in STO. Summing over $q$, using the $q_1 = i q$ pole, the electron density part Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{\text{el}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2q \frac{2\pi e^2}{(2\pi)^2} q \rho_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}}$$

$$\left( 1 - \frac{4\pi e^2 e^{-q_1 z_1}}{\epsilon_2} \sum_{ij} Z_i D_{ij} Z_j \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2q \frac{2\pi e^2}{(2\pi)^2} q \rho_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}} V_{\text{eff}}(q, \Omega) \quad (B5)$$

where the $\parallel$ subscript is dropped since we are now in the 2D momentum space.

**Appendix C: Comparing to conventional theory**

Comparing to Eq. (9) in Ref. 10, we rewrite Eq. 8 as

$$V^* = \frac{V_c}{\epsilon_{\text{RPA}}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\text{RPA}} + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2q_1 z_1} D}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{V_c}{\epsilon_{\text{RPA}}} \left[ 1 - \frac{D^*}{1 - e^{-2q_1 z_1} D} \right]$$

with the renormalized phonon “propagator” and electron-phonon interaction strength $q$,

$$g^2 D = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\epsilon_1 q^2 \sum_a \gamma_a \Omega_a^2}{\Omega_a^2 + \Omega^2} \quad (C2)$$

FIG. A1. Imaginary part of $v_{\text{ph}}$ in real frequency. $q = 0.2$, $\Omega_S = 5.7$, $z_1 = 1.89$. The strong peak near $\Omega = 0.6$ denotes the plasmon. The peaks near $\Omega = 0.1$ show the poles of phonons.

and

$$D^* = \frac{e^{-2q_1 z_1} D}{1 - e^{-2q_1 z_1} D} \quad (C3)$$

the dressed phonon “propagator” that decay with the set back distance $z_1$, and

$$\epsilon_{\text{RPA}} = 1 - \chi_0 V_c \quad (C4)$$

the electronic part of the dielectric constant.

The first term in Eq. C1 gives the RPA-screened Coulomb interaction, $V_{\text{RPA}} = V_c/\epsilon_{\text{RPA}}$, while the second term contains the screened phonon-induced attractive interaction that may lead to superconductivity.

The $v_{\text{ph}}$ in the main text is exactly the dimensionless form of the second term. However, we have to note that $v_{\text{ph}}$ should not be thought as conventional propagators. Fig. A1 shows the imaginary part of $v_{\text{ph}}$ in real frequency. Besides the plasmon peak, the imaginary part changes sign near the poles of LO phonons. The existence of this effect is independent of wavevector.

---


