DECOMPOSITIONS OF SURFACE FLOWS

TOMOO YOKOYAMA

Abstract. We construct a complete finite invariant for surface flows of finite type. In particular, the invariant is complete for Morse-Smale flows and “generic” Hamiltonian flows. In fact, although degeneracy of singular points implies uncountably many local topological equivalence classes and the set of topological equivalence classes of minimal flows (resp. Denjoy flows) on a torus is uncountable, we enumerate the set of topological equivalence classes of flows with at most finitely many limit cycles but without non-closed recurrent orbits or degenerate singular points on a compact surface using finite labelled graphs. To enumerate such flows, we describe properties of border points of a flow without degenerate singular points on a compact surface. In particular, we show that each connected component of the complement of the “ss-multi-saddle connection diagram” is either an open disk, an open annulus, a torus, a Klein bottle, an open Möbius band, or an open essential subset consisting of locally dense orbits. Moreover, we generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface. In fact, the $\omega$-limit set of any non-closed orbit is either a nowhere dense subset of singular points, a limit cycle, a limit “quasi-circuit”, a locally dense Q-set, or a “quasi-Q set”. In addition, for such a surface flow, we characterize the necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure of the union of closed orbits corresponding to the non-wandering set.

1. Introduction

The Poincaré-Bendixson theorem is generalized in several ways [41, 46, 13, 11, 20, 17, 15, 1, 3, 32, 45]. For instance, a following statement holds (see for example [32]): The $\omega$-limit set of an orbit of a flow with finitely many fixed points on a compact surface is either a closed orbit, an attracting limit circuit, or a Q-set. A part of this classification is based on a following Mañé’s result [27] (see Theorem 2.4.4 p.32 [32], Theorem 4.2 [2] for general cases, and Theorem 19 [23] for orientable hyperbolic cases for details): Any point contained in an $\omega$-limit set of some point for a flow on a compact surface whose $\omega$-limit set contains non-closed orbits is positively recurrent.

Peixoto [34] showed that a vector field $v \in \chi^r(S)$ is structurally stable if and only if $v \in \Sigma^r(S)$, where $\chi^r(S)$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$) is the set of $C^r$-vector fields (with the $C^r$-topology) on an orientable closed connected surface $S$ and $\Sigma^r(S)$ is the subset of $\chi^r(S)$ formed by the Morse-Smale $C^r$-vector fields on $S$. Moreover, $\Sigma^r(S)$ is open and dense in $\chi^r(S)$. Recall that a $C^r$ vector field ($r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$) on $S$ with finitely many singular points is Morse-Smale if 1) each singular point is hyperbolic (i.e. a sink, a source, or a saddle); 2) each periodic orbit is a hyperbolic limit cycle; 3) there
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are no heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices; and 4) the \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set of a point is a closed orbit. When \( S \) is non-orientable, Pugh’s \( C^1 \)-Closing Lemma implies [36, 37] that \( \Sigma^1(S) \) is dense and that the Peixoto’s work holds for the case in which the non-orientable genus of \( S \) is less than five [12, 21]. On \( C^r \)-Hamiltonian vector fields \((r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})\), a Hamiltonian vector field \( v \in \mathcal{H}^r(S) \) is structurally stable in \( \mathcal{H}^r(S) \) if and only if \( v \in \mathcal{H}^r_*(S) \), and that \( \mathcal{H}^r_*(S) \) is open dense in \( \mathcal{H}^r(S) \) [19], where \( \mathcal{H}^r(S) \) is the set of Hamiltonian \( C^r \) vector fields on an orientable closed connected surface \( S \) and \( \mathcal{H}^r_*(S) \) is the set of regular Hamiltonian \( C^r \) vector fields each of whose saddle connection is self-connected. Here a regular vector field is a vector field each of whose singular points is non-degenerate.

There are several topological invariants of flows. For instance, a basic result of Morse theory says that gradient flows of Morse functions on closed surfaces are characterized by the set of saddles and their separatrices, which are finite directed graphs. The Morse theory for gradient vector fields on compact manifolds is extended to an index theory for Smale flows on compact manifolds using Lyapunov graphs [10], which are generalizations of the quotient spaces of gradient functions. Recall that a flow is Smale if 1) its chain recurrent set has a hyperbolic structure and its dimension is less than or equal to one; and 2) it satisfies the transverse condition. In [39], a characterization of Lyapunov graphs associated to smooth surface flows is presented. Moreover, Peixoto graph is a complete invariant for Morse-Smale flows without limit cycles (i.e. Morse flows). As pointed out in a paper [33], Peixoto graph is not a complete invariant for Morse-Smale flows. It is known that Lyapunov graph is also not complete invariant for Morse-Smale flows (i.e. there are Morse-Smale flows with isomorphic Lyapunov graphs but which are not topologically equivalent) but that three-colour graph is a complete invariant for Morse-Smale flows [33]. Furthermore, an equipped graph [14] is a complete invariant for \( \Omega \)-Stable flows on compact surface, each of which is a Morse-Smale flow without non-existence of heteroclinic separatrices. In [31], non-wandering flows with finitely many singular points on compact surfaces are classified up to a graph-equivalence by using a topological invariant, called a Conley-Lyapunov-Peixoto graph, which is equipped with rotation and weight functions. In addition, it stated that an orbit complex (also called separatrix configuration) of a flow is also a complete invariant for the set of flows with “finitely many separatrices” in the sense of Markus [27, 29, 30]. These papers are referred more than hundred papers as mentioned in [7]. However, Buendía and López have pointed out that orbit complex is not a complete invariant for flows having “finitely many separatrices” in the sense of Markus (resp. finitely many singular points and no limit separatrices in the sense of Markus) [7]. In fact one of their counterexamples is a toral flow which consists of one singular point and non-closed proper orbits. In other words, they have showed that the Markus-Neumann theorem needs not work for the original setting. In particular, the proof of a Poincaré-Hopf theorem for continuous flows with “finitely many separatrices” does not work but we observe that a Poincaré-Hopf theorem for continuous flows with finitely many singular points on compact surfaces holds using Gutierre’s smoothing theorem [12]. In [7], the authors have modified the invariant into a complete invariant, called a separatrix configuration, for flows whose essential singular points are at most finite. By definition of separatrix configuration in the sense of Buendía and López (see Definition 2.2 [7] for details), note that the separatrix configuration is a subset and contains the complement of the
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interior of the union of non-recurrent orbits, and so that it contains infinitely many data in general. Notice that their definition of $\alpha'(p)$ (resp. $\omega'(p)$) is slightly different from the original one, cf. [27, 29, 30] but these definitions correspond to each other except non-closed recurrent orbits. Therefore definitions of orbit complexes of flows without non-closed recurrent orbits correspond to each other even if they use the different definitions of $\alpha'(p)$ (resp. $\omega'(p)$). On the other hand, we show that an orbit complex with additional some orders of flows of finite type is a complete invariant, and that the orbit complex is also a complete invariant under the assumption that the multi-saddle connection diagram is the saddle connection diagram containing no non-self-connected separatrices (i.e. each multi-saddle is either a saddle without heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices or a $\partial$-saddle without separatrices connecting another multi-saddles outside of the same boundary). In this paper, we describe the properties of the border points of a flow without degenerate singular points on a compact surface and characterize the necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure of the union of closed orbits corresponding to the non-wandering set. Moreover, each connected component of the complement of the “ss-multi-saddle connection diagram” is either an open disk, an open annulus, a torus, a Klein bottle, an open Möbius band, or an open essential subset consisting of locally dense orbits. In addition, although the existence of degenerate singular points can imply uncountably many local topological equivalence classes and the set of topological equivalence classes of minimal flows (resp. Denjoy flows) on a torus is uncountable, a flow with at most finitely many limit cycles but without non-degenerate singular points or recurrent orbits on a compact surface can be reconstructed from the finite data. In other words, we construct a complete finite invariant for surface flows of finite type. Note that all structurally stable Hamiltonian flows and all Morse-Smale flows are flows of finite type, and that each of three conditions (i.e. non-degeneracy of singular points, non-existence of recurrent orbits, finiteness of limit cycles) is necessary for finite representability.

By [3], any connected finite poset can be realized by the singular part of the leaf class space of a transversally oriented codimension one $C^1$-foliation on a closed oriented three manifold. In [5], Bonatti et al. showed that any finite connected poset can be realized by the orbit class space of a finitely generated group of homeomorphisms of a compact connected topological space. They also state the question of whether any finite Hasse diagram can be realized as the class space of such a foliation (resp. flow) on a compact manifold. On the other hand, we show that the heights of the orbit spaces of flows on compact surfaces are at most four. In particular, no posets whose heights are at least five can be realized as the orbit spaces on a compact surface.

The present paper consists of fourteen sections. In the next section, as preliminaries, we introduce some fundamental notions. In §3, properties of surface flows are described in general. In §4, we generalize the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface. In §5, In §6, we show the finiteness of singular points implies both that a limit quasi-circuit is a limit circuit and that a limit circuit is a directed circuit using finiteness of heights. In §6, we show the finiteness of singular points implies both that a quasi-Q set is a Q-set using finiteness of heights. These reductions in the previous and this sections imply a proof of a generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with
finitely many singular points on a compact surface. In §7, we state superior structures and inferior structures of orbits. In §8, properties of surface flows with finitely many singular points are described. In §9, we study the border point set. In §10, structures of orbits are described. In §11, decompositions of flows are constructed. In §12, we characterize the necessary and sufficient conditions for the closure of the union of closed orbits corresponding to the non-wandering set (i.e. $\overline{\Omega(v)} = \Omega(v)$).

In §13, a complete finite invariant for surface flows of finite type are constructed. In §14, we show that orbit complex is also a complete invariant for flows of finite type on compact surfaces under some regularity conditions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notions of dynamical systems. By a surface, we mean a two dimensional manifold, that does not need to be orientable. A flow is a continuous $\mathbb{R}$-action on a manifold. From now on, we suppose that flows are on surfaces. Let $v : \mathbb{R} \times S \to S$ be a flow on a surface $S$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define $v_t : S \to S$ by $v_t := v(t, \cdot)$. For a point $x$ of $S$, we denote by $O(x)$ the orbit of $x$, $O^+(x)$ the positive orbit (i.e. $O^+(x) := \{v_t(x) \mid t > 0\}$), and $O^-(x)$ the negative orbit (i.e. $O^-(x) := \{v_t(x) \mid t < 0\}$). Recall that a point $x$ of $S$ is singular if $x = v_t(x)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is regular if $x$ is not singular, and is periodic if there is a positive number $T > 0$ such that $x = v_T(x)$ and $x \neq v_t(x)$ for any $t \in (0, T)$. An orbit is singular (resp. periodic) if it contains a singular (resp. periodic) point. An orbit is closed if it is singular or periodic.

Denote by $\text{Sing}(v)$ the set of singular points and by $\text{Per}(v)$ (resp. $\text{Cl}(v)$) the union of periodic (resp. closed) orbits. Recall that the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of a point $x$ is $\omega(x) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{v_t(x) \mid t > n\}$ (resp. $\alpha(x) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{v_t(x) \mid t < n\}$), where the closure of a subset $A$ is denoted by $\overline{A}$. A point is wandering if there are its neighborhood $U$ and a positive number $N$ such that $v_t(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for any $t > N$. A point is non-wandering if it is not wandering (i.e. for any its neighborhood $U$ and for any positive number $N$, there is a number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| > N$ such that $v_t(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$). Denote by $\Omega(v)$ the set of non-wandering points, called the non-wandering set. For an orbit $O$, define $\omega(O) := \omega(x)$ and $\alpha(O) := \alpha(x)$ for some point $x \in O$. Note that an $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of an orbit is independent of the choice of point in the orbit. Define $\alpha'(x)$ (resp. $\omega'(x)$) for a point $x \in S$ as follows [27, 7]:

$$
\alpha'(x) := \alpha(x) \setminus O(x)
$$

$$
\omega'(x) := \omega(x) \setminus O(x)
$$

Similarly, define $\alpha'(O) := \alpha(O) \setminus O$ and $\omega'(O) := \omega(O) \setminus O$ for an orbit $O$. We call $\alpha'(x)$ (resp. $\alpha'(O)$) the $\alpha'$-limit set and $\omega'(x)$ (resp. $\omega'(O)$) the $\omega'$-limit set. Note that $\alpha'(x) = \bigwedge_{\alpha} x - O(x)$ and $\omega'(x) = \bigwedge_{\omega} x - O(x)$, where $\bigwedge_{\alpha} x := \{y \in S \mid x \leq_{\alpha} y\}$ (resp. $\bigwedge_{\omega} x := \{y \in S \mid x \leq_{\omega} y\}$) is the downset of $x$ with respect to $\leq_{\alpha}$ (resp. $\leq_{\omega}$). As mentioned, the definition of $\alpha'(O)$ (resp. $\omega'(O)$) by Buendía and López is slightly different with the original one, cf. [27, 29, 30] but these definitions correspond for proper orbits. Since flows of finite type have no non-proper orbits, two definition correspond to each other for flows of finite type. Note that an orbit $O$ is closed if and only if $\alpha'(O) = \emptyset$ (resp. $\omega'(O) = \emptyset$). A subset is saturated (or invariant) if it is a union of orbits. For a closed invariant set $\gamma$, define the stable manifold $W^s(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid \omega(y) \subseteq \gamma\}$ and the unstable manifold $W^u(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid \alpha(y) \subseteq \gamma\}$. The saturation of a subset is the union of orbits intersecting it. Denote by $\text{Sat}_v(A)$ the saturation of a subset $A$. Then $\text{Sat}_v(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} O(a)$. A point $x$ of $S$
is strongly recurrent (or Poisson stable) if \( x \in \omega(x) \cap \alpha(x) \), a point \( x \) is positively recurrent (or positively Poisson stable) if \( x \in \omega(x) \), a point \( x \) is negatively recurrent (or negatively Poisson stable) if \( x \in \alpha(x) \), and a point \( x \) is recurrent (or positively or negatively Poisson stable) if \( x \in \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x) \). A quasi-minimal set (or a Q-set for brevity) is defined to be the orbit closure of a non-closed recurrent point. It is known that a total number of Q-sets for \( v \) cannot exceed \( g \) if \( S \) is an orientable surface of genus \( g \) [27], and \( \frac{p-1}{2} \) if \( S \) is a non-orientable surface of genus \( p \) [22] (cf. Remark 2 [2]). Therefore the closure \( LD \sqcup E \) is a finite union of Q-sets.

2.2. Types of singular point. A singular point \( x \) on (resp. outside of) the boundary \( \partial S \) of a surface \( S \) is a \( \partial \)-sink (resp. sink) if there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( \omega(y) = \{x\} \) for any \( y \in U \), and is a \( \partial \)-source (resp. source) if there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( \alpha(y) = \{x\} \) for any \( y \in U \). A point \( x \) is a center if for any its neighborhood \( U \), there is an open saturated neighborhood \( V \subset U \) of \( x \) such that \( U - \{x\} \) consists of periodic orbits, where \( A - B \) is used instead of \( A \setminus B \) when \( A \subseteq B \). A separatrix is a regular orbit whose \( \alpha \)-limit or \( \omega \)-limit set is a singular point. A separatrix is connecting if each of its \( \omega \)-limit set and \( \alpha \)-limit sets is a singular point. A regular orbit is connecting quasi-separatrix if its \( \alpha \)- and \( \omega \)-limit sets consists of singular points. A \( \partial \)-\( k \)-saddle (resp. \( k \)-saddle) is an isolated singular point on (resp. outside of) \( \partial S \) with exactly \( (2k + 2) \)-separatrices, counted with multiplicity as in Figure 1. In other words, A \( \partial \)-\( k \)-saddle (resp. \( k \)-saddle) is an isolated singular point on (resp. outside of) \( \partial S \) with exactly \( (2k + 2) \) hyperbolic sectors. Here a hyperbolic sector is a local structure as in Figure 2. A multi-saddle is a \( k \)-saddle or a \( \partial \)-(\( k/2 \))-saddle for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). A 1-saddle is topologically an ordinary saddle and a \( \partial \)-(\( 1/2 \))-saddle is topologically a \( \partial \)-saddle.

2.3. (Quasi-)Regularity. A flow is quasi-regular (resp. regular) if each singular point is either a center, a multi-saddle (resp. a saddle, a \( \partial \)-saddle), a sink, a \( \partial \)-sink, a source, or a \( \partial \)-source. Note that a non-wandering flow with finitely many singular
points on a compact surface is quasi-regular (see Theorem 3 [9]). Conversely, a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface has finitely many singular points.

2.4. **Topological properties of orbits.** An orbit is proper if it is embedded, locally dense if its closure has nonempty interior, and exceptional if it is neither proper nor locally dense. Note that an orbit on a paracompact surface is proper if and only if it has a neighborhood in which the orbit is closed [48]. A point is proper (resp. locally dense, exceptional) if so is its orbit. Denote by $\text{LD}$ (resp. $E$, $P$) the union of locally dense orbits (resp. exceptional orbits, non-closed proper orbits). Note that $P$ is the complement of the set of recurrent points and that $\text{LD} \sqcup E$ is the union of non-closed recurrent orbits. By definition, we have a decomposition $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E$, where $\sqcup$ denotes a disjoint union.

2.5. **Circuits.** A trivial circuit is a singular point. An open annular subset $A$ of a surface is a collar of a singular point $x$ if the union $A \sqcup \{x\}$ is a neighborhood of $x$. A trivial circuit $x$ is attracting (resp. repelling) if there is its collar which is contained in the stable (resp. unstable) manifold of $x$. In other words, an attracting trivial circuit is either a $\partial$-source or a source, and a repelling trivial circuit is either a $\partial$-sink or a sink. Recall that an annular subset is homeomorphic to an annulus. By a cycle or a periodic circuit, we mean a periodic orbit. By a non-trivial circuit, we mean either a cycle or a continuous image of a circle which is a graph but not a singleton and which is the union of separatrices and finitely many singular points. A circuit is either a trivial or non-trivial circuit. Note that there are circuits with infinitely many edges and that any non-trivial non-periodic circuit contains non-closed proper orbits. An open annular subset $A$ of a surface is a collar of a non-trivial circuit $\gamma$ if $\gamma$ is a boundary component of $A$ and there is a neighborhood $U$ of $\gamma$ such that $A$ is a connected component of the complement $U - \gamma$. Recall that a boundary component of a subset $A$ is a connected component of the boundary of $A$. A non-trivial circuit is with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points if there is a point $x$ in the circuit such that for any small transverse open arc $I$ containing $x$, the first return map on $I$ is non-orientable and has a nonempty domain but no periodic points. A circuit $\gamma$ is an attracting (resp. repelling) circuit with respect to a small collar $A$ if $\omega(x) = \gamma$ (resp. $\alpha(x) = \gamma$) and $O^+(x) \subset A$ (resp. $O^-(x) \subset A$) for any point $x \in A$. Then $A$ is called an attracting (resp. a repelling) collar basin of $\gamma$. A non-trivial circuit $\gamma$ is a limit circuit if it contains a non-closed proper orbit. A trivial quasi-circuit is a cycle or a singular point. A closed connected invariant subset is a non-trivial quasi-circuit if it is a boundary component of an open annulus, and contains a non-closed proper orbit, and consists of non-closed proper orbits and singular points. Then the open annulus is called a collar of the non-trivial quasi-circuit. A quasi-circuit is either a trivial or non-trivial quasi-circuit. A quasi-circuit $\gamma$ is an attracting (resp. repelling) quasi-circuit with respect to a small collar $A$ if there is a point $x \in A$ with $O^+(x) \subset A$ (resp. $O^-(x) \subset A$) such that $\omega(x) = \gamma$ (resp. $\alpha(x) = \gamma$). Then $A$ is called an attracting (resp. a repelling) collar basin of $\gamma$. A non-trivial quasi-circuit $\gamma$ is a limit quasi-circuit if it is an attracting or repelling quasi-circuit (i.e. either $\alpha(x) = \gamma$ or $\omega(x) = \gamma$ for some point $x \not\in \gamma$). Note that a collar basin of a circuit $\gamma$ is a collar of $\gamma$.

2.6. **Topological notions.** A (quasi-)minimal set is exceptional (resp. locally dense) if it is a closure of an orbit intersecting $E$ (resp. LD). Note that exceptional
(quasi-)minimal set is transversely a Cantor set (i.e. there is a small neighborhood $U$ of a recurrent point of the minimal set $M$ such that $M \cap U$ is a product of an open interval and a Cantor set) because a Cantor set is characterized as a compact metrizable perfect totally disconnected space. Recall that the (orbit) class $\hat{O}$ of an orbit $O$ is the union of orbits each of whose orbit closure corresponds to $\overline{O}$ (i.e. $\hat{O} = \{y \in S \mid \overline{O(y)} = \overline{O}\}$). Denote by $\partial A := \overline{A} - \text{int}A$ the boundary of a subset $A \subseteq S$. We define the border $\partial^- A$ (or $\delta A$) by $A - \text{int}A$ of a subset $A \subseteq S$. Denote by $\partial^+ A := \overline{A} - A$ the coborder of a subset $A \subseteq S$. Then $\partial A = \partial^- A \cup \partial^+ A = \delta A \cup \partial^+ A$. A one-dimensional cell complex is essential if it is not null homologous with respect to the relative homology group $H_1(S,\partial S; \mathbb{Z})$. Note that a one-dimensional cell complex $\gamma$ is essential in a compact surface $S$ if and only if $\gamma$ is not null homotopic in $S^*$, where $S^*$ is the resulting closed surface from $S$ by collapsing all boundary components into singletons. A subset of $S$ is essential if it is not null homotopic in $S^*$. We call that a singular point is a compressed center if for any its neighborhood $U$, there is an open neighborhood $V \subset U$ whose boundary is a periodic orbit. Note that a center is a compressed center.

2.7. Transversality. Recall that a curve is a continuous mapping $C : I \to S$ where $I$ is a non-degenerate connected subset of a circle $S^1$. A curve is simple if it is injective. We also denote by $C$ the image of a curve $C$. Denote by $\partial C := \partial(\partial I)$ the boundary of a curve $C$, where $\partial I$ is the boundary of $I \subseteq S^1$. Put $\text{int}C := C \setminus \partial C$. A simple closed curve is a simple closed curve if its domain is $S^1$ (i.e. $I = S^1$). A simple closed curve is also called a loop. A curve $C$ is transverse to $v$ at a point $p \in \text{int}C$ if there are a small neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and a homeomorphism $h : U \to [-1, 1]^2$ with $h(p) = 0$ such that $h^{-1}([[-1, 1] \times \{t\})$ for any $t \in [-1, 1]$ is an orbit arc and $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [-1, 1]) = C \cap U$. Here an orbit arc is an arc contained in an orbit. A curve $C$ is transverse to $v$ at a point $p \in \partial C \cap \partial S$ (resp. $p \in \partial C \setminus \partial S$) if there are a small neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and a homeomorphism $h : U \to [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$ (resp. $h : U \to [-1, 1]^2$) with $h(p) = 0$ such that $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [-1, 1] \times \{t\})$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $t \in [-1, 1]$) is an orbit arc and $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [0, 1]) = C \cap U$ (resp. $h^{-1}(\{0\} \times [-1, 1]) = C \cap U$). A simple curve $C$ is transverse to $v$ if so is it at any point in $C$. A simple curve $C$ is transverse to $v$ is called a transverse arc. A simple closed curve is a closed transversal if it transverses to $v$.

An $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of a point is a quasi-Q set if it intersects an essential closed transversal infinitely many times. Note that a quasi-Q set need not be arcwise-connected (see Figure 20). We will show that a Q-set is a quasi-Q set (see Lemma 6.5). Conversely, if $v$ has at most finitely many singular points, then a quasi-Q set is a Q-set (see Lemma 6.5). A subset is locally dense if its closure has nonempty interior.

2.8. One- and two-sided circuits. A non-trivial circuit $\gamma$ is one-sided if for any small neighborhood $U$ of $\gamma$ there is a collar $V \subset U$ of $\gamma$ such that the union $V \cup \gamma$ is a neighborhood of some point in $P \cap \gamma$. A non-trivial circuit $\gamma$ is two-sided if it is not one-sided (i.e. there is a small neighborhood $U$ of $\gamma$ such that the union $V \cup \gamma$ for any collar $V \subset U$ of $\gamma$ is not a neighborhood of any point in $P \cap \gamma$). Notice that a loop is one-sided if and only if it is either a boundary component of a surface or has a small neighborhood which is a Möbius band, and that a loop $\gamma$ is two-sided if and only if it has an open small annular neighborhood $A$ such that the complement $A - \gamma$ consists of two open annuli.
2.9. (Multi-)saddle connection diagrams. A connecting separatrix is a (multi-)saddle separatrix if each of its $\alpha$-limit and $\omega$-limit set is a (multi-)saddle. The (multi-)saddle connection diagram $D$ is the union of (multi-)saddles and (multi-)saddle separatrices. A (multi-)saddle connection is a connected component of the (multi-)saddle connection diagram. Note that a multi-saddle connection is also called a poly-cycle.

2.10. Self-connectedness. A connecting separatrix is a self-connected separatrix if either its $\omega$-limit set and $\alpha$-limit set correspond or it connects multi-saddles on the same boundary component. Note that a homoclinic multi-saddle separatrix is self-connected and a heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrix outside of the boundary is not self-connected. A (multi-)saddle connection is self-connected if all the separatrices are self-connected. The (multi-)saddle connection diagram is self-connected if so is each connected component.

2.11. Ss-multi-saddle connection diagrams. An invariant subset is an ss-component if it is either a sink, a $\partial$-sink, a source, a $\partial$-source, a limit circuit, or an exceptional Q-set. A separatrix is an ss-separatrix if it connects a multi-saddle and an ss-component. In other words, a separatrix whose $\omega$-limit set is a multi-saddle is an ss-separatrix if its $\alpha$-limit set is an ss-component. A separatrix whose $\alpha$-limit set is a multi-saddle is an ss-separatrix if its $\omega$-limit set is an ss-component. The ss-multi-saddle connection diagram $D_{ss}$ is the union of multi-saddles, multi-saddle separatrices, ss-separatrices, and ss-components. An ss-multi-saddle connection is a connected component of the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram. Note that $D_{ss}$ is the union of multi-saddle connection diagram $D$, ss-separatrices, and ss-components.

2.12. Properties of (quasi-)circuits. A non-trivial non-periodic limit (quasi-)circuit $\gamma$ is a strict limit (quasi-)circuit if either $\gamma$ is one-sided, or for any separatrix $\mu \subseteq \gamma$, there is a transverse open arc $T$ intersecting $\mu$ such that $f_v|_{\overline{T_1}}$ is either attracting or repelling, and that $f_v|_{\overline{T_2}}$ has no fixed points where $f_v: T \to T$ is the first return map, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the two connected components of $T \setminus \mu$. A limit cycle is a limit (quasi-)circuit in $\text{Per}(v)$. A non-trivial circuit $\gamma$ is a directed circuit if there are a small open annulus $A \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P$ whose boundary component is the directed circuit $\gamma$ and a continuous surjection $h: S^1 \times [0,1) \to A \sqcup \gamma$ such that the restriction $h|_{S^1 \times (0,1)}: S^1 \times (0,1) \to A$ is homeomorphic, the restriction $h|_{S^1 \times \{0\}}: S^1 \times \{0\} \to \gamma$ is surjective, the restriction $h|_{(S^1 \times \{0\}) \setminus \text{Sing}(v)}$ is injective, called an immersion except singular points, and the image $h(\{x\} \times (0,1))$ for any point $x \in S$ is a transverse open arc to $v$. Then the annulus $A$ is called an associated collar of $\gamma$ and the restriction $h|_{S^1 \times \{0\}}: S^1 \times \{0\} \to \gamma$ is called an associated piecewise injection of the directed circuit $\gamma$. Note that a directed circuit is not a local injection in general (see Figure 21). Here a mapping is a local injection if for any point in a domain there is its neighborhood to which the restriction is injection.

2.13. Flows of finite type. A flow is of finite type if 1) it is quasi-regular, 2) there are at most finitely many limit cycles, and 3) $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$ (i.e. there are no non-closed recurrent orbits).
2.14. **Border points.** Denote by $\partial_{\text{Per}}$ the union of periodic orbits in $\partial S \cap \text{int Per}(v)$. Moreover, denote by $P_{\text{ms}}$ (resp. $P_{\text{ss}}$) the union of multi-saddle separatrices (resp. ss-separatrices) in int$P$. Define $P_{\text{lc}} := \{ \gamma \subset \text{int } P \cap \text{Sing}(v) : \text{limit circuit} \} \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$. In other words, the set $P_{\text{lc}}$ is the intersection of int$P$ and the union of limit circuits. In addition, define $\partial_P$ the union of non-closed proper orbits on $\partial S \cap \text{int } P$ which is not an ss-separatrix. Note that compactness of $S$ implies that $\partial_P$ is a finite union of orbits. Put $P_{\text{sep}} := (P_{\text{lc}} \cup P_{\text{ms}}) \cup P_{\text{ss}} \cup \partial_P$. If $v$ is quasi-regular, then $P_{\text{lc}} \subseteq P_{\text{ms}}$. Define the strictly border point set $\text{Bd}$ by $\text{Bd} := \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial P \cup \partial \text{LD} \cup \partial \text{E} \cup \partial_{\text{Per}}$. Denote by $\text{Per}_1$ the union of one-sided periodic orbits in $\text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \setminus \partial_{\text{Per}})$. Define the border point set $\text{Bd} := \text{Bd} \cup \text{Per}_1$.

2.15. **Orders.** A binary relation on a set is a pre-order if it is antisymmetric and transitive. A binary relation on a set is a partial order if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive. A poset is a set with a partial order. A partial order is a total order (or linear order) if either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$ for any points $a, b$ (i.e. there is no pair of two incomparable points).

2.16. **Heights of orbits.** For a subset $A$ and a point $x$ of a topological space $(X, \tau)$, an abbreviated form of the singleton $\{x\}$ (resp. the difference $A \setminus \{x\}$, the point closure $\overline{\{x\}}$) will be $x$ (resp. $A - x$, $\overline{\tau}$). The specialization pre-order $\leq_{\tau}$ on a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is defined as follows: $x \leq_{\tau} y$ if $x \in \overline{\tau}$. Define the upset $\uparrow x := \{ y \in P \mid x \leq_{\tau} y \}$, the downset $\downarrow x := \{ y \in P \mid y \leq_{\tau} x \}$, and the class $\hat{x} := \downarrow x \cap \uparrow x$. Then the set of classes is a decomposition and so its quotient space is a $T_0$ space, which is called the $T_0$-ification of $X$ and denoted by $\hat{X}$. Denote by $\text{max } X$ (resp. $\text{min } X$) the set of maximal (resp. minimal) points. Note that $\downarrow x = \overline{\tau}$ and that the set $\text{min } X$ of minimal points in $X$ is the set of points whose classes are closed. Define the upset $\uparrow A := \bigcup_{x \in A} \uparrow x$, the downset $\downarrow A := \bigcup_{x \in A} \downarrow x$, the class $\hat{A} := \bigcup_{x \in \hat{A}} \hat{x}$, $\uparrow A := \hat{A} - \hat{A}$, and $\downarrow A := \downarrow A - \hat{A}$. Notice that $\uparrow x = \hat{x} - \hat{x}$, $\downarrow x = \hat{x} - \hat{x}$, $\downarrow A \subseteq \hat{A}$, and $\downarrow A \subseteq \hat{A} = \partial^+_A$. Define the height $\text{ht}_{\tau}(x)$ of $x$ by $\text{ht}_{\tau}(x) := \sup \{ \# C - 1 \mid C : \text{chain containing } x \text{ as the maximal point} \}$. Recall that a chain is a totally ordered subset of a pre-ordered set. Define the height of the empty set is $-1$. The height $\text{ht}_{\tau}(A)$ of a nonempty subset $A \subseteq X$ is defined by $\text{ht}_{\tau}(A) := \sup_{x \in A} \text{ht}_{\tau}(x)$.

2.17. **Orbit (class) spaces.** For a flow $v$ on a compact surface $S$, the orbit space $T/v$ (resp. orbit class space $T/\hat{v}$) of a saturated subset $T$ of $S$ is a quotient space $T/\sim$ defined by $x \sim y$ if $O(x) = O(y)$ (resp. $O(x) = O(y)$). Notice that an orbit space $T/v$ is the set of orbit contained in $T$ as a set. Denote by $\tau_v$ (resp. $\tau_{\hat{v}}$) the topology of the orbit space $S/v$ (resp. orbit class space $S/\hat{v}$). Note that the orbit class space $S/\hat{v}$ is the $T_0$-ification of the orbit space $S/v$, and that $T/v$ (resp. $T/\hat{v}$) is a subset of the orbit space $S/v$ (resp. orbit class space $S/\hat{v}$). For a point $x \in S$, define the height of $x$ by the height of the point $\hat{x}$ in $S/\hat{v}$ and write $\text{ht}(x) := \text{ht}_{\tau_{\hat{v}}}(\hat{x})$. The height $\text{ht}(A)$ of a subset $A \subseteq S$ is defined by $\text{ht}(A) := \sup_{x \in A} \text{ht}(x)$. Then the compatible pre-order $\leq$ on $S$, called the specialization pre-order of the quotient topology $\tau_v$, is defined as follows: $x \leq y$ if $\hat{x} \leq_{\tau_{\hat{v}}} \hat{y}$. Denote by $S_k$ the set of points of height $k$.

2.18. **Center disks, sink disks, source disks.** A saturated closed disk is a center disk if it is a union of one center and periodic orbits and is a neighborhood of the center. A closed disk is a sink (resp. source) disk if its boundary is a closed
transversal and its interior consists of one sink (resp. source) and of orbit arcs of non-closed proper orbits (see Figure 3).

2.19. Operations. Define an operation $C_t$ as cutting an essential closed transversal and pasting one sink disk and one source disk, an operation $C_o$ as cutting an essential periodic orbit and pasting one or two center disks, and an operation $C_d$ as removing an essential one-sided (resp. two-sided) loop in $D$ and pasting a double covering (resp. two copies) of the loop to the new boundary (resp. new two boundary components) as in Figure 4. Recall that a Cherry blow-up operation replaces a flow box with a Cherry flow box as shown in Figure 5. Denote by $Ch$ an inverse operation of a Cherry blow-up operation to a multi-saddle separatrix as shown in Figure 6.

2.20. Graphs. Recall an ordered triple $G := (V, E, r)$ is an abstract multi-graph if $V$ and $E$ are sets and $r : E \to \{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in V\}$. An ordered triple $G = (V, E, r)$ is an abstract multi-graph with $\theta$-hyper-edges if $V$ and $E$ are sets and $r : E \to \{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in V\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. A graph is a cell complex whose dimension is at most
Figure 6. Inverse operation of a Cherry blow-up operation $\text{Ch}_k$ to a separatrix from a $k$-saddle to a sink

2.21. Multi-graphs as posets. A poset $P$ is said to be multi-graph-like if the height of $P$ is at most one and $| \downarrow x | \leq 3$ for any element $x \in P$. For a multi-graph-like poset $P$, each element of $P_0$ is called a vertex and each element of $P_1$ is called an edge. Then an abstract multi-graph $G$ can be considered as a multi-graph-like poset $(P, \leq_G)$ with $V = P_0$ and $E = P_1$ as follows: $P = V \cup E$ and $x <_G e$ if $x \in r(e)$. Conversely, a multi-graph-like poset $P$ can be considered as an abstract multi-graph with $V = P_0$, $E = P_1$, and $r : P_1 \to \{ \{ x, y \} \mid x, y \in V \}$ defined by $r(e) := \downarrow e - \{ e \}$.

2.22. Reeb domains. An open saturated annulus $A \subseteq P$ of a surface $S$ is called a Reeb domain with respect to a flow on $S$ (see Figure 7) if there are two limit circuits $\gamma_-, \gamma_+$ with $\partial A = \gamma_- \cup \gamma_+$ and $A \subseteq W^u(\gamma_-) \cap W^s(\gamma_+)$ such that the flow directions of $\gamma_-$ and $\gamma_+$ with respect to the metric completion of $A$ are opposite, where $W^u(\gamma_-)$ is the unstable manifold of $\gamma_-$ and $W^s(\gamma_+)$ is the stable manifold of $\gamma_+$. Note that a Reeb domain is a generalization of a Reeb component. Here a Reeb component is a Reeb domain each of whose boundary is a periodic orbit.

2.23. Dimensions. By dimension, we mean the small inductive dimension. By Urysohn’s theorem, the Lebesgue covering dimension, the small inductive dimension, and the large inductive dimension corresponded in normal spaces. A compact metrizable space $X$ whose inductive dimension is $n > 0$ is an $n$-dimensional Cantor-manifold if the complement $X - L$ for any closed subset $L$ of $X$ whose inductive dimension is less than $n - 1$ is connected. It is known that a compact connected manifold is a Cantor-manifold [14].
2.24. **Canonical local structures.** Let $D$ be an oriented open flow box on $(0,1)^2$ whose orbits are of form $(0,1) \times \{t\}$ for some $t \in (0,1)$, $A_+$ an oriented open annulus $\{(x,y) \mid 1 < x^2 + y^2 < 2\}$ whose orbits are $\{(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta) \mid \theta \in [0,2\pi]\}$ with anti-clockwise flow direction for some $t \in (1,2)$, and $A_\sim$ an oriented open annulus $\{(x,y) \mid 1 < x^2 + y^2 < 2\}$ whose orbits are $\{(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta) \mid 1 < t < 2\}$ with outward flow direction for some $\theta \in [0,2\pi)$ as Figure 8. We call $D$ (resp. $A_+$, $A_\sim$) a canonical (open) trivial flow box (with rightward flow direction) (resp. a canonical (open) periodic annulus (with anti-clockwise flow direction), a canonical (open) transverse annulus (with outward flow direction)). A saturated open subset $U$ is a (open) trivial flow box (resp. periodic annulus, transverse annulus) if the restriction to $U$ of a flow is topologically equivalent to the flow on $D$ (resp. $A_+$, $A_\sim$) via an orientation-preserving topological conjugacy. We call that the maximal three local domains are canonical regions. Note that definition of a canonical region of $v$ for the orbit complex [27, 29, 30] is slightly different from our definitions.

2.25. **Vertical boundary of a saturated open subset by flows.** For a saturated open subset $A$, define the vertical boundary $\partial_\perp A$ of $A$ as follows:

$$\partial_\perp^\alpha A := (\bigcup_{x \in A} \alpha(x)) \setminus A$$

$$\partial_\perp^\omega A := (\bigcup_{x \in A} \omega(x)) \setminus A$$

$$\partial_\perp A := \partial_\perp^\alpha A \cup \partial_\perp^\omega A$$

We call that $\partial_\perp^\alpha A$ (resp. $\partial_\perp^\omega A$, $\partial_\perp A$) is the $\alpha$-vertical (resp. $\omega$-vertical, vertical) boundary. For an oriented trivial flow box $B \cong D$, the $\alpha$-vertical (resp. $\omega$-vertical)
In fact, there is a flow on an orientable closed surface $\Sigma$ of genus two with locally connected boundary, define the transverse boundary component of $\partial_1 B$ corresponding to $\{0\} \times (0,1)$ (resp. $\{1\} \times (0,1)$) (see the figure to the left in Figure 8). For an open transverse annulus $B \cong \mathbb{A}_1$, the vertical boundary components are empty (i.e. $\partial_{\perp}^v B = \partial_{\perp}^v B := \emptyset$). For an open transverse annulus $B \cong \mathbb{A}_1$, the $\alpha$-vertical (resp. $\omega$-vertical) boundary $\partial_{\perp}^v B$ (resp. $\partial_{\perp}^v B$) is the boundary component of $\partial_1 B$ corresponding to $\{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \mid \theta \in [0,2\pi]\}$ (resp. $\{(2 \cos \theta, 2 \sin \theta) \mid \theta \in [0,2\pi]\}$) (see the figure to the right in Figure 8).

2.26. **Transverse boundary of a saturated open subset by flows.** For a saturated open subset $A$ with locally connected boundary, define the transverse boundary $\partial_{\perp} A$ of $A$ as follows: Put $\Gamma := \bigcup_{x \in A} \alpha'_i(x) \cup \omega'_i(x)$. Let $S_1$ be the metric completion of $S - \Gamma$, $\partial := S_1 - (S - \Gamma)$ the new boundary of $S_1$, and $\pi : S_1 \to S$ the natural continuous surjection associated to the metric completion such that a restriction $\pi|_{\partial} : \partial \to \Gamma$ is an immersion except singular points and a restriction $\pi_{S_1 - \partial} : S_1 - \partial \to S - \Gamma$ is an identity mapping. Then we define

$$\partial_{\perp} A := \pi \left( \partial_{S_1} A - \bigcup_{x \in A} (\alpha'_i(x) \cup \omega'_i(x)) \right)$$

where $v_1$ is the resulting flow induced by $v$, $\partial_{S_1} A$ is the boundary of $A$ in $S_1$, $\alpha'_i(x)$ is the $\alpha'$-limit set of $x$ with respect to $v_1$, and $\omega'_i(x)$ is the $\omega'$-limit set of $x$ with respect to $v_1$. Note that $\partial_{\perp} A \cap \partial_{\perp} A \neq \emptyset$ and that metric completions are necessary. In fact, there is a flow on an orientable closed surface $\Sigma_2$ with genus two which consists of two singular points $x_1$ and $x_2$ and non-closed proper orbits with the strict border set $BD = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} v_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} O_i$ such that the complement $\Sigma_2 - BD$ is a trivial flow box $U$ (see Figure 8) with $\partial_{\perp} U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} v_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{5} O_i \neq O_3 \cup O_4 \cup O_5 = \partial U - \bigcup_{x \in U} (\alpha'(x) \cup \omega'(x)) = \partial U - \partial_{\perp} U$.

2.27. **Orders induced by flows.** Define a pre-order $\preceq_{\alpha}$ (resp. $\preceq_{\omega}$) on the orbit class space $S/\hat{v}$ as follows:

$\hat{x} \preceq_{\alpha} \hat{y}$ if either either $x \in \alpha(y)$ or $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$

$\hat{x} \preceq_{\omega} \hat{y}$ if either $x \in \omega(y)$ or $\hat{x} = \hat{y}$
Similarly, define a pre-order $\leq_\alpha$ (resp. $\leq_\omega$) on $S$ as follows:

$$x \leq_\alpha y \text{ if } \dot{x} \leq_\alpha \dot{y}$$

$$x \leq_\omega y \text{ if } \dot{x} \leq_\omega \dot{y}$$

Moreover, define a pre-order $\leq_\alpha$ (resp. $\leq_\omega$) on the power set $2^S$ as follows:

$$A \leq_\alpha B \text{ if either } A = B \text{ or } a \leq_\alpha b \text{ for any } a \in A, b \in B$$

$$A \leq_\omega B \text{ if either } A = B \text{ or } a \leq_\omega b \text{ for any } a \in A, b \in B$$

Define a pre-order $\leq_n$ for saturated subsets as follows:

$$A \leq_n B \text{ if either } A \subseteq \partial_n B \text{ or } A = B$$

2.28. Left and right transverse boundary components of canonical regions. Let $v$ be a quasi-regular flow with $E = \emptyset$ on a compact surface. For an oriented trivial flow box $B \cong \mathbb{D}$, denote by $\partial^L_\infty B$ (resp. $\partial^R_\infty B$) the boundary component of $\partial_\infty B$ corresponding to $(0,1) \times \{0\}$ (resp. $(0,1) \times \{1\}$) (see the figure to the left in Figure 3). For an open periodic annulus $B \cong \mathbb{A}$, denote by $\partial^L_\infty B$ (resp. $\partial^R_\infty B$) the boundary component of $\partial_\infty B$ corresponding to $\{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \mid \theta \in [0,2\pi]\}$ (resp. $\{(2\cos \theta, 2\sin \theta) \mid \theta \in [0,2\pi]\}$) (see the figure to the middle in Figure 3). For an open transverse annulus $B \cong \mathbb{A}_+$, define $\partial^L_\infty B = \partial^R_\infty B := \emptyset$. We call that $\partial^R_\infty B$ (resp. $\partial^L_\infty B$) is the right (resp. left) transverse boundary.

2.29. A path order on a path on the transverse boundary of a trivial flow box. Let $\mu_R := (0,1) \times \{0\}$ be an open interval, $\mathbb{D} := (0,1) \times (0,1)$ a canonical trivial flow box whose orbits are of form $(0,1) \times \{t\}$, $U$ an oriented open flow box, and $h_R : (0,1) \times [0,1] = \mathbb{D} \cup \mu_R \to U \cup \partial^R_\infty U$ a mapping such that the restriction $h_R|_{\mathbb{D}} : \mathbb{D} \to U$ is an orientation-preserving topological conjugacy and the restriction $h_R|_{\mu_R} : \mu_R \to \partial^R_\infty U$ is an immersion except singular points. Since an immersion except singular points lifts orbits into the domain, the interval $\mu_R$ can be considered as the union of orbits. Note that the restriction $h_R|_{\mu_R}$ need not be injective. Suppose that the interval $\mu_R$ consists of finitely many orbits. Define an equivalence relation $\sim_{\partial^R_\infty U}$ on $\mu_R$ as follows: $x \sim y$ if there is a connected component $J$ of $h_R^{-1}(O)$ for some orbit $O$ in $\partial^R_\infty U$ such that $J$ contains $x$ and $y$. Then $\mu_R/\sim_{\partial^R_\infty U}$ is a finite set with the total order $(J_1,J_2,\ldots,J_n)$ (i.e. $J_1 < J_2 < \cdots < J_n$) induced by the interval $\mu_R$ such that either $\omega(J_i) = J_{i+1}$ or $J_i = \alpha(J_{i+1})$ for any $i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$. We call a sequence $(h_R(J_1),h_R(J_2),\ldots,h_R(J_n))$ of orbits in $\partial^R_\infty U$ a path order of $\partial^R_\infty U$ and denoted by $\leq_{\partial_\infty R}$ (resp. $\leq_{\partial^R_\infty U}$) with respect to $U$ (i.e. $h_R(J_1) \leq_{\partial_\infty R} h_R(J_2) \leq_{\partial_\infty R} \cdots \leq_{\partial_\infty R} h_R(J_n)$) with respect to $U$. Note that path orders need not be total orders because $h_R|_{\mu_R}$ is not injective in general. Notice that we can define a path order unless the assumption that $\mu_R$ consists of finitely many orbits in a similar way. Similarly, we define a path order $\leq_{\partial^L_\infty U}$ of the left transverse boundary $\partial^L_\infty U$.

2.30. A spiral direction for a region whose $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set is a circuit. Let $\gamma$ be a non-trivial circuit and $U$ either an oriented trivial flow box or an oriented transverse annulus each of whose $\omega$-limit set is $\gamma$. The spiral direction $\mathcal{D}_{\partial_\infty^2 U}$ is $L$ (resp. $R$) if $U$ rotates anti-clockwise (resp. clockwise) as the upper part of Figure 10. The spiral direction $\mathcal{D}_{\partial_\infty^1 U}$ is $L$ (resp. $R$) if $U$ rotates anti-clockwise (resp. clockwise) as the lower part of Figure 10. Note that the direction
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Figure 10. Schematic pictures and their spiral structures of triv-
ial flow boxes and transverse annuli

with respect to the local orientation on the box or annulus is well-defined even if
the limit circuit \( \gamma \) is contained in a non-orientable compact surface.

2.31. **A cyclic order.** Define a cyclic relation \( \sim_c \) on a finite set \( F \) with \( n \) points as
follows: \((O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n) \sim_c (O_{i_1}, O_{i_2}, \ldots, O_{i_n})\) if \( F = \{O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n\} \) and there
is an integer \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \) such that \( j - i_j \equiv k \mod n \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). An
equivalence class is called a cyclic class of \( F \) and denoted by \([O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n]\). Note
that a cyclic class of a \( n \)-point sets corresponds to a \( n \)-point subset of an oriented
circle.

2.32. **A circuit order on circuits.** Each \((\partial-)\)sink (resp. \((\partial-)\)source) \( x \) with a
basin \( A \) has the trivial order on the singular point, called a trivial circuit or-
dened by \( \leq_A (x) \). Each center \( x \) with a periodic annulus \( U \) has the trivial order
on the singular point, called a trivial circuit order and denoted by \( \leq_U (x) \). Let
\( \gamma \) be a non-periodic directed circuit with a collar \( A \) with an associated piecewise
injection \( h|_{S^1 \times \{0\}} : S^1 \times \{0\} \to \gamma \). Then the composition \( p_\theta := h \circ p^{-1} : S^1 \to \gamma \)
is an immersion except singular points, called a directed circuit with the spiral
direction for \( A \), where \( p : S^1 \times \{0\} \to S^1 \) is the canonical projection. Since an
immersion except singular points lifts orbits into the domain, the circle \( S^1 \times \{0\} \) can
be considered as the union of orbits. Suppose that the circuit \( \gamma \) consists of finitely
many orbits. Define an equivalence relation \( \sim_A \) on \( S^1 \) as follows: \( x \sim y \) if there is a
connected component \( I \) of \( p_\theta^{-1}(O) \) for some orbit \( O \) in \( \gamma \) such that \( I \) contains \( x \) and
\( y \). Then \( S^1 / \sim_A \) is a finite set with the induced cyclic order \([J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n]\) by the
circle \( S^1 \) such that either \( \omega(J_i) = J_{i+1} \) or \( J_i = a(J_{i+1}) \) for any \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \),
where \( J_0 := J_n \). Consider a sequence \((p_\theta(J_1), p_\theta(J_2), \ldots, p_\theta(J_n))\) of orbits in \( \gamma \)
and define an equivalence relation \( \approx \) as follows: \( (p\beta(J_1), p\beta(J_2), \ldots, p\beta(J_n)) \sim (p\beta(J_1), p\beta(J_2), \ldots, p\beta(J_n)) \) if there is an integer \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) such that \( j + k = i_j \) for any \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), where \( J_{n+i} := J_i \) for \( l = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \). We call an equivalence class a circuit order of \( \gamma \) and denoted by \( \leq_{\lambda}(\gamma) \). Note that a circuit class is a quotient space of a cyclic order. Moreover, notice that we can distinguished one-sided directed circuits from two-sided directed circuit by using circuit orders. If the circuit \( \gamma \) is repelling (resp. attracting) on a basin \( A \), then a circuit order \( \leq_{\lambda}(\gamma) \) is denoted by \( \leq_{\lambda}^{\omega}(A) \) (resp. \( \leq_{\lambda}^{\omega}(A) \)). Moreover, if a canonical region \( U \) intersect the repelling (resp. attracting) basin \( A \), then we also write \( \leq_{\lambda}(U) \) (resp. \( \leq_{\lambda}^{\omega}(U) \)). If the circuit \( \gamma \) is the right (resp. left) transverse boundary of a periodic annulus \( U \), then a circuit order \( \leq_U(\gamma) \) is denoted by \( \leq_{\lambda}^{\omega}(U) \) (resp. \( \leq_{\lambda}^{\omega}(U) \)). Notice that we can define a circuit order unless the assumption that \( \gamma \) consists of finitely many orbits in a similar way.

3. Properties of surface flows

Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact connected surface \( S \). Note that the closedness of the singular point set implies \( \delta P = P - \text{int} P = P \cap \text{Per}(v) \cup LD \cup E = P \cap (\partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial^+ LD \cup \partial^+ E) \). We describe coborders \( \partial^+ A = \overline{A} - A \).

**Lemma 3.1.** The following statements hold for a flow \( v \) on a compact surface \( S \):
1. \( \partial^+ LD \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \).
2. \( \partial^+ E \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \).
3. \( \partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \).
4. \( \partial^+ \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset \).
5. \( \partial^+ P \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta LD \cup \delta E \).

In particular, we have \( \partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial^+ LD \cup \partial^+ E \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \).

**Proof.** As mentioned, it is known that a total number of Q-sets for \( v \) is bounded \( \leq \). By Proposition 2.2 \( \leq \), the assertions 1) and 2) hold. Lemma 2.3 \( \leq \) implies that \( \text{Per}(v) \cap (LD \cup E) = \emptyset \) and so \( \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \delta \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta P \). The closedness of the singular point set \( \text{Sing}(v) \) implies \( \partial^+ \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset \). By definition of \( \partial^+ \), the assertion 5) holds. \( \square \)

In general, the intersection \( \partial^+ P \cap \delta LD \) is not empty even if singular points are countable. In fact, there is a toral flow with \( \partial LD = \partial P = T^2 \) (cf. Example 2.10 \( \leq \)) such that isolated singular points converge to a singular point. Since \( \text{LD} = \overline{T}^2 = \overline{P} \), we have that \( \partial^+ P \cap \delta LD = \partial^+ P = T^2 - P \). We characterize the border \( \delta P = P - \text{int} P \) of the union \( P \).

**Lemma 3.2.** \( \delta P = (\partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial^+ LD \cup \partial^+ E) \setminus \text{Sing}(v) \).

**Proof.** Lemma 3.1 implies that \( \partial^+ (\text{Per}(v) \cup LD \cup E) \setminus \text{Sing}(v) = (\partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial^+ LD \cup \partial^+ E) \setminus \text{Sing}(v) \subseteq \delta P \). Conversely, the closedness of \( \text{Sing}(v) \) implies that \( \delta P = P - \text{int} P = P \cap S - P = S - \overline{P} = (S - P) = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v) \cup LD \cup E = (\text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v) \cup LD \cup E) \setminus \text{Sing}(v) \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( I \) be a transverse arc and \( x \in I \) such that \( |I \cap O(x)| = \infty \). Then there are an orbit arc \( C \) in \( O(x) \) and a transverse closed arc \( J \subseteq I \) such that the union \( \mu := J \cup C \) is a loop with \( C \cap J = \partial C = \partial J \) and that the return map along
Figure 11. The waterfall construction

\[ C \text{ is orientation-preserving between neighborhoods of } \partial C \text{ in } I. \text{ Moreover, there is a closed transversal } \gamma \text{ intersecting } O(x) \text{ near } \mu. \]

**Proof.** By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that \( O^+(x) \cap \text{int } I = \infty \). Fix a point \( x_0 \in O^+(x) \cap \text{int } I \). Let \( f_v : I \to I \) be the first return map on \( I \) induced by \( v \), \( x_i := (f_v)^i(x_0) \) the \( i \)-th return of \( x_0 \), \( C_{a,b} \subset O^+(x) \) the orbit arc from \( a \) to \( b \), and \( I_{a,b} \subset I \) the subinterval between \( a \) and \( b \) of \( I \). We may assume that \( x_0 < x_1 \).

If the restriction of \( f_v \) to a neighborhood of \( x_0 \) is orientation-preserving, then the first return map along \( C_{x_0,x_1} \) is desired. Thus we may assume that the restriction of \( f_v \) to a neighborhood of \( x_i \) for any \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) is orientation-reversing. Suppose that \( x_2 < x_1 \). Since the first return map along \( C_{x_1,x_2} \) is orientation-reversing, the first return map for \( I_{x_2,x_0} \) along \( C_{x_0,x_2} \) is orientation-preserving such that a pair of \( C := C_{x_0,x_2} \) and \( J := I_{x_2,x_0} \) is desired. Suppose that \( x_i < x_{i+1} \) for any \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

Then each pair of loops \( \gamma_i := C_{x_i,x_{i+1}} \cup I_{x_{i+1},x_2} \) has disjoint neighborhoods each of which is a Möbius band. This means that \( S \) has infinitely many non-orientable genus, which contradicts the compactness of \( S \).

Moreover, by the waterfall construction to the loop \( \mu \) (see Figure 11), there is a closed transversal \( \gamma \) intersecting \( O(x) \) near \( \mu \). \qed

**Lemma 3.4.** For any point \( x \in LD \sqcup E \), there is a closed transversal \( \gamma \) through \( O(x) \) such that the intersection \( \gamma \cap O(x) \) is infinite. Moreover each closed transversal through a point \( y \) in \( LD \sqcup E \) is essential and intersects \( O(y) \) infinitely many times.

**Proof.** Fix a point \( x \in LD \sqcup E \) and a transverse arc \( I \subset U \) such that \( x \) is the interior point of \( I \). Then \( |I \cap O(x)| = \infty \). By Lemma 3.3, there are an orbit arc \( C \in O(x) \) and a transverse closed arc \( J \subseteq I \) such that the union \( \mu := J \cup C \) is a loop with \( C \cap J = \partial C = \partial J \) and that the return map along \( C \) is orientation-preserving between neighborhoods of \( \partial C \) in \( I \). By the waterfall construction to the loop \( \mu \), there is a closed transversal \( \gamma \) intersecting \( O(x) \) near \( \mu \). Since \( x \) is non-closed recurrent, the intersection \( \gamma \cap O(x) \) is infinite. Suppose that \( \gamma \) is not essential. Let \( S^* \) be the resulting closed surface from \( S \) by collapsing all boundary components into singletons. Then \( \gamma \) is null homotopic in \( S^* \) and so the point \( x \in P \), which contradicts the recurrence of \( x \). \qed

This implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** A Q-set is a quasi-Q set.
Proof. Let $\gamma$ be a Q-set. Then there is a non-closed recurrent orbit $O \subset \gamma$. By Lemma 3.4 there is an essential closed transversal $T$ intersecting $O$ infinitely many times. □

We obtain the following description of a neighborhood of $\text{Per}(v)$.

Lemma 3.6. The union $\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$ is an open neighborhood of $\text{Per}(v)$. In particular, $\text{Per}(v) \cap \partial \overline{\text{int}P} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that $S$ is closed and orientable. Fix a point $x \in \text{Per}(v)$. Take a small collar $U \subseteq S - \text{Sing}(v)$ of $O(x)$. The flow box theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1, p.45) implies that there are an open annulus $A \subseteq U$ which is also a collar of $O(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $T_+ \subseteq A$ such that $x$ is contained in $\partial T_+$. Then there are a small subinterval $S_+ \subseteq T_+ \cap \partial T_+$ containing $x$ and the first return map $f_{v^+} : S_+ \to T_+$ induced by $v$. Then $f_{v^+}(x) = x$. Identify $T_+$ with $[0,1]$ (resp. $x$ with 0). We show that $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$. Indeed, suppose that $f_{v^+} : S_+ \to T_+$ is either attracting or repelling near zero. Then $O$ is a limit cycle and so $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$. Suppose that $f_{v^+} : S_+ \to T_+$ is neither attracting nor repelling near zero. This implies that zero is an accumulation point of the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(f_{v^+})$. Shortening $T_+$, we may assume that $f_{v^+}(1) = 1$ and so $S_+ = T_+$. Then the saturation of $T_+$ is a closed annulus, and each orbit intersecting $\text{Fix}(f_{v^+})$ is contained in $\text{Per}(v)$ and each orbit intersecting $T_+ - \text{Fix}(f_v)$ is contained in $\text{int}P$. Therefore $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$. By symmetry, there is a transverse closed arc $T_- \subseteq \overline{A}$ with $x \in \partial T_-$ such that $T_- \cap U = \emptyset$ and $\text{Sat}_v(T_+) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P)$. Then the union $T := T_- \cup T_+$ is a transverse closed arc with $x \in \text{int}T$ such that $\text{Sat}_v(T) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P)$. This implies that $\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$ is a neighborhood of $x$ and so of $\text{Per}(v)$.

Lemma 3.7. $\delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$ is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.

Proof. Obviously, each limit cycle is contained in $\delta \text{Per}(v)$. Taking the orientation double covering if necessary, we may assume that $S$ is orientable. By Lemma 3.4, the union $\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$ is an open neighborhood of $\text{Per}(v)$. Then $\delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$. Fix a periodic orbit $O \subseteq \delta \text{Per}(v)$. If $O$ is a limit cycle, then $O \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$. Thus we may assume that $O$ is not a limit cycle. Since $O \cap \text{int} \text{Per}(v) = \emptyset$, it has a collar $U$ which is contained in $\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int}P$ such that $O \subseteq U$. The flow box theorem implies that there are an open annulus $A \subseteq U$ and a transverse closed arc $T \subseteq A$ such that $A$ is a collar of $O$ and $O \cap T$ is contained in $\partial T$. Identify $T$ with $[0,1]$ (resp. $O \cap T$ with 0). Then there are a small subinterval $S \subseteq T$ containing $x$ and the first return map $f_v : S \to T$ induced by $v$. Then $f_v(0) = 0$. The fact $\subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$ implies that the first return map $f_v$ is not identical. Since $O$ is not a limit cycle, the return map $f_v : S \to T$ is neither attracting nor repelling near zero. This implies that zero is an accumulation point of the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(f_v)$. Since $O \subseteq \overline{\text{int}P}$, the transverse $T$ has a convergence sequence to zero of fixed points which are either attracting or repelling from at least one side. This means that $O$ is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles. □

We obtain the following description of a neighborhood of $E$ (resp. LD).

Lemma 3.8. $\delta E = E \subseteq \text{int}(P \cup E)$. 
Proof. By the Maier theorem [27] [21], the closure $E$ is a finite union of closures of exceptional orbits and so $\partial E = E$. Lemma 3.1 implies $E \cap \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} = \emptyset$. The closedness of $\text{Sing}(v)$ implies $E \subseteq S - \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{LD} = \text{int}(P \sqcup E)$. \hfill \qed

Lemma 3.9. $\text{LD} \subseteq \text{int}(P \sqcup \text{LD})$.

Proof. Lemma 3.1 implies $\text{LD} \cap \text{Per}(v) \sqcup E = \emptyset$. The closedness of $\text{Sing}(v)$ implies $\text{LD} \subseteq S - \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup E = \text{int}(P \sqcup \text{LD})$. \hfill \qed

Note that $\text{int}P \sqcup \text{LD}$ is not a neighborhood of $\text{LD}$ and that $\text{int}P \sqcup E$ is not a neighborhood of $E$ in general. In fact, there is a toral flow with $\partial \text{LD} = \partial P = T^2$ (cf. Example 2.10 [17]) such that $\text{LD} = T^2$ and so the union $\text{int}P \sqcup \text{LD}$ is not open. Moreover, for instance, there is a toral flow such that $\text{int}P \sqcup \text{E}$ is not a neighborhood of $E$. In fact, consider a Denjoy flow $v$ on a torus with an exceptional minimal set $M$. Fix an orbit $O \subset M$. Choose a point $x \in O$ and a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $O$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty$, $\lim_{n \to -\infty} t_n = -\infty$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to -\infty} y_n = x$, where $x_n := v_{x_n}(x)$. Using the bump function $\varphi$ with $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, replace $O$ with a union of singular points and separatrices of the resulting flow $v_x$ (i.e. $O = \text{Sing}(v_x) \sqcup \{\text{separatrix of } v_x\}$) such that $O_{x_n}(y) = O_{x_n}(y)$ for any point $y \in \mathbb{T}^2 - O$. Then $\mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Sing}(v_x) \sqcup \text{P}(v_x) \sqcup \text{E}(v_x)$, where $\text{P}(v_x)$ is the union of non-closed proper orbits of $v_x$ and $\text{E}(v_x)$ is the union of exceptional orbits of $v_x$. Since $M = \overline{O} = \text{E}(v_x)$, each neighborhood of $O$ contains $\text{E}(v_x)$ and each neighborhood of $\text{E}(v_x)$ contains $O$. Since $O \subset \text{Sing}(v_x) \sqcup \text{P}(v_x)$, we obtain $O \cap (\text{int}P(v_x) \sqcup \text{E}(v_x)) = \emptyset$. Since each neighborhood of $\text{E}(v_x)$ contains $O$, we have $\text{int}P(v_x) \sqcup \text{E}(v_x)$ is not a neighborhood of $\text{E}(v_x)$. We summaries the descriptions of neighborhoods as follows.

Proposition 3.10. Let $v$ be a flow on a compact surface $S$. The following statements hold:

1) $\text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P) = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \text{int}P$.
2) $\text{LD} \subseteq \text{int}(P \sqcup \text{LD})$.
3) $E \subseteq \text{int}(P \sqcup E)$.

4. POINCARÉ-BENDIXSON THEOREM FOR SURFACE CONTINUOUS FLOWS WITH ARBITRARILY MANY SINGULAR POINTS

We show that the infinite intersection of a transverse closed arc implies the existence of a quasi-circuit under properness conditions.

Lemma 4.1. If there is a transverse closed arc $J : [-1, 1] \to S$ with $\{J(0)\} = J([-1, 0]) \cap \omega(J(-1)) \subset O^+(J(-1)) \cap J([-1, 0])$, then the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(J(-1))$ is a quasi-circuit.

Proof. Let $J : [-1, 1] \to S$ be a transverse closed arc, $x_0 = J(-1)$ a point, $y := J(0)$ a point, and $I := J([-1, 0])$ a closed interval with $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x_0) \subset O^+(x_0) \cap I$. Since $y \in \overline{O^+(x_0) \cap I \cap \omega(x_0)}$, we obtain that $O^+(x_0) \cap I$ is infinite with $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x_0) \subset \partial I = \{x_0, y\}$ and so the point $x_0$ is non-closed proper. We claim that there is a strictly increasing sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $I$ converging to $y$ for some $N > 0$, where $x_i$ is the $i$-th return image of $x_0$ on $I$. Indeed, we suffice to show that there is a large number $N > 0$ such that $x_i < x_{i+1}$ in $I$ for any natural number $i \geq N$ with respect to a natural total order on the sub-arc $I$. Otherwise $x_i > x_{i+1}$ holds for infinitely many natural numbers $i$. Since $\{y\} = I \cap \omega(x_0)$, each closed sub-arc of $\text{int}I$ intersects at most finitely many points of $O^+(x_0)$. Therefore there
are infinitely many triples \(i_k^- < i_{k_0} < i_k^+\) of natural numbers with \(i_k^+ < i_{k+1}^-\) such that either \(x_{i_k^-} < x_{i_k^+} < x_{i_{k_0}} < x_i\) or \(x_{i_k^-} < x_{i_{k_0}} < x_i < x_{i_k^+}\) for any \(i \geq i_{k-1}^-\). Denote by \(I_{i_k-0}\) (resp. \(I_{i_{k_0}}\)) by the sub-arc of \(I\) whose boundary consists of \(x_{i_{k_-}}\) and \(x_{i_{k_0}}\) (resp. \(x_{i_{k_0}}\) and \(x_{i_{k_+}}\)), and by \(C_{i_{k-0}}\) (resp. \(C_{i_{k_0}}\)) the curve contained in \(O^+(x_0)\) whose boundary consists of \(x_{i_{k_-}}\) and \(x_{i_{k_0}}\) (resp. \(x_{i_{k_0}}\) and \(x_{i_{k_+}}\)). Then the unions \(I_{i_k-0} := C_{i_{k-0}} \cup I_{i_{k-0}}\) and \(I_{i_{k_0}} := C_{i_{k_0}} \cup I_{i_{k_0}}\) are simple closed curves whose intersection is an closed arc \(I_{i_{k_0}}\) or \(I_{i_{k-0}}\). By a deformation like the waterfall construction like Figure 12, we obtain two simple closed curves \(I'_{i_k-0}\) and \(I'_{i_{k_0}}\) whose intersection is \(x_{i_{k_+}}\) and which are close to the original simple closed curves \(I_{i_{k-0}}\) and \(I_{i_{k_0}}\) respectively. Note that if \(S\) is orientable then we can choose \(I'_{i_{k-0}}\) and \(I'_{i_{k_0}}\) as closed transversals. This implies that \(O^+(x_{i_{k_+}}) \cap (I'_{i_{k-0}} \cup I'_{i_{k_0}}) = \emptyset\). Hence \((I'_{i_{k-0}} \cup I'_{i_{k_0}}) \cap (I'_{i_{k_0}} \cup I'_{i_{k_0}}) = \emptyset\) for any \(k \neq l\). Since these simple closed curves intersect exactly one point, they are essential. Cutting \(I'_{i_{k_0}}\) and collapsing new boundary components into singletons, we obtain the resulting surface whose genus is the genus of \(S\) minus one. Since there are infinitely many disjoint bouquets \(I'_{i_{k-0}} \cup I'_{i_{k_0}}\), the genus of \(S\) is not finite, which contradicts the compactness of \(S\). Thus there is a large number \(N > 0\) such that \(x_i < x_{i+1}\) in \(I\) for any natural number \(i \geq N\).

Denote by \(I_i\) by the sub-arc of \(I\) whose boundary consists of \(x_i\) and \(x_{i+1}\), and by \(C_i\) the curve contained in \(O^+(x_0)\) whose boundary consists of \(x_i\) and \(x_{i+1}\). Let \(D_i\) be the open subset bounded by the union \(I_i \cup C_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1}\). Since there are at most finitely many genus, by renumbering \(x_i\), we may assume that \(D_i\) is a rectangle for any \(i \in \mathbb{Z} \geq N\). Define a union \(A_k := (\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} (D_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1})) - \{x_{k+1}\}\) for any \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_N\). By the monotonicity of \(x_i\) in \(I\), renumbering \(A_k\), we may assume that each \(A_k\) is an open annulus homotopic to \(A_N\). Since \(\omega(x_0)\) is connected, by construction, the closure of any rectangle \(D_i\) does not intersect \(\omega(x_0)\) and so \(A_k \cap \omega(x_0) = \emptyset\). Therefore \(\omega(x_0) = \bigcap_{n \geq N} \{v_t(x_0) \mid t > n\} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \geq N} \{v_t(x_0) \mid t > k\} = \bigcap_{i \geq N} A_i = \partial A_N - (I_N \cup C_N)\). This means that \(\omega(x_0)\) is a boundary component of the annulus \(A_N\) and so a quasi-circuit. \(\square\)
We show that the infinite intersection of an essential closed transversal implies the existence of either a quasi-Q set, an essential limit cycle, or a quasi-circuit.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( x \) be a point contained in a closed transversal \( \gamma \) such that \( \mathcal{O}^+(x) \) intersects \( \gamma \) infinitely many times. Then \( \omega(x) \) is either a quasi-Q set, an essential limit cycle, or a quasi-circuit.

**Proof.** Suppose that a positive orbit \( \mathcal{O}^+(x) \) intersects a closed transversal \( \gamma \) infinitely many times. Then \( \gamma \) is essential such that \( \gamma \cap \omega(x) \neq \emptyset \) and so that \( \omega(x) \not\subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \). If \( \omega(x) \) contains periodic orbits, then it is a limit cycle. Thus we may assume that \( \omega(x) \cap \text{Per}(v) = \emptyset \). Suppose that \( \omega(x) \) is not a quasi-Q set. Then \( \gamma \cap \omega(x) \) is nonempty and finite. We show that there are a sequence of orbit arcs each of which connects the two boundary components as Figure 13. Moreover, there is a sequence of orbit arcs each of which connects the two boundary components as Figure 13. By Lemma 4.1, the \( \omega \)-limit set \( \omega(x) \) is a quasi-circuit.

We show that a limit quasi-circuit is not a quasi-Q set.

**Lemma 4.3.** There are no limit quasi-circuits which are also quasi-Q sets.

**Proof.** Let \( x \) be a point whose \( \omega \)-limit set \( \omega(x) \) is a limit quasi-circuit. Since a limit quasi-circuit is nowhere dense, it is not locally dense. Since \( \omega(x) \) is a limit quasi-circuit, there is a small open annulus \( \mathcal{A} \) of which \( \omega(x) \) is a boundary component such that the two boundary components of \( \mathcal{A} \) is disjoint. Denote by \( \partial \mathcal{A} \) the another boundary component of \( \partial \mathcal{A} \). In other words, we have \( \partial \mathcal{A} = \omega(x) \cup \partial \mathcal{A} \). Fix any distance function \( d \) on \( S \) induced by a Riemannian metric. Since the boundary components of \( \mathcal{A} \) are compact and disjoint, there is a positive number \( d_\mathcal{A} \) such that \( d_\mathcal{A} = \min\{d(y,z) \mid y \in \omega(x), z \in \partial \mathcal{A} \} \). Suppose that \( \omega(x) \) is a quasi-Q set. Then there is a closed transversal \( \gamma \) which intersects \( \omega(x) \) infinitely many times. The fact that \( \gamma \) contains no singular point implies that there is a small number \( \delta > 0 \) with \( \delta < d_\mathcal{A} \) such that \( B_\delta(\gamma) := \{y \in S \mid d(y, \gamma) < \delta \} \) is an open annulus and consists of orbit arcs each of which connects the two boundary components as Figure 13. Moreover, there is a sequence \( (C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \) of orbit arcs which intersect \( \gamma \) exactly once, are contained in \( \omega(x) \), and converge to an arc \( C_\infty \) such that \( \partial C_\infty \cap B_\delta(\gamma) = \emptyset \). Put \( C_i' := C_i \cap B_\delta(\gamma) \subseteq \omega(x) \) and let \( x_i \in C_i' \cap \gamma \) be the intersection point. For any \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), let \( f_i : [0, l_i] \to \gamma \subset S \) be the closed arc parameterized by arc length from \( x_i \) to either \( x_{i-1} \) or \( x_{i+1} \) such that \( f_i((0, r_i)) \subset \mathcal{A} \) and \( f_i(r_i) \in \partial \mathcal{A} \) for some real number \( r_i \in (0, l_i) \). By definition, we obtain \( f_i(l_i) \in \omega(x) \). Since \( \gamma \) is compact, we have \( \lim_{i \to \infty} l_i = 0 \). Fix a large integer \( N \) such that \( l_N < \delta \). We show that \( f_N(l_N) \notin \mathcal{A} \). Indeed, since \( \delta < d_\mathcal{A} \), this implies that any closed arc from \( x_i \) whose length is less than \( \delta \) and which intersects \( \mathcal{A} \) but does not intersect \( C_i' \) except the starting point is contained in the interior \( \mathcal{A} \). In particular, the closed arc \( f_N : [0, l_N] \to S \) is contained in the interior \( \mathcal{A} \) except the starting point \( x_i \) (i.e., \( f_N((0, l_N]) \subset \mathcal{A} \)). Therefore \( f_N(l_N) \in \mathcal{A} \), which contradicts \( f_N(l_N) \in \omega(x) \subset \partial \mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}} \). Thus \( \omega(x) \) is not a quasi-Q set.
Theorem 4.4. Let $v$ be a flow on a compact connected surface $S$. The $\omega$-limit set of any non-closed orbit is one of the following exclusively:

1) a nowhere dense subset of singular points.
2) a limit cycle.
3) a limit quasi-circuit.
4) a locally dense $Q$-set.
5) a quasi-$Q$ set which is not locally dense.

Proof. Let $x$ be a point whose orbit is not closed. If $\omega(x)$ is locally dense, then $\omega(x) = \overline{O(x)}$ is a locally dense $Q$-set. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x)$ is not locally dense and so $\omega(x) \cap LD = \emptyset$. Suppose $\omega(x)$ contains an exceptional orbit $O$. Since $O$ is non-closed recurrent, by Lemma 5.3 taking a small transverse arc if necessary, the waterfall construction implies that there is a closed transversal $\gamma$ intersecting $O$ infinitely many times. This means that $\omega(x)$ is a quasi-$Q$ set. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x)$ intersects $O^+(x)$ infinitely many times, then Lemma 5.2 implies the assertion. Thus we may assume that $O(x)^+$ has no closed transversal intersecting it infinitely many times. Then $\omega(x)$ is not a quasi-$Q$ set. Suppose that $\omega(x)$ is not contained in $\text{Sing}(v)$. If $\omega(x)$ contains a periodic orbit, then $\omega(x)$ is an attracting limit cycle. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x)$ contains neither periodic orbits nor $Q$-sets. Since $S = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v) \cup P \cup LD \cup E$, the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ contains a non-closed proper orbit $O$ such that $\omega(x) \subset \text{Sing}(v) \cup P$. Take a proper point $y \in O \subset \omega(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $J : [-1,1] \to S$ with $y = J(0)$ and $x_0 := J(-1) \in O^+(x)$ such that $O^+(x_0)$ intersects $J([-1,0])$ infinitely many times. Let $f_v : J \to J$ be the first return map. Identify $J$ with an interval $[-1,1]$. By shortening $J([-1,0])$, we claim that we may assume that $f_v|_{(-1,0)}$ is orientation-preserving. Otherwise there are a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ in $J$ converging to $J(0)$ and small neighborhoods of the unions of $I_n \cup C_n$ each of which is an open Möbius band $U_n$ such that $U_n \cap U_m = \emptyset$ for any $n \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where $C_n$ is the orbit arc from $x_n$ to $f_v(x_n)$ and $I_n \subset J$ is the closed arc with $\partial C_n = \partial I_n = \{x_n, f_v(x_n)\}$, which contradicts that $S$ has finitely many non-orientable genus. By shortening $J([-1,0])$, we show that we may assume that $\{J(0)\} = \{y\} = J([-1,0]) \cap \omega(x) \subset P$. Otherwise there is a point $x_N \in (-1,0) \cap O^+(x)$ such that $I_N \cap \omega(x) \neq \emptyset$ and that the union of
$I_N \cup C_{x_N}$ is a loop, where $C_{x_N}$ is the orbit arc from $x_N$ to $f_v(x_N)$ and $I_{x_N} \subset J$ is the closed arc with $\partial C_{x_N} = \partial I_{x_N} = \{x_N, f_v(x_N)\}$. By the waterfall construction to the loop $C_{x_N} \cup I_{x_N}$, there is a closed transversal $T_{x_N}$ intersecting $\omega(x)$ near the loop $C_{x_N} \cup I_{x_N}$. Then the closed transversal $T_{x_N}$ intersects $O^+(x)$ infinitely many times, which contradicts that $O(x)$ has no closed transversal intersecting it infinitely many times. Thus we may assume that $\{J(0)\} = \{y\} = J([-1, 0]) \cap \omega(x) \subset P$. By Lemma 4.1, the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ is a limit quasi-circuit. □

We show that there are a toral flow $v_{\varphi}$ and a point $z$ whose $\omega$-limit set is a quasi-$Q$ set but not a $Q$-set such that $\overline{C(v_{\varphi})} \neq \Omega(v_{\varphi})$. Indeed, consider a Denjoy diffeomorphism $f : S^1 \to S^1$ with an exceptional minimal set $M$. Let $v_f$ be the suspension of $f$ on the torus $T^2 := (S^1 \times \mathbb{R})/(x, r) \sim (f(x), r + 1)$ and $\tilde{M}$ the minimal set of $v_f$. Fix a bump function $\varphi : T^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\varphi^{-1}(0) = M$, where $\tilde{M} := \tilde{M} \cap (S^1 \times \{1/2\})$ is a lift of $M$. Using the bump function $\varphi$, replace the minimal set $\tilde{M}$ of $v_f$ with a union of singular points and separatrices of the resulting flow $v_{\varphi}$ (i.e. $\tilde{M} = \text{Sing}(v_{\varphi}) \cup \{\text{separatrix of } v_{\varphi}\}$) such that $O_{v_{\varphi}}(x) = O_{v_f}(x)$ and $\omega_{v_{\varphi}}(x) = \omega_{v_f}(x) = \tilde{M}$ for any point $x \in T^2 - \tilde{M}$. Then $T^2 = \text{Sing}(v_{\varphi}) \cup P(v_{\varphi})$ and $\overline{\text{Cl}(v_{\varphi})} = \text{Sing}(v_{\varphi}) = \tilde{M} \neq \widetilde{M} = \Omega(v_{\varphi})$, where $P(v_{\varphi})$ is the union of non-closed proper orbits of $v_{\varphi}$ and $\Omega(v_{\varphi})$ is the non-wandering set of $v_{\varphi}$.

There is a flow $w$ with an $\omega$-limit set which consists of two non-recurrent orbits and a subset of $\text{Sing}(w)$ which is transversely a Cantor set as a set. Indeed, let be a non-closed proper orbit $O$ whose $\omega$-limit set is an exceptional minimal set $M$ in a Denjoy flow. Consider a discontinuous flow whose singular point set is $T^2 - O$ and replace $O$ with a flow box as Figure 14. Then the resulting flow is desired.

There is a flow with an $\omega$-limit set consisting of singular points which is transversely a Cantor set. Indeed, let be a non-closed proper orbit $O$ whose $\omega$-limit set is an exceptional minimal set $M$ in a Denjoy flow. Consider a trivial flow on $T^2$ which consists of singular points and replace $O$ with a flow box which is a union of two flow boxes as Figure 15. Note that the dotted center line is a subset of $\text{Sing}(v)$. Then the resulting toral flow is continuous such that the $\omega$-limit set of a non-singular point is $M$. 

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure14.png}
\caption{A blow up flow box}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure15.png}
\caption{Another blow up flow box}
\end{figure}
5. Reductions of quasi-circuits

Let $v$ be a flow on a compact connected surface $S$. Recall that a subset is locally connected if each point in it have a small open connected neighborhood.

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a non-trivial quasi-circuit which consists of connecting separatrices and singular points is a circuit with a collar (i.e. a continuous image of a circle and a boundary component of its collar).

**Proof.** Let $\gamma$ be a non-trivial quasi-circuit which consists of connecting separatrices and singular points and $A$ a small collar. The invariance of $\gamma$ implies that each orbit closure in $\gamma$ is either a point, a closed interval, or a loop. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that $\omega(x) = \gamma$. Since $\text{Sing}(v)$ is finite, we may assume that $A$ contains no singular points. Then the difference $\gamma \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$ is a disjoint union of open intervals. We show that $\gamma$ is locally connected. Indeed, assume that $\gamma$ is not locally connected. Since each orbit closure in $\gamma$ is either a point, a closed interval, or a loop, the finiteness of singular points implies that there are non-closed proper points $x_i \in \gamma$ converging to a point $x_\infty \in \gamma \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \alpha(x_i) \cup \omega(x_i)$ such that $O(x_i) \neq O(x_\infty)$ and $O(x_i) \neq O(x_j)$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By renumbering, we may assume that there are singular points $\alpha$ and $\omega$ in $\gamma$ such that $x_1 \neq x_j$, $\omega = \alpha(x_1)$, and $\omega = \omega(x_i)$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Suppose that $\alpha \neq \omega$. Since $S$ is compact and the genus is finite, taking a subsequence, we may assume that the pair of two orbits $O(x_i)$ and $O(x_j)$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is homotopic relative to $\{\alpha, \omega\}$ to each other and that the union $\gamma_i := \{\alpha, \omega\} \cup O(x_i) \cup O(x_{i+1})$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ bounds an open disk $B_i$ with $B_i \cap \gamma = \emptyset$. Since $\gamma_i \subset \gamma$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the interior of the closed disk $\overline{B_0} \cup \overline{B_1} = \gamma_0 \cup B_0 \cup \gamma_1 \cup B_1$ does not intersect $\gamma$ and so $O(x_i) \cap \gamma = \emptyset$, which contradicts $x_1 \in \gamma$. Thus $\alpha = \omega$. If there are infinitely many integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that the union $\gamma_i := \{\alpha\} \cup O(x_i) \cup O(x_{i+1})$ bounds an open disk $B_i$, then the same argument as above implies the contradiction. Thus renumbering implies that there are open disks $B_i$ bounded by the union $\{\alpha\} \cup O(x_i)$ which is a loop such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. Then $\overline{B_i} \cap \overline{B_j} = \{\alpha\}$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k)$ is a nonempty compact connected subset $B_\infty \subset \gamma$ which contains the isolated singular point $\alpha$ and $x_\infty \in \gamma - \{\alpha\}$, the subset $B_\infty$ contains a non-closed proper point $x'_i$ in $\gamma$. Then there are a trivial flow box $B'$ of $x'$ and a sequence of non-closed proper points $x'_i \in O(x_i)$ converging $x'$. By renumbering, we may assume that $x'_i \in B'$ converging $x'$ from one side. Let $T'$ be a closed transverse whose boundary contains $x'$ and $x'_i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We claim that we may assume that if the first return to $T'$ of $x'_i$ exists then the return is orientation-preserving by renumbering. Indeed, suppose that there is an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ whose first return map $f_{v,T}$ to $T'$ near $x'_i$ is orientation-reversing. Let $C_{a,b} \subset O^+(x'_i)$ the orbit arc from $a$ to $b$, and $I_{a,b} \subset T'$ the subinterval between $a$ and $b$. Then the union $C_{x'_i,f_{v,T}(x'_i)} \cup I_{x'_i,f_{v,T}(x'_i)}$ is a loop whose small neighborhood is a Möbius band. Since the number of genus is finite, by renumbering, the claim holds. Since the union $\{\alpha\} \cup O(x'_i)$ bounds an open disk and since the first return map $f_{v,T}$ to $T'$ near $x'$ is orientation-preserving, each $O(x'_i)$ intersects the flow box $B'$ exactly once. Therefore either $B_i \cap B_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ or $B_i \cap B_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for any $i > 0$, which contradicts that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. Thus $\gamma$ is locally connected. We show that $\gamma$ is a circuit. Indeed, let $\partial$ be the another boundary component of $A$. Cutting $\partial$, collapsing the new boundary components into singletons, and taking its
Lemma 5.2. Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a limit quasi-circuit \( A \) whose boundary consists of orbit arcs of non-closed proper orbits, the interior \( \text{int} D_k \) is a transverse annulus such that \( \partial U = \gamma \) implies that \( \varphi \) extends continuously to a map from the closed disk \( \overline{D} \) onto \( U \). This means that \( \gamma \) is a continuous image of a circle and a boundary component of its collar \( \Lambda \). 

We show that a limit quasi-circuit is a generalization of a limit circuit.

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a limit quasi-circuit is a limit circuit. Moreover, we can take an attracting or repelling collar basin of any limit circuit as a transverse annulus.

**Proof.** Let \( \gamma \) be a limit quasi-circuit with a small collar basin \( \Lambda \) and \( x \in \Lambda \) a point whose \( \alpha \)- or \( \omega \)-limit set is \( \gamma \). By Lemma 4.3, the limit quasi-circuit intersects no essential closed transversal infinitely many times. This means that \( \gamma \) contains no quasi-\( Q \) sets. By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that \( O^+ (x) \subset \Lambda \). We claim that we may assume that \( \Lambda \) is a transverse annulus such that \( \omega (y) = \gamma \) and \( O^+ (y) \subset \Lambda \) for any point \( y \in \Lambda \), by taking \( \Lambda \) small. Indeed, Since \( \text{Sing}(v) \) is finite, we may assume that \( \Lambda \) contains no singular points. Then each periodic orbit in \( \Lambda \) is parallel to \( \partial \Lambda \). Taking \( \Lambda \) small, we may assume that \( \Lambda \) contains no periodic orbits. Take a transverse closed arc \( J \) in a union \( \Lambda \cup \gamma \) with \( \partial J = \{ x, y \} \) for some point \( y \in \gamma \cap \Lambda \). Since \( \omega (x) = \gamma \) and \( O^+ (x) \subset \Lambda \), we have \( | J \cap O^+ (x) | = \infty \). As the proof of Lemma 4.2 there are a closed sub-arc \( I \subseteq J \), a point \( x_0 \in O(x) \cap \partial I \) with \( y \in \partial I = \{ x_0, y \} \), and a strictly increasing sequence \( \{ x_i \} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) in \( I \) converging to \( y \), where \( x_i \) is the \( i \)-th return image of \( x_0 \) on \( I \). Denote by \( I_i \) the sub-arc of \( I \) whose boundary consists of \( x_i \) and \( x_{i+1} \), and by \( C_i \) the curve contained in \( O(x) \) whose boundary consists of \( x_i \) and \( x_{i+1} \). Let \( D_i \) be the open subset bounded by the union \( I_i \cup C_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1} \). Since there are at most finitely many genus, we may assume that \( D_i \) is a rectangle by renumbering \( x_i \). Then the union \( \Lambda_k := \left( \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} (D_i \cup I_i \cup C_i \cup I_{i+1} \cup C_{i+1}) \right) \setminus \{ x_{i+1} \} \) is parallel to \( \partial \Lambda \). By renumbering \( \Lambda_k \), we may assume that each \( \Lambda_k \) is an open annulus homotopic to \( \Lambda_0 \). Since the annulus \( \Lambda_k \) consists of orbit arcs of non-closed proper orbits, the interior \( \text{int} D_k \) is a trivial flow box. By \( O^+ (x_k) = \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} C_i \), the difference \( \Lambda_k \setminus O(x) = \Lambda_k \setminus O^+ (x_k) \) is also a trivial flow box. The construction implies \( \{ y \} = I \cap \omega (x) \subset \partial I = \{ x_0, y \} \). By Lemma 3.3 there is a closed transversal \( T_k \) near a loop \( I_k \cup C_k \). Then the annulus contained in \( \Lambda_{k-1} \) whose boundary is \( \gamma \cap T_k \) is a desired transverse annulus.

Then the saturation of the transverse annulus \( \Lambda \) is also a transverse annulus. This means that each point whose \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set is a quasi-circuit is non-closed proper and maximal with respect to the height. Similarly, since each limit cycle has also a collar basin which is a saturated transverse annulus, each point whose \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set is a limit cycle is non-closed proper and maximal with respect to the height. Hence such a point is not contained in both the \( \omega \)- and \( \alpha \)-limit set of some point. Therefore Theorem 1.4 and the dual statement imply that the \( \omega \)- and \( \alpha \)-limit set of a point in \( \gamma \) are neither limit cycles nor limit quasi-circles. Since \( \gamma \) contains no quasi-\( Q \) sets, we have that the \( \omega \)- and \( \alpha \)-limit set of a point in \( \gamma \) are isolated singular points. This means that \( \gamma \) consists of separatrices and finitely many singular points. Lemma 5.1 implies that \( \gamma \) is a circuit. By construction, the collar basin \( \Lambda \) is a transverse annulus. □
We show that a limit circuit is directed.

Lemma 5.3. Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a limit circuit is a directed circuit.

Proof. Suppose that let $\gamma$ be a limit circuit with a small collar $\mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathcal{A}$ a point whose $\omega$-limit set is $\gamma$ such that $O^+\{x\} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Let $\partial$ be the another boundary component of $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e. $\partial \mathcal{A} = \gamma \cup \partial$). Then we may assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a transverse annulus which is an attracting or repelling collar basin of $\gamma$ and that $\partial$ is a union of an orbit arc $C$ and a sub-arc $I$ of an transverse closed arc $I_0$ from $\gamma$ with $\partial C = \partial I = C \cap I$ (see Figure 16). Fix a point $z_0 \in I_0 \cap C$ and the difference $\gamma - \{z_0\}$ is contained in the image of an open interval with the anti-clockwise direction order $\prec$. Moreover, we may assume that the difference $\mathcal{A} - I_0$ is a trivial flow box such that the boundary of each maximal orbit arcs in $\mathcal{A} - I_0$ consists of two points in $I_0$. As the proof of Lemma 5.1 there are a open annulus $A$ with circular boundary components $\{z = 1\}$ and $\partial_{1/2} = \{z = 1/2\}$ on a complex plane and a continuous extension $\varphi : \overline{A} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \gamma \cup \partial$ of a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(\partial_{1/2}) = \gamma$. The homeomorphism implies the induced orbit structure in $\mathcal{A}$. Note that the difference $D_0 := A \setminus \varphi^{-1}(I_0)$ is also a trivial flow box that the boundary of each maximal orbit arcs in $D_0$ consists of two points in $\varphi^{-1}(I_0) \cap \mathcal{A}$. Then the complement $J := \partial_{1/2} - \varphi^{-1}(\gamma \cap \text{Sing}(\varphi))$ of the inverse image is open dense in the unit circle $\partial_{1/2}$. Then $\{z_0\} = I_0 \cap J$ and put $\partial_0 := \varphi^{-1}(\partial - I) = \varphi^{-1}((\text{int}C))$. Then we can take an injective extension $\varphi : D_0 \cup (J - \{z_0\}) \cup \partial_0 \to (0, 1) \times [0, 1]$ of a homeomorphism $D_0 \to (0, 1)^2$ such that the image by $\varphi$ of each maximal orbit arc in $D_0$ is of form $(0, 1) \times \{t\}$ for some $t \in (0, 1)$ and that $\varphi(J - \{z_0\}) \subseteq (0, 1) \times \{0\}$ and $\varphi(\partial_0) \subseteq (0, 1) \times \{1\}$. Then a union $J' := \varphi(J - \{z_0\})$ of intervals is dense in $[0, 1] \times \{1\}$. Moreover, we can take a surjective extension $\phi : ([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \cup \text{Im} \varphi \to A \cup (J - \{z_0\}) \cup \partial_0$ of the homeomorphism $\varphi^{-1}$ with $\phi([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) = \phi([0] \times [0, 1]) = \varphi^{-1}(I_0)$. Let $p_1 : \text{Dom}\varphi \to [0, 1]$ be the first projection (i.e. $p_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$). Since $\phi([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) = \phi([0] \times [0, 1]) = \varphi^{-1}(I_0)$, a mapping $q_1 : \varphi(\text{Im}\varphi) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ by $q_1^{-1}(0) = I_0$ and by $q_1|_{\varphi(\text{Dom}\varphi)} = p_1 \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{\varphi(\text{Dom}\varphi)}$ is well-defined and each fiber of $q_1$ is a transverse arc. Since the image $\varphi \circ \phi([0, 1])$ is open dense in $\mathcal{A}$ and the image $\varphi \circ \phi(J')$ is open dense in $\gamma$, we can extend the projection $q_1$ into a fibration $\overline{A} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is a desired directed circuit structure. \qed
6. Reductions of Quasi-Q Sets

Let $v$ be a flow on a compact connected surface $S$. To show reductions of quasi-Q sets, we recall a following Mačer's result [27] (cf. Theorem 2.4.4 p.32 [32], Theorem 4.2 [2]).

**Lemma 6.1 (Mačer).** A point $x \in \omega(z)$ for some point $z \in S$ with $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$ is non-closed positively recurrent (i.e. $x \in \omega(x) \cap (\text{LD} \cup E)$).

The original Mačer’s Russian paper is not translated. Hence we state a proof of the previous result which is based on the sketch of a proof of Theorem 4.2 [2].

**Proof.** Since $\omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v) \neq \emptyset$, the point $x$ is not closed. Fix a non-closed point $y \in \omega(x) \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$. Then there is a transverse closed arc $I_{[-1,1]} : [-1,1] \to S$ with $y = I_{[-1,1]}(0)$ such that the positive orbit $O^+(x)$ intersects $I_{[-1,1]}([-1,0])$ infinitely many times. Denote by $I := I_{[-1,1]}([-1,0])$ a directed closed interval. Therefore there is a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$ of $O^+(x) \cap I$ which converges to $y$ monotonically from one side. Denote by $I_{a,b}$ the sub-arc in $I$ whose boundary consists of $a$ and $b$ for any points $a, b \in I$ and by $C_{a,b}$ the orbit arc in an orbit $O$ form $a$ to $b$ for any points $a, b \in O \cap I$. Assume that $x$ is not positively recurrent (i.e. $x \notin \omega(x)$). Then there is an open sub-arc $J$ in $I$ with $\{ x_2 \} = J \cap O^+(x)$. By $x_2 \in \omega(z)$, the first return map $f_{v,J} : J \cap O^+(z) \to J$ on $I$ induced by $v$ is well-defined and injective. Since there are at most finitely many non-orientable genus, by replacing $x$ with a point of $O^+(x)$, we may assume that the first return map $f_{v,J} : I \cap O^+(x) \to I$ on the transverse closed arc $I$ induced by $v$ is orientation-preserving. Therefore $I$ and $O^+(x)$ intersect in a same orientation infinitely many times. By induction, define a strictly increasing subsequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $n_i + 3 \leq n_{i+1}$ and a sequence $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$ of $J \cap O^+(z)$ converging to $x_2$ monotonically from one side such that $C_{z_i-1,z_i} \cap I_{x_{n_i},x_{n_i+1}} \neq \emptyset$ and $C_{z_0,z_i} \cap I_{x_{n_i+1},y} = \emptyset$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as follows: Fix a point $z_0 \in J \cap O^+(z)$ and $n_0 = 0$ such that $O^+(z)$ intersects $I_{x_{n_0},x_{n_0+1}}$ infinitely many times. Since $x_k$ converges to $y$ monotonically from one side, there is a point $z_{i+1} \in I_{x_{n_i+1},z_i} \cap O^+(z_i)$ with $C_{z_i,z_{i+1}} \cap I_{x_{n_i+1},y} \neq \emptyset$ such that either $w \notin I_{x_{n_i+1}}$, or $C_{z_0,w} \cap I_{x_{n_i+1},y} = \emptyset$ for any $w \in J \cap O^+(z_i)$. Fix an integer $n_{i+1} \geq n_i + 3$ such that $C_{z_0,z_{i+1}} \cap I_{x_{n_i+2},y} = \emptyset$ and $C_{z_0,z_{i+1}} \cap I_{x_{n_i+1},y} \neq \emptyset$. Since $n_{i+1} + 1 < n_{i+2}$, we have $C_{z_{i+1},z_{i+1}} \cap I_{x_{n_i+2},x_{n_i+1+1}} \neq \emptyset$ and $C_{z_{i+1},z_{i+1}} \cap I_{x_{n_i+1},y} = \emptyset$.

Fix a Riemannian metric on $S$. Since the sequence $(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of $J \cap O^+(z)$ converging to $x_2$ monotonically from one side, the sequence of the lengths of $I_{z_{i+1},z_i}$ converges to zero. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $f_{v,I_{x_{n_i},z_i}} : I_{x_{n_i},z_i} \cap O^+(z) \to I_{x_{n_i+1},z_{i+1}}$ be the first return map to $I_{x_{n_i+1},z_{i+1}}$ induced by $v$ and put $z'_{i+1} \in O^-(z_{i+1}) \cap O^+(z_i) \cap I_{z_{i+1},z_{i+1}}$ the first return image of $z_{i+1}$ into $I_{z_{i+1},z_i}$ induced by the time reversed flow of $v$. Then $C_{z'_{i+1},z_{i+1}} \cap I_{x_{n_i},z_i} = \{ z'_{i+1},z_{i+1} \}$. By renumbering, we may assume that $z_i \neq z'_{i+1}$ and so that the closed intervals $I_{z'_{i+1},z_{i+1}} \subset J$ are pairwise disjoint. Therefore the unions $\gamma_i := C_{z'_{i+1},z_{i+1}} \cup I_{z'_{i+1},z_{i+1}}$ are pairwise disjoint loops. Let $\Lambda_i$ be the connected component of $S - \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \gamma_k$ intersecting $I_{z_{i+1},z_i}$. Then the boundary of any domain $\Lambda_i$ is contained in $(O^+(z_0) \cup J) \cup \partial S$. Since there are at most finitely many boundaries and genus, by renumbering, we may assume that each domain $\Lambda_i$ is annular and that the restriction of $f_{v,I_{x_{n_i},z_i}}$ whose domain is a small neighborhood of $z'_{i+1}$ and codomain is a small neighborhood of $z_{i+1}$ is orientation-preserving. Then $\Lambda_i$ is a closed annulus whose boundary is a disjoint
union $\gamma_i \cup \gamma_{i+1} \subset O^+(z_0) \cup J$. Since $S$ is compact, by renumbering, we may assume that the pairwise disjoint loops $\gamma_i$ are homotopic to each other. Then the union $\mathcal{A}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{A}_i$ is also a closed annulus with $\mathcal{A}_{i-1} \cap \mathcal{A}_i = \gamma_i$. By the waterfall construction to the loop $\gamma_i$, there is a closed transversal $T_i$ near the loop $\gamma_i$ such that $T_i$ intersects $I_{x_{n_1},x_{n_{i+1}}}$ transversely. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_i'$ the closed transverse annulus whose boundary is $T_i \cup T_{i+1}$ near $\mathcal{A}_i$. Then the union $\mathcal{A}_{i-1}' \cup \mathcal{A}_i'$ is also a closed annulus with $\mathcal{A}_{i-1}' \cap \mathcal{A}_i' = T_i$. Denote by $d_0 > 0$ the distance between $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_1$ in $\mathcal{A}_0$. Since $\mathcal{A}_0'$ is obtained from $\mathcal{A}_0$ by a small deformation, we may assume that the distance between $T_0$ and $T_1$ in $\mathcal{A}_0'$ is more than $d_0/2$. We show that there is a large integer $N$ such that $x_{n_i + 2} \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{> N}$. Indeed, fix a large integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ such that the length of $I_{x_{n_i + 1},x_{n_{i+1}}}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{> N}$ is less than $d_0/2$. Fix an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{> N}$ with $n_i > 0$. Then $x_2 \notin O^+(x_{n_i+2})$. If $\gamma_i$ intersects exactly once $I_{x_{n_i},x_{n_{i+1}}}$, then $x_{n_i + 2} \in I_{x_{n_i+1},x_{n_{i+1}}} \subset \mathcal{A}_i$. Thus we may assume that $\gamma_i$ intersects $I_{x_{n_i},x_{n_{i+1}}}$ at least twice, and so that $I_{x_{n_i},x_{n_{i+1}}}$ goes outside of $\mathcal{A}_i'$ and goes into $\mathcal{A}_i'$ from $T_i$ with respect to the positive or negative direction. The fact that the union $\bigcup_{j=1}^i \mathcal{A}_j'$ is a closed annulus implies that $I_{x_{n_i},x_{n_{i+1}}} \cap T_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $j = 0, 1, \ldots, i$. Since the transverse closed arc $I_{x_{n_i+1},x_{n_{i+1}}}$ goes through $\mathcal{A}_0'$ (i.e. it contains a sub-arc in $\mathcal{A}_0$ whose boundary component consists of a point in $T_0$ and a point in $T_1$), the length of $I_{x_{n_i+1},x_{n_{i+1}}}$ is more than $d_0/2$, which contradicts that the length is less than $d_0/2$. Since $O^+(x_{n_i+2})$ intersects both $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i+1}$, we obtain that $O^+(x_{n_i+2})$ intersects $\mathcal{A}_i$ but is not contained in $\mathcal{A}_i$. By $O(x) \cap O(z) = \emptyset$ and $\partial \mathcal{A}_i = \gamma_i \cup \gamma_{i+1} \subset O^+(z) \cup (I_{z_i+1} \cup I_{z_{i+1}})$, we have $\emptyset \neq O^+(x_{n_i+2}) \cap \partial \mathcal{A}_i = O^+(x_{n_i+2}) \cap (\gamma_i \cup \gamma_{i+1}) = O^+(x_{n_i+2}) \cap (I_{z_i+1} \cup I_{z_{i+1}}) \subset O^+(x) \cap J = \{x_2\}$, which contradicts $x_2 \notin O^+(x_{n_i+2})$. Thus the point $x$ is positively recurrent.

The existence of recurrence implies following statements.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let $v$ be a flow on a compact connected surface $S$. The following statements hold:
1) $\text{ht}(\text{Cl}(v)) = 0$.
2) $\text{ht}(\text{LD} \cup E) \leq 2$ and the union $\partial^+\text{LD} \cup \partial^+E$ consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrics.
3) $\text{ht}(v) \leq 4$. In particular, if $E = \emptyset$, then $\text{ht}(v) \leq 2$.
4) For a point $x$ of height at least three, we have that $x \in P$ and that there is a point $y \in \overline{O(x)} \cap E$ of height at least one.

**Proof.** We claim that the height of locally dense orbit is maximal. Indeed, let $O'$ a locally dense orbit $O'$. Fix an orbit $O$ with $O' \subset O$. Since the closure $\overline{O'}$ is a neighborhood of $O'$, we have $O \cap \overline{O'} \neq \emptyset$ and so $\overline{O} = \overline{O'}$. This means that $O'$ is maximal. Since closed orbits are minimal sets, the height of a closed orbit is zero and so the assertion 1) holds. Lemma 8.8 implies $\partial^+\text{LD} \cup \partial^+E \subset \text{Sing}(v) \cup P$. Fix a point $x \in S$. If the orbit closure $\overline{O(x)}$ is minimal, then the height of $x$ is zero. Thus we may assume that the orbit closure $\overline{O(x)}$ is not minimal. We claim that if $x$ is non-closed recurrent then the difference $\overline{O(x)} - O(x)$ consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrics. Indeed, suppose $x \in \text{LD} \cup E$. Proposition 2.2 [47] implies $\overline{O(x)} - O(x) \subset \text{Sing}(v) \cup P$. Theorem VI [3] implies that the orbit class $\overline{O(x)}$ contains infinitely many strongly recurrent orbits and so there is a non-closed recurrent point $z$ with $x \in \omega(z) \cap \alpha(z)$. By Lemma 6.1 and its dual statement
for $\alpha$-limit sets, we have $\omega(y) \cup \alpha(y) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$ for any point $y \in \overline{O(x) - \hat{O}(x)}$. This means that the union $\partial^+ \text{LD} \cup \partial^+ \text{E}$ consists of singular points and connecting quasi-separatrics. Thus $\text{ht}(\text{LD} \cup \text{E}) \leq 2$. Suppose that $\text{ht}(x) \geq 3$. Then $x \in P$ and there is a point $y \in \overline{O(x)}$ with $\text{ht}(y) = \text{ht}(x) - 1$. If $y \in E$, then the fact $\text{ht}(E) \leq 2$ implies $\text{ht}(x) = 3$. If $y \in \text{Cl}(v)$, then $\text{ht}(x) = 1$, which contradicts $\text{ht}(x) \geq 3$. Thus we may assume that $y \in P$. If $\omega(y) \not\subseteq \text{Cl}(v)$, then Lemma 6.4 implies $y \in E$, which contradicts $y \in P$. Thus $\omega(y) \subseteq \text{Cl}(v)$. Assume that $\alpha(y)$ is either a limit cycle or a limit quasi-circuit. Then $y$ is maximal, which contradicts $y \in \overline{O(x)}$. Assume that $\alpha(y) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$. Then $\text{ht}(y) \leq 1$ and so $\text{ht}(x) \leq 2$, which contradicts $\text{ht}(x) \geq 3$. Thus we may assume that $\alpha(y)$ is a quasi-$Q$ set and so contains non-closed orbits. Since $y \in P$, Lemma 6.1 implies that $y$ is not contained in the $\alpha$-limit set of a point. Then there is a point $z \in \alpha(y) \cap \omega(x)$ with $\text{ht}(z) = \text{ht}(x) - 2$. If $z \in \text{Cl}(v)$, then $\text{ht}(z) = 0$ and so $\text{ht}(x) \leq 2$. Thus $z$ is non-closed. Suppose that $z \in E$. Then $\text{ht}(z) \leq 2$ and so $\text{ht}(x) \leq 4$. Thus we may assume that $z \in P$. Since $z \in \alpha(y) \subseteq \omega(x)$, we obtain $\alpha(z) \cup \omega(z) \subseteq \text{Cl}(v)$ and so $\text{ht}(z) \leq 1$ and so $\text{ht}(x) \leq 3$. This means that $\text{ht}(P) \leq 4$ and so $\text{ht}(v) \leq 4$. Moreover, if $E = \emptyset$ then $\text{ht}(v) \leq 2$. In addition, for a point $x$ of height at least three, there is a point $y \in \overline{O(x)} \cap E$ of height two.

The author would like to know whether the heights are less than or equal to three. In other words, is there a flow on a compact surface whose height is four? Non-existence of non-closed recurrent orbits implies low heights.

**Corollary 6.3.** The height of a flow on a compact surface contained in either a sphere, a projective plane, or a Klein bottle is at most two.

We show non-existence of some $\omega$-limit sets.

**Lemma 6.4.** Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then there is no $\omega$-limit set which contains a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of non-singular proper points converging to a non-singular point $x_\infty$ such that $\overline{O(x_i)} \neq \overline{O(x_j)}$ and $O(x_i) \neq O(x_\infty)$ for any $i \neq j$.

**Proof.** Assume that there is an $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ of some point $x$ which contains a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of non-singular proper points converging to a non-singular point $x_\infty \in \omega(x)$ such that $O(x_i) \neq O(x_j)$ and $O(x_i) \neq O(x_\infty)$ for any $i \neq j$. Then $x_i \in \omega(x) \cap P$ and so $\omega(x_i)$ is not a limit cycle. Hence $\omega(x_i) \cap \text{Per}(v) = \emptyset$. Since $x_i \in P$, Lemma 6.1 implies $\omega(x_i) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$. The finiteness of singularity implies there is a singular point $\omega$ and infinitely many point $x_i$ whose $\omega$-limit set is $\omega$. Taking a subsequence, we may assume that $\omega = \omega(x_i)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since $x_\infty$ is proper, there are an open trivial flow box $U$ containing $x_\infty$ and a transverse closed arc $T \subset U$ whose boundary contains $x_\infty$ which intersects infinitely many orbits $O(x_i)$. Taking a subsequence, we may assume that $T \cap O(x_i) \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Put an orbit arc $C_{a, x_\infty} := O^+(a) \cup \{a\}$ for a point $a \in S$ and denote by $I_{a, b} \subset T$ the sub-arc whose boundary consists of points $a$ and $b$ of $T$. Since $\omega(x_i) = \omega$, there are points $x_i' \in O(x_i) \cap T$ with $\{x_i'\} = C_{x_i', x_\infty} \cap T$. Write loops $\gamma_i := C_{x_i, x_\infty} \cup C_{x_i, x_\infty} \cup I_{x_i', x_i} \cup \{x_i\}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Shortening $T$, we may assume that the boundary $\partial T$ consists of $x_i'$ and $x_\infty$. Let $D_i$ be the connected component of $S - \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \gamma_i$ intersecting $\bigcup_{i \in I_{x_i', x_i}} O^+(z)$. Since there are at most finitely many genus and boundaries, we may assume that $D_i$ is an open disk by
renumbering $x_i$. By construction, we have $O^+(z) \subset D_i$ for any point $z \in D_i$. This implies that $O^+(z) \subset D_i$ and so $\omega(z) \subset D_i$ for any point $z \in I_{v_i} - \{x_i, x_i+1\}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $x_1' \in O(x_1) \subset \omega(x)$, we have $O^+(x) \cap (I_{v_1} - \{x_0', x_1', x_2'\}) \neq \emptyset$ and so $\omega(x) \subset D_1$, which contradicts $x_3' \in \omega(x) \setminus D_1$.

We show that a quasi-$Q$ set is a generalization of a $Q$-set.

**Lemma 6.5.** Suppose that the singular point set is finite. Then a quasi-$Q$ set is a $Q$-set.

Proof. Proposition 6.2 implies that the height of $v$ is finite. Let $x$ be a point whose $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ intersects an essential closed transversal $T$ infinitely many times. Then $x$ is not a closed orbit. If $x \in LD \cup E$, then $\omega(x)$ is a $Q$-set. Thus we may assume that $x \in P$. By Lemma 4.3 the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ is not a limit quasi-circuit. If $\omega(x) \cap Per(v) \neq \emptyset$, then the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ is a limit cycle. Thus $\omega(x) \cap Per = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $\omega(x) \cap LD \neq \emptyset$. Fix a point $y \in \omega(x) \cap LD$. Since the closure $\overline{O(y)}$ is a neighborhood of $y \in O(x)$, we have that $x \in \overline{O(y)}$ and so that $\overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)}$. This means that $x \in LD$ and so $\omega(x)$ is a $Q$-set. Thus we may assume that $\omega(x) \cap LD = \emptyset$. Thus $\omega(x) \cap (Per(v) \cup LD) = \emptyset$ and so $\omega(x) \in Sing(v) \cup LD$. Suppose that $\omega(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$. We claim that the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ is a $Q$-set. Indeed, assume that there are an exceptional $Q$-set $M \subset \omega(x)$. Since $E$ consists of finitely many disjoint $Q$-sets and $\omega(x)$ is connected and compact, we obtain $\emptyset \neq \omega(x) \setminus E \subset Sing(v) \cup P$. By finiteness of singular points, the connectivity of $\omega(x)$ implies that there is a point $y_1 \in (P \setminus E) \cap \omega(x)$. Then there is a transverse closed arc $T_1 \subset (P \cup Per(v) \cup LD) \setminus E = (P \setminus E) \cup Per(v) \cup LD$ whose boundary consists of the point $y_1 \in \omega(x)$ and a point in $O^+(x)$ with $y_1 \in T \setminus O^+(x)$. Then $T_1 \cap \omega(x) \subset P$. By $y_1 \in P$, shortening $T_1$, we may assume that $(T_1 - \{y_1\}) \cap \overline{O(y_1)} = \emptyset$. Since $\omega(x)$ is not a limit quasi-circuit, Lemma 4.1 implies that there is a sequence $(y_{i_1})_{i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ in $(T_1 - \{y_1\}) \cap \omega(x)$ of non-closed proper points converging $y_1$. Lemma 6.1 implies that the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(y_{i_1})$ of any $i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a singular point. Lemma 6.3 implies that $(y_{i_1})_{i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is contained in finitely many negative orbits. Then there is $N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y_2 := y_{1_1}, N_1 \in T_1 \cap \omega(x) \subset (P \setminus E) \cap \omega(x)$ with $y_1 \in \alpha(y_2)$ and so $y_1 \neq y_2$. By induction, there is a sequence $(y_{i_1})_{i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ in $T_1 \cap \omega(x) \subset (P \setminus E) \cap \omega(x)$ with $y_i \in \alpha(y_{i+1})$ and so $y_1 \neq y_i$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This means that the height of $v$ is infinite, which contradicts the finiteness of the height of $v$. Thus $\omega(x)$ is a $Q$-set. This implies that we may assume that $\omega(x) \cap (Per(v) \cup LD \cup E) = \emptyset$. Then $\omega(x) \subset Sing(v) \cup P$. Lemma 6.1 implies that the $\omega$-limit set of a point of $\omega(x)$ is a singular point. As above, by finiteness of singular points, there are a point $y_1 \in (P \setminus E) \cap \omega(x)$ and a transverse closed arc $T_1 \subset (P \setminus E) \cup Per(v) \cup LD$ whose boundary consists of the point $y_1 \in \omega(x)$ and a point in $O^+(x)$ with $y_1 \in T \setminus O^+(x)$ and $(T_1 - \{y_1\}) \cap \overline{O(y_1)} = \emptyset$. Then $T_1 \cap \omega(x) \subset P$. By induction, there is a sequence $(y_{i_1})_{i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ in $T_1 \cap \omega(x) \subset (P \setminus E) \cap \omega(x)$ with $y_1 \in \alpha(y_{i+1})$ and so $y_1 \neq y_i$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. This means that the height of $v$ is infinite, which contradicts the finiteness of the height of $v$.

The reductions of quasi-circuits and quasi-$Q$ sets imply another proof of the following generalization of the Poincaré–Bendixon theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points (see for example [92]).
Corollary 6.6. The \( \omega \)-limit set of a non-closed orbit of a flow with finitely many fixed points on a compact surface is either a singular point, a limit cycle, an attracting limit circuit, or a Q-set.

7. Superior structures and inferior structures

Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact connected surface \( S \). Recall that \( \uparrow A = \uparrow A - \mathring{A} \) for a subset \( A \). Note that any saturated neighborhood of a subset \( A \) contains \( \uparrow A \) and so \( \uparrow A \). We characterize superior points.

Lemma 7.1. The following statements hold:

1) \( \uparrow \operatorname{Sing}(v) \subseteq P \sqcup \operatorname{LD} \sqcup E \).
2) \( \uparrow \operatorname{Per}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{int}P \).
3) \( \uparrow P \subseteq \operatorname{LD} \sqcup E \).
4) \( \uparrow \operatorname{LD} = \emptyset \).
5) \( \uparrow E \subseteq \operatorname{int}P \).

Proof. Since closed orbits are minimal sets, the assertions 1) and 3) hold. Lemma 7.1 \( \Rightarrow \) implies \( \uparrow \operatorname{Per}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{int}P \). For an orbit \( O \) with \( \overline{O} \cap \operatorname{LD} \neq \emptyset \), there is an orbit \( O' \subseteq \operatorname{LD} \cap \overline{O} \). Since the closure \( \overline{O'} \) is a neighborhood of \( O' \), we have \( O \cap \overline{O'} \neq \emptyset \) and so \( \overline{O} = \overline{O'} \). This means that \( O \subseteq \operatorname{LD} \) and so \( \uparrow \operatorname{LD} = \emptyset \). Lemma 3.8 implies that \( E \subseteq \operatorname{int}(P \cup E) \). We claim that \( \mathring{E} \cap \uparrow E = E \). Indeed, otherwise there are \( x \in E \), \( y \in \overline{O(x)} \setminus E \), and \( z \in \overline{O(y)} \cap E \). Since \( z \in \overline{O(y)} \subseteq \overline{O(x)} \), Proposition 2.2 \( \Rightarrow \) implies that \( \overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(z)} \setminus (\operatorname{Sing}(v) \cup P) \) and so \( \overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(z)} \). This implies that \( x \in \overline{O(z)} \subseteq \overline{O(y)} \) and so \( \overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)} \). Therefore \( y \in E \), which contradicts \( y \notin E \). The fact that \( A \cap \mathring{B} = \emptyset \) is equivalent to \( \uparrow A \cap \mathring{B} = \emptyset \) implies that \( \operatorname{Sing}(v) \cup \operatorname{Per}(v) \cup \operatorname{LD} \cap \uparrow E = \emptyset \). Since \( \mathring{E} \cap \uparrow E = E \), we have \( \uparrow E = (\uparrow E) - E = (\uparrow E) \setminus E = (\uparrow E) \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(v) \cup \operatorname{Per}(v) \cup \operatorname{LD} \subseteq \operatorname{int}P \). \( \square \)

Corollary 7.2. The following statements hold:

1) \( \uparrow x \subseteq P \sqcup \operatorname{LD} \sqcup E \) for any \( x \in \operatorname{Sing}(v) \).
2) \( \uparrow x \subseteq \operatorname{int}P \) for any \( x \in \operatorname{Per}(v) \).
3) \( \uparrow x \subseteq P \sqcup \operatorname{LD} \sqcup E \) for any \( x \in P \).
4) \( \uparrow x = \emptyset \) for any \( x \in \operatorname{LD} \).
5) \( \uparrow x \subseteq \operatorname{int}P \) for any \( x \in E \).

Proof. Since the orbit class of a closed orbit is the orbit, by Lemma 7.1 the assertions 1), 2) and 3) hold. Proposition 2.2 \( \Rightarrow \) implies the assertions 4) and 5). \( \square \)

Recall that \( \downarrow A = \downarrow A - \mathring{A} \subseteq \mathring{A} - \mathring{A} \subseteq \partial^+ A \) for a subset \( A \). We characterize inferior points.

Lemma 7.3. The following statements hold:

1) \( \downarrow \operatorname{Sing}(v) = \emptyset \) (i.e. \( \operatorname{Sing}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{min} S \)).
2) \( \downarrow \operatorname{Per}(v) = \emptyset \) (i.e. \( \operatorname{Per}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{min} S \)).
3) \( \downarrow P \subseteq S - \operatorname{LD} \).
4) \( \downarrow \operatorname{LD} \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \).
5) \( \downarrow E \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \).

Proof. Since closed orbits are minimal sets, the assertions 1) and 2) hold. Lemma 7.4 \( \Rightarrow \) implies the remaining statements. \( \square \)
Corollary 7.4. The following statements hold:
1) $\downarrow x = \emptyset$ for any $x \in \text{Cl}(v)$.
2) $\emptyset \neq \downarrow x \subseteq S - \text{LD}$ for any $x \in \text{P}$.
3) $\downarrow x \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{P}$ for any $x \in \text{LD} \sqcup \text{E}$.

Proof. The previous lemma implies the assertion 1). Proposition 2.2 [47] implies the assertions 2) and 3).

Lemma 7.5. The following statements hold:
1) The set $\min S$ of height zero points is the union of minimal sets.
2) $\text{Cl}(v) \subseteq \min S \subseteq S - \text{P}$.
3) $\text{int} \text{Cl}(v) \cup \text{LD} \subseteq \max S$.
4) $\text{E} \cap \max S = \emptyset$ if $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$.
5) $\text{int} \text{P} - \text{P}_{lc} \subseteq \max S$ if $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$.

Proof. The definition of height implies 1). Since closed orbits are minimal sets, definition of $\text{P}$ implies the assertion 2). Lemma 7.1 implies the assertion 3). By Theorem 2.2 [26] (cf. Lemma 3 [40]), the finiteness of singular points implies the assertion 4). By Lemma 6.6, the finiteness of singular points implies that any point contained both in $\text{int} \text{P}$ and in an $\omega$-limit set is contained in a limit circuit and so that the assertion 5) holds.

8. Finiteness of singular points

Let $v$ be a flow on a compact surface $S$. In this section, we assume that the flow $v$ has at most finitely many singular points.

8.1. Poincaré-Hopf theorem for continuous flows with finitely many singular points on compact surfaces. We observe that a Poincaré-Hopf theorem for continuous flows with finitely many singular points on compact surfaces holds using Gutierrez’s smoothing theorem [12].

Let $x$ be an isolated singular point of a flow $v$ on a compact surface $S$. Suppose that $x \notin \partial S$ of $v$ and that a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ is a closed disk such that $U \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \{x\}$. The index $\text{ind}_v(x)$ of $v$ at $x$ is defined as follows:

$$\text{ind}_v(x) = 2 + \frac{\text{tin}(C) - \text{tex}(C)}{2}$$

where $C \subset U$ is a loop which bounds a disk containing $x$ and which is transverse except finitely many tangencies and where $\text{tin}(C)$ (resp. $\text{tex}(C)$) is the number of internally (resp. externally) tangencies of $C$. Recall that an internally (resp. externally) tangency $z$ of $C$ is a non-singular point which has a small neighborhood $V$ such that $\text{Sat}_{v\mid V}(z)$ is (resp. is not) contained in the closed disk $U_1$ containing $x$ and bounded by $C$ (see Figure 17 for internally (resp. externally) tangencies). In the other words, a tangency of $C$ is internally (resp. externally) tangency $z$ of $C$ is a non-singular point which has a small neighborhood $V$ such that $\text{Sat}_{v\mid V}(V \cap U_1)$ is (resp. is not) a neighborhood of the tangency. Note that the equality of the index for a differential equation on a plane is also stated in by H. Poincaré (p.203, chapter VIII [55]) (for the statement, see also Theorem 2.1 [15]). Suppose that $x \in \partial S$ of $v$ and that a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in the double of a compact surface $S$ is a closed disk. The index $\text{ind}_v(x)$ of $v$ at $x$ is defined by $\text{ind}_v(x) := \text{ind}_{v_1}(x)/2$, where $v_1$ is the resulting flow on the double. We show that the index of $v$ at an isolated singular point is well-defined.
Figure 17. An internally tangency and an externally tangency for a simple closed curve which is transverse to a flow except finitely many points.

(i.e. independent of the choice of a neighborhood of $x$ and a loop which contains a disk containing $x$ and which is transverse except finitely many tangencies).

Lemma 8.1. The index of $v$ at an isolated singular point $x$ is independent of the choice of a closed disk neighborhood of $x$ and a loop which bounds a disk containing $x$ and which is transverse except finitely many tangencies. Moreover, for any smooth flow $w$ on a closed disk neighborhood $U$ of $x$ which is topologically equivalent to $v$, the index $\text{ind}_w(x)$ equals the degree of the map $u : \partial U \to S^1$ by $u(z) := \dot{w}(z)/|\dot{w}(z)|$, where $\dot{w}(z) := dw(0, z)/dt$.

Proof. Recall that the smoothing theorem [13], any continuous flow on a sphere $S^2$ is topologically equivalent to a $C^\infty$-flow. Suppose that $x \notin \partial S$ of $v$ and that a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ is a closed disk such that $U \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \{x\}$. Then $v|_U$ is topologically equivalent to a $C^\infty$-flow $w$. The index $\text{ind}_w(x)$ of a smooth flow $w$ at $x$ is defined as the degree of the map $u : \partial U \to S^1$ by $u(z) := \dot{w}(z)/|\dot{w}(z)|$, where $\dot{w}(z) := dw(0, z)/dt$. On the other hand, fix a simple closed curve $C_0 \in \text{int} U$ which is closed and parallel to $\partial U$. The flow box theorem for any point in $C_0$ implies that there are finitely many open trivial flow boxes $B_0, \ldots, B_{l-1}$ which form an open cover of $C_0$. Put $B_l := B_0$. Moreover, there are points $a_i, b_i \in B_i \cap C_0$ for $i = 0, \ldots, l - 1$ with $b_i \in B_{i+1}$ such that $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_{l-1}, b_{l-1}$ are anti-clockwise arranged in $C_0$. Put $a_l := a_0$. Then we can take arcs $\gamma_a$ from $a_i$ to $b_i$ (resp. $\gamma_b$ from $b_i$ to $a_{i+1}$) for $i = 0, \ldots, l - 1$ such that each of $\gamma_a$ and $\gamma_b$ is a finite union of transverse arcs and orbit arcs and so the union $C_1 := \bigcup_i \gamma_a \cup \gamma_b$, is a simple closed curve which is piecewise smooth with respect to $w$ and which is a finite union of transverse arcs and orbit arcs. Using trivial flow boxes, by a small perturbation to $C_1$, we may assume that $C_1$ is smooth and transverse except finitely many tangencies with respect to $w$. Note that if $\partial U$ is a closed transverse with respect to $w$ then the degree of $u$ is one and so the index is $1 = 2/2$. Since each internally (resp. externally) tangency corresponds to an anti-clockwise $\pi$ (resp. $-\pi$) rotation, we can calculate the index $\text{ind}_w(x)$ by counting the number of internally (resp. externally) tangencies of $C_1$ and so the following equality holds (cf. Theorem 2.1 [13]):

$$\text{ind}_w(x) = \deg \left( \frac{\dot{w}(z)}{|\dot{w}(z)|} \right) = \frac{2 + t_{\text{int}}(C) - t_{\text{ext}}(C)}{2} = \text{ind}_v(x)$$

Then the right hand side of the equation is independent of the choice of topological equivalence. Moreover, the left hand side of the equation is independent of the choice of a simple closed curve in $U$ which is piecewise transverse to $v$ and bounds a disk containing $x$ and so is independent of the choice of a neighborhood of $x$. This means that the index is independent of the choice of a neighborhood of $x$. 
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(resp. topological equivalence on a neighborhood, a simple closed curve which is piecewise transverse to \( v \) and bounds a disk containing \( x \)). Moreover, the index \( \text{ind}_v(x) \) equals the degree of the map \( u : \partial U \to S^1 \) by \( u(z) := \dot{w}(z)/|\dot{w}(z)| \).

Suppose that \( x \in \partial S \) of \( v \). Since the index of \( v \) at an isolated singular point in \( \text{int}S \) is independent of the choice of neighborhood of \( x \) and topological equivalence, by taking the double of \( S \), so is the index \( \text{ind}_v(x) \).

Notice that the index of a singular point \( x \) is also independent of the orientability and of the choice of local orientation in the surface cases. We observe that a Poincaré-Hopf theorem for continuous flows with finitely many singular points on compact surfaces holds.

**Lemma 8.2.** Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact surface \( S \) with finitely many singular points and \( \chi(S) \) the Euler characteristic of \( S \). Then the following equality holds:

\[
\sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(v)} \text{ind}_v(x) = \chi(S)
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that there are no exceptional minimal sets. By the smoothing theorem [13], any continuous flow without exceptional minimal sets on a compact surface is topologically equivalent to a \( C^\infty \)-flow. Lemma 8.1 and the Poincaré-Hopf theorem for smooth vector fields implies the assertion. Thus we may assume that there are exceptional minimal sets. Note that any turbulization along a closed transversal preserves the number of singular points and indices of singular points (see Figure 18 for the construction of turbulization). The original concept of turbulizing a foliation was introduced by Reeb [38], which is slightly different from our turbulization. For an exceptional minimal set, there is a transverse closed arc \( I \) intersecting it. Taking a small sub-arc of \( I \), by the non-closed recurrence, we may assume that the first return map along \( C_0 \) is orientation-preserving, because any exceptional minimal set contains non-closed recurrent orbits. By Lemma 8.1 there are an orbit arc \( C \subseteq C_0 \) and a transverse closed arc \( J \subseteq I \) such that the union \( \mu := J \cup C \) is a loop with \( C \cap J = \partial C = \partial J \). By the waterfall construction to the loop \( \mu \), there is a closed transversal \( \gamma \) intersecting \( O(x) \) near \( \mu \). Taking turbulizations along closed transversals intersecting exceptional minimal sets as possible, the resulting flow \( v_1 \) has no exceptional minimal sets. By the smoothing theorem [13], the Poincaré-Hopf theorem holds for \( v_1 \). Since any turbulization along a closed transversal preserves the number of singular points and indices of singular points, Lemma 8.1 implies that the Poincaré-Hopf theorem holds for \( v \). \( \square \)

**8.2. Properties of orbits.** The finiteness of singular points implies the existence of collar basins of limit circuits.

**Lemma 8.3.** Every limit circuit has a collar basin. In other words, each limit circuit is either attracting or repelling. Moreover, \( x \in \text{int}P \) for any point \( x \) whose \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set is a limit circuit with \( x \notin \omega(x) \) (resp. \( x \notin \alpha(x) \)).

**Proof.** Fix a limit circuit \( \gamma \). Since \( |\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty \), there is an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( \gamma \) such that \( (U - \gamma) \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset \). Since a limit circuit is directed, there are an associated collar basin \( A \subset U \) of \( \gamma \) and a point \( x \in A \) whose orbit closure contains \( \gamma \). By time reversion if necessary, we may assume that \( \omega(x) = \gamma \). The flow box theorem implies that \( \omega(y) = \gamma \) for any point \( y \in A \). This means that \( A \) is a collar basin of \( \gamma \) such that \( A \subset \text{int}P \). Moreover, each point \( z \) whose \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set is \( A \). \( \square \)
α-limit) set is a limit circuit with \( z \notin \omega(z) \) (resp. \( z \notin \alpha(z) \)) intersects a collar basin which is contained in \( \text{int}P \) and so \( z \in \text{int}P \).

Note that each collar basin does not intersect \( \text{LD} \cup E \).

**Lemma 8.4.** An orbit contained in \( P \cap \text{LD} \cup E \) is a connecting separatrix.

**Proof.** Fix a point \( x \in P \cap \text{LD} \cup E \) and an orbit \( O \subseteq \text{LD} \cup E \) with \( x \in \overline{O} \). By Lemma 6.6 the \( \omega \)-limit set of \( x \) is either a singular point, an attracting limit circuit, or a Q-set. Assume that the \( \omega \)-limit set \( \omega(x) \) is an attracting limit circuit. Then \( x \in \text{int}P \) and so \( O \cap P \neq \emptyset \), which contradicts \( O \subseteq \text{LD} \cup E \). Assume that \( \omega(x) \) is a Q-set. Since \( x \) is not recurrent, we have \( \omega(x) \notin \overline{O} \). Since \( \omega(x) \subseteq \overline{O} \), Proposition 2.2 [17] implies that \( \omega(x) = \overline{O} \), which contradicts. Thus the \( \omega \)-limit set \( \omega(x) \) is a singular point. By symmetry, the \( \alpha \)-limit set \( \alpha(x) \) is a singular point.

Recall that a singular point is a compressed center if for any its neighborhood \( U \) there is its open neighborhood \( V \subset U \) whose boundary is a periodic orbit, ant that a nonempty metrizable space is a continuum if it is compact and connected.

**Lemma 8.5.** Each point \( x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \) is either a compressed center or contained in a directed circuit which contained in \( \partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \). Moreover, each orbit in \( P \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \) is contained in a directed circuit in \( \partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \).

**Proof.** Taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that \( S \) is closed and orientable. Fix a point \( x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \). The finiteness of singular points implies that \( x \) is isolated. Suppose that a point \( x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \) is not a compressed center. Since \( x \) is isolated, cutting an essential periodic orbit and pasting two center disks, we may assume that each periodic orbit is null homotopic. Since \( x \) is not a compressed center, there is a small open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) which contains no periodic orbits and whose closure is a closed disk such that \( U \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \{ x \} \). Note that \( U \) intersects infinitely many periodic orbits. Since \( x \in \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \), there are a sequence \((O_n)_{n \geq 0}\) of periodic orbits and a sequence \((x_n)\) with \( x_n \in O_n \) converging to \( x \). Then \( O_n \setminus U \neq \emptyset \) and \( U \cap O_n \neq \emptyset \) for any \( n \geq 0 \). Therefore there is a convergence sequence \((y_n)_{n \geq 0}\) with \( y_n \in O_n \) to a point \( y \notin U \). Since each periodic orbit is null homotopic, it bounds a singular point. Since \( |\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty \), taking a sub-sequence of \((O_n)_{n \geq 0}\), we may assume that there are open annuli \( A_n \) (\( n > 0 \)) whose boundary is the union \( O_{n-1} \cup O_n \), such that \( A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset \) for any \( n \neq m \). Then \( A := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (O_n \cup A_n) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n \) \( - \) \( O_0 \) is an open annulus with \( x \in \partial \) such that \( A \subseteq \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \cup P \), where \( \partial \) is a boundary...
component of \( A \). By construction, the boundary component \( \partial \) of the annulus \( A \) is a continuum. Since \( y \in \partial \setminus U \), we obtain \( \partial \not\subseteq U \). Because the dimension of \( A \) is two, the dimension of the boundary component \( \partial \) is at most one. Then both \( U \cap A \) and \( U \setminus \overline{A} \) are nonempty open and so \( U \setminus (U \cap \partial) = U \setminus \partial \) is disconnected.

Since \( \overline{U} \) is a Cantor-manifold, the dimension of the boundary component \( \partial \) is one. Lemma 3.1 implies that \( \partial \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta P \). If there is a point \( z \in \partial \cap \text{Per}(v) \), then the flow box theorem implies that \( O_n \) correspond to fixed points of the holonomy of \( O(z) \) and that the periodic orbit \( O(z) \subseteq \partial \) is parallel to \( O_n \) for any \( n \) and so \( O(y) = \partial \), which contradicts \( x \in \partial \cap \text{Sing}(v) \). Thus \( \partial \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P \). By Lemma 8.3, the \( \omega \)-limit set of any point in \( \partial \) is not a limit circuit. Therefore the generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points implies that the \( \omega \)-limit set of any point in \( \partial \) is a singular point. Thus the boundary component \( \partial \) is a union of connecting separatrices and finitely many singular points. As the proof of Lemma 8.8, we can obtain that \( \partial \) is a directed circuit.

Fix an orbit \( O \subseteq P \cap \partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \). As above, the generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points implies that the \( \omega \)- and \( \alpha \)-limit set of \( O \) in \( \partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \) is a singular point \( x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \text{Per}(v) \). Therefore we can apply the above proof to this \( x \). Since we can choose \( O_n \) with \( O \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 0} O_n \), we may assume that \( O \subset \partial \). This means that \( O \) is contained in a directed circuit.

The finiteness of singular points is necessary. In other words, there is a point \( x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \text{Per}(v) \) of a flow \( v \) which is neither a compressed center nor contained in a directed circuit. Indeed, consider a point \( x_0 \in \text{int} P \) of a flow \( v_0 \) and a sequence \( (x_{-1/n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \) (resp. \( (x_{-1/n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \)) in \( O(x_0) \) converging from the positive (resp. negative) side in \( O(x_0) \). Replacing an orbit \( O(x_0) \) with singular points \( \{x_0\} \cup \{x_{-1/n}, x_{1/n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \) and non-closed proper orbits and blowing up the singular points \( x_{-1/n} \) (resp. \( x_{1/n} \) i.e. replacing \( x_{-1/n} \) (resp. \( x_{1/n} \)) by a center disk whose boundary is a union of a homoclinic separatrix and a saddle (see Figure 19) such that the diameters of center disks converge to zero if \( |n| \) goes to infinity, the resulting flow \( v \) has a point \( x \in \text{Sing}(v) \cap \text{Per}(v) \) which is neither a compressed center nor contained in a directed circuit. We show that the height of an isolated singular point \( x \notin \text{Per}(v) \) is not maximal.

**Lemma 8.6.** For an isolated singular point \( x \notin \overline{\text{Per}(v)} \), there is a non-singular point whose orbit closure contains \( x \).

**Proof.** If \( x \in \text{LD} \cup \overline{E} \), then the assertion holds because the number of Q-sets are finite. Thus we may assume that \( x \notin \text{LD} \cup \overline{E} \). Assume there are no non-singular points whose orbit closures contain \( x \). Since \( x \) is isolated, a union \( S = (\text{Cl}(v) - \{x\}) \cup \text{LD} \cup \overline{E} \subseteq P \cup \{x\} \) is an invariant open neighborhood of \( x \). This means that the neighborhood contains only one closed invariant set, which is \( \{x\} \). By Theorem 1.6 (or Theorem 1.7) [4], there is a non-closed proper point whose orbit closure contains \( x \), which contradicts the assumption. Thus there are no singular points whose orbit closures contain \( x \).

In general, the union LD of a flow is not open even if singular points are countable. In fact, there is a toral flow with \( \partial \text{LD} = \partial P = \mathbb{T}^2 \) (cf. Example 2.10 [17]).
such that isolated singular points converge to a singular point. On the other hand, the finiteness implies the following statement.

**Lemma 8.7.** We have \( \text{LD} \cap \overline{P} = \emptyset \).

**Proof.** We may assume that \( S \) is connected and orientable. Assume that there is a point \( x \in \text{LD} \cap \overline{P} \). Since the orbit closure \( \overline{O(x)} \) is a neighborhood of \( x \), there is a sequence \( (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) in \( \overline{O(x)} \cap P \subseteq \text{LD} \) converging to \( x \) such that \( \overline{O(x_n)} \cap \overline{O(x_m)} = \emptyset \) for any \( n \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Fix an open trivial flow box \( U \subset \overline{O(x)} \) centered at \( x \). By renumbering, we may assume that \( (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) converges to \( x \) decreasing with respect to the transverse direction from one side in the flow box. We show that \( \overline{O(x_n)} - O(x_n) \) consists of two singular points. Indeed, by Lemma 6.6, the \( \omega \)-limit set of \( O(x_n) \) is either a singular point, an attracting limit circuit, or a \( Q \)-set. Assume that \( \omega(x_n) \) is an attracting limit circuit. Since each orbit whose \( \omega \)-limit set is an attracting limit circuit is proper, the inclusion \( O(x_n) \subseteq \overline{O(x)} \) implies that the locally dense orbit \( O(x) \) is proper, which contradicts. Assume that \( \omega(x_n) \) is a \( Q \)-set. Since \( O(x_n) \) is proper, the \( \omega \)-limit set \( \omega(x_n) \) is not \( \overline{O(x)} \). Since \( \omega(x_n) \subseteq \overline{O(x)} \), Proposition 2.2 implies that \( \omega(x_n) = \overline{O(x)} \), which contradicts. Thus \( \omega(x_n) \) is a singular point. By symmetry, the \( \alpha \)-limit set \( \alpha(x_n) \) is a singular point. Suppose that there is no subsequence \( (x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) of \( (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) such that \( \overline{O(x_{k_n})} \) is a simple closed curve. By renumbering, each closure \( \overline{O(x_{k_n})} \) is not a simple closed curve. Then \( \omega(x_{k_n}) \neq \alpha(x_{k_n}) \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Since \( |\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty \), there are singular points \( \alpha \neq \omega \) and a subsequence \( (x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) of \( (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) such that \( \omega(x_{k_n}) = \omega \) and \( \alpha(x_{k_n}) = \alpha \). Since \( S \) is compact, there are an open disk \( D \subseteq \overline{O(x)} \) and three points \( x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3} \) in the subsequence such that \( \partial D = \overline{O(x_{n_1})} \cup \overline{O(x_{n_2})} \) and \( x_{n_2} \in \text{int}D \). Then \( \overline{DD} \cap \text{int}D = \emptyset \) and so \( x_{n_2} \notin \overline{DD} \), which contradicts the choice of \( x_{n_2} \). Thus there is a subsequence \( (x_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) of \( (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \) such that \( \overline{O(x_{k_n})} \) is a simple closed curve. By renumbering, we may assume that there is a singular point \( z \) with \( \omega(x_n) = \alpha(x_n) = z \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Since there are at most finitely many isotopic classes of loops, we may assume that any pair of \( \overline{O(x_n)} \) and \( \overline{O(x_m)} \) are homotopic relative to \( z \). Assume that \( \overline{O(x_n)} \) is essential or parallel to \( \partial S \). Then a connected component of \( \overline{O(x_n)} \cup \overline{O(x_{n+2})} \) containing \( \overline{O(x_{n+1})} \) is an open disk, which contradicts \( x_{n+1} \in \overline{O(X)} \subseteq \text{LD} \). Thus \( \overline{O(x_n)} \) is null homotopic and so intersects no closed transversals. If there are more than one orbit arc in \( O(x_n) \cap U \), then the waterfall construction to the loop consisting an orbit arc in \( O(x_n) \) and a transverse arc in \( U \) implies the existence of a closed transversal intersecting \( O(x_n) \), which contradicts the non-existence of closed transversals. Therefore \( O(x_n) \cap U \) is one orbit arc. Since the complement \( S - \overline{O(x_n)} \) consists of two connected components and one of them is an open disk, there is a positive number \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that...
a connected component of $O(x_n) \cup O(x_{n+2})$ containing $O(x_{n+1})$ is an open disk, which contradicts $x_{n+1} \in O(x) \subseteq LD$. Thus $LD \cap P = \emptyset$. □

We show the openness of $LD$.

**Lemma 8.8.** The union $LD$ is open.

*Proof.* Since the singular point set $Sing(v)$ is closed, Lemma 8.11 and Lemma 8.7 imply that $Sing(v) \cup Per(v) \cup \partial P \cap E \cap LD = \emptyset$ and so the union $LD$ is open. □

We describe the border $\delta P$ as follows.

**Proposition 8.9.** Each orbit in $\delta P$ is a connecting separatrix. In particular, we have $ht(\delta P) = 1$ if $\delta P \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $v$ is quasi-regular, then $\delta P$ is a finite union of connecting separatrices.

*Proof.* Fix an orbit $O$ in $\delta P$. By Lemma 8.3 we have $O \cap Per(v) = \emptyset$. Since $\delta P = P - \text{int}P = P \cap Sing(v) \cup Per(v) \cup LD \cup E = P \cap Per(v) \cup LD \cup E$, we have $O \subseteq P \cap Per(v) \cup LD \cup E$. By Lemma 8.11 we may assume that $O \subseteq P \cap Per(v)$. Then $O \subseteq Per(v) - Per(v) = \partial v \cap Per(v) \subseteq \delta Sing(v) \cup \delta P$. By Lemma 8.6 the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of $O$ is either a singular point, or a limit circuit. Since $O \subseteq \delta P$, by Lemma 8.3 the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of $O$ contains no limit circuits and so it is a singular point. Therefore $O$ is a connecting separatrix.

Suppose that $v$ is quasi-regular. Assume that $\delta P$ is not a finite union of connecting separatrices. Then there are singular points $\omega, \alpha$ and infinitely many orbits $O_i$ in $\delta P$ such that $\omega(O_i) = \omega$ and $\alpha(O_i) = \alpha$ such that the union $\{\omega, \alpha\} \cup \omega(O_i) \cup \omega(O_{i+1})$ bounds an open disks $D_i$. Quasi-regularity implies that $D_i$ contains a singular point and so there are infinitely many singular points, which contradicts the finite hypothesis of $Sing(v)$. □

The finiteness of $Sing(v)$ is necessary. In fact, there is a flow with a height one point $y \in \delta P$ whose $\omega$-limit set is not a point (see Figure 20). The quasi-regularity of $Sing(v)$ is necessary. In fact, there is a flow with infinitely many connecting separatrices (see Figure 21). The previous proposition implies following properties.

**Corollary 8.10.** Each orbit in $\overline{Per(v)} \setminus Cl(v)$ is a connecting separatrix.

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.11 we have $\overline{Per(v)} \setminus Cl(v) = \partial Per(v) \cap \delta P$. Proposition 8.9 implies the assertion holds. □

**Corollary 8.11.** $E \subseteq \text{int}(E \cup \text{int}P) = E \cup \text{int}P$.

*Proof.* Assume there is a point $x \in \delta P \cap E$. Then there are a sequence $\{O_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of orbits in $\delta P$ and a transverse closed arc $\gamma$ with $x \in \partial \gamma \setminus \bigcup_n O_n$ such that $x \in \bigcup_n O_n \cap \gamma$ and $O_n \neq O_m$ for any $n \neq m$. Suppose that there are infinitely many orbits $O_n$ which are homoclinic. Taking a subsequence, we may assume that there is a singular point $y$ with $y = \omega(O_n) = \alpha(O_n)$ for any $n$. Since $S$ is compact, taking a subsequence, we may assume that $\{y\} \cup O_n$ and $\{y\} \cup O_{n+1}$ are homotopic relative to $\{y\}$. Taking a subsequence, we may assume that a union $\{y\} \cup O_{2n} \cup O_{2n+2}$ bounds an open disk $B_n$ for any $n$. Then $B_n$ is an open disk which contains $O_{2n+1}$ such that $B_n - O_{2n+1}$ consists of two open disks. By $O_{2n+1} \subset \delta P$, let $C_n$ be the one of two open disks $B_n - O_{2n+1}$ intersecting $S - P$. Since an open disk whose boundary is saturated does not intersect $LD \cup E$, the disk $C_n$ intersects
Lemma 8.12. The union $\partial^+\text{LD} \cup \partial^+\text{E}$ is a union of connecting separatrices and finitely many singular points. In particular, we have $\text{ht}(\text{LD} \cup \text{E}) \leq 2$.

Proof. Lemma 8.1 implies $\overline{\text{D}} = \text{LD} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P$ and $\overline{\text{E}} = \text{E} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P$. By the finiteness of singular points, Proposition 8.9 implies the assertion. \qed
Finiteness of singular points is necessary in the previous lemma. In fact, a construction in Figure 20 implies that there is a flow with an orbit in $\partial P \cap (\partial^+ LD \cup \partial^+ E)$ which is not a separatrix. We show that each directed circuit is a limit circuit or is parallel to a periodic orbit. Precisely, we describe flows near the multi-saddle connection diagrams as follows.

**Lemma 8.13.** Let $\gamma$ be a multi-saddle connection. If there is a point $x \in S - (\gamma \cup LD \cup E)$ with $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$ and $\omega(x) \cap E = \emptyset$, then the $\omega$-limit set $\omega(x)$ is an attracting limit circuit with $x \in \text{intP}$ and $\omega(x) \subseteq \text{intP} \cap \gamma$. On the other hand, if there is a directed circuit $\mu \subseteq \gamma$ with an associated collar $\Lambda$ such that $\partial \Lambda \cap \mu = \emptyset$ for any orbit $O \subseteq \Lambda$, then there are periodic orbits in $\Lambda$ which are parallel to $\partial \Lambda$ and converge to $\mu$.

**Proof.** Suppose that there is a point $x \in S - (\gamma \cup LD \cup E)$ with $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$ and $\omega(x) \cap E = \emptyset$. Then $\omega(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup P$. Since $|\text{Sing}(v)| < \infty$, there is an open neighborhood $U$ of $\gamma$ such that $(U - \gamma) \cap \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$. Recall that $\gamma$ is a compact one-dimensional cell complex. By $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$, since each singular point in $\gamma$ is a multi-saddle, the intersection $C := \omega(x) \cap \gamma$ is a one-dimensional cell complex without boundary. The flow box theorem implies that there is an open annulus $\Lambda$ which consists of finitely many flow boxes as in Figure 22 such that $C$ is a boundary component of $\Lambda$. Since $\omega(x) \cap \gamma \neq \emptyset$, the $\omega$-limit set of a point in $\Lambda$ is the attracting limit circuit $C$. This means that $x \in \text{intP}$ and so that $\omega(x) = C \subseteq \text{intP} \cap \gamma$ is an attracting limit circuit. Conversely, suppose there is a directed circuit $\mu \subseteq \gamma$ with an associated collar $\Lambda$ such that $\partial \Lambda \cap \mu = \emptyset$ for any orbit $O \subseteq \Lambda$. The flow box theorem implies that we can choose the annulus $\Lambda$ to consist of finitely many flow boxes as in Figure 22 such that $\Lambda$ contains no singular points. Fix a regular point $x \in \mu$ and a transverse arc $T \subset \Lambda$ from $x$. Since $\partial \Lambda \cap \mu = \emptyset$ for any orbit $O \subseteq \Lambda$, the first return map with respect to $T - \{x\}$ has fixed points which converge to $x$. Since each fixed point corresponds to a periodic orbit which is parallel to $\partial \Lambda$, this implies the assertion. \qed

**Lemma 8.14.** $\partial^+ O \subseteq \delta \text{Cl}(v) \cup \delta P \cup P_{lc} \cup E$ for an orbit $O \subseteq P$.

**Proof.** Fix an orbit $O \subseteq P$. Since LD is open, by Lemma 6.15 the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of $O$ is either a singular point, a limit circuit, or an exceptional Q-set. Therefore $\partial^+ O = \overline{\sigma} - O \subseteq \delta \text{Cl}(v) \cup \delta P \cup P_{lc} \cup E$. \qed

Recall the coborder $\partial^+ A = \overline{A} - A$ of a subset $A \subseteq S$. We state a following coborder’s property.

**Lemma 8.15.** $E \subseteq \partial^+ P \subseteq E \cup \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v)$ (i.e. $\delta P \cup E \subseteq \partial P \subseteq \delta P \cup E \cup \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v)$).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3 [47], we obtain $E \subseteq \text{intP} \setminus P \subseteq \partial^+ P$. Recall that $S = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v) \cup P \cup LD \cup E$. Since the union LD and the interior intPer(v) are open, we have $P \setminus (P \cup E) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v)$. \qed

Summarize the properties of the coborders as follows.

**Proposition 8.16.** Let $v$ be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface $S$. The following statements hold:

1) $\partial^+ LD = \partial LD$ (i.e. LD is open).
Recall the border $\delta A := A - \text{int} A$ of a subset $A \subseteq S$. Summarize the properties of the borders as follows.

Proposition 8.17. Let $v$ be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface $S$. The following statements hold:

1) $\partial^+ \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$.
2) $\partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{int} P$ is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.
3) $\delta P = (\partial^+ \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial^+ \text{LD} \cup \partial^+ E) \setminus \text{Sing}(v)$ consists of connecting separatrices.
4) $\partial^+ P \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup E$.
5) $\delta E = E = \partial^+ P \cap \text{int}(E \cup \text{int} P)$.

Moreover, a disjoint union $\text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta P \cup E$ is closed.

Notice that $\overline{\delta A} = A - \text{int} A \subseteq \overline{A} - \text{int} A = \partial A = \delta A \cup \partial^+ A$ and so that $\partial^+ \delta A = \overline{\delta A} - \delta A \subseteq \partial^+ A$. The fact that the union $E \cup \text{int} P$ (resp. $\text{Per}(v) \cup \text{int} P$) is open implies a following statement.

Corollary 8.18. Let $v$ be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface $S$. The following statements hold:

1) $\partial^+ \delta \text{Sing}(v) = \emptyset$.
2) $\partial^+ \delta \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P$.
3) $\partial^+ \delta P \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)$.
4) $\partial^+ \delta E = \partial^+ E \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta P$.

Describe the strictly border point set $\text{Bd} = \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial P \cup \partial \text{LD} \cup \partial E \cup \text{P sep} \cup \partial \text{per}$ as follows.

Lemma 8.19. $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta P \cup E \cup \text{P sep} \cup \partial \text{per}$.

Proof. The finiteness of $\text{Sing}(v)$ implies $\partial \text{Sing}(v) = \text{Sing}(v)$. Since LD is open, we have $\delta \text{LD} = \emptyset$. Since there are at most finitely many Q-sets, Proposition 8.16
implies that \( \partial \text{LD} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \) and that \( \partial \text{E} = \overline{\text{E}} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \). Lemma 3.1 implies \( \partial \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \), and Lemma 5.13 implies \( \partial \text{P} \subseteq \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \). Therefore \( \text{Bd} = \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial \text{P} \cup \partial \text{LD} \cup \partial \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial \text{Per} = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial \text{Per}. \)

Recall that the border point set is \( \text{BD} = \text{Bd} \cup \text{Per}_1 \).

**Lemma 8.20.** Suppose that there are at most finitely many limit cycles. If \( v \) is quasi-regular, then the union \( \text{BD} \cup \text{LD} \) consists of finitely many orbit classes. Moreover, if \( v \) is of finite type, then \( \text{BD} \) consists of finitely many proper orbits.

**Proof.** By finiteness of \( \text{Sing}(v) \) and boundary components, the union \( \partial \text{P} \) consists of finitely many orbits. Compactness implies that \( \partial \text{Per} \) consists of finitely many orbits contained in the boundary \( \partial S \) and so that the union \( \text{Per}_1 \cup \partial \text{Per} \) of one-sided periodic orbits consists of finitely many orbits. By the hypothesis, Lemma 8.14 implies that \( \delta \text{Per}(v) \) consists of finitely many limit cycles. By Proposition 5.9, the border \( \delta \text{P} \) consists of connecting separatrices. The finiteness and quasi-regularity of \( \text{Sing}(v) \) imply that the union \( \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \) consists of finitely many orbits. The Maier and Markley works \([21, 22]\) imply the closure \( \text{LD} \cup \text{E} \) is a finite union of \( \text{Q-sets} \). By Proposition 2.2 \([17]\), the union \( \text{LD} \cup \text{E} \) of non-closed recurrent orbits consists of finitely many orbit classes. This mean that \( \text{BD} \cup \text{LD} \) consists of finitely many orbit classes. Suppose that \( v \) is of finite type. Since \( \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset \), we have that \( \text{BD} \) consists of finitely many orbits.

To show that the finiteness of singular points implies the finiteness of non-periodic limit circuits and directed circuits, we state a following statement.

**Lemma 8.21.** Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact connected surface \( S \), \( \gamma \) a directed circuit with its associated collar \( \mathbb{A} \) such that \( S - \mathbb{A} \) is disconnected, and let \( C \) be the connected component of \( S - \mathbb{A} \) which does not intersect \( \gamma \). If the Euler characteristic of \( C \) is not zero, then \( C \) contains singular points.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \mathbb{A} \) is neither essential nor parallel to the boundary \( \partial S \). Let \( C_1 \) be another connected component of the complement \( S - \mathbb{A} \). Collapsing \( C_1 \) into an isolated singleton \( c_1 \), the Euler characteristic of the resulting surface \( S_1 \) which is a union of \( C \cup \mathbb{A} \) and the singular point \( c_1 \) is not one and the resulting flow \( v_1 \) on \( S_1 \) has the new singular point \( c_1 \) whose index of \( c_1 \) is one. By the Poincaré-Hopf theorem, we obtain that there are singular points of \( v_2 \) except \( c_1 \) and so there are singular points on \( C \).

Note that the previous lemma holds for flows with arbitrarily many singular points on a compact surface. The finiteness of singular points implies the finiteness of non-periodic directed circuits.

**Lemma 8.22.** There are at most finitely many non-periodic directed circuits.

**Proof.** Note that any \( p \)-punctured disk \( \Sigma \) contains at most finitely many non-null-homotopic simple closed curves each pair of which is disjoint but not parallel. In particular, the number of pairwise disjoint non-null-homotopic simple closed curves is bounded by \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} i!/(p-i)! \). In fact, consider the \( p \)-punctured disk is contained in a plane. Then the homotopy class of a non-null-homotopic simple closed curve \( \gamma \) are determined by which boundary components in \( \partial \Sigma \) are contained
in the disk $D_\gamma$ in the plane with $\partial D_\gamma = \gamma$. Notice that any pair of disjoint non-null-homotopic simple closed curves is parallel in $\Sigma$ if and only if it is homotopic in $\Sigma$. Moreover, for a $p$-puncture compact surface $S$ with genus $g$, the number of pairwise disjoint non-null-homotopic simple closed curves on a compact surface is bounded by $\sum_{i=1}^{p+2g}(p+2g)!/(p+2g-i)!$. Indeed, the number of essential simple closed curves $\gamma$ in $S$ each pair of which is disjoint but not parallel is less than or equal to $g$. Since the resulting surface cutting $g$ essential simple closed curves each pair of which is disjoint but not parallel is a disk with at most $(p+2g)$-punctures, the number is bounded by $\sum_{i=1}^{p+2g}(p+2g)!/(p+2g-i)!$.

Suppose that there are infinitely many non-periodic limit circuits. Then there is a singular point $x$ which is contained in infinitely many distinct limit circuit $C_t$. For any limit circuit $C_t$, there is a closed transversal $\mu_t$ near and parallel to $C_t$. If a closed transversal is null homotopic, then Lemma 8.21 implies that it bounds an open disk which contains singular points. Thus we may assume that each limit circuit $C_t$ is essential by renumbering. By the finite existence of singular points, there are infinitely many disjoint essential closed transversals $\mu_{t_k}$ with $x \in \text{Sat}_t(\mu_{t_k})$. By the compactness of $S$, there are four closed transversal $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ in $\{\mu_{t_k}\}$ which are parallel to each other and there is an open annulus $A$ whose boundary consists of $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_3$, and which contains $\gamma_2$ but not $\gamma_4$. Since $x \in \text{Sat}_t(\gamma_2) \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_3) \subseteq A$, we have $x \in A$. On the other hand, we obtain $A \cap \text{Sat}_t(\gamma_4) = \emptyset$, which contradicts that $x \in \text{Sat}_t(\gamma_4)$.

Suppose that there are infinitely many pairwise non-periodic directed circuits. Since there are at most finitely many limit circuits, we may assume that the non-periodic directed circuits are non-limit circuits. As mentioned above, there are saturated essential open annuli each of which is an associated collar and each pair of which is disjoint. By Lemma 8.21, we may assume that the essential open annuli are parallel to each other. The complement of the union of annuli contains infinitely many connected components each of which contains a non-periodic directed circuit. Therefore there are infinitely many singular points, which contradicts the finiteness of singular points.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 8.23.** The complement $S - \text{Bd}$ is open such that $S - \text{Bd} = (\text{int Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}}) \cup (\text{intP} - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}) \cup \text{LD}$ and $\text{LD}/\hat{v}$ is a finite space. Moreover, each of $\text{int Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}}$, $\text{intP} - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}$, and $\text{LD}$ is open.

**Proof.** Recall that $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial_{\text{Per}}$ and $\text{P}_{\text{sep}} = (\text{P}_{\text{lc}} \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}}) \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}}$. By Corollary 8.13, the disjoint union $\text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E}$ is closed. Since $S$ is compact, there are finitely many boundary components and so the union $\text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial_{\text{Per}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}}$ consists of finitely many orbits and so is closed. Moreover, $\text{int Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}}$ is open. By Lemma 8.22, there are at most finitely many non-periodic limit circuits. Then $\text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{P}_{\text{lc}}$ is a finite union of the singular point set and non-periodic limit circuits and so is closed because circuits are closed. Therefore $\text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{lc}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}}$ is closed. Since multi-saddles are finite, the union $\text{P}_{\text{ss}} \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}}$ consists of finitely many orbits. By Lemma 8.11, we have $\text{P}_{\text{sep}} = (\text{P}_{\text{lc}} \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}}) \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{P}_{\text{lc}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}} \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup (\text{P}_{\text{lc}} \cup \text{P}_{\text{ss}} \cup \partial_{\text{P}})$, and so the union $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial_{\text{Per}}$ is closed. Then the complement $S - \text{Bd} = (\text{int Per}(v) - \partial_{\text{Per}}) \cup (\text{intP} - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}) \cup \text{LD}$ is open. Moreover, since $\text{Bd}$ is closed, the set
difference $\text{int} P \setminus \text{Bd} = \text{int} P \setminus (\text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta E \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial \text{Per}) = \text{int} P - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}$ is open.

8.3. Connecting separatrices and limit circuits.

**Lemma 8.24.** The following are equivalent for a connecting separatrix $O$:
1) $O \subseteq \text{int} P$ (i.e., $O \not\subseteq \delta P$),
2) $O \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset$.

**Proof.** If $O \cap \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset$, then the regularity of $O$ implies that $O \subseteq \text{int} P$. Conversely, the condition $O \subseteq \text{int} P$ implies $O \cap \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset$. □

**Lemma 8.25.** An orbit $O \subseteq P$ is contained in $\text{int} P$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
1) $\partial O \cap \text{E} \neq \emptyset$.
2) $\partial O - O$ contains a limit circuit.
3) $O$ is a connecting separatrix with $O \cap \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $O \subseteq \text{int} P$. Then $O \cap \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset$. By Lemma 8.14 we have $\partial O - O \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta E \cup \text{P}_v \cup \text{E}$. Assume that $\partial O \cap \text{E} = \emptyset$. Then $\partial O \subseteq P \cup \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta E$. Assume that $\partial O - O$ contains no limit circuits. Since $\partial O$ intersects no Q-set, the generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular points implies that each of $\omega(O)$ and $\alpha(O)$ is a singular point. Conversely, suppose that $\partial O \cap \text{E} \neq \emptyset$. Corollary 8.11 implies $O \subseteq \text{int}(\text{int} P \cup \text{E})$ and so $O \subseteq \text{int} P$. Suppose that $\partial O - O$ contains a limit circuit. Lemma 8.13 implies $O \subseteq \text{int} P$. Finally, suppose that $O$ is a connecting separatrix with $O \cap \text{Per}(v) \cup \text{LD} \cup \text{E} = \emptyset$. Then $O \subseteq \text{int}(\text{Sing}(v) \cup P) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{int} P$. Since $O$ is not singular, we have $O \subseteq \text{int} P$. □

8.4. Quasi-regular cases. Suppose that $v$ is quasi-regular in this subsection.

**Lemma 8.26.** Each point in $\text{Per}(v) \cap \text{Sing}(v)$ is either a center or a multi-saddle.

**Proof.** Fix a point $x$ in $\text{Per}(v) \cap \text{Sing}(v)$. Quasi-regularity implies that $x$ is either a center, a ($\partial$-)sink, a ($\partial$-)source, or a multi-saddle. A singular point which is a ($\partial$-)sink, or a ($\partial$-)source, has a neighborhood which does not intersect $\text{Per}(v)$. Therefore $x$ is neither a ($\partial$-)sink, nor a ($\partial$-)source but so is either a center or a multi-saddle. □

**Lemma 8.27.** Let $D$ be the multi-saddle connection diagram and $D_{ss}$ the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram. The following statements hold:
1) $\delta P \cup \text{P}_{ms} = D \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$ is the union of multi-saddle separatrices.
2) $\delta P \cup (\text{P}_{\text{sep}} - \partial v) = D_{ss} \setminus (\text{Cl}(v) \cup \text{E})$ is the union of multi-saddle separatrices and ss-separatrices.

**Proof.** Let $S_+$ be the set of sources and $\partial$-sources, $S_-$ be the set of sinks and $\partial$-sinks, $W_+ := \bigcup_{x \in S_+} W^u(x)$, and $W_- := \bigcup_{x \in S_-} W^s(x)$. Since $P \cap (W_+ \cup W_-) \subseteq \text{int} P$, we have $\delta P \cap (W_+ \cup W_-) = \emptyset$. Quasi-regularity implies that the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of a point in $\delta P$ is a multi-saddle. Therefore $\delta P \cup \text{P}_{ms} = D \setminus \text{Cl}(v)$. Recall that $D_{ss}$ is the union of multi-saddles, multi-saddle separatrices, ss-separatrices, and ss-components. Then the difference $D_{ss} \setminus (\text{Cl}(v) \cup \text{E})$ is the union of multi-saddle separatrices and ss-separatrices. This means that $D_{ss} \setminus (\text{Cl}(v) \cup \text{E}) = \delta P \cup (\text{P}_{\text{sep}} - \partial v)$. □
By Quasi-regularity, an ss-component is either a ($\partial$-)sink, a ($\partial$-)source), a limit circuit, or an exceptional Q-set. Therefore quasi-regularity and Lemma 8.19 imply the following observation.

**Lemma 8.28.** A difference $\text{Bd} - \delta \text{Per}(v)$ is the finite disjoint union of centers, periodic orbits on the boundary $\partial S$, non-closed orbits connecting a $\partial$-sink and a $\partial$-source on the boundary $\partial S$, multi-saddle connections, ss-separatrices, and ss-components except limit circuits. Moreover if $v$ have at most finitely many limit cycles, then $\text{Bd}$ is the finite disjoint union of centers, periodic orbit on $\partial S$, non-closed orbits connecting a $\partial$-sink and a $\partial$-source on the boundary $\partial S$, multi-saddle connections, ss-separatrices, and ss-components except non-periodic limit circuits.

**Proof.** Recall that $\text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \delta \text{Per}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \sqcup \partial \text{Per}$. Quasi-regularity implies that $\text{Sing}(v)$ consists of finitely many centers and ss-components. By Lemma 8.27, we have that $\delta P \sqcup \text{P}_{\text{sep}}$ is the finite union of multi-saddle separatrices, ss-separatrices, and non-closed orbits connecting a $\partial$-sink and a $\partial$-source on the boundary $\partial S$. By definition of ss-component, the closure $E \subseteq \text{Bd}$ consists of finitely many ss-components. If there are at most finitely many limit cycles, then $\delta \text{Per}(v)$ is the finite union of limit cycles. □

9. **On the (strictly) border point set**

Let $v$ be a flow on a compact connected surface $S$. Define a relation $\sim_{\text{ex}}$ on $S$ as follows: $x \sim_{\text{ex}} y$ if either $O(x) = O(y)$ or both $x$ and $y$ are contained in a multi-saddle connection. Write the extended orbit space $S/\sim_{\text{ex}} := S/\sim_{\text{ex}}$. In other words, $S/\sim_{\text{ex}}$ can be obtained from $S/v$ by collapsing the multi-saddle connections into singletons. Note that $(S - \text{Bd})/v = (S - \text{Bd})/\sim_{\text{ex}}$ and that each class of $\sim_{\text{ex}}$ is either an orbit or a multi-saddle connection. Denote by $S/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$ the $T_0$-ification of $S/\sim_{\text{ex}}$, called the extended orbit class space. In other words, define a relation $\approx_{\text{ex}}$ on $S$ as follows: $x \approx_{\text{ex}} y$ if either $\overline{O(x)} = \overline{O(y)}$ or both $x$ and $y$ are contained in a multi-saddle connection. Then $S/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}} := S/\approx_{\text{ex}}$. By Proposition 2.2 [47] and Corollary 3.4 [48], the definitions of the equivalences $\sim_{\text{ex}}$ and $\approx_{\text{ex}}$ imply the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.1.** Let $v$ be a flow on a compact connected surface $S$. The following conditions are equivalent:

1) $S/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}} = S/\sim_{\text{ex}}$.
2) $S/\hat{v} = S/v$.
3) $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$ (i.e. each recurrent orbit is closed).
4) $S/v$ is $T_0$.
5) Each orbit is proper.

We obtain the following statements.

**Lemma 9.2.** Let $v$ be a quasi-regular flow on a compact connected surface $S$. The following statements hold:

1) If $E \subseteq \text{min} S$, then $\text{Bd}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$ is an abstract multi-graph whose vertices are centers, periodic orbits on the boundary $\partial S$, multi-saddle connections, and ss-components except non-periodic limit circuits, and whose edges are ss-separatrices and non-closed orbits connecting a $\partial$-sink and a $\partial$-source on the boundary $\partial S$.
2) $\text{Bd}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}}$ is finite if and only if there are at most finitely many limit cycles.
3) $\text{Bd}/\hat{v}_{\text{ex}} = \text{Bd}/\sim_{\text{ex}}$ if and only if $E = \emptyset$. 

DECOMPOSITIONS OF SURFACE FLOWS
Proof. Lemma [S.19] implies that \( \text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial \text{Per}. \) By definition of \( \approx_{\text{ex}} \), the quasi-regularity and Lemma [S.28] imply the assertions 1) and 2). Since \( \text{Bd} \cap \text{LD} = \emptyset \), definition of ss-component implies the assertion 3). \( \square \)

The finite type condition implies the finiteness of the border point set.

**Theorem 9.3.** For a flow \( v \) of finite type on a compact connected surface, the quotient space \( \text{Bd}/\approx_{\text{ex}} \) (resp. \( \text{BD}/\approx_{\text{ex}} \)) is a finite abstract multi-graph.

10. **Structures of orbits**

We summarize the properties of the boundary points and those of border points as follows.

**Theorem 10.1.** Let \( v \) be a flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface \( S \). The following statements hold:
1) \( \partial \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P}. \)
2) \( \partial \text{LD} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{P}. \)
3) \( \partial \text{E} = \overline{\text{E}} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E}. \)
4) \( \partial \text{P} \subseteq \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E}. \)
5) \( \delta \text{P} \) is a union of connecting separatrices.
6) \( \partial \text{Per}(v) \) is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.
7) \( \text{Bd} = \text{Sing}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial \text{Per}. \)


10.1. **The border point set.** Let \( v \) be a flow with finitely many singular points on a connected compact surface \( S \). The previous theorem implies the following descriptions of the strictly border point set \( \text{Bd} \) as follows.

**Corollary 10.2.** Let \( v \) be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface \( S \). Denote by \( S_c \) the set of centers, and by \( D_{\text{ss}} \) the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram. The following statements hold:
1) \( \delta \text{P} \cup \text{P}_{\text{sep}} \) is the finite union of multi-saddle separatrices, ss-separatrices, and non-closed orbits connecting a \( \partial \)-sink and a \( \partial \)-source on the boundary \( \partial S \).
2) \( \partial \text{Per}(v) \) is contained in the closure of the union of limit cycles.
3) \( D_{\text{ss}} = (\text{Sing}(v) - S_c) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \text{E} \cup (\text{P}_{\text{sep}} - \partial \text{P}) \) is closed.
4) \( \text{Bd} = D_{\text{ss}} \cup S_c \cup \partial \text{Per} \cup \partial \text{P} \) is closed.
5) \( \text{BD} = \text{Bd} \cup \partial \text{Per} \) is closed.

The non-existence of exceptional orbits implies the following properties.

**Corollary 10.3.** The following statements hold for a quasi-regular flow \( v \) with \( E = \emptyset \) on a compact surface \( S \):
1) \( S - \text{Bd} = \text{int}(\text{Per}(v) - \partial \text{Per}) \cup \text{int}(\text{P} - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}) \cup \text{LD} \) is open.
2) The orbit space \( (\text{int}^{(\text{Per}(v) - \partial \text{Per})} \cup \text{int}(\text{P} - \text{P}_{\text{sep}}))/v \) is a one-dimensional manifold (possibly with boundaries).
3) The orbit class space \( \text{LD} / \hat{v} \) is a finite discrete space.
4) \( (S - \text{Bd}) / \hat{v} \) is a finite union of circles, intervals, and points.
5) \( (S - \text{BD}) / \hat{v} \) is a finite union of circles, open intervals, and points.
Proof. The previous corollary implies the assertion 1). Since there are at most finitely many Q-sets, the assertion 3) holds. Replacing each orbit in $\partial P$ into a $\partial$-0-saddle with two separatrices, taking the double of a manifold if necessary, we may assume that $S$ is closed. Since a small saturated neighborhood of a periodic orbit in $\text{int} \text{Per}(v)$ is either an annulus or a Möbius band, the orbit space $\text{int}(\text{Per}(v) - \partial \text{Per})/v$ is a one-dimensional manifold. By Lemma 6.6 quasi-regularity and non-existence of exceptional minimal sets imply that the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of a non-closed proper orbit is either a sink, a source, or a limit circuit. Taking a closed transversal which either is parallel to a limit circuit or bounds a sink disk or a source disk, the orbit space $\text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}})/v$ is an interval or a circle. □

10.2. Hierarchy of orbits. Let $v$ be a flow with finitely many singular points on a connected compact surface $S$. To convert the flow $v$ into discrete data, we state the relations between the heights and types of orbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$S_k \subseteq S_k \cap \max S \subseteq S_k \setminus \max S \subseteq$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>int$P - P_{\text{lc}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(int$P - P_{\text{lc}}$) $\cup$ LD $\cup$ E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$P \cup$ LD $\cup$ E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$S - P$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Supersets of sets of height $k$ points. For instance, the (2,2)-entry means that $S_3 \subseteq \text{int}P - P_{\text{lc}}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$\subseteq$</th>
<th>$\subseteq$</th>
<th>$\subseteq$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\delta P \cup P_{\text{lc}}$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$P_{\text{lc}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cl($v$) $\cup$ ((LD $\cup$ E) $\cap$ min$S$)</td>
<td>int Cl($v$) $\cup$ ((LD $\cup$ min$S$)</td>
<td>(E $\cap$ min$S$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$P_{\text{lc}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Subsets of sets of height $k$ points. For instance, the (5,3)-entry means that $\text{int}$ Cl($v$) $\cup$ ((LD $\cup$ E) $\cap$ min$S$) $\subseteq$ S$_0 \cap$ max$S$.

Corollary 10.4. The following statements hold:
1) $\delta$ Per($v$) $\subseteq$ min$S \setminus$ max$S$ is the union of limit cycles if there are at most finitely many limit cycles.
2) $\text{int}$ Cl($v$) $\subseteq$ min$S \cap$ max$S \subseteq$ Cl($v$) $\cup$ LD.
3) $\delta P \cup P_{\text{lc}} \subseteq$ S$_1$.
4) $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq$ P $\cup$ LD $\cup$ E.
5) S$_3 \subseteq$ int$P - P_{\text{lc}}$.
6) $\text{int}$ Cl($v$) $\cup$ (int$P - P_{\text{lc}}$) $\cup$ LD $\subseteq$ max$S \subseteq$ Cl($v$) $\cup$ (P $- P_{\text{lc}}$) $\cup$ LD.
7) P $\subseteq$ S$_1$ $\cup$ (max$S \setminus$ min$S$).
8) \(\text{LD} \subseteq (S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2) \cap \max S\).
9) \(P_{lc} \sqcup E \subseteq S - \max S \subseteq \delta \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup P_{lc} \sqcup E\).
10) \(\min S \setminus \max S \subseteq \delta \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup E\).
11) \(\text{ht}(v) \leq 3\).
12) \(P \sqcup \text{LD} \subseteq S - \min S\) if \(v\) is not minimal.

**Proof.** Lemma 3.7 implies the assertion 1). Since closed orbits are minimal sets, we have the assertion 4). By definition of non-closed proper and by non-minimality of \(v\), the assertion 12) holds. Lemma 7.5 implies \(E \subseteq S - \max S\). Proposition 8.9 implies \(\delta P \subseteq S_1\). By definition of a limit circuit, we have \(P_{lc} \subseteq S_1\). This means that the assertion 3) is true. Proposition 8.10 and the assertion 3) imply the assertion 5). Since \(\text{int}P - P_{lc} \subseteq \max S\), by definition of \(\max S\), the assertion 6) holds. Assertions 3) and 6) imply the assertion 7). The assertion 7) implies that \(\min S \cap \max S \subseteq \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \text{LD}\) and so the assertion 2) holds. By the assertion 6) and Lemma 8.12, we have the assertion 8). By the assertion 6), we have \(S - \max S \subseteq S - (\text{int} \text{Cl}(v) \cup (\text{int}P - P_{lc}) \cup \text{LD}) = \delta \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \delta P \sqcup P_{lc} \sqcup E\) and so the assertion 9). By the maximality of locally dense orbit, since a minimal set is either a closed orbit, a locally dense Q-set, or an exceptional Q-set, the assertion 10) holds. Proposition 11) implies the assertion 11).

In general, equalities in 2) and 6) of Corollary 10.4 don’t hold. Indeed, an isolated compressed center to which some sequence of limit cycles converges is contained in \((\min S \cap \max S) \setminus \text{int} \text{Cl}(v)\). Moreover, a periodic orbit which is converged by some sequences of limit cycles from both sides is contained in \(\min S \cap \max S \cap \partial^+ \text{Per}(v)\).

We list all possible orbit closures of maximal points with respect to the pre-order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\text{ht} = 3)</th>
<th>(\text{ht} = 2)</th>
<th>(\text{ht} = 1)</th>
<th>(\text{ht} = 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E + \delta P)</td>
<td>\text{int}P - P_{lc}</td>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>(\delta P)</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E + \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td>\text{int}P - P_{lc}</td>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>\text{int}P - P_{lc}</td>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{LD} + \delta P)</td>
<td>(\text{LD})</td>
<td>(\delta P)</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{LD} + \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td>(\text{LD})</td>
<td>(\text{LD})</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{LD})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{LD})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Limit circuit})</td>
<td>\text{int}P - P_{lc}</td>
<td>(\delta P \sqcup P_{lc})</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Limit cycle})</td>
<td>\text{int}P - P_{lc}</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Per}(v))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Separatrix})</td>
<td>(P - P_{lc})</td>
<td>(\delta \text{Sing}(v))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{int Cl}(v))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{int Cl}(v))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.**
Lemma 10.5. Let $x \in S_3$ be a point. Then $O(x) \cap S_2 \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $\omega(x) \cap S_2 \cap E \neq \emptyset$, then the following statements hold:

\[
O(x) = O(x) \cap S_3 \subseteq \text{int} P - P_{lc} \\
\omega(x) \cap S_2 \subseteq E \\
\omega(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \delta P \\
\omega(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
\]

Lemma 10.6. Let $x \in S$ be a point with $\text{ht} x \geq 2$.
If $x \in \text{LD}$, then the following statements hold:

\[
\hat{O}(x) = O(x) \cap S_2 \subseteq \text{LD} \\
O(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \delta P \\
O(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
\]

If $x \in \text{int} P - P_{lc}$ and $O(x) \cap E = \emptyset$, then $O(x)$ contains a limit circuit and the following statements hold: If $\omega(x)$ is a limit circuit, then

\[
O(x) = O(x) \cap S_2 \subseteq \text{int} P - P_{lc} \\
\omega(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \delta P \cup P_{lc} \\
\omega(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
\]

If $\text{ht}(\omega(x) \cap E) = 1$ (and so $x \in \text{int} P - P_{lc}$), then the following statements hold:

\[
O(x) = O(x) \cap S_2 \subseteq \text{int} P - P_{lc} \\
\omega(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq E \\
\omega(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
\]

Lemma 10.7. Let $x \in S_1$ be a point.
If $x \in \text{LD}$, then the following statements hold:

\[
\hat{O}(x) = O(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \text{LD} \\
O(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
\]

If $x \in \delta P$, then the following statements hold:

\[
O(x) = O(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \delta P \\
O(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Sing}(v)
\]

If $x \in \text{int} P - P_{lc}$ and $O(x) \cap E = \emptyset$, then the following statements hold:

\[
O(x) = O(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \text{int} P - P_{lc} \\
O(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq \text{Cl}(v)
\]

If $\omega(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ (and so $x \in \text{int} P - P_{lc}$), then the following statements hold:

\[
O(x) = O(x) \cap S_1 \subseteq \text{int} P - P_{lc} \\
\omega(x) \cap S_0 \subseteq E
\]

These results imply a following refinement of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem.
Proposition 10.8. An \( \omega \)-limit set of an orbit of a flow with finitely many fixed points on a connected compact surface is one of the following exclusively:

1) A closed orbit,
2) A dense minimal set which is a torus
3) An exceptional minimal set
4) A height one attracting limit circuit
5) A height one locally dense Q-set with singular points
6) A height one exceptional Q-set with singular points
7) A height two locally dense Q-set with connecting separatrices
8) A height two exceptional Q-set with connecting separatrices

11. Decompositions of flows

Recall that an operation \( C_t \) cuts an essential closed transversal and pastes one sink disk and one source disk, an operation \( C_o \) cuts an essential periodic orbit and pastes one or two center disks, and an operation \( C_d \) removes an essential one-sided (resp. two-sided) loop in \( D \) and pastes a double covering (resp. two copies) of the loop to the new boundary (resp. new two boundary components) (see Figure 4). Cutting essential parts, we can reduce surface flows into spherical flows.

Lemma 11.1. Let \( v \) be a quasi-regular flow on a connected compact surface \( S \), \( S_o \) the resulting surface from \( S \) by applying an operation \( C_o \) as possible, \( S_d \) the resulting surface from \( S_o \) by applying an operation \( C_d \) as possible, and \( S_t \) the resulting surface from \( S_d \) by applying an operation \( C_t \) as possible. Then each connected component of the surface \( S_t \) is a subset of a sphere.

Proof. Removing (\( \partial \)-)0-saddles, we may assume that there are no (\( \partial \)-)0-saddles. Let \( v_o \) be the resulting flow from \( v \) on \( S_\sigma \), where \( \sigma \in \{o,d,t\} \). Since \( S \) is compact, the surface \( S_\sigma \) can be obtained by taking operations \( C_\sigma \) finitely many times. By construction, the flow \( v_o \) has no essential periodic orbits. By construction, the flow \( v_d \) has neither essential periodic orbits nor essential loops in \( D \). Since \( S_d \) is compact, the surface \( S_t \) can be obtained by taking operations \( C_t \) finitely many times. Then the flow \( v_t \) has neither essential closed transversals, essential loops in \( D \), nor essential periodic orbits. Since each Q-set intersects some essential closed transversal, the flow \( v_t \) has no Q-sets but consists of proper orbits. We show that each connected component of \( S_t \) is a subset of a sphere. Indeed, replacing \( \partial \)-sinks (resp. \( \partial \)-sources) with pairs of a \( \partial \)-saddle and a sink (resp. a source) (see Figure 23) we may assume that there are neither \( \partial \)-sinks nor \( \partial \)-sources on \( S_t \). Then each singular point on the boundary \( \partial S_t \) is a multi-saddle. Let \( S' \) be the resulting closed surface from a connected component of \( S_t \) by collapsing all boundary components into singletons and \( v' \) the resulting flow on \( S' \). Then each new singular point of \( v' \) is a multi-saddle. Quasi-regularity of \( v \) implies that each singular point of the resulting flow \( v' \) is either a multi-saddle, a sink, a source, or a center. Since each limit circuit is not essential (i.e. either null homotopic or parallel to the boundary \( \partial S' \)), collapsing disks each of whose boundary components is a limit circuit contained in the closure of an ss-separatrix into singletons and collapsing annuli which is parallel to the boundary and whose boundary components outside of the boundary \( \partial S' \) are limit circuits contained in the closure of ss-separatrices into limit circuits, we may assume that there are no ss-separatrices whose \( \omega \)- or \( \alpha \)-limit sets are limit circuits. Then each separatrix connecting a sink or a source does not belong to any multi-saddle connection. For a multi-saddle connection of \( v' \) with a \( k \)-saddle \( (k > 0) \) such
that there is a separatrix from a source to it (resp. from it to a sink), applying the inverse operation of \( C_d \) to the separatrix, we obtain a multi-saddle connection with a \((k - 1)\)-saddle (see Figure 6). Applying the operations finitely many times and removing 0-saddles, we may assume that there are no ss-separatrices connecting a multi-saddle and either a sink or a source. Therefore each separatrix from (resp. to) a multi-saddle is a multi-saddle separatrix. Then each separatrix either connects a sink and a source or is contained in the multi-saddle connection diagram \( D(v') \) of \( v' \). By Lemma 9.13, the directed circuit is a limit circuit or is parallel to a periodic orbit. This means that each multi-saddle connection of \( v' \) is null homologous with respect to \( H_1(S') \) and so is null homotopic. Collapsing closed disks each of whose boundary components is contained in the multi-saddle connection diagram \( D(v') \) into singletons, the new singletons are either centers, sinks, or sources. Thus we may assume that \( D(v') = \emptyset \) and that each periodic orbit is null homotopic. Therefore \( v' \) consists of sinks, sources, centers, non-closed proper orbits, and null homotopic periodic orbits. In particular the indices of singular points are positive if exists. If there are no singular points, then there are essential periodic orbits or non-closed recurrent orbits, which contradicts non-existence of essential periodic orbits and non-closed recurrent orbits. Thus there are singular points, whose indices are positive. Then the Euler characteristic is positive. Hence the Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that \( S' \) is a sphere or a projective plane. We show that \( S' \) is a sphere. Otherwise \( S' \) is a projective plane. By the non-existence of multi-saddles, the Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that there is a just one singular point. Suppose that there is a center. Removing a small open center disk \( B \), the complement \( S' - B \) is a Möbius band without singular points and so their first return map to a transverse closed arc is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism on a closed interval. Then it has a unique fixed point which corresponds to a one-sided periodic orbit, which contradicts non-existence of essential periodic orbits. Suppose that there is a sink (resp. source). The Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies the \( \alpha \)-limit (resp. \( \omega \)-limit) set of each regular point is a periodic orbit. Since there is only one singular point, which is a sink (resp. source), there is a closed disk \( B \) whose boundary is a periodic orbit and whose interior is the unstable manifold of the sink (resp. the stable manifold of the source) and so the complement \( S' - \text{int} B \) is a Möbius band without singular points. The same argument implies the contradiction. Thus each connected component of \( S_t \) is a subset of a sphere.

\[ \square \]

Note that the operation \( C_d \) is necessary in the previous lemma (see Figure 24). Recall that \( Bd = \partial \text{Sing}(v) \cup \partial \text{Per}(v) \cup \partial P \cup \partial LD \cup \partial E \cup P_{\text{sep}} \cup \partial \text{Per} \). We describe the complement of the strictly border point set \( Bd \) as follows.

**Theorem 11.2.** Each connected component of \( S - Bd \) for a quasi-regular flow \( v \) on a compact surface \( S \) is one of the following exclusively:
Figure 24. A flow on a torus with heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices but without essential closed transversals or essential periodic orbits

1) A trivial flow box in $P$ whose orbit space is an interval,
2) An open annulus in $P$, whose orbit space is a circle,
3) A torus in $\text{Per}(v)$, whose orbit space is a circle,
4) A Klein bottle in $\text{Per}(v)$, whose orbit space is an interval,
5) An open annulus in $\text{Per}(v)$, whose orbit space is an interval,
6) An open Möbius band in $\text{Per}(v)$, whose orbit space is an interval, or
7) An open essential subset in $LD$, whose orbit class space is a singleton.

Moreover, the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of a point in a connected component in $P \sqcup LD$ is the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of any point in the connected component. In addition, the boundary of each connected component of $S - \text{Bd}$ is a finite union of closed orbits and separatrices.

Proof. Replacing each orbit in $\partial_P$ by a $\partial$-0-saddle with two ss-separatrices, we may assume that $\partial_P = \emptyset$. Replacing $\partial_{\text{per}}$ with centers, we may assume that $\partial_{\text{per}} = \emptyset$. Since the boundary $\partial S$ is contained in $\text{Bd}$, taking the double of a manifold if necessary, we may assume that $S$ is closed. Fix a connected component $U$ of $S - \text{Bd}$. Lemma 8.23 implies that the open subset $U$ is contained in either $\text{int Per}(v)$, $\text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}})$, or $LD$. Suppose that $U \subseteq LD$. Since LD is open, fix a point $x \in U$ and a transverse arc $I \subseteq U$ where $x$ is the interior point in $I$. Since $x$ is non-closed recurrent, by Lemma 3.3 taking a small transverse arc if necessary, by the waterfall construction, there is a closed transversal in $U$. By Lemma 3.4 the closed transversal is essential and so is $U$. Suppose that $U \subseteq \text{int Per}(v)$. If $\partial U = \emptyset$, then $U$ is either a torus or a Klein bottle. Thus we may assume that $\partial U \neq \emptyset$. Then $U$ is an open annulus or an open Möbius band. Suppose that $U \subseteq \text{int}(P - P_{\text{sep}})$. The $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of each point in $U$ is either an exceptional Q-set, a limit circuit or a sink (resp. a source). Cutting an essential periodic orbit and pasting one or two center disks (i.e. taking operation $C_o$), by induction, we may assume
that each periodic orbit is null homotopic. Cutting limit cycles and collapsing the new boundary components into singletons if necessary, we may assume that there are no limit cycles on \( \partial U \). Removing directed circuits in \( \text{Bd} \), taking the metric completion, and collapsing the new boundary components into singletons, we may assume that there are no directed circuits in \( \text{Bd} \). Here a new boundary component is a connected component of \( S_1 - (S - \Gamma) \) where \( \Gamma \) is the union of directed circuits in \( \text{Bd} \) and \( S_1 \) is the metric completion of \( (S - \Gamma) \). In particular, there are neither limit circuits nor self-connected multi-saddle separatrices. By construction, new singletons are either sinks, sources, centers, or multi-saddles. Cutting the closures of multi-saddle separatrices and collapsing new boundary components into singletons, we may assume that there are no multi-saddle connection separatrices on \( \partial U \). Note \( U \) contains no multi-saddles. Then the \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set of each point in \( U \) is either an exceptional Q-set or a sink (resp. a source). Suppose that \( \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{E} = \emptyset \). Note that the case \( U \) contained in the sphere satisfies the condition \( \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{E} = \emptyset \). Then we show that \( U \) is a trivial flow box or a transverse annulus in \( \mathcal{P} \) by cutting \( U \) into small open trivial flow boxes. Indeed, the \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set of each point in \( U \) is a sink (resp. a source). Fix a sink \( s \) which is the \( \omega \)-limit set of a point in \( U \) and a small closed transversal \( \gamma \) which is a boundary of a sink disk of the sink \( s \). If \( \gamma \) is contained in \( U \), then \( U \) is an open annulus in \( \mathcal{P} \). Thus we may assume that \( \gamma \) is not contained in \( U \). Then there are separatrices \( O_i \) between the sink \( s \) and multi-saddles such that \( O_i \subset \partial U \). Since each multi-saddle in \( \partial U \) connects between a sink and a source, the boundary \( \partial U \) consists of sinks, sources, multi-saddles, and separatrices of multi-saddles connecting sinks or sources. Taking a double of \( \overline{\mathcal{U}} \) if necessary, the resulting flow on the resulting closed surface consists of sinks, sources, 0-saddles, and non-closed proper orbits. Since the index of each singular point is non-negative, the existence of both a sink and a source and the Poincaré-Hopf theorem imply that the double is a sphere and there are exactly one sink and one source except 0-saddles on the double. This means \( U \) is an open trivial flow box in \( \mathcal{P} \). Thus we may assume that \( \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset \). This implies that \( S \) is not spherical. Cutting an essential closed transversal in \( LD \) and pasting one sink disk and one source disk, we may assume that \( LD = \emptyset \). Cutting an essential closed transversal \( \gamma_i \) intersecting \( \mathcal{E} \) and pasting one sink disk and one source disk (i.e. applying an operation \( C_t \)) as possible, let \( T \) the resulting surface from \( S, w \) the resulting flow, and \( U^- \subseteq T \) be the resulting subset of \( U \setminus \bigcup_{i} \gamma_i \). By Lemma 11.1 the resulting surface \( T \) is spherical. Let \( U_k \) be the connected components of \( U^- \) with \( U^- = \bigcup_k U_k \). Denote by \( \tilde{U} \) (resp. \( \tilde{U}_k \)) the saturation of \( U^- \) (resp. \( U_k \)) by \( w \). By the proof of spherical case, each connected component \( \tilde{U}_k \) of \( \tilde{U} \) is an open flow box such that \( \omega(x) = a_k \) and \( \alpha(x) = b_k \) for some new sink \( a_k \) and some new source \( b_k \). Then each connected component \( U_k \) of \( U \setminus \bigcup_{i} \gamma_i \) is an open trivial flow box each of whose vertical boundary components is a closed interval in an essential closed transversal \( \gamma_i \). In other words, each connected component \( U_k \) has one \( \alpha \)-vertical boundary and one \( \omega \)-vertical boundary. Since \( U \) is constructed by pasting an \( \alpha \)-vertical boundary and an \( \omega \)-vertical boundary of \( U_k \) as possible, we have \( U \) is an open trivial flow box in \( \mathcal{P} \). \( \square \)

The previous theorem implies the following statements.

**Corollary 11.3.** Each connected component of \( S - \text{BD} \) for a quasi-regular flow \( v \) on a compact surface \( S \) is one of the following exclusively:
Figure 25. The complement of the border point set BD in a closed disk is an open disk whose left (resp. right) transverse boundary is a disjoint union of two open intervals and whose $\omega$-vertical boundary consists of three points such that each $\omega$-limit set is a singular point.

1) homogeneity An open trivial flow box in $P$, whose orbit space is an interval,
2) An open annulus in $P$, whose orbit space is a circle,
3) A torus in $\text{Per}(v)$, whose orbit space is a circle,
4) An open annulus in $\text{Per}(v)$, whose orbit space is an interval, or
5) An open essential subset in $\text{LD}$, whose orbit class space is a singleton.

Moreover, the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of a point in a connected component in $P \sqcup \text{LD}$ is the $\omega$-limit (resp. $\alpha$-limit) set of any point in the connected component. In addition, the left (resp. right) boundary of each connected component of $S - \text{BD}$ in the case 1) or 4) is an immersed line which is a finite union of closed orbits and separatrices.

Note that the left (resp. right) boundary of a connected component of the complement of the border point set BD in the case 1) or 4) in the previous corollary is not connected in general and that the $\omega$-limit sets of points in the domain are different from each other in general (see Figure 25). Recall that a quasi-regular flow without recurrent points (i.e. $\text{LD} \sqcup \text{E} = \emptyset$) is of finite type if there are at most finitely many limit cycles.

Corollary 11.4. The following statements hold for a quasi-regular flow $v$ on a compact surface $S$:

1) $(S - \text{BD})/v$ is a disjoint union of singletons, open intervals and circles.
2) $\text{LD} = \emptyset$ if and only if $(S - \text{BD})/v$ is a disjoint union of open intervals and circles.

Moreover, the boundary of each connected component of $S - \text{BD}$ consists of finitely many orbit classes.

In the finite type case, we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 11.5. The following statements hold for a flow $v$ of finite type on a compact surface $S$:

1) The orbit space $(S - D_{ss})/v$ (resp. $(S - \text{Bd})/v$) is a finite disjoint union of intervals and circles.
2) The orbit space $(S - \text{BD})/v$ is a finite disjoint union of open intervals and circles.

Moreover, the boundary of each connected component of $S - \text{BD}$ is a finite union of closed orbits and separatrices.
Combining Theorem 9.3, Theorem 11.2 and Theorem 11.5, we have the following statement.

**Theorem 11.6.** The set of flows of finite type on compact surfaces is enumerable.

**Proof.** Since each ω- and α-limit set is a closed orbit or a limit circuit, if there are no limit circuits, then each ss-separatrix connects to a (∂-)sink or a (∂-)source and so the ss-multi-saddle connection diagram is a generalized surface directed graph on a compact surface. We show that the set of flows of finite type without limit circuits on compact surfaces is enumerable. Indeed, the union Bd is a generalized surface directed graph on a compact surface. Since each multi-saddle connection diagram consists of finitely many orbits, we can enumerate Bd as a generalized surface directed graph on compact surfaces. Theorem 11.2 implies that each connected component of the strictly border point set Bd is one of seven kinds of domains. By Theorem 11.5, the complement of the strictly border point set is finite and the boundary of each connected component of it consists of finitely many orbits. Therefore we can enumerate the complement of the strictly border point set Bd and so the flow. In general case, by Theorem 9.3, the extended orbit space of the strictly border point set is a finite abstract multi-graph with 0-hyper-edges. So replacing sinks and sources into limit circuits and pasting boundary components of flows of finite type without limit circuits on compact surfaces, we can obtain any flows of finite type on compact surfaces. In fact, let v be a flow of finite type on a compact surface S, Γ the union of limit circuits of v, S1 the metric completion of S − Γ, and ∂ := S1 − (S − Γ) the new boundary of S1. Since S1 − ∂ = S − Γ and the new boundary ∂ can be immersed into Γ, there is the natural continuous surjection π : S1 → S associated to the metric completion such that a restriction π|∂ : ∂ → Γ is an immersion and a restriction π|S1−∂ : S1 − ∂ → S − Γ is an identity mapping. Collapsing all new boundary components of S1 (i.e. connected components of ∂) each of which is a limit circuit into singletons, we obtain a continuous surjection q : S1 → S2. Then the resulting flow v2 on S2 is a flow of finite type without limit circuits on a compact surface such that v can be obtained from v2 by replacing sinks and sources into limit circuits and pasting boundary components. Therefore we can enumerate the complement of the strictly border point set Bd and so the flow. □

We explicitly construct a complete invariant in the section thirteen.

12. Density of periodic orbits in the non-wandering set

In this section, we consider when the non-wandering set corresponds to the closure of the union of closed orbits. It is known that the rotation number of a circle homeomorphism $f : S^1 \to S^1$ is rational if and only if $\text{Per}(f) = \Omega(f)$, where $\text{Per}(f)$ is the set of periodic points of f. Note $\text{Fix}(f) \subseteq \text{Per}(f)$, where $\text{Fix}(f)$ is the set of fixed points of f.

First, we show that the condition $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ implies the non-existence of Q-sets. Recall that a non-wandering flow on a compact surface has no exceptional orbits (i.e. $E = \emptyset$).

**Lemma 12.1.** Let v be a flow on a compact surface S. If $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$, then $\text{LD} \cup E = \emptyset$ (i.e. $S = \text{Cl}(v) \cup P$).
Proof. Since recurrent orbits are non-wandering, we have $LD \cup E \subseteq \Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$. Proposition 12.1 implies that $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \cap (LD \cup E) = \emptyset$ and so that $LD \cup E = \emptyset$. 

Note that there is a flow satisfying $\Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$ with a circuit with non-orientable holonomy but without fixed points. Indeed, consider a flow on a Klein bottle consisting of periodic orbits. Replacing a periodic orbit with non-orientable holonomy with a 0-saddle with a homoclinic separatrices, the resulting flow $v$ has a circuit with non-orientable holonomy but without fixed points and consists of one non-closed proper orbits and closed orbits such that $K = \Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$. On the other hand, we show that the condition $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ implies the non-existence of circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points and of strict limit circuits.

Lemma 12.2. Let $v$ be a flow on a compact surface $S$. If $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$, then there are neither strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points.

Proof. By Lemma 12.1 there are no non-closed recurrent orbits and so $S = \text{Cl}(v) \cup P$. Suppose that there is a circuit $\gamma$ with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points. Then there is a point $x \in \gamma$ such that for any transversal open arc $I$ containing $x$, the first return map on $I$ is non-orientable and has a nonempty domain but no periodic points. Therefore any neighborhood of $x$ is not a wandering domain and so $x \in \Omega(v)$ and $(S - \overline{\text{Cl}(v)})$. Since the singular point set is closed, we obtain $x \in S - (\text{Sing}(v) \cup \text{Per}(v)) = S - \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = S - \Omega(v)$, which contradicts $x \in \Omega(v)$. Thus there are no circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points. Suppose that there is a strict limit circuit $\gamma$. The previous lemma implies $\Omega(v) \cap \text{int}P = \Omega(v) - \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$. By definition, the circuit $\gamma$ contains a point in $\Omega(v) \cap \text{int}P = \Omega(v) - \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$ and so $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \neq \Omega(v)$. 

Note that the converse of Lemma 12.1 is not true, because of the flow $v_\circ$ constructed at the end of [3]. Moreover, there is a flow $v$ on a compact surface $S$ with countable singular points and with a non-periodic non-limit circuit in $\Omega(v)$ such that $LD \cup E = \emptyset$ and $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \neq \Omega(v)$ (see Figure 26). Indeed, consider a toral flow $w$ which consists of one essential limit cycle $C$ and non-closed proper orbits. Fix a point $z \in C$ and a non-closed proper orbit $O$. Write an open trivial flow box $D := \mathbb{T}^2 - (C \cup O)$. Choose a closed transversal $T$ through $z$, a point $x \in O$, and a monotonous sequence $(n)_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $O$ such that $T \cap O = \{x_n\}_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \infty$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = z$, where $x_n := w_t(x)$. Write $D_n$ the open trivial flow box with four corners $x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}$ such that $D \setminus T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D_n$. Write an open trivial flow box $D_{2n} = D_{2n} \cup D_{2n+1} \cup (T \setminus O) \cup D_{2n} \cup D_{2n+1} \subset D$. Replacing the closure of each $D_{2n}$ by a box with a flow as shown in Figure 26 we obtain the resulting flow $v$ on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ such that the singular point set $\text{Sing}(v) = \{z\} \cup \{x_n, y_n\}_n \in \mathbb{Z} \subset T$ is countable, $\mathbb{T}^2 = \text{Sing}(v) \cup P$, and $\Omega(v) = \mathbb{T}^2 - \text{Sing}(v) \cup P$. In addition, there is a flow $w$ without non-degenerate singular points on a non-orientable closed surface $S$ with non-orientable genus four with $S = \text{Sing}(w) \cup P$ and $\bigcup_{x \in S} \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x) \subseteq \Omega(w)$. Indeed, consider a flow $v_0$ on a non-orientable compact surface $S_0$ as in Figure 27 with $S_0 = \text{Sing}(w_0) \cup P(w_0)$ and $\bigcup_{x \in S_0} \omega(x) \cup \alpha(x) = \text{Sing}(w_0) \cup \omega(x) \subseteq \text{Sing}(w_0) \cup O' = \Omega(w_0)$ such that $\Omega(w_0)$ does not contain limit circuits, where $O'$ is a proper orbit. Then the lift of the flow $w_0$ to the double $S$ of $S_0$ is desired. We describe a property of
Figure 26. An open flow box $D'_{2n}$

Figure 27. A flow on a compact surface with two non-orientable genus and with two boundary components consists of one sink, one source, six $\partial$-saddles, and non-closed proper orbits

neighborhoods of directed non-limit cycles.

**Lemma 12.3.** Let $\nu$ be a flow on a compact surface $S$ and $\gamma$ a directed circuit with an associated collar $\Lambda$ of $\gamma$ such that $\gamma$ is not a limit circuit with respect to $\Lambda$. Then $\gamma \subset \text{Per}(\nu)$. Moreover there is a sequence $(O_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0}$ of periodic orbits each of which is parallel to $\partial \Lambda$ and is homologous to $\gamma$.

**Proof.** Recall that $\Lambda$ contains no singular points. Then each periodic orbit contained in $\Lambda$ is parallel to $\partial \Lambda$. Fix a regular point $x \in \gamma$ and a transverse arc $T \subset \Lambda$ from $x$. Since $O \cap \Lambda \cap \gamma = \emptyset$ for any orbit $O$ intersecting $\Lambda$, the first return map with respect to $T - \{x\}$ has fixed points which converge to $x$. Since each fixed point corresponds to a periodic orbit which is parallel to $\partial \Lambda$, this implies $\gamma \subset \text{Per}(\nu)$ in $\Lambda \sqcup \gamma$. \hfill $\Box$

We consider the non-wandering case. First, we state a following observation.

**Lemma 12.4.** The condition $\text{int} \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ implies that $\nu$ is non-wandering. Moreover, the converse holds if $\text{Sing}(\nu)$ is finite.
Proof. The condition \( \text{int} P = \emptyset \) implies that the closure of the set of recurrent points is the whole surface \( S \). This means that \( v \) is non-wandering. Conversely, suppose that \( v \) is non-wandering and \( \text{Sing}(v) \) is finite. By Theorem 3 [9], \( v \) is quasi-regular. Note that \( \text{int} P = (\text{int} P \setminus \text{Bd}) \sqcup P_{\text{sep}} \). Note that \( P_{\text{sep}} \) is a finite union of separatrices. By Theorem 11.2, the difference \( \text{int} P \setminus \text{Bd} \) consists of open trivial flow boxes and open annuli in \( P \) whose orbit space is either an interval or a circle. The non-wandering property implies that there are no such boxes and annuli and so \( \text{int} P \setminus \text{Bd} = \emptyset \). Then \( \text{int} P \cap \text{Bd} \) consists of separatrices each of whose \( \omega \)- or \( \alpha \)-limit set is a singular point. The finite existence of singular points implies that \( \text{int} P = \emptyset \). \( \square \)

The author would like to know whether the finite existence condition in the previous lemma is essential. In other words, is there a non-wandering flow \( v \) on a compact surface with \( \text{int} P \neq \emptyset \)?

**Lemma 12.5.** Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact surface \( S \). The following are equivalent:
1) \( v \) is non-wandering and \( \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v) \).
2) \( \text{Cl}(v) = S \).
3) \( \text{int} P = \emptyset \) and \( \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v) \).
4) \( \text{LD} \sqcup \text{int} P = \emptyset \) (i.e. \( S = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup \delta P \)).

Moreover, if the singular point set \( \text{Sing}(v) \) is finite, then the following condition is equivalent to one of the above conditions:
5) \( v \) is non-wandering and \( \text{LD} = \emptyset \).

Proof. Recall that \( S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \sqcup \text{LD} \sqcup E \). Obviously, the conditions 1) and 2) are equivalent. We show that the condition 2) implies the condition 3). Indeed, if \( \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = S \), then \( \Omega(v) \subseteq S = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \subseteq \Omega(v) \) because of definition of \( \Omega(v) \). We show that the condition 3) implies the condition 4). Indeed, if \( \text{int} P = \emptyset \) and \( \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v) \), then \( \text{LD} \subseteq \Omega(v) - \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \emptyset \). We show that the condition 4) is equivalent to the condition 2). Indeed, suppose \( \text{LD} \sqcup \text{int} P = \emptyset \). By Lemma 2.3 [47], we have \( E = \emptyset \) and so \( S = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \sqcup P \). Since \( \text{int} P = \emptyset \), we have \( S = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} \). Notice that the conditions 1) - 4) imply the condition 5). Lemma 12.4 implies the condition 5) implies the condition 4) under the finite existence of singular points. \( \square \)

In the non-wandering case, under finite existence of singular points, the non-existence of locally dense orbits is equivalent to the property \( \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v) \).

**Corollary 12.6.** Let \( v \) be a non-wandering flow with finitely many singular points on a compact surface \( S \). The following are equivalent:
1) \( \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v) \).
2) \( \text{LD} = \emptyset \).

We consider the case \( S = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \).

**Lemma 12.7.** Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact surface \( S \). If \( S = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \), then \( P \) is open and \( \Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \text{Per}(v) \).

Proof. Since each \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) set of an orbit in \( P \) is a limit cycle, the union \( P \) is open and so \( \Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \text{Per}(v) \). \( \square \)
12.1. Density of periodic orbits in the non-wandering set for (quasi-)regular flows. We consider the regular case without saddles or $\partial$-saddles (i.e. quasi-regular case without multi-saddles).

**Lemma 12.8.** Let $v$ be a quasi-regular flow without multi-saddles on a compact surface $S$. Then $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$ if and only if $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$. In each case, we have $\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 12.7, the condition $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ implies $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$. Conversely, suppose that $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$. Since the relation $\Omega(v) = \overline{\text{Cl}(v)}$ is invariant under the orientation double covering (resp. taking the double of a manifold), taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that $S$ is closed and orientable. Quasi-regularity implies that each singular point is either a center, a sink, or a source. Note the index of each singular point is one. The Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that $S$ is either a sphere or a torus. Suppose that $S$ is toral. Since $v$ is regular and has no saddles, the flow has no singular points. Then $S = \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P$. By Lemma 12.7, the assertion holds.

**Lemma 12.9.** Let $v$ be a quasi-regular flow on a connected compact surface $S$ with $\text{Per}(v) = \emptyset$. Suppose that the multi-saddle connection diagram contains no directed circuit. Then $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$ if and only if $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$. In each case, we have $\text{Sing}(v) = \Omega(v)$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 12.7, the condition $\overline{\text{Cl}(v)} = \Omega(v)$ implies $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$. Conversely, suppose that $\text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset$. Then $S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup P$. By Lemma 12.8, we may assume that there are multi-saddles. As above, taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that $S$ is closed and
orientable. Quasi-regularity implies that the singular point set is a finite union of a sink, a source, and a multi-saddle, and that there are at most finitely many ss-separatrices. By the non-existence of \(Q\)-sets, of periodic orbits, and of directed circuits and by the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem, the \(\omega\)-limit (resp. \(\alpha\)-limit) set of a point in \(P\) is either a sink (resp. a source), or a multi-saddle. Let \(S_+\) be the set of sources, \(S_-\) be the set of sinks, \(W_+ := \bigcup_{x \in S_+} W^u(x)\), and \(W_- := \bigcup_{x \in S_-} W^s(x)\). Then the complement \(S - (W_+ \cup W_-)\) is a finite union of multi-saddles and multi-saddle separatrices. This mean that \(v\) is topological equivalent to a gradient flow and so that \(P = S - \text{Sing}(v)\) is the set of wandering points. In fact, any small open trivial flow box \(U\) in \(P\) does not return (i.e. \(U \cap (\bigcup_{t \geq 1} v^t(U)) = \emptyset\)).

Recall that a regular flow without saddles or \(\partial\)-saddles is a quasi-regular flow without multi-saddles and vice versa.

**Lemma 12.10.** Let \(v\) be a quasi-regular flow on a connected compact surface \(S\). Suppose that the multi-saddle connection diagram contains no directed circuit. Then \(LD \sqcup E = \emptyset\) if and only if \(\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)\). In each case, we have \(\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)\).

**Proof.** By Lemma 12.9 the condition \(\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)\) implies \(LD \sqcup E = \emptyset\). Conversely, suppose that \(LD \sqcup E = \emptyset\). Then \(S = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup P\). We may assume that \(S \neq \text{Cl}(v)\). By Lemma 12.8 we may assume that there are multi-saddles. As above, taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that \(S\) is closed and orientable. Let \(D\) be the multi-saddle connection diagram. We show that \(P\) is open. Indeed, by Lemma 5.9 the quasi-regularity and non-existence of non-periodic directed circuits imply that each boundary component of \(\text{Per}(v)\) is either a center or a limit cycle. This means that \(\partial \text{Per}(v) \subseteq \text{Cl}(v)\) and so \(\text{Cl}(v)\) is closed. Then the union \(P = S - \text{Cl}(v)\) is open. Removing \(\text{int} \text{Cl}(v)\) and collapsing new boundary components into singletons, the resulting flow \(w\) of \(v\) satisfies \(\text{Per}(w) = \emptyset\). Applying Lemma 12.9 to \(w\), we have \(\text{Sing}(w) = \Omega(w)\). Since the set \(S - \text{Sing}(w)\) of wandering points of \(w\) corresponds to \(S - \text{Cl}(v)\) as a subset, the construction of \(w\) implies that \(S - \text{Cl}(v)\) is the set of wandering points of \(v\). Therefore \(\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)\).

To use the induction of the number of non-periodic directed circuits, we show the following lemma.

**Lemma 12.11.** Let \(v\) be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface \(S\) and a directed circuit. Suppose that there are neither strict limit circuit nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points. Removing \(\gamma\), taking the metric completion \(S_1\) of \(S - \gamma\), and collapsing each new boundary component (i.e. connected component of \(S_1 - (S - \gamma)\)) into a singular point, denote by \(w\) the resulting flow on the resulting compact surface \(T\). Then \(\text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v)\) if and only if \(\text{Cl}(w) = \Omega(w)\).

**Proof.** As above, taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that \(S\) is closed and orientable. Since there are neither strict limit circuit nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points, we have \(\gamma \subseteq \text{Per}(v) \sqcup LD \sqcup E\). For a point \(x \in S - \gamma\), denote by \(\hat{x}\) the resulting point of \(x\) in \(T\). Denote by \(\hat{\gamma}\) the two point set of new singular points of \(w\) induced by \(\gamma\). In other words, the mapping \(\gamma: S - \gamma \rightarrow T - \hat{\gamma}\) is a homeomorphism. Note \(\text{Cl}(v) = \chi^{-1}(\text{Cl}(w) - \hat{\gamma}) \sqcup (\text{Sing}(v) \cap \gamma) = \{x \mid \hat{x} \in \text{Cl}(w) - \hat{\gamma}\} \sqcup (\text{Sing}(v) \cap \gamma)\).
and \( \text{Cl}(w) = (\text{Cl}(v) \setminus \gamma) \cup \gamma = \{ \hat{x} \mid x \in \text{Cl}(v) \setminus \gamma \} \cup \gamma \). Suppose that \( \text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v) \).

Theorem 12.1 implies \( \text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset \). By construction, we have \( \text{LD}(w) \sqcup E(w) = \emptyset \). This implies that \( S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \) and \( T = \text{Sing}(w) \sqcup \text{Per}(w) \sqcup P(w) \). Fix a point \( p \in \Omega(w) \). We show that \( p \in \text{Cl}(w) \). Indeed, if \( p \in \gamma \), then \( p \in \text{Cl}(w) \).

We may assume that there is a point \( x \in S - \gamma \) such that \( p = \hat{x} \). Fix any neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) with \( U \cap \gamma = \emptyset \). Since \( \hat{x} \) is non-wandering, there is an arbitrarily large number \( r > 0 \) such that \( \hat{U} \cap \bigcup_{t>0} w_t(U) \neq \emptyset \). Since the circuit \( \gamma \) is closed invariant, we obtain \( \hat{U} \cap \bigcup_{t>0} v_t(U) \neq \emptyset \). This means that \( x \in \Omega(v) - \gamma = \text{Cl}(v) - \gamma \) and so \( p = \hat{x} \in \text{Cl}(w) \). Therefore \( \text{Cl}(w) = \Omega(w) \). Conversely, suppose that \( \text{Cl}(w) = \Omega(w) \).

As above, we obtain \( S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P \) and \( T = \text{Sing}(w) \sqcup \text{Per}(w) \sqcup P(w) \). Fix a point \( x \in \Omega(v) \). We show that \( x \in \text{Cl}(v) \). Indeed, suppose \( x \in \gamma \). We may assume that \( \Omega(x) \) is not periodic. Since \( \gamma \) is not a strict limit circuit, it is a non-strict limit circuit and so \( x \in \text{Per}(v) \). Thus we may assume that \( x \in \Omega(v) - \gamma \). Fix any neighborhood \( \hat{U} \) of \( \hat{x} \) with \( \hat{U} \cap \gamma = \emptyset \). Then \( U := \{ x \in S \mid \hat{x} \in U \} \) is a neighborhood of \( x \) such that \( U \cap \gamma = \emptyset \). Since \( x \) is non-wandering, there is an arbitrarily large number \( r > 0 \) such that \( \hat{U} \cap \bigcup_{t>0} w_t(U) \neq \emptyset \). Then \( \hat{U} \cap \bigcup_{t>0} v_t(U) \neq \emptyset \) and so \( \hat{x} \in \Omega(w) - \gamma = \text{Cl}(w) - \gamma \). Therefore \( x \in \text{Cl}(v) \). This implies \( \text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v) \).

Proposition 12.12. Let \( v \) be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface \( S \). If \( \text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset \) and there are neither strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points, then \( \text{Cl}(v) = \Omega(v) \).

Proof. Suppose that \( \text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset \) and there are neither strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points. By the generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem for a flow with finitely many singular point, the absence of Q-sets implies that each \( \omega \)-limit (resp. \( \alpha \)-limit) is either a closed orbit or a limit circuit and that \( S = \text{Sing}(v) \sqcup \text{Per}(v) \sqcup P = \text{Cl}(v) \sqcup P \). Taking the orientation double covering (resp. the double of a manifold) if necessary, we may assume that \( S \) is closed and orientable. Let \( N(v) \) be the number of directed circuits in the multi-saddle connection diagram. By induction on \( N(v) \), we show the assertion. Indeed, if \( N(v) = 0 \), then Lemma 12.10 implies the assertion. Thus we may assume that there is a directed circuit in the multi-saddle connection diagram. Since there are neither strict limit circuit nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points, taking an innermost non-periodic directed circuit \( \gamma \), for any edge \( e \) of \( \gamma \), there is a directed circuit \( \mu \) with the associated collar \( A \) such that \( \mu \) contains \( e \) but is not a limit circuit with respect to \( A \). By Lemma 12.6, we have \( \mu \subset \text{Per}(v) \). As in Lemma 12.11 removing \( \mu \), taking the metric completion \( S_1 \) of \( S - \mu \), and collapsing each new boundary component (i.e. connected component of \( S_1 - (S - \mu) \)) into a singular point, denote by \( w \) the resulting flow on the resulting compact surface \( T \). Then we have \( N(w) < N(v) \). By Lemma 12.11 the inductive hypothesis implies the assertion.

Summarizing the necessary and sufficient conditions, Corollary 3.4 48 implies the following statement.

Theorem 12.13. Let \( v \) be a quasi-regular flow on a compact surface \( S \). The following conditions are equivalent:

1) \( \text{LD} \sqcup E = \emptyset \) and there are neither strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points.
2) Each orbit is proper and there are neither strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points.
3) \( S/v \) is \( T_0 \) and there are neither strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points.
4) \( \overline{\text{Cl}}(v) = \Omega(v) \).

Note the quasi-regularity is necessary. In other words, \( \overline{\text{Cl}}(v) \subseteq \Omega(v) \) in general. Indeed, there is a flow \( v \) on a compact surface \( S \) with \( \text{LD} \cup E = \emptyset \) and \( \overline{\text{Cl}}(v) \subseteq \Omega(v) \) but without strict limit circuits nor circuits with non-orientable holonomy but without periodic points (e.g., the example before Lemma 12.3).

Notice that the non-wandering set of a Morse-Smale flow on a compact surface consists of finitely many closed orbits. Therefore the author would like to know the necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-wandering set to consist of finitely many orbits. Similarly, what is the necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-wandering set to consist of finitely many orbit classes?

13. Graphs of surface flows


**Corollary 13.1.** Let \( v \) be a quasi-regular flow with \( E = \emptyset \) on a compact surface. The quotient space \( D_{ss}/v_{ex} = D_{ss}/\hat{v}_{ex} \) (resp. \( \text{Bd}/v_{ex} = \text{Bd}/\hat{v}_{ex}, \text{BD}/v_{ex} = \text{BD}/\hat{v}_{ex} \)) is an abstract multi-graph. Moreover, if \( v \) is of finite type, then such multi-graphs are finite and the complement \( (S - D_{ss})/v \) (resp. \( (S - \text{Bd})/v, S - \text{BD}/v \)) is a finite disjoint union of intervals and circles such that the boundary of a connected component of \( S - D_{ss} \) (resp. \( S - \text{Bd}, S - \text{BD} \)) consists of finitely many closed orbits and finitely many separatrices.

Each connected component of the complement \( S - D_{ss} \) (resp. \( S - \text{Bd}, S - \text{BD} \)) is called a canonical domain of it. Note that a canonical domain which is not a periodic torus for a flow of finite type on a compact surface corresponds to a canonical region.

13.2. Dual graphs of the the border point set \( \text{BD} \). Define the dual graph \( G^{\text{BD}} = (V^{\text{BD}}, E^{\text{BD}}) \) of the border point set \( \text{BD} \) of a flow of finite type on a compact surface \( S \) as follows: The vertex set \( V^{\text{BD}} \) consists of periodic tori and canonical domains of \( \text{BD} \) (i.e. the connected components of \( S - \text{BD} \)) each of which is either a trivial flow box, a transverse annulus, or a periodic annulus. An edge \( U_iU_j \) exists if and only if \( \dim(U_i \cap U_j) = 1 \). We equip any canonical domain with a local orientation which is a mapping \( O : V^{\text{BD}} \to \{-, +\} \). We summarize properties of the border point set \( \text{BD} \).

**Lemma 13.2.** Let \( v \) be a flow of finite type on a compact surface \( S \). Then a following properties hold:
1) \( \text{BD} = \partial(S - \text{BD}) = \partial(\bigcup V^{\text{BD}}) = \bigcup_{U \in V^{\text{BD}}} \partial U = \bigcup_{U \in V^{\text{BD}}} (\partial_{\text{int}} U \cup \partial_{\text{ext}} U) \) is a finite union of closed orbits and separatrices such that \( \text{BD}/v_{ex} = \text{BD}/\hat{v}_{ex} \) is an abstract multi-graph and is also a finite poset with respect to the specialization pre-order \( \leq_{ss} \) for the quotient topology.
2) \( S - \text{BD} = \bigcup V^{\text{BD}} \) is the finite disjoint union of canonical domain.

We state that transverse boundary can be characterized as a union of short transverse arcs as follows.
Lemma 13.3. Let $U$ be a connected component of the complement of $S - \text{BD}$ for a flow $v$ of finite type on a compact surface $S$, $\Gamma := \bigcup_{x \in U}(\alpha_{\nu}(x) \cup \omega_{\nu}(x))$ the union of $\alpha'$- and $\omega'$-limit sets of points of $U$. $S_1$ the metric completion of $S - \Gamma$, $v_1$ the induced flow on $S_1$, and $\pi : S_1 \rightarrow S$ the induced mapping of the metric completion. Then a point $x \in S$ is contained in $\partial_h U$ if and only if there is a lift $x_1 \in S_1$ of $x$ and a small neighborhood $W$ of $x_1$ such that $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$, where $\overline{A}$ is the closure of a subset $A$ in $S_1$. 

Proof. By Corollary 11.3 the connected component $U$ is topologically equivalent to a trivial flow box, a periodic annulus, a periodic torus, or a transverse annulus. Suppose that $U$ is a periodic torus. Then $\Gamma = \partial_h U = \emptyset$ and so $S_1 = S$ $x_1 = x$, and $\pi$ is identical. This implies that $x \not\in U \setminus W \subseteq W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)}$ for any neighborhood $W$ of $x$. This means the assertion holds for the periodic torus case. Suppose that $U$ is a periodic annulus. Then $\Gamma = \emptyset$ and $\partial_h U = \partial U$. Assume that $x \in \partial_h U$. Then $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \emptyset) \subseteq \pi(W \cap U \setminus \{W \cap U\}) = \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)}) = \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. Conversely, assume that there are a lift $x_1 \in S_1$ of $x$ and a small neighborhood $W$ of $x_1$ such that $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. Then $\pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)}) = \pi(W \cap U \setminus W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. If $x \in U \setminus W \cap U \cap \{W \cap U\}$, which contradicts $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. If $x \not\in U$, then the smallness of $W$ implies that $W \cap U = \emptyset$, which contradicts $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. Thus $x \in \partial U = \partial_h U$. Suppose that $U$ is a transverse annulus. Then $\partial_h U = \emptyset$. Assume that there are a lift $x_1 \in S_1$ of $x$ and a small neighborhood $W$ of $x_1$ such that $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. If $x \in U \setminus W \cap U \cap \{W \cap U\}$, which contradicts $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. Thus $x \in \partial U$. By Corollary 11.3 the boundary $\partial U$ is the union of $\alpha$- and $\omega$-limit sets of any point in $U$ and so $W \cap U \setminus \emptyset = \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)} \setminus \emptyset$. This implies that $\pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)}) \subseteq U$. Since $x \not\in U$, we have that $x \not\in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$, which contradicts $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. This means that the assertion holds. Thus we may assume that $U$ is a trivial flow box. Suppose that $x \in \partial_h U$. Since $\partial_h U = \pi(\partial U - \bigcup_{x \in U}(\alpha_{\nu}(x) \cup \omega_{\nu}(x)))$, Corollary 11.3 implies that $\partial_h U = \pi(\partial U - \bigcup_{x \in U}(\alpha_{\nu}(x) \cup \omega_{\nu}(x)))$ for any $x \in U$. Then there is a lift $x_1 \in \partial U - (\alpha_{\nu}(z) \cup \omega_{\nu}(z))$ for any $z \in U$. Fix any small neighborhood $W$ of $x_1$ in $S_1$. Since $x_1 \in \partial U - (\alpha_{\nu}(y) \cup \omega_{\nu}(y)) = \partial U \setminus \overline{O(y)}$, we have $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. Conversely, suppose that there are a lift $x_1 \in S_1$ of $x$ and a small neighborhood $W$ of $x_1$ such that $x \in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)})$. If $x \in U$, then the smallness of $W$ implies that $W \subset U$ and so $x \in W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)}$, which contradicts $x \not\in \bigcup_{y \in W \cap U} \overline{O(y)}$. If $x \not\in U$, then the smallness of $W$ implies that $\emptyset \subset U$ and so $x \not\in \pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in U} \overline{O(y)})$. Since $\pi(W \cap U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in U} \overline{O(y)} \subset \partial U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in U}(\alpha_{\nu}(z) \cup \omega_{\nu}(z))$, we obtain $x \in \pi(\partial U \setminus \bigcup_{y \in U}(\alpha_{\nu}(z) \cup \omega_{\nu}(z))).$
13.3. **Labels of \(V_{BD}^{BD}\).** For a quasi-regular flow \(v\) on a compact surface, define a label \(l^{BD} : V_{BD}^{BD} \to \{\mathbb{D}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{A}, T, L\}\) as follows: the label \(l^{BD}(U)\) is defined as follows:

- \(l^{BD}(U) := \mathbb{D}\) if \(U\) is an open trivial flow box in \(P\),
- \(l^{BD}(U) := \tilde{\mathbb{A}}\) if \(U\) is an open transverse annulus in \(P\),
- \(l^{BD}(U) := \mathbb{A}\) if \(U\) is an open annulus in \(\text{Per}(v)\),
- \(l^{BD}(U) := T\) if \(U\) is a torus in \(\text{Per}(v)\),
- \(l^{BD}(U) := L\) if \(U\) is an open essential subset in \(\text{LD}\).

By Corollary 11.4, the label \(l^{BD}\) is well-defined. Set \(\Sigma_{\text{top}}^{1} := \{\mathbb{D}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{A}, T, L\}\). Define a label \(\partial_{\gamma}^{\ast} = (\partial_{\gamma}^{\ast} / \hat{v}, \partial_{\gamma}^{\ast} / \hat{v}) : V_{BD}^{BD} \to 2^{BD} / \hat{v} \times 2^{BD} / \hat{v}\) by

\[
\partial_{\gamma}^{\ast}(U) := (\partial_{\gamma}^{\ast}(U) / \hat{v}, \partial_{\gamma}^{\ast}(U) / \hat{v}) = (\alpha(x) / \hat{v}, \omega(x) / \hat{v})
\]

for any \(U \in V_{BD}^{BD}\) and for some \(x \in U\). By Corollary 11.3, the \(\omega\)-limit (resp. \(\alpha\)-limit) set of any point in \(U\) is so of \(x\) and so the label \(l^{BD}\) is well-defined. Note that the label \(\partial_{\gamma}\) can be considered as the restriction of the pair \((\leq \hat{a}, \leq \hat{c})\) on \(S / \hat{v}\). Define a label \(\partial_{m}^{\ast} := (\partial_{m}^{R} / \hat{v}, \partial_{m}^{L} / \hat{v}) : V_{BD}^{BD} \to 2^{BD} / \hat{v} \times 2^{BD} / \hat{v}\) by

\[
\partial_{m}^{\ast}(U) := (\partial_{m}^{R}(U) / \hat{v}, \partial_{m}^{L}(U) / \hat{v})
\]

for any \(U \in V_{BD}^{BD}\).

For a flow \(v\) of finite type on a compact surface define a label \(D_{\partial_{\gamma}} = (D_{\partial_{\gamma}}^{2}, D_{\partial_{\gamma}}^{1}) : V_{BD}^{BD} \to \{R, L\} \times \{R, L\}\) by

\[
D_{\partial_{\gamma}}(U) := (D_{\partial_{\gamma}}^{2}(U), D_{\partial_{\gamma}}^{1}(U))
\]

for any \(U \in V_{BD}^{BD}\).

13.4. **Graph structure of the border point set \(BD\).** Let \(v\) be a flow of finite type on a compact surface. Denote by \(G_{BD} = (V_{BD}, E_{BD})\) the abstract multi-graph \(BD / \hat{v}_{\text{ex}}\). Note that each of \(V_{BD}\) and \(E_{BD}\) is a subset of \(G_{BD} = BD / \hat{v}_{\text{ex}}\). Precisely, the vertex set \(V_{BD}\) consists of multi-saddle connections, limit cycles, non-limits one-sided cycles, sinks, \(\partial\)-sinks, sources, \(\partial\)-sources, and centers. The edge set \(E_{BD}\) consists of

(i) orbits in \(\partial_{P}\),
(ii) ss-separatrices which are arranged in cyclic ways around limit circuits, sinks, and sources, and
(iii) ss-separatrices which are arranged in linear ways around \(\partial\)-sinks and \(\partial\)-sources.

Define a label \(l_{BD} : V_{BD} \to \{0, 1_{\theta}, 1_{\text{non}}, 1_{L}\}\) as follows:

- \(l_{BD}(\sigma) := 0\) if \(\sigma \in \text{Sing}(v)\) is either a sink, a \(\partial\)-sink, a source, a \(\partial\)-source, or a center,
- \(l_{BD}(\gamma) := 1_{\theta}\) if \(\gamma\) is a periodic orbit in \(\partial S\),
- \(l_{BD}(\gamma) := 1_{\text{non}}\) if \(\gamma\) is a one-sided periodic orbit outside of \(\partial S\),
- \(l_{BD}(\gamma) := 1_{\text{two}}\) if \(\gamma\) is a two-sided periodic orbit,
- \(l_{BD}(\gamma) := 1_{\text{ms}}\) if \(\gamma\) is a multi-saddle connection diagram.

Set \(\Sigma_{\text{top}}^{\theta} := \{0, 1_{\theta}, 1_{\text{non}}, 1_{\text{two}}, 1_{\text{ms}}\}\). Note that each directed circuit with an associated collar has either repelling, attracting, or identical one-sided holonomy and so that a one-sided directed circuit has one of these three kinds of holonomies and a two-sided cycle has one of six kinds of holonomies.

13.5. **Reversion of a local orientation of a canonical domain.** A local orientation reversion \(V_{\text{Rev}} : V_{BD} \to V_{BD}\) of canonical domains is an identity as a set with \(O_{\text{Rev}}(U) = -O(U)\), where \(O_{\text{Rev}} := O \circ V_{\text{Rev}}\). Define \(D_{\partial_{\gamma}^{\text{Rev}}}(U) = L\) (resp. \(R\)
if \( D_{\partial^v} (U) = R \) (resp. \( L \)). Similarly, define \( D_{\partial^v}^{\text{Rev}} (U) = L \) (resp. \( R \)) if \( D_{\partial^v} (U) = R \) (resp. \( L \)) and \( D_{\partial^o} = (D_{\partial^o}^{\text{Rev}}, D_{\partial^o}^{\text{Rev}}) \). Define a label \( L_{\text{BD}} := (O, l_{\text{BD}}, \partial_{\text{BD}}, \preceq_{\text{BD}}, D_{\partial_\perp}) \) as follows:

\[
L_{\text{BD}} : V_{\text{BD}} \to \{\pm\} \times \Sigma^1_{\text{top}} \times (2^{BD/\delta})^4 \times O^4_{\text{circuit path}} \times \{R, L\}^2
\]

where \( O_{\text{circuit path}} \) is the set of finite circuit orders and path orders, \( \partial_{\text{BD}} := (\partial^R, \partial^L) : V_{\text{BD}} \to (2^{BD/\delta})^4 \) is a mapping, and \( \preceq_{\text{BD}} := (\preceq^R, \preceq^L) : V_{\text{BD}} \to O^4_{\text{circuit path}} \) is a mapping. Then the label \( L_{\text{BD}} := (l_{\text{BD}}, L_{\text{BD}}) \) is a following mapping

\[
L_{\text{BD}} : V_{\text{BD}} \times V_{\text{BD}} \to \Sigma^0_{\text{top}} \times \{\pm\} \times \Sigma^1_{\text{top}} \times (2^{BD/\delta})^4 \times O^4_{\text{circuit path}} \times \{R, L\}^2
\]

Note that \( L_{\text{BD}} = (l_{\text{BD}}, O, l_{\text{BD}}, \partial^R_{\text{BD}}, \partial^L_{\text{BD}}, \partial^o_{\text{BD}}, \partial_{\text{BD}}, \preceq_{\text{BD}}, \preceq_{\text{BD}}, \partial_{\text{BD}}(D_{\partial_\perp}) \). The revision of labels is defined as follows:

\[
L_{\text{BD}}^{\text{Rev}} := (O^{\text{Rev}}, l_{\text{BD}}^{\text{Rev}}, \partial_{\text{BD}}^{\text{Rev}}, \preceq_{\text{BD}}^{\text{Rev}}, D_{\partial_\perp}^{\text{Rev}})
\]

In particular, \( (\partial^L_{\text{BD}}/\hat{v})^{\text{Rev}} = \partial^L_{\text{BD}}/\hat{v}, (\partial^R_{\text{BD}}/\hat{v})^{\text{Rev}} = \partial^R_{\text{BD}}/\hat{v}, (\leq_{\partial^L_{\text{BD}}}^{\text{Rev}} = \leq_{\partial^L_{\text{BD}}}, \text{and } (\leq_{\partial^L_{\text{BD}}})^{\text{Rev}} = \leq_{\partial^L_{\text{BD}}}) \)

13.6. Equivalence classes of canonical domains. Define an equivalence relation of labels as follows: \( L_{\text{BD}} \sim_o L'_{\text{BD}} \) if either \( L_{\text{BD}} = L'_{\text{BD}} \) or \( \| L_{\text{BD}} (U) = L'_{\text{BD}} (U) \) for any \( U \in V_{\text{BD}} \). Then define a label \( L_{\text{BD}} : V_{\text{BD}} \to (2^{BD/\delta})^4 \times \Sigma^1_{\text{top}} \times O^4_{\text{circuit path}} \times \{R, L\}^2 / \sim_o \) by \( L_{\text{BD}} (U) := \| L_{\text{BD}} (U) \| / \sim_o \). Since \( 2^{BD/\delta} \) is the power set of an abstract multi-graph, any representative of the image by \( L_{\text{BD}} \) of any element consists of five signs, four abstract multi-graphs, two finite path orders, and two finite circuits orders.

13.7. Label of the orbit space \( D/v \) of the multi-saddle connection diagram. For a quasi-regular flow \( v \) on a compact surface, denote by \( G_D \) the abstract multi-graph \( D/v \) and a label \( l_D : G_D \to V_{\text{BD}} \) is defined as the natural projection \( D/v \to D/v_{\text{ex}} \).

13.8. Reconstruction of the border point set \( \text{BD} \). We observe a following statement.

**Lemma 13.4.** The border point set \( \text{BD} \) of a flow of finite type on a compact surface can be reconstructed by abstract finite multi-graphs \( G_{\text{BD}}, G_{\text{BD}}, \text{and } G_D \) with the labels \( L_{\text{BD}} \) and \( l_D \) as an embedded subset.

**Proof.** We show that the orbit structure and the holonomy of any element of \( V_{\text{BD}} \), the cyclic orders or the total orders of ss-separatrices around it, and the spiral structure of any element of \( E_{\text{BD}} \) can be reconstructed by the label \( L_{\text{BD}} \). Precisely, the label \( l_{\text{BD}} \) reconstructs the topological type of vertices in \( V_{\text{BD}} \) (i.e. a singular point, a periodic orbit in \( \partial S \), a one-sided periodic orbit outside of \( \partial S \), a two-sided periodic orbit, a non-periodic limit circuit). Let \( \sigma \in V_{\text{BD}} \) be an element with \( l_{\text{BD}} (\sigma) = 0 \). If there is no canonical domain whose vertical boundary contains \( \sigma \) (i.e. \( \sigma \) is maximal with respect to \( \leq_\delta \) and \( \leq_\omega \) on \( G_{\text{BD}} \)), then \( \sigma \) is a center. In
other words, each center can be reconstructed by labels \( l_{BD} \) and \( \partial_L \). If there is a canonical domain whose \( \partial \)-vertical boundary contains \( \sigma \), then \( \sigma \) is a source or a \( \partial \)-source. Since the labels \( \partial_L \), \( \leq_{\partial^+_r} \), and \( \leq_{\partial^+_L} \) distinguish cyclic (resp. total) orders of ss-separatrices around any singular point, each source (resp. \( \partial \)-source) with the cyclic (resp. total) order of ss-separatrices can be reconstructed by labels \( l_{BD}, \partial^+_\alpha \), and \( \partial^+_\omega \). Similarly, each sink (resp. \( \partial \)-sink) with the cyclic (resp. total) order of ss-separatrices can be reconstructed by the labels \( \partial^+_r \) and \( \partial_{\partial^+_1} \). Let \( \gamma \in V_{BD} \) be an element with \( l_{BD}(\gamma) := 1_\partial \). Note that the local orientation \( O \) is necessary to determine left and right directions and that the spiral direction can be reconstructed by the labels \( \partial^+_r \) and \( \partial_{\partial^+_1} \). If there is a canonical domain whose \( \alpha \)-vertical (resp. \( \omega \)-vertical) boundary contains \( \gamma \), then \( \gamma \) is a repelling (resp. attracting) limit cycle. If there is a canonical domain whose transverse boundary contains \( \gamma \), then \( \gamma \subseteq \partial_{\partial^+_r} \). Moreover each periodic orbit contained in \( \partial S \) with the cyclic order of ss-separatrices can be reconstructed by labels \( l_{BD}, O, \partial_{\partial^+_1}, \partial_{BD} \), and \( \leq_{BD} \) (i.e. all labels except \( l_{BD} \)). Similarly, each one-sided (resp. two-sided) periodic orbit outside of \( \partial S \) with one (resp. two) cyclic order of ss-separatrices can be reconstructed by all labels except \( l_{BD} \). Let \( \gamma \in V_{BD} \) be an element with \( l_{BD}(\gamma) := 1_{ms} \). The abstract multi-graph structure of \( \gamma \) is reconstructed by the abstract multi-graph \( G_D \) with the natural projection \( L_D \) and the orders \( \leq_{BD} \) on \( \gamma \) (i.e. the orders \( \leq_\partial \) and \( \leq_{\partial^+_1} \) restricted on \( \gamma \)). Since each multi-saddle connection is a finite disjoint union of multi-saddles and separatrices, the multi-saddle connection \( \gamma \) can be reconstructed by all labels except \( l_{BD} \) as an embedded subset. \( \square \)

13.9. **Reductions.** Denote by \( \chi(S) \) the space of flows on a compact surface \( S \). Put \( \chi := \bigcup \chi(T) \), where the union runs over compact surfaces. Denote by \( \chi_F \subset \chi \) the subspace of flows of finite type (i.e. quasi-regular flows without recurrent points such that there are at most finitely many limit cycles). The class \( \chi_F \) contains flows generated by \( \Sigma'(S) \cup \mathcal{H}_r'(S) \), where \( \Sigma'(S) \) is the set of the Morse-Smale \( C^r \)-vector fields and \( \mathcal{H}_r'(S) \) is the set of regular Hamiltonian \( C^r \) vector fields each of whose saddle connection is self-connected. Recall that \( \mathcal{H}_r'(S) \) is open dense in the set \( \mathcal{H}'(S) \) of Hamiltonian \( C^r \) vector fields on an orientable closed connected surface \( S \) for any \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \). A flow \( v \) in \( \chi_F \) can be reconstructed from the finite data:

\[
(G_{BD}, G_D, L_{BD}, l_D)
\]

To state precisely, we define some notations as follows: Denote by \( \tilde{G}_t \) the set of finite abstract multi-graphs with labels. Define a mapping \( p : \chi_F \to \tilde{G}_t \times \tilde{G}_t \times \tilde{G}_t \) by

\[
p(v) := ((G_{BD}, L_{BD}), (G_{BD}, l_D), (G_D, l_D)).
\]

Denote by \( \sim \) the topological equivalence on \( \chi_F \) (i.e. an equivalence relation defined by \( v \sim w \) if there is a homeomorphism \( h : S \to T \) which is orbit-preserving (i.e. the image of an orbit of \( v \) is an orbit of \( w \)) and preserves orientation of the orbits). Write \( \tilde{\chi}_F \) by the quotient space of \( \chi_F \) by the equivalence \( \sim \). Denote by \( \tilde{G}_t \) the quotient space of \( \tilde{G}_t \) by the isomorphisms. Now we can state precisely that a flow \( v \) in \( \chi_F \) can be reconstructed from the finite data as follows.

**Theorem 13.5.** The induced map \( \tilde{p} : \tilde{\chi}_F \to \tilde{G}_t \times \tilde{G}_t \times \tilde{G}_t \) is well-defined and injective.

**Proof.** Lemma 13.3 implies that BD can be reconstructed. Since \( S - BD \) consists of finitely many trivial flow boxes, periodic tori, periodic annuli, and transverse annuli, the label \( l_{BD} \) determines the type of four kinds of canonical domains. Also
the local orientation $O$ with the orbit direction $\partial^{BD}$ and the spiral direction $D_{\partial}$ determines flow directions of regions. This means that we can reconstruct a flow uniquely. □

Each of conditions of “finite type” (i.e. quasi-regularity, finite conditions of limit cycles, non-existence of Q-sets) is necessary to construct a complete invariant using finite data. Indeed, finite condition of limit cycles is necessary for finite descriptions. As we mention, the set of topological equivalence classes of minimal flows (resp. Denjoy flows) on a torus is uncountable. Similarly, the singular point set is uncountable up to locally topological equivalence. In fact, singular points with infinitely parabolic sectors as shown in Figure 29 forms an uncountable subset because we can choose the directions of infinitely sectors as shown in Figure 31.

We’d like know whether finite existence of limit cycles can be removed using countable sequence of labels and whether quasi-regularity can be removed modify the definition of the border point set adding non-separating orbits. Here an non-closed proper orbit $O$ is non-separating if there is an orbit $O'$ which is incomparable to $O$ with respect to the specialization pre-order for the quotient topology on the orbit space (i.e. $\overline{O} \cap O' = \emptyset$ and $O \cap \overline{O'} = \emptyset$) such that any pair of open saturated neighborhoods of $O$ and $O'$ intersects. In other words, a non-closed proper orbit $O$ is non-separating if there is an orbit $O' \neq O$ such that $O'$ is contained in the intersection of the closure of open saturated neighborhood of $O$ and that $O$ and $O'$ are incomparable.

14. ON THE MARKUS-NEUMANN THEOREM

As mentioned above, Buendía and López have constructed counterexamples to the Markus-Neumann theorem. Note that one of counterexamples (cf. the figure to the right in Figure 2 [7]) is a toral flow with one singular point and non-closed proper orbits such that a small neighborhood of the singular point consists of two hyperbolic sectors and two parabolic sectors (see Figure 28). Recall that a parabolic sector is topological equivalent to a trivial flow box with the point $(\pm \infty, 0)$ and a hyperbolic (resp. elliptic) sector is topological equivalent to a Reeb component with the point $(\infty, 0)$ (resp. $(-\infty, 0)$) as in Figure 29. Moreover, an example of a pair of flows consisting of non-closed proper orbits in a plane in Figure 3 in a paper 29 by Neumann is also a counterexample because flows in the example have no separatrices in the sense of Markus. Indeed, any small neighborhood of $b_1$ (resp. $b_2$, $c_1$, $c_2$, $d_1$, $d_2$) is not a parallel neighborhood satisfying two conditions in definition of separatrix but there is a large neighborhood of it. In addition, Markus-Neumann theorem does not holds for the non-orientable original setting.
Figure 29. Two parabolic sector $P^-$ and $P^+$, a hyperbolic sector $H^-$ with clockwise orbit direction, and an elliptic sector $E^+$ with anti-clockwise orbit direction.

Figure 30. Two spherical flows $v$ and $w$ consisting of closed orbits and homoclinic separatrices which are not topologically equivalent but isomorphic as orbit complexes.

In fact, the orbit complex of a flow consisting of one axisymmetric rotating spherical flow and two periodic flows on Möbius bands and one of a flow consisting of two axisymmetric rotating flows on projective planes and one periodic flow on a closed annulus are isomorphic, because the orbit space of a connected component is a closed interval and so the orbit spaces of two flows are homeomorphic and because the lift of the orientation double covering of each of them is a flow consisting of two axisymmetric rotating spherical flows and two periodic flows on closed annuli. As mentioned, additional orders are necessary. In fact, there are two spherical flows consisting which are not topologically equivalent but isomorphic as orbit complexes (see Figure 30). However, we show that orbit complex is a complete invariant for flows of finite type on compact surfaces under the assumption that the multi-saddle connection diagram is the saddle connection diagram containing no non-self-connected separatrices (i.e. each multi-saddle is either a saddle without heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices or a $\partial$-saddle without separatrices connecting another multi-saddles outside of the same boundary). On the other hand, adding some additional orders, orbit complex becomes complete invariant for flows of finite type on compact surfaces.
14.1. **On the Markus-Neumann theorem for flows of finite type.** Let \( v \) be a flow on a compact surface \( S \). We call that a saturated subset \( U \subseteq S \) is parallel if \( v|_U \) is topologically equivalent to either canonical domain (i.e., a trivial flow box \( D \), a periodic annulus \( A_+ \), transverse annulus \( A_\varphi \)) as Figure 8, or a periodic torus \( T \). Following [7], we call that a point \( x \) is ordinary if it has a parallel neighborhood \( U \) such that \( \alpha'(x) = \alpha'(y) \) and \( \omega'(x) = \omega'(y) \) for any point \( y \in U \) and that there are exactly two orbits \( O_- \neq O_+ \) with \( \alpha'(x) = \alpha'(O_-) = \alpha'(O_+) \) and \( \omega'(x) = \omega'(O_-) = \omega'(O_+) \) such that \( \partial U = \alpha'(x) \cup \omega'(x) \cup O_- \cup O_+ \). A point is non-ordinary if it is not ordinary. An orbit is ordinary (resp. non-ordinary) if so are its points. Note that non-ordinary orbit is called a separatrix in the sense of Markus in papers [27, 29, 30, 7].

**Lemma 14.1.** Let \( v \) be a flow of finite type on a compact surface \( S \). Then \( BD = \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \).

**Proof.** Corollary 11.3 implies that each orbit in \( (S - BD) \) has a parallel saturated neighborhood satisfying the conditions in the definition of “ordinary” and so \( BD \subseteq \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \). On the other hand, we show that \( BD \subseteq \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \). Indeed, recall that \( BD = \text{BD} \cup \text{Per} \). Lemma 8.19 implies that \( BD = \text{BD} \cup \text{Per} = \Sigma_{\text{Sing}}(v) \cup \delta \text{Per}(v) \cup \delta \text{P} \cup \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \cup \delta \text{Per} \cup \text{Per} \). Since each singular point has no parallel neighborhoods, the singular point set \( \text{Sing}(v) \) consists of non-ordinary points. Since each orbit in \( \delta \text{Per}(v) \) is a limit cycle \( \gamma \), any its neighborhood \( U \) intersects an non-closed proper orbit \( O \) with either \( \alpha(O) = \alpha'(O) = \gamma \neq \emptyset = \alpha'(\gamma) \) or \( \omega(O) = \omega'(O) = \gamma \neq \emptyset = \omega'(\gamma) \), and so the union \( \delta \text{Per}(v) \) consists of non-ordinary points. Recall that \( \Sigma_{\text{Per}} = \Sigma_{\text{ms}} \cup \Sigma_{\text{ss}} \cup \delta \varphi \). Fix a non-closed proper orbit \( O \) whose \( \omega \)-limit set is a multi-saddle \( x \). Since each multi-saddle has at most finitely many separatrices, we have \( \omega'(O) = \{ x \} \neq \omega'(O') \) for all but finitely many orbit \( O' \) in a neighborhood of \( O \). By symmetry, we have \( \Sigma_{\text{ms}} \cup \Sigma_{\text{ss}} \cup \delta \varphi \subseteq \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \). Since any orbit in \( \delta \varphi \cup \delta \text{Per} \cup \text{Per} \) has no parallel neighborhoods, we obtain \( \delta \varphi \cup \delta \text{Per} \cup \text{Per} \subseteq \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \) and so \( BD \subseteq \Sigma_{\text{Sep}} \).

Recall that the orbit complex is an orbit space with cell structure, fiber type, order structure, and orientability of spirals (see §5 in [30] for details). Let \( \pi_v : S \rightarrow S/v \) be the natural projection. A 1-cell is the image \( \pi_v(U) \) of a canonical domain \( U \) and a 0-cell is the image \( \pi_v(O) \) of an orbit \( O \) in \( BD \). In other words, a 1-cell is a connected component of \( \pi_v(S - BD) = (S - BD)/v \) and the set of 0-cells is \( \pi_v(BD) = BD/v \). A 1-cell which is an open interval (resp. a circle) is called an open (resp. closed) 1-cell. Notice that the an open interval (resp. a circle) structure of a 1-cell is embedded in the orbit space \( S/v \). The fiber type of a \( i \)-cell is either an open interval, a circle, or a point according to the topological type of the fiber of \( \pi_v \) over a point in the orbit space. In particular, the fiber type of a 1-cell is either an open interval or a circle. For a canonical domain \( U \), the first order structure is a total order on \( \partial U \setminus \Sigma(v) \) (resp. \( \partial U \setminus \Sigma(v) \)), the second order structure is \( \leq_{\alpha} |_{\partial U} \) (resp. \( \leq_{\omega} |_{\partial U} \)), where the restriction \( \leq |_A := \leq |_{A \times A} \) of a subset \( A \) on a poset \( X \) is the restriction as a binary relation. Here the pre-orders are identified as a subsets of \( S \times S \) because a binary relation on a set \( S \) is a subset of \( X \times X \). The third order structure is \( \leq_{\alpha} |_{(\partial U) \times U} \) (resp. \( \leq_{\omega} |_{U \times \partial U} \)). The orientation of spirals in a transverse annulus is orientable (resp. non-orientable) if it is not (resp. is) a
Reeb domain. We show that the orbit complex is a complete invariant comparing our invariant by adding two circuit orders $\leq_{\partial \alpha}^\perp$ and $\leq_{\partial \omega}^\perp$.

**Theorem 14.2.** The orbit complex with two circuit orders $\leq_{\partial \alpha}^\perp$ and $\leq_{\partial \omega}^\perp$ for a flow of finite type on an orientable compact surface is a complete invariant.

**Proof.** Recall that our invariant consists of three abstract multi-graphs $(G_{BD}, G_{BD} = BD/\text{vex}, G_D = D/v)$ with the labels $l_{BD} := (l_{BD}, O, l_{BD}, \partial_{BD}, \leq_{BD}, D_{\partial L})$ and $l_D$. The local orientation $O$ can be induced by the orientation of the surface. The orbit space implies the abstract multi-saddle connection $D/v$ with the labels $l_D$. The 0-cell structure with fiber type implies the abstract border point set $BD/v$ and so $G_{BD} = BD/\text{vex}$. The 1-cell structure with fiber type implies the label $l_{BD}$. The orbit space with the cell structure implies the dual graph $G_{BD}$. The fiber structure implies the label $l_{BD}$. The order structure implies orders $\leq_{\partial \alpha}^\perp |_{BD}$ and $\leq_{\partial \omega}^\perp |_{BD}$. These orders imply $\leq_{\partial \alpha}^\perp$ and $\leq_{\partial \omega}^\perp$ and so $\leq_{BD}$. Combining the specialization pre-order for the quotient topology on the orbit space, the orbit structure implies labels $\partial_{BD}$. The orientability of spirals corresponds to the label $D_{\partial L}$. This means that the orbit complex implies our complete invariant. □

On the other hand, under the assumption that the multi-saddle connection diagram is the self-connected saddle connection diagram (i.e. saddle connection diagram each of whose multi-saddle is either a saddle without heteroclinic multi-saddle separatrices or a $\partial$-saddle without separatrices connecting another multi-saddles outside of the same boundary), each non-trivial non-periodic circuit in the multi-saddle connection diagram either consists of a saddle with one or two homoclinic separatrices or is a circuit in which $\partial$-saddles and separatrices are arranged alternately. Then the former has a trivial circuit order and the latter circuit order can be reconstructed by orders $\leq_{\partial \alpha}^\perp |_{BD}$ and $\leq_{\partial \omega}^\perp |_{BD}$. Therefore we obtain a following statement.

**Corollary 14.3.** Under the assumption that the multi-saddle connection diagram is the self-connected saddle connection diagram, the orbit complex for a flow of finite type on an orientable compact surface is a complete invariant.
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