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#### Abstract

. CP-odd invariants are useful for studying the CP properties of Lagrangians in any basis. We explain how to build basis invariants for the scalar sector, and how to distinguish CPodd invariants from CP-even invariants. Up to a certain order, we use these methods to systematically build all the CP-odd invariants. The CP-odd invariants signal either explicit or spontaneous violation of CP. Making use of the CP-odd invariants, we determine the CP properties of potentials with 3 and with 6 Higgs fields arranged as triplets of specific discrete symmetries in the $\Delta\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ or $\Delta\left(6 n^{2}\right)$ series (inlcuding $A_{4}, S_{4}, \Delta(27)$ and $\Delta(54)$ as well as the cases for $n>3$ ).


## 1. Introduction

This contribution to the proceedings of DISCRETE 2016 is based on [1].
CP violation (CPV) is present in the Standard Model (SM) in the Yukawa sector, due to the replication of fermion in 3 generations. Physics does not depend on choice of basis, and indeed the amount of CPV in the SM can be calculated in a weak basis invariant which can be expressed in terms of the quark mass matrices [2, 3]. In the SM scalar sector, with a single Higgs doublet, the possibility of CPV does not exist. CPV is enabled in the scalar sector of the 2 (or more) Higgs Doublet Model (HDM) [4]. The extension of the scalar content of the SM is well motivated, as additional CPV sources are required to account for the baryon asymmetry of the Universe [5, 6]. In the scalar sector, CPV can appear explicitly or through the vacuum expectation values (VEVs), the latter case consisting in Spontaneous CPV (SCPV).

There is often some subtletly to the CP properties of theories (e.g. it is possible to have complex parameters in a Lagrangian and for it to conserve CP), which increases the appeal of an invariant approach, and the generalisation of the powerful invariant approach to CP [3] to theories with discrete symmetries recently lead to other relevant CP-odd invariants (CPIs) for the respective Yukawa sector [7, 8]. The invariant approach to CP as applied to scalar potentials in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 was further developed in [1.

In order to find CPIs for arbitrary potentials, we use either the kind of diagrams introduced for the 2HDM in [13] or a new method based on "contraction matrices", and catalogued several new CPIs. We then apply them to several potentials with 3 or 6 Higgs fields with a discrete symmetry from the $\Delta\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(6 n^{2}\right)$ series. These symmetries are considered frequently in the context of flavour and CP models [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38.

In particular, the $3 \mathrm{HDM}[39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55]$ and the $6 \mathrm{HDM} 56,57,58,59,60,61,62$ where the 3 or 6 Higgses are related by a discrete symmetry as one or two (flavour) triplets have been extensively studied. Nevertheless, our systematic study through the new CPIs revealed several novel results.

## 2. CP-odd invariants for potentials

In our notation, we write scalar potentials in a standard form. This is similar to the notation in [12, 13] but with some important differences. An even potential of $N$ scalar fields $\varphi_{i}$, where $\phi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}\right)$ and $\phi^{*}=\left(\varphi_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}^{*}\right)$, can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\phi^{* a} Y_{a}^{b} \phi_{b}+\phi^{* a} \phi^{* c} Z_{a c}^{b d} \phi_{b} \phi_{d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower indices on $Y$ and $Z$ are contracted with $\phi^{*}$ and upper indices with $\phi$. The tensors $Y$ and $Z$ contain the couplings from the potential (the formalism can be extended to account also for tri-linear terms). $Z_{a c}^{b d}$ is by definition unchanged if $a \leftrightarrow c$ or $b \leftrightarrow d$.

In particular, potentials of Higgs doublets are rewritten in the standard form with their components: $n$ Higgs doublets $H_{i \alpha}=\left(h_{i, 1}, h_{i, 2}\right)$, with $\alpha=1,2$ for $S U(2)_{L}$ index and $i$ identifies the doublet from 1 to $n$, are recast as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{2 n-1}, \varphi_{2 n}\right)=\left(h_{1,1}, h_{1,2}, \ldots, h_{n, 1}, h_{n, 2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance of the potential under whatever symmetries it is invariant under (e.g. $\left.S U(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y}\right)$ will be manifest in the structure of the tensors $Y$ and $Z$ : if under $G, \phi$ transforms in some representation $\rho(g), g \in G$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{a} & \mapsto[\rho(g)]_{a}^{a^{\prime}} \phi_{a^{\prime}},  \tag{3}\\
\phi^{* a} & \mapsto \phi^{* a^{\prime}}\left[\rho^{\dagger}(g)\right]_{a^{\prime}}^{a}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y_{a}^{b}=\rho_{a}^{a^{\prime}} Y_{a^{\prime}}^{b^{\prime}} \rho_{b^{\prime}}^{\dagger},  \tag{5}\\
Z_{a c}^{b d}=\rho_{a}^{a^{\prime}} \rho_{c}^{c^{\prime}} Z_{a^{\prime} c^{\prime}}^{b^{\prime} d^{\prime}} \rho_{b^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \rho_{d^{\prime}}^{\dagger^{\prime}}, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we denote $\rho_{a}^{a^{\prime}}=[\rho(g)]_{a}^{a^{\prime}}$.
An arbitrary basis transformation acts on the fields by a unitary $N \times N$ matrix

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\phi_{a} & \mapsto & V_{a}^{a^{\prime}} \phi_{a^{\prime}} \\
\phi^{* a} & \mapsto \phi^{* a^{\prime}} V_{a^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

which changes tensor components accordingly:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{a}^{b} & \mapsto V_{a}^{a^{\prime}} Y_{a^{\prime}}^{b^{\prime}} V_{b^{\prime}}^{\dagger},  \tag{9}\\
Z_{a c}^{b d} & \mapsto V_{a}^{a^{\prime}} V_{c}^{c^{\prime}} Z_{a^{\prime} c^{\prime}}^{b^{\prime} d^{\prime}} V_{b^{\prime}}^{\dagger} V_{d^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In our notation, complex conjugation changes indices of a field:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{a} & \mapsto\left(\phi_{a}\right)^{*} \equiv \phi^{* a}  \tag{11}\\
\phi^{* a} & \mapsto\left(\phi^{* a}\right)^{*} \equiv \phi_{a} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{* a} Y_{a}^{b} \phi_{b} \mapsto \phi_{a}\left(Y_{a}^{b}\right)^{*} \phi^{* b}=\phi^{* b}\left(Y_{a}^{b}\right)^{*} \phi_{a}=\phi^{* a}\left(Y_{b}^{a}\right)^{*} \phi_{b} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain (because $V^{*}=V$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y_{b}^{a}\right)^{*}=Y_{a}^{b} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the $Z$ tensor we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Z_{b d}^{a c}\right)^{*}=Z_{a c}^{b d} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general CP transformation acts with a unitary matrix $U$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\phi_{a} & \mapsto & \phi^{* a^{\prime}} U_{a^{\prime}}^{a}, \\
\phi^{* a} & \mapsto & U_{a}^{+^{\prime}}{ }_{Q_{a^{\prime}}}, \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{* a} Y_{a}^{b} \phi_{b} \mapsto U_{a}^{\dagger^{a^{\prime}}} \phi_{a^{\prime}} Y_{a}^{b} \phi^{* b^{\prime}} U_{b^{\prime}}^{b} & =U_{a}^{\dagger_{a}^{a^{\prime}}} \phi_{a^{\prime}}\left(Y_{b}^{a}\right)^{*} \phi^{* b^{\prime}} U_{b^{\prime}}^{b}  \tag{18}\\
& =\phi^{* b^{\prime}} U_{b^{\prime}}^{b}\left(Y_{b}^{a}\right)^{*} U^{\dagger^{\prime}{ }_{a}^{\prime}} \phi_{a^{\prime}}  \tag{19}\\
& =\phi^{* a} U_{a}^{a^{\prime}}\left(Y_{a^{\prime}}^{b^{\prime}}\right)^{*} U^{\dagger}{ }_{b^{\prime}}^{b} \phi_{b} . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

A comparison of this with the original term, and the respective exercise for $Z$, reveals how the general CP transformation acts on the tensors:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{a}^{b} & \mapsto U_{a}^{a^{\prime}}\left(Y_{a^{\prime}}^{b^{\prime}}\right)^{*} U_{b^{\prime}}^{\dagger},  \tag{21}\\
Z_{a c}^{b d} & \mapsto U_{a}^{a^{\prime}} U_{c}^{c^{\prime}}\left(Z_{a^{\prime} c^{\prime}}^{\prime^{\prime} d^{\prime}}\right)^{*} U_{b^{\prime}}^{\dagger b} U_{d^{\prime}}^{\dagger} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

This allows us to recast the condition for the potential to be CP invariant: if there is any $U$ such that the left- and right-hand sides of Eqs. (21) and (22) are identical. The trivial CP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a^{\prime}}^{a}=\delta_{a^{\prime}}^{a} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

obeys the condition for real $Y$ and $Z$ tensors. We refer to this trivial CP throughout as $C P_{0}$.
When considering SCPV, we build spontaneous CPIs (SCPIs) by using also VEVs to form basis invariants. It is therefore useful to note they transform under basis change as:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{a} & \mapsto V_{a}^{a^{\prime}} v_{a^{\prime}},  \tag{24}\\
v^{* a} & \mapsto v^{* a^{\prime}} V_{a^{\prime}}^{\dagger a}, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v \equiv\left(v_{1}, \ldots\right), v_{i}=\left\langle\varphi_{i}\right\rangle$. Under general CP transformations:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{a} & \mapsto v^{* a^{\prime}} U_{a^{\prime}}^{a},  \tag{26}\\
v^{* a} & \mapsto U_{a}^{+^{\prime}} v_{a^{\prime}} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1. Simplest basis invariants

If one has a combination of $Y$ and $Z$ tensors with all indices contracted, it forms a basis invariant. Thus, the simplest invariant is the Trace of $Y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{a}^{a} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The possibilities with two $Y$ tensors are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{a}^{a} Y_{b}^{b}, \quad Y_{b}^{a} Y_{a}^{b} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that these contractions can be mapped to elements of the permutation group by identifying the permutation that takes the ordering in the upper indices to the ordering in the lower indicies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{a}^{a} Y_{b}^{b} \Leftrightarrow a \mapsto a, \quad b \mapsto b \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{b}^{a} Y_{a}^{b} \Leftrightarrow a \mapsto b, \quad b \mapsto a \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\sigma(a)}^{a} Y_{\sigma(b)}^{b}, \quad \sigma \in S_{2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is an element of the group $S_{2}$. Out of the two basis invariants with two $Y$ tensors, one is simply the square of the Trace of $Y$, i.e. it can be expressed in terms of smaller basis invariants. We refer to basis invariants that can't be written in terms of smaller ones as irreducible.

Similarly for $Z$ tensors, the simplest invariants are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\sigma(a) \sigma(b)}^{a b}, \quad \sigma \in S_{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

or explicitly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{a b}^{a b}, \quad Z_{b a}^{a b} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the inherent symmetry $Z$ tensors have under exchange of upper (or lower) indices, these two basis invariants are the same. With two $Z$ tensors, the possible contractions are 24 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\sigma(a) \sigma(b)}^{a b} Z_{\sigma(c) \sigma(d)}^{c d}, \quad \sigma \in S_{4} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

but there are only two new irreducible basis invariants, which can be taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{b d}^{a b} Z_{a c}^{c d}, \quad Z_{c d}^{a b} Z_{a b}^{c d} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, a basis invariant $I_{\sigma}^{\left(n_{Z}, m_{Y}\right)}$ with $m_{Y} \mathrm{Y}$ tensors and $n_{Z} Z$ tensors is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\sigma}^{\left(n_{Z}, m_{Y}\right)} \equiv Y_{\sigma\left(a_{1}\right)}^{a_{1}} \ldots Y_{\sigma\left(a_{m_{Y}}\right)}^{a_{m_{Y}}} Z_{\sigma\left(b_{1}\right) \sigma\left(b_{2}\right)}^{b_{1} b_{2}} \ldots Z_{\sigma\left(b_{2 n_{Z}-1}\right) \sigma\left(b_{2 n_{Z}}\right)}^{b_{2 n_{Z}-1} b_{2 n_{Z}}}, \quad \sigma \in S_{m_{Y}+2 n_{Z}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many basis invariants are not CP-odd. Under a general CP transformation, a coupling tensor goes to its complex conjugate and is acted on by unitary transformations $U$. Being basis invariant means the $U$ matrices drop out, so within a basis invariant, a general CP transformation reduces to converting tensors into their complex conjugates (by exchanging upper and lower indices). For example, the Trace of $Y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{a}^{a} \rightarrow C P\left(Y_{a^{\prime \prime}}^{a^{\prime}}\right)^{*} U_{a^{\prime}}^{\dagger} U_{a}^{a} U^{a^{\prime \prime}}=\left(Y_{a^{\prime \prime}}^{a^{\prime}}\right)^{*} \delta_{a^{\prime}}^{a^{\prime \prime}}=\left(Y_{a}^{a}\right)^{*}=Y_{a}^{a} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{\sigma}^{\left(n_{Z}, m_{Y}\right)} \equiv Y_{\sigma\left(a_{1}\right)}^{a_{1}} \ldots Y_{\sigma\left(a_{m_{Y}}\right)}^{a_{m_{Y}}} Z_{\sigma\left(b_{1}\right) \sigma\left(b_{2}\right)}^{b_{1} b_{2}} \ldots Z_{\sigma\left(b_{2 n_{Z}-1}\right) \sigma\left(b_{2 n_{Z}}\right)}^{b_{2 n_{Z}-1} b_{2 n_{Z}}} \\
\rightarrow C P Y_{a_{1}}^{\sigma\left(a_{1}\right)} \ldots Y_{a_{m_{Y}}}^{\sigma\left(a_{m_{Y}}\right)} Z_{b_{1} b_{2}}^{\sigma\left(b_{1}\right) \sigma\left(b_{2}\right)} \ldots Z_{b_{2 n_{Z}-1} b_{2 n_{Z}}}^{\sigma\left(b_{2 n}-1\right) \sigma\left(b_{2 n_{Z}}\right)}=\left[I_{\sigma}^{\left(n_{Z}, m_{Y}\right)}\right]^{*} . \tag{39}
\end{array}
$$

From a basis invariant $I$ differing from its CP conjugate $I^{*}$, we can build a CPI $\mathcal{I}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}=I-I^{*} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to better find useful CPIs we employ diagrams and in particular contraction matrices that reveal which basis invariants can form CPIs.

For the diagrams, the rules are as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{. .}^{a \cdot} X_{a .}=\bullet \longrightarrow \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lines don't need to be distinguished:


Contracting indices on same tensor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{a .}^{a .}=\square \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the contraction matrices, we list all the $Y$ tensors, then all the $Z$ tensors as rows and columns and have $0,1,2 \ldots$ if there are $0,1,2, \ldots$ lines connecting from upper indices of that row's tensor to the lower index of that column's tensor. Some examples are:







A CPI can be built from a basis invariant that changes if all upper indices are exchanged with the lower indices, which, up to rearranging the tensors, corresponds to diagrams that are distinct when the arrows are reversed and to contraction matrices that not symmetric (i.e. are
distinct by exchanging rows and columns). The smallest CPI can be built from the following basis invariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \equiv Z_{a e}^{a b} Z_{b f}^{c d} Y_{c}^{e} Y_{d}^{f}= \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its distinct CP conjugate

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{*} \equiv Z_{a b}^{a e} Z_{c d}^{b f} Y_{e}^{c} Y_{f}^{d}= \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the matrix the $Y$ tensors are the first rows and columns (even though they are ordered differently in the expressions and diagrams).

For the purpose of the potentials considered, the useful CPIs are order 6 in $Z$ tensors:


A full list of CPIs up to order 6 in $Z$ tensors can be found in the appendix of [1].
In addtion, SCPIs can be built by contracting in a similar fashion VEVs (which transform under basis changes and general CP transformations as the respective field does). The SCPI that is relevant for the potentials considered is:


## 3. Explicit CP violation

The potential of one triplet of $\Delta(27)$ has interesting CP properties. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}(\varphi)=-m_{\varphi}^{2} \sum_{i} \varphi_{i} \varphi^{* i}+r\left(\sum_{i} \varphi_{i} \varphi^{* i}\right)^{2}+s \sum_{i}\left(\varphi_{i} \varphi^{* i}\right)^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\Delta(27)}(\varphi)=V_{0}(\varphi)+\left[d\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{1} \varphi^{* 2} \varphi^{* 3}+\text { cycl. }\right)+\text { h.c. }\right] \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $S U(2)_{L}$ singlet scalars, where we consider an additional $U(1)$ flavour symmetry charging the triplet to exclude tri-linear terms, making the potential similar to the respective potentials for $S U(2)_{L}$ doublets

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{0}(H)=-m_{h}^{2} \sum_{i, \alpha} h_{i \alpha} h^{* i \alpha}+\sum_{i, j, \alpha, \beta}\left[r_{1}\left(h_{i \alpha} h^{* i \alpha}\right)\left(h_{j \beta} h^{* j \beta}\right)+r_{2}\left(h_{i \alpha} h^{* i \beta}\right)\left(h_{j \beta} h^{* j \alpha}\right)\right] \\
&+s \sum_{i, \alpha, \beta}\left(h_{i \alpha} h^{* i \alpha}\right)\left(h_{i \beta} h^{* i \beta}\right)  \tag{58}\\
& V_{\Delta(27)}(H)=V_{0}(H)+\sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left[d\left(h_{1 \alpha} h_{1 \beta} h^{* 2 \alpha} h^{* 3 \beta}+\text { cycl. }\right)+\text { h.c. }\right] \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider also the potential with two triplets of $\Delta\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ for $n>3$. We write:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)= & +\tilde{r}_{1}\left(\sum_{i} \varphi_{i} \varphi^{* i}\right)\left(\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime * j}\right)+\tilde{r}_{2}\left(\sum_{i} \varphi_{i} \varphi^{\prime * i}\right)\left(\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}^{\prime} \varphi^{* j}\right) \\
& +\tilde{s}_{1} \sum_{i}\left(\varphi_{i} \varphi^{* i} \varphi_{i}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime * i}\right) \\
& +\tilde{s}_{2}\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi^{* 1} \varphi_{2}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime * 2}+\varphi_{2} \varphi^{* 2} \varphi_{3}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime * 3}+\varphi_{3} \varphi^{* 3} \varphi_{1}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime * 1}\right) \\
& +i \tilde{s}_{3}\left[\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi^{\prime * 1} \varphi_{2}^{\prime} \varphi^{* 2}+\operatorname{cycl} .\right)-\left(\varphi^{* 1} \varphi_{1}^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime * 2} \varphi_{2}+\operatorname{cycl} .\right)\right]  \tag{60}\\
& V_{\Delta\left(3 n^{2}\right)}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=V_{0}(\varphi)+V_{0}^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)+V_{1}\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right) . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Explicit CPV is confirmed for these potentials as at least one CPI is non-zero. For $S U(2)_{L}$ singlets and $\Delta(27)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{4}^{(6)}=-\frac{3}{32}\left(d^{3}-d^{* 3}\right)\left(d^{3}+6 d d^{*} s+d^{* 3}-8 s^{3}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $S U(2)_{L}$ doublets the expression is the same apart from a different fraction. The 6 solutions of $\mathcal{I}_{4}^{(6)}=0$ in terms of $d$ and $s$ (parameters of the $\Delta(27)$ potential) correspond to imposing one of the CP symmetries identified in [63], which naturally eliminates the possibility of explicit CPV.

For $\Delta\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ we calculate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{2}^{(6)}=\frac{3}{512} i \tilde{s}_{2} \tilde{s}_{3}\left(-3 \tilde{r}_{2}^{2}+\tilde{s}_{3}^{2}\right)\left[-\tilde{s}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{s}_{1} \tilde{s}_{2}+\tilde{r}_{2}\left(-2 \tilde{s}_{1}+\tilde{s}_{2}\right)+\tilde{s}_{3}^{2}\right] \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions for $\mathcal{I}_{2}^{(6)}=0$ match CP symmetries that can be imposed on the potential: e.g. trivial $C P_{0}$ forces $\tilde{s}_{3}=0$; another CP symmetry forces $\tilde{s}_{2}=0$ and after finding $\left(-3 \tilde{r}_{2}^{2}+\tilde{s}_{3}^{2}\right)$ in other CPIs, we suspected there was a CP symmetry CP forcing that combination of parameters to vanish and indeed found it.

A summary table with our results for explicit CPV can be found in [1].

## 4. Spontaneous CP violation

The $\Delta(27)$ invariant potentials has interesting SCPV properties, as its SCPV can be geometric [39, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, 53]. We analysed it with a SCPI and obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{J}_{1}^{(3,2)}=\frac{1}{4}\left(d^{* 3}-d^{3}\right) Q\left(\left|v_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{64}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(d d^{* 2}-2 d^{*} s^{2}+d^{2} s\right)\left(v_{2} v_{3} v_{1}^{* 2}+v_{1} v_{3} v_{2}^{* 2}+v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}^{* 2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(d^{2} d^{*}-2 d s^{2}+d^{* 2} s\right)\left(v_{2}^{*} v_{3}^{*} v_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{*} v_{3}^{*} v_{2}^{2}+v_{1}^{*} v_{2}^{*} v_{3}^{2}\right) . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

As the potential has explicit CPV, SCPV only applies after imposing a CP symmetry, which simplifies the expression. E.g., for $C P_{0}($ forces $\operatorname{Arg}(d)=0)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{J}_{1}^{(3,2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d^{3}-2 d s^{2}+d^{2} s\right)  \tag{66}\\
{\left[\left(v_{2} v_{3} v_{1}^{* 2}+v_{1} v_{3} v_{2}^{* 2}+v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}^{* 2}\right)-\left(v_{2}^{*} v_{3}^{*} v_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{*} v_{3}^{*} v_{2}^{2}+v_{1}^{*} v_{2}^{*} v_{3}^{2}\right)\right]} \tag{67}
\end{gather*}
$$

The results obtained through the SCPI matched the known results. For example, for $C P_{0}$, it can be checked from the dependence on the VEVs that $\langle\varphi\rangle=\left(1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right)$ makes the SCPI vanish (and indeed this VEV preserves a CP symmetry present in the potential when $C P_{0}$ is imposed). Conversely, it confirms the $\operatorname{VEV}\langle\varphi\rangle=(\omega, 1,1)$ has SCPV, as the SCPI is non-vanishing.

## 5. Conclusion

In summary, we developed the formalism for finding CP-odd basis invariants for scalar potentials, and performed a systematic search finding several new such invariants (up to $6 Z$ tensors). These methods for studying explicit and spontaneous CP violation are valid for any potential when brought to standard form, for any $S U(2)_{L}$ assignments of the scalars, and are therefore very useful in studying the CP properties of potentials in multi-Higgs models. We exemplified the use of the CP-odd invariants by considering 3 and 6 Higgs doublet models symmetric under $\Delta\left(3 n^{2}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(6 n^{2}\right)$ groups and identifying their CP properties.
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