Non-dipole recollision-gated double ionization and observable effects
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Using a three-dimensional semiclassical model, we study double ionization for strongly-driven He fully accounting for magnetic field effects. For linearly and slightly elliptically polarized laser fields, we show that recollisions and the magnetic field combined act as a gate. This gate favors more transverse— with respect to the electric field— initial momenta of the tunneling electron that are opposite to the propagation direction of the laser field. In the absence of non-dipole effects, the transverse initial momentum is symmetric with respect to zero. We find that this asymmetry in the transverse initial momentum gives rise to an asymmetry in a double ionization observable. Finally, we show that this asymmetry in the transverse initial momentum of the tunneling electron accounts for a recently-reported unexpectedly large average sum of the electron momenta parallel to the propagation direction of the laser field.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Non-sequential double ionization (NSDI) in driven two-electron atoms is a prototype process for exploring the electron-electron interaction in systems driven by intense laser fields. As such, it has attracted a lot of interest [1][2]. Most theoretical studies on NSDI are formulated in the framework of the dipole approximation where magnetic field effects are neglected [3]. However, in the general case that the vector potential A depends on both space and time, an electron experiences a Lorentz force whose magnetic field component is given by \( \mathbf{F}_B = q \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \). We work in the non-relativistic limit. In this limit, magnetic-field effects are expected to arise when the amplitude of the electron motion due to \( \mathbf{F}_B \) becomes 1 a.u., i.e. \( \beta_0 \approx U_p/(2\omega c) \approx 1 \) a.u. [4][5]. \( U_p \) is the ponderomotive energy. Non-dipole effects were previously addressed in theoretical studies of the observed ionization of Ne\(^{2+} \) (\( n \leq 8 \)) in ultra-strong fields [6], of stabilization [7] and of high-order harmonic generation [8][10] as well as in experimental studies [11][12]. In recent studies in single ionization (SI), non-dipole effects of the electron momentum distribution along the propagation direction of the laser field were addressed in experimental [13] and theoretical studies [14][17].

In this work, we show that in double ionization the magnetic field in conjunction with the recollision act as a gate. This gate selects a subset of the initial tunneling-electron momenta along the propagation direction of the laser field. Only this subset leads to double ionization. This gating is particularly pronounced at intensities smaller than the intensities satisfying the criterion for the onset of magnetic field effects \( \beta_0 \approx 1 \) a.u. [4][5]. The propagation direction of the laser field is the same as the direction of the \( \mathbf{F}_B \) force (to first order). In the current formulation, the change in momentum due to the \( \mathbf{F}_B \) force is along the +y-axis. The tunneling electron is the electron that initially tunnels in the field-lowered Coulomb potential. When non-dipole effects are fully accounted for, we show that the y-component of the initial momentum of the tunneling-electron is mostly negative for events leading to double ionization. In the dipole approximation, the initial momentum of the tunneling-electron that is transverse to the direction of the electric field is symmetric with respect to zero. The term non-dipole recollision-gated ionization is adopted to describe ionization resulting from an asymmetric distribution of the transverse tunneling-electron initial momentum due to the combined effect of the recollision and the magnetic field. Non-dipole recollision-gated ionization is a general phenomenon. We find that it underlies double electron escape in atoms driven by linearly and slightly elliptically polarized laser fields.

Moreover, we show that non-dipole recollision-gated ionization results in an asymmetry in a double ionization observable. Let \( \phi \in [0^\circ, 180^\circ] \) denote the angle of the final \( (t \to \infty) \) momentum of each escaping electron with respect to the propagation axis of the laser field. The observable in question is \( P_{\text{asym}}(\phi) = P_{\text{DI}}(\phi) - P_{\text{DI}}(180^\circ - \phi) \), where \( P_{\text{DI}}(\phi) \) is the probability of either one of the two electrons to escape with an angle \( \phi \). \( P_{\text{asym}}(\phi) \) is accessible by kinematically complete experiments. In the dipole approximation, \( P_{\text{asym}}(\phi) = 0 \). When non-dipole effects are accounted for, it is shown that \( P_{\text{asym}}(\phi) > 0 \), for \( \phi \in [0^\circ, 90^\circ] \). This is in accord with the effect of \( \mathbf{F}_B \). We also find that \( P_{\text{asym}}(\phi) \) has considerable values over a wide interval of \( \phi \) at lower intensities. This latter feature is an unexpected one. For the intensities considered the \( \mathbf{F}_B \) force has small magnitude that increases with intensity. Thus, one would expect the distribution \( P_{\text{asym}}(\phi) \) to be very narrowly peaked around 90° with values increasing with intensity.

We finally show that non-dipole recollision-gated ionization is the mechanism underlying the surprisingly
large average sum of the momenta of the two escaping electrons along the propagation direction of the laser field. This large average sum of the electron momenta is roughly an order of magnitude larger than twice the average of the respective electron momentum in single ionization. We recently reported this in ref. [18] for intensities around $10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ for He at 800 nm (near-infrared) and around $10^{14}$ W cm$^{-2}$ for Xe at 3100 nm (mid-infrared) [15]. If magnetic-field effects are not accounted for the average momentum along the propagation direction of the laser field is zero.

II. MODEL

We study ionization in strongly-driven He using a three-dimensional (3D) semiclassical model that fully accounts for the magnetic field during time propagation—3D-SMND model. We developed this model in ref. [18] by extending a previously developed 3D semiclassical model in the framework of the dipole approximation—3D-SMD model [19, 20]. The Hamiltonian describing the interaction of the fixed nucleus two-electron atom with the laser field is given by

$$H = \frac{p_1^2 + A(y_1, t)^2}{2} + \frac{p_2 + A(y_2, t)^2}{2} - \frac{Ze}{|r_1|} - \frac{Z}{|r_2|} + \frac{c_1}{|r_1 - r_2|}.$$  

(1)

$A$ is the vector potential given by

$$A(y, t) = \frac{-E_0}{\omega}e^{-\left(\frac{\omega - \omega}{\tau}\right)^2} (\sin(\omega t - ky) \hat{x} + \chi \cos(\omega t - ky) \hat{z}),$$  

(2)

$\omega$, $k$, $E_0$ are the frequency, wavenumber, and strength of the electric component of the laser field, respectively, and $\chi$ is the ellipticity. $c$ is the velocity of light and $\tau = \text{FWHM}/\sqrt{\ln 4}$ with FWHM the full-width-half-maximum of the laser field. All Coulomb forces are accounted for by setting $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 1$. The laser fields considered in the current work are either linearly polarized, $\chi = 0$, or have a small ellipticity of $\chi = 0.05$. For $A$ given by Eq. (2), $E$ and $B$ are along the x- and z-axis, respectively, with small components along the z- and x-axis, respectively, for laser fields with $\chi = 0.05$. The propagation direction of the laser field and the direction of $\mathbf{F_B}$ are mainly along the y-axis. Unless otherwise stated, all Coulomb forces as well as the electric and the magnetic field are fully accounted for during time propagation. To switch off a Coulomb interaction, the appropriate constant is set equal to zero. For example, to switch off the interaction of electron 1 with the nucleus, $c_1$ is set equal to zero. Moreover, we address the Coulomb singularity by using regularized coordinates [21] which were also employed in the 3D-SMD model [19, 20].

The initial state in the 3D-SMND model entails one electron tunneling through the field-lowered Coulomb potential. The electron tunnels with a non-relativistic quantum tunneling rate given by the Ammosov-Delone-Krainov (ADK) formula [22, 23]. A non-relativistic ADK rate results in this Gaussian distribution being centered around zero. In ref. [21] non-dipole effects were accounted for in the ADK rate. It was shown that the most probable initial transverse momentum ranges from 0.33 $I_p/c$ to almost zero with increasing $E_0/(2I_p)^{1/2}$, with $I_p$ the ionization energy of the tunneling electron. In this work, the smallest intensity considered is $7 \times 10^{14}$ W cm$^{-2}$ for He. At this intensity, if non-dipole effects are accounted for in the ADK rate, the transverse momentum of the tunneling electron is centered around 0.12 $I_p/c$ for He which is $7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ a.u. ($I_p^{\text{He}}$ = 0.904 a.u.). In what follows, we neglect this very small asymmetry and describe the distribution of the initial transverse momentum by a Gaussian distribution centered around zero [22, 23]. We do so in order to clearly illustrate an important phenomenon, i.e. non-dipole recollision-gated ionization. In addition, we set the initial momentum along the direction of the electric field equal to zero. The remaining electron is initially described by a microcanonical distribution [23]. We denote the tunneling and bound electrons by electrons 1 and 2, respectively. The 3D-SMND model is described in more detail in ref.[18].

III. NON-DIPOLE RECOLLISION GATED IONIZATION

In this work, we discuss non-dipole effects in double ionization. We do so in the context of He when driven by an 800 nm, 12 fs FWHM laser field that is linearly polarized at intensities $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$, $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ and $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ and that is slightly elliptically polarized with $\chi = 0.05$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$. At these intensities the ponderomotive energy $U_p = E_0^2/(4\omega^2)$ is 2.86 a.u., 4.39 a.u. and 8.35 a.u., respectively. Thus, the maximum energy of electron 1, 3.17$U_p$, is above the energy needed to ionize He$^+$. 

A. Magnetic field asymmetry in $P_{\text{II}}^{\text{SI}}(\phi)$

First, we show that the magnetic field causes an asymmetry in the double ionization probability of electron $i$ to ionize with an angle $\phi$, which is denoted by $P_{\text{II}}^{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ with $i = 1, 2$ for electrons 1 and 2. $P_{\text{SI}}^{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ is the corresponding probability in single ionization. $\phi$ is the angle of the final momentum of electron i with respect to the propagation axis of the laser field, i.e. $\cos \phi = \hat{p} \cdot \hat{x}/|\hat{p}|$. The y-component of the electron momentum is parallel to the propagation direction of the laser field and to $\mathbf{F_B}$. 

To show this asymmetry, we plot $P_{\text{II}}^{\text{II}}(\phi)$ of the tunnel electron and $P_{\text{II}}^{\text{II}}(\phi)$ of the initially bound electron in Fig. 1(a) and (c), respectively, while we plot $P_{\text{SI}}^{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ in Fig. 1(e). These plots are at an intensity of $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ with the magnetic field switched-on and off. When the magnetic field is switched-on,
we find that all probability distributions are asymmetric with respect to $\phi = 90^\circ$. This asymmetry is due to the magnetic field. Indeed, when the magnetic field is switched-off all distributions are shown to be symmetric with respect to $\phi = 90^\circ$. The latter is expected, since there is no preferred direction of electron escape on the plane that is perpendicular to the x-axis (polarization direction). Moreover, with the magnetic field switched-on, we find that $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi) > P_{1,\text{sym}}(180^\circ - \phi)$ and $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi) < P_{\text{SI}}(180^\circ - \phi)$ for $\phi \in [0^\circ, 90^\circ]$. Equivalently $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi) = P_{1,\text{sym}}(180^\circ - \phi) > 0$ and $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi) < P_{\text{SI}}(180^\circ - \phi) > 0$ for $\phi \in [0^\circ, 90^\circ]$. This is consistent with the gain of momentum due to the $\mathbf{F}_B$ force being along the +y-axis. That is, an electron is more likely to ionize with a positive rather than a negative y-component of the final momentum.

The asymmetry with respect to $\phi = 90^\circ$ is better illustrated in Fig. 1. We plot $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ and $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ as a function of $\phi$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$, with the magnetic field switched-on and off. (b) $P_{1,\text{sym}}(\phi)$ of the tunneling electron, (c) $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ of the initially bound electron and (e) $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ in single ionization are plotted as a function of $\phi$ at three intensities with $\chi = 0$ and at one intensity with $\chi = 0.05$. $\phi$ is binned in intervals of $18^\circ$ and $\dagger$ denotes a multiplication factor of $10^{15}$Wcm$^{-2}$.

The asymmetry with respect to $\phi = 90^\circ$ is better illustrated in Fig. 1. We plot $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ and $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ as a function of $\phi$ at $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$, $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0.05$. Starting with single ionization, $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ is almost zero at $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. At the higher intensity of $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$, $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ is sharply centered around $90^\circ$ reaching roughly 7%, see Fig. 1(f). These features of $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ are in accord with the effect of the $\mathbf{F}_B$ force. $\mathbf{F}_B$ is small for the intensities considered. Therefore, $\mathbf{F}_B$ has an observable effect mostly when the y-component of the electron momentum is small as well, i.e. for an angle of escape $\phi = 90^\circ$. In addition, $\mathbf{F}_B$ is three times larger for the higher intensity compared to the smaller one. As a result $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ has larger values at higher intensities.

In double ionization, we plot $P_{2,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ of the initially bound electron in Fig. 1(d). It is shown that $P_{2,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ resembles mostly $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$ rather than $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ in Fig. 1(b). Indeed, $P_{2,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ has larger values for higher intensities, as is the case for $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$, reaching roughly 5.5% at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. We also find that the distribution $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ of the tunneling electron has different features from $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$, compare Fig. 1(b) with Fig. 1(f).

We find that $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ is much wider than $P_{\text{SI}}(\phi)$. Also, for $\phi \in [45^\circ, 90^\circ]$, $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ has higher values at the smaller intensities of $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ rather than at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$—4% compared to 2.5%. We have shown in ref. [18] that strong recollisions [27] prevail for strongly-driven He at 800 nm at intensities of $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$, while soft ones prevail at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. It then follows that $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ has higher values for strong recollisions. This is also supported by the small values of $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ for a laser pulse with a small ellipticity of $\chi = 0.05$, see Fig. 1(b). We find (not shown) that the recollisions are soft at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ for a laser pulse with $\chi = 0.05$. The times of recollision correspond roughly to zeros of the laser field for strong recollisions and extrema of the laser field for soft ones [18, 20]. Moreover, the transfer of energy, compared to $U_p$, from electron 1 to electron 2 is larger for a strong recollision and smaller for a soft one [18]. Later in the paper, we explain in detail why the width and the values of $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ are large at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$, smaller at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and even smaller at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ for a laser pulse with $\chi = 0.05$.

Experimentally electron 1 can not be distinguished from electron 2. Therefore, the probability distributions of electrons 1 and 2 to escape with an angle $\phi$, $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ (Fig. 1(a)) and $P_{2,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ (Fig. 1(c)), respectively, are not experimentally accessible. However, in a kinematically complete experiment, for each doubly-ionized event, the angle $\phi$ of each ionizing electron can be measured. Then, the probability distribution for any one of the two electrons to ionize with an angle $\phi$, $P_{\text{DI}}(\phi)$, can be obtained, for $\phi \in [0^\circ, 180^\circ]$. We compute and plot the distribution $P_{\text{DI}}(\phi)$ as a function of $\phi$ in Fig. 2. $P_{\text{DI}}(\phi)$ is found to have significant values at smaller intensities over the same wide range of $\phi$ as $P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ does. However, $P_{\text{DI}}(\phi) < P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ at the smaller intensities. Moreover, at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0.05$, $P_{\text{DI}}(\phi) > P_{1,\text{asym}}(\phi)$ at $\phi = 81^\circ$ but has non zero values for a wider range of $\phi$ compared to $P_{2,\text{asym}}(\phi)$. These features are expected since $P_{\text{asym}}(\phi)$ accounts for both the tunneling and the initially bound electron. However, the features of the experi-
FIG. 2. In double ionization, $P_{\text{DI asym}}(\phi)$ for the two escaping electrons is plotted as a function of $\phi$. Three intensities are considered and $\phi$ is binned in intervals of 18°. † denotes a multiplication factor of $10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$.

The tally accessible $P_{\text{DI asym}}(\phi)$ still capture the main features of $P_{\text{DI 1 asym}}(\phi)$.

B. Asymmetric transverse electron 1 momentum at the tunnel time

In the following sections we discuss the mechanism responsible for the features of $P_{\text{DI asym}}(\phi)$ and therefore for the features of the observable $P_{\text{DI asym}}(\phi)$. We find that this mechanism is a signature of recollision exclusive to non-dipole effects. We adopt the term non-dipole recollision-gated ionization to describe it. We find that the magnetic field and the recollision act together as a gate that selects only a subset of transverse initial momenta of the tunneling electron that lead to double ionization. For linearly polarized light, this gating is illustrated in Fig. 3(a1) and (a2) at an intensity of $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ with the magnetic field switched-on and off, respectively. We plot the probability distribution $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ of electron 1 to tunnel-ionize with a $y$-component of the initial momentum equal to $p_{1y}$. We find that $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ is asymmetric when the magnetic field is switched-on. Specifically, it is more likely for electron 1 to tunnel-ionize with a negative rather than a positive $y$-component of the initial momentum. In addition, $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ peaks around small negative values of the momentum of electron 1. Instead, $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ is symmetric around zero (not shown) when the magnetic field is switched-off. This is expected since there is no force acting along the $z$-axis due to the laser field.

We find that this asymmetry in $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ persists at a higher intensity of $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ for linearly polarized light, see Fig. 3(a4). We find that an asymmetry in $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ is also present at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ for a laser pulse with a small ellipticity of $\chi = 0.05$, see Fig. 3(a3). In contrast, for all the above cases, we find that the initially bound electron has a symmetric distribution $P(p_{2y}, t_0)$ at the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes and just before the time of recollision. Moreover, in single ionization we find that the escaping electron has a symmetric distribution $P(p_{y}, t_0)$ at the time this electron tunnel-ionizes.

C. Asymmetric transverse electron 1 momentum shortly before recollision

In single ionization, to understand the features of $P_{\text{SI asym}}(\phi)$ one must obtain the distribution $P(p_{1y}, t_0)$ at the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes. Indeed, we compute the $y$-component of the escaping electron’s momentum both with all Coulomb forces switched-off and with all Coulomb forces accounted for. In both cases we start the time propagation from the instant electron 1 tunnel-ionizes. We have shown in ref. [12] that the average $y$-component of the electron 1 momentum is roughly the same for both cases. At the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes, we find that the $y$-component of the transverse

FIG. 3. In double ionization, the distributions of the $y$-component of the electron 1 momentum at two different times are plotted; (a1)-(a4) at the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes (momentum $p_{1y,t_0}$); (b1)-(b4) at the time just before the time of recollision (momentum $p_{1y,t_r}$). The distributions of the $y$-component of the electron 1 position are plotted (c1)-(c4) at the time just before the time of recollision (position $r_{1y,t_r}$). $P_{\text{DI asym}}(\phi)$ is plotted in panels (d1)-(d4) for better comparison of its features with the features of $P(p_{1y,t_0})$. Panels (d1), (d3) and (d4) are the same as the plots in Fig. 1(b).
momentum of electron 1 is roughly symmetric around zero. This initial momentum distribution combined with the electric field force give rise to the electron ionizing mostly with a small component slightly less than 90° or equivalently give rise to a sharply peaked distribution $P^1_{\text{asym}}(\phi)$ (Fig. 11(t)).

In double ionization, to understand the features of $P^1_{\text{asym}}(\phi)$, first, we must obtain the distribution $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ of the $y$-component of the electron 1 momentum shortly before the time of recollision. Then, we must find the effect of the recollision itself on the distribution $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$. The validity of these two steps is supported by the following computations. We propagate the $y$-component of the momentum of electrons 1 and 2 from the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes up to the time of recollision. We do so using the full 3D-3MND model with all Coulomb forces accounted for. Next, using as initial conditions the momenta of electrons 1 and 2 shortly after the time of recollision, we propagate from the time of recollision onwards with all Coulomb forces and the magnetic field switched-off. The final average $y$-component of the momentum of electron 1 is roughly equal in both cases and the same holds for electron 2 [18]. Therefore, the decisive time in double ionization is the time of recollision.

Given the above, we first compute $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ just before the time of recollision. This is done by extracting from the full 3D-3MND model the distribution of the $y$-component of the electron 1 momentum at a time just before the recollision, for instance at $t_{\text{ref}} = t_{r} - 1/50T$, $T$ is the period of the laser field. We choose $t_{\text{ref}}$ such as to avoid the sharp change of the momenta which occurs at $t_{r}$, see ref. [18]. At all intensities considered, we find that shortly before the time of recollision a positive over a negative y-component of electron 1 momentum is favored. This is shown at intensities of $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ and $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ for linearly polarized light in Fig. 3(b1) and (b4), respectively, and at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ for elliptically polarized light with $\chi = 0.05$ in Fig. 3(b3). In contrast, when the magnetic field is switched-off the distribution $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ is symmetric with respect to zero as illustrated for an intensity of $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ in Fig. 3(b2).

We have now established that the shift towards negative momenta of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes maps to a shift towards positive momenta of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ just before the time of recollision. The width is another interesting feature of the distribution $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ and, by extension, of the distribution $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$. From Fig. 3(b1)-(b4), we find that the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with linearly polarized light is the largest one, while the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0.05$ is the smallest one. Moreover, the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ is comparable with the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ for both the linear and the elliptical laser field at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. However, the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ is larger than the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at an intensity of $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$.

We find that the widths of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ and $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ are consistent with Coulomb focusing which mainly refers to multiple returns of electron 1 to the core [28, 29]. In addition, for the larger intensity of $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$, the widths are also consistent with a larger effect of the Coulomb potential of the ion on electron 1. The latter effect is not due to multiple returns of electron 1 to the core but rather due to electron 1 tunnel-ionizing closer to the nucleus at higher intensities.

Indeed, we compute in Table I the number of times electron 1 returns to the core before it finally escapes. We find that electron 1 returns more times to the core at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. Namely, electron 1 escapes with only one return to the core in 27% of doubly-ionized events. Also, it returns roughly the same number of times at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0.05$ and at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. In these two latter cases, electron 1 escapes with only one return to the core in more than 50% of doubly-ionized events. We also find (not shown) that the width of the distributions $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ and $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ increases with increasing number of returns to the core for all laser fields considered. The above features are consistent with Coulomb focusing. Thus, Coulomb focusing explains why the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ is larger than the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity ($\chi = 0$)</th>
<th>Intensity ($\chi = 0$, M.F. off)</th>
<th>Intensity ($\chi = 0.05$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0$^1$</td>
<td>2.0$^1$</td>
<td>2.0$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Return</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Returns</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Returns</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 Retruns</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Intensities given in units of $10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ |

TABLE I. Number of returns to the core of electron 1 for doubly-ionized events.

Moreover, at the larger intensity of $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ electron 1 exits the field-lowered Coulomb barrier closer to the nucleus. Indeed, we find that the average distance of electron 1 from the nucleus at the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes is 2.4 a.u. at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ compared to roughly 3.5 a.u. at $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0$ and $\chi = 0.05$, see Table II. This is consistent with the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ being significantly smaller than the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ being significantly smaller than the width of $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$. That is, the Coulomb potential of the ion has a large effect on electron 1 from the time electron 1 tunnel-ionizes onwards. This is not the case for the smaller intensities of $2 \times 10^{15}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0$ and $\chi = 0.05$ where the widths of the distributions $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ and $P(p^{1}_{y,t_f})$ are similar.

D. Glancing angles in recollisions

We have shown in the previous section that effectively the only force that could result in a change of the momenta of the two electrons between the final time and the time shortly after recollision is due to the electric field.
Between the time shortly after recollision takes place and the asymptotic time, the electric field mainly affects the x-component and not the y-component of the momentum of electron 1. Moreover, at the smaller intensities considered, in the time interval following recollision, the electric field does not affect significantly the magnitude of the x-component of the electron 1 momentum; this component is mainly determined by the vector potential of the x-component of the electron 1 momentum. Thus, the asymptotic time, the electric field mainly affects the x-component and not the y-component of the momentum just before and just after the time of recollision. The direction of the Coulomb attraction of electron 1 from the nucleus just before the time of recollision is negative (positive) just before the time of recollision, due to the recollision itself, when the magnetic field is switched-off at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$. We find that doubly-ionized events are equally likely to have positive or negative y-component of the momentum and of the position of electron 1 just before the time of recollision, see Fig. 3(b2) an (c2). Moreover, we find that the y-component of the position of electron 1 just before the time of recollision determines whether just after the time of recollision, as well as at asymptotically large times, the y-component of the momentum of electron 1 is positive or negative. Indeed, we find that doubly-ionized events with $r_{y,t}^{1} > 0$ give rise to negative values of $P_{\text{DI}}^{\text{asym}}$, while doubly-ionized events with $r_{y,t}^{1} < 0$ give rise to positive values of $P_{\text{DI}}^{\text{asym}}$ and cancel each other out.

However, when the magnetic field is switched-on at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$, most (59%) doubly-ionized events have $r_{y,t}^{1} < 0$ just before the time of recollision. This is due to non-dipole recollision gated ionization, since out of these latter events 61% have both $p_{x,t}^{1} > 0$ just before the time of recollision and $p_{y}^{1} < 0$ at the initial time that electron 1 tunnel-ionizes. The shift towards negative values in the transverse y-component of the position of electron 1 just before the time of recollision is shown in Fig. 3(c1),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_{t}^{1}$</th>
<th>$r_{t}^{1}$</th>
<th>$r_{t}^{1}$</th>
<th>$r_{t}^{1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($\chi = 0$)</td>
<td>($\chi = 0$)</td>
<td>($\chi = 0.05$)</td>
<td>($\chi = 0$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0$^{\dagger}$</td>
<td>2.0$^{\dagger}$</td>
<td>2.0$^{\dagger}$</td>
<td>3.8$^{\dagger}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($\langle r_{t}^{1} \rangle$ (a.u.))</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{\dagger}$ Intensities given in units of $10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$.
(c3) at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0$ and $\chi = 0.05$, respectively, and in (c4) at $3.8 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$. As for the case when the magnetic field is switched-off, when the magnetic field is switched-on electron 1 ionizes at grazing angles. Therefore, we find that doubly-ionized events with $r_{y,t_1} > 0$ give rise to negative values of $P_{\text{DI},\text{asym}}$ and doubly-ionized events with $r_{y,t_1} < 0$ give rise to positive values of $P_{\text{DI},\text{asym}}$. However, the latter events are 59% of all doubly-ionized events and thus overall $P_{\text{DI},\text{asym}}$ has positive values.

From the above it also follows that a small width of $P(p_{y,t_1})$ affects only doubly-ionized events with small $y$-components of the electron 1 final momenta. On the other hand, a large width of $P(p_{y,t_1})$ just before the time of recollision affects doubly-ionized events with $y$-components of the electron 1 final momenta ranging from small to large. However, doubly-ionized events with large $y$-components of the electron 1 momenta correspond to smaller $\phi$ in $P_{\text{DI},\text{asym}}$. Indeed, this is clear in Fig. 5 when comparing the distribution of the $y$-component of the final momentum of electron 1 in the smaller $\phi$ of 27° with the one in the larger $\phi$ of 81°. The large $y$-components of the momenta of electron 1 are a result of the recollision. This is the case since for each intensity, $P(p_{y,t_1})$ are similar for all $\phi$ bins, see Fig. 6. $P(p_{y,t_1})$ is depicted in Fig. 5(a1), (b3) and (b4) for three different laser fields. Most doubly-ionized events just before the time of recollision have positive $y$-component of the momentum of electron 1. Thus, the width of $P(p_{y,t_1})$ is also a measure of the shift towards positive $y$-components of the final momenta of electron 1.

Finally, we compare the width of the distribution of the $x$-component of the momentum of electron 1 at intensities where strong recollisions prevail with the width at intensities where soft ones do. We find that this width is larger just before and just after the time of recollision as well as at asymptotically large times at intensities where soft recollisions prevail. Indeed, for strong recollisions, the $x$-component of the momentum of electron 1 is determined mainly by the vector potential at the time of recollision. However, for soft recollisions this is not quite the case since electron 1 only transfers a small amount of its energy to electron 2. In addition, for soft recollisions, the time of recollision has a much broader range of values, see ref.[18].

We now combine the width of the asymmetry of $P(p_x)$ with the width of the distribution of the $x$-component of the momentum of electron 1. It then follows that $P_{\text{DI},\text{asym}}(\phi)$ should have higher values over a larger range of $\phi$ at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ (stronger recollision) and smaller values at $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ with $\chi = 0.05$ (softer recollision). Indeed, this is the case as shown in Fig. 5(d1)-(d4).

IV. AVERAGE SUM ELECTRON MOMENTA IN DOUBLE IONIZATION

In ref.[18], we have shown that in double ionization the ratio $\langle p_x^2 + p_y^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}} / 2 \langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ is maximum and roughly equal to eight at intensities $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ and $2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$, see Fig. 6. $\langle p_x^2 + p_y^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}}$ is the very sum of the two electron momenta along the propagation direction of the laser field, while $\langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ is the corresponding average electron momentum in single ionization. In Fig. 6(a), $\langle p_x^2 + p_y^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}} / 2 \langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ is shown to decrease with increasing intensity, for the intensities considered. Moreover, in Fig. 6(b), it is shown that it is $\langle p_x^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}}$ of the tunneling electron that contributes the most to $\langle p_x^2 + p_y^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}}$ for the intensities considered. The ratio $\langle p_x^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}} / \langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ has surprisingly large values at intensities smaller than the intensities satisfying the criterion for the onset of magnetic-field effects $\beta_0 \approx 1$ a.u. [4][5]. In contrast, we find that $\langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ increases from 0.0035 a.u. at 0.7 $\times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$ to 0.028 a.u. at 4.8 $\times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$, see Fig. 6(a) and ref.[15]. The small values of the average electron momentum in single ionization and the increase of this average with intensity are in accord with the effect of the $F_0$ force. The latter increases with intensity, since the magnetic field increases. The increase of $\langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ with intensity has been addressed in several experimental and theoretical studies [13-17].

In what follows, we show that non dipole recollision gated ionization accounts for the large values of $\langle p_x^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}} / \langle p_y \rangle_{\text{SI}}$ and thus for the large average sum of the two electron momenta along the propagation direction of the laser field at smaller intensities of $1.3-2 \times 10^{15}$ W cm$^{-2}$. To do so, we express $\langle p_x^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}}$ as

$$\langle p_x^2 \rangle_{\text{DI}} = \int_0^{180^\circ} \langle p_x^2 (\phi) \rangle_{\text{DI}} P_{\text{DI}} (\phi) \phi d\phi,$$

with $i = 1, 2$ for electrons 1 and 2 in double ionization. A similar expression holds in single ionization. We have already shown that non-dipole recollision gated ionization accounts for the asymmetry in $P_{\text{DI},\text{asym}}$. Next, we investigate the influence of the magnetic field on $\langle p_x^2(\phi) \rangle_{\text{DI}}$. To do so, $\langle p_x^2(\phi) \rangle_{\text{DI}}$ of the tunnel electron,
Open circles), twice the average electron momentum \(\langle W \rangle\) in double ionization and the ratio in single ionization (black solid line with circles) and the ratio in double ionization (black dot-dashed line with circles) as a function of the intensity of the laser field. (b) The average momentum of the tunneling electron \(\langle p_y^1 \rangle\) (red dotted line with squares) and the bound electron \(\langle p_y^2 \rangle\) (black solid line with circles) in double ionization and the ratio \(\langle p_y^1 \rangle / \langle p_y^2 \rangle\) (red dotted line with triangles) as a function of the intensity of the laser field.

\[
\langle p_y^2(\phi) \rangle_{\text{DI}} \text{ of the bound electron and } \langle p_y(\phi) \rangle_{\text{SI}} \text{ are plotted in Fig. 7(a), (b) and (c), respectively, at } 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ Wcm}^{-2} \text{ with linearly polarized light and with the magnetic field switched-on and off. It is shown that the magnitude of the average electron momentum increases as a function of } \phi, \text{ both in double and in single ionization. This is evident mostly for } \phi \text{ around } 0^\circ \text{ and } 180^\circ. \text{ Moreover, it is clearly seen that the magnetic field has no influence on any of the average electron momenta considered and that } \langle p_y^1(\phi) \rangle_{\text{DI}} = \langle p_y^1(180^\circ - \phi) \rangle_{\text{DI}} \text{ and } \langle p_y(\phi) \rangle_{\text{SI}} = \langle p_y(180^\circ - \phi) \rangle_{\text{SI}}. \text{ This is expected when the magnetic field is switched-off, since there is no preferred direction of electron escape on the plane that is perpendicular to the polarization direction (x-axis) of the laser field. We find that similar results hold at } 1.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ Wcm}^{-2} \text{ and at } 3.8 \times 10^{15} \text{ Wcm}^{-2}.\]

![Figure 6](image)

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

We account for non-dipole effects in double ionization. We show that the recollision and the magnetic field act together as a gate. This gate gives rise to a distribution of the y-component of the tunneling electron initial momenta, \(P(p_y^1,t_0)\), which is shifted towards negative values; negative values in the y-axis are opposite to the propagation direction of the laser field. The term non-dipole recollision-gated ionization was adopted to describe this effect. We show that this asymmetry in \(P(p_y^1,t_0)\) maps in time to an asymmetry of the transverse electron 1 momentum just before the time of recollision, i.e. to an asymmetry in \(P(p_y,t)\). Namely, the y-component of the momentum of the tunneling electron is shifted towards positive values just before the time of recollision. Moreover, the asymmetry in \(P(p_y,t)\) maps in time to an asymmetry of the transverse electron 1 position just before the time of recollision. Namely, the y-component of the position of the tunneling electron is shifted towards negative values just before the time of recollision.

The above asymmetries combined with the tunneling electron escaping at glancing angles following a recollision give rise to an asymmetry in \(P^\text{DI}(\phi)\) with respect to \(\phi = 90^\circ\). The latter is the probability distribution of electron 1 to escape with an angle \(\phi\). We find that the asymmetry in \(P^\text{DI}(\phi)\) is more significant, i.e. higher values of \(P^\text{DI}_{1\text{,asym}}(\phi)\) over a wider range of \(\phi\), in double ionization compared to single ionization. Moreover, we find that higher values of \(P^\text{DI}_{1\text{,asym}}(\phi)\) result from larger widths of \(P(p_y^1,t_0)\) just before the time of recollision. The latter width depends on the intensity and the ellipticity of the laser pulse and we show that it is related to Coulomb focusing. We also show that it is the asymmetry in \(P^\text{DI}(\phi)\) over a wide range of \(\phi\) that accounts for the large values of the average transverse electron 1 momentum and thus of the large average sum of the two electron momenta at smaller intensities. Even though not as pronounced, we find these features of the probability distribution \(P^\text{DI}(\phi)\) of the tunneling electron to also be present in an experimentally accessible observable. Namely, the probability distribution for electron 1
or 2 to escape with an angle $\phi$. This observable effect of the non-dipole recollision-gated ionization can be measured by future experiments.

Finally, in the current work we show that magnetic field effects cause an offset of the transverse momentum and position of the recolliding electron just before recollision takes place. We show how these asymmetries lead to asymmetries in observables experimentally accessible. We conjecture that this is a general phenomenon not restricted to magnetic field effects. Namely, these observable asymmetries will be present in any process that has two delayed steps and allows an electron to gain an offset before recollision takes place.
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