

Radiative decay widths of ground and excited states of vector charmonium and bottomonium

Hluf Negash^a and Shashank Bhatnagar^b

March 3, 2022

^aDepartment of Physics, Samara University, Samara, Ethiopia

^bDepartment of Physics, University Institute of Sciences, Chandigarh University, Mohali-140413, India

Abstract

In this work we study the radiative decay widths of vector quarkonia for the process of $J/\psi(nS) \rightarrow \eta_c(nS)\gamma$ and $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \eta_b(nS)\gamma$ (for principal quantum numbers $n = 1, 2, 3$) in the framework of Bethe-Salpeter equation under the covariant instantaneous ansatz using a 4×4 form of BSE. The parameters of the framework were determined by a fit to the mass spectrum of ground states of pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia, such as; η_c , η_b , J/ψ and Υ . These input parameters so fixed were found to give good agreements with data on mass spectra of ground and excited states of pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia, leptonic decay constants of pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia, two photon decays and two gluon decays of pseudoscalar quarkonia in our recent paper. With these input parameters so fixed, the radiative decay widths of ground (1S) and excited (2S, 3S) states of heavy vector quarkonia (J/Ψ and Υ) are calculated and found to be in reasonable agreement with data.

1 Introduction

Studies on mass spectra and decays of heavy quarkonia ($c\bar{c}$, and $b\bar{b}$) have become a hot topic in recent years, due to observation of many new states at various high energy accelerators at BABAR, Belle, CLEO and BES-III collaborations [1, 2, 3, 4]. All this has opened up new challenges in theoretical understanding of heavy hadrons and provide an important tool for exploring the structure of these simplest bound states in QCD and for studying the non-perturbative (long distance) behavior of strong interactions.

As regards the dynamical framework, to investigate these properties is concerned, many non-perturbative approaches, such as Lattice QCD [5], Chiral perturbation theory [6], QCD sum rules [7], heavy quark effective theory [8], N.R.QCD [9], dynamical-equation based approaches like Schwinger-Dyson equation and Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], and potential models [16] have been proposed to deal with the long distance property of QCD.

Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) [11, 15, 17, 18, 19] is a conventional approach in dealing with relativistic bound state problems. From the solutions, we can obtain useful information about the inner structure of hadrons, which is also crucial in treating hadronic decays. The BSE framework which is firmly rooted in field theory, provides a realistic description for analyzing hadrons as composite objects. Despite its drawback of having to input model-dependent kernel, these studies have become an interesting topic in recent years, since calculations have shown that BSE framework using phenomenological potentials can give satisfactory results as more and more data are being accumulated. The BSE is frequently adopted as starting point of QCD inspired models, due to the fact that this equation has a firm base in quantum field theory.

In a recent work [20, 21], we employed a 4×4 representation for two-body ($q\bar{q}$) BS amplitude for calculating both the mass spectra as well as the transition amplitudes for various processes. However, the price one to pay in this approach is to solve a coupled set of Salpeter equations for both pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia. However, in [21], we explicitly showed that these coupled Salpeter equations can indeed get decoupled in the heavy-quark approximation, leading to mass spectral equations with analytical solutions for both masses, as well as eigenfunctions for all the ground and excited states of pseudoscalar and vector $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ systems in an approximate

harmonic oscillator basis. These analytical forms of eigen functions for ground and excited states so obtained were used to evaluate the transition amplitudes for different processes in [21]. Thus in [21], we had calculated the mass spectrum, weak decay constants, two photon decay widths and two gluon decay widths of ground (1S) and radially excited (2S, 3S,...) states of pseudoscalar charmonium and bottomonium such as η_c and η_b , as well as the mass spectrum and leptonic decay constants of ground state (1S), excited (2S, 1D, 3S, 2D, 4S and 3D) states of vector charmonium and bottomonium such as J/ψ and Υ , using this formulation of 4×4 Bethe-Salpeter equation under covariant Instantaneous Ansatz (CIA). Our results were in good agreement with data (where ever available) and other models. However, in all the above processes, the quark anti-quark loop involved a single hadron-quark vertex, which was simple to handle.

However for the transitions such as $V \rightarrow P + \gamma$, the process requires calculation of triangle quark-loop diagram involving two hadron-quark vertices and is difficult to evaluate in BSE-CIA, which give rise to complexities in amplitudes. However in [22, 23], they demonstrated an explicit mathematical procedure for handling such problems in 4×4 representation of BSE. Thus, in the present work, we will precisely apply an instantaneous formalism employing 4×4 BSE under CIA for transitions involving the process, $V \rightarrow P\gamma$, where such problems do not enter in the calculations of [21].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we give the formulation of 4×4 BSE under CIA. In Sec. 3, we give the derivation of the hadronic process $V \rightarrow P + \gamma$ in the framework of 4×4 BSE under CIA and calculate its radiative decay widths. The numerical results for radiative decay widths of the processes are worked out. Section 4 is reserved for discussion and conclusions.

2 Formulation of BSE under CIA

We give a short derivation of Salpeter equations in this section, giving only the main steps. The 4D BSE for $q\bar{q}$ comprising of equal mass fermionic quarks of momenta $p_{1,2}$, and masses $m_1 = m_2 (= m)$ respectively is written in 4×4 representation as:

$$(\not{p}_1 - m_1)\Psi(P, q)(\not{p}_2 + m_2) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 q' K(q, q') \Psi(P, q') \quad (1)$$

where the 4×4 BS wave function is sandwiched between the inverse propagators of the quark and the ant-quark, whose individual momenta $p_{1,2}$ are related to the internal momentum q and total momentum P of hadron of mass M as, $p_{1,2\mu} = \frac{1}{2}P_\mu \pm q_\mu$. We further decompose the internal momentum, q_μ as the sum of its transverse component, $\hat{q}_\mu = q_\mu - (q \cdot P)P_\mu/P^2$ (which is orthogonal to total hadron momentum P_μ), and the longitudinal component, $\sigma P_\mu = (q \cdot P)P_\mu/P^2$, (which is parallel to P_μ). Thus, $q_\mu = (M\sigma, \hat{q})$, where the transverse component, $\hat{q}$ is an effective 3D vector, while the longitudinal component, $M\sigma$ plays the role of the time component. The 4-D volume element in this decomposition is, $d^4q = d^3\hat{q}M d\sigma$. To obtain the 3D BSE and the hadron-quark vertex, use an Ansatz on the BS kernel K in Eq. (1) which is assumed to depend on the 3D variables $\hat{q}_\mu, \hat{q}'_\mu$ as,

$$K(q, q') = K(\hat{q}, \hat{q}') \quad (2)$$

Hence, the longitudinal component, $M\sigma$ of q_μ , does not appear in the form $K(\hat{q}, \hat{q}')$ of the kernel and we define 3D wave function $\psi(\hat{q})$ as:

$$\psi(\hat{q}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int M d\sigma \Psi(P, q) \quad (3)$$

Substituting Eq.(3) in eq.(1), with definition of kernel in eq.(2), we get a covariant version of Salpeter equation,

$$(\not{p}_1 - m_1)\Psi(P, q)(\not{p}_2 + m_2) = \int \frac{d^3\hat{q}'}{(2\pi)^3} K(\hat{q}, \hat{q}')\psi(\hat{q}'), \quad (4)$$

and the 4D BS wave function can be written as,

$$\Psi(P, q) = S_F(p_1)\Gamma(\hat{q})S_F(-p_2) \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Gamma(\hat{q}) = \int \frac{d^3\hat{q}'}{(2\pi)^3} K(\hat{q}, \hat{q}')\psi(\hat{q}') \quad (6)$$

plays the role of hadron-quark vertex function. Following a sequence of steps given in [20, 21] we obtain four Salpeter equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (M - 2\omega)\psi^{++}(\hat{q}) &= -\Lambda_1^+(\hat{q})\Gamma(\hat{q})\Lambda_2^+(\hat{q}) \\ (M + 2\omega)\psi^{--}(\hat{q}) &= \Lambda_1^-(\hat{q})\Gamma(\hat{q})\Lambda_2^-(\hat{q}) \\ \psi^{+-}(\hat{q}) &= \psi^{-+}(\hat{q}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with the energy projection operators, $\Lambda_j^\pm(\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{2\omega_j} \left[\frac{\not{P}\omega_j}{M} \pm I(j)(im_j + \not{\hat{q}}) \right]$, $\omega_j^2 = m_j^2 + \hat{q}^2$, and $I(j) = (-1)^{j+1}$ where $j = 1, 2$ for quarks and anti-quarks respectively. The projected wave functions, $\psi^{\pm\pm}(\hat{q})$ in Salpeter equations are obtained by the operation of the above projection operators on $\psi(\hat{q})$ (for details see [20, 21] as,

$$\psi^{\pm\pm}(\hat{q}) = \Lambda_1^\pm(\hat{q}) \frac{\not{P}}{M} \psi(\hat{q}) \frac{\not{P}}{M} \Lambda_2^\pm(\hat{q}). \quad (8)$$

To obtain the mass spectral equation, we have to start with the above four Salpeter equations and solve the instantaneous Bethe Salpeter equation. However, the last two equations do not contain eigenvalue M , and are thus employed to obtain constraint conditions on the Bethe - Salpeter amplitudes associated with various Dirac structures in $\psi(\hat{q})$, as shown in details in [21]. The framework is quite general so far. In fact the above four equations constitute an eigenvalue problem that should lead to evaluation of mass spectra of pseudoscalar and vector charmonium and bottomonium states such as η_c , η_b , J/ψ , and Υ (see [21]). The numerical results[21] of mass spectra of P and V quarkonia participating in the radiative decays studied in this paper are listed in Section 3. We now give details of calculation of decay widths for the process, $V \rightarrow P + \gamma$ in the next section.

3 Electromagnetic transition of $V \rightarrow P + \gamma$

The lowest order, Feynman diagrams for the process, $V \rightarrow P\gamma$, are given in Fig.1, where $V(1^{--})$ and $P(0^{-+})$ are vector and pseudoscalar quarkonia respectively. The second diagram is obtained from the first one by reversing the directions of internal fermionic lines. The electromagnetic transition amplitude M_{fi} , corresponding to Fig.1a, and 1b is given by the one-loop momentum integral as in Ref. [23, 24, 25]:

$$M_{fi} = -ie_q \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}[\bar{\Psi}_P(P', q') \not{\epsilon} \Psi_V(P, q) S_F^{-1}(-p_2) + \bar{\Psi}_P(P', q') S_F^{-1}(p_1) \Psi_V(P, q) \not{\epsilon}] \quad (9)$$

where Ψ_P and Ψ_V are the 4D BS wave functions of pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia involved in the process, and are expressed as, $\Psi_V(P, q) = S_F(p_1) \Gamma(\hat{q}) S_F(-p_2)$ and $\bar{\Psi}_P(P', q') = S_F(-p'_2) \Gamma(\hat{q}') S_F(p'_1)$. e_q is the electric charge of quarks. ϵ_μ is the polarization vector of the emitted photon. We have used the momentum relations: $P = p_1 + p_2$, $P' = p'_1 + p'_2$, $k = P - P'$, and $p_2 = p'_2$ for first diagram.




Figure 1: Feynman diagram for the first and second figures corresponding to the quark and anti-quark emitting the photon respectively, for the transition $V \rightarrow P\gamma$.

However for second diagram, the last momentum relation is $p_1 = p'_1$, while the other relations are the same as in first diagram. Here, the second term (coming from Fig.1b) in Eq. (9) gives the same contribution as the first term (coming from Fig.1a), and hence we can write M_{fi} as:

$$M_{fi} = -2ie_q \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} Tr[\bar{\Psi}_P(P', q') \not{\epsilon} \Psi_V(P, q) S_F^{-1}(-p_2)] \quad (10)$$

with an overall multiplicative factor of 2.

This equation can be reduced to 3D forms by defining the 3D wave function, $\psi(\hat{q}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int M d\sigma \Psi(P, q)$. Thus, one can write the instantaneous Bethe-Salpeter form for the electromagnetic transition amplitude between two bound states as (see Ref. [26]):

$$M_{fi} = -\frac{2e_q}{M} \int \frac{d^3\hat{q}}{(2\pi)^3} Tr\{\not{P} \bar{\psi}_P^{++}(\hat{q}') \not{\epsilon} \psi_V^{++}(\hat{q}) - \not{P} \bar{\psi}_P^{--}(\hat{q}') \not{\epsilon} \psi_V^{--}(\hat{q})\} \quad (11)$$

where, we resolve the amplitude corresponding to the first term (i.e. Fig.1a) in the previous equation into $++$, and $--$ components, where the dominant contribution comes from the $++$ components, while the contribution from the $--$ components is less than 1 percent [27]. Thus we can to a good approximation, write, the total amplitude for the process $V \rightarrow P\gamma$ in terms of only the $++$ components as:

$$M_{fi} = -\frac{2e_q}{M} \int \frac{d^3\hat{q}}{(2\pi)^3} Tr\{\not{P} \bar{\psi}_P^{++}(\hat{q}') \not{\epsilon} \psi_V^{++}(\hat{q})\} \quad (12)$$

where, $\hat{q}' = \hat{q} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{P}'$ and M is the mass of the initial quarkonia. The relativistic BS wave function of $P(0^{-+})$ meson bound state is taken as in Ref.[21]:

$$\psi_P(\hat{q}') = N'_P[M' + \not{P}' + \frac{\not{q}'\not{P}'}{m}]\gamma_5\phi_P(\hat{q}') \quad (13)$$

where P' is the momentum of the final state P-quarkonia, N'_P is the BS normalization of the final state P-quarkonia and M' is the mass of the final state P-quarkonia.

The relativistic BS wave function of $V(1^{--})$ quarkonium can ultimately be written as in Ref.[21]:

$$\psi_V(\hat{q}) = N_V[M\not{\varepsilon} + \hat{q}\cdot\varepsilon\frac{M}{m} + \not{\varepsilon}\not{P} + \frac{\not{P}\hat{q}\cdot\varepsilon}{m} - \frac{\not{P}\not{\varepsilon}\not{\hat{q}}}{m}]\phi_V(\hat{q}) \quad (14)$$

Here, ε is the polarization vector of the vector quarkonia and N_V is the BS normalizer of the initial state quarkonia. We wish to mention that Eq.(13) above is obtained by starting from the most general expression for $\Psi(P, q)$ in Eq.(17) of [21]. The general decomposition of instantaneous wave function in the center of mass frame of dimensionality M is given in Eq.(18) of [21]. This instantaneous wave function $\Psi^P(\hat{q})$ is put into the last two Salpeter equations, and the constraint conditions on the amplitudes (given in Eq.(19) of [21]) are obtained. We thus obtain Eq.(20) of [21]. This is then put into the first two Salpeter equations to obtain the two coupled equations (Eq.(21) of [21]). Solving them simultaneously leads to Eq.(13) of present paper (which is Eq.(23) in [21]), written in terms of ϕ_P . Similarly we obtain $\Psi_V(\hat{q})$ in Eq.(14) written in terms of ϕ_V . These scalar functions $\phi_{P,V}(\hat{q})$ satisfy the harmonic oscillator equation, Eq.(37) of [21], whose solutions are the worked out by using the power series method in Eq.(37-41) of [21], with plots of these wave functions given in Fig.1-2 (for P-quarkonia) and Fig.3-4 (for V-quarkonia) in [21]. Thus we want to mention that the complete 2-fermion wave functions, $\Psi_{P,V}(\hat{q})$ are ultimately expressed in terms of summation over various Dirac structures multiplying a single scalar function $\phi_{P,V}(\hat{q})$, whose detailed algebraic expressions (gaussian functions) are not approximations, but are obtained as analytic solutions of the algebraic form of the mass spectral equations for P and V quarkonia (with the complete spectrum written down in terms of principal quantum number N in Eq.(35-36) of [21]) in an approximate harmonic oscillator basis.

The projected wave function for positive energy, $\psi^{++}(\hat{q})$ is obtained by the operation of pro-

jection operators on $\psi_P(\hat{q}')$ and $\psi_V(\hat{q})$ respectively as in Eq. (8):

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_P^{++}(\hat{q}') &= \Lambda_1^+(\hat{q}') \frac{\not{P}'}{M'} \psi_P(\hat{q}') \frac{\not{P}'}{M'} \Lambda_2^+(\hat{q}') \\ \psi_V^{++}(\hat{q}) &= \Lambda_1^+(\hat{q}) \frac{\not{P}}{M} \psi_V(\hat{q}) \frac{\not{P}}{M} \Lambda_2^+(\hat{q})\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

where $\Lambda_{1,2}^+(\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{2\omega}[\frac{\not{P}}{M}\omega \pm m \pm \not{\hat{q}}]$, $\Lambda_{1,2}^+(\hat{q}') = \frac{1}{2\omega'}[\frac{\not{P}'}{M'}\omega' \pm m \pm \not{\hat{q}}']$, are called as projection operators and $\omega^2 = m^2 + \hat{q}^2$, $\omega'^2 = m^2 + \hat{q}'^2$. The relativistic positive energy wave function of equal mass pseudoscalar quarkonia in the center of mass system can be express as:

$$\psi_P^{++}(\hat{q}') = \frac{N'_P \phi_P(\hat{q}')}{2} \gamma_5 \left\{ M' \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} + \frac{m}{\omega'} - \frac{\hat{q}'^2}{m\omega'} \right] - \not{P}' \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} + \frac{m}{\omega'} \right] + \not{\hat{q}'} \not{P}' \left[\frac{m}{\omega'^2} + \frac{1}{\omega'} \right] \right\} \quad (16)$$

And the relativistic positive energy wave function of equal mass vector quarkonia, in the center of mass system can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_V^{++}(\hat{q}) &= \frac{N_V \phi_V(\hat{q})}{2} \left\{ M \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{m}{\omega} + \frac{\hat{q}^2}{m\omega} \right] \not{\epsilon} + M \hat{q} \cdot \epsilon \left[\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right] + \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{m}{\omega} \right] \not{\epsilon} \not{P} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hat{q} \cdot \epsilon \left[\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right] \not{P} - \left[\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right] \not{P} \not{\hat{q}} + \frac{\hat{q} \cdot \epsilon}{m\omega} \not{\hat{q}} \not{P} - \frac{M \hat{q} \cdot \epsilon}{m\omega} \not{\hat{q}} \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

The conjugate of $\psi_P^{++}(\hat{q}')$ is evaluated from $\bar{\psi}_P^{++}(\hat{q}') = \gamma^0 (\psi_P^{++})^\dagger \gamma^0$, after a sequence of steps is expressed as:

$$\bar{\psi}_P^{++}(\hat{q}') = -\frac{N'_P \phi_P(\hat{q}')}{2} \gamma_5 \left\{ M' \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} + \frac{m}{\omega'} - \frac{\hat{q}'^2}{m\omega'} \right] - \not{P}' \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} + \frac{m}{\omega'} \right] + \not{P}' \not{\hat{q}'} \left[\frac{m}{\omega'^2} + \frac{1}{\omega'} \right] \right\} \quad (18)$$

Now, if we evaluate the total transition amplitude using equations Eq. (12), Eq. (17) and Eq. (18), we get,

$$M_{fi} = -\frac{e_q N'_P N_V}{2M} \int \frac{d^3 \hat{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \phi_P(\hat{q}') \phi_V(\hat{q}) [TR] \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}[TR] &= Tr \left\{ -\gamma_5 \not{P} \not{P}' \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} + \frac{m}{\omega'} \right] \left[M \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{m}{\omega} + \frac{\hat{q}^2}{m\omega} \right] \not{\epsilon} \not{\epsilon} - \left[\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right] \not{\epsilon} \not{P} \not{\hat{q}} - \frac{M \hat{q} \cdot \epsilon}{m\omega} \not{\epsilon} \not{\hat{q}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma_5 \not{P} \not{P}' \not{\hat{q}'} \left[\frac{m}{\omega'^2} + \frac{1}{\omega'} \right] \left[M \hat{q} \cdot \epsilon \left[\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right] \not{\epsilon} + \left[\frac{m^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{m}{\omega} \right] \not{\epsilon} \not{P} + \frac{\hat{q} \cdot \epsilon}{m\omega} \not{\hat{q}} \not{P} \right] \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

Evaluating trace over the gamma matrices, one can obtain the expression:

$$[TR] = -4M \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} P_\mu P'_\nu \epsilon_\alpha \epsilon_\beta \left[\frac{m}{\omega'^2} + \frac{1}{\omega'} \right] \left[\frac{m^3}{\omega^2} - \frac{m^2}{\omega} + \left(\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \hat{q} \cdot \hat{q}' \right] \quad (21)$$

where, P and P' are momenta of the initial (V) and final (P) quarkonia respectively. One can rewrite the transition amplitude after evaluation of the gamma matrices as:

$$M_{fi} = 2e_q N'_P N_V \int \frac{d^3 \hat{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \phi_P(\hat{q}') \phi_V(\hat{q}) \left[\frac{m}{\omega'^2} + \frac{1}{\omega'} \right] \left[\frac{m^3}{\omega^2} - \frac{m^2}{\omega} + \left(\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \hat{q} \cdot \hat{q}' \right] \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} P_\mu P'_\nu \epsilon_\alpha \epsilon_\beta \quad (22)$$

The decay width for $V \rightarrow P\gamma$, can be expressed as (see Ref.[25]):

$$\Gamma_{V \rightarrow P\gamma} = \frac{|\vec{P}'|}{8\pi M^2} |M_{fi}|^2; |\vec{P}'| = \frac{1}{2M} [M^2 - M'^2] \quad (23)$$

From Eq. (22), one can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{fi}|^2 &= |f(\hat{q})|^2 (\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} P_\mu P'_\nu \epsilon_\alpha \epsilon_\beta)^2 \\ &= (M^4 + 10M^2 M'^2 + M'^4) |f(\hat{q})|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where

$$f(\hat{q}) = 2e_q N'_P N_V \int \frac{d^3 \hat{q}'}{(2\pi)^3} \phi_P(\hat{q}') \phi_V(\hat{q}) \left[\frac{m}{\omega'^2} + \frac{1}{\omega'} \right] \left[\frac{m^3}{\omega^2} - \frac{m^2}{\omega} + \left(\frac{m}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \hat{q} \cdot \hat{q}' \right] \quad (25)$$

One can obtain the expression of the decay rate of heavy quarkonia for the process, $V \rightarrow P\gamma$, as:

$$\Gamma_{V \rightarrow P\gamma} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \left[M^3 + \frac{M'^4}{M} - M'^2 M - \frac{M'^6}{M^3} \right]^3 |f(\hat{q})|^2 \quad (26)$$

M' and M are the masses of the Pseudoscalar heavy quarkonia (η_c, η_b) and Vector heavy quarkonia ($J/\psi, \Upsilon$) respectively. In the expression for $f(\hat{q})$, N_V and N'_P are the BS normalizers for heavy vector and pseudoscalar quarkonia, respectively, which are given in a simple form as in Ref.[21]:

$$N_V = \left[16mM_V \int \frac{d^3 \hat{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\hat{q}^2}{\omega^3} \phi_V^2(\hat{q}) \right]^{-1/2} \quad (27)$$

and

$$N'_P = \left[\frac{16M_P}{m} \int \frac{d^3 \hat{q}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\hat{q}'^2}{\omega'} \phi_P^2(\hat{q}') \right]^{-1/2} \quad (28)$$

The ground state (1S) wave function and the radial wave functions for the 2S and 3S excitations for initial heavy vector quarkonia are written as in Eq. (41) Ref. [21]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_V(1S, \hat{q}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta_V^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{\hat{q}^2}{2\beta_V^2}} \\ \phi_V(2S, \hat{q}) &= \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta_V^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{2\hat{q}^2}{3\beta_V^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\hat{q}^2}{2\beta_V^2}} \\ \phi_V(3S, \hat{q}) &= \left(\frac{15}{8}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta_V^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{20\hat{q}^2}{15\beta_V^2} + \frac{4\hat{q}^4}{15\beta_V^4}\right) e^{-\frac{\hat{q}^2}{2\beta_V^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

and the ground state (1S) wave function and the radial wave functions for the 2S and 3S excitations for final heavy pseudoscalar quarkonia are written as in Eq. (41) Ref. [21]:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_P(1S, \hat{q}') &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta_P^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{\hat{q}'^2}{2\beta_P^2}} \\ \phi_P(2S, \hat{q}') &= \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta_P^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{2\hat{q}'^2}{3\beta_P^2}\right) e^{-\frac{\hat{q}'^2}{2\beta_P^2}} \\ \phi_P(3S, \hat{q}') &= \left(\frac{15}{8}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta_P^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{20\hat{q}'^2}{15\beta_P^2} + \frac{4\hat{q}'^4}{15\beta_P^4}\right) e^{-\frac{\hat{q}'^2}{2\beta_P^2}}\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

where $\hat{q}' = \hat{q} + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{P}'|$ and $|\vec{P}'| = \frac{M_V}{2}\left(1 - \frac{M_P^2}{M_V^2}\right)$.

The inverse range parameters for pseudoscalar and vector meson respectively are, $\beta_P = \left(4 \frac{m\omega_{q\bar{q}}^2}{\sqrt{1+2A_0(N+\frac{3}{2})}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, and $\beta_V = \left(2 \frac{m\omega_{q\bar{q}}^2}{\sqrt{1+2A_0(N+\frac{3}{2})}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and are dependent on the input kernel and contain the dynamical information, and they differ from each other only due to spin-spin interactions.

We had recently calculated the mass spectrum of ground and excited states of P and V quarkonia in [21]. The input parameters employed in this calculation that were fit from the mass spectrum of ground state pseudoscalar and vector quarkonia in Ref. [21] are given in table 1.

C_0	$\omega_0(GeV)$	$\Lambda(GeV)$	A_0	$m_c(GeV)$	$m_b(GeV)$
0.210	0.150	0.200	0.010	1.490	5.070

Table 1: Input parameters of BSE-CIA framework

The numerical values of inverse range parameters β_P , and β_V for various P and V quarkonia in the radiative transitions studied in this paper are listed in the Table 2 below.

We had fixed the input parameters by studying the mass spectra for P and V quarkonia for a number of states. However in Tables 3 and 4, below we list only the spectra of the quarkonia for which we have done calculations of radiative decay widths in this paper.

Numerical results

We use the same input parameters listed in Table 1, to calculate the decay widths for the process $V \rightarrow P + \gamma$. The results of radiative decay widths of our model are listed in Table 5.

1^{--} state	β_V	0^{-+} state	β_P
$J/\psi(1S)$	0.2466	$\eta_c(1S)$	0.3486
$\psi(2S)$	0.2442	$\eta_c(2S)$	0.3454
$\psi(3S)$	0.2420	$\eta_c(3S)$	0.3422
$\Upsilon(1S)$	0.5066	$\eta_b(1S)$	0.7165
$\Upsilon(2S)$	0.5018	$\eta_b(2S)$	0.7097
$\Upsilon(3S)$	0.4972	$\eta_b(3S)$	0.7032

Table 2: β_P and β_V values for ground state and excited states of η_c , η_b , J/ψ and Υ (in GeV units) in present calculation (BSE-CIA).

	BSE - CIA	Expt.[4]	Pot. Model[28]	QCD sum rule[7]	LQCD[29]	Re.P.Model[30]
$M_{\eta_c(1S)}$	2.9509	2.983 ± 0.0007	2.980	3.11 ± 0.52	3.292	2.981
$M_{\eta_c(2S)}$	3.7352	3.639 ± 0.0013	3.600		4.240	3.635
$M_{\eta_c(3S)}$	4.4486		4.060			3.989
$M_{\eta_b(1S)}$	9.0005	9.398 ± 0.0032	9.390	9.66 ± 1.65	7.377	9.398
$M_{\eta_b(2S)}$	9.7215	9.999 ± 0.0028	9.947		8.202	9.990
$M_{\eta_b(3S)}$	10.4201		10.291			10.329

Table 3: Masses of ground and radially excited states of η_c and η_b (in GeV.) in present calculation (BSE-CIA) along with experimental data, and their masses in other models.

4 Discussions and conclusion

We have employed a 3D reduction of BSE (with a 4×4 representation for two-body ($q\bar{q}$) BS amplitude) under Covariant Instantaneous Ansatz (CIA), and used it for calculating the amplitudes and decay widths for ground and radially excited states of vector (J/Ψ , and Υ) quarkonia in the process, $V \rightarrow P + \gamma$.

The numerical values of decay widths calculated in this BSE framework for ($1S$, $2S$, $3S$) states of J/ψ and Υ are shown in Table 5. The numerical calculation in this work has been done using Mathematica. We first fit our parameters to the ground state masses of η_c , η_b , J/ψ and Υ . Using

	BSE - CIA	Expt.[4]	Rel. Pot. Model[30]	Pot. Model[28]	BSE[31]	LQCD[32]
$M_{J/\psi(1S)}$	3.0974	3.0969 ± 0.000011	3.096	3.0969	3.0969	3.099
$M_{\psi(2S)}$	3.6676	3.6861 ± 0.00034	3.685	3.6890	3.686	3.653
$M_{\psi(3S)}$	4.1945	4.03 ± 0.001	4.039	4.1407	4.065	4.099
$M_{\Upsilon(1S)}$	9.6719	9.4603 ± 0.00026	9.460	9.4603	9.460	
$M_{\Upsilon(2S)}$	10.1926	10.0233 ± 0.00031	10.023	9.9814	10.029	
$M_{\Upsilon(3S)}$	10.6979	10.3552 ± 0.00005	10.355	10.3195	10.379	

Table 4: Masses of ground, radially and orbitally excited states of heavy vector quarkonium, J/ψ and Υ in BSE-CIA along with their masses in other models and experimental data (all units are in GeV).

Transition	Our work	Expt.[4]	LFM[33]	PM[34]
$\Gamma_{J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma}$	2.0054	1.5687 ± 0.011	1.67 ± 0.05	1.8
$\Gamma_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)\gamma}$	0.5709	0.2093 ± 0.002		0.4
$\Gamma_{\psi(3S) \rightarrow \eta_c(3S)\gamma}$	0.2984			
$\Gamma_{\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \eta_b(1S)\gamma}$	0.3387		$0.043^{+0.09}_{-0.03}$	0.001
$\Gamma_{\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \eta_b(2S)\gamma}$	0.1053			0.0002
$\Gamma_{\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta_b(3S)\gamma}$	0.0781			

Table 5: Radiative decay widths of equal mass heavy vector quarkonium of ground state (1S) and radially excited states (2S, 3S) in present calculation (BSE-CIA) along with their decay widths in other models and experimental data (all values are in units of Kev).

the input parameters (along with the input quark masses) listed in Table 1, we obtained the best fit to these ground state masses. The same set of parameters above was used to calculate the masses of all the other (excited) states of η_c , η_b , J/ψ and Υ , as well as the leptonic decay constants of these states. Two-photon as well as two gluon decay widths of η_c , and η_b was further studied (see our recent paper [21]).

The results obtained for decay width of ground and radially excited states of J/ψ and Υ are somewhat on the higher side in comparison to central values of data for these states, which might be probably due to the absence of the negative energy part employed in Eq.(11), where we have considered only the positive energy part (as in Ref. [27] employed earlier for heavy mesons). However, from Table 5, a wide range of variation of radiative decay widths of $J/\Psi(1S)$, and $\Psi(2S)$ states in different models can be observed. However, the important thing is that our radiative decay width values for vector quarkonia, show a marked decrease as one goes from $1S$ to $3S$ state for J/ψ and Υ , which is again in conformity with data and other models. We have also given our predictions for radiative decay widths of $\Psi(3S)$, $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$, and $\Upsilon(3S)$ states, for which data is currently not yet available. The aim of doing this study was to mainly test our analytic forms of wave functions in Eqs.(29-30) obtained as solutions of mass spectral equations in an approximate harmonic oscillator basis obtained analytically from the 4×4 BSE as our starting point (that had so far given good predictions for leptonic decays of P and V quarkonia, and the two-photon, and the two-gluon decays of P-quarkonia [21]), to the single photon radiative decays of V-quarkonia. This would in turn lead to validation of our approach, which provides a much deeper insight than the purely numerical calculations in 4×4 BSE approach that are prevalent in the literature.

In the process of arriving at analytic solution of spectra by solving the coupled Salpeter equations, we have worked in approximate harmonic oscillator basis, and also employing approximation: $\omega \sim m$ for heavy quarks. We do concede that some numerical accuracy has been lost in the process, but at the same time we have obtained a much deeper understanding of the mass spectra of quarkonia, where the equations are expressible in terms of the principal quantum number N . We wish to mention that to the best of our knowledge, we have not encountered any work in 4×4 representation of BSE, that treats this problem analytically. On the contrary all the other 4×4 approaches adopt a purely numerical approach of solving the coupled set of Salpeter equations,

which may enhance the numerical accuracy, but this is at the expense of a deeper understanding of the spectral problem. We further wish to mention that the correctness of our approximations can be judged by the fact that our plots of wave functions obtained analytically for various states of P and V quarkonia [21] are very similar to the corresponding plots of wave functions of various states of these quarkonia obtained by purely numerical approach in [13].

We are also not aware of any other BSE framework, involving 4×4 BS amplitude, and with all the Dirac structures incorporated (in fact many works use only the leading Dirac structure), that treats these problems analytically, and uses the algebraic forms of wave functions derived analytically from mass spectral equation for calculation of various transitions. To the best of our knowledge, all the other 4×4 BSE approaches treat this problem numerically after obtaining the coupled set of equations.

References

- [1] B.Auger *et al.*(BaBar collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 161801 (2009).
- [2] K.F.Chen *et al.*(Belle collaboration), Phys. Rev. D82, 091106(R) (2010).
- [3] K.W.Edwards *et al.*(CLEO collaboration), Phys. Rev.Lett. 86, 30 (2001).
- [4] K.A.Olive *et al.*, (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C**38**, 090001 (2014).
- [5] C.McNielle, C.T.H.Davies, E.Follana, K.Hornbostel, G.P.Lepage (HPQCD Collaboration), arxiv: 1207.0994[hep-lat] (2012).
- [6] J.Gasser, H.Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. 158, 142 (1984).
- [7] E.Veli Veliev, K.Azizi, H.Sundu, N.Aksit, J.Phys. G39, 015002(2012).
- [8] M.Neubert, Phys. Rep. 245, 259 (1994).
- [9] G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten, G.P.Lepage, Phys. Rev. D51, 1125 (1995).
- [10] C.H.L.Smith, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 53, 521 (1969).
- [11] A. N. Mitra, B. M. Sodermark, Nucl. Phys. A**695**, 328 (2001).
- [12] R. Alkofer, L. W. Smekel, Phys. Rep.**353**, 281 (2001).
- [13] C-H. Chang, G. L. Wang, Sci. China G53, 2005 (2010).
- [14] R. Ricken, M. Koll, D. Merten, B. Metsch, H. Petry, Eur. Phys. J. A9, 221 (2000).
- [15] A.N.Mitra, S.Bhatnagar, Intl. J. Mod. Phys. A07, 121 (1992).
- [16] S.Patel, P.C.Vinodkumar, S.Bhatnagar, Chinese Phys. C40 (2016)(In press); arXiv:1504.01103[hep-ph].
- [17] A. N. Mitra, Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad. 65, 527 (1999).
- [18] S.Bhatnagar, D.S.Kulshreshtha, A.N.Mitra, Physics Letters B 263, 485 (1991).
- [19] S.Bhatnagar, J.Mahecha, Y.Mengesha, Phys. Rev. D90, 014034 (2014).

- [20] H.Negash, S.Bhatnagar, Intl. J. Mod. Phys. E24, 1550030 (2015).
- [21] H.Negash, S.Bhatnagar, Intl. J. Mod. Phys. E25,1650059 (2016); arXiv:1508.06131v2 [hep-ph] (2016).
- [22] CHANG Chao-Hsi, CHEN Jiao-Kai, and WANG Guo-Li, Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing, China) 46 (2006) pp. 467-480.
- [23] C-H. Chang, J-K. Chen, G-L. Wang: [arXiv:hep-th/0312250] (2003).
- [24] N. Nimai Singh and A. N. Mitra, Phys. Re. D 38, 5 (1988).
- [25] E.Mengesha, S.Bhatnagar, Intl. J. Mod. Phys. E21, 1250084 (2012).
- [26] Tian-Hong Wang, and and Guo-Li Wang, arXiv:1006.3363v4 [hep-ph] 7 Feb 2011.
- [27] Tianhong Wang, Guo-Li Wang, Yue Jiang, and Wan-Li Ju, arXiv:1205.5725v2 [hep-ph] 7 Sep 2012.
- [28] Bhagyesh, K.B.V.Kumar, A.P.Monterio, J.Phys. G38, 085001 (2011).
- [29] T.Burch et al.(Fermilab and MILC Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D81, 034508 (2010).
- [30] D.Ebert, R.N.Faustov, V.O.Galkin, Phys. Atomic Nuclei 76, 1554 (2013); arXv:1111.0454v1[hep-ph] (2011).
- [31] G-L.Wang, Phys. Lett. B633, (2006).
- [32] T.Kawanai, S.Sasaki, arXiv:1503.05752[hep-lat]
- [33] Ho-Meoyng Choi, arXiv:hep-ph/0701263v2 28 Mar 2007.
- [34] Stanley F. Radford, Wayne W. Repko, Phys. Re. D 75, 074031 (2007).