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We present a nonperturbative analysis of the power spectrum of energy level fluctuations in fully quantum chaotic structures. Focusing on systems with broken time-reversal symmetry, we employ a finite-\(N\) random matrix theory to derive an exact multidimensional integral representation of the power spectrum. The \(N \to \infty\) limit of the exact solution furnishes the main result of this study—a universal, parameter-free prediction for the power spectrum expressed in terms of a fifth Painlevé transcendent. Extensive numerics lends further support to our theory which, as discussed at length, invalidates a traditional assumption that the power spectrum is merely determined by the spectral form factor of a quantum system.

Introduction.—Spectral fluctuations of quantum systems reflect the nature—regular or chaotic—of their underlying classical dynamics. In case of fully chaotic classical dynamics, hyperbolicity (exponential sensitivity to initial conditions) and ergodicity (typical classical trajectories fill out available phase space uniformly) make quantum properties of chaotic systems universal. At sufficiently long times, the single particle dynamics is governed by global symmetries rather than by system specialties and is accurately described by the random matrix theory (RMT). The emergence of universal statistical laws, anticipated by Bohigas, Giannoni and Schmit, has been established within the semiclassical approach which links correlations in quantum spectra to correlations between periodic orbits in the associated classical geodesics. The time scale \(T_\ast\) of compromised spectral universality is set by the period \(T_1\) of the shortest closed orbit and the Heisenberg time \(T_H\), such that \(T_1 \ll T_\ast \ll T_H\).

Several statistical measures of level fluctuations have been devised in quantum chaology. Long-range correlations of eigenlevels on the unfolded energy scale are measured by the variance \(\Sigma^2(L) = \text{var}[N(L)]\) of number of levels \(N(L)\) in the interval of length \(L\) and by (closely related) spectral rigidity \(\Delta_2(L)\). Both statistics probe the two-level correlations only and exhibit a universal RMT behavior provided the interval \(L\) is not too long, \(1 \ll L \ll T_H/T_1\). For one, the number variance is described by the logarithmic law

\[
\Sigma^2_{\text{chaos}}(L) = \frac{2}{\pi^2 \beta} \log L + O(1), \tag{1}
\]

which indicates presence of the long-range repulsion between eigenlevels. Here, \(\beta = 1, 2\) and 4 denote the Dyson symmetry index. For more distant levels, \(L \gg T_H/T_1\), system-specific features show up: both statistics display quasirandom oscillations with wavelengths being inversely proportional to periods of short closed orbits.

Individual features of quantum chaotic systems become less pronounced in spectral measures that probe the short-range fluctuations as these are largely determined by the long periodic orbits. The distribution of level spacing between (unfolded) consecutive eigenlevels, \(P(s) = \langle \delta(s - E_j + E_{j+1}) \rangle\), is the most commonly used short-range statistics. At small spacings, \(s \ll 1\), it is mostly contributed by the two-point correlations, showing the phenomenon of symmetry-driven level repulsion, \(P(s) \propto s^\nu\). (In a simple-minded fashion, this result can be read out from the Wigner surmise \(\nu = 2\).) As the \(s\) grows, the spacing distribution becomes increasingly influenced by spectral correlation functions of all orders. In the universal regime \((s \ll T_H/T_\ast)\), these are best accounted for by the RMT machinery which produces parameter-free (but \(\beta\)-dependent) representations of level spacing distributions in terms of Fredholm determinants/Pfaffians and Painlevé transcendents. For quantum chaotic systems with broken time-reversal symmetry \((\beta = 2)\) that will be the focus of our study—the level spacing distribution is given by the famous Gaudin–Mehta formula,

\[
P_{\text{chaos}}(s) = \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \exp \left( \int_0^{2\pi s} \frac{P_0(t)}{t} dt \right). \tag{2}
\]

Here, \(P_0(t)\) is the fifth Painlevé transcendent defined as the solution to the nonlinear equation \((\nu = 0)\)

\[
(t a_0')^2 + (t a_\nu - a_\nu) (t a_\nu' - a_\nu + 4(a_\nu')^2) - 4\nu^2 (a_\nu')^2 = 0 \tag{3}
\]

subject to the boundary condition \(a_\nu(t) \sim -t/2\pi\) as \(t \to 0\).

The universal RMT laws [Eqs. (1) and (2)] do not apply to quantum systems with completely integrable classical dynamics. Following Berry and Tabor, these belong to a different universality class—the one shared by the Poisson point process. In particular, level spacings in a generic integrable quantum system exhibit statistics of waiting times between consecutive events in a Poisson process. This leads to the radically different fluctuation laws: the number variance \(\Sigma^2_{\text{int}}(L) = L\) is no longer logarithmic while the level spacing distribution \(P_{\text{int}}(s) = e^{-s}\) becomes exponential, with no signatures of level repulsion whatsoever. Such a selectivity of short- and long-range spectral statistical measures has long been used to uncover underlying classical dynamics of quantum systems.

Power spectrum: Definition and early results.—To obtain a more accurate characterization of the quantum
chaos, it is advantageous to use spectral statistics which
probe the correlations between both nearby and distant
eigenvalues. Such a statistical indicator has been sug-
gested in Ref.\textsuperscript{11}. Interpreting a sequence of ordered
unfolded eigenvalues \( \{E_1 \leq \cdots \leq E_M\} \) of the length \( M \gg 1 \)
as a discrete-time random process, these authors defined
its average power spectrum\textsuperscript{12}
\[
S_M(\omega) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \delta E_\ell \delta E_m \ e^{i\omega(t-m)}
\tag{4}
\]
in terms of discrete Fourier transform of the correlator
\( \langle \delta E_\ell \delta E_m \rangle \) on the unfolded scale \( \langle E_\ell \rangle = t\Delta \) with \( \Delta = 1 \). Notice that
dimensionless frequencies therein belong to the interval
\( 0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_{NY} \), where \( \omega_{NY} \equiv \pi \) is the Nyquist frequency.
A discrete nature of a so-defined random process allows
us to restrict \( \omega \)’s to a finite set \( \omega_k = 2\pi k/M \) with \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M/2\} \).

Considering Eq. (4) through the prism of a semiclassi-
cal approach, one readily realizes that, at low frequencies
\( \omega_k \ll T_s/T_H \), the power spectrum is largely affected by
system-specific correlations between very distant eigen-
levels (accounted for by short periodic orbits). For higher
frequencies, \( \omega_k \gtrsim T_s/T_H \), the contribution of longer pe-
riodic orbits becomes increasingly important and the
power spectrum enters the universal regime. Eventually,
in the frequency domain \( T_s/T_H \ll \omega_k \ll \omega_{NY} \), long peri-
odic orbits win over and the power spectrum gets shaped
by correlations between the nearby levels.

Numerical simulations\textsuperscript{14} have revealed that the average
power spectrum \( S_M(\omega_k) \) discriminates sharply between
quantum systems with chaotic and integrable classical
dynamics. While this was not completely unexpected,
another finding of Ref.\textsuperscript{14} came as quite a surprise: numeri-
cal data for \( S_M(\omega_k) \) could accurately be fitted by simple
power-law curves, \( S_M(\omega_k) \sim 1/\omega_k \) and \( S_M(\omega_k) \sim 1/\omega_k^2 \),
for quantum systems with chaotic and integrable classical
dynamics, respectively. In quantum systems with mixed
classical dynamics, numerical evidence was presented\textsuperscript{14}
for the power-law of the form \( S_M(\omega_k) \sim 1/\omega_k^n \) with the
exponent \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \) measuring a ‘degree of chaoticity’. Experimentally, the \( 1/\omega_k \) noise was measured in Sinai
microwave billiards\textsuperscript{15} and microwave networks\textsuperscript{16}. For the power spectrum analysis of Fano-Feshbach resonances in
an ultracold gas of Erbium atoms\textsuperscript{17}, the reader is referred to Ref.\textsuperscript{18}.

For quantum chaotic systems, the universal \( 1/\omega_k \) law
for the average power spectrum in the frequency domain
\( T_s/T_H \lesssim \omega_k \ll 1 \) can be read out from the existing RMT
literature. Indeed, defining a set of discrete Fourier coef-
ficients\textsuperscript{16}
\[
ak = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M} \delta E_\ell \ e^{i\omega_k \ell}
\tag{5}
\]
of level displacements \( \{\delta E_\ell\} \), one observes the relation
\( S_M(\omega_k) = \text{var}[a_k] \). Statistics of the Fourier coefficients
\( \{a_k\} \) were studied in some detail\textsuperscript{19} within the Dyson’s
Brownian motion model\textsuperscript{20}. In particular, it is known
that, in the limit \( k \ll M \), they are independent Gauss-
ian distributed random variables with zero mean and the
variance \( \text{var}[a_k] = M/(2\pi^2 \beta k) \). This implies
\[
S_M(\omega_k) \simeq S_\infty^{(0)}(\omega_k) = \frac{1}{\pi \beta \omega_k}
\tag{6}
\]
\[
S_M(\omega_k) \simeq S_\infty^{(0)}(\omega_k) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi \beta \omega_k}
\]
in concert with numerical findings. For larger \( k \) (in par-
ticular, for \( k \sim M \)), fluctuation properties of the Fourier coefficients \( \{a_k\} \) are unknown.

An attempt to determine \( S_M(\omega_k) \) for higher frequen-
cies up to \( \omega_k = \omega_{NY} \) was undertaken in Ref.\textsuperscript{21}, whose
authors claimed to express the large-\( M \) power spectrum in
the entire domain \( T_s/T_H \lesssim \omega_k \leq \omega_{NY} \) in terms of
the spectral form factor of a quantum system. (A simi-
lar framework was also used in subsequent papers\textsuperscript{22,23}.
Even though numerical simulations seemed to confirm a
theoretical formula derived in Ref.\textsuperscript{22}, we believe that
the status of their heuristic approach needs to be clarified.

\textbf{Power spectrum beyond the two-level correlations.—}
In this Letter, we revisit the problem of calculating the
power spectrum in quantum chaotic systems as the cen-
tral assumption of previous studies\textsuperscript{21–23} – that at \( M \gg 1 \)
the power spectrum is merely dominated by the two-level
correlations – cannot be substantiated. In fact, the op-
posite is true: as \( \omega_k \) grows, the power spectrum becomes
increasingly influenced by spectral correlation functions
of all orders. This is best exemplified by the large-\( M \) formu-
la
\[
S_M(\omega_k) = \frac{M}{\omega_k^2} \int_0^M dE \delta E' \ e^{i\omega_k (E-E')} R_M(\omega_k; E, E') \quad (7)
\]
that follows directly from the definition Eq. (6). Here,
\( R_M(\omega_k; E, E') = \langle \delta \theta_M(\omega_k; E) \delta \theta_M(\omega_k; E') \rangle \) is an
one-parameter extension of the density-density correlation
function \( R_M(0; E, E') = \langle \delta \theta_M(0) \delta \theta_M(E') \rangle \) with
\[
\delta \theta_M(\omega_k; E) = \delta \theta_M(E) \exp \left( i\omega_k M \int_0^E d\mu \delta \theta_M(\mu) \right). \quad (8)
\]
Contrary to \( R_M(0; E, E') \), the correlator \( R_M(\omega_k; E, E') \) is
influenced by spectral correlation functions of all or-
ders; so is the power spectrum.

Looking for the singular part of the power spectrum
at small \( \omega_k \), it is tempting to discard the higher-order
correlations in Eq. (7). Such a move would result in the
simple approximation\textsuperscript{21} \( S_M(\omega_k \ll 1) \simeq \omega_k^{-2} K_M(\omega_k/2\pi) \),
where
\[
K_M(\tau) = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^M dE \delta E' \ e^{2i\pi \tau (E-E')} R_M(0; E, E') \quad (9)
\]
is the spectral form factor of a quantum system. For
quantum chaotic systems, known to be described by the
form factor $K_M(\tau \ll 1) \simeq 2\tau/\beta$, the above approximation does reproduce the Brownian motion result Eq. (3) for the power spectrum. However, for generic quantum systems with completely integrable classical dynamics, characterized by $K_M(\tau) = 1$, the very same approximation yields a wrong estimate for the power spectrum: $S_M(\omega_k \ll 1) \simeq 1/\omega_k^2$ instead of $2/\omega_k^2$. To account for the missing factor 2, contributions of correlation functions beyond the second order should be accommodated $^{21,22}$. The latter observation not only questions the validity of the ‘form-factor approximation’, but it also suggests that the power spectrum keeps record of spectral correlation functions of all orders.

In this Letter we show how they can be summed up exactly within the RMT approach for both finite and infinite eigenvalue sequences. In the case of broken time-reversal symmetry ($\beta = 2$), our nonperturbative theory produces a parameter-free prediction for the power spectrum in the form

\[
S_\infty(\omega_k) = A(\omega_k) \left\{ \text{Im} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \lambda^{1-2i\omega_k} e^{i\omega_k \lambda} \left[ \exp \left( -\int_\lambda^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left( \sigma(t; \omega_k) - i\omega_k t + 2\omega_k^2 \right) \right) - 1 \right] + B(\omega_k) \right\}, \tag{10}
\]

where $\omega_k = \omega_k/2\pi$ is a rescaled frequency, the functions $A$ and $B$ are defined as

\[
A(\omega_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \prod_{j=1}^2 \frac{G(j + \omega_k)G(j - \omega_k)}{\sin(\pi \omega_k)}, \tag{11a}
\]

\[
B(\omega_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin(\pi \omega_k^2) \omega_k^{2-\omega_k^2} \Gamma(2 - 2\omega_k^2), \tag{11b}
\]

$G$ is the Barnes $G$-function, $\Gamma$ is the gamma function, while $\sigma(t; \omega_k) = \sigma_1(t)$ is a solution of the Painlevé V equation Eq. (3) at $\nu = 1$ satisfying the boundary condition $\sigma_1(t) \sim i\omega_k t - 2\omega_k^2$ as $t \to \infty$.

The universal law Eq. (10), describing the power spectrum in quantum chaotic systems with broken time-reversal symmetry in the domain of its universality $T_s/T_H \lesssim \omega_k < \omega_{NY} = \pi$, is the main result of our study. It can be regarded as a power spectrum analog of the Gaudin-Mehta formula [Eq. (2)].

In the low-frequency domain $\omega_k \ll 1$, the power spectrum behavior can be made explicit. Analyzing a small-$\omega_k$ solution to Eq. (3) at $\nu = 1$, we derive:

\[
S_\infty(\omega_k) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \omega_k} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \omega_k} \log \omega_k + \frac{\omega_k}{12} + \mathcal{O}(\omega_k^2 \log \omega_k). \tag{12}
\]

The leading-order term of this expansion is consistent with the $\beta = 2$ Brownian-motion result Eq. (4). Corrections to it have nothing in common with the results of the earlier approach $^{21}$, hereby suggesting that higher-order correlations influence the power spectrum even in the low-frequency domain.

In Fig. 1 we confront our parameter-free prediction Eq. (10) with the results of numerical simulations for unfolded spectra of large-$M$ circular unitary ensemble (CUE). Referring the reader to the figure caption for further details, we plainly conclude that the agreement between the theory and numerics is nearly perfect. Yet, our simulations indicate that a deviation of the simple $S^{(0)}_\infty(\omega_k)$-curve from the exact formula Eq. (10) does not exceed $5\%$ in the region $\omega_k < 2/3\omega_{NY}$. For higher frequencies, the relative error quickly increases reaching its maximal value ($34\%$) at $\omega = \omega_{NY}$. This estimate should have important implications for analysis of the experimental data, see Refs. $^{15,16,18}$.

Sketch of the derivation. —To mimic an unfolded spectrum of quantum chaotic systems with broken time-reversal symmetry, we define the tuned CUE ensemble (TCUE$_N$) through the joint probability density function (JPDF)

\[
P_N(\theta) = \frac{1}{(N + 1)!} \prod_{\ell \geq m} |e^{i\theta_\ell} - e^{i\theta_m}|^2 \prod_{\ell = 1}^N |1 - e^{i\theta_\ell}|^2 \tag{13}
\]

![FIG. 1: The difference $\delta S_\infty(\omega_k) = S_\infty(\omega_k) - S^{(0)}_\infty(\omega_k)$ between the power spectrum $S_\infty(\omega_k)$ and its singular part $S^{(0)}_\infty(\omega_k) = (2\pi\omega_k)^{-1}$ as described by Eq. (6) at $\beta = 2$. Crosses correspond to $\delta S_M(\omega_k)$ computed for sequences of 200 unfolded CUE eigenvalues averaged over $4 \times 10^6$ realizations. Solid line: analytical prediction for $\delta S_M(\omega_k)$ calculated through dPV equations with $^\mathcal{B}\mathcal{N} M = 1000$ [see discussion below Eq. (21)]. Dashed line in the inset displays the difference $\delta S_\infty(\omega_k)$ calculated using the small-$\omega_k$ expansion Eq. (12).]
of its \(N\) eigenangles \(\theta = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N\}\). Equation (13) can be viewed as the JPDF for traditional CUE\(_M\) ensemble, \(M = N + 1\), whose lowest eigenangle is conditioned to stay at \(\theta = \theta_0 = 0\). Such a minor tuning of the CUE induces useful constraints\(^{25}\) on the averages of and correlations between \(\text{ordered TCUE}\) eigenvectors \(\{\theta_k, M\}\). Two of them, (i) \(\langle \theta_i \rangle = \ell \Delta\) and (ii) \(\langle \delta \theta_i - \delta \theta_{m} \rangle^2 = \langle \delta \theta_{2(M-m)} \rangle^2\), are of particular importance. (Here, angular brackets \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) denote averaging with respect to the JPDF Eq. (13) while \(\Delta = 2\pi/M\) is the mean level spacing.)

The first constraint (i) leads us to identify a set of ordered unfolded eigenvalues \(\{\theta_k, M\}\) as \(E_\ell = \theta_\ell/\Delta \in (0, M)\). This results in the RMT power spectrum of the form

\[
S_M(\omega_k) = \frac{M}{4\pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{M-1} \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \langle \delta \theta_{\ell} \delta \theta_{m} \rangle \omega_k^{\ell-m},
\]

where \(\omega_k = e^{i \omega k}\). The second constraint (ii) makes it possible to reduce Eq. (14) down to\(^{30}\)

\[
S_M(\omega_k) = \frac{M}{4\pi^2} \text{Re} \left( z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - M \right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{M-1} \langle \delta \theta_{\ell} \rangle^2 \omega_k^{\ell}.
\]

Expressing \(\langle \theta_{\ell} \rangle\) in terms of the probability density \(p_\ell(\phi)\) to observe the \(\ell\)th ordered eigenvalue at \(\phi\),

\[
\langle \theta_{\ell} \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \phi^2 p_\ell(\phi) = -\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \phi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{\partial E_N(j; \phi)}{\partial \phi},
\]

we derive the \textit{exact} representation

\[
S_M(\omega_k) = \frac{M}{\pi} \text{Re} \left( z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - M \right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{M-1} \langle \delta \theta_{\ell} \rangle^2 \omega_k^{\ell} - \frac{z_k}{1 - z_k} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \phi \Phi_N(\phi; 1 - z_k). \tag{17}
\]

Here,

\[
\Phi_N(\phi; \zeta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} (1 - \zeta)^\ell E_N(\ell; \phi) = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} - \int_0^{2\pi} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{d\theta_{\ell}}{2\pi} P_N(\theta) \right)^{1/2}
\]

is the generating function\(^\ddagger\) of the probabilities \(E_N(\ell; \phi)\) to find exactly \(\ell\) eigen-angles in the interval \((0, \phi)\). Equations (17), (18) and (19) are central to our nonperturbative theory of the power spectrum.

\textit{Painlevé VI representation}—Multidimensional integrals of the CUE type [Eqs. (18)] and (13)] have been studied in much detail in Ref.\(^{23}\). Building upon it, we can represent the finite-\(M\) power spectrum Eq. (17) in terms of the sixth Painlevé function \(\tilde{\sigma}_N\) such that

\[
\Phi_N(\phi; \zeta) = \exp \left(-\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{1 + t^2} (\tilde{\sigma}_N(t; \zeta) + t) \right), \tag{19}
\]

The function \(\tilde{\sigma}_N(t; \zeta)\) is a solution to the Painlevé VI equation

\[
[(1 + t^2) \tilde{\sigma}_N''(t; \zeta)]^2 + 4\tilde{\sigma}_N'(t; \zeta)^2 + 4(\tilde{\sigma}_N'(t; \zeta) + (N + 1)^2) = 0 \tag{20}
\]

subject to the boundary condition\(^{25}\)

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_N(t; \zeta) = -t + \frac{N(N + 1)(N + 2)}{3\pi t^2} \zeta + O(t^{-4}) \tag{21}
\]

as \(t \to \infty\). Equations (17) and (19)–(21) provide an exact RMT solution for the power spectrum at finite \(N\). Alternatively, but equivalently, the exact solution for \(S_M(\omega_k)\) can be formulated\(^{25}\) in terms of discrete Painlevé V equations (dP\(_V\)) in line with Ref.\(^{22}\). The latter representation is particularly useful for efficient numerical evaluation of the power spectrum for relatively large values of \(N\); such a computation, displayed in Fig. 1, shows a remarkable agreement of our exact solution with the power spectrum determined for numerically \textit{simulated} CUE spectra.

\textit{Asymptotic analysis of the exact solution}.—To identify a universal, parameter-free, law for the power spectrum, expected to emerge in the limit \(N \to \infty\), an asymptotic analysis of the exact solution Eqs. (17) and (19)–(21) is required. To facilitate such an analysis, we notice that the generating function \(\Phi_N(\phi; \zeta)\) [Eq. (17)] entering the exact solution Eq. (17) with \(\zeta = 1 - z_k\) admits a representation

\[
\Phi_N(\phi; 1 - z_k) = \frac{e^{i\phi \omega_k N}}{N + 1} D_N[f_{\omega_k}(z; \phi)] \tag{22}
\]

in terms of the Toeplitz determinant

\[
D_N[f_{\omega_k}(z; \phi)] = \det \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{z} z^{-j} f_{\omega_k}(z; \phi) \right) \tag{23}
\]

\((0 \leq j, \ell \leq N - 1)\) whose Fisher-Hartwig symbol

\[
f_{\omega_k}(z; \phi) = |z - z_1|^2 \left( \frac{\omega_k}{z_1} \right)^{\tilde{\omega}_k} g_{z_1, \tilde{\omega}_k}(z) g_{z_2, -\tilde{\omega}_k}(z) \tag{24}
\]

possesses a power-type singularity at \(z = z_1 = e^{i\phi/2}\) and two jump discontinuities

\[
g_{z_j, \pm \tilde{\omega}_k}(z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} e^{\pm i\pi \tilde{\omega}_k}, & 0 \leq \arg z < \arg z_j \vspace{0.1cm} \\ e^{\mp i\pi \tilde{\omega}_k}, & \arg z_j \leq \arg z < 2\pi \end{array} \right. \tag{25}
\]

at \(z = z_{1,2}\) with \(z_2 = e^{i(2\pi - \phi/2)}\). (Here, we have followed the standard terminology and notation, see e.g. Ref.\(^{23}\).)
To perform the integral in Eq. (17) in the large-N limit, one needs to know uniform asymptotics of the Toeplitz determinant Eq. (23) in the subtle case of merging singularities. These particular asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants were recently studied in great detail by Claeys and Krasovsky. Making use of their Theorems 1.5 and 1.11, we end up — after a somewhat involved calculation — with the universal law for the power spectrum announced in Eqs. (10) and (11) above.

Summary.—We revisited the problem of calculating the power spectrum in quantum chaotic systems putting particular emphasis on the shortcomings of widely used ‘form factor’ approximation. Having argued that the power spectrum is shaped by spectral correlations of all orders, we showed how their contributions can be summed up nonperturbatively within the RMT approach. Our main result — a parameter-free prediction for the power spectrum expressed in terms of a fifth Painlevé transcendent [Eq. (10)] — is expected to hold universally, at not too low frequencies, for a variety of quantum systems with chaotic classical dynamics and broken time-reversal symmetry. Meticulous numerical analysis revealed that the heuristically anticipated $1/\omega^4$ law for the power spectrum can deviate quite significantly from our exact solution. This will have important implications for analysis of the experimental data.
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