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Abstract

Kaplansky conjectured that if two positive-definite ternary quadratic forms have perfectly identical representations over $\mathbb{Z}$, they are equivalent over $\mathbb{Z}$ or constant multiples of regular forms, or is included in either of two families parameterized by $\mathbb{R}^2$. Our results aim to clarify the limitations imposed to such a pair by computational and theoretical approaches. Firstly, the result of an exhaustive search for such pairs of integral quadratic forms is presented, in order to provide a concrete version of the Kaplansky conjecture. The obtained list contains a small number of non-regular forms that were confirmed to have the identical representations up to 3,000,000 by computation. However, a strong limitation on the existence of such pairs is still observed, regardless of whether the coefficient field is $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Secondly, we prove that if two pairs of ternary quadratic forms have the identical simultaneous representations over $\mathbb{Q}$, their constant multiples are equivalent over $\mathbb{Q}$. This was motivated by the question why the other families were not detected in the search. In the proof, the parametrization of quartic rings and their resolvent rings by Bhargava is used to discuss pairs of ternary quadratic forms.

1 Introduction

The aim of this article is to investigate the pairs of ternary positive-definite quadratic forms $f$ and $g$ with perfectly identical representations over $\mathbb{Z}$.
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If such a pair also has the identical multiplicities (i.e., theta series), it is known that \( f \) and \( g \) are equivalent over \( \mathbb{Z} \) \[22\]. Therefore, “identical representations” means \( q_{\mathbb{Z}}(f) = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(g) \) herein, where \( q_{\mathbb{Z}}(f) := \{ f(x) : 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \} \) is the set of representations over \( \mathbb{Z} \). The same problem was also discussed in [12], and crystallography as mentioned in the following.

For any \( N \)-ary quadratic forms \( f \) and \( g \) with real coefficients, we will use the notation \( f \sim g \) when \( f \) and \( g \) are equivalent over \( \mathbb{Z} \), i.e., \( f(xw) = g(x) \) for some \( w \in \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{Z}) \). With regard to the binary case, it was proved by a number of mathematicians that if two positive-definite quadratic forms \( f \not\sim g \) have the identical representations over \( \mathbb{Z} \), then such a pair is provided by \( d(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2) \) and \( d(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2) \) for some \( d > 0 \) \[25\]. All the indefinite binary cases were also determined in [5], [6].

As an immediate consequence, it is not difficult to verify that \( f \) and \( g \) in each of the following families, satisfy \( q_{\mathbb{Z}}(f) = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(g) \), regardless of the values of \( c, d \) (see Section 8):

(i) \( \{ f, g \} = \{ c(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2) + dx_3^2, c(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2) + dx_3^2 \} \),

(ii) \( \{ f, g \} = \{ c(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2) + d(x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3)^2, c(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2) + d(x_1 + 3x_3)^2 \} \).

Kaplansky conjectured in his letter to Schiemann in 1997 that all the pairs of non-regular forms \( f, g \) satisfy \( f \not\sim g \) and \( q_{\mathbb{Z}}(f) = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(g) \), as long as they belong to either of the above (i), (ii). A quadratic form \( f \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is said to be regular, if any \( m \in \mathbb{Q} \) that is represented by \( f \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_v \) for any primes \( v \), is also represented by \( f \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \), where \( v = \infty \) is also included, and \( \mathbb{Z}_\infty = \mathbb{R} \).

It was proved in [8] that the conjecture holds if only diagonal quadratic forms are considered (all such cases are provided by No.34 and No.50 in Tables 1–3).

In order to obtain more detailed information about this problem, an exhaustive search for such \( f, g \) with integral quadratic coefficients were carried out. It can be proved that if \( f, g \) over \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfy \( q_{\mathbb{Z}}(f) = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(g) \), infinitely many \( f_2, g_2 \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \) with \( q_{\mathbb{Z}}(f_2) = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(g_2) \) are generated from these \( f, g \) (Lemma A.2). Hence, the search also provides information about the case of real forms. The result is presented in Tables 1–3 in Section 3 which indicates that the existence of such pairs is rather limited as conjectured by Kaplansky, although the current list includes some non-regular cases.

If the quadratic forms contained in the above (i), (ii) are excluded, our exhaustive search finds only 151 equivalence classes of quadratic forms that have perfectly identical representations over \( \mathbb{Z} \) as another class. Among the 151 classes, 36 are not regular. In addition, the list includes a case that has
been proved to be regular only under the generalized Riemann hypothesis [19].

In what follows, \( \{f, g\} \sim \{f_2, g_2\} \) means that either of \( f \sim f_2, g \sim g_2 \) or \( f \sim g_2, g \sim f_2 \) holds. The following is suggested from the computational result:

**Kaplansky conjecture (modified version):** if two ternary positive-definite quadratic forms \( f \not\sim g \) satisfy \( q_\mathbb{Z}(f) = q_\mathbb{Z}(g) \), one of the following holds:

(i) \( \{f, g\} \sim \{c(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2) + dx_3^2, c(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2) + dx_3^2\} \) for some \( c, d \in \mathbb{R} \),

(ii) \( \{f, g\} \sim \{c(x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2) + d(x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3)^2, c(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2) + d(x_1 + 3x_3)^2\} \) for some \( c, d \in \mathbb{R} \),

(iii) \( \{f, g\} \sim \{cf_2, cg_2\} \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( f_2, g_2 \) contained in either of the No.1–53 in Tables 1–3.

Although the non-regular cases newly found in our search are also included in the above, it should be noted that they were just confirmed to have the identical set of representations up to 3,000,000, by computation. With regard to regular quadratic forms, it is not difficult to confirm that all of their integral representations are perfectly identical.

Before proceeding to our theoretical results motivated by the Kaplansky conjecture, first we provide the following proposition; for any field \( k \) of characteristic \( \neq 2 \), the set of all the \( n \)-ary quadratic forms over \( k \) is denoted by \( \text{Sym}^2(k^n)^* \), and the set of all the \( s \)-tuples of such forms is denoted by \( \text{Sym}^2(k^n)^* \otimes_k k^s \). For any subring \( R \subset k \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_s \in \text{Sym}^2(k^n)^* \), the elements of \( q_R(f_1, \ldots, f_s) := \{(f_1(v), \ldots, f_s(v)) : 0 \neq v \in R^n\} \) are called simultaneous representations of \( f_1, \ldots, f_s \) over \( R \).

**Proposition 1.1.** With regard to positive-definite \( f \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^* \) not contained in \( \mathbb{R}^n \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \), the Kaplansky conjecture is true if and only if the following (*) is true:

(*) If both of \( (A_i, B_i) \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}^2 \) \((i = 1, 2)\) satisfy

(a) \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \),

(b) \( cA_i + dB_i \) is positive-definite for some \( c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \) (i.e., \( d \)-pencil),

(c) \( q_\mathbb{Z}(A_1, B_1) = q_\mathbb{Z}(A_2, B_2) \), then

\((A_1, B_1) = (w, 1) \cdot (A_2, B_2)\) holds for some \( w \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z}) \), or otherwise, \( \{(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2)\} \) equals either of the following as a set, for some \((w_i, v) \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \) \((i = 1, 2)\):
(i) \((w_1, v) \cdot (x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^2))\),
(ii) \((w_1, v) \cdot (x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2, x_1^2 + x_2^2, x_1 + 3x_2))\),
where \(GL_3(\mathbb{Q}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{Q})\) acts on \(\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}^2\) by
\[
\left(\begin{array}{c}
w_1 \\
rt \\
tu
\end{array}\right) \cdot (A, B) = (rA(w_1) + sB(w_2), tA(w_1) + uB(w_2)).
\]

We shall say that a pair \((A, B) \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}^2\) is singular, if \(\det(Ax - By) = 0\) as a polynomial in \(k[x, y]\), and non-singular otherwise. A non-singular \((A, B)\) is said to be anisotropic over \(k\) if \(A(x) = B(x) = 0\) does not hold for any \(0 \neq x \in k^n\). It should be noted that any pair \((A, B) \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^n)^* \otimes k^2\) with \(n \geq 3\) is a d-pencil if and only if \((A, B)\) is non-singular and anisotropic over \(\mathbb{R}\) [10] (cf. [23]).

It can be proved without difficulty that (*) holds true in the following case:

**Proposition 1.2.** If \(\det(A_ix - B_iy) = 0\) has a multiple root (for at least one of \(i = 1, 2\)), the above (*) holds true.

Motivated by the Kaplansky conjecture and Proposition 1.1 the following is proved in this article.

**Theorem 1.** We assume that \((A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2) \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}^2\) satisfy
(a) \(A_i\) and \(B_i\) are linearly independent over \(\mathbb{Q}\).
(b') \((A_i, B_i)\) is non-singular and anisotropic over \(\mathbb{Q}\).
(c') \(q_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_1, B_1) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_2, B_2)\).

In this case, \((r_1A_1, r_1B_1)\) is equivalent to \((r_2A_2, r_2B_2)\) by the action of \(GL_3(\mathbb{Q}) \times \{1\}\) for any integers \(r_1, r_2\) that satisfy \(r_1^{-1}\det(A_1x - B_1) = r_2^{-1}\det(A_2x - B_2)\).

Since (b') is obtained by replacing \(\mathbb{R}\) in (b) with \(\mathbb{Q}\), Theorem 1 handles a more general case than Proposition 1.1. In the proof, one-to-one correspondence between the set of pairs of quadratic forms and the set of quartic rings and its resolvent cubic rings [2], is used.

We shall explain the outline of the proof of Theorem 1 as proved in Proposition 7.1 the situation of Theorem 1 leads to \(\det(A_1x - B_1y) = c\det(A_2x - B_2y)\) for some \(c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times\). This and \(q_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_1, B_1) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_2, B_2)\) imply that both \((A_i, B_i)\) can be transformed to another pairs \((\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_i)\) \((i =
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1, 2) that correspond to quartic $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_i(x))$, by the action of some $(W_i, V) \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q}) \times GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ (Lemma 5.2). Proposition 7.1 also implies that if the resolvent cubic polynomial of $f_i(x)$ is denoted by $f_i^{res}(x)$, $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_i^{res}(x)) (i = 1, 2)$ are isomorphic as $k$-algebras. Since the above $V$ is common, $(A_i, B_i)$ also satisfy (a), (b') and (c'). In particular, (b') implies that $f_i(x) = 0 (i = 1, 2)$ have a root in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_i^{res}(x))$ completely splits over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with regard to the same set of primes $p$ (Corollaries 4.3, 5.3). Thus, $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_i(x)) (i = 1, 2)$ are isomorphic as $k$-algebras (Lemma 7.3). Theorem 11 and some relation formula between $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ (Proposition 7.2) are obtained as a result.

In crystallography, in order to determine the crystal lattice (i.e., the equivalence class over $\mathbb{Z}$ of a positive-definite ternary quadratic form $f$ with real coefficients) from information about $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras ($W_i, V$) that is extracted from the experimental data, it has been recognized that some $f \not\sim g$ have the perfectly identical representations over $\mathbb{Z}$ ([15], cf. [18]). A three-dimensional lattice is hexagonal if and only if it has a basis $v_1, v_2, v_3$ satisfying

$$(v_i \cdot v_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} c & -c/2 & 0 \\ -c/2 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. A three-dimensional lattice is rhombohedral if and only if it has a basis satisfying

$$(v_i \cdot v_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} c + d & -c/2 + d & -c/2 + d \\ -c/2 + d & c + d & -c/2 + d \\ -c/2 + d & -c/2 + d & c + d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c + d & -c/2 + d & 3d \\ -c/2 + d & c + d & 3d \\ 3d & 3d & 9d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, (i) $c(x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2) + d x_3^2$ and (ii) $c(x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2) + d(x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3)^2 (c, d \in \mathbb{R})$ parameterize all hexagonal and rhombohedral lattices, respectively.

### 2 Notation and symbols

Throughout this paper, a quadratic form $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} s_{ij} x_i x_j$ is always identified with the symmetric matrix $(s_{ij})$ with $s_{ii}$ in the $(i, i)$-entry and $s_{ij}/2$ in the $(i, j)$-entry. For any quadratic forms $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m), g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, their direct sum is the $(n+m)$-ary quadratic form $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) + g(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+n}),$
and denoted by \( f \perp g \). A quadratic form \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i^2 \) is represented as a diagonal matrix or \([c_1, \ldots, c_n]\). In particular, \([c]\) means the unary quadratic form \( cx^2\).

In Tables 1, 2, presenting the search result, the ternary quadratic forms are reduced, in the sense that they are Minkowski-reduced Eq. (A.2) and satisfy the boundary conditions C1–5 provided by Eisenstein [9]:

(C1) \( s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23} > 0 \) or \( s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23} \leq 0 \),

(C2) \( s_{11} = s_{22} \implies |s_{23}| \leq |s_{13}| \),

(C3) \( s_{22} = s_{33} \implies |s_{13}| \leq |s_{12}| \).

(C4) case of positive Eisenstein forms \( (i. e., s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23} > 0) \): for any distinct \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3 \), \( s_{ii} = 2|s_{ij}| \implies |s_{ik}| \leq 2|s_{jk}| \).

(C5) case of non-positive Eisenstein forms \( (i.e., s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23} \leq 0) \): for any distinct \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3 \), \( s_{ii} = 2|s_{ij}| \implies s_{ik} = 0 \). In addition,

\[
\begin{align*}
  & s_{11} + s_{22} = 2|s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{23}| \implies s_{11} \leq |s_{12} + 2s_{13}|.
\end{align*}
\]

With regard to the notation for pairs of quadratic forms and quartic rings, those of [16], in addition to [2] are adopted herein. For any unitary commutative ring \( R \), \( (R^n)^* := \text{Hom}(R^n, R) \) has the structure of a finitely-generated free \( R \)-module. For any \( R \)-module, \( \text{Sym}^i M \) is the \( i \)-th symmetric power of \( M \), and \( \Lambda^i M \) is the \( i \)-th exterior power of \( M \). If \( \text{char } R \neq 2 \), \( \text{Sym}^2 (R^n)^* \) and \( \text{Sym}^2 (R^n)^* \otimes_R R^n \) can be naturally identified with the set of all the \( n \)-ary quadratic forms over \( R \), and the set of all the \( s \)-tuples of \( n \)-ary quadratic forms over \( R \), respectively.

It is said that \( f, g \in \text{Sym}^2 (R^n)^* \) are equivalent over \( R \), and denoted by \( f \sim_R g \), if there exists \( w \in \text{GL}_n(R) \) such that \( f(xw) = g(x) \). For any subring \( R_2 \subset R \) and \( f \in \text{Sym}^2 (R^n)^* \), the elements of \( q_{R_2}(f) := \{ f(v) : 0 \neq v \in R_2^n \} \) are called the representations of \( f \) over \( R_2 \).

Throughout this paper, \( k \) is a global field with \( \text{char } k \neq 2 \), although some statements hold true for any fields. The algebraic closure of \( k \) is always denoted by \( \bar{k} \). As in the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces, \( \text{Sym}^2(k^3)^* \otimes_k k^2 \) and \( \text{GL}_3(k) \times \text{GL}_2(k) \) are denoted by \( V_k \) and \( G_k \), respectively.

The discriminant \( \text{Disc}(P(x, y)) \) of a polynomial \( P(x, y) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\alpha_j x - \beta_j y) \) is defined by \( \text{Disc}(P(x, y)) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\alpha_j \beta_k - \alpha_k \beta_j)^2 \). The resolvent of a quartic polynomial \( f(x) := x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 \) is the cubic polynomial \( f^{\text{res}}(x) := x^3 - a_2 x^2 + (a_1 a_3 - 4a_0)x + (4a_0 a_2 - a_1^2 - a_0 a_3^2) \).
For any \((A, B) \in V_k\), \(\text{Disc}(4\det(Ax - By))\) is denoted by \(\text{Disc}(A, B)\).
\((Q_k(A, B), \langle 1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle)\) and \((R_k(A, B), \langle 1, \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle)\) denote the quartic \(k\)-algebra and its resolvent cubic algebra with their bases, assigned to \((A, B)\)
by Eq.(4.5), (4.6) and (4.8).

3 A table of quadratic forms with the same representations over \(\mathbb{Z}\)

The algorithm used to exhaustively search for sets of positive-definite ternary quadratic forms with the identical representations is presented in Table 4 of Section [A] with all the discussions to prove that the algorithm actually works.

The following \(P1–3\) describe the searched region; for each quadratic form \(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} s_{ij}x_ix_j\) that satisfies the following conditions, all the representations less than a given threshold are computed as a sorted set \(\Lambda = \langle q_1, \ldots, q_t \rangle\), and passed to the algorithm as an input. During the execution of the algorithm, the computer program always checks if the threshold is large enough to output all the forms with the required properties.

\(P1\) all of \(s_{ij}\) are integral and their greatest common divisor is 1.

\(P2\) The form is reduced, i.e., satisfies Eq.(A.2) and C1–5 in Section [2]

\(P3\) \(s_{33} \leq 115\).

All quadratic forms over \(\mathbb{Q}\) (or their scalar multiples) can be contained in the searched region by increasing the number 115 in (P3). The algorithm was also applied to all (possibly) regular quadratic forms in the tables of [13], in order to check that all the pairs of regular forms are contained in the output.

The results are presented in Tables [1–3]. By using a computer, the quadratic forms in each set have been confirmed to have the identical representations over \(\mathbb{Z}\) up to 3,000,000. The set contained in either of the hexagonal and rhombohedral families were removed from the output.

Overall, 53 sets consisting of 151 quadratic forms were obtained as the candidates that may have the completely identical representations as a non-equivalent form. It should be noted that all of them can be obtained if the algorithm in Section [A] is carried out under the constraint \(s_{33} \leq 48\). Since the number is small compared with the upper bound 115 of the searched region, we expect that the 53 cases, in addition to those in the hexagonal
Table 1: Ternary positive-definite quadratic forms with the same representations over \( \mathbb{Z} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Determinant (Ratio)</th>
<th>( s_{11} )</th>
<th>( s_{22} )</th>
<th>( s_{33} )</th>
<th>( s_{12} )</th>
<th>( s_{13} )</th>
<th>( s_{23} )</th>
<th>Integers not represented by the genus</th>
<th>Bravais Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+1} ) (8)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 8n + 7, 2(^2)(4n + 1), 2(^2)(4n + 2), 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 8n + 7, 2(^2)(4n + 1), 2(^2)(4n + 2), 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 2, 4n + 3, 8n + 1, 2(^2)(4n + 2), 2(^2)(4n + 3), 3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4n + 2, 4n + 3, 8n + 1, 2(^2)(4n + 2), 2(^2)(4n + 3), 3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 8n + 7, 2(^2)(4n + 1), 2(^2)(4n + 2), 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 3n + 1, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 2, 4n + 3, 3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( \frac{+2}{+3} ) (8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4n + 3, 4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 3, 4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3n + 1, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4n + 2, 3n + 1, 3(3n + 1), 2(^{2k+1})(8n + 7)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4n + 2, 3n + 1, 3(3n + 1), 2(^{2k+1})(8n + 7)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>( \frac{+3}{+2} ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3(^{2k})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \)The mark \( ** \) indicates that the form provides the only class in its genus. Therefore, it is regular.  
\( ^b \)The mark \( * \) indicates that the form is regular, although its genus consists of more than one class.  
\( ^c \)The notation \( *! \) indicates that the form is one of the 14 quadratic forms that may be regular. The regularity has been proved only under the generalized Riemann Hypothesis [19].
Table 2: Ternary positive-definite quadratic forms with the same representations over \( \mathbb{Z} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Determinant</th>
<th>( s_{11} )</th>
<th>( s_{22} )</th>
<th>( s_{33} )</th>
<th>( s_{12} )</th>
<th>( s_{13} )</th>
<th>( s_{23} )</th>
<th>Integers not represented by the genus</th>
<th>Bravais Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>**3(^2)5 (5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4n + 2, 3n + 1, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)3(^3) (8)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**3(^2)11 (11)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>**3(^2)5 (5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)2(^2) (8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4n + 2, 3(^2)(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)11 (11)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>**3(^2)3(^3) (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)11 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4n + 2, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**3(^2)11 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>**2(^3)3(^3) (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>4n + 2, 4n + 3, 2(^{2k})(8n + 5)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)11 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>**3(^2)3 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2(^{2k})(8n + 5)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**3(^2)11 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3) (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 3 (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 11 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(^{2k+1})(8n + 5)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 3 (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5 (1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cubic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 6 (4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4n + 1, 4n + 2, 2(^{2k})(8n + 7)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 4(^2) (9)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>**2(^3) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4n + 2, 2(^{2k+1})(8n + 7)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 3 (9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>**3 (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cubic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(^{2k})(8n + 7)</td>
<td>Tetragonal(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3) (9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 3 (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 3(^3) (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3n − 1, 3(^{2k+1})(3n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 3(^3)\cdot 11 (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^2) (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^2)\cdot 3(^2)\cdot 5(^2) (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5(^{2k})(5n + 2)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^2) (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5 (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^3) (3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5(^{2k+1})(5n + 2)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5 (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^2)\cdot 2 (1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhombohedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^2) (4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5(^{2k})(5n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^2) (4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5(^2) (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhombohedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5 \cdot 5 (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>5(^{2k+1})(5n + 1)</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 5 (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4n + 2, 4n + 3, 2(^{2k})(8n + 1)</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2(^{2k})(8n + 1)</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**2(^3)\cdot 13 (39)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Ternary positive-definite quadratic forms with the same represen-
tations over \( \mathbb{Z} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Determinant (Ratio)</th>
<th>( s_{11} )</th>
<th>( s_{22} )</th>
<th>( s_{33} )</th>
<th>( s_{12} )</th>
<th>( s_{13} )</th>
<th>( s_{23} )</th>
<th>Integers not represented by the genus</th>
<th>Bravais Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 7 ) (7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhombohedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^4 \times 5 ) (15)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>( 4n+2, 4n+3, 2^{2k}(8n+1) )</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^4 \times 23 ) (23)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 2^7 \times 26 )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 7 ) (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhombohedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3 \times 5 ) (15)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 23 ) (23)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>( 2^{2k}(8n+1) )</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^2 \times 27 ) (28)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 7^2 ) (7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhombohedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3 \times 5 ) (15)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( 2^{2k+1}(8n+1) )</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 23 ) (23)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 7 ) (28)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^2 ) (1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rhombohedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^3 ) (4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^3 ) (4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 7 ) (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^2 ) (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^2 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 3^{2k}(3n-1) )</td>
<td>Tetragonal(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^2 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 7 ) (7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^3 ) (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^3 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 3^{2k+1}(3n-1) )</td>
<td>Tetragonal(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^3 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 7 ) (7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^3 ) (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^2 \times 3^3 ) (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>( 3n-1, 3^{2k+1}(3n-1) )</td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 3^3 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^3 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3 ) (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cubic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3 ) (4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetragonal(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^2 \times 3 ) (9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 3^3 ) (16)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 5 ) (2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 5 ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3^3 ) (8)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3^3 ) (8)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3^3 \times 11 ) (11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>( 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 5 ) (2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetragonal(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 5 ) (5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 8 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 3^{2k}(3n+1) )</td>
<td>Tetragonal(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3^2 \times 8 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2^2 \times 3^2 \times 11 ) (11)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>( 2 \times 3 ) (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetragonal(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3 ) (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthorhombic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3 ) (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 3^{2k+1}(3n+1) )</td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3 ) (8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \times 2 \times 3 \times 11 ) (11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monoclinic(C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and rhombohedral families, provide all the searched quadratic forms, up to the action of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and constant multiple.

The tables also have information about the regularity of each quadratic form. This is based on the tables of Jagy et al. [13] and [17]. If a form in Tables 1–3 is regular, it is marked with $\ast\ast$ or $\ast$. In the tables, 38 out of the 53 cases consist of regular (or possibly regular) quadratic forms. The others are neither regular nor spinor-regular from the result in [1].

In general, it is difficult to exactly determine the set of integral representations for a ternary quadratic form (cf. [20]). Although it is occasionally possible to prove that the forms have the same representations, without providing the exact set of their representations, as done for the hexagonal and the rhombohedral families, the author could not do this for the non-regular forms in the tables. When two positive-definite quadratic forms $f, g \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^*$ satisfy $q_\mathbb{Z}(f) = q_\mathbb{Z}(g)$, it can be proved that they are equivalent over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $\det f /\det g$ is a square in $\mathbb{Q}^\times$.

4 Preliminaries for the main theorem

Some basic properties on simultaneous representations that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1 are presented herein.

Herein, $k$ is a field with $\text{char} \ k \neq 2$ as defined in Section 2. The following lemmas and Corollary 4.3 are repeatedly used to prove the theorem. Lemma 4.1 can be seen as a generalization of the well-known fact that any pairs of positive-definite quadratic forms are simultaneously diagonalized over $\mathbb{R}$:

**Lemma 4.1.** For any $(A, B) \in \text{Sym}^2(k^n)^* \otimes_k k^2$ with $\det A \neq 0$, let $K \subset \bar{k}$ (resp., $K_2 \subset \bar{k}$) be the field generated by all the roots (resp., all the roots of multiplicity $> 1$) of $\det(Ax - B) = 0$ in $\bar{k}$ over $k$. If $(A, B)$ is anisotropic over $K_2$, then $A$ and $B$ are simultaneously diagonalized by the action of $GL_3(K)$. Consequently, for any $\alpha \in K$, the rank of $A\alpha - B$ equals $n$ minus the multiplicity of $\alpha$ as a root of $\det(Ax - B) = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $w \in GL_n(K)$ be the matrix that provides a Jordan decomposition of $S := A^{-1}B$. Since $B$ is symmetric, we have $\langle wBw \rangle = \langle wAw \rangle = \langle w^{-1}Sw \rangle \langle wAw \rangle$. Hence, if $w^{-1}Sw$ is diagonal, $(\langle wAw \rangle, \langle wBw \rangle)$ is a simultaneous block-diagonalization of $(A, B)$ and each block corresponds to an eigenspace of $S$. If $[A, \cdots, A_m]$ and $[B, \cdots, B_m]$ are the blocks of $A$ and $B$, then at least one of $A_i, B_i$ is a constant multiple of the other. Hence, $(A, B)$ can be simultaneously diagonalized.
We next assume that $S$ has a Jordan block of size $m > 1$ that corresponds to a multiple root $\alpha \in K_2$ of $\det(Ax - B) = \det A \det(Ix - S) = 0$. Let $S_2, A_2, B_2$ be the $m \times m$ blocks of $w^{-1}Sw$, $^twAw$, $^twBw$, respectively, that correspond to the eigenspace of $\alpha$. Since we have $B_2 = A_2S_2 = 4S_2A_2$, the $(1,1)$-entries of $A_2$ and $B_2$ equal 0. This is impossible since $(A, B)$ is anisotropic over $K_2$. Hence, all the Jordan blocks in $S$ must have size 1. The lemma is proved.

In particular, $K_2 = k$ always holds for $n = 3$. Therefore, any $(A, B) \in V_k$ anisotropic over $k$, is simultaneously diagonalized by the action of $GL_3(K)$.

**Lemma 4.2.** We assume that $(A, B) \in V_k$ is linearly independent, non-singular and anisotropic over $k$, and satisfies $\det A \neq 0$, $\text{Disc}(A, B) \neq 0$. Let $\alpha_i \in \bar{k} \,(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be the roots of $\det(Ax - B) = 0$ and $K_i$ be the Galois closure of $k_i = k(\alpha_i)$ over $k$. We choose $C_i \in \text{Sym}^2(k_i^2)^*$ satisfying $A\alpha_i - B \sim_{k_i} C_i \perp [0]$. Then, $C_i$ is anisotropic over $K_i$ for at least two of $i = 1, 2, 3$ and all of $i$ with $k_i \neq k$.

**Proof.** Since $\text{Disc}(A, B) \neq 0$, the vector $0 \neq v_i \in k_i^3$ with $(A\alpha_i - B)v_i = 0$ is uniquely determined, up to constant multiple of $k_i^2$. From $(A\alpha_i - B)v_i = 0$ and $(A\alpha_j - B)v_j = 0$, we have $^tv_iAv_j = ^tv_iBv_j = 0$ for any distinct $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Hence, if $w$ equals the matrix $^t(v_1 v_2 v_3)$, then $wA^tw$ and $wB^tw$ are diagonal. It may be assumed that $[d_1, d_2, d_3]$ and $[d_1\alpha_1, d_2\alpha_2, d_3\alpha_3]$ are diagonal entries of $A$ and $B$. For any $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, if $k_i, k_j \neq k$ are conjugate over $k$, it may be assumed that the isomorphism $\sigma_{ij} : k_i \rightarrow k_j$ over $k$ induced by $\alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_j$ maps $v_i$ to $v_j$. Then, $\sigma_{ij}$ maps $d_i$ to $d_j$. We now have the following:

$$
(w, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}) \cdot (A, B) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(4.1)

In what follows, the pair in the right-hand side is denoted by $(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$. For the proof, we may assume one of the following:

(i) $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k$,

(ii) $k_1, k_2$ are quadratic over $k$,

(iii) $k_1, k_2, k_3$ are cubic over $k$.

We shall show that the assumption that the diagonal $D_1 = [d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2), d_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)]$ is isotropic over $K_1$ and $D_2 = [d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2), d_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)]$ is isotropic over $K_2$, leads to a contradiction. In fact, the assumption holds if and
only if some \( \beta_1 \in K_1, \beta_2 \in K_2 \) satisfy \( d_2d_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) = -\beta_1^2 \) and \( d_1d_3(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) = -\beta_2^2 \). Then, \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})(x) = 0\) holds, if we put \( x := (-\beta_2/d_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2), \beta_1/d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2), \pm 1) \). Hence, \((A, B)\) is isotropic over \( k\) in case (i). The same is true in case (ii), since \((k, \pi) = 1\), \(B\) is anisotropic, \(\tilde{A}\) is isotropic, and \(\tilde{B}\) is anisotropic over \(K_1 = K_2 = K_3\) for all \(i = 1, 2, 3\) due to conjugacy. There exist \( \beta_i \in k_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) such that either of the following holds for every distinct \(1 \leq h, i, j \leq 3\), considering that \(d_i d_j(\alpha_h - \alpha_i)(\alpha_h - \alpha_j)\) belongs to \(k_h\):

\[
\begin{align*}
&d_i d_j(\alpha_h - \alpha_i)(\alpha_h - \alpha_j) = -\beta_h^2, \\
&d_i d_j(\alpha_h - \alpha_i)(\alpha_h - \alpha_j) = -\beta_h^2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

It is possible to choose \( \beta_i \) so that \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \) are conjugate. If we put \( x_2 := (1/\beta_1, 1/\beta_2, 1/\beta_3) \), we have \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})(x_2) = (A, B)(x_2^tw) = 0 \) and \(x_2w \in k^3\).

Thus, the lemma is proved.

In general, for any global field \( k \), prime \( v \) of \( k \), and \((A_i, B_i) \in V_k \) \((i = 1, 2)\), if we start from \( q_k(A_1, B_1) = q_k(A_2, B_2) \), the following are immediately obtained:

\((C1)\) If both \((A_1, B_1)\) and \((A_2, B_2)\) are anisotropic over \(k_v\), \(q_{k_v}(A_1, B_1) = q_{k_v}(A_2, B_2)\), since the topological closure of \(q_k(A_i, B_i)\) in \(k_v^2\) equals \(\{(0, 0)\} \cup q_{k_v}(A_i, B_i)\).

\((C2)\) If both \((A_1, B_1)\) and \((A_2, B_2)\) are isotropic over \(k_v\), \(q_{k_v}(A_1\pi - B_1) = q_{k_v}(A_2\pi - B_2) = k_v\) for any \(\pi \in k_v\).

\((C3)\) If \((A_1, B_1)\) is anisotropic and \((A_2, B_2)\) is isotropic over \(k_v\), \(A_1\pi - B_1\) is isotropic over \(k_v\) for any \(\pi \in k_v\).

As a corollary of Lemma 4.2, \((C3)\) is excluded in the following case:

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( v \) be a prime of \( k \). We assume that \((A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2) \in V_k\) satisfy \(q_k(A_1, B_1) = q_k(A_2, B_2)\), and \(\det(A_1 x - B_1 y)\) completely splits in \(k_v\). In this case, either of the above \((C1)\) or \((C2)\) is true, hence \(q_{k_v}(A_1\pi - B_1) = q_{k_v}(A_2\pi - B_2)\) for any \(\pi \in k_v\). In particular, \((A_1, B_1)\) is isotropic over \(k_v\) if and only if so is \((A_2, B_2)\).

For understanding of the following sections, we need to recall that a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of \(V_\mathbb{Z} := \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2\) and all pairs of a quartic ring and its resolvent ring was recently proved in [2].
The result was generalized to the case of any base scheme $S$. Herein, the generalization for Dedekind domains of [16] is adopted.

In the following of this section, as in [16], $R$ is always a Dedekind domain, i.e., a Noetherian, integrally closed integral domain that has the property that every nonzero prime ideal is maximal. Therefore, any fields are also a Dedekind domain. A finitely generated, torsion-free $R$-module is called a lattice over $R$. If $M$ is a lattice over $R$ and $k$ is the field of fractions of $R$, the rank of $M$ is defined as the dimension of $M \otimes_R k$ over $k$. A unitary commutative associative $R$-algebra is called a quartic ring (resp. cubic ring), if it has rank 4 (resp. 3) as a lattice over $R$. When we put $L := Q/R$, $M := C/R$ and $L^* := \text{Hom}(L, R)$, a quadratic map means an element of $\text{Sym}^2 L^* \otimes_R M$.

**Definition 4.4.** Let $R$ be a Dedekind domain. For any quartic ring $Q$, its cubic resolvent ring $C$ (also called numerical resolvent in [16]) is defined as the $R$-algebra with the following properties:

- It is equipped with an $R$-module isomorphism $\theta : \Lambda^2(C/R) \to \Lambda^3(Q/R)$ and a quadratic map $\phi : Q/R \to C/R$ such that
  \[
  (4.2) \quad x \wedge y \wedge xy = \theta(\phi(x) \wedge \phi(y)) \text{ for any } x, y \in Q/R.
  \]

- The multiplicative structure of $C$ is determined by
  \[
  (4.3) \quad x \wedge x^2 \wedge x^3 = \theta(\phi(x) \wedge \phi(x)^2) \text{ for any } x \in Q/R,
  \]
  where $\phi(x)^2$ is the square $\tilde{\phi}(x)^2$ of any lift $\tilde{\phi} : Q \to C$ of $\phi$.

The quadratic map $\phi$ is called the resolvent map of $(Q, C)$.

If $R$ is a field, and $Q = R[\alpha]$ i.e., the quartic extension by $\alpha$, the classical resolvent map from $Q$ to $R$ is defined by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \alpha' + \alpha'' \alpha'''$, by using the conjugates $\alpha'$, $\alpha''$, $\alpha'''$ of $\alpha$ over $R$. The following explains a generalization of the Bhargava correspondence for quartic rings to the case over a Dedekind domain:

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 1.4 [16]). Let $R$ be a Dedekind domain. There is a canonical bijection between

1. Isomorphism classes of pairs $(Q, C)$, where $Q$ is a quartic ring and $C$ is a cubic resolvent ring of $Q$. 

(ii) Quadruples \((L, M, \theta, \phi)\), where \(L\) and \(M\) are lattices of ranks 3 and 2 over \(R\), respectively, \(\theta : \Lambda^2 M \to \Lambda^3 L\) is an isomorphism and \(\phi : L \to M\) is a quadratic map.

Under this bijection, the identifications \(Q/R \cong L\) and \(C/R \cong M\) are obtained. Any quartic ring \(Q\) has a cubic resolvent, and if \(Q\) is Dedekind, the resolvent is unique.

In what follows, we explain how the pair of \(Q\) and \(R\) in (i), is associated with \((L, M, \theta, \phi)\) in (ii).

Since \(R\) is a Dedekind domain, the lattice \(Q/R\) is isomorphic to \(a_i \xi_1 \oplus a_2 \xi_2 \oplus a_3 \xi_3\) for some \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in Q/R\) and ideals \(a_1, a_2, a_3\) of \(R\). Similarly, \(C/R\) is isomorphic to \(b_1 \omega_1 \oplus b_2 \omega_2\) for some \(\omega_1, \omega_2 \in C/R\) and ideals \(b_1, b_2\) of \(R\). If these \(a_i, \xi_i, b_j, \omega_j\) are fixed, the quadratic map \(\phi : Q/R \to C/R\) is uniquely associated with a pair of ternary quadratic forms \((A, B)\) over the fraction field \(k\), by

\[
\phi(x_1 \xi_1 + x_2 \xi_2 + x_3 \xi_3) = B(x_1, x_2, x_3)\omega_1 + A(x_1, x_2, x_3)\omega_2.
\]

If we put \(L := Q/R\), \(Q\) is isomorphic to \(R \oplus L\) as an \(R\)-module. Let \(\xi_i \in Q\) \((1 \leq i \leq 3)\) be the element corresponding to \(\xi_i\) in \(Q/R\). We first note that Eq. (4.4) implies

\[
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \xi_i\right) \land \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} y_i \xi_i\right) = \theta \left(\phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \tilde{\xi}_i\right) \land \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} y_i \tilde{\xi}_i\right)\right).
\]

The multiplicative structure of \(Q\), i.e., all \(c_{ij}^k \in R\) of the equalities \(\xi_i \xi_j = c_{ij}^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_{ijk}^k \xi_k\), is determined from Eq. (4.2) as follows; we denote the coefficients of \(A\) and \(B\) by \(A(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} a_{ij} x_i x_j\), \(B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} b_{ij} x_i x_j\). We then have

\[
(\xi_1 \land \xi_2 \land \xi_3) = \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij}^1 x_i y_j \\ x_2 & y_2 & \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij}^2 x_i y_j \\ x_3 & y_3 & \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij}^3 x_i y_j \end{vmatrix} = -\theta (\omega_1 \land \omega_2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq 3} x_i y_k y_l \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{ij} \\ a_{kl} & b_{kl} \end{vmatrix}.
\]

Replacing each \(\xi_i\) by \(-\xi_i\) if necessary, we can fix the sign as follows:

\[
\begin{vmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij}^1 x_i y_j \\ x_2 & y_2 & \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij}^2 x_i y_j \\ x_3 & y_3 & \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij}^3 x_i y_j \end{vmatrix} = -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq 3} x_i y_k y_l \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{ij} \\ a_{kl} & b_{kl} \end{vmatrix}.
\]
Comparing the coefficients of each term, the following equations are obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_{ii}^j &= \epsilon \lambda_{ik}^j, \\
    c_{ij}^k &= \epsilon \lambda_{ii}^j, \\
    c_{ij}^l - c_{ik}^j &= \epsilon \lambda_{jk}^i, \\
    c_{ii}^l - c_{ij}^j - c_{ik}^j &= \epsilon \lambda_{ij}^l, \quad \lambda_{ik}^j := \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{ij} \\ a_{kl} & b_{kl} \end{vmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

(4.5)

where \((i, j, k)\) denotes any permutation of \((1, 2, 3)\) and \(\epsilon = \pm 1\) is its sign. The \(c_{ij}^0\) are determined from the associative law of \(Q\). Consequently, all \(c_{ij}^k \in R\), are uniquely determined, up to the transformations given by \(c_{ij}^l \mapsto c_{ij}^l + a\), \(c_{ij}^l \mapsto c_{ij}^l + a, c_{ii}^j \mapsto c_{ii}^j + 2a (a \in Q)\) which corresponds to the replacement of \(\xi_i\) by \(\xi_i + a\). In order to uniquely determine \(c_{ij}^k\), the following constraints were applied in [2]:

\[
(4.6) \\
    c_{12}^1 = c_{12}^2 = c_{13}^1 = 0.
\]

If we put \(f_{\det}(x, y) := 4 \det(Ax - By)\), the following equation holds for any \(x = \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \xi_i \in Q/R\).

\[
(4.7) \\
    x \wedge x^2 \wedge x^3 = f_{\det}(B(x_1, x_2, x_3), A(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \wedge \xi_3.
\]

Hence, the ring structure of \(C\) determined by Eq. (4.3), is same as that provided by \(4 \det(Ax - By)\) under the Delone-Faddeev-Gan-Gross-Savin correspondence ([7], [11]). If the coefficients are denoted by \(4 \det(Ax - By) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3\), the basis \(\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle\) of \(C/R\) as an \(R\)-algebra is lifted to a basis \(\langle 1, \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle\) of \(C\) that satisfies:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \omega_1^2 &= -ac + b\omega_1 - a\omega_2, \\
    \omega_1\omega_2 &= -ad, \\
    \omega_2^2 &= -bd + d\omega_1 - c\omega_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.8)

5 A canonical form for elements of \(V_k\)

Herein, the method to obtain a canonical form of \((A, B) \in V_k\) is discussed, assuming that \(\text{char } k \neq 2, 3\).

Lemma 5.1. For any \(h_0 \in k\) and \(h := (h_1, h_2, h_3) \in k^3\), if we put \(\alpha := h_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} h_j \xi_j \in Q_k(A, B)\), the following holds:

\(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3\) is a basis of \(Q_k(A, B)\) over \(k \iff \det(B(h)A - A(h)B) \neq 0\).
When \((A, B)\) is non-singular and anisotropic over \(k\), \(Q_k(A, B)\) contains such an \(\alpha\) if and only if \(A, B\) are linearly independent over \(k\).

Proof. For any element \(\alpha \in Q_k(A, B)\), we denote the image of \(\alpha\) by the natural epimorphism \(Q_k(A, B) \rightarrow Q_k(A, B)/k \cdot 1\) by \(\overline{\alpha}\). By Eq. (4.7), the following matrix \(M\) satisfies \(\det M = 4 \det(B(h)A - A(h)B)\):

\[
M \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\alpha} \\ \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The first statement is obtained from this. With regard to the second one, the only-if part immediately follows from the fact that \(Q_k(A, B)\) is isomorphic to \(k[x, y, z]/(x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, yz, xz)\), if \(A, B\) are linearly dependent. We now prove the if part. If \(\det(Ax - By) = 0\) does not have roots \([x : y] \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)\), then \(\det(B(h)A - A(h)B) = 0 \iff A(h) = B(h) = 0\). However, none of \(0 \neq h \in k^3\) satisfies this, owing to the anisotropy of \((A, B)\). If \(\emptyset \neq \Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^1(k)\) is the set of all the roots of \(\det(Ax - By) = 0\), then,

\[
\det(B(h)A - A(h)B) = 0 \iff uA(h) = vB(h) \text{ for some } [u : v] \in \Pi.
\]

Since \(uA - vB\) is a non-zero quadratic form, if the cardinality of \(\Pi\) is 1, some \(h \in k^3\) does not satisfy \(uA(h) = vB(h)\). Otherwise, all roots of \(\det(Ax - By) = 0\) belong to \(\mathbb{P}^1(k)\), hence, \((A, B)\) is simultaneously diagonalized over \(k\) by Lemma [4.1]. Thus, it is easily seen that this case is eliminated as well.

In the following, for fixed \((A, B) \in V_k\), we will take \(\alpha\) as in Lemma [5.1] and put \(ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3 := 4 \det(Ax - By)\). Let \(\text{ch}_\alpha(x) := x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0\) be the characteristic polynomial of \(\alpha \in Q_k(A, B)\). From Eq. (4.3), these \(a_i\) \((0 \leq i \leq 3)\) are represented as an integral polynomial of \(h_i\) \((0 \leq i \leq 3)\) and the coefficients of \(A, B\).

It was pointed out in [2] that the image of \(\alpha \in Q_Z(A, B)\) by the resolvent map equals \(z + B(h)\omega_1 + A(h)\omega_2\) for some \(z \in Z\). In general, it can be verified by direct calculation that the following equality holds as a polynomial of \(h_i\) and the coefficients of \(A, B\).

\[
\text{ch}_\alpha^{m\times}(x) = (x - z)^3 + (cA(h) - bB(h))\alpha(x - z)^2
\]
\[
+ \{bdA(h)^2 + (3ad - bc)A(h)B(h) + acB(h)^2\}(x - z)
\]
\[
+ ad^2A(h)^3 - (b^2 - 2acd)A(h)^2B(h) + (ac^2 - 2abd)A(h)B(h)^2 - a^2dB(h)^3
\]
\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( x - z + aB(h)\frac{u(i)}{v(i)} - dA(h)\frac{v(i)}{u(i)} \right),
\]

(5.2)
where \([u^{(i)} : v^{(i)}] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{k})\) \((1 \leq i \leq 3)\) are the roots of \(4 \det(Ax - By) = 0\). The \(z\) equals \((a_2 + cA(h) - bB(h))/3\), which is obtained by comparing the coefficients of \(x^2\).

**Lemma 5.2.** We assume that \((A, B) \in V_k\) is linearly independent, nonsingular and anisotropic over \(k\). As in Lemma 5.1 \(\alpha \in Q_k(A, B)\) and \(h \in k^3\) that satisfies \(\det(B(h)A - A(h)B) \neq 0\) are fixed. When \(\text{ch}_\alpha(x) = x^4 + a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0\) is the characteristic polynomial of \(\alpha\), let \(\Lambda := \langle 1, \alpha, \alpha^2 + a_2, \alpha^3 + a_3\alpha^2 + a_2\alpha + a_1 \rangle\) be a basis of \(k[\alpha]\). Let \((R, \Phi)\) be the cubic \(k\)-algebra corresponding to the resolvent polynomial \(\text{ch}^{res}_\alpha(x + a_2/3)\). Then, \((k[\alpha], \Lambda)\) and \((R, \Phi)\) coincide with the quartic ring \(Q_k(\bar{A}, \bar{B})\) and the resolvent cubic \(R_k(\bar{A}, \bar{B})\) of the following \((\bar{A}, \bar{B})\).

\begin{equation}
\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1/2 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1/2 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = -\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a_3/2 & a_2/6 \\
a_3/2 & 2a_2/3 & a_1/2 \\
a_2/6 & a_1/2 & a_0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** It is straightforward to verify by direct computation that \(\Lambda\) satisfies Eq. (5.16), and \((\bar{A}, \bar{B})\) corresponds to the pair of \((k[\alpha], \Lambda)\) and \((R, \Phi)\).

In particular, we have \(4 \det(\bar{A}x - \bar{B}) = \text{ch}^{res}_\alpha(x + a_2/3)\). We shall construct \((W, V) \in G_k\) such that \((\bar{A}, \bar{B}) = (W, V) \cdot (A, B)\) holds; when \(\langle 1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle\) is the basis of \(Q_k(A, B)\), let \(W\) be the matrix uniquely determined by:

\[W \begin{pmatrix}
\xi_1 \\
\xi_2 \\
\xi_3 \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
a_3 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha \\ \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^3 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The determinant is given by \(\det W = 4 \det(B(h)A - A(h)B) \neq 0\). We define \(V \in GL_2(k)\) by

\[V := \det W^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
(cA(h) - bB(h))/3 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
B(h) & -A(h) \\
-cA(h)B(h) - dA(h)^2 & -aB(h)^2 - bA(h)B(h) \\
\end{pmatrix},\]

which is derived from the following equation obtained by using Eq. (5.2)

\[4 \det(Ax - By) = a \prod_{i=1}^{3} (x - (u^{(i)}/v^{(i)})y)\]

\[\text{ch}^{res}_\alpha \left( x + \frac{a_2 + cA(h) - bB(h)}{3} \right) = \frac{4 \det(B(h)A - A(h)B)}{\det W} \text{ch}^{res}_\alpha \left( x + \frac{a_2 + cA(h) - bB(h)}{3} \right)\]

\[= \frac{a}{\det W} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left( B(h) - \frac{u^{(i)}}{v^{(i)}} A(h) \right) \left( x + aB(h) \frac{u^{(i)}}{v^{(i)}} - dA(h) \frac{v^{(i)}}{u^{(i)}} \right)\]

\[= \frac{a}{\det W} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ B(h)x + cA(h)B(h) + dA(h)^2 - \frac{u^{(i)}}{v^{(i)}} (A(h)x - aB(h)^2 - bA(h)B(h)) \right\}\]

\[= (\det W^{-1}) 4 \det \left( (B(h)x + cA(h)B(h) + dA(h)^2)A + (-A(h)x + aB(h)^2 + bA(h)B(h))B \right).\]
As a result of Lemma 5.2, the following corollary is immediately obtained:

**Corollary 5.3.** We assume that \((A, B)\) and \(\alpha\) are taken as in Lemma 5.2. For any field \(k \subset K \subset \bar{k}\), \((A, B)\) is isotropic over \(K\) if and only if \(\text{ch}_\alpha(x) = 0\) has a root in \(K\).

**Proof.** Using the action of \(G_k\), we may replace \((A, B)\) with \((\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})\) in Eq. (5.3). This is proved as follows:

\[
(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})(x) = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \neq x \in K^3 \iff (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})(s^2, st, t^2) = 0 \text{ for some } [s : t] \in \mathbb{P}^1(K) \iff \text{ch}_\alpha(u) = 0 \text{ for some } u \in K.
\]

\(\square\)

As proved in Section 7, any \((A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2) \in V_k\) can be simultaneously transformed to pairs of the form of Eq. (5.3), if \(\det(A_1x - B_1y) = c \det(A_2x - B_2y)\) for some \(c \in k^\times\), and some \((q_A, q_B) \in q_k(A_1, B_1) \cap q_k(A_2, B_2)\) satisfies \(\det(q_BA_i - q_AB_i) \neq 0\).

## 6 Automorphisms of cubic polynomials

The purpose of this section is to prove Lemma 6.1 which is used in the proof of Theorem 1. The assumption \(\text{char } k \neq 2, 3\) is also used herein.

**Lemma 6.1.** If a cubic polynomial \(f(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3 \in k[x, y]\) has no multiple roots and satisfies \((\det V)^{-1} f((x, y)V) = uf(x, y)\) for some \(V \in \text{GL}_2(k)\) and \(u \in k\), then \(V^n = u^nI\) for at least one of \(n = 1, 2, 3\).

**Proof.** Let \([p_i : q_i] \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)\) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) be the roots of \(f(x, y) = 0\). \(V \in \text{GL}_2(k)\) exchanges \([p_i : q_i]\). Hence, \(V^n = vI\) for some \(n = 1, 2, 3\) and \(v \in k\). From \((\det V^n)^{-1} f((x, y)V^n) = vf(x, y) = u^n f(x, y), v = u^n\) is obtained. \(\square\)

In what follows, \(p_i, q_i\) that satisfy \(f(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^3(q_i x - p_i y)\) are fixed. The following examples list all the cases in which \(V \neq I\).

**Example 6.2** (Case \(V^2 = u^2I\)). It may be assumed that \(V\) swaps \([p_1 : q_1]\) and \([p_2 : q_2]\), and fixes \([p_3 : q_3]\). For some \(0 \neq r_1, r_2 \in \bar{k}\),

\[
(6.1) \quad V = u \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & q_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_2 \\ r_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & q_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
From $V^2 = u^2 I$, $r_1 r_2 = 1$ is obtained. $V \left( \begin{array}{c} q_3 \\ -p_3 \end{array} \right) = -u \left( \begin{array}{c} q_3 \\ -p_3 \end{array} \right)$ is also obtained from $(\det V)^{-1} f((x, y)V) = -u^{-2} f((x, y)V) = uf(x, y)$. Hence, $r_1 = r_2^{-1} = -(p_2 q_3 - q_2 p_3)/(p_1 q_3 - q_1 p_3)$. Thus,

\[ -(p_2 q_3 - q_2 p_3)(p_1 q_3 - q_1 p_3)V \]

\[ = \frac{u}{p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} q_2 & -q_1 \\ -p_2 & p_1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & (q_1 p_3 - p_1 q_3)^2 \\ (q_2 p_3 - p_2 q_3)^2 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} p_1 q_3 - q_1 p_3 \\ p_2 q_3 - q_2 p_3 \end{array} \right) \]

\[ = u \left( \frac{p_1 p_2 q_3^2 - p_2^2 q_1 q_2}{(p_1 q_2 + p_2 q_1)^2 - 2p_1 p_2 p_3 q_3} - \frac{p_1 p_2 q_3^2}{-p_1 p_2 q_3^2 + p_2^2 q_1 q_2} \right). \]

Therefore, if we put $C := -1/(p_2 q_3 - q_2 p_3)(p_1 q_3 - q_1 p_3)p_3/\partial f/\partial y(p_3, q_3)$,

\[(6.2) \quad V = uC \begin{pmatrix} bp_3 + cq_3 & -3ap_3 - bq_3 \\ cp_3 + 3dq_3 & -bp_3 - cq_3 \end{pmatrix}. \]

If $[p_3 : q_3] \notin \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, $V \in GL_2(k)$ implies that $3ac = b^2$ and $3bd = c^2$, then $f(x, y) = (3bc)^{-1}(bx + cy)^3$. Since $f$ is assumed to have no multiple roots, we obtain $[p_3 : q_3] \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$.

**Example 6.3** (Case $V^3 = u^3 I$). It may be assumed that $V$ maps $[p_i : q_i]$ to $[p_j : q_j]$ for any $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)$. Since $V^3 = u^3 I$, for some $c_i \in \bar{k}$ with $c_1 c_2 c_3 = u^3$, we have

\[(6.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix} V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_3 \\ c_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We have $\det V = c_1 c_2 c_3 (p_{i_2 q_{i_3}} - p_{i_3 q_{i_2}})/(p_{i_1 q_{i_2}} - p_{i_2 q_{i_1}})$ for any $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)$. Hence,

\[(6.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = c_3 \frac{p_{i_2 q_{i_3}} - p_{i_3 q_{i_2}}}{p_{i_1 q_{i_2}} - p_{i_2 q_{i_1}}} \frac{p_{i_1 q_{i_2}} - p_{i_2 q_{i_1}}}{p_{i_2 q_{i_3}} - p_{i_3 q_{i_2}}}. \]

From $c_1 c_2 c_3 = u^3$, some $\zeta \in \bar{k}$ with $\zeta^3 = 1$ satisfies $c_3 = u \zeta (p_{i_1 q_{i_2}} - p_{i_2 q_{i_1}})/(p_{i_3 q_{i_1}} - p_{i_1 q_{i_3}})$. Thus,

\[(6.5) \quad V = u \zeta \left( \begin{array}{cc} p_1 & q_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 \end{array} \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} p_{i_2 q_{i_3}} - p_{i_3 q_{i_2}} \\ p_{i_3 q_{i_1}} - p_{i_1 q_{i_3}} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} p_2 \\ p_3 \end{array} \right), \begin{array}{c} q_2 \\ q_3 \end{array}. \]

From $\det V = u^2 \zeta^2 \in k, \zeta \in k$ is obtained. Hence, $u$ may be replaced with $u \zeta^{-1}$. If we put $\Delta = (p_{i_1 q_{i_2}} - p_{i_2 q_{i_1}})(p_{i_2 q_{i_3}} - p_{i_3 q_{i_2}})(p_{i_3 q_{i_1}} - p_{i_1 q_{i_3}})$, we have

$\text{Disc}(f(x, 1)) = \Delta^2$ and

\[ \Delta = -2(p_{i_2 q_{i_3}}^2 + p_{i_3 q_{i_2}}^2 q_3 + p_{i_1 q_{i_2}}^2 q_3 q_2) - bc + 3ad \]

\[ = 2(p_{i_1 q_{i_2}}^2 q_3 q_1 + p_{i_2 q_{i_3}}^2 q_1 q_2 + p_{i_3 q_{i_2}}^2 q_2 q_3) + bc - 3ad, \]

\[ V = \frac{u}{\Delta} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 9ad - bc - \Delta \\ -c^2 + 3bd \end{array} \right). \]
Since $V \in GL_2(k)$, we have $\Delta \in k$. Therefore, $f(x, y) = 0$ completely splits over $k$, or $k[x]/(f(x, 1))$ is a Galois cubic field over $k$.

In the following lemma, $4 \det(Ax - By)$ is denoted by $f_{\det}(x, y)$.

**Lemma 6.4.** We assume that $(A, B) \in V_k$ with Disc$(A, B) \neq 0$ (hence, linearly independent), is non-singular and anisotropic over $k$. We further assume that there are $u \in k$ and a matrix $uI \neq V \in GL_2(k)$ such that $q_k(A, B) = q_k((I, V) \cdot (A, B))$ and

$$(\det V)^{-1} f_{\det}(x, y) \tilde{V} = u f_{\det}(x, y), \quad \tilde{V} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, there exists $W \in GL_3(k)$ such that $(W, -u^{-1}V) \cdot (A, B) = (A, B)$.

**Proof.** Using the action of $GL_2(k)$, we may assume $\det A \neq 0$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \bar{k}$ be the roots of $\det(Ax - B) = 0$, and $k_i$ be the field $k(\alpha_i)$. We fix $0 \neq v_i \in k_i^3$, $d_i \in k_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ and $w = \langle v_1 v_2 v_3 \rangle$ as in the first paragraph in the proof of Lemma 12. $(A, B)$ is transformed as in Eq. (4.1).

From $\tilde{V} \neq uI$, there are the following two cases:

* $(\tilde{V}^2 = V^2 = u^2I)$ It may be assumed that $V$ exchanges $[\alpha_1 : -1]$ and $[\alpha_2 : -1]$. We then have $k_1 = k_2$ and $\alpha_3 \in k$. Furthermore,

$$V = u \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-\alpha_2 - \alpha_3}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_3} & \frac{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_3}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$(u, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} V) \cdot (A, B)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{d_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}{d_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} & \frac{d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{d_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{d_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} & \frac{-d_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}{d_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} & \frac{-d_3(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}{d_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Owing to $q_k(A, B) = q_k((I, V) \cdot (A, B))$, for any primes $p$ of $k$ that completely splits in $k_1 = k_2$, we must have

$$q_{kp}\left( \begin{pmatrix} d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) & 0 \\ 0 & d_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) \end{pmatrix} \right) = q_{kp}\left( u \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) & d_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$q_{kp}\left( \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) & 0 \\ 0 & d_3(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) \end{pmatrix} \right) = q_{kp}\left( u \begin{pmatrix} d_2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) & d_1(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d_3(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) \end{pmatrix} \right).$$
This implies that there exists $\beta \in k$ such that $-d_1(\alpha_1-\alpha_3)/d_2(\alpha_2-\alpha_3) = \beta^2$ and $(W,-u^{-1}V) \cdot (A,B) = (A,B)$ if we put:

$$W = w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & 0 \\ \pm 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w.$$ 

If $k_1 = k_2 = k$, then $W \in GL_3(k)$ has the required property. Otherwise, $k_1 = k_2$ is quadratic over $k$. If the signature of $\pm 1/\beta$ is chosen so that $\beta$ and $\pm 1/\beta$ are conjugate over $k$, $W \in GL_3(k)$ is obtained.

- $(\tilde{V}^3 = V^3 = u^3 I)$ In this case, $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k$ or a Galois cubic field over $k$. As shown in the above example,

$$V = u \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & -1 \\ \alpha_3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

$$= u \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\left( w, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -1 \\ \alpha_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} V \right) \cdot (A,B)$$

$$= \left( I, u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_3 & -1 \\ -\alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & d_2(\alpha_1-\alpha_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha_2-\alpha_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \left( -u \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha_3-\alpha_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3(\alpha_1-\alpha_3) \end{pmatrix}, -u \begin{pmatrix} d_1(\alpha_2-\alpha_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3(\alpha_2-\alpha_3) \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then, there exists $\beta_{i_1} \in k_1 = k_2 = k_3$ such that $d_{i_2}(\alpha_{i_1}-\alpha_{i_2})/d_{i_3}(\alpha_{i_1} - \alpha_{i_3}) = \beta_{i_1}^2$ for every $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)$. $(W,-u^{-1}V) \cdot (A,B) = (A,B)$ and $W \in GL_3(k)$ hold, if we put:

$$W = w^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w.$$ 

Consequently, the lemma is proved.
7 Proof of Theorem 1

In what follows, we assume that $k = \mathbb{Q}$. In order to prove the theorem, we will first show that $q_\mathbb{Q}(A_1, B_1) = q_\mathbb{Q}(A_2, B_2)$ implies that either of the determinants is a constant multiple of the other.

Proposition 7.1. If $(A_i, B_i) \in V_\mathbb{Q}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ satisfy the conditions (a), (b') and (c') of Theorem 1 there are coprime integers $r_1, r_2$ such that
\[ r_1^{-1} \det(A_1x - B_1y) = r_2^{-1} \det(A_2x - B_2y). \]

Proof. Using the action of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ on $V_\mathbb{Q}$, we may assume that $\det A_1 \neq 0$. If $\text{Disc}(A_1, B_1) = 0$, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the multiple root of $\det(A_1x - B_1) = 0$. In this case, $A_1\alpha - B_1$ has rank $\leq 1$ (Lemma 4.1). Owing to $q_\mathbb{Q}(A_1\alpha - B_1) = q_\mathbb{Q}(A_2\alpha - B_2)$, $A_2\alpha - B_2$ has the same rank. Therefore, $\alpha$ is a multiple root of $\det(A_2x - B_2) = 0$. Let $\beta \neq \alpha$ be another root of $\det(A_1x - B_1) = 0$. Since $(A_1, B_1)$ is anisotropic over $\mathbb{Q}$, $C \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^2)^*$ with $A_1\beta - B_1 \sim_\mathbb{Q} C \perp \{0\}$ must be anisotropic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence, $A_2\beta - B_2$ must have rank 2, and $\det(A_2\beta - B_2) = 0$. Thus, the proposition is proved if $\text{Disc}(A_1, B_1) = 0$. The same holds if $\text{Disc}(A_2, B_2) = 0$.

We next assume that $\text{Disc}(A_i, B_i) \neq 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Let $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a root of $\det(A_1x - B_1) = 0$ and $K$ be the Galois closure of $k(\alpha)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. If $C \in \text{Sym}^2(K^2)^*$ with $A_1\alpha - B_1 \sim_K C \perp \{0\}$ is anisotropic over $K$, then there are a finite prime $p$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ and an embedding $\iota : K \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $\iota(C)$ is anisotropic over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. In this case, as a result of Corollary 4.3, $\det(A_2\alpha - B_2) = 0$ is proved by $q_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(A_2\iota(\alpha) - B_2) = q_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(A_1\iota(\alpha) - B_1) = \{0\} \cup q_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}(\iota(C))$.

Thus, by Lemma 4.2, the equations $\det(A_i x - B_i) = 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$ have at least two common roots $\alpha \neq \beta$. In addition, if there exist distinct $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ such that $\det(A_i \gamma_i - B_i) = 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, both $\gamma_i$ belong to $\mathbb{Q}$ and both $A_i \gamma_i - B_i$ are isotropic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, by using the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we may assume the following:

\begin{align*}
(A_1, B_1) & = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1 & 0 \\ c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(A_2, B_2) & = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_2 & 0 \\ c_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}

Furthermore, by using the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we may assume that $a_{11} = b_{11} = 1$ and $c_1 = c_2 = -1/2$. For the anisotropy of $(A_i, B_i)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, $-a_{33}, -b_{33}, -a_{22}a_{33}, -b_{22}b_{33} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. 

Please note that there seems to be a mistake in the last line of the proof where it mentions $c_1 = c_2 = -1/2$. This is likely a typographical error as it contradicts the earlier assumption that $c_1, c_2$ are defined as multiple roots of specific determinants.
$(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$ is required. In this case, if we put $g(x) := (x-\alpha)(x-\beta) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, then
\[
4 \det(A_1 x - B_1) = 4 \left(a_{12}^2 - a_{22}\right)x^2 + 4xa_{12} + 1\right) a_{33} x = -4(a_{12}^2 - a_{22})a_{33} x g(x),
\]
\[
4 \det(A_2 x - B_2) = \{x^2 + 4xb_{12} + 4(b_{12}^2 - b_{22})\}b_{33} = b_{33} g(x).
\]
In particular, we have $4(a_{12}^2 - a_{22})b_{12} = a_{12}$ and $4(b_{12}^2 - b_{22})a_{12} = b_{12}$.
From this, we obtain $(a_{22} - a_{12}^2)/(b_{22} - b_{12}^2) = a_{22}/b_{22} = a_{12}^2/b_{12}^2 \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$.

Furthermore, for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have
\[
(7.3)\quad A_1 x - B_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4(a_{12}^2 - a_{22})g(x)/x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} x \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
(7.4)\quad A_2 x - B_2 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b_{33} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let $P_0$ be the set of odd primes $p$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $p$ completely splits in $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(g(x))$ (i.e., $a_{22}, b_{22} \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$). For a fixed $p \in P_0$, we will denote the roots of $g(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by $\alpha_p$ and $\beta_p$. Let $P \subset P_0$ be the subset consisting of all $p \in P$ with $4b_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $a_{33}, b_{33}, 4(a_{12}^2 - a_{22}), 4(b_{12}^2 - b_{22}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. In this case, for any $p \in P$, $\alpha_p$ and $\beta_p$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. By setting $z$ to an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ close to $\alpha_p$ (resp. $\beta_p$), $g(z) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $4(a_{12}^2 - a_{22})/z \in \beta_p^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2$ (resp. $\alpha_p^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2$) can be assumed. $(\frac{-a_{33}}{p}) = (\frac{-b_{33}}{p})$ is then obtained from $q_{p}(A_1 x - B_1) = q_{p}(A_2 x - B_2)$. In addition, either of the following holds:

(i) $(\frac{-a_{33}}{p}) = (\frac{-b_{33}}{p}) = 1$.
(ii) $(\frac{-a_{33}}{p}) = (\frac{-b_{33}}{p}) = 1$.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{Q}$ be the extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ obtained by attaching $\sqrt{b_{33}}$ to $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e., the splitting field of $g(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. If $K(\sqrt{-b_{33}})$ is denoted by $F_1$, we have $F_1 \supseteq K$, since $-b_{33}, -b_{22}b_{33} \notin (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2$. Let $F_2$ be the extensions of $K$ that are obtained by attaching the roots of $g(-x^2) = 0$ to $K$. $F_2 = K$ is proved as follows; if $F_2 \supseteq K$, let $F_3$ be the composition $F_1 F_2$. Let $Q_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be the set of all primes of $K$ that completely splits in $F_i$. Since the extension $F_i/K$ is Galois, the Kronecker density $d_i := \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\sum_{q \in Q_i} p^{-s}}{\log(1/(s-1))}$ equals $1/[F_i : K]$ (Theorem 8.41 (2), [14]). However, from $p \in P \Rightarrow (i)$ or (ii), we obtain $d_1 + d_2 \geq 1$. Owing to $d_1 = 1/2$, in order that $d_3 > 0, d_2 = 1$, i.e., $K = F_2$ is required.

As a result, $-\alpha = \delta_1^2, -\beta = \delta_2^2$ for some $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in K^\times$, and $\delta_1 + \delta_2, \delta_1 \delta_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ may be assumed. We also have the following:
\[
a_{12} = \frac{\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2}{4}, \quad a_{22} = \left(\frac{\delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2}{4}\right)^2, \quad b_{12} = \frac{\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2}{4}, \quad b_{22} = \left(\frac{\delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2}{4}\right)^2.
\]
We shall show that \(a_{22}, b_{22} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\), and therefore, \(\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{Q}\), owing to \(K = F_2 = \mathbb{Q}\); if we put \(c := (\delta_1^{-1} + \delta_2^{-1})/2\) and \(d := \delta_1^{-1}\delta_2^{-1}/2\), then \(c, d\) are rational, and satisfy \(c, d\). Ternary quadratic forms with the same representations \(25\) by using the composition of binary quadratic forms: However, this is impossible if \(a\) there are \(2\) \(T\) defined as follows, \(2\) \(\mathbb{Q}\) satisfies \((x_1 + (a_{12} + d)x_2)(x_1 + (a_{12} - d)x_2) = -a_{33}x_3^2\). Hence, if \(x_1 + (a_{12} + d)x_2 \neq 0\), there are \(s, 0 \neq t \in \mathbb{Q}\) such that \(-2dx_1 = \{a_{12} - d + (a_{12} + d)a_{33}s^2\}t, 2dx_2 = (1 + a_{33}s^2)t\) and \(x_3 = st\). Thus, for a field \(F \supset \mathbb{Q}\), if \(T_{1,F}, T_{2,F}\) are defined as follows, \(T_{1,Q} = T_{2,Q}\) is obtained by considering the representations \((0, *)\):

\[
T_{1,F} := \begin{cases} 
(1 + a_{33}s^2)(a_{12} - d + (a_{12} + d)a_{33}s^2) t^2 : s, t \in F, t \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \\
T_{2,F} := q_F(g_1) \cup q_F(g_2).
\]

where \(g_1, g_2\) are binary quadratic forms defined by \(g_1(x, y) := x^2 + b_{33}y^2\), \(g_2(x, y) := b_{22}x^2 + b_{33}y^2\). From \(\left(\frac{-b_{33}}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-b_{33}}{p}\right)\) for any \(p \in \mathbb{P}\), we also have \(a_{33}/b_{33} \in (K^\times)^2\), hence, \(a_{33}/b_{33} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\) or \(a_{33}/b_{22}b_{33} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\).

\(T_{1,F} \subset T_{2,F}\) implies that for any \(s \in \mathbb{Q}\), either of the following holds:

- \((1 + a_{33}s^2)(a_{22}/c^2 + a_{33}c^2s^2) \in q_Q(g_1),\)
- \((1 + a_{33}s^2)(a_{22}/c^2 + a_{33}c^2s^2) \in q_Q(g_2).\)

In case of \(a_{33}/b_{33} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\), each item implies the following, which is obtained by using the composition of binary quadratic forms:

- \(a_{22}/c^2 + a_{33}c^2s^2 \in q_Q(g_1),\)
- \(1 + a_{33}s^2 \in q_Q(g_2)\).

However, this is impossible if \(a_{22} \notin (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\), because there are finite primes \(p_1 \neq p_2\) such that \(q_{Q_p_1}(g_1) \not\subset q_{Q_p_1}(g_2)\) and \(q_{Q_p_2}(g_2) \not\subset q_{Q_p_2}(g_1)\), and it is possible to choose \((s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\) with the following properties (hence \(s_1 \neq 0\), by using the Chinese remainder theorem:

- \(s_1^2 + a_{33}s_2^2 \in q_{Q_{p_1}}(g_1) \setminus q_{Q_{p_1}}(g_2),\)
- \(a_{22}s_1^2/c^2 + a_{33}c^2s_2^2 \in q_{Q_{p_2}}(g_2) \setminus q_{Q_{p_2}}(g_1).\)

Therefore, \(a_{22} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\) must hold, which is similarly proved in case of \(a_{33}/b_{22}b_{33} \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\).
Therefore, the following \((A_i\delta_i^2 + B_i, A_i\delta_i^2 + B_i) \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}^2\) \((i = 1, 2)\) have the identical simultaneous representations over \(\mathbb{Q}\).

\[
(A_1\delta_1^2 + B_1, A_1\delta_2^2 + B_1) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_1^2 & -\delta_1^2 & 0 \\
-\delta_1^2 & \delta_1^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{33}
\end{array}\right),
\]

\[
(A_2\delta_1^2 + B_2, A_2\delta_2^2 + B_2) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{33}
\end{array}\right).
\]

Let \(D\) be the square free integer in \(a_{33}(\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2\). By using the action of \(GL_3(\mathbb{Q})\), \((A_i\delta_i^2 + B_i, A_i\delta_i^2 + B_i) \ (i = 1, 2)\) are transformed into the following \((\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_i)\), respectively:

\[
(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{B}_1) := \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D & 0
\end{array}\right), (\delta_2/\delta_1)^2 \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D
\end{array}\right),
\]

\[
(\tilde{A}_2, \tilde{B}_2) := \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D & 0
\end{array}\right), (\delta_1)^k \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D
\end{array}\right).
\]

From \(q_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{B}_1) = q_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{A}_2, \tilde{B}_2)\), for any \((q, q') \in q_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{B}_1)\) and \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\), \((q, (\delta_1/\delta_2)^2q') \in q_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{B}_1)\) \((i = 1, 2)\) holds. Equivalently, for any \(0 \neq (u_1, u_3) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\) and \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\), there exists \(u_2 \in \mathbb{Q}\) such that \(u_1^2 + Du_3^2 = (\delta_2/\delta_1)^2k(u_2 + D^{-1}u_3^2)\). Hence, some \(\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})\) with the norm 1 satisfies \(\zeta(u_1 + \sqrt{-D}u_3) = (\delta_2/\delta_1)^k(u_2 + \sqrt{-D}u_3)\). As a result of Hilbert’s theorem 90, some \(0 \neq (s, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\) satisfies \(\zeta = (s + \sqrt{-D}t)/(s - \sqrt{-D}t)\). Therefore,

\[
(7.5) \quad \{u_3(s^2 - Dt^2) + 2u_1st\}/(s^2 + Dt^2) = (\delta_2/\delta_1)^k u_3.
\]

Now \((\delta_2/\delta_1)^2 \neq 1\), because otherwise \(a_{22} = 0\). If \(\eta_1, \eta_2\) are coprime integers with \(\delta_2/\delta_1 = \eta_2/\eta_1\), some prime \(p\) divides either of \(\eta_1, \eta_2\). Hence, if \(k\) is chosen so that \((\delta_2/\delta_1)^k\) is sufficiently close to 0 in \(\mathbb{Q}_p\), Eq.\((7.5)\) implies that the quadratic form \(f_{u_1,u_3}(x_1, x_2) = u_3(x_1^2 - Dx_2^2) + 2u_1x_1x_2\) is isotropic over \(\mathbb{Q}_p\). A contradiction is obtained by choosing \(0 \neq (u_1, u_3) \in \mathbb{Q}^2\) with \(u_1^2 + Du_3^2 \not\in (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2\).

We can now proceed to the proof of Theorem \([\text{I}]\) As in the previous section, we denote the quartic and cubic \(\mathbb{Q}\)-algebras assigned to \((A_i, B_i)\) by \((Q_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i, B_i), \langle 1, \xi_{i,1}, \xi_{i,2}, \xi_{i,3} \rangle)\) and \((R_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i, B_i), \langle 1, \omega_{i,1}, \omega_{i,2} \rangle)\).

**Proof of Theorem \([\text{II}]\)** We fix \((A_i, B_i) \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}\) \((i = 1, 2)\) as stated in Theorem \([\text{I}]\). From Proposition \([\text{II}]\) \([\text{I}]\) we have

\[
r_1^{-1} \det(A_1x + B_1y) = r_2^{-1} \det(A_2x + B_2y)
\]
some coprime integers \( r_1, r_2 \). In what follows, we denote \( 4 \det(A_i x - B_i y)/r_i \)
by \( \tilde{f}_{\det}(x, y) = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3 \) \((a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q})\).

From Lemma 5.1, some \( h_1 := (h_{1,1}, h_{1,2}, h_{1,3}) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \) satisfies \( \tilde{f}_{\det}(B_1(h_1), A_1(h_1)) \neq 0 \).
From the assumption (c'), there exists \( h_2 := (h_{2,1}, h_{2,2}, h_{2,3}) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \) such that \((A_1, B_1)(h_1) = (A_2, B_2)(h_2)\), hence, \( \tilde{f}_{\det}(B_2(h_2), A_2(h_2)) \neq 0 \). Using these \( h_1, h_2 \) and arbitrarily chosen \( h_{1,0}, h_{2,0} \in \mathbb{Q} \), we define \( \alpha_i := h_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^3 h_{i,j} \xi_{i,j} \in Q_\mathbb{Q}(A_i, B_i) \). If we put \( q_A := A_1(h_1) = A_2(h_2) \) and \( q_B := B_1(h_1) = B_2(h_2) \), then \( \alpha_i \) has the characteristic polynomial \( \chi_{\alpha_i}(x) := x^4 + a_{i,3}x^3 + a_{i,2}x^2 + a_{i,1}x + a_{i,0} \) as follows:

\[
\chi_{\alpha_i}^{\text{res}} \left( x + \frac{a_{i,2} + r_i(cq_A - bq_B)}{3} \right) = x^3 + r_i(cq_A - bq_B)x^2 + r_i^2\{bdq_A^2 + (3ad - bc)q_Aq_B + acq_B^2\}x
+ r_i^3\{ad^2q_A^3 - (b^2d - 2acd)q_Aq_B^2 + (ac^2 - 2abd)q_Aq_B^2 - a^2dq_B^3\}.
\]

Hence,

\[
(7.6) \quad r_1^{-3} \chi_{\alpha_1}^{\text{res}} \left( r_1 x + \frac{a_{1,2}}{3} \right) = r_2^{-3} \chi_{\alpha_2}^{\text{res}} \left( r_2 x + \frac{a_{2,2}}{3} \right).
\]

Let \( W_1, W_2 \) and \( V_i \) be the rational matrix determined by

\[
W_i \left( \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{i,3} & 1 & 0 \\ a_{i,2} & a_{i,3} & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_i \\ \alpha_i^2 \\ \alpha_i^3 \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
V_i := \frac{1}{r_1 f_{\det}(q_B, -q_A)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ r_i(cA(h) - B(h))/3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_B \\ -r_i(cq_Aq_B + dq_A^2) \\ -r_i(aq_B^2 + bq_Aq_B) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since \( \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V_2 \), the following \((\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_i) \) \((i = 1, 2)\) have the identical sets of simultaneous representations over \( \mathbb{Q} \) owing to the (c'):

\[
(7.7) \quad (\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_i) := \left( W_i, \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V_i \right) (A_i, B_i).
\]

From \( 4 \det(\tilde{A}_i x - \tilde{B}_i) = \chi_{\alpha_i}^{\text{res}}(r_i x + a_{i,2}/3) \), \( \det(\tilde{A}_1 x - \tilde{B}_1) = (r_1/r_2)^3 \det(\tilde{A}_2 x - \tilde{B}_2) \) is obtained. Even if \( \alpha_i \) is replaced by \( \alpha_i - \Tr(\alpha_i)/4 \) (\( \Tr \) is the trace function), all the above hold. The proof of the theorem is completed by the following Proposition 7.2.

**Proposition 7.2.** We assume that \( r_1, r_2 \) are coprime integers and the following \((A_i, B_i) \in V_\mathbb{Q} \) \((i = 1, 2)\) satisfy the assumptions (b') and (c') of Theorem 4 and \( \det(A_1 x - B_1) = (r_1/r_2)^3 \det(A_2 x - B_2) \):

\[
(7.8) \quad (A_i, B_i) := \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & r_i/2 \\ 0 & -r_i & 0 \\ r_i/2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{i,2}/6 \\ 0 & 2a_{i,2}/3 & a_{i,1}/2 \\ a_{i,2}/6 & a_{i,1}/2 & a_{i,0} \end{pmatrix} \right).
\]

Then, either of the following holds:
1. There exist coprime integers \( u_1, u_2 \) such that \( r_i = u_i^2 \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)), and 
\((A_1, B_1) = (w, I) \cdot (A_2, B_2)\) for the following \( w \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})\):

\[
(7.9) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_1/u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (u_1/u_2)^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

2. There exist \( s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that 
\((r_2/r_1)(s_1^4 + a_{1,2} s_1^2 + a_{1,1}s_1 + a_{1,0}) = (r_1/r_2)(s_2^4 + a_{2,2} s_2^2 + a_{2,1}s_2 + a_{2,0}) = c^2 \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times \), and 
\((w, (r_2/r_1)I) \cdot (A_1, B_1) = (A_2, B_2)\) for the following \( w \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q})\):

\[
(7.10) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2s_2 & 1 & 0 \\ s_2^2 & s_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2s_1 & 1 & 0 \\ s_1^2 & s_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The above 1. always holds, if \( \text{Disc}(A_i, B_i) = 0 \) for (either of) \( i = 1, 2 \).

For the proof, the following lemma is used.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let \( k \) be a global field with \( \text{char} \ k \neq 2 \). Assume that \( f_1(x), f_2(x) \in k[x] \) are monomial quartic polynomials with no roots in \( k \), no multiple roots in \( \bar{k} \), and \( k[x]/(f_1^{\text{res}}(x)) \) and \( k[x]/(f_2^{\text{res}}(x)) \) are isomorphic as \( k\)-algebras. Furthermore, assume that \( f_1(x), f_2(x) \) have a root in \( k_p \) with respect to the same \( p \in P \), where \( P \) is the set of all the primes of \( k \) that completely splits in \( k[x]/(f_1^{\text{res}}(x)) \). In this case, \( k[x]/(f_1(x)) \) and \( k[x]/(f_2(x)) \) are isomorphic as \( k\)-algebras.

**Proof.** It may be assumed that the coefficient of \( x^3 \) in \( f_i(x) \) equals 0. Fix a prime \( p \in P \) so that both of \( f_1(x) \) and \( f_2(x) \) have a root in \( k_p \). Let \( \alpha_{i,p} \in k_p \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) be the root. If the roots of \( g_{i,p}(x) := f_i(x)/(x - \alpha_{i,p}) \in k_p[x] \) are denoted by \( \beta_{i,1}, \beta_{i,2}, \beta_{i,3} \in k_p \), then

\[
\alpha_{i,p} \beta_{i,1} + \beta_{i,2} \beta_{i,3} = -\alpha_{i,p} (\alpha_{i,p} + \beta_{i,2} + \beta_{i,3}) + \beta_{i,2} \beta_{i,3} = -2 \alpha_{i,p}^2 + (\alpha_{i,p}^2 - \beta_{i,2})(\alpha_{i,p} - \beta_{i,3}) = -2 \alpha_{i,p}^2 - f_i'(\alpha_{i,p})/(\beta_{i,1} - \alpha_{i,p}),
\]

where \( f_i'(x) \) is the first derivative of \( f_i(x) \) with respect to \( x \). Thus, there exists \( 0 \neq C \in k_p \) such that

\[
(7.11) \quad g_{i,p}(x) = C(x - \alpha_{i,p})^3 f_i^{\text{res}} \left( -\frac{f_i'(\alpha_{i,p})}{x - \alpha_{i,p}} - 2 \alpha_{i,p}^2 \right).
\]

From the assumption about \( f_1^{\text{res}}(x) \) and \( f_2^{\text{res}}(x) \), \( p \) completely splits in \( k[x]/(f_1(x)) \) if and only if it does in \( k[x]/(f_2(x)) \).
Let $f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m g_{ij}(x)$ ($g_{ij} \in k[x]$) be a factorization in $k$. By assumption, $k[x]/(f_i(x))$ is a direct sum of $k[x]/(g_{ij}(x))$ with degree 2 or 4 over $k$. For each $g_{ij}(x)$, we fix an embedding $\iota_{ij} : k[x]/(g_{ij}(x)) \hookrightarrow \bar{k}$ and let $K_i$ be the composite field of $\iota_{ij}(k[x]/(g_{ij}(x)))$ ($j = 1, \cdots, m$). $K_1, K_2$ are quadratic or quartic fields over $k$, and any prime $p$ of $k$ completely splits in $K_1$ if and only if it does over $K_2$. Hence, $K_1, K_2$ have the identical Galois closure over $k$ (Theorem 8.8, \cite{14}).

If both of $K_i$ are Galois over $k$, then $K_1 = K_2$. If either of $K_1, K_2$ is not Galois over $k$, both must be a quartic field not Galois over $k$. Even in this case, $K_1$ and $K_2$ are isomorphic over $k$. This can be seen as follows; first suppose that $f_i^{\text{res}}(x) = 0$ has a root $u_i$ in $k$. In this case, $f_i(x) := x^4 + a_{i,2}x^2 + a_{i,1}x + a_{i,0}$ is decomposed as follows:

\[
(7.12) \quad f_i(x) = (x^2 + u_i/2)^2 - \left(u_i^2 - 4a_{i,0}\right)\left(\frac{a_{i,1}}{u_i^2 - 4a_{i,0}}x - 1/2\right)^2.
\]

Even if $u_i^2 = 4a_{i,0}, a_{i,1} = 0$ follows from $f_i^{\text{res}}(x) = (x - a_{i,2})(x^2 - 4a_{i,0}) - a_{i,1}^2$. Therefore $K_1, K_2$ are quadratic extensions of a quadratic field over $k$. Since they have the same Galois closure, the quadratic field is common, and $K_1, K_2$ are conjugate over $k$.

Next, suppose that $f_i^{\text{res}}(x)$ does not have a root in $k$. In this case, the Galois closure $F$ of $K_1, K_2$ contains a cubic field isomorphic to $k[x]/(f_i^{\text{res}}(x))$. Since $\text{Gal}(F/k)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_4$, this happens only when $\text{Gal}(F/k) \cong S_4$ or $A_4$. Since all the subgroups of $S_4$ (resp. $A_4$) of index 4 are conjugate to $S_3 = \langle (1, 2, 3), (1, 2) \rangle$ (resp. $A_3 = \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle$), $K_1, K_2$ are conjugate over $k$.

Consequently, if $k[x]/(g_{ij}(x)) = K_i$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, $k[x]/(f_1(x))$, $k[x]/(f_2(x))$ are isomorphic as $k$-algebras. It remains to verify the case of $K_1 = F_1 \cdot F_2$ and $K_2 = F_1 \oplus F_2$, where $F_1, F_2$ are distinct quadratic fields. They correspond to the $G_k$-orbits containing

- $k(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$:

\[
(7.13) \quad (A, B) := \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-d_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
d_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right).
\]

- $k(\sqrt{d_1}) \oplus k(\sqrt{d_2})$:

\[
(7.14) \quad (A, B) := \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-d_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -d_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1/2 & 0 \\
-1/2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right).
\]
However, \( \det(Ax - By) \) of the former has only rational roots, whereas that of the latter has irrational roots. Therefore, \( f_{1\text{res}}(x) = f_{2\text{res}}(x) \) cannot happen. Hence, this case can be eliminated.

\[ \boxed{\text{Proof of Proposition 7.2.}} \]

We first put \( f_i(x) := x^4 + a_{i,2}x^2 + a_{i,1}x + a_{i,0} \). It follows from \( \det(A_1x - B_1y) = (r_1/r_2)^3 \det(A_2x - B_2y) \) that

\[
(1/r_1)^2(4a_{1,0} + a_{1,2}^2/3) = (1/r_2)^2(4a_{2,0} + a_{2,2}^2/3),
\]

\[
(1/r_1)^3(a_{1,1}^2 - 8a_{1,2}a_{1,0}/3 + 2a_{1,2}^3/27) = (1/r_2)^3(a_{2,1}^2 - 8a_{2,2}a_{2,0}/3 + 2a_{2,2}^3/27).
\]

Hence, \( r_1^{-1}a_{1,2} = r_2^{-1}a_{2,2} \) implies that \( r_1^{-2}a_{1,0} = r_2^{-2}a_{2,0} \) and \( r_1^{-3}a_{1,1} = r_2^{-3}a_{2,1} \). Thus, in this case, case 1. occurs. Therefore, in what follows we assume that \( r_1^{-1}a_{1,2} \neq r_2^{-1}a_{2,2} \).

In case of \( \text{Disc}(f_{1\text{res}}) = \text{Disc}(f_{2\text{res}}) = 0 \), the multiple root of \( f_{i\text{res}}(x) = \det(A_i x - B_i) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), equals \( x = 2a_{1,2}/3r_1 = 2a_{2,2}/3r_2 \), which is seen by checking when \( A_i x - B_i \) in Eq.(7.8) is rank 1. In particular, \( r_1^{-1}a_{1,2} = r_2^{-1}a_{2,2} \) follows in this case.

Since \( \text{Disc}(f_1) = 0 \) implies \( \text{Disc}(f_{1\text{res}}) = 0 \), we may now assume that both \( f_i \) and \( f_{i\text{res}} \) have no multiple roots. By Corollary 5.3, \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_i(x)) \) is a direct sum of number fields of degree greater than 1 over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( p \) be a finite prime that completely splits in \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_{i\text{res}}(x)) \). \((A_i, B_i)\) is isotropic over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) if and only if \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) contains a root of \( f_i(x) := x^4 + a_{i,2}x^2 + a_{i,1}x + a_{i,0} = 0 \). Hence, \( f_1(x) = 0 \) has a root in \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) if and only if \( f_2(x) = 0 \) does. By Lemma 7.3, \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_1(x)) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_2(x)) \) are isomorphic as \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebras. Thus, there exists \( x_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_1(x)) \) such that \( x \leftrightarrow x_2 \) provides an isomorphism \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_2(x)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_1(x)) \).

Now \( \mathbb{Q}(A_1, B_1) \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_1(x)) \) with the basis \( \langle 1, r_1x, r_1(x^2 + a_{1,2}), r_1(x^3 + a_{1,2}x + a_{1,1}) \rangle \). We assume that \( x_2 \) is represented as \( h_0 + r_1 \{ h_1 x + h_2 x^2 + h_3 (x^3 + a_{1,2}x) \} \) in \( \mathbb{Q}(A_1, B_1) \), using some \( h_0 \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( h := (h_1, h_2, h_3) \in \mathbb{Q}^3 \). If we put \( b_0 = -(1/r_1)^3(a_{i,1}^2 - 8a_{i,2}a_{i,0}/3 + 2a_{i,2}^3/27) \) and \( b_1 = -(1/r_1)^2(4a_{i,0} + a_{i,2}^2/3) \), then

\[
\hat{f}_{\text{det}}(x, y) := 4r_i^{-3} \det(A_i x - B_i y) = x^3 + b_1 xy^2 + b_0 y.
\]

In this case, by using the formulas given in the proof of Lemma 5.2, \((W, V) \in G_\mathbb{Q} \) satisfying \( (W, V) \cdot (A_1, B_1) = (A_2, B_2) \) is obtained as follows (herein, \( \bar{x} \), \( \bar{x}_2 \) are the classes of \( x, x_2 \) in \( \mathbb{Q}(A_1, B_1)/\mathbb{Q} \)):

\[
W \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{r_1 x^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\bar{x}_2}{x^2 + a_{2,2} x_2} \right).
\]
Ternary quadratic forms with the same representations

Let \( V := (\det W)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & 0 \\ \frac{r_2^3 A_1(h)}{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1(h) & -A_1(h) \\ -r_3^2(b_1 A_1(h))B_1(h) + b_0 A_1(h)^2 & -r_3^2 B_1(h) \end{pmatrix} \). These matrices have the determinants \( \det W = r_1^3(B_1(h))^3 + b_1 A_1(h)^2 B_1(h) + b_0 A_1(h)^3 \) and \( \det V = -r_2 \det(W)^{-1} \).

From \( q_Q(A_1, B_1) = q_Q(A_2, B_2) \), \( q_Q(A_1, B_1) = q_Q((I, V) \cdot (A_1, B_1)) \) is obtained. In addition, \( V \) satisfies:

\[
(\det W)^2 \tilde{f}_{\det}((x, y) \tilde{V}) = (r_2/r_1)^3 \tilde{f}_{\det}(x, y), \quad \tilde{V} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

By Lemma 6.1, \( \tilde{V}^n = u^n I \) holds for \( u := (r_2/r_1)^3/(\det V \det W^2) = r_2 \det V/r_1^3 \) and either of \( n = 1, 2, 3 \). If \( n \neq 1 \), by Lemma 6.1, there exists \( W_2 \in GL_3(\mathbb{Q}) \) such that \((W_2, -u^{-1}V) \cdot (A_1, B_1) = (A_1, B_1)\). Thus, we may assume that \( V \) is a scalar multiple of \( I \), by replacing \((W, V)\) with \((W, V)(W_2, -u^{-1}V)\), and the above \( x_2 \) by another element of \( \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_1(x)) \) with the characteristic polynomial \( f_2(x) \). Thus, \( A_1(h) = 0, B_1(h) = -r_1^{-3}r_2, \det W = -r_1^{-6}r_2^3, \) and \( V = r_1^3r_2^{-1}I \). Therefore, \((r_1 W, (r_1/r_2)I)\) also maps \((A_1, B_1)\) to \((A_2, B_2)\).

Since \( A_1(h) = 0 \), there are \( 0 \neq C \in \mathbb{Q} \) and \( 0 \neq (s, t) \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that \( h = C(s^2, st, t^2) \). If we put \( f_i(X, Y) := Y^4 f_i(X/Y) \) \((i = 1, 2)\), then \( C^2 f_1(s, t) = r_1^{-3}r_2 \) follows from \( B_1(h) = -r_1^{-3}r_2 \). Since \( x, x_2 \) satisfies \( \text{Tr}(x^2) = -2a_{1,2} \), \( \text{Tr}(x^3) = -3a_{1,1} \) and \( \text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}(x_2) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f_1(x)) \), we obtain \( x_2 = Cr_1(s^2 x + st(x^2 + a_{1,2}/2) + t^2(x^3 + a_{1,2} x + 3a_{1,1}/4)) \). Hence,

\[
(tx - s)\{tx_2 + Cr_1(s^3 + a_{1,2}st^2/2 + a_{1,1}t^3/4)\}
= \quad C r_1 (tx - s) \{ s^3 + s^2 tx + st^2(x^2 + a_{1,2}) + t^3(x^3 + a_{1,2} x + a_{1,1}) \}
= \quad -C r_1 \{ s^4 - t^4 x^4 + a_{1,2} t^2 (s^2 - t^2 x^2) + a_{1,1} t^3 (s - tx) \}
= \quad -C r_1 f_1(s, t).
\]

If we put \( \tilde{C} := -C r_1 f_1(s, t), \tilde{C}^2 = (r_2/r_1) f_1(s, t) \) follows from \( C^2 f_1(s, t) = r_1^{-3}r_2 \). Hence, if \( t = 0 \), then \( r_1/r_2 \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2 \), and \( x_2 = cx \) holds for \( c := Cr_1 s^2 \), which satisfies \( c^2 = C^2 r_1^2 s^4 = r_2/r_1 \). As a result, \( a_{2,2} = c^2 a_{1,2}, a_{2,1} = c^3 a_{1,1} \) and \( a_{2,0} = c^4 a_{1,0} \) are obtained, which implies the case 1.

If \( t \neq 0 \), the characteristic polynomials of \( \tilde{x} := tx - s, \tilde{x}_2 := \tilde{C}(tx - s)^{-1} \) are as follows:

\[
\text{ch}_{\tilde{x}}(X) = f_1(X + s, t) = X^4 + 4 s X^3 + (6 s^2 + a_{1,2} t^2) X^2 + (4 s^3 + 2 a_{1,2} st^2 + a_{1,1} t^3) X + f_1(s, t),
\]

\[
\text{ch}_{\tilde{x}_2}(X) = f_1(s, t)^{-1} X^4 \text{ch}_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{C}/X)
= \quad f_1(s, t)^{-1} \{ \tilde{C}^4 + 4 \tilde{C}^3 s X + \tilde{C}^2 (6 s^2 + a_{1,2} t^2) X^2 + \tilde{C} (4 s^3 + 2 a_{1,2} st^2 + a_{1,1} t^3) X^3 + f_1(s, t) X^4 \}.
\]
Therefore, in this case, Eq. (7.10) is obtained by putting \( s_1 = s, s_2 = \tilde{c}(s^3 + a_{1,2}s t^2/2 + a_{1,1}t^3/4)/f_1(s, t) \) and \( c = \tilde{c} \). Thus, the proposition is proved.

8 Case of \( \text{Disc}(A_i, B_i) = 0 \) (proofs of Propositions 1.1, 1.2)

From the known result in the binary case, it is immediately obtained that
\[
q_Z(x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2, x_3^2) = q_Z(x_1^2 + 3x_2^2, x_3^2).
\]
In the case of (ii), if we put \( (A_1, B_1) = (x_1^2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2, (x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3)^2) \) and \( (A_2, B_2) = (x_1^2 + 3x_2^2, (x_1 + 3x_3)^2) \), we have
\[
(A_2, B_2)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (A_1, B_1)(x_1 + x_2, 2x_2, -x_2 + x_3)
= (A_1, B_1)(2x_1 + x_1 + x_2, -x_1 + x_3)
= (A_1, B_1)(x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2, -x_1 - x_3).
\]

Hence, \( q_Z(A_2, B_2) \subset q_Z(A_1, B_1) \), and the converse is also true, since any \( (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) can be represented in either of the ways \( (x_1 + x_2, 2x_2) \), \( (2x_1 + x_1 + x_2) \) or \( (x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2) \) for some \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Proposition 1.2 can be also proved in an elementary way.

Proof of Proposition 1.2 As proved in Proposition 7.1, \( q_Z(A_1, B_1) = q_Z(A_2, B_2) \) implies that all the roots of \( \det(A_1 x - B_1 y) \) and \( \det(A_2 x - B_2 y) \) are common. In particular, \( \text{Disc}(A_1, B_1) = 0 \) leads to \( \text{Disc}(A_2, B_2) = 0 \).

By the action of \( G_\mathbb{Z} \), \( (A_1, B_1) \) can be transformed into:
\[
(A_1, B_1) = (M, 1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (0 \ 0 \ 0), \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & m_1 \\ 0 & 1 & m_2 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( m_1, m_2 \) and \( 0 \neq m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \) may be assumed to have the greatest common divisor 1.

By comparing the representations \((0, \ast)\) of \((A_1, B_1)\) and \((A_2, B_2)\), it is seen that \((A_2, B_2)\) can be simultaneously transformed into:
\[
(A_2, B_2) = (\tilde{M}, 1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & \tilde{a}_{12} & 0 \\ \tilde{a}_{12} & \tilde{a}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (0 \ 0 \ 0), \quad \tilde{M} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \tilde{m}_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \tilde{m}_2 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
The greatest common divisor of \( \tilde{m}_1, \tilde{m}_2 \) and \( 0 \neq m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \) is 1, owing to \( q_Z(B_1) = q_Z(B_2) \). Furthermore, either of the following may be assumed owing to \( q_Z(A_1) = q_Z(A_2) \):
Ternary quadratic forms with the same representations

(1) \( \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & \tilde{a}_{12} \\ \tilde{a}_{12} & \tilde{a}_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}. \)

(2) \( \tilde{A} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & \tilde{a}_{12} \\ \tilde{a}_{12} & \tilde{a}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \) \( 0 < a_{11} \leq a_{22}, 0 \leq -2a_{12} \leq a_{11}, \)

i.e., \( \tilde{A} \) is reduced.

For any \( m \neq 0 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), the class of \( n \) in \( \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \) is denoted by \( \left[ n \right]_m \). In case (I), the following is proved by considering the representations \( (A_i(h), B_i(h)) = (1, \ast), (3, \ast) \):

\[
\{ \pm [m_1]_{m_3}, \pm [m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} \} = \{ \pm [\tilde{m}_1]_{m_3} \},
\]

\[
\{ \pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 + 2m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [2m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} \} = \{ \pm [\tilde{m}_2]_{m_3} \}.
\]

The above can hold only when either of the following holds:

- \( [m_1]_{m_3} = [m_2]_{m_3} = [\tilde{m}_1]_{m_3} = [\tilde{m}_2]_{m_3} = [0]_{m_3} \) or
- \( m_3 = 3, [m_1]_3 = [m_2]_3 = \pm [\tilde{m}_1]_3, [\tilde{m}_2]_3 = [0]_3. \)

We note that each corresponds to the cases (i), (ii), respectively. Thus, the theorem is proved in case (I).

In case (II), \( [m_1]_{m_3} = [\tilde{m}_1]_{m_3}, [m_2]_{m_3} = \pm [\tilde{m}_2]_{m_3} \) may be assumed, by changing the basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \) without losing the property (II). In what follows, we assume that \( [m_1]_{m_3} \neq -[m_1]_{m_3} \) and \( [m_2]_{m_3} = -[\tilde{m}_2]_{m_3} \neq -[m_2]_{m_3} \), because otherwise, \( (A_1, B_1) \) and \( (A_2, B_2) \) are equivalent by the action of \( GL_3(\mathbb{Z}) \). Furthermore, the multiplicity of the representation \( a_{11} + a_{22} + 2a_{12} \)

of \( \tilde{A} \) must be greater than 1, otherwise we would have \( [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} = [\tilde{m}_1 + \tilde{m}_2]_{m_3}, \) and \( [m_2]_{m_3} = [\tilde{m}_2]_{m_3} \). This can happen only if \( a_{12} = 0 \) or \( a_{12} = -a_{11}/2. \) If \( a_{12} = 0, \) then \( (A_1, B_1) \) and \( (A_2, B_2) \) are equivalent by the action of \( GL_3(\mathbb{Z}) \). If \( a_{12} = -a_{11}/2, \) then we see from the representations \( (A_i(h), B_i(h)) = (a_{11} + a_{22} + 2a_{12}, \ast) \) that either of the following is required:

- \( \{ \pm [m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} \} = \{ \pm [m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} \}. \)
- \( a_{11} = a_{22} = -2a_{12} \) and
  \( \{ \pm [m_1]_{m_3}, \pm [m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} \} = \{ \pm [m_1]_{m_3}, \pm [m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} \}. \)

If \( [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} = \pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} \), then \( [m_1]_{m_3} = -[m_1]_{m_3} \) or \( [m_2]_{m_3} = -[m_2]_{m_3} \) must hold, which contradicts with the above assumption. However, in the former of the above cases, \( [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} = \pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} \) always holds. In the latter, \( [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} \neq \pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} \) implies that \( [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3} = \pm [m_i]_{m_3} \) and \( [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} = \pm [m_j]_{m_3} \) hold for either
(i, j) = (1, 2) or (i, j) = (2, 1). From \([m_1]_{m_3} \neq -[m_1]_{m_3}\) and \([m_2]_{m_3} \neq -[m_2]_{m_3}\), the following is obtained:

\([m_1 + m_2]_{m_3}, [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3} = (-[m_2]_{m_3}, [m_2]_{m_3}) \) or \((-[m_2]_{m_3}, [m_2]_{m_3})\).

Comparing the representation \((a_{11} + a_{22} - 2a_{12}, *)\), we have

\[
\{\pm [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 + 2m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [2m_1 + m_2]_{m_3}\}
\]

\[
= \{\pm [m_1 + m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [m_1 - 2m_2]_{m_3}, \pm [2m_1 - m_2]_{m_3}\}.
\]

If \((-[m_1 + m_2]_{m_3}, [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3}) = ([m_1]_{m_3}, [m_2]_{m_3})\), the following is obtained from \([m_1]_{m_3} = [m_2]_{m_3}\) and \([m_2]_{m_3} = -[m_1]_{m_3}\):

\[
(8.1) \quad \{\pm [m_2]_{m_3}, [0]_{m_3}\} = \{\pm [m_1]_{m_3}, [0]_{m_3}\}.
\]

Even if \((-[m_1 + m_2]_{m_3}, [m_1 - m_2]_{m_3}) = ([m_1]_{m_3}, [m_2]_{m_3})\), Eq.(8.1) is obtained from \([m_1]_{m_3} = -[m_2]_{m_3}\) and \([m_2]_{m_3} = [m_1]_{m_3}\).

Eq.(8.1) implies \([m_1]_{m_3} = \pm [m_2]_{m_3}\), hence this case is impossible.

Proposition [L.1] is proved in the remaining part.

**Proof of Proposition [L.1]** Since the “only if” part is clear, we shall prove the “if” part; for any positive-definite \(f_1, f_2 \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^*\) with \(q_\mathbb{Z}(f_1) = q_\mathbb{Z}(f_2)\), take \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{R}\) linearly independent over \(\mathbb{Q}\) and positive-definite \(A_{1j}, A_{2j} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^*\) \((1 \leq j \leq s)\) satisfying \(f_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j A_{1j}, \ \ f_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j A_{2j}\) as in Lemma A.2. Furthermore, In this case, \(q_\mathbb{Z}(A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1s}) = q_\mathbb{Z}(A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2s})\) holds. Therefore, the proposition is obtained by proving the following (**):

**(**) If both of \((A_{i1}, A_{i2}, \ldots, A_{is}) \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q}^3)^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}^s\) \((i = 1, 2)\) satisfy all of

(a) \(A_{i1}, \ldots, A_{is}\) span a linear space of dimension more than 1 over \(\mathbb{Q}\),

(b) \(\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j A_{ij}\) is positive definite for some \(c_1, \ldots, c_s \in \mathbb{Q}\),

(c) \(q_\mathbb{Z}(A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1s}) = q_\mathbb{Z}(A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2s})\),

there exists \(w \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) such that \((A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1s}) = (w, 1) \cdot (A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2s})\),

or there exist \(w_1, w_2 \in GL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) and \(v \in GL_8(\mathbb{Q})\) such that \(\{(A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1s}), (A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2s})\}\) equals either of the following:

(i) \(\{(w_1, v) \cdot (0, \cdots, 0, x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2, x_3^2), (w_2, v) \cdot (0, \cdots, 0, x_1^2 + 3x_2^2, x_3^2)\}\),
The case of $s = 2$ is equivalent to the assumption (*). In order to prove (**), we assume (**), i.e., $s = 2, \ldots, n$ for some $n \geq 2$. If $A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1n+1}$ span a linear space of dimension $m < n+1$, by using the action of $\{1\} \times GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q})$, $(A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1n+1})$ is mapped to $(\bar{A}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{A}_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ for some $\bar{A}_{j} \in \text{Sym}^{2}(\mathbb{Q}^{3})^{*}$. From $q_{Z}(A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1s}) = q_{Z}(A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2s})$, $(A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2s})$ is simultaneously mapped to $(\bar{B}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{B}_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ for some $\bar{B}_{j} \in \text{Sym}^{2}(\mathbb{Q}^{3})^{*}$. The proposition is proved by induction in this case. Thus we assume that the dimension of the space spanned by $A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1n+1}$ is exactly $n+1$. By using the action of $GL_{3}(\mathbb{Z}) \times GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q})$, either of the following may be assumed:

(I) $A_{1j} = A_{2j}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} A_{1j} \succ 0$ for some $c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$,

(II) $n = 2$ and $(A_{11}, A_{12}) = (x_{1}^{2} - x_{1}x_{2} + x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}), (A_{21}, A_{22}) = (x_{1}^{2} + 3x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2})$,

(III) $n = 2$ and $(A_{11}, A_{12}) = (x_{1}^{2} - x_{1}x_{2} + x_{2}^{2}, (x_{1} + x_{2} + 3x_{3})^{2}), (A_{21}, A_{22}) = (x_{1}^{2} + 3x_{2}^{2}, (x_{1} + 3x_{3})^{2})$.

In the case (I), define $H := \{ g \in GL_{3}(\mathbb{Z}) : A_{ij} = g \cdot A_{ij} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n \}$. For any $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, owing to $q_{Z}(A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1n-1}, A_{1n} + cA_{1n+1}) = q_{Z}(A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2n-1}, A_{2n} + cA_{2n+1})$ and the assumption of induction, there exists $h \in H$ such that $(h, 1) \cdot (A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1n-1}, A_{1n} + cA_{1n+1}) = (A_{21}, \ldots, A_{2n-1}, A_{2n} + cA_{2n+1})$. Since $H$ is a finite group, some $h \in H$ satisfies $h \cdot (A_{1n} + c_{1}A_{1n+1}) = A_{2n} + c_{2}A_{2n+1}$ for some distinct $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Hence $h \cdot A_{1j} = A_{2j}$ holds for all $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$.

In the cases (II) and (III), owing to $A_{11} \not\sim A_{21}$, $\text{Disc}(A_{11}, A_{12} + dA_{13}) = \text{Disc}(A_{21}, A_{22} + dA_{23}) = 0$ is required for any $d \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $A_{11}, A_{21}, A_{22}$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Hence, (**), is true in any case. □
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A The algorithm to obtain all the positive-definite quadratic forms with a given set of representations

In this section, the method to obtain all the positive-definite quadratic forms with the identical set of representations over \( \mathbb{Z} \) is explained. For such a quadratic form \( f \) and a given \( M > 0 \), all the elements \( q_1, \ldots, q_t \) of \( q_\mathbb{Z}(f) \) less than \( M \) can be computed \[21\]. Therefore, the problem is reduced to enumeration of all the quadratic forms with the property \( q_\mathbb{Z}(f) \cap [0, M] = \{q_1, \ldots, q_t\} \). The method described in Table 4 can be applied to any \( N \)-ary positive-definite quadratic forms as long as \( N \leq 4 \), which equals the theoretical optimum, because infinitely many solutions may exist if \( N > 4 \).

In what follows, a set of vectors \( v_1, \cdots, v_n \) of \( \mathbb{Z}^N \) is said to be primitive if it is a subset of some basis of \( \mathbb{Z}^N \). An \( N \)-ary quadratic form \( S \) is Minkowski-reduced if the following holds for any \( 1 \leq n \leq N \):

\[
S(e_n) = \min \left\{ S(v) : v \in \mathbb{Z}^N \text{ such that } \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, v \rangle \text{ is a primitive set of } \mathbb{Z}^N \right\},
\]

where \( e_n \) is a vector with 1 in its \( n \)-th component and 0 in the remaining components. It is known that if \( N \leq 4 \), then \( S = (s_{ij}) \) is Minkowski-reduced if and only if the following inequalities hold (cf. Lemma 1.2 of chap. 12, Cassels (1978)):

\[
\begin{cases}
0 < s_{11} \leq \cdots \leq s_{NN}, \\
 s_{jj} \leq S(v) \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq N \text{ and vectors } v \text{ with the entries } v_i = -1, 0, 1 \ (1 \leq i < j), v_j = 1, v_k = 0 \ (j < k \leq N).
\end{cases}
\]

The following is frequently added to the definition of Minkowski reduction:

\[
s_{ii+1} \leq 0 \ (1 \leq i < N).
\]
In what follows, Eq.\(\text{(A.2)}, \text{(A.3)}\) are adopted as the inequalities of the Minkowski reduction for \(N \leq 4\).

Table 4 presents a recursive procedure for generating all candidates of \(N\)-ary quadratic forms from a sorted set \(\Lambda := \langle q_1, \ldots, q_t \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}\). If the recursive procedure begins with the arguments \(m = n = 1, q_{\min} = q_{\max} = q_1\), all positive-definite quadratic forms satisfying the following in addition to Eq.\(\text{(A.2)}, \text{(A.3)}\) are enumerated in the output array \(\text{Ans}\):

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{any } q \in \Lambda \text{ with } q \leq s_{NN} \text{ belongs to } q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S), \\
s_{nn}, s_{mm} + s_{nn} + 2s_{mn} \in \Lambda \text{ for any } 1 \leq m, n \leq N.
\end{aligned}
\]

\(\text{(A.4)}\)

After the execution of the algorithm, it is possible to reduce the number of candidate solutions in \(\text{Ans}\) by

(a) checking if \(\Lambda = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) \cap [0, q_t]\) holds,

(b) removing either \(S\) or \(S_2\) from \(\text{Ans}\), if they are equivalent over \(\mathbb{Z}\).

As a consequence, if \(q_t\) is sufficiently large, all the \(N\)-ary positive-definite quadratic form \(S\) that satisfy \(\Lambda = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) \cap [0, q_t]\) are contained in the output array. If we set \(\Lambda := q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S_0) \cap [0, q_t]\) for some \(S_0\), all the \(N\)-ary quadratic forms \(S\) with \(q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S_0)\) are in the output. After the execution of the algorithm, it is possible to determine whether or not \(q_t\) is large enough to obtain all such \(S\), just by checking if \(t_2 < t\) in line 13 and \(p_{\max} \leq q_t\) in line 17 hold. If both are true, then all the quadratic forms \(S\) satisfying \(\Lambda = q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) \cap [0, q_t]\) are contained in \(\text{Ans}\).

The algorithm is completed in a finite number of steps, even if \(q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S_0)\) of some \(S_0\) is used instead of the finite set \(\Lambda\) (in fact, this can be programmed by adding elements of \(q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S_0)\) in \(\Lambda\) when they are necessary). Even though \(t = \infty\) in such cases, \(t_2\) in line 13 of Table 4 is always finite, as a consequence of the following proposition:

**Proposition A.1.** If \(S, S_2\) are positive-definite quadratic forms over \(\mathbb{R}\) of degree \(N\) and \(N_2\), respectively, with \(1 \leq N_2 < \min\{4, N\}\), then \(q_{\mathbb{Q}}(S) \not\subset q_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_2)\), hence \(q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S) \not\subset q_{\mathbb{Z}}(S_2)\).

**Proof.** It may be assumed that \(S\) and \(S_2\) have rational entries, since due to Lemma \(\text{A.2}\) they are simultaneously represented as finite sums \(S = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \lambda_j T_j, S_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{*} \lambda_j T_{2j}\), where \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{R}\) are linearly independent over \(\mathbb{Q}\) and every \(T_j, T_{2j}\) is rational and positive-definite. In the rational case, the proposition follows from Lemma \(\text{A.3}\). \(\square\)
Table 4: A recursive procedure to obtain all the positive-definite quadratic forms with a given set $\Lambda$ of representations

\[
\text{void func}(\Lambda, N, S, m, n, q_{\text{min}}, q_{\text{max}}, \text{Ans})
\]

**Input**
- $\Lambda$: a sorted sequence $\langle q_1, \ldots, q_t \rangle$ of positive numbers with $1 \leq N \leq 4$:
- $S$: a quadratic form $(s_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$:
- $m, n$: integers $1 \leq m \leq n \leq N$ indicating that the algorithm is determining the $(m, n)$-entry of $S$.
- $q_{\text{min}}, q_{\text{max}}$: numbers indicating$
  \begin{cases}
    q_{\text{min}} \leq s_{nn} \leq q_{\text{max}} & \text{if } m = n, \\
    q_{\text{min}} \leq s_{mm} + s_{nn} + 2s_{mn} \leq q_{\text{max}} & \text{otherwise}.
  \end{cases}$

**Output**
- $\text{Ans}$: an array of $N \times N$ Minkowski-reduced symmetric matrices $\tilde{S} := (\tilde{s}_{ij})$ that satisfy
  \[
  \begin{cases}
    \tilde{s}_{NN} \leq q_t, & \Lambda \cap [0, \tilde{s}_{NN}] \subset q_{Z}(\tilde{S}), \\
    \tilde{s}_{nn}, \tilde{s}_{mm} + \tilde{s}_{nn} + 2\tilde{s}_{mn} \in \Lambda \text{ for any } 1 \leq m, n \leq N.
  \end{cases}
  \]

**Start**
1: Take integers $I$ and $J$ such that $\Lambda \cap [q_{\text{min}}, q_{\text{max}}] = \langle q_I, \ldots, q_J \rangle$.
2: for $l = I$ to $J$ do
3: \hspace{1em} if $m = n$ then
4: \hspace{2em} $s_{nn} := q_l$.
5: \hspace{1em} else
6: \hspace{2em} $s_{mn} := s_{nm} := \frac{1}{2}(q_l - s_{mm} - s_{nn})$.
7: \hspace{1em} end if
8: \hspace{1em} if $m = 1$ then
9: \hspace{2em} if $n \geq N$ then
10: \hspace{3em} Insert $S$ in $\text{Ans}$.
11: \hspace{1em} else
12: \hspace{2em} $T := (s_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$: an $n$-by-$n$ submatrix of $S$.
13: \hspace{2em} $t_2 := \max \{ 1 \leq i \leq t : q_1, \ldots, q_{i-1} \in q_{Z}(T) \}$.
14: \hspace{2em} func($\Lambda, N, S, n+1, n+1, s_{nn}, q_{t_2}, \text{Ans}$).
15: \hspace{1em} end if
16: \hspace{1em} else
17: \hspace{2em} Take $p_{\text{min}}, p_{\text{max}}$ such that $s_{m-1n}$ and the entries $s_{m-1m-1}, s_{nn}$ of $S$ determined in the previous steps fulfill Eq. (A.2), (A.3) iff $p_{\text{min}} \leq s_{m-1m-1} + s_{nn} + 2s_{m-1n} \leq p_{\text{max}}$.
18: \hspace{2em} func($\Lambda, N, S, m-1, n, S, p_{\text{min}}, p_{\text{max}}, \text{Ans}$).
19: \hspace{1em} end if
20: end for
Lemma A.2. Let $S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ be $N_i$-ary positive-definite quadratic forms over $\mathbb{R}$. There are $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{R}$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $N_i$-ary positive-definite quadratic forms $T_{ij}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ $(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq s)$ such that every $S_i$ is represented as a finite sum $S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j T_{ij}$.

Proof. If the condition that $T_{ij}$ is positive-definite is removed, it is clear that such $\lambda_j$ and $T_{ij}$ exist. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j T_{ij}$ is positive-definite for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j T_{ij}$ satisfies the same property for any $(c_1, \ldots, c_s) \in \mathbb{Q}^s$ with $|c_j - \lambda_j| < \epsilon$ $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$. Hence, if we choose $U = (u_{j_1j_2}) \in GL_s(\mathbb{Q})$ so that $|u_{j_1j_2} - \lambda_{j_2}| < \epsilon$ holds for all $j_1, j_2 = 1, \ldots, s$, the new $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)$ and $T_{ij}$ replaced by $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)U^{-1}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{s} u_{jj_2} T_{jj_2}$, satisfy all the required properties.

For any $N$-ary quadratic form $S$ over a field $k$, $S$ is singular, if the determinant of the corresponding symmetric matrix is 0. $S$ is isotropic over $k$, if $0 \in q_k(S)$, Otherwise $S$ is anisotropic over $k$.

Lemma A.3. For any positive integers $N, N_2$ with $1 \leq N_2 < \min\{4, N\}$, we assume that an $N$-ary rational quadratic form $S$ is non-singular and an $N_2$-ary rational quadratic form $S_2$ is anisotropic over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(S) \not\subset q_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_2)$.

Proof. We may assume that $N_2 + 1 = N = 4$, as the other cases easily follow from this. Since $S$ is not singular, it satisfies $q_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(S) \supset \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ for any $p \neq \infty$. In addition, there exists a finite prime $p$ such that $q_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(S_2) \not\supset \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ (cf. Corollary 2 of Theorem 4.1 in Chapter 6, Cassels (1978)). If $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(S) \subset q_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_2)$, then $q_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(S) \subset q_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(S_2)$ is required for any $p$. This is a contradiction.

In line 13 of Table 4, $s_{n+1n+1} \leq q_{t_2}$ is assumed, which is proved as follows; with regard to the symmetric matrix $T$ defined in line 12, $q_{t_2} \in \Lambda$ does not belong to $q_{\mathbb{Q}}(T)$. Hence, if $S$ is an extension of $T$ searched for, there exists $v \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $e_1, \ldots, e_n, v$ are linearly independent and $S(v) = q_{t_2}$. Let us recall that the $n$-th successive minimum $\lambda_n$ of $S$ is defined as follows

$$\lambda_n := \min \{\max\{S(v_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} : v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N \text{ are linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}\}.$$  

If $1 \leq n \leq N \leq 4$, the above $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ can be chosen from a primitive set of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ (cf. Wan der Waerden (1956)). Therefore, $s_{n+1n+1} \leq q_{t_2}$ holds in line 13.
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