

Hadronic decay properties of newly observed Ω_c baryons

Ze Zhao,¹ Dan-Dan Ye,^{1,2} and Ailin Zhang^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

²*College of Mathematics, Physics and Information Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing 314001, China*

Hadronic decay widths of the newly observed charmed strange baryons, $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ have been calculated in a 3P_0 model. Our results indicate that $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ can be interpreted as the $1P$ -wave $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ or $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$. Though the measured masses of $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are lower than existed theoretical predictions of $1D$ -wave Ω_c , the hadronic decay features of these Ω_c favor assignments of the $1D$ -wave states. $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ is possibly $\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ or $\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is possibly $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ or $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$, and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ is possibly $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$, $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$, $\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ or $\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$. The predicted total decay widths in these assignments are consistent with experiment.

PACS numbers: 13.30.Eg, 14.20.Lq, 12.39.Jh

I. INTRODUCTION

Very recently, five narrow excited Ω_c^0 baryons were reported by the LHCb collaboration [1]. Their masses and decay widths were measured in $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ K^-$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_c(3000)^0 : M &= 3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma &= 4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_c(3050)^0 : M &= 3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma &= 0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ MeV}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_c(3066)^0 : M &= 3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma &= 3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_c(3090)^0 : M &= 3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma &= 8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_c(3119)^0 : M &= 3119.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9_{-0.5}^{+0.3} \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma &= 1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}\end{aligned}$$

The J^P quantum numbers of these five Ω_c^0 baryons have not been measured.

According to Particle Data Group [2], two Ω_c baryons have been observed: the ground state Ω_c^0 and $\Omega_c(2770)^0$ (also known as Ω_c^*) with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$, respectively. No other Ω_c baryon has been reported before the LHCb experiment.

Theoretical predictions of the spectra of orbitally excited Ω_c baryons have been conducted in many different models [3–8]. Relevant references can be found in reviews [9–11] and references therein. From the spectra,

these newly observed baryons are most possibly the orbitally excited $1P$ or the radially excited $2S$ states. Theoretical predicted masses of the orbitally excited $1D$ Ω_c baryons are 100–200 MeV higher than the observed ones.

Since the report of LHCb, the spectra of orbitally excited Ω_c^0 baryons have been explored in Refs. [12–15]. The hadronic decays of these baryons have also been studied through QCD sum rules, chiral quark model or other models in Refs. [13, 15–18]. In these explorations, the observed Ω_c^0 baryons were interpreted as the $1P$ or $2S$ Ω_c , while the $1D$ possibility has not been explored for their lower masses. However, the mass prediction may be largely different in different models. As indicated in Ref. [19], the mass prediction of the excited Λ_c and Ξ_c baryons in Ref. [3] were really largely different from the results in Ref. [4]. The study of $1D$ possibility of these Ω_c^0 through their hadronic decays is necessary.

For the understanding of these excited baryons, it is useful to explore their hadronic decays in different model for a cross-check. 3P_0 model is a phenomenological method to study the OZI-allowed hadronic decays of hadrons. In addition to mesons, it is employed successfully to explain the hadronic decays of baryons [3, 9, 20–24]. In this paper, 3P_0 model will be employed to study the hadronic decays of $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$. In particular, the $1D$ possibility of these Ω_c^0 will be examined in detail.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we give a brief review of the 3P_0 model. In Sec.III, we present our numerical results. We give our conclusions and discussions in Sec.IV.

II. BARYON DECAY IN THE 3P_0 MODEL

Proposed by Micu[25] and later developed by Yaouanc et al [24, 26, 27], 3P_0 model is also known as a Quark Pair Creation (QPC) model. It assumes that a pair of quark $q\bar{q}$ is created from the vacuum with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}(^2S+1L_J = ^3P_0)$, and then the created quarks re-group with the quarks from the initial hadron A to form

*Electronic address: zhangal@staff.shu.edu.cn




FIG. 1: Baryon decay process of $A \rightarrow B + C$ in the 3P_0 model.

two daughter hadrons B and C. The process of a baryon decay is shown in Fig. I.

In the 3P_0 model, the hadronic decay width Γ of a process $A \rightarrow B + C$ is as follows [27],

$$\Gamma = \pi^2 \frac{|\vec{p}|}{m_A^2} \frac{1}{2J_A + 1} \sum_{M_{J_A} M_{J_B} M_{J_C}} |\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A} M_{J_B} M_{J_C}}|^2, \quad (1)$$

where m_A and J_A are the mass and total angular momentum of the initial baryon A, respectively. m_B and m_C are the masses of the final hadrons. $\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A} M_{J_B} M_{J_C}}$ is the helicity amplitude, which reads [23]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A} M_{J_B} M_{J_C}} &= -2\gamma \sqrt{8E_A E_B E_C} \sum_{M_{\rho_A}} \sum_{M_{L_A}} \sum_{M_{\rho_B}} \sum_{M_{L_B}} \sum_{M_{S_1}, M_{S_3}, M_{S_4}, m} \\ &\langle J_{l_A} M_{J_{l_A}} S_3 M_{S_3} | J_A M_{J_A} \rangle \langle L_{\rho_A} M_{L_{\rho_A}} L_{\lambda_A} M_{L_{\lambda_A}} | L_A M_{L_A} \rangle \\ &\langle L_A M_{L_A} S_{12} M_{S_{12}} | J_{L_A} M_{J_{L_A}} \rangle \langle S_1 M_{S_1} S_2 M_{S_2} | S_{12} M_{S_{12}} \rangle \\ &\langle J_{l_B} M_{J_{l_B}} S_3 M_{S_3} | J_B M_{J_B} \rangle \langle L_{\rho_B} M_{L_{\rho_B}} L_{\lambda_B} M_{L_{\lambda_B}} | L_B M_{L_B} \rangle \\ &\langle L_B M_{L_B} S_{14} M_{S_{14}} | J_{L_B} M_{J_{L_B}} \rangle \langle S_1 M_{S_1} S_4 M_{S_4} | S_{14} M_{S_{14}} \rangle \\ &\langle 1m; 1-m | 00 \rangle \langle S_4 M_{S_4} S_5 M_{S_5} | 1-m \rangle \\ &\langle L_C M_{L_C} S_C M_{S_C} | J_C M_{J_C} \rangle \langle S_2 M_{S_2} S_5 M_{S_5} | S_C M_{S_C} \rangle \\ &\times \langle \varphi_B^{1,4,3} \varphi_C^{2,5} | \varphi_A^{1,2,3} \varphi_0^{4,5} \rangle \times I_{M_{L_B}, M_{L_C}}^{M_{L_A}, m}(\vec{p}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The space integral $I_{M_{L_B}, M_{L_C}}^{M_{L_A}, m}(\vec{p})$ follows as [28]

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta^3(B + C) I_{M_{L_B}, M_{L_C}}^{M_{L_A}, m}(\vec{p}) \\ &= \int d\vec{p}_1 d\vec{p}_2 d\vec{p}_3 d\vec{p}_4 d\vec{p}_5 \\ &\times \delta^3(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_A) \delta^3(\vec{p}_4 + \vec{p}_5) \\ &\times \delta^3(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_4 + \vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_B) \delta^3(\vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_5 - \vec{p}_C) \\ &\times \Psi_B^*(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_4, \vec{p}_3) \Psi_C^*(\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_5) \\ &\times \Psi_A(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3) y_{1m} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_4 - \vec{p}_5}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) wave functions are employed as the baryon wave functions[3, 21, 22]. For practical calculations such as the flavor matrix $\langle \varphi_B^{1,4,3} \varphi_C^{2,5} | \varphi_A^{1,2,3} \varphi_0^{4,5} \rangle$ and the space integrals in the

TABLE I: Quantum numbers of initial baryons

Assignments	J	J_l	L_ρ	L_λ	L	S_ρ
$\Omega_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1	1	1
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-)$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1	1	1
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^-)$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	2	0	1	1	1
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-)$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	1	1	0	1	0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+)$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	1	0	2	2	1
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+)$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	2	0	2	2	1
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^+)$	$\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}$	3	0	2	2	1
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+)$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	1	2	0	2	1
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+)$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	2	2	0	2	1
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^+)$	$\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}$	3	2	0	2	1
$\check{\Omega}_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1	0	0
$\check{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+)$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	1	1	1	1	0
$\check{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+)$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	1	1	1	1	0

model, more details were presented in Refs.[21, 23, 27, 28].

Two parameters, the β in SHO and the quark pair creation strength γ , are adopted as those in Refs. [23, 28, 29]. $\gamma = 13.4$, and $\beta = 476$ MeV for meson K . For baryons, a universal value $\beta = 600$ MeV is employed. The masses of $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ are taken as 3000.4 MeV, 3050.2 MeV, 3065.6 MeV, 3090.2 MeV and 3119.1 MeV, respectively. Masses of K mesons and Ξ_c baryons are taken from PDG [2].

The quantum numbers of the P-wave and D-wave baryons are complicated [30, 31], and we follow the notations in Ref. [23]. The quantum numbers involved in the calculations are listed in Table I. In the table, L_ρ denotes the orbital angular momentum between the two light quarks, L_λ denotes the orbital angular momentum between the charm quark and the two light quark system, L is the total orbital angular momentum of L_ρ and L_λ . S_ρ denotes the total spin of the two light quarks, J_l is total angular momentum of L and S_ρ . J is the total angular momentum of the baryons. The hat and the check are also used to denote the assignments with $L_\rho = 2$ and $L_\rho = 1$, respectively. The superscript L is adopted to denote the different total orbital angular momentum in $\check{\Omega}_{cJ_l}^L$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the 3P_0 model, $u\bar{u}$, $d\bar{d}$ and $s\bar{s}$ could be created from the vacuum. However, there exists no experimental signal for the decay mode with a $s\bar{s}$ creation. Once the measured masses of Ω_c baryons and the mass threshold of the final particles, $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c^0 K^0$, have been taken into account, there are two decay channels for $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3066)^0$. For $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and

TABLE II: Decay widths (MeV) of $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ in different assignments.

$\Omega_c(J^P)$	$\Xi_c^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c^0 K^0$	<i>total</i>
$\Omega_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	7.2×10^2	6.6×10^2	1.4×10^2
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.3	0.2	0.5
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$	0.3	0.2	0.5
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	2.8	2.2	5.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	2.8	2.2	5.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	6.9×10^{-4}	6.9×10^{-4}	1.0×10^{-3}
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	6.9×10^{-4}	6.9×10^{-4}	1.0×10^{-3}
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	25.7	19.4	45.1
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	25.7	19.4	45.1
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	6.8×10^{-3}	3.5×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	6.8×10^{-3}	3.5×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c0}^0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0

$\Omega_c(3119)^0$, $\Xi_c'^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c'^0 K^0$ are also allowed. Possible decay modes and corresponding hadronic decay widths of these Ω_c baryons in different P -wave and D -wave assignments have been computed and presented in from Table II to Table VI. The vanish modes in these five tables indicate forbidden channels.

All the five new Ω_c^0 baryons were observed in the $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ channel. The decay width of $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ is $\Gamma_{\Omega_c(3000)^0} = (4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3)$ MeV. From the results in Table II, the most possible assignment of $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ is $\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ or $\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$. In these two assignments, the total decay widths are the same 5.0 MeV, which is very close to the experimental data.

The experimental measured decay width of $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is extremely narrow, which is smaller than 1.0 MeV. From Table III, the possible assignment of $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ or $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$. The theoretical prediction of the decay width is 0.2 MeV.

For $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, the measured decay width is $(3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2)$ MeV. From Table IV, the total decay widths of $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ and $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ are the same 8.5 MeV, which is a little larger than the experimental result. Under the theoretical uncertainty, these assignments are possible for

TABLE III: Decay widths (MeV) of $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ in different assignments.

$\Omega_c(J^P)$	$\Xi_c^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c^0 K^0$	<i>total</i>
$\Omega_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	1.0×10^3	1.0×10^3	2.0×10^3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	2.9	2.4	5.3
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$	2.9	2.4	5.3
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	10.0	8.9	18.9
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	10.0	8.9	18.9
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	1.4×10^{-2}	1.1×10^{-2}	2.5×10^{-2}
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	1.4×10^{-2}	1.1×10^{-2}	2.5×10^{-2}
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	89.4	80.4	168.8
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	89.4	80.4	168.8
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.1	0.1	0.2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	0.1	0.1	0.2
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c0}^0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0

$\Omega_c(3066)^0$.

For $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$, the channels $\Xi_c'^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c'^0 K^0$ open. In Table V and Table VI, many channels decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c^0 K^0$ are forbidden while to $\Xi_c'^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c'^0 K^0$ are not. In particular, the total decay widths of $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ in some assignments are extremely large. In comparison with experiment, the possible assignment for $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ is $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ or $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$, and four D -wave assignments are possible for $\Omega_c(3119)^0$: $\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$, $\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$, $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$. In these assignments, the decay widths to $\Xi_c'^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c'^0 K^0$ channels are very tiny and could be neglected.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we study the hadronic decays of five newly observed baryons $\Omega_c(3000)^0$, $\Omega_c(3050)^0$, $\Omega_c(3066)^0$, $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ and $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ in the 3P_0 model. In different assignments of $1P$ -wave and $1D$ -wave Ω_c , hadronic decay widths of these baryons have been calculated. In comparison with experiment, possible assignments of

TABLE IV: Decay widths (MeV) of $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ in different assignments.

$\Omega_c(J^P)$	$\Xi_c^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c^0 K^0$	<i>total</i>
$\Omega_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	1.1×10^3	1.1×10^3	2.2×10^3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	4.6	3.9	8.5
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$	4.6	3.9	8.5
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	12.8	11.7	24.5
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	12.8	11.7	24.5
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	2.6×10^{-2}	2.1×10^{-2}	4.7×10^{-2}
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	2.6×10^{-2}	2.1×10^{-2}	4.7×10^{-2}
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	1.1×10^2	1.0×10^2	2.1×10^2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	1.1×10^2	1.0×10^2	2.1×10^2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.3	0.2	0.5
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	0.3	0.2	0.5
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c0}^0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0

these Ω_c^0 have been made.

All the five Ω_c^0 baryons were observed in the $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ channel with very narrow decay widths. Our results indicates that these Ω_c^0 could be P -wave or D -wave Ω_c^0 baryons. $\Omega_c(3000)^0$ is possibly $1D$ -wave $\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ or $\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$. $\Omega_c(3050)^0$ is possibly $1D$ -wave $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ or $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$. $\Omega_c(3066)^0$ is possibly $1P$ -wave $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ or $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$. The possible assignment for $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ is $1P$ -wave $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ or $\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$, and four D -wave assignments $\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$, $\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$, $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$ are possible for $\Omega_c(3119)^0$. The predicted decay widths are consistent with experimental data.

In experiment, only $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ K^-$ were observed, which

may not provide enough information on their identification. Furthermore, resonance with the same J^P numbers and similar masses may mix with each other, which may make it difficult to distinguish them. As for $1D$ -wave Ω_c , the channels $\Xi_c'^+ K^-$ and $\Xi_c'^0 K^0$ is hardly to be observed for the tiny decay widths. More information on these Ω_c baryons are expected to be given in forthcoming experiment.

TABLE V: Decay widths (MeV) of $\Omega_c(3090)^0$ in different assignments.

$\Omega_c(J^P)$	$\Xi_c^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c^0 K^0$	$\Xi_c'^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c'^0 K^0$	<i>total</i>
$\Omega_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	1.2×10^3	1.1×10^3	0.0	0.0	2.3×10^3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	3.6×10^2	3.1×10^2	6.7×10^2
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	3.0×10^{-2}	1.2×10^{-2}	4.2×10^{-2}
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	8.0	7.0	5.3×10^{-2}	2.2×10^{-2}	15.1
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$	8.0	7.0	2.3×10^{-2}	9.9×10^{-3}	15.1
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	5.4×10^2	4.6×10^2	1.0×10^3
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	17.4	16.3	0.4	0.2	34.3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	17.4	16.3	0.1	0.1	33.9
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.5	1.4
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	4.0×10^{-5}	1.1×10^{-5}	5.1×10^{-5}
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	5.7×10^{-2}	4.8×10^{-2}	4.5×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{-5}	0.1
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	5.7×10^{-2}	4.8×10^{-2}	2.5×10^{-5}	7.6×10^{-6}	0.1
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	1.6×10^2	1.5×10^2	3.4	2.0	3.1×10^2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	1.6×10^2	1.5×10^2	0.8	0.5	3.1×10^2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	7.6	4.6	12.6
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	3.9×10^{-4}	1.2×10^{-4}	5.1×10^{-2}
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.6	0.5	4.5×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-4}	1.0
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	0.6	0.5	2.5×10^{-4}	7.5×10^{-5}	1.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c0}^0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	6.7	4.1	10.8
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	3.4	2.0	5.4
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	3.9×10^{-4}	1.2×10^{-4}	5.1×10^{-4}

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grants: 11475111 and 11075102.

- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1703.04639 [hep-ex].
- [2] C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016).
- [3] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809 (1986).
- [4] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev.

D **84**, 014025 (2011).

- [5] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2817 (2008).
- [6] H. Garcilazo, J. Vijande, and A. Valcarce, J. Phys. G **34** (2007) 961.
- [7] T. Yoshida, E. Hiyama, A. Hosaka, M. Oka and K.

TABLE VI: Decay widths (MeV) of $\Omega_c(3119)^0$ in different assignments.

$\Omega_c(J^P)$	$\Xi_c^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c^0 K^0$	$\Xi_c'^+ K^-$	$\Xi_c'^0 K^0$	<i>total</i>
$\Omega_{c0}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	1.2×10^3	1.2×10^3	0.0	0.0	2.4×10^3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	5.4×10^2	5.1×10^2	10.5×10^2
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.5
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	13.9	12.5	0.4	0.4	27.3
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$	13.9	12.5	0.2	0.2	26.8
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	8.1×10^2	7.7×10^2	1.6×10^3
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^-)$	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.2	2.8
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	23.5	22.2	1.4	1.2	48.3
$\Omega_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	23.4	22.2	0.4	0.3	46.3
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.6	5.8
$\Omega_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	9.0×10^{-4}	5.8×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-3}
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.1	0.1	1.0×10^{-3}	6.6×10^{-4}	0.2
$\Omega_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	0.1	0.1	5.8×10^{-4}	3.7×10^{-4}	0.2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	2.1×10^2	2.0×10^2	12.6	10.6	4.3×10^2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c1}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	2.1×10^2	2.0×10^2	3.2	2.6	4.1×10^2
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	28.5	23.7	52.3
$\hat{\Omega}_{c2}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	8.9×10^{-3}	5.7×10^{-3}	1.4×10^{-2}
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	1.2	1.1	1.0×10^{-2}	6.5×10^{-3}	2.3
$\hat{\Omega}_{c3}(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	1.2	1.1	5.8×10^{-3}	3.7×10^{-3}	2.3
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c0}^0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	25.2	21.0	46.2
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c1}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	12.7	10.6	23.2
$\tilde{\Omega}_{c2}^1(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	0.0	0.0	9.0×10^{-3}	5.7×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-2}

Sadato, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 114029 (2015).

[8] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai and P. C. Vinodkumar, Chin. Phys. C **40**, 123102 (2016).

[9] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **45**, S241 (2000).

- [10] E. Klempt and Jean-Marc Richard, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1095 (2010).
- [11] V. Crede and W. Roberts, Rep. Prog. Phys. **76**, 076301 (2013).
- [12] S.S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, arXiv:1703.07091 [hep-ph].
- [13] M. Karliner and J.L. Rosner, arXiv:1703.07774 [hep-ph].
- [14] M. Padmanath and N. Mathur, arXiv:1704.00259 [hep-ph].
- [15] W. Wang and R.-L. Zhu, arXiv:1704.00179 [hep-ph].
- [16] H.-X. Chen, Q. Mao, W. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S.-L. Zhu, arXiv:1703.07703 [hep-ph].
- [17] K.-L. Wang, L.-Y. Xiao, X.-H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, arXiv:1703.09130 [hep-ph].
- [18] H.-Y. Cheng and C-W Chiang, arXiv:1704.00396 [hep-ph].
- [19] Bing Chen, Ke-Wei Wei and Ailin Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. **A 51** 82 (2015).
- [20] W. Roberts and B. Silvestre-Brac, Few Body Syst. **11**, 171 (1992).
- [21] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1994 (1993).
- [22] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4570 (1994).
- [23] C. Chen, X.-L. Chen, X. Liu, W.-Z. Deng and S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094017 (2007).
- [24] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène and J.C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 2223 (1973); **9**, 1415 (1974); **11**, 1272 (1975).
- [25] L. Micu, Nucl. Phys. B **10**, 521 (1969).
- [26] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène and J.C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. **71**, 397 (1977); **72**, 57 (1977).
- [27] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène and J.C. Raynal, Hadron Transitions in the Quark Model, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1987.
- [28] Ze Zhao, Dan-Dan Ye and Ailin Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 114020 (2016).
- [29] H.G. Blundell and S. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 3700 (1996).
- [30] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, Q. Mao, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 054034 (2015).
- [31] H.-X. Chen, Q. Mao, A. Hosaka, X. Liu and S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 114016 (2016).