Workshop "Optimization and Statistical Learning" April 10–14, 2017, Les Houches, France

Algorithms of Inertial Mirror Descent in Convex Problems of Stochastic Optimization^{*}

Alexander Nazin

April 12, 2017

ICS RAS, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

The goal is to modify the known method of mirror descent (MD), proposed by A.S. Nemirovsky and D.B. Yudin in 1979. The paper shows the idea of a new, so-called inertial MD method with the example of a deterministic optimization problem in continuous time. In particular, in the Euclidean case, the heavy ball method by B.T. Polyak is realized. It is noted that the new method does not use additional averaging. A discrete algorithm of inertial MD is described. The theorem on the upper bound on the error in the objective function is proved.

1. The idea of method of inertial mirror descent

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, differentiable function having a unique minimum point $x^* \in \operatorname{Argmin} f(x)$ and its minimal value $f^* = f(x^*)$. Consider continuous algorithm which extends MDM that is

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)), \quad t \ge 0, \ \zeta(0) = 0,$$
(1)

$$\mu_t \dot{x}(t) + x(t) = \nabla W(\zeta(t)), \quad x(0) = \nabla W(\zeta(0)). \tag{2}$$

Functional parameter in (2) is a convex, continuously differentiable function $W : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ having conjugate function

$$V(x) = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} \{ \langle \zeta, x \rangle - W(\zeta) \}.$$
(3)

Let W(0) = 0, V(0) = 0, and $\nabla W(0) = 0$ for simplicity.

^{*}The full paper is accepted at Russian journal Automatika i Telemekhanika which would be translated as Automation and Remote Control.

Remark 1 Under parameter $\mu_t \equiv 0$ in (2), algorithm (1)–(2) represents MDM (in continuous time) [1]; in particular, the identical map $\nabla W(\zeta) \equiv \zeta$ and $\mu_t \equiv 0$ lead to a standard gradient method

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)), \quad t \ge 0.$$

Under $\mu_t \equiv \mu > 0$ and $W(\zeta) \equiv \zeta$, algorithm (1)–(2) leads to continuous method of heavy ball (MHB) [9]

$$\mu \ddot{x}(t) + \dot{x}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)), \quad t \ge 0.$$

Further, we assume that differentiable parameter $\mu_t \ge 0$, and method (1)–(2) we call Method of Inertial Mirror Descent (MIDM).

Assume a solution $\{x(t), t \ge 0\}$ to system equations (1)–(2) exists. Consider function

$$W_*(\zeta) = W(\zeta) - \langle \zeta, x^* \rangle, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}},$$
(4)

attempting to find a candidate Lyapunov function.

Trajectory derivative to system (1)-(2) be

$$\frac{d}{dt}W_*(\zeta(t)) = \langle \dot{\zeta}, \nabla W - x^* \rangle = -\langle \nabla f(x), \mu_t \dot{x} + x - x^* \rangle \le$$
(5)

$$\leq f(x^*) - f(x(t)) - \mu_t \frac{d}{dt} [f(x(t)) - f^*]$$
(6)

where last inequality results from convexity $f(\cdot)$. Now, integrating on interval [0, t] with $W_*(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\int_0^t f(x(t))dt - tf^* \le -W_*(\zeta(t)) - \mu_t[f(x(t)) - f^*]\Big|_0^t + \int_0^t [f(x(t)) - f^*]\dot{\mu}_t dt,$$
(7)

where two last terms in RHS got by integrating in parts. Taking (3) into account, we continue (7):

$$\int_{0}^{t} f(x(t))dt - tf^{*} \leq V(x^{*}) - \mu_{t}[f(x(t)) - f^{*}] + \\
+ \mu_{0}[f(x(0)) - f^{*}] + \\
+ \left[\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \dot{\mu}_{s}\right] \int_{0}^{t} [f(x(t)) - f^{*}]dt.$$
(8)

Therefore, it is reasonable to introduce the following constraints on patameter $\mu_t \geq 0$:

$$\mu_0 = 0, \quad \dot{\mu}_t \le 1 \ \forall t > 0, \tag{9}$$

leading to inequality

$$f(x(t)) - f^* \le V(x^*)/\mu_t$$
.

Maximizing μ_t under constraints (9) we get

$$\mu_t = t, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{10}$$

The related (continuous) IMD algorithm

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = -\nabla f(x(t)), \quad t \ge 0, \quad \zeta(0) = 0,$$
(11)

$$t \dot{x}(t) + x(t) = \nabla W(\zeta(t)), \qquad (12)$$

proves upper bound

$$f(x(t)) - f^* \le V(x^*) t^{-1}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$
 (13)

2. Stochastic optimization problem

Consider minimization problem

$$f(x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}Q(x,Z) \to \min_{x \in X},\tag{14}$$

where loss function $Q : X \times Z \to \mathbb{R}_+$ contains random variable Z with unknown distribution on space Z, \mathbb{E} — mathematical expectation, set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ — given convex compact in N-dimension space, random function $Q(\cdot, Z) : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is convex a.s. on X.

Let i.i.d sample (Z_1, \ldots, Z_{t-1}) be given where all Z_i have the same distribution on \mathcal{Z} as Z. Introduce notation for stochastic subgradients

$$u_k(x) = \nabla_x Q(x, Z_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(15)

such that $\forall x \in X$,

$$\mathbb{E}\,u_k(x)\in\partial f(x)$$

The goal is in constructing and proving novel recursive MD algorithms meant for minimization (14) and using stochastic subgradients $u_t(x_{t-1})$ (15) at current points $x = x_{t-1} \in X$, t = 1, 2, ...

3. Algorithm IMD. Main results.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm in primal space $E = \mathbb{R}^N$, and $\|\cdot\|_*$ be the related norm in dual space $E^* = \mathbb{R}^N$; set $X \subset E$ is convex compact.

Assumption (L). Convex function $V : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is such that its β -conjugate W_β is continuously differential on E^* with gradient ∇W_β satisfying Lipschitz condition

$$\|\nabla W_{\beta}(\zeta) - \nabla W_{\beta}(\tilde{\zeta})\| \le \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \|\zeta - \tilde{\zeta}\|_{*}, \quad \forall \zeta, \tilde{\zeta} \in E^{*}, \ \beta > 0,$$

where α is positive constant being independent of β .

Consider now the discrete time $t \in Z_+$. Write a discrete version of algorithm IMD (11)–(12) using stochastic subgradients (15) instead of the gradients $\nabla f(\cdot)$:

$$\tau_t = \tau_{t-1} + \gamma_t, \quad t \ge 1, \ \tau_0 = 0,$$
(16)

$$\zeta_t = \zeta_{t-1} + \gamma_t u_t(x_{t-1}), \quad \zeta_0 = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$\tau_t \frac{x_t - x_{t-1}}{\gamma_{t+1}} + x_t = -\nabla W_{\beta_t}(\zeta_t), \quad x_0 = -\nabla W_{\beta_0}(\zeta_0).$$
(18)

Here function W_{β} is defined by proxy-function $V: X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ via Legendre–Fenchel transformation, i.e.

$$W_{\beta}(\zeta) = \sup_{x \in X} \left\{ -\zeta^T x - \beta V(x) \right\}, \quad \zeta \in E^*,$$
(19)

Remark 2 Equation (18) may be written as

$$x_t = \frac{\tau_t}{\tau_t + \gamma_{t+1}} x_{t-1} - \frac{\gamma_{t+1}}{\tau_t + \gamma_{t+1}} \nabla W_{\beta_t}(\zeta_t).$$

$$(20)$$

Since the vectors $[-\nabla W_{\beta_t}(\zeta_t)] \in X$ under each $t \ge 0$, equations (16)–(17) show that $x_t \in X$ by induction.

Further, let sequences $(\gamma_i)_{i\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_i)_{i\geq 1}$ are of view

$$\gamma_i \equiv 1, \quad \beta_i = \beta_0 \sqrt{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \beta_0 > 0.$$
 (21)

Then system equations (16)-(18) leads to the IMD algorithm:

$$\zeta_t = \zeta_{t-1} + u_t(x_{t-1}), \quad \zeta_0 = 0, \quad x_0 = -\nabla W_{\beta_0}(\zeta_0), \tag{22}$$

$$x_t = x_{t-1} - \frac{1}{t+1} \left(x_{t-1} + \nabla W_{\beta_t}(\zeta_t) \right), \quad t \ge 1.$$
(23)

Theorem 1 Let X be convex closed set in \mathbb{R}^N , and loss function $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies the conditions of section 2, and, moreover,

$$\sup_{x \in X} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla_x Q(x, Z)\|_*^2 \le L^2_{X, Q}, \qquad (24)$$

where constant $L_{X,Q} \in (0,\infty)$. Let V be proxy-function on X with parameter $\alpha > 0$ from assumption (L), and let exists minimum point $x^* \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{x \in X} f(x)$. Then for any $t \ge 1$ estimate x_t , defined by algorithm (22), (23) with stochastic subgradients (15) and sequence $(\beta_i)_{i\ge 1}$ from (21) with arbitrary $\beta_0 > 0$, satisfies inequality

$$\mathbb{E} f(x_t) - \min_{x \in X} f(x) \le \left(\beta_0 V(x^*) + \frac{L_{X,Q}^2}{\alpha \beta_0}\right) \frac{\sqrt{t+2}}{t+1}.$$

Corollary 1 If constant \overline{V} in Theorem 1 assumptions is such that $V(x^*) \leq \overline{V}$ and $\beta_0 = L_{X,Q} (\alpha \overline{V})^{-1/2}$ then

$$\mathbb{E} f(x_t) - \min_{x \in X} f(x) \le 2 L_{X,Q} \left(\alpha^{-1} \overline{V} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{t+2}}{t+1}.$$
 (25)

In particular, one may get $\overline{V} = \max_{x \in X} V(x)$.

References

- [1] Nemirovskii, A.S. and Yudin, D.B., Problem Complexity and Method Efficiency in Optimization, Chichester: Wiley, 1983.
- [2] A. Ben-Tal, T. Margalit, A. Nemirovski. The ordered subsets mirror descent optimization method with applications to tomography. SIOPT 12(1), 79–108, 2001.
- [3] A. Beck, M. Teboulle. Mirror descent and nonlinear projected subgradient methods for convex optimization. Oper. Res. Lett. 31(3), 167–175, 2003.
- [4] Yu. Nesterov. Primal-dual subgradient methods for convex problems. Mathematical Programming, 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s10107-007-0149-x.
- [5] A.B. Juditsky, A.V. Nazin, A.B. Tsybakov, and N. Vayatis. Recursive aggregation of estimators by the mirror descent algorithm with averaging. Problems of Information Transmission, 41(4):368– 384, 2005.
- [6] Nemirovski A., Juditsky A., Lan G. and Shapiro A. Robust stochastic approximation approach to stochastic programming // SIAM J. Optim. 2009. V. 19. No. 4. P. 1574–1609.
- [7] Rockafellar R.T., Wets R.J.B. Variational Analysis. N.-Y.: Springer, 1998.
- [8] Polyak B.T. Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods // Zh. Vych. Mat., 4, No. 5, 791-803, 1964.
- [9] Poljak B.T. Introduction to optimization. New York: Optimization Software Inc., 1987.