

EXISTENCE OF INFINITE PRODUCT MEASURES

JUAN CARLOS SAMPEDRO

ABSTRACT. A construction of product measures is given for an arbitrary sequence of measure spaces via outer measure techniques without imposing any condition on the underlying measure spaces. This approach concludes finally the problem of the existence of product measures in an elementary manner. Moreover, the L_p spaces of this measures are simplified in terms of finite product measures following the approach of [21]. This decomposition simplifies infinite dimensional integration and gives to this theory a computational framework.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we go back to a central issue in the foundational period of measure theory in the beginning of the 20th century, whose importance has been declining due to the production of certain partial results sufficiently general for its application. We talk about the construction of product measures on infinite product spaces. We start by presenting in a compact way the results obtained up to date.

The classical theory of product measures deals with two measure spaces (X, Σ_X, μ_X) and (Y, Σ_Y, μ_Y) in order to construct the product measure space $(X \times Y, \Sigma_X \otimes \Sigma_Y, \mu_X \otimes \mu_Y)$, where $\Sigma_X \otimes \Sigma_Y$ is the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y} := \{A \times B : A \in \Sigma_X, B \in \Sigma_Y\}$ and $\mu_X \otimes \mu_Y$ is a measure on $\Sigma_X \otimes \Sigma_Y$ satisfying the identity

$$(1) \quad (\mu_X \otimes \mu_Y)(A \times B) = \mu_X(A) \cdot \mu_Y(B) \text{ for every } A \in \Sigma_X, B \in \Sigma_Y.$$

The most common method to prove the existence of this measure is through the celebrated Caratheodory extension theorem as follows. Denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y})$ the family of finite unions of elements of $\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y}$, then it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y})$ is an algebra of subsets of $X \times Y$ and that every element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y})$ can be written as a finite union of pairwise disjoint members of $\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y}$. Define the set function

$$\mu_0 : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y}) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty], \quad \biguplus_{i=1}^N A_i \times B_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_X(A_i) \cdot \mu_Y(B_i).$$

It is classical (see e.g. [4, 7]), that the function μ_0 is well defined and σ -additive on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}_{X \times Y})$. Hence by Caratheodory extension theorem, there exists a measure μ on the σ -algebra $\Sigma_X \otimes \Sigma_Y$ that extends μ_0 . Therefore, μ satisfies identity (1) for each $A \in \Sigma_X$ and $B \in \Sigma_Y$. Moreover if μ_X and μ_Y are σ -finite, μ is the unique measure on $(X \times Y, \Sigma_X \otimes \Sigma_Y)$ satisfying (1).

Consider now a sequence $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of measure spaces. The problem is to generalize the classical product measure construction to countable many, i.e., to construct

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A35 (primary), 28C20, 46G12, 46B25 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Infinite Dimensional Integration, Infinite Product Measures, L_p spaces.

The author has been supported by the Research Grant PGC2018-097104-B-I00 of the Spanish Ministry of Science, Technology and Universities, by PhD Grant PRE2019_1.0220 of the Basque Country Government and by the Institute of Interdisciplinary Mathematics of Complutense University.

the infinite product measure space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i)$ where $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i$ is the σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets

$$\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} := \left\{ \times_{i=1}^m C_i \times \times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i : C_i \in \Sigma_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ is a measure on $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying an analogue of identity (1) for this general setting. For instance, if the finiteness condition $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\Omega_i) \in [0, +\infty)$ holds, the measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ must satisfy the identity

$$(2) \quad \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i \left(\times_{i=1}^m C_i \times \times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \right) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mu_i(C_i) \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)$$

for each $\times_{i=1}^m C_i \times \times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Along this paper we use the following definition of infinite product for a sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, +\infty)$,

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

The first attempt to address this problem was for the particular case of probability spaces. In 1933, A. Kolmogoroff proved in [13] the existence of a probability measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{[0,1]}$ on the measurable space $([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})$, where $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ and $m_{[0,1]}$ are the Borel σ -algebra of $[0, 1]$ and the Lebesgue measure of $[0, 1]$ respectively, such that identity (2) holds for every

$$\times_{i=1}^m C_i \times \times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} [0, 1] \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Kolmogoroff's proof was based on the compactness of the product space $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$, provided by the Tychonoff result of 1930, [23]. More general cases were discussed by Z. Lomnicki and S. Ulam in 1934 on the reference [15]. In 1943, S. Kakutani generalized for general probability spaces the results of Kolmogoroff, Lomnicki and Ulam proving in [11] the next celebrated result.

Theorem 1.1. *Given $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family of probability spaces, there exists a unique probability measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ on the measurable space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying for every $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i=1}^m C_i \times \times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the following identity*

$$\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mu_i(C_i).$$

Kakutani's proof of this result has become standard in Probability and Measure Theory. The key tool of the proof relies on a result of E. Hopf (cf. [9], [24, Theorem 3.2]). In 1996, S. Saeki gives in [20] a new proof of Theorem 1.1, proving it in a more natural terms without the use of Hopf's result. It must be observed that if the measure spaces $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are not of probability but satisfy the finiteness condition $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\Omega_j) \in [0, +\infty)$, then normalizing each measure space, it can be also proven as a rather direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, the existence of a measure on $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying identity (2).

On other hand, if $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\Omega_i) = +\infty$, identity (2) is no longer useful for the construction of infinite product measures since its value is always infinite. Nevertheless, we can ask

the measure to verify the new identity

$$(3) \quad \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i \left(\bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \right) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i)$$

for each $\bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the set of *finite rectangles* on $\bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} := \left\{ \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i : C_i \in \Sigma_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i) \in [0, +\infty) \right\}.$$

Therefore, the natural extension of the classical theory for the nonfinite case is the product measure space $(\bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i)$ where $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ is a measure satisfying (3). The construction of this measures had not been ignored in the last century and several attempts had been made trying to formalize it.

In 1963, E.O. Elliott and A.P. Morse published a paper [6] constructing this kind of product spaces through a reformulation of the classical infinite product called *plus product*. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a}) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n > 1\}$, then they defined the *plus product* of the sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^+ a_n := \prod_{n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a})} a_n \cdot \prod_{n \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a})} a_n,$$

with the convention $0 \cdot +\infty = +\infty \cdot 0 = 0$ and setting the value of the empty product to 1. The main purpose of defining this concept lies in the fact that the plus product exists for every positive sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers, which does not happen with the classic product (take for instance the sequence defined by

$$a_n := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$). The classical and the plus product do not, in general, coincide, but if the condition $\prod_{n \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a})} a_n < +\infty$ is satisfied, they do. We define the set of *finite plus rectangles* by

$$\mathcal{F}^+(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} := \left\{ \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i : C_i \in \Sigma_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^+ \mu_i(C_i) \in [0, +\infty) \right\}.$$

Elliot and Morse proved that given a family of measure spaces $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exists a measure λ_{EM} on the measurable space $(\bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying for every $\mathcal{C} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}^+(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the following identity

$$(4) \quad \lambda_{EM}(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^+ \mu_i(C_i).$$

It must be observed that if a finite plus rectangle $\mathcal{C} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}^+(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\prod_i^+ \mu_i(C_i) \neq 0$, then its plus product must coincide with the classical product $\prod_i \mu_i(C_i)$. However, if $\prod_i^+ \mu_i(C_i) = 0$, the products does not, in general, coincide. In consequence, this result does not stablish the existence of the required product measure since there are substantial sequences satisfying $\prod_i^+ \mu_i(C_i) = 0$ with nonzero classical product (take for instance the sequence $a_n = \exp((-1)^{n+1}/n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

In 2004, R. Baker, proved in [3, Theorem I] (see also [2]), the existence of the required product measure for the particular case in which the involved spaces $\{(X_i, d_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are locally compact metric spaces and satisfy the property \mathcal{D} :

(D) For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{X_i}$ such that $d_i(A_j) < \delta$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$X_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j$$

where $d_i(A_j)$ stands for the diameter of A_j in X_i and \mathcal{B}_{X_i} for the Borel σ -algebra of X_i .

He proved that given a sequence $\{(X_i, d_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the previous properties and a family of regular Borel measures $\{\mu_{X_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, each μ_{X_i} defined in the measurable space (X_i, \mathcal{B}_{X_i}) , there exists a measure λ_B on the measurable space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{X_i})$ satisfying for every $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}_{X_i}, \mu_{X_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the following identity

$$\lambda_B(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{X_i}(C_i).$$

In 2005, P. A. Loeb and D. A. Ross gave in [14, Theorem 1.1] another attempt of formalizing the product measure via Nonstandard Analysis techniques and Loeb Measures [1, §4]. They established that given a sequence of Hausdorff topological spaces $\{(X_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a corresponding sequence of regular Borel measure spaces $\{(X_i, \mathcal{B}_{X_i}, \mu_{X_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exists a measure λ_{LR} on the measurable space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{X_i})$ such that if $K_i \subset X_i$ is compact for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}_{X_i}, \mu_{X_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then the following identity holds

$$\lambda_{LR} \left(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{X_i}(K_i).$$

Finally, in 2011 G.R. Pantsulaia presented in [16, Theorem 3.10] the best generalization of product measures to countable many up to date. He proved the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of σ -finite measure spaces satisfying $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a measure λ_P on $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying for each $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the identity*

$$\lambda_P(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i).$$

This result provides a standard prove of the existence of the product measure without imposing any topological assumption over the involved spaces, in contrast with the constructions of Baker and Loeb & Ross. However, the construction of Pantsulaia requires the measure spaces $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$ to be σ -finite for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and the constructed measure λ_P is not the restriction of an outer measure, that it is not a necessary condition but it is rather natural and facilitates several computations like Fubini theorem's proof.

The aim of this article is to finish this story by constructing the product measure $\otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ for any sequence of measure spaces $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, without imposing any restriction on them. This establishes an analogue Kakutani's result Theorem 1.1 for general product measure spaces and states the most general existence result, concluding with an affirmative answer the problem of the existence of product measures. The main result of this article is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of measure spaces, then there exists a measure $\otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ on the measurable space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying for each $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the identity*

$$\otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i).$$

Furthermore, as will see later, this measure is constructed in an elementary way using the Caratheodory extension theorem and greatly simplifies the proofs given by Baker, Loeb & Ross and Pantsulaia. On the other hand, this measure is constructed by means of outer measure techniques, which will allow to use Fubini's Theorem to prove an extension of the Jessen's result [10] for this measure that establishes a simplification of the spaces $L_p(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i)$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Roughly speaking, we decompose $L_p(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i)$ in terms of $L_p(\times_{i=1}^n \Omega_i, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mu_i)$, for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$. This decomposition establishes a generalization of Theorem 3.4 of a recent publication of the author [21, Theorem 3.4] for general product measures. Moreover, it allows to consider infinite dimensional functions as a sequence of finite dimensional ones and stabilises a computational method to compute the integral of this kind of maps.

This paper is organized as follows. In section one, we give a result that states that the volume of finite rectangles $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ behaves like the classical volume of cubes in finite dimensions. This result will be crucial for the construction of the required measure. In section two, we construct the product measure proving the main result of this article, Theorem 1.3. This theorem concludes the problem of the construction of product measures in infinite products. Finally, in section three and four we simplify the structure of L_p spaces of this measure in terms of finite product measures.

2. A KEY RESULT

Given an arbitrary sequence of measure spaces $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we will prove the existence of a measure $\otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ on the measurable space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying the identity

$$(5) \quad \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i)$$

for each $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. We will suppose that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \neq \emptyset$, since in the contrary, the identity (5) holds for every measure. The existence of such measure in the case in which the finiteness condition $\prod_i \mu_i(\Omega_i) \in [0, +\infty)$ holds, follows from Theorem 1.1.

In this section, we will prove the main tool that will be used to prove the existence of the required measure. This result states that the volume of finite rectangles behaves like the volume of finite dimensional rectangles. Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary family of measure spaces. We define the *volume* map $\mathbf{vol} : \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\mathbf{vol} \left(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \right) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i).$$

It will be proved that the \mathbf{vol} map is a good choice for the extension of the classical volume formula. Along this article, the notation \uplus stands for the disjoint union of subsets.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measure spaces, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ pairwise disjoint. Then*

$$(1) \text{ If } \mathcal{C} = \uplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n, \quad \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

$$(2) \text{ If } \uplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}, \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}).$$

(3) Suppose that $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are not necessarily pairwise disjoint, then if $\mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$,

$$\mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.1, it will be necessary to prove some lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two real positive sequences such that

- (1) $a_n \leq b_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n \in [0, +\infty)$

then

$$(8) \quad \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \in [0, +\infty).$$

Moreover,

$$(9) \quad \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \leq \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n.$$

Proof. If $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n = 0$, the result is evident. Suppose $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n \in (0, +\infty)$. Then, since $(a_n/b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, 1]$, the partial products are monotone and bounded by 1. In consequence $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{b_n} \in [0, +\infty)$. Using elementary properties of the limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^m a_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^m b_n \prod_{n=1}^m \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{b_n} \in [0, +\infty).$$

This concludes the proof of (8). For (9) just note that the partial products satisfies $\prod_{i=1}^n a_n \leq \prod_{i=1}^n b_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma 2.3. The following statements hold:

- (1) Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2) \leq \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_i).$$

- (2) Let $\mathcal{C}_1 \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Firstly, given $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_1^i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_2^i,$$

it is apparent that

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_1^i \cap C_2^i.$$

Since Σ_i is a σ -algebra, $C_1^i \cap C_2^i \in \Sigma_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, since $\mu_i(C_1^i \cap C_2^i) \leq \mu_i(C_1^i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_1^i) = \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_1) \in [0, +\infty)$, it follows from Lemma 2.2, that

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_1^i \cap C_2^i) \in [0, +\infty).$$

Thus $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Inequality (10) follows from (9). This proves the first statement. For the second, denote

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \bigtimes_{i=1}^m C_{1,i} \times \bigtimes_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{2,i},$$

therefore it is apparent that

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \bigtimes_{i=1}^m (C_{1,i} \cap C_{2,i}) \times \bigtimes_{i=m+1}^{\infty} C_{2,i}.$$

Observe that $C_{1,i} \cap C_{2,i} \in \Sigma_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and that $C_{2,i} \in \Sigma_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, it follows that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \mu_i(C_{1,i} \cap C_{2,i}) \cdot \prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(C_{2,i}) \in [0, +\infty).$$

Hence $\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote $(C_i, \Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})$ the restriction of the measure space $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$ to C_i . Then, the following statements hold*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then

$$\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{C}$, necessarily $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

- (3) *There exists a measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}$ on the measurable space $(\mathcal{C}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_{C_i})$ satisfying for each $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the identity*

$$(11) \quad \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $D_i \in \Sigma_{C_i} \subset \Sigma_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(D_i) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(D_i) \in [0, +\infty).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. This proves the first statement. For the second one, let us denote

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_i \cap E_i \in \Sigma_{C_i}$ since $D_i \in \Sigma_{C_i}$ and $D_i \cap \Sigma_i \subset C_i \cap \Sigma_i = \Sigma_{C_i}$, where here we are using the notation

$$A \cap \Sigma_i := \{A \cap B : B \in \Sigma_i\}$$

for $A \in \{D_i, C_i\}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, by lemma 2.3, $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(D_i \cap E_i) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(D_i \cap E_i) \in [0, +\infty).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. This proves the second statement. Since the sequence of measure spaces $\{(C_i, \Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the finiteness condition

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(C_i) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i) \in [0, +\infty),$$

by Theorem 1.1, there exists a measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}$ on the measurable space $(\mathcal{C}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_{C_i})$ satisfying for each $\mathcal{D} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the identity

$$(12) \quad \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(\mathcal{D}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(D_i) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(D_i) = \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{D}).$$

It must be observed that the set map \mathbf{vol} is well defined over $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ since $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. This proves the third statement and finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us begin proving item (1) and (2). In this cases $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence by item 2 of Lemma 2.4, $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})$, where

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i.$$

By item 3 of Lemma 2.4, $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}$ is a measure on $(\mathcal{C}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_{C_i})$ and it coincides with the map **vol** over $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_{C_i}, \mu_{C_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. By the basic properties of measures, we deduce identities (6) and (7). Finally, suppose the hypothesis of case (3) holds. It is apparent that

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_n \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n.$$

By Lemma 2.3 item 1, $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_n \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_n) \leq \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by (11) and (13), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) &= \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i} \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_n \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{C_i}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_n) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MEASURE

For the prove of the existence of a product measure for an arbitrary family of measure spaces $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we consider the outer measure $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, defined by

$$(14) \quad \mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n) : \{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ and } A \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n \right\}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{P}(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i)$ and we set $\mu^*(A) := +\infty$ if no such cover exists. Along this article, the notation $\mathcal{P}(A)$ stands for the power set of the set A . It is straightforward to prove that μ^* defines an outer measure, see e.g. [18, Chapter 1, Theorem 4]. We will prove that this outer measure is, in fact, a measure on the σ -algebra $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i$ and that it satisfies identity (5) for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. We will make use of the following set theoretic Lemma whose prove is straightforward.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $C_i \subset \Omega_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$, then*

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{C}^c = \biguplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\times_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i \times C_n^c \times \times_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \Omega_i \right).$$

Theorem 3.2 (Measurability). *Every set in $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is μ^* -measurable.*

Proof. Take $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $B \in \mathcal{P}(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i)$. We have to prove the inequality

$$(16) \quad \mu^*(B) \geq \mu^*(B \cap \mathcal{C}) + \mu^*(B \cap \mathcal{C}^c)$$

holds. Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ and a family $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$$

and

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n) \leq \mu^*(B) + \varepsilon.$$

By the definition of μ^* , if the cover $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not exist, then necessarily $\mu^*(B) = +\infty$ and the inequality (16) follows. Suppose such cover exists, then by Lemma 3.1, there exists $\{\mathcal{C}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ pairwise disjoint of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \bigtimes_{j=1}^{n_i-1} C_{i,j} \times C_{i,n_i}^c \times \bigtimes_{j=n_i+1}^{\infty} \Omega_j$$

for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{i,j} \in \Sigma_i$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$, such that

$$\mathcal{B}_n = (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}) \uplus (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}^c) = (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}) \uplus \left(\biguplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i) \right).$$

By Lemma 2.3, it follows that $\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and therefore, by Theorem 2.1 item (1), we arrive to the identity

$$\mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n) = \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i).$$

Hence, by equation (17) and the definition of the outer measure μ^* , (14), it becomes apparent that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(B) + \varepsilon &\geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}) + \sum_{(n,i) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i) \\ &\geq \mu^*(B \cap \mathcal{C}) + \mu^*(B \cap \mathcal{C}^c). \end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the following inclusion and the definition of infimum

$$\begin{aligned} B \cap \mathcal{C}^c &\subset \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n \right) \cap \mathcal{C}^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}^c) \\ &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \biguplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i) = \bigcup_{(n,i) \in \mathbb{N}^2} (\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{C}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, inequality (16) holds for every $B \in \mathcal{P}(\bigtimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i)$ and therefore \mathcal{C} is μ^* -measurable. \square

In Theorem 3.2 we have proved that $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subfamily of the Caratheodory σ -algebra \mathfrak{C} associated to the outer measure μ^* , and therefore by Caratheodory extension theorem, μ^* defines a measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i$. We will denote

$$\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i := \mu^*|_{\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i}.$$

Finally, we will prove that the outer measure μ^* satisfies identity (5) for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 3.3 (Volume). *For each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the following identity holds*

$$\mu^*(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a cover of \mathcal{C} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n.$$

By Theorem 2.1 item (3), we deduce the following inequality

$$\mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Then, taking the infimum over all such covers, we establish that $\mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \mu^*(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, considering the particular cover $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_n := \begin{cases} \mathcal{C} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{if } n \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

it follows from the definition of μ^* that

$$\mu^*(\mathcal{C}) \leq \mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \mu^*(\mathcal{C})$$

which implies $\mathbf{vol}(\mathcal{C}) = \mu^*(\mathcal{C})$. This finished the proof. \square

Therefore, $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ is a measure on $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i$ satisfying identity (5). In conclusion, we have proved the main result of this article. The following existence result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of measure spaces, then there exists a measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ on the measurable space $(\times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i)$ satisfying for each $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma_i, \mu_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the identity*

$$\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\mathcal{C}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(C_i).$$

4. DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR FINITE MEASURES

In the remaining sections, the Lebesgue spaces of infinite product measures are studied and simplified in terms of L_p of finite product ones, for the particular case in which a finiteness condition is satisfied. Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of measure spaces satisfying the finiteness condition

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(\Omega_i) \in (0, +\infty).$$

Consider the measure space $(\mathcal{C}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i)$, where $\mathcal{C} = \times_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i$ and $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ is the measure constructed in the last section in terms of the outer measure (14). In this section we will deal with the space

$$L_p \left(\mathcal{C}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i \right)$$

for $1 \leq p < +\infty$. To simplify the notation, throughout this paper we will use indistinctly the notations $L_p(\mathcal{C})$ and $L_p(\mathcal{C}, \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i)$; and analogously $L_p(\times_{i=1}^n \Omega_i)$ and $L_p(\times_{i=1}^n \Omega_i, \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i, \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mu_i)$. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will use the notation Ω^n to denote $\times_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$. Consider for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the vector space

$$\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n) := \left\{ (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \times_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n) : \left\| \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n}^m \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - f_m \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^m)} \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \right\} / \sim,$$

where given $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \times_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n)$, we identify the sequences $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sim (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - g_n\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} = 0.$$

Moreover, in the definition of $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$, we are identifying for every $n < m$, $S_n^m(f) \equiv f$, where S_n^m is the linear embedding $S_n^m : L_p(\Omega^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\Omega^m)$ defined by

$$S_n^m(f) : \Omega^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m) \mapsto f(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$$

for each $f \in L_p(\Omega^n)$. Hereinafter, we will use this identifications. If we consider the functional

$$\|\cdot\|_{\lim L_p} : \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty), \quad (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)}$$

then, for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the pair $(\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n), \|\cdot\|_{\lim L_p})$ defines a Banach space. It is easily seen following [21] that $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$ is a realization of the inductive limit of the sequence $(L_p(\Omega^n), S_n^{n+1})$ in the category \mathbf{Ban} whose objects are Banach spaces and whose morphisms are linear isometries. The main result of this section states that the next spaces are isometrically isomorphic for $1 \leq p < +\infty$

$$(18) \quad L_p(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n),$$

and consequently we would have the embedding

$$L_p(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \bigtimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n),$$

that allows us to consider functions defined in spaces of infinite dimensions as a sequence of finite dimensional ones.

4.1. Preliminary Lemmas. We will give some lemmas concerning some dense subspaces of $L_p(\mathcal{C})$ and $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$ that will be useful for the proof of (18). Throughout this section, we will identify for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the spaces

$$L_p(\Omega^n) \simeq \left\{ f \cdot \chi_{\times_{i=n+1}^\infty \Omega_i} : f \in L_p(\Omega^n) \right\} \subset L_p(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $\chi_{\times_{i=n+1}^\infty \Omega_i}$ stands for the characteristic function of the set $\times_{i=n+1}^\infty \Omega_i$.

Lemma 4.1. *The subspace $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n)$ is dense in $L_p(\mathcal{C})$ for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i = \sigma(\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}).$$

Consequently since $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an algebra of subsets, by Lemma 3.4.6 of [5], the space $\text{Span}\{\chi_R : R \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\}$ is dense in $L_p(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, due to the inclusion

$$\text{Span}\{\chi_R : R \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n),$$

the result follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{F}_p^N be the subspace of $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{F}_p^N := \left\{ (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigtimes_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n) : \exists g \in L_p(\Omega^N), f_n = \frac{g}{\prod_{i=N+1}^n \mu_i(\Omega_i)}, \forall n \geq N \right\},$$

then for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the subspace $\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_p^N$ is dense in $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$.

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$ and consider the sequence $(\mathbf{F}^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{F}_n^m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < m \\ \frac{f_m}{\prod_{i=m+1}^n \mu_i(\Omega_i)} & \text{if } n \geq m \end{cases}$$

for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by definition

$$\|\mathbf{F}^m - (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\lim L_p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{F}_n^m - f_n\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f_m}{\prod_{i=m+1}^n \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - f_n \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)}$$

and since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$, it is apparent that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{F}^m - (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\lim L_p} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f_m}{\prod_{i=m+1}^n \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - f_n \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} = 0.$$

Thus we have proved

$$(\mathbf{F}^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n).$$

Consequently since $(\mathbf{F}^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_p^N$, we conclude that the subspace $\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_p^N$ is dense in $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$. \square

Another key result will be the Jessen's theorem. This theorem was proved by B. Jessen in 1934 in his PhD Thesis [10] and nowadays is proved by martingales techniques [22, Theorem 7.16]. However, Jessen proved the result only for probability spaces. We need a version for the general case in which the involved spaces $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$ are not necessarily of probability. This version is given in the next result which proof can be adapted *mutatis mutandis* from the proofs of Theorems II, III and IV of Baker's paper [3]. It must be observed that the extra conditions imposed by Baker on the measure spaces are not used in the proofs of Theorems II, III and IV.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\{(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of measure spaces satisfying the finiteness condition $\prod_i \mu_i(\Omega_i) \in (0, +\infty)$, and consider $f \in L_p(\mathcal{C})$ with $1 \leq p < +\infty$, then if we denote $\omega^n = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$, $\bar{\omega}_n = (\omega_{n+1}, \omega_{n+2}, \dots)$ and respectively for the variable x ,*

(1)

$$\int_{\times_{i=1}^n \Omega_i} f(\omega^n, \bar{x}_n) d\omega \in L_p(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\times_{i=n}^\infty \Omega_i} f(x^{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}) d\omega \in L_p(\mathcal{C})$$

(2) *for $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ -a.e. $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\times_{i=1}^n \Omega_i} f(\omega^n, \bar{x}_n) d\omega = \int_{\mathcal{C}} f$$

(3) *for $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ -a.e. $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and also with convergence in $L_p(\mathcal{C})$.*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\times_{i=n}^\infty \Omega_i} f(x^{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_{n-1}) d\omega = f$$

for $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i$ -a.e. $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and also with convergence in $L_p(\mathcal{C})$.

It is remarkable that Baker in his article [3] does not spell correctly the name *Jessen* and instead he use *Jensen*.

4.2. Decomposition Theorem. Once the necessary machinery has been presented, we proceed to prove the identification (18).

Theorem 4.4. *Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and consider the operators*

$$\mathfrak{T} : \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n) \longrightarrow L_p(\mathcal{C}), \quad (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S} : L_p(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n), \quad f \mapsto \left(\int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then \mathfrak{T} is an isometric isomorphism with $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}$. In particular, $L_p(\mathcal{C})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$,

$$L_p(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n).$$

Proof. Firstly, note that given $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$, the sequence

$$\left(\frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L_p(\mathcal{C})$$

is Cauchy in $L_p(\mathcal{C})$ since by Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - \frac{f_m}{\prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \left\| \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^m \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - f_m \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \\ &= \left\| \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^m \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - f_m \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^m)} \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathfrak{T} is well defined and it is linear. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.3 item (1), if $f \in L_p(\mathcal{C})$, necessarily

$$\left(\int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n).$$

By Theorem 4.3, the next sequence converges

$$\lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega = \lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega = f$$

and consequently for $n < m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{\prod_{i=n+2}^{m+1} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega - \int_{\times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^m, \bar{\omega}_m) d\omega \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \\ &= \prod_{i=m+2}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i) \cdot \left\| \frac{\int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega}{\prod_{i=n+2}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} - \frac{\int_{\times_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^m, \bar{\omega}_m) d\omega}{\prod_{i=m+2}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$\left(\int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$$

or equivalently $\mathfrak{S}(f) \in \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$. This establishes that \mathfrak{S} is well defined and that it is linear. Once we have proved the consistency of the linear operators \mathfrak{T} and \mathfrak{S} , we will prove that they are isometries. Take $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{T}(f_n)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} &= \left\| \lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i) \left\| \frac{f_n}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} = \|(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\varinjlim L_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \mathfrak{T} is an isometry. On the other hand, if $f \in L_p(\mathcal{C})$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{S}(f)\|_{\varinjlim L_p} &= \left\| \left(\int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\varinjlim L_p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} \\ &= \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right\|_{L_p(\Omega^n)} \right) \cdot \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i) \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} = \left\| \lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \\ &= \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from Theorem 4.3 item (3). Therefore, \mathfrak{S} is also an isometry. Finally we will see that $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}$. Consider $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_p^N$ and let $g \in L_p(\Omega^M)$ such that $f_n = \frac{g}{\prod_{i=M+1}^n \mu_i(\Omega_i)}$ for $n \geq M$, then if we denote $g^n = g(x^{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_{n-1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{S} \circ \mathfrak{T})(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} &= \mathfrak{S} \left(\lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \frac{g}{\prod_{i=M+1}^n \mu_i(\Omega_i) \cdot \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \right) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}(g)}{\prod_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} \left(\int_{\times_{i=2}^{\infty} \Omega_i} g^2 d\omega, \dots, \int_{\times_{i=M}^{\infty} \Omega_i} g^M d\omega, g \prod_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i), g \prod_{i=M+2}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i), \dots \right) \\ &= \left(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{M-1}, g, \frac{g}{\mu_{M+1}(\Omega_{M+1})}, \frac{g}{\prod_{i=M+1}^{M+2} \mu_i(\Omega_i)}, \dots \right) = (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

The last steps are justified by the equivalence relation defined on $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$. Thus, we have proved that if $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_p^N$

$$(\mathfrak{S} \circ \mathfrak{T})(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Since $\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_p^N$ is dense in $\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)$ and $\mathfrak{S} \circ \mathfrak{T}$ is continuous (since it is an isometry), it is apparent that

$$\mathfrak{S} \circ \mathfrak{T} = I_{\varinjlim L_p(\Omega^n)}.$$

On the other hand, consider $f \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n)$. Then $f \in L_p(\Omega^M)$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and if we denote $f^n = f(x^{n-1}, \bar{\omega}_{n-1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{T} \circ \mathfrak{S})(f) &= \mathfrak{T} \left(\int_{\times_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f(x^n, \bar{\omega}_n) d\omega \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \mathfrak{T} \left(\int_{\times_{i=2}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f^2 d\omega, \dots, \int_{\times_{i=M}^{\infty} \Omega_i} f^M d\omega, f \prod_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i), f \prod_{i=M+2}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i) \dots \right) \\ &= \lim_{L_p(\mathcal{C})} \frac{f \cdot \prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)}{\prod_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu_i(\Omega_i)} = f. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $f \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n)$, it is apparent that

$$(\mathfrak{T} \circ \mathfrak{S})(f) = f.$$

Since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_p(\Omega^n)$ is dense in $L_p(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathfrak{T} \circ \mathfrak{S}$ is continuous, we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{T} \circ \mathfrak{S} = I_{L_p(\mathcal{C})}.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

4.3. Example. It is convenient to give an example to clarify the theory. For this reason we will state briefly Example 3.8 of [21]. Let us consider the sequence of measure spaces $\{([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, m_{[0,1]})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ is the Borel σ -algebra of $[0, 1]$ and $m_{[0,1]}$ the Lebesgue measure. Consider the infinite product measure space

$$\left([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{[0,1]} \right).$$

The space $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called *Hilbert cube*. We will use Theorem 4.4 to compute the integral of the function

$$f : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}}.$$

By Theorem 3.7 of [21], for $a > 0$, we have

$$(19) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]^k} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}} = \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

Define the functions $f_k : [0, 1]^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}},$$

then $f_k \geq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have

$$(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigtimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} L_1[0, 1]^k.$$

Besides, it is evident that $f_k \geq f_{k+1}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence if $k > l$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_l - f_k\|_{L_1[0,1]^k} &= \int_{[0,1]^k} |f_l - f_k| \, dx = \int_{[0,1]^l} f_l \, dx - \int_{[0,1]^k} f_k \, dx \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^l} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^l \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}} \, dx - \int_{[0,1]^k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}} \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies by (19) that $\|f_l - f_k\|_{L_1[0,1]^k} \xrightarrow[k, l \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$. Therefore, we have

$$(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varinjlim L_1[0, 1]^k$$

with norm

$$\|(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\lim L_1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k\|_{L_1[0,1]^k} = \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

Finally, by Theorem 4.4 we get

$$\mathfrak{T}(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}} \in L_1 \left([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{[0,1]} \right).$$

Therefore, we have that $\mathfrak{T}(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = f$ and

$$f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\log(x_n)|}{(a+n-1)^2}}} \in L_1 \left([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{[0,1]} \right).$$

Moreover, since \mathfrak{T} is an isometric isomorphism, we get

$$\int_{[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\mu = \|f\|_{L_1([0,1]^{\mathbb{N}})} = \|(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\lim L_1} = \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)},$$

where $\mu = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{[0,1]}$.

5. DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR INFINITE MEASURES

In this section, we will give the analogue of Theorem 4.4 for the case of the sequence of measure spaces $\{(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, m_{\mathbb{R}})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R} and $m_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R} . Observe that this sequence does not satisfy the finiteness condition since $\prod_i m_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$ and therefore Theorem 4.4 cannot be applied directly. Consider the corresponding product measure space

$$\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{\mathbb{R}} \right).$$

Let us denote $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$. It should be observed that $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ where we are considering on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the product topology. Moreover, it becomes apparent that the measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{\mathbb{R}}$ is, in fact, the *Baker measure* λ_B , constructed in [3, Theorem I]. Thus, we will denote the measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{\mathbb{R}}$ by λ_B . For the sake of notation, hereinafter, we will also denote for each $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[0, 1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}} := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [a_n, a_n + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad [0, 1]_{\mathbf{a}}^m := \prod_{n=1}^m [a_n, a_n + 1),$$

where $\mathcal{N} := \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$. The next result simplifies considerably the integration issues on the measure space $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$ such that $f \geq 0$, then the set*

$$\mathcal{O}_f = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N} : \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B \neq 0 \right\}$$

is countable and

$$(20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B.$$

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{O}_f is uncountable and let \mathfrak{F} be a finite subset of \mathcal{O}_f . Therefore, since

$$[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}} \cap [0,1]_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbb{N}} = \emptyset \quad \text{for each } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b},$$

it is apparent that

$$\int_{\bigsqcup \{ [0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{F} \}} f \, d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{F}} \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B.$$

Thus, recalling the definition of uncountable sum, we arrive at

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B := \sup \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{F}} \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B : \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_f \text{ finite} \right\} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B.$$

Since \mathcal{O}_f is uncountable and

$$\int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B > 0,$$

for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f$, by Proposition 0.20 of [7],

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B = +\infty$$

and this gets a contradiction since $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$. Therefore \mathcal{O}_f is countable. Now, we prove identity (20). Let $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$ with $f \geq 0$, then since \mathcal{O}_f is countable, $f|_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} = 0$ λ_B -a.e. for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}_f$. Hence, since

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \bigsqcup \{ [0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N} \}$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B = \int_{\bigsqcup \{ [0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f \}} f \, d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} f \, d\lambda_B.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Define for each $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$, the translation

$$T_{\mathbf{a}} : [0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (x_n - a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then, it is apparent that $T_{\mathbf{a}}([0,1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}) = [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, since λ_B is translation invariant, we have that

$$(21) \quad \lambda_B(A) = \lambda_B(T_{\mathbf{a}}(A)) = \lambda_B(T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}(A)) \text{ for each } A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}.$$

Define for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the vector space

$$\ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N}) \subset \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N}} L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B)$$

consisting in all sequence $\mathbf{f} = (f_{\mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfying

- (1) $f_{\mathbf{a}} \in L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B)$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N}$.
- (2) $f_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ λ_B -a.e. for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}$ for some countable subset $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
- (3) $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{p, \oplus} < +\infty$, where

$$(22) \quad \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p, \oplus} := \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}} |f_{\mathbf{a}}|^p d\lambda_B \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Under this definitions, it is clear that the pair

$$(\ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N}), \|\cdot\|_{p, \oplus})$$

defines a normed space. It must be observed that the restriction of λ_B to $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the product measure $\bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_{[0, 1]}$ constructed in section II. This measure satisfies the finiteness condition $\prod_i m_{[0, 1]}([0, 1]) = 1 < +\infty$ and therefore Theorem 4.4 can be applied to simplify the structure of $L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B)$ for each $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and to compute in a simple manner each term of (22). Hence, the structure of the space $\ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N})$ is simply determined. The next result establishes that the spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$ and $\ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N})$ are isometrically isomorphic. This identification clarify the structure of $L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$ establishing an analogue of the Theorem 4.4 for this case. As a direct consequence we establish the embedding

$$L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N}} L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B).$$

Theorem 5.2. *The following spaces are isometrically isomorphic for $1 \leq p < +\infty$*

$$L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B) \simeq \ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, given $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$, there exists a countable subset $\mathcal{O}_f \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$(23) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}} |f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}|^p d\lambda_B.$$

Proof. Fix $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and define the operator

$$\mathfrak{P} : L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B) \longrightarrow \ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N}), \quad f \mapsto (f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1})_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Given $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$, necessarily $|f|^p \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$ and therefore an application of Theorem 5.1 yields the existence of a countable subset $\mathcal{O}_f \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $f|_{[0, 1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} = 0$ λ_B -a.e. for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}_f$. Consequently, by identity (20), it follows that

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\lambda_B)}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0, 1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B.$$

On the other hand, for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N}$, by the change of variable formula and identity (21)

$$\int_{[0, 1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B = \int_{T_{\mathbf{a}}([0, 1]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbb{N}})} |(f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1})(\mathbf{x})|^p d\lambda_B(T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})) = \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}} |f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}|^p d\lambda_B.$$

Therefore, $f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} \in L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B)$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N}$ and $f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} = 0$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}_f$. Moreover

$$(24) \quad \|f\|_{L_p(\lambda_B)}^p = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbf{a}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_f} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}} |f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}|^p d\lambda_B = \|(f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1})_{\mathbf{a}}\|_{p, \oplus}^p.$$

Consequently $(f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1})_{\mathbf{a}} \in \ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N})$ and $\|f\|_{L_p(\lambda_B)} = \|(f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1})_{\mathbf{a}}\|_{p, \oplus}$ for each $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$. Thus \mathfrak{P} is well define and defines an isometry. Moreover, (24) proves identity (23). Finally, we will see that \mathfrak{P} is onto. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_{\mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a}} \in \ell_p(L_p([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, \lambda_B), \mathcal{N})$ and define

$$f := \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}} (f_{\mathbf{a}} \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}) \cdot \chi_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

By the monotone convergence theorem it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}} |f_{\mathbf{a}} \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}|^p \cdot \chi_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbf{a}}} d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbf{a}}} |f_{\mathbf{a}} \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}|^p d\lambda_B$$

and again by the change of variable formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} |f|^p d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbf{a}}} |f_{\mathbf{a}} \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}|^p d\lambda_B = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{f}}} \int_{[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}} |f_{\mathbf{a}}|^p d\lambda_B = \|\mathbf{f}\|_{p, \oplus}^p < +\infty.$$

Therefore $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \lambda_B)$ and it is straightforward to verify that

$$\mathfrak{P}(f) = (f \circ T_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1})_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{f}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] L. ARKERYD, N. CUTLAND, C.W. HENSON. Nonstandard analysis. *NATO Advanced Science Institutes Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 493, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1997).
- [2] R. BAKER. Lebesgue Measure on \mathbb{R}^{∞} . *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society Volume 113 Number 4*, pp. 1023-1029, (1991).
- [3] R. BAKER. Lebesgue Measure on \mathbb{R}^{∞} , II. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society Volume 132 Number 9*, pp. 2577-2591, (2004).
- [4] V. I. BOGACHEV. Measure Theory Vol I and II. *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg* (2007).
- [5] D. L. COHN. Measure Theory. *Second Edition*, Springer Birkhäuser (2013).
- [6] E. O. ELLIOT, A. P. MORSE. General Product Measures. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society Volume 110*, pp. 245-283, (1963).
- [7] G. B. FOLLAND. Real Analysis: Modern Thechniques and their Applications. *John Wiley & Sons* (1999).
- [8] T. GILL, A. KIRTADZE, G. PANTSULAIA, A. PLICHKO. Existence and uniqueness of translation invariant measures in separable Banach spaces. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. Volume 50, Number 2*, pp. 401-419, (2014).
- [9] E. HOPF. Ergodentheorie. *Berlin*, pp. 2, (1937).
- [10] B. JESSEN. The Theory of Integration in a Space of Infinite Number of Dimensions. *Acta mathematica*, 63, pp. 249-323, (December, 1934).
- [11] S. KAKUTANI. Notes on Infinite Product Measure Spaces, I. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Volume 19 Number 3*, pp. 148-151, (March 1943).
- [12] A.S. KECHRIS. Classical descriptive set theory. *Springer, New York*, (1995).
- [13] A. KOLMOGOROFF. Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. *Berlin* (1933).

- [14] P. LOEB, P. ROSS. Infinite Products of Infinite Measures. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 1, pp. 153-158, (Spring, 2005).
- [15] Z. LOMNICKI, S. ULAM. Sur la theorie de la mesure dans les espaces combinatoires et son application au calcul des probabilites. I: Variables independantes. *Fund. Math.* vol. 23, pp. 237-278, (1934).
- [16] G. PANTSULAIA. On Ordinary and Standard Products of Infinite Family of σ -finite Measures and Some of Their Applications. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* Volume 27 Number 3, pp. 477-496, (February 2011).
- [17] S. RAMANUJAN. Some Definite Integrals. *Messenger of Mathematics*, XLIV, pp. 10-18, (1915).
- [18] R. A. ROGERS. Hausdorff Measures. *Cambridge University Press*, (1970).
- [19] I. SINGER. Bases in Banach spaces, II. *Springer* (1981).
- [20] S. SAEKI. A Proof of the Existence of Infinite Product Probability Measures. *The American Mathematical Monthly* Vol. 103, No. 8, pp. 682-683, (October, 1996).
- [21] J. C. SAMPEDRO. On the space of infinite dimensional integrable functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 488, Issue 1 (August 2020).
- [21] J. C. SAMPEDRO. Path Integral Techniques on Riemannian Manifolds. *Submitted*.
- [22] K. R. STROMBERG. Probability for Analysts. *Chapman & Hall* (1994).
- [23] A. N. TYCHONOFF. Über die topologische Erweiterung von Räumen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 102 (1), pp. 544-561 (1930).
- [24] Y. YAMASAKI. Measures on Infinite Dimensional Spaces. *World Scientific* (1985).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, COMPLUTENSE UNIVERSITY OF MADRID, 28040-MADRID, SPAIN.

Email address: `juancsam@ucm.es`