Slower deviations of the branching Brownian motion and of branching random walks

Bernard Derrida\textsuperscript{1} and Zhan Shi\textsuperscript{2},

\textsuperscript{1} Collège de France, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France, and Laboratoire de Physique Statistique, École Normale Supérieure, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Denis Diderot, CNRS, 24 rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France, derrida@lps.ens.fr
\textsuperscript{2} Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires (LPMA), Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France, zhan.shi@upmc.fr

E-mail: derrida@lps.ens.fr,zhan.shi@upmc.fr

Abstract. We have shown recently how to calculate the large deviation function of the position $X_{\text{max}}(t)$ of the right most particle of a branching Brownian motion at time $t$. This large deviation function exhibits a phase transition at a certain negative velocity. Here we extend this result to more general branching random walks and show that the probability distribution of $X_{\text{max}}(t)$ has, asymptotically in time, a prefactor characterized by non trivial power law.
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1. Introduction

Branching Brownian motion and branching random walks in one dimension have been for several decades a very active subject among physicists [7, 8, 16, 21, 24, 28] and mathematicians [1, 2, 3, 4, 19, 20, 30, 31, 33] and mathematicians. One of the most studied questions is how to determine the distribution of the position \( X_{\text{max}}(t) \) of the rightmost particle of a branching Brownian motion at time \( t \) (see figure 1).

For a branching Brownian motion, starting at the origin at \( t = 0 \) as in figure 1, the integrated distribution of the position \( X_{\text{max}}(t) \) defined by

\[
    u(x,t) = \text{Prob}(X_{\text{max}}(t) < x)
\]

is known [22] to satisfy the Fisher-KPP equation:

\[
    \frac{du}{dt} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + u^2 - u \quad \text{with} \quad u(x,0) = \theta(x) .
\]

(Here we take the variance of the associated Brownian motion \( \langle (X(t) - X(t'))^2 \rangle = \sigma^2 |t - t'| \) to be \( \sigma^2 = 2 \)). In the long time limit the solution becomes a travelling wave moving with an asymptotic velocity 2. More precisely one knows [5, 6] that in the long time limit, for \( x - 2t = o(\sqrt{t}) \),

\[
    u(x,t) \sim F \left( x - 2t + \frac{3}{2} \log t - A \right)
\]

(where \( X \sim Y \) means that that \( \lim (\frac{X}{Y}) \to 1 \)) where the travelling wave \( F(z) \) is a solution of the differential equation

\[
    \frac{d^2 F}{dz^2} + 2 \frac{dF}{dz} + F^2 - F = 0
\]

such that \( F(z) \to 0 \) as \( z \to -\infty \) and \( F(z) \to 1 \) as \( z \to \infty \). Because of the shift invariance in (4), if \( F \) is a solution, \( F \) translated along the \( z \) axis is also a solution. To select one particular solution one can for example specify the value \( F(0) \) at the origin,
say \( F(0) = \frac{1}{2} \). Once a particular solution \( F \) of (4) has been chosen, the constant \( A \) in (3) is fixed as well as the constant \( B \) which is the prefactor

\[
F(z) \sim Be^{(\sqrt{2}-1)z} \quad \text{as} \quad z \to -\infty.
\]  

(5)

A consequence of (3) is that, in probability,

\[
\frac{X_{\text{max}}(t)}{t} \to 2 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.
\]  

(6)

The question of large deviations [12, 18] has to do with estimating the long time asymptotics of \( u(x,t) \) when \( x \sim ct \) with \( c \neq 2 \) and to calculate the large deviation function \( \psi(c) \) defined by

\[
\text{Prob}(X_{\text{max}}(t) \sim ct) \approx e^{-t\psi(c)}
\]  

(7)

(where \( X \approx Y \) means that \( \log X \sim \log Y \)). For \( c > 2 \) it is known [11, 29] that

\[
\psi(c) = \frac{c^2}{4} - 1
\]

and that even the prefactor can be understood [17]

\[
1 - u(ct,t) \sim \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left( \frac{2}{c} - \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{-\infty}^\infty dy e^{-\left(1+\frac{x^2}{4}\right)\tau+\frac{xy}{2}} u^2(y,\tau) \right) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{4}-1\right)t}
\]  

in terms of the short time solution of the F-KPP equation (2).

In the present work we estimate the long time asymptotics of \( u(x,t) \) for \( \frac{c}{t} = c < 2 \). This large deviation function exhibits a phase transition [15] at some negative velocity \( c = 2(1 - \sqrt{2}) \)

\[
\psi(c) = \begin{cases} 
(\sqrt{2} - 1)(2 - c) & \text{for} \quad 2(1 - \sqrt{2}) < c < 2 \\
\frac{c^2}{4} + 1 & \text{for} \quad c < 2(1 - \sqrt{2})
\end{cases}
\]  

(8)

We will show in Section 2 that one can even understand the prefactor: for \( 2(1 - \sqrt{2}) < c < 2 \)

\[
u(ct, t) \sim \left[ B e^{-A(\sqrt{2}-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{2 - c}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\frac{3(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2}} \right] t^{\frac{3(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2}} e^{t(\sqrt{2}-1)(c-2)}
\]  

(9)

where \( A \) and \( B \) are defined by (3, 4, 5) and that for \( c < 2(1 - \sqrt{2}) \)

\[
u(ct, t) \sim \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left( -\frac{2}{c} + \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{-\infty}^\infty dy e^{\left(1-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)\tau+\frac{xy}{2}} u^2(y,\tau) \right) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{4}+1\right)t}.\]  

(10)

Expressions (9, 10) are derived in Section 2. Generalizations to a family of branching random walks and branching random motions are discussed in Section 3.
2. The branching Brownian motion

It is easy to check that the solution of the Fisher-KPP equation (2) with the initial condition (1) can be written as

\[ u(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} dy \frac{e^{-t-y^2/4\pi t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} + \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-(t-\tau)-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} u^2(y, \tau) . \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In (11) the first term represents all the events with no branching up to time \( t \) and while in the second term the integral over \( \tau \) represents the events for which the first branching event occurs at time \( t - \tau \).

As we will see the reason for the phase transition between the two expressions in (8) is the following:

- for \( 2(1 - \sqrt{2}) < c < 2 \) the second term in (11) dominates, and a saddle point calculation will give that the optimal \( \tau \) is proportional to \( t \).
- for \( c < 2(1 - \sqrt{2}) \) the two contributions in the r.h.s. of (11) are comparable, meaning that \( u(x, t) \) is dominated by the events with no branching at all or a first branching at a very late time (i.e., \( \tau \ll t \)).

One can get a lower bound on \( u(x, t) \) by keeping only the events such that there is no branching up to time \( t - \tau \). Then for any \( 0 \leq \tau \leq t \)

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-(t-\tau)-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} u(y, \tau) \leq u(x, t) . \] \hspace{1cm} (12)

Now the discussion is as follows:

Let us first assume that the second term in (11) is larger than the first term. Let \( y_0 \) and \( \tau_0 \) be the values of \( y \) and \( \tau \) which dominate the double integral in (11). (At this stage we don’t need to know the precise values of \( y_0 \) and \( \tau_0 \)). Then choosing \( \tau = \tau_0 \) in the lower bound (12), one gets

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-(t-\tau_0)-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4(t-\tau_0)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau_0)}} u(y, \tau_0) < u(x, t) < 2 \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-(t-\tau)-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} u^2(y, \tau) . \]

Because \( u^2 < u \), this double inequality can only be satisfied if \( u(y_0, \tau_0) \) is not exponentially small in \( t \): in other words, \( y_0 \gtrsim 2\tau_0 \). It is then easy to see that

\[ u(x, t) \approx \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \int_{2\tau}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-(t-\tau)-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4(t-\tau)}}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} \]

and the saddle point over \( \tau \) and \( y \) is given by

\[ y_0 = 2\tau_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_0 = t \max \left[ 0, \frac{c + 2\sqrt{2} - 2}{2\sqrt{2}} \right] . \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

We have therefore to distinguish two cases:
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- If \( 2 - 2\sqrt{2} < c < 2 \) (i.e., \( \tau_0/t > 0 \) in (13)) then the second term in \( (11) \) is exponentially (in the time \( t \)) larger than the first term. In addition as \( y_0 \sim 2\tau_0 \), the double integral in \( (11) \) is dominated by the region in \( \tau \) and \( y \) where one can use expression \( (3) \) for \( u(y, \tau) \). Therefore

\[
u(x, t) \sim \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-(t-\tau) - (x-y)^2/4(t-\tau)}}{\sqrt{4\pi(t-\tau)}} F^2 \left( y - 2\tau + \frac{3}{2} \log \tau - A \right).
\]

Making the change of variable \( z = y - 2\tau + \frac{3}{2} \log \tau - A \) and performing the integral over \( \tau \) by a saddle point one gets

\[
u(ct, t) \sim e^{t(\sqrt{2}-1)(c-2)} \left( e^{-A} \left( 1 - \frac{2-c}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{\sqrt{2}-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \int dz \, e^{z(1-\sqrt{2})} F^2(z) . \tag{14}
\]

Finally one can show (see the Appendix) from (4) that

\[
\int dz \, e^{z(1-\sqrt{2})} F^2(z) = \sqrt{8} B \tag{15}
\]

and this leads to

\[
u(ct, t) \sim e^{t(\sqrt{2}-1)(c-2)} t^{\frac{3(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2}} e^{-A(\sqrt{2}-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{2-c}{2\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\frac{3(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2}} B
\]

as announced in (9).

Remarks:

(i) The values of \( A \) and \( B \) defined by (3,5) depend on our choice of the solution \( F \) of (4). One can see from (3) and (5) that the combination \( B \exp[-(\sqrt{2}-1)A] \) which appears in (9) does not depend on any particular choice of \( F \).

(ii) One can also notice that when \( c \to 2 \) expression (9) reduces to (3,5).

- If \( c < 2 - 2\sqrt{2} \) (i.e., \( \tau_0/t = 0 \) in (13)) the two terms in (11) are comparable and the integrals are dominated by \( \tau \sim y = O(1) \ll t \) and one obtains for large \( t \) expression (10).

3. A more general branching random walk

In this section we describe how the above results (8,9,10) can be generalized to branching random walks. We consider the following continuous time branching random walk in one dimension. One starts at \( t = 0 \) with a single particle at the origin. This particle jumps and branches at random times and when it branches, it gives rise to \( k \) independent new branching random walks with probability \( p_k \). To be more precise, if the number of particles is \( N_t \) at time \( t \), each particle (independently of what the other particles do) branches with probability \( p_k dt \) into \( k \) particles and moves a distance between \( y \) and \( y + dy \) with probability \( \rho(y) dy dt \) during every infinitesimal time interval \( dt \).
3.1. The F-KPP like equation

From this definition of the branching random walk, one can see that $u(x,t)$ defined as before by (1) satisfies

$$\frac{du(x,t)}{dt} = \int \rho(y) dy [u(x-y,t) - u(x,t)] + \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k [u(x,t)^k - u(x,t)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

with $u(x,0) = \theta(x)$. As for the Fisher-KPP equation (2) the uniform solutions $u = 0$ and $u = 1$ are respectively stable and unstable and the solution of (16) with the initial condition $u(x,0) = \theta(x)$ becomes in the long time limit a travelling wave

$$u(x,t) \sim F \left( x - v_c t + \frac{3}{2\gamma_c} \log t - A \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $v_c$ and $\gamma_c$ are solutions of

$$V'(\gamma_c) = 0 \hspace{0.5cm} ; \hspace{0.5cm} v_c = V(\gamma_c)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where

$$V(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \sum_{k \geq 2} (k-1)p_k + \int (e^{\gamma y} - 1) \rho(y) dy \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

and the travelling wave $F(z)$ is a solution of

$$\int \rho(y) dy [F(z-y) - F(z)] + v_c F'(z) + \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k [F(z)^k - F(z)] = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

such that $F(-\infty) = 0$ and $F(\infty) = 1$.

Expressions (17), (18) and (19) can be understood from (16) as follows: if one looks for a travelling wave solution $u(x,t) = F(x-vt)$ of (16) moving at some velocity $v$, and one assumes that $1 - F(z) \approx e^{-\gamma z}$ close to the unstable solution (i.e., as $z \to \infty$), one gets by inserting this ansatz into (16) that $v = V(\gamma)$ with $V(\gamma)$ given by (19). Then as for all travelling wave equations in the pulled case [32, 9] one knows that for steep enough initial conditions (in particular for $u(x,0) = \theta(x)$) the solution of (16) becomes a travelling wave solution of (20) moving at the velocity $v_c$ given by (18) (i.e., the minimal velocity $V(\gamma)$ is selected) with a logarithmic shift in the position as in (17).

As for the branching Brownian motion, due to the shift invariance along the $z$ axis, one needs to select a solution of (20) (for example by specifying the value $F(0)$) and this fixes the value of $A$ in (17) as well as the prefactor $B$ in the asymptotics of $F$ at $-\infty$

$$F(z) \sim B e^{\eta z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where $\eta$ is the positive solution of

$$\int \rho(y) dy (e^{-\eta y} - 1) + \eta v_c - \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

as can be easily checked by removing the non linear terms in (20).
3.2. The associated random walk

Let us now consider the following continuous time random walk (this random walk has the same stochastic evolution as the above branching random walk except that it does not branch).

The probability \( P(x,t) \) of finding this random walk at position \( x \) at time \( t \) (if it starts at the origin) evolves according to

\[
\frac{dP(x,t)}{dt} = \int \rho(y)dy \left[ P(x-y,t) - P(x,t) \right].
\]

(23)

It is then easy to show that the generating function of \( P(x,t) \) is given by

\[
\int dx P(x,t)e^{\gamma x} = \exp \left[ t g(\gamma) \right] \quad \text{with} \quad g(\gamma) = \int \rho(y)dy \left( e^{\gamma y} - 1 \right). \quad (24)
\]

From this exact expression, one can easily obtain (by a Legendre transform) the large deviation function \( f(v) \) of the position of this random walk in a parametric form

\[
f(v) = \gamma g'(\gamma) - g(\gamma) \quad ; \quad v = g'(\gamma)
\]

and even determine the prefactor

\[
P(x = vt,t) \sim \sqrt{\frac{f''(v)}{2\pi t}} e^{-tf(v)}. \quad (26)
\]

3.3. The large deviation function of \( X_{\text{max}}(t) \) in the intermediate regime

We are now going to obtain the expressions which generalize the results \((8,9,10)\) to the case of branching random walks. As for \((11)\) one can check that

\[
u(x,t) = e^{-\alpha t} \int_{-\infty}^{x} dy P(y,t) + \int_{0}^{t} d\tau e^{-\alpha(t-\tau)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy P(x-y,t-\tau) \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k u^k(y,\tau) \quad (27)
\]

with

\[
\alpha = \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k \quad (28)
\]

is solution of \((16)\). As for the branching Brownian motion the first term represents the events with no branching up to time \( t \) and, in the second term, \( t - \tau \) represents the time of the first branching event.

In the intermediate regime (i.e., when the second term in \((27)\) is exponentially larger than the first term), one can show as we did for the branching Brownian motion that for the values of \( \tau \) and \( y \) which dominate the second term on the r.h.s. of \((27)\) one can replace \( u \) by its expression \((17)\). Therefore writing

\[
y = v_c \tau - \frac{3}{2\gamma_c} \log \tau + A + z
\]
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and estimating the integral by the saddle point method over $\tau$ one gets after simplification

$$u(ct,t) \sim \left[ \left( \frac{v_c - W}{c - W} \right)^{\frac{3f'(W)}{2\gamma_c}} \frac{1}{v_c - W} \int dz e^{f'(W)(A + z)} \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k F^k(z) \right] e^{-t(c-v_c) f'(W)}$$

(29)

where

$$W = \frac{c t - v_c \tau_0}{t - \tau_0}$$

(30)

and $\tau_0$ is the saddle point of the integral over $\tau$. By looking at the minimum over $\tau$ of

$$\alpha(t - \tau) + (t - \tau) f \left( \frac{v_c - v_c \tau}{t - \tau} \right)$$

one finds that $W$ is solution of

$$\alpha + f(W) + (v_c - W) f'(W) = 0.$$  

(31)

Expressions (29, 30, 31) are the generalization of (14) to the branching random walk. As for the branching Brownian motion one can show show that (29) can be further simplified to become

$$u(ct,t) \sim \left[ \left( \frac{v_c - W}{c - W} \right)^{\frac{3f'(W)}{2\gamma_c}} B e^{f'(W)A} \right] t^{-\frac{3f'(W)}{2\gamma_c}} e^{-t(c-v_c) f'(W)}$$

(32)

by using the facts (shown in the Appendix)

$$\int dz \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k F^k(z) e^{f'(W)z} = (v_c - W)B \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = -f'(W)$$

(33)

where $\eta$ is the exponential decay of $F(z)$ as $z \to \infty$ (see (21)).

Expression (32) is the generalization of (9) in the intermediate regime, where the second term in (27) is much larger than the first one, meaning that the saddle point $\tau_0/t$ is strictly positive, i.e.,

$$W < c < v_c.$$  

(34)

In this regime, the large deviation (see (32)) is linear in $c$

$$\psi(c) = -(v_c - c) f'(W)$$

(35)

(remember that $f'(W) < 0$) and, in the prefactor, there is a power law of time with an exponent $-3f'(W)/2$ which is model-dependent.

Remark: The above calculation and therefore (32) is only valid if the saddle point is in the range $0 < \tau_0 < t$ i.e., (see (30)), if

$$W < c < v_c.$$  

(36)

As for the branching Brownian motion, (32) matches with (17, 21) in the limit $c \to v_c$ (see (33)) for the relation between $\eta$ and $f'(W)$).

For $c < W$, the formula which generalizes (10) is obtained from the range $\tau = O(1) \ll t$ in (27) and one finds

$$u(ct,t) \simeq e^{-(\alpha + f(c))t} \sqrt{\frac{f''(c)}{2\pi t}} \left[ -\frac{1}{f'(c)} + \int_0^\infty d\tau \int dz e^{\tau(f(c) - cf'(c)) + zf'(c)} \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k u^k(z, \tau) \right].$$

(37)
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4. An example

All the discussion of section 2 can be easily generalized to a branching Brownian motion where, at each branching event, the particle branches into \( m \) particles instead of 2. The Fisher-KPP equation (2) becomes then

\[
\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + u^m - u \quad \text{with} \quad u(x,0) = \theta(x)
\]

(38)

In the long time limit the solution is given as in (3) by

\[
u(x,t) \sim F \left( x - v_c t + \frac{3}{2 \gamma_c} \log t - A \right)
\]

(39)

where

\[v_c = 2 \sqrt{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_c = \sqrt{m-1}
\]

(40)

and the travelling wave \( F(z) \) is solution of

\[
\frac{d^2 F}{dz^2} + 2 \sqrt{m-1} \frac{dF}{dz} + F^m - F = 0
\]

(41)

This allows to see that for \( z \to \infty \)

\[F(z) \sim B e^{(\sqrt{m-1})z}.
\]

In this example the large deviation \( \psi(c) \) defined by (7) is given by

\[
\psi(c) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{m-1}{2} - \frac{c^2}{4} & \text{for } v_c < c \\
(\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{m-1})(2\sqrt{m-1} - c) & \text{for } W < c < v_c \\
\frac{c^2}{4} + 1 & \text{for } c < W 
\end{cases}
\]

(42)

where \( v_c \) is given by (40) and

\[W = -2(\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{m-1})
\]

(43)

We see that, as \( f(v) = v^2/4 \), one has \( f'(W) = W/2 \) so that the result in the intermediate regime \( W < c < v_c \) is, as expected, given by (35) and (32) leads to

\[
u(ct,t) \sim \left[ \left( v_c - W \right) \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{m} - 1} \right) B e^{f'(W)A} \right] t^{\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{m-1}{m-1} \right)} e^{-t \psi(c)}
\]

(44)

This expression reduces to (9) in the case \( m = 2 \). One can notice as claimed in Section 3 that the power law of time in the prefactor is model dependent. As in (9) and in (32) the values of \( A \) and \( B \) depend on our arbitrary choice of the solution of (41) but the combination \( B e^{f'(W)A} \) does not.
5. Conclusion

In this work we have obtained expressions of the large deviation function of the position of the rightmost particle of a branching Brownian motion (8,9,10,44) and of branching random walks (32,37). In general one observes a phase transition at some velocity $W$. As discussed at the beginning of Section 2, on one side of the transition, the events which dominate are those for which there is no branching at all or the first branching event occurs at a very late time. On the other side of $W$, the first branching event occurs at an intermediate time $\tau$ where $\tau \approx t - \tau \approx t$. One noticeable result is also that in the intermediate regime, there is a power law of time in the prefactor with an exponent which is model-dependent.

It would be interesting to generalize our results to branching Brownian motions or branching random walks in presence of selection (for example to the $L$-BBM, the $N$-BBM, coalescing random walks [14]) or to the noisy version of the F-KPP equation [10, 17, 25, 26, 27].

It is important to realize that our results depend strongly on the fact that we start with a single particle and that in the branching process, each particle has a non zero probability of not branching which decays exponentially with time. If we had considered an initial condition with more than one particle as in [23] or branching random walks which branch deterministically at equally spaced times, the probability distribution of $X_{\text{max}}(t)$ would be very different.

Appendix

In this appendix we establish relations (15) and (33).

Appendix A.1. Derivation of (15)

For the branching Brownian motion, starting from (4), we know that $F(z) \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$ and $F(z) \to Be^{(\sqrt{2} - 1)z}$ as $z \to \infty$ (see [5]). Therefore for any $0 < \eta < 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)$ one has

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz F^2(z) e^{-\eta z} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \left[ F(z) - 2 \frac{dF(z)}{dz} - \frac{d^2 F(z)}{dz^2} \right] e^{-\eta z}$$

where the integrals converge. One can then transform the right hand side by using integrations by parts

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz F^2(z) e^{-\eta z} = \lim_{\Lambda \to -\infty} \left[ (2 + \eta)F(\Lambda)e^{-\eta \Lambda} + \frac{dF(\Lambda)}{dz}e^{-\eta \Lambda} + (1 - 2\eta - \eta^2) \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dz F(z) e^{-\eta z} \right].$$

Thus for $\eta = \sqrt{2} - 1$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz F^2(z) e^{-\eta z} = \lim_{\Lambda \to -\infty} \left[ (\sqrt{2} + 1)F(\Lambda)e^{-\eta \Lambda} + \frac{dF(\Lambda)}{dz}e^{-\eta \Lambda} \right] = 2\sqrt{2} B$$

where we used [5].
Appendix A.2. Derivation of (33)

The derivation of (33) is very similar. One starts from (20) and gets

\[
\int dz \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k F^k(z) e^{-\eta z} = \int dz \left[ \alpha F(z) - v_c F'(z) - \int \rho(y) dy [F(z-y) - F(z)] \right] e^{-\eta z}
\]

(where \(\eta\) is, for the moment, arbitrary in the range where the integrals converge). Because the integral over \(z\) converges one can put a lower bound \(\Lambda\) in the integrals (and take later the limit \(\Lambda \to -\infty\)) and one can write the right hand side as

\[
\int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dz \left[ \alpha F(z) - v_c F'(z) - \int \rho(y) dy (e^{-\eta y} - 1) \right] \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} dz F(z) e^{-\eta z}
\]

\[+ v_c e^{-\eta \Lambda} F(\Lambda) - \int \rho(y) dy e^{-\eta y} \int_{\Lambda-y}^{\Lambda} dz F(z) e^{-\eta z}.
\]

Now for the particular value of \(\eta\) defined in (21) and which satisfies (22) (see also (28)) one gets

\[
\int dz \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k F^k(z) e^{-\eta z} = \lim_{\Lambda \to -\infty} \left[ v_c F(\Lambda) e^{-\eta \Lambda} - \int \rho(y) dy e^{-\eta y} \int_{\Lambda-y}^{\Lambda} dz F(z) e^{-\eta y} \right]
\]

and taking the limit \(\Lambda \to -\infty\) this gives

\[
\int dz \sum_{k \geq 2} p_k F^k(z) e^{-\eta z} = \left[ v_c - \int \rho(y) dy e^{-\eta y} y \right] B . \tag{A.1}
\]

Using (25) one can see that

\[
g(\gamma) = v f'(v) - f(v) \quad ; \quad \gamma = f'(v) . \tag{A.2}
\]

This allows to write the equation (31) satisfied by \(W\) as

\[
-g(f'(W)) + v_c f'(W) + \alpha = 0 . \tag{A.3}
\]

One can also rewrite (22) using (24) as

\[
g(-\eta) + v_c \eta - \alpha = 0 . \tag{A.4}
\]

As such, both \(-\eta\) and \(f'(W)\) are solutions of \(-g(u) + v_c u + \alpha = 0\). We now argue that they are identical. The function \(G(u) := -g(u) + v_c u + \alpha\) is (strictly) concave, with \(G(0) = \alpha > 0\). So if \(G\) has two roots, the larger root is positive. Since \(-\eta\) and \(f'(W)\) are negative, neither can be the larger root: both of them are the smaller root, and are identical. This shows as announced in (33) that

\[
\eta = -f'(W) . \tag{A.5}
\]

Lastly from (25) and (A.2) one has

\[v = g'(f'(v))\]
and from the definition \((24)\) of \(g(\gamma)\) one gets

\[
v = \int \rho(y)dy \, e^{\mathcal{F}(v)y} y.
\]

This, together with \((A.5)\) completes the derivation of \((33)\).


