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#### Abstract

Let $m, n$ and $p$ be integers with $3 \leq m \leq n$ and $(m-1)(n-$ 1) $+1 \leq p \leq(m-1) m$. We showed in previous papers that if $p \geq(m-1)(n-1)+2$, then typical ranks of $p \times n \times m$-tensors over the real number field are $p$ and $p+1$ if and only if there exists a nonsingular bilinear map $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m n-p}$. We also showed that the "if" part also valid in the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$. In this paper, we consider the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$ and show that the typical ranks of $p \times n \times m$-tensors over the real number field are $p$ and $p+1$ in several cases including the case where there is no nonsingular bilinear map $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m n-p}$. In particular, we show that the "only if" part of the above mentioned fact does not valid for the case $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$. Keywords: tensor rank, typical rank, tall tensor, semi-tall tensor, Bezout's theorem, determinantal variety MSC:15A69, 14P10, 14M12, 13C40


## 1 Introduction

Tensor rank is a subject which is widely studied in both pure and applied mathematics. A high dimensional array of datum is called a tensor in the field of data analysis. Precisely, let $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{d}$ be positive integers. A $d-$ dimensional array datum $T=\left(t_{i_{1} \cdots i_{d}}\right)_{1 \leq i_{j} \leq N_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq d}$ is called a $d$-way tensor or simply a $d$-tensor of format $N_{1} \times \cdots \times N_{d}$. For a set $S$, the set of $N_{1} \times \cdots \times N_{d}$ tensors with entries in $S$ is denoted by $S^{N_{1} \times \cdots \times N_{d}}$.

Let $K$ be a field and $V_{j}$ an $N_{j}$-dimensional vector space over $K$ with fixed basis $v_{j 1}, \ldots, v_{j N_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Then there is a one to one correspondence between $K^{N_{1} \times \cdots \times N_{d}}$ and $V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{d}$ by $\left(m_{i_{1} \cdots i_{d}}\right) \leftrightarrow \sum_{i_{1} \cdots i_{d}} m_{i_{1} \cdots i_{d}} v_{1 i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes$

[^0]$v_{d i_{d}}$. A non-zero tensor corresponding to an element of $V_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{d}$ of the form $\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{a}_{d}$ is called a rank 1 tensor. For a general tensor $T$ of format $N_{1} \times \cdots \times N_{d}$, the rank of $T$, denoted by rank $T$, is by definition the minimum integer $r$ such that $T$ can be expressed as a sum of $r$ rank 1 tensors, where we set the empty sum to be zero. Thus, the rank is a measure of the complexity of a tensor. Further, for a 2-tensor, i.e., a matrix, the rank is identical with the one defined in linear algebra.

However, for the case where $d \geq 3$, the behavior of rank is much more complicated than the matrix case. In the matrix case, the rank is the maximum size of non-zero minors. Thus, if $K$ is an infinite field, the set of $m \times n$ matrices with rank $\min \{m, n\}$ form a Zariski dense open subset of $K^{m \times n}$. However, there are non-empty Euclidean open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2 \times 2}$ such that one consists of rank 2 tensors and the other one consists of rank 3 tensors. In particular, it is not possible to characterize the rank of a tensor by vanishing and/or non-vanishing of polynomials.

Let $m, n, p$ be positive integers. If the set of rank $r$ tensors of format $p \times n \times m$ over $\mathbb{R}$ contains a non-empty Euclidean open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{p \times n \times m}$, we say that $r$ is a typical rank of $p \times n \times m$ tensors over $\mathbb{R}$. The set of typical ranks of $p \times n \times m$ tensors over $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted as $\operatorname{trank}_{\mathbb{R}}(p, n, m)$ or simply $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)$.

If the base field is $\mathbb{C}$, the set of tensors of format $p \times n \times m$ with rank at most $r$ contains a non-empty Zariski open set if and only if its Zariski closure is $\mathbb{C}^{p \times n \times m}$ (cf., Chevalley's Theorem, see e.g., Har92, p. 39]). Therefore, there exists exactly one "typical rank of $p \times n \times m$ tensors over $\mathbb{C}$ ". This is called the generic rank of $p \times n \times m$ tensors over $\mathbb{C}$ and denoted as $\operatorname{grank}_{\mathbb{C}}(p, n, m)$ or simply $\operatorname{grank}(p, n, m)$.

It is fairly easy to show that $\operatorname{grank}(p, n, m)=\min \operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)$ (see e.g., [SSM16, Chapter 6]). Further $r \geq \operatorname{grank}(p, n, m)$ if and only if the $r$-th higher secant variety of the image of Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{p} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{p \times n \times m}$ is the whole space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{p \times n \times m}$, where $\mathbb{P}_{K} V$ denotes the projective space consisting of one dimensional subspaces of the $K$-vector space $V$. Thus, by counting the dimensions, we see that $\operatorname{grank}(p, n, m) \geq\left\lceil\frac{m n p}{m+n+p-2}\right\rceil$.

Suppose that $3 \leq m \leq n \leq p$. Then $p \geq\left\lceil\frac{m n p}{m+n+p-2}\right\rceil$ if and only if $p \geq(m-1)(n-1)+1$. Catalisano, Geramita, and Gimigliano [CGG02] (see also [CGG08]) proved that if $(m-1)(n-1)+1 \leq p \leq m n$, then $\operatorname{grank}(p, n, m)=p$. Thus, $\min \operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=p$ in these cases. ten Berge [tB00] called a $p \times n \times m$-tensor with $(m-1) n<p<m n$ a tall array or a tall tensor and proved that $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=\{p\}$ for these cases (see [SSM16, Chapter 6] for another proof). Here we define a $p \times n \times m$-tensor a semi-tall tensor if $(m-1)(n-1)+1 \leq p \leq(m-1) n$. We [SSM13, SMS15, SMS17]
studied the plurality of typical ranks of semi-tall tensors and proved that if $(m-1)(n-1)+2 \leq p \leq(m-1) n$, then $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=\{p, p+1\}$ if there exists a nonsingular bilinear map $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m n-p}$ and $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=\{p\}$ otherwise, where a bilinear map $\varphi: V_{1} \times V_{2} \rightarrow W$ is nonsingular if $\varphi(x, y)=0$ implies $x=0$ or $y=0$.

We also showed in SMS17] that the former part of the above mentioned result also valid in the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$. Therefore, the latter part of the above mentioned result in the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$ is left open. In this paper, we treat the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$ and show that $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=\{p, p+1\}$ in several cases. In particular, we show that the latter part does not valid in the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $K$ be a field and $T=\left(t_{i j k}\right) \in K^{\ell \times m \times n}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we set $T_{k}=$ $\left(t_{i j k}\right) \in K^{\ell \times m}$ and denote $T=\left(T_{1} ; \cdots ; T_{n}\right)$. For $P \in \operatorname{GL}(\ell, K)$ and $Q \in$ $\mathrm{GL}(m, K)$, we set $P T Q=\left(P T_{1} Q ; \cdots ; P T_{n} Q\right)$. Note $\operatorname{rank} P T Q=\operatorname{rank} T$ by the definition of rank.

We first state the definition of the typical rank over $\mathbb{R}$.
Definition 2.1 If the set of rank $r$ tensors over $\mathbb{R}$ of format $\ell \times m \times n$ contains a non-empty Euclidean open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell \times m \times n}$, then we say $r$ is a typical rank of $\ell \times m \times n$ tensors over $\mathbb{R}$. We denote the set of typical ranks of $\ell \times m \times n$ tensors over $\mathbb{R}$ by $\operatorname{trank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\ell, m, n)$ or $\operatorname{simply} \operatorname{trank}(\ell, m, n)$.

By the definition of the rank, we see the following fact.
Lemma 2.2 Let $n_{1}$, $n_{2}$ and $n_{3}$ be positive integers. Then $\operatorname{trank}\left(n_{i_{1}}, n_{i_{2}}, n_{i_{3}}\right)=\operatorname{trank}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ for any permutation $i_{1}, i_{2}$, $i_{3}$ of 1, 2, 3.

Definition 2.3 For $T=\left(T_{1} ; \cdots ; T_{n}\right) \in K^{\ell \times m \times n}$, we set

$$
\mathrm{fl}_{1}(T):=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in K^{\ell \times m n}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{fl}_{2}(T):=\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{1} \\
\vdots \\
T_{n}
\end{array}\right) \in K^{\ell n \times m}
$$

and call flattenings of $T$.

By the correspondence $K^{\ell \times m \times n} \leftrightarrow V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \otimes V_{3}$, where $V_{1}$ (resp. $V_{2}, V_{3}$ ) is a vector space over $K$ of dimension $\ell$ (resp. $m, n$ ) with fixed basis, flattenings correspond to natural isomorphisms $V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \otimes V_{3} \rightarrow V_{1} \otimes\left(V_{2} \otimes V_{3}\right)$ and $V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \otimes V_{3} \rightarrow\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{3}\right) \otimes V_{2}$. In particular, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}(T)\right) \leq \operatorname{rank} T$ and $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathrm{fl}_{2}(T)\right) \leq \operatorname{rank} T$.
Definition 2.4 For $M=\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}_{n}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{m}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{m}^{(\ell)}\end{array}\right) \in K^{\ell \times n}$, we set $M_{\leq j}:=\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}_{j}\right),{ }_{j<} M:=\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{j+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{m}_{n}\right), M^{\leq i}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{m}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{m}^{(i)}\end{array}\right)$ and ${ }^{i<} M:=\left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{m}^{(i+1)} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{m}^{(\ell)}\end{array}\right)$.

Definition 2.5 Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $M \in R^{\ell \times n}$. We denote by $I_{t}(M)$ the ideal of $R$ generated by $t$-minors of $R$.

## 3 A condition of an $n \times p \times m$-tensor to be of rank $p$

From now on, let $m, n$ and $p$ be integers with $3 \leq m \leq n$ and $(m-1)(n-$ 1) $+1 \leq p \leq m n$. We set $u=m n-p$.

Fact 3.1 (1) $\operatorname{grank}(p, n, m)=p$. In particular, $\min \operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=p$ [CGG02].
(2) If $p>(m-1) n$, then $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=\{p\}$ [tB00].
(3) Suppose $p \leq(m-1) n$. If there exists a nonsingular bilinear map $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{u}$, then $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=\{p, p+1\}$. Moreover, if $(m-1)(n-$ 1) $+2 \leq p$, then the converse also hold true [SSM13, SMS15, SMS17].

Therefore, the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$ and there is no nonsingular bilinear map $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{u}$ is still left open. In the following, we consider the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$ and study if there are plural typical ranks of $p \times n \times m$ tensors over $\mathbb{R}$.

Before concentrating on the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$, we state notations and a criterion of an $n \times p \times m$ tensor to be of rank $p$ in the case
where $(n-1)(m-1)+1 \leq p \leq(m-1) n$. Note that $\operatorname{trank}(p, n, m)=$ $\operatorname{trank}(n, p, m)$.

Definition 3.2 We set $\mathscr{V}:=\left\{T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p \times m} \mid \mathrm{fl}_{2}(T)^{\leq p}\right.$ is nonsingular. $\}$, $\mathscr{O}:=\left\{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m} \mid p<\mathrm{fl}_{1}(Y)\right.$ is nonsingular. $\}, \sigma: \mathscr{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{u \times p}, \sigma(T)=$ $\left({ }^{\left.p<\mathrm{fl}_{2}(T)\right)\left(\mathrm{fl}_{2}(T)^{\leq p}\right)^{-1}, \nu: \mathscr{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{u \times p}, \nu(Y)=-\left(p<\mathrm{fl}_{1}(Y)\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{fl}_{1}(Y)_{\leq p}\right), ~}\right.$ $\tau: \mathbb{R}^{u \times p} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}, \tau(W)=\mathrm{f}_{2}^{-1}\binom{E_{p}}{W}$ and $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{u \times p} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}, \mu(W)=\mathrm{fl}_{1}^{-1}\left(W,-E_{u}\right)$.

## Remark 3.3


and $\sigma(\tau(W))=\nu(\mu(W))=W$ for $W \in \mathbb{R}^{u \times p}$.
Definition 3.4 Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ be a row vector of indeterminates, i.e., $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are independent indeterminates. For $A=\left(A_{1} ; \cdots ; A_{m}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m}$, we set $M(\boldsymbol{x}, A):=x_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} A_{m} \in \mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]^{u \times n}$.

Definition 3.5 Let $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ be column vectors with entries in $\mathbb{R}$ of dimension $m$ and $n$ respectively. We set $\psi(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}):=\left(\boldsymbol{a} \otimes_{\mathrm{Kr}} \boldsymbol{b}\right)^{\leq p}$, where $\otimes_{\mathrm{Kr}}$ denotes the Kronecker product, i.e., if $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m}\end{array}\right)$, then $\psi(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \boldsymbol{b} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m-2} \boldsymbol{b} \\ a_{m-1} \boldsymbol{b}^{\leq p-(m-2) n}\end{array}\right)$.

For $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m}$, we define $U(Y)$ to be the vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ generated by $\left\{\psi(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}) \mid M\left(\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}, Y\right) \boldsymbol{b}=\mathbf{0}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.6 For $T \in \mathscr{V}, \operatorname{rank} T=p$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} U(\mu(\sigma(T)))=p$.
Proof Set $\mu(\sigma(T))=W=\left(W_{1} ; \cdots ; W_{m}\right)$ and $\ell=u-n$. Then by SMS17, Theorem $6.5(1) \Longleftrightarrow(3)]$, we see that $\operatorname{rank} T=p$ if and only if there are $B=\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $p \times p$ diagonal matrices $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$ such that

$$
(*) \begin{cases}D_{k}=\operatorname{Diag}\left(d_{1 k}, \ldots, d_{p k}\right) & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq m, \\
\left(d_{j 1} W_{1}+\cdots+d_{j m} W_{m}\right) \boldsymbol{b}_{j}=\mathbf{0} & \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq p \text { and } \\
\left(\begin{array}{cl}
B D_{1} \\
\vdots \\
B D_{m-2} \\
B \leq n-\ell \\
D_{m-1}
\end{array}\right) \text { is nonsingular. } & \end{cases}
$$

First suppose that there are $B=\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$ which satisfy $(*)$. If we set $\boldsymbol{a}_{j}=\left(d_{j 1}, \ldots, d_{j m}\right)^{\top}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$, then

$$
M\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{j}^{\top}, W\right) \boldsymbol{b}_{j}=\mathbf{0}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq p$ and

$$
\left(\psi\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p}, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
B D_{1} \\
\vdots \\
B D_{m-2} \\
B^{\leq n-\ell} D_{m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is nonsingular. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} U(\mu(\sigma(T)))=p$.
Conversely, assume that $\operatorname{dim} U(\mu(\sigma(T)))=p$. Then there are $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \ldots$, $\boldsymbol{a}_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\psi\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{p}, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right)$ are linearly independent. Set $\boldsymbol{a}_{j}=\left(d_{j 1}, \ldots, d_{j m}\right)^{\top}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p, D_{k}=\operatorname{Diag}\left(d_{1 k}, \ldots, d_{p k}\right)$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $B=\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$. Then it is easily verified that $B$ and $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$ satisfy ( $*$ ).

## 4 Determinantal varieties and Bezout's theorem

From now on, we consider the case where $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$. Then $u=m+n-2$.

Definition 4.1 We set

$$
A_{k}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
O_{(k-1) \times n} \\
E_{n} \\
O_{(m-k-1) \times n}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{u \times n}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ and

$$
A_{m}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
O_{(m-1) \times(n-1)} & -\boldsymbol{e}_{1} \\
E_{n-1} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{u \times n},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ and $A=\left(A_{1} ; \cdots ; A_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m}$.
The next fact is the key lemma of this paper.

Lemma 4.2 Let $y$ be an indeterminate and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent, where $V_{a}(I)$ denotes the affine variety defined by an ideal I.
(1) $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1},-1\right) \in V_{a}\left(I_{n}(M(\boldsymbol{x}, A))\right)$.
(2) $y^{m-1}-a_{m-1} y^{m-2}-\cdots-a_{2} y-a_{1}$ is a factor of $y^{u}+1$.

In order to prove this lemma, we need some preparation. First we make the following

Definition 4.3 Let $y$ be an indeterminate and $\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}$ an infinite sequence of complex numbers. We set $\mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)=\left\{f(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y] \mid f(y)=\sum_{k} c_{k} y^{k}\right.$, $\sum_{k} c_{k} \mu_{k+t}=0$ for any $\left.t \geq 1\right\}$.

It is easily verified that $\mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\mu_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[y]$.
Now let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Set $\lambda_{t}=0$ for $1 \leq t \leq m-2, \lambda_{m-1}=1$ and

$$
\lambda_{m-1+s}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & a_{1} & & \\
-1 & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & a_{1} \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots & a_{m-2} \\
& & & & -1 & a_{m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $s \geq 1$, where the right hand side is an $s \times s$-determinant (some $a_{i}$ 's may not appear for small $s$ ).

By the first row expansion, we see the following
Lemma 4.4 For $t \geq m$, we have $\lambda_{t}=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{m-k} \lambda_{t-k}$.
Set $h(y)=y^{m-1}-a_{m-1} y^{m-2}-\cdots-a_{2} y-a_{1}$. By the above lemma, we see that $h(y) \in \mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\lambda_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$. Further, since $\lambda_{t}=0$ for $1 \leq t \leq m-2$ and $\lambda_{m-1}=1$, there is no polynomial in $\mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\lambda_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$ whose degree is less than $m-1$ except the zero polynomial, i.e., $\mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\lambda_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$ is generated by $h(y)$.

Set

$$
N=M\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1},-1\right), A\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & & & & 1 \\
a_{2} & \ddots & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
a_{m-1} & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
-1 & \ddots & & \ddots & a_{1} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & a_{2} \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & -1 & a_{m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for integers $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ with $1 \leq c_{1}<\cdots<c_{n} \leq u$, we denote by $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]_{N}$ the maximal minor of $N$ consisting of the $c_{1}$-th, $\ldots, c_{n}$-th rows of $N$.

Now we state the following
Lemma 4.5 Under the notation above, the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $\operatorname{rank} N<n$.
(2) $[i, m, m+1, \ldots, u]_{N}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.
(3) $\lambda_{u+t}=0$ for $1 \leq t \leq m-2$ and $\lambda_{u+m-1}=-1$.
(4) $\lambda_{u+t}=-\lambda_{t}$ for $t \geq 1$.
(5) $y^{u}+1 \in \mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\lambda_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$.

Proof Let

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{m-1} & a_{m-2} & \cdots & a_{1} & & 1 \\
-1 & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & a_{1} \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots & a_{m-2} \\
& & & & -1 & a_{m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a $u \times u$ matrix. Then $\operatorname{det}\left({ }_{t<}^{t<} U\right)=\lambda_{u+m-1-t}+\delta_{0, t}$ for $0 \leq t \leq u-1$, where $\delta_{0, t}$ is the Kronecker's delta.
(1) $\Longrightarrow(3)$ Since ${ }_{m-2<} U=N$ and $\operatorname{rank} N<n$ by assumption, we see that $\operatorname{det}\binom{t<}{t<}=0$ for $0 \leq t \leq m-2$. Thus, we see that $\lambda_{u+m-1-t}+\delta_{0, t}=0$ for $0 \leq t \leq m-2$.
(3) $\Longrightarrow(2)$. We see by the first row expansions of $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{l}t< \\ t<\end{array}{ }^{t}\right)$ and $[t+$ $1, m, \ldots, u]$ and the assumption that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lambda_{u+m-1-t}+\delta_{0, t} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{m-k} \lambda_{u+m-1-t-k}+\delta_{0, t} \\
& =\sum_{k=m-t-1}^{m-1} a_{m-k} \lambda_{u+m-1-t-k}+\delta_{0, t} \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{t+1} a_{t+2-s} \lambda_{u+1-s}+\delta_{0, t} \\
& =[t+1, m, \ldots, u]_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq m-2$.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(1)$ follows from the fact that the last $n-1$ rows of $N$ are linearly independent, (3) $\Longleftrightarrow(4)$ follows from the facts that $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{m-2}=0$ and $\lambda_{m-1}=1$ and Lemma 4.4 and (4) $\Longleftrightarrow(5)$ follows from the definition of $\mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\lambda_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$.

Since $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1},-1\right) \in V_{a}\left(I_{n}(M(\boldsymbol{x}, A))\right)$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank} N<n$ and $y^{u}+1 \in \mathscr{I}\left(\left\{\lambda_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}\right)$ if and only if $h(y)$ divides $y^{u}+1$, we see Lemma 4.2 by Lemma 4.5.

Now we recall the following facts about determinantal varieties (see e.g. [Har92, p. 151 and p.243]).

Fact 4.6 Let $X$ be a $u \times n$ matrix of indeterminates. Then the projective variety in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{u \times n}$ defined by $I_{n}(X)$ has degree $\binom{u}{n-1}$ and codimension $u$ $n+1$.

Note that $\binom{u}{n-1}=\binom{u}{m-1}$ and $u-n+1=m-1$ since $u=m+n-2$. Note also that there are $\binom{u}{m-1}$ monic factors of $y^{u}+1$ of degree $m-1$ in $\mathbb{C}[y]$.

In view of this fact, we make the following
Definition 4.7 For $B=\left(B_{1} ; \cdots ; B_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{u \times n \times m}$, we set $\varphi_{B}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{u \times n}$, $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right) \mapsto \alpha_{1} B_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m} B_{m}$.

Then by Lemma 4.2, Fact 4.6 and Bezout's theorem, we see the following
Corollary 4.8 Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{A}\right)$ be the linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{u \times n}$ defined by $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{A}$. Then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{A}\right)$ and $V_{p}\left(I_{n}(X)\right)$ intersect transversely at $\binom{u}{m-1}$ distinct points, where $V_{p}(I)$ denotes the projective variety defined by the homogeneous ideal I.

By the implicit function theorem, we see the following fact.
Corollary 4.9 There is a Euclidean open neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m}$ such that if $B \in \mathscr{U}$, then $\varphi_{B}$ is injective and the number of real points of $V_{p}\left(I_{n}(M(\boldsymbol{x}, B))\right) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is the number of real monic polynomials of degree $m-1$ which divide $y^{u}+1$, where we say a point of a complex projective space is real if all possible ratios of its homogeneous coordintes are real numbers.

We denote the number of real monic polynomials of degree $m-1$ which divides $y^{u}+1$ by $\alpha(m, n)$. Then we see the following

## Lemma 4.10

$$
\alpha(m, n)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\binom{u / 2}{(m-1) / 2} & \text { if } m \text { and } n \text { are odd, } \\
(u-1) / 2 \\
(m-2) / 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { if } m \text { is } \text { even and } n \text { is odd, } \\
& \binom{(u-1) / 2}{(m-1) / 2} \\
& 0
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { if } m \text { is odd and } n \text { is even and } \\
& 0
\end{aligned} \quad \text { if } m \text { and } n \text { are even. } . ~ l
$$

By replacing $\mathscr{U}$ to a smaller neighborhood if necessary, we may assume that $\operatorname{rank}\left(M(\boldsymbol{a}, B)_{\leq n-1}\right)=n-1$ for any $B \in \mathscr{U}$ and $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ (cf. [SMS17, Corollary 4.20]). Then we have the following fact.

Lemma 4.11 Suppose $B \in \mathscr{U}$. Then $\#\left\{[\psi(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^{p} \mid M(\boldsymbol{a}, B) \boldsymbol{b}=\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right\}=\alpha(m, n)$, where $[\boldsymbol{x}]$ denotes the point of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^{p}$ defined by $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

## 5 Plural typical ranks of some formats of 3tensors

In this section, we show that in certain formats of 3 -tensors, there are plural typical ranks. We use the notation of the previous section.

First we recall the following fact.
Fact 5.1 ([SMS17, Proposition 2.4, Lemma 3.5, Theorems 7.3 and 8.1]) If $m-1$ and $n-1$ are not bit-disjoint, then $\operatorname{trank}(n, p, m)=\{p, p+1\}$, where two positive integers are bit-disjoint if there are no 1's in the same place of their binary notation.

Example $5.2 \operatorname{trank}(n, p, m)=\{p, p+1\}$ in the following cases.
(1) Both $m$ and $n$ are even.
(2) $m=5$ and $n \equiv 5,6,7,8(\bmod 8)$.
(3) $m=6$ and $n \equiv 2,4,5,6,7,8(\bmod 8)$.
(4) $m=7$ and $n \equiv 3,4,5,6,7,8(\bmod 8)$.
(5) $m=8$ and $n \equiv 2,3,4,5,6,7,8(\bmod 8)$.
(6) $m=9$ and $n \equiv 9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16(\bmod 16)$.

Set $A^{\prime \prime}=\left(A_{2} ; \cdots ; A_{m-2} ; A_{m} ;-A_{m-1} ;-A_{1}\right)$. Then there is a permutation matrix $P \in \mathrm{GL}(u, \mathbb{R})$ such that $p<\mathrm{fl}_{1}\left(P A^{\prime \prime}\right)=-E_{u}$. Set $A^{\prime}=P A^{\prime \prime}$ and $W_{0}=\mathrm{fl}_{1}\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{\leq p}$. Further set $\rho: \mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{u \times n \times m}, B=\left(B_{1} ; \cdots ; B_{m}\right) \mapsto$ $P\left(B_{2} ; \cdots ; B_{m-2} ; B_{m} ;-B_{m-1} ;-B_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U}=\mu^{-1}(\rho(\mathscr{U}))$, where $\mu$ is the map defined in Definition 3.2. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is an open neighborhood of $W_{0}$. Further, we see the following fact by Lemma 4.11.

Lemma 5.3 If $T \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$, then $\#\left\{[\psi(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^{p} \mid M(\boldsymbol{a}, \mu(\sigma(T))) \boldsymbol{b}=\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right\}=\alpha(m, n)$.

Since $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ contains $\tau\left(W_{0}\right), \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is not an empty set. Therefore, we see by Lemma 3.6 that if $\alpha(m, n)<p$, then there exists a non-empty Euclidean open subset $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n \times p \times m}$ consisting of tensors of rank larger than $p$. Further, since we see by [SMS17, Theorem 8.1] that typical ranks of $n \times p \times m$ tensors are less than or equal to $p+1$, we see the following fact.

Lemma 5.4 If $\alpha(m, n)<p$, then $\operatorname{trank}(n, p, m)=\{p, p+1\}$.
Now we state the following
Theorem 5.5 Suppose $3 \leq m \leq n$ and $p=(m-1)(n-1)+1$. Then $\operatorname{trank}(n, p, m)=\{p, p+1\}$ in the following cases.
(1) $m=3$ or $m=4$.
(2) $m=5$ and $n \leq 26$ or $n=28$.
(3) $m=6$ and $n \leq 34$.
(4) $m=7$ and $n \leq 16$.
(5) $m=8$ and $n \leq 16$.

Proof By Lemma 4.10 and computation, we see that $\alpha(m, n)<p$ in the following cases: (1) $m=3$ or $m=4$, (2) $m=5$ and $n \leq 26$ or $n=28$, (3) $m=6$ and $n \leq 34$, (4) $m=7$ and $n \leq 12$, (5) $m=8$ and $n \leq 14$ and (6) $m=9$ and $n=10$. Thus, we see the result by Example 5.2 and Lemma 5.4.

Remark 5.6 Set $m=3$ and $n=5$. Then $m-1$ and $n-1$ are bit-disjoint. However, by Theorem 5.5, we see that $\operatorname{trank}(n, p, m)=\{p, p+1\}$. Thus the converse of Fact 5.1 does not valid.
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