Signatures of a gearwheel quantum spin liquid in a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ pyrochlore molybdate Heisenberg antiferromagnet
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We theoretically investigate the low-temperature phase of the recently synthesized Lu2Mo2O5N2 material, an extraordinarily rare realization of a $S = 1/2$ three-dimensional pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet in which Mo$^{5+}$ are the $S = 1/2$ magnetic species. Despite a Curie-Weiss temperature ($\Theta_{CW}$) of $-121(1)$ K, experiments have found no signature of magnetic ordering or spin freezing down to $T \approx 0.5$ K. Using density functional theory, we find that the compound is well described by a Heisenberg model with exchange parameters up to third nearest neighbors. The analysis of this model via the pseudofermion functional renormalization group method reveals paramagnetic behavior down to a temperature of at least $T = |\Theta_{CW}|/100$, in agreement with the experimental findings hinting at a possible three-dimensional quantum spin liquid. The spin susceptibility profile in reciprocal space shows momentum-dependent features forming a “gearwheel” pattern, characterizing what may be viewed as a molten version of a chiral noncoplanar incommensurate spiral order under the action of quantum fluctuations. Our calculated reciprocal space susceptibility maps provide benchmarks for future neutron scattering experiments on single crystals of Lu2Mo2O5N2.

Introduction. A quantum spin liquid (QSL) is an exotic strongly correlated paramagnetic quantum state of matter [1–3] that lacks conventional long-range magnetic order down to absolute zero temperature and is characterized by nontrivial spin entanglement and low-energy fractionalized spin excitations [4–6]. One of the ideal settings to explore QSL physics is provided by systems in which the magnetic moments reside on either a two- or three-dimensional network of corner-shared (CS) triangles or tetrahedra and interact with an isotropic nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic Heisenberg exchange Hamiltonian. The promise of such systems stems, in part, from their low propensity to order even at the classical level [7–9]. Materials with magnetic species described by an (effective) $S = 1/2$ operator are expected to display the most extreme quantum behaviors, as suggested by numerous theoretical and numerical works spanning over 25 years [10–18], and are manifestly of significant interest.

While one might legitimately expect that single-ion anisotropy and exchange anisotropy would much undermine the likelihood of a QSL, the proposals that QSL states may be realized in systems described by effective $S = 1/2$ degrees of freedom, but with strongly anisotropic bilinear spin-spin couplings originating from large spin-orbit interactions, are exciting developments in the field. These include “Kitaev” materials [19–24] based on Ir$^{4+}$ or Ru$^{4+}$, and “quantum spin ice” (QSI) [25–28] pyrochlore oxide materials with trivalent rare-earth ions.

In the above Heisenberg antiferromagnets, Kitaev and QSI systems, one has at hand a reference (idealized) Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ as the model presumed to host a QSL state. The general mindset in the field has been to consider materials whose true Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}'$, may not be “too far” from $\mathcal{H}_0$ in terms of all material-relevant perturbations $\mathcal{H}'$. From a material perspective, the search and discovery of QSL phases thus requires some luck so that $\mathcal{H}'$ is sufficiently weak that long-range order is evaded. The experimental investigation of such potential QSL materials requires the synthesis of single crystals which, albeit being at times a daunting challenge, is a necessary one as it allows to expose the nontrivial momentum dispersion of low-energy excitations characterizing QSL states [29–31].

A prime candidate for a QSL phase in two dimensions is the herbertsmithite kagome material where long-
range exchange beyond nearest neighbor as well as the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya (DM) interaction might be subcritical to drive this compound to a magnetic long-range ordered state [29, 32, 33]. Illustrating further the subcritical role of further interactions, one may note the kapellasite kagome compound [34, 35], which is altogether described at “zeroth order” by a complex spin Hamiltonian with numerous competing interactions beyond nearest neighbor, landing it in a parameter space island where a QSL may be realized [36–38]. Nevertheless, the number of candidates for QSL behavior in two dimensions is small and the situation for three-dimensional materials is even more disconcerting. The pyrochlore lattice of CS tetrahedra, occurring in pyrochlore oxides and spinel magnetic materials, is an attractive architecture to search for QSLs [10–13, 39–43]. Unfortunately, most materials in these two families either develop long-range magnetic order or display a spin-glass-like freezing at low temperature, hence averting a QSL state. Similarly, Na4Ir4O8 [44], an antiferromagnetic spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ material with a three-dimensional hyperkagome lattice of CS triangles, also exhibits a spin freezing below about 7 K [45]. The MgTi2O4 spinel has $S = 1/2$ Ti$^{3+}$ moments, but structurally distorts at low temperature [46]. Finally, most Kitaev materials so far identified display long-range order and the behaviors of the best QSI candidates remain far from being well rationalized [23].

One may thus offer an executive summary of the experimental situation, especially for three-dimensional materials: In all cases, the perturbations $\mathcal{H}'$ are above a critical value and preempt the formation of a QSL. At this juncture, a convergence of opportunities, from the point of view of (i) potential QSL material candidates and (ii) an ability to model its $\mathcal{H}$ and expose its QSL nature with state-of-the-art numerical methods, is required to encourage the significant efforts in the synthesis of pertinent single crystals of three-dimensional QSL candidates. In this context, we propose in this Rapid Communication that Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ is a candidate much deserving such effort and subsequent investigation.

Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ is a pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet with Mo$^{5+}$ $S = 1/2$ moments that fail to develop long-range order or spin freezing down to $T^* \approx 0.5$ K, despite a Curie-Weiss temperature of $\Theta_{CW} = -121(1)$ K [47]. Notwithstanding the appeal of its $S = 1/2$ $\mathcal{H}_0$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic nature, we characterize in this work the leading perturbation $\mathcal{H}'$ of Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ in the hope of identifying a material with an innocuous $\mathcal{H}'$ such that it does not induce long-range magnetic order.

While the nonmagnetic random site O/N disorder might certainly be worth considering at a later stage, in this Rapid Communication, as a first step in fleshing out the leading physics at play in Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$, we model this material as an effective homogeneous $S = 1/2$ pyrochlore magnet. We apply a combination of (i) density functional theory (DFT) determination of the Hamiltonian parameters where the random O/N occupation is modeled using the virtual crystal approximation [48], (ii) a $S = 1/2$ pseudofermion functional renormalization group (PFFRG) study of the resulting Heisenberg Hamiltonian, and (iii) an analysis of the multiple-$\mathbf{k}$ spiral order that is realized for a classical version of the spin model derived from DFT. We establish the nature of the perturbation $\mathcal{H}'$ and find it to be weak at inducing long-range order—likely the one key factor for the failure of this material to freeze or order down to $|T^*/\Theta_{CW}| \ll 1$. It is shown that the long-range (third-nearest-neighbor) exchange coupling, in particular, $J_{3a}$ [see Fig. 1 and Eq. (1)], is crucial for defining a minimal material-relevant model Hamiltonian for Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$, as found for chromium spinels [49, 50]. For the model of Eq. (1) below, the PFFRG shows an absence of magnetic order down to temperatures $T^* \approx \Theta_{CW}/100$, in agreement with experiment. A classical analysis [51–55] of this model identifies a noncoplanar triple-$\mathbf{k}$ incommensurate spiral order as the parent classical state, whose melting by quantum fluctuations, would give a suitable phenomenological frame to describe the observed quantum spin liquid, possibly of chiral nature, and its $\mathbf{k}$-dependent spin susceptibility fingerprint.

**Results.** The minimal model for Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ extracted from our DFT calculations [37, 57] is given by a four-parameter isotropic $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg model,

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}} = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_2} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J_{3a} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{3a}} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J_{3b} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{3b}} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j,
$$

(1)

where $\hat{S}_i$ is a quantum spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ operator at pyrochlore lattice site $i$. The indices $\langle i,j \rangle_{1(2)}$ denote sums over nearest-neighbor (second-nearest-neighbor) pairs of sites. There are two inequivalent third-nearest-neighbor sites, the $\langle i,j \rangle_{3a}$ (connecting two Mo$^{5+}$ sites with a nearest-
neighbor Mo\(^{5+}\) ion in between) and \((i,j)_{3b}\) (across an empty hexagon in one of the three interpenetrating kagome lattices of the pyrochlore structure) (see Fig. 1). We find that \(J_1, J_2, J_{3a} > 0\) are antiferromagnetic while \(J_{3b} < 0\) is ferromagnetic (see Fig. 1). The set of exchange couplings corresponding to \(U = 2.5\) eV (see Table S1 [56] and Fig. 2) give an estimate of the Curie-Weiss temperature \(\Theta_{\text{CW}} = -125(4)\) K corresponding to the experimentally determined value of \(\Theta_{\text{CW}} = -121(1)\) K. The couplings are found to be \((J_2, J_{3a}, J_{3b}) = (0.008, 0.23, -0.078)\) in units of \(J_1\), with \(J_1 = 1\).

The PFFRG [20, 58–65] calculations (see Ref. [56]) for the model Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] for \(\text{Lu}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_5\text{N}_2\) were performed on a cluster of 2315 correlated sites with the longest spin-spin correlator being \(\sim 11.5\) nearest-neighbor lattice spacings, which ensures an adequate k-space resolution. The k-space resolved spin susceptibility profile evaluated at the lowest temperature \((T = |\Theta_{\text{CW}}|/100 = 1.21\) K) is shown in Fig. 3(a). At a temperature which is two orders of magnitude smaller compared to \(\Theta_{\text{CW}}\), the diffused spectral weight along the edges of the Brillouin zone (with a slight enhancement at the W points) reflects the high degree of frustration in \(\text{Lu}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_5\text{N}_2\). Interestingly, analogous features in the spectral weight distribution around the boundary are also shared by the highly frustrated spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) kagome Heisenberg antiferromagnet [15, 66, 67]. Away from the boundaries, one observes soft maxima [marked by an arrow in Fig. 3(a)] at an incommensurate wave vector \(\mathbf{k}_{\text{QSL}} = 2\pi(1.296, 1.296, 0)\) (and symmetry-related points). The k-dependent features of the susceptibility are best visualized in the \([hhl]\) plane, i.e., \(k_z = k_y\) plane [Fig. 3(b)]. Therein, we observe that the spectral weight at the pinch points \([(h, h, l) = (0, 0, 4\pi)\) in Fig. 3(b)] is both substantially suppressed and smeared and, instead, redistributes to form hexagonal clusters [68], similar to what is observed in \(\text{ZnCr}_2\text{O}_4\) [69]. This behavior is a consequence of the nonzero third-nearest-neighbor couplings \(J_{3a}\) and \(J_{3b}\) in Eq. (1), as has been argued in Ref. [53] on the basis of a classical analysis. In the \([hh0]\) plane, i.e., \(k_z = 0\) plane [Fig. 3(c)], the characteristic spin susceptibility profile resembles a pattern of “gearwheels” and, following Ref. [70], we dub the spin liquid accordingly. The RG flow of the susceptibility tracked at the dominant wave vector \(\mathbf{k}_{\text{QSL}}\) is shown in Fig. 3(d) [71], wherein the observed oscillations at small temperature arise due to frequency discretization. Its monotonic increase as \(T \to 0\) without any indication of a divergence points to the absence of a magnetic phase transition, in agreement with experiment [47]. We reach similar conclusions for exchange couplings corresponding to different values of \(U\) in the range 2 eV \(\leq U \leq 3.25\) eV given in Table S1 [56].

In order to identify the classical long-range magnetic order associated with Eq. (1), we use both the PFFRG method, and an iterative energy minimization of the classical Hamiltonian [55]. In the \(S \to \infty\) limit, the PFFRG flow equations permit an exact analytic solution in the thermodynamic limit and the approach is equivalent to the Luttinger-Tisza method [65]. The resulting ground states on non-Bravais lattices are approximate, since only the global constraint \(\sum_i |S_i|^2 = S^2N\), where \(N\) is the total number of lattice sites, is enforced [72, 73]. We find that under the RG flow, the two-particle vertex for the magnetic ordering (MO) wave vector, \(\mathbf{k}_{\text{MO}} = 2\pi(1.305, 1.305, 0)\) [marked by an arrow in Fig. 3(e)] (and symmetry-related points), diverges at a Néel temperature of \(T_N / J_1 \approx 0.625\), denoting the onset of an incommensurate magnetic order. The susceptibility profile evaluated at this ordering temperature is shown in Fig. 3(e). One observes that the susceptibility profile of the \(S = 1/2\) model [Fig. 3(a)] may be viewed as a diffuse version of the one for the classical model [Fig. 3(e)]. Under the action of quantum fluctuations, the subdominant Bragg peaks on the hexagonal faces in Fig. 3(e) become diffuse to form a uniform ring in Fig. 3(a), while the dominant Bragg peaks at \(\mathbf{k}_{\text{MO}}\) smear out to form a gearwheel pattern, albeit leaving behind fingerprints [marked by an arrow in Fig. 3(a)]. The whitish “teeth” of the gearwheels seen in Fig. 3(c) can, likewise, be accounted for.

To obtain the exact classical ground state and, in addition, allow for possible lattice symmetry breaking, we
perform an iterative classical energy minimization enforcing the constraint $|\mathbf{S}_i|^2 = S^2$ at each site $i$ [73]. This yields a magnetic state that is a noncoplanar triple-$\mathbf{k}$ structure composed of a superposition of three different spirals, each governed by an incommensurate wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. Moreover, we find that although the total spin per tetrahedron is not zero, the deviation is not energetically significant, being only a few percent of $J_1$. This implies an approximate equivalence between the antiferromagnetic $J_{3a}$ and ferromagnetic $J_2$ couplings [55, 74], and accounts for the similarities of the orders found here with those of the $J_1$-$J_2$ Heisenberg model [51, 52, 54]. The corresponding susceptibility profile is shown in Fig. 3(f), with the dominant Bragg peaks located at $\mathbf{k}_{MO} = 2\pi(1.312, 1.312, 0)$ (in good agreement with $\mathbf{k}_{MO}$) (and symmetry-related points). The finite-size effects due to periodic boundary conditions cause Bragg peak splitting, and the results in Figs. 3(f) and 3(g) are shown after performing a Gaussian smoothing over the split peaks. It is important to note that the height of the Bragg peaks in the $k_x$-$k_y$ and $k_x$-$k_z$ planes are slightly different, but are roughly twice the height of the peak in the $k_y$-$k_z$ plane [see Fig. 3(g)]. One may wonder whether the breaking of the cubic symmetry in the classical order could carry over to the $S = 1/2$ case and give rise to a nematic QSL [75, 76].

Interestingly, the spin configuration of our classical magnetic order is chiral, namely, that the effect of a time-reversal operation $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow -\mathbf{S}$ cannot be undone by a global SO(3) spin rotation. This is precisely the defining characteristic of a chiral spin state [77] which, accordingly, exhibits a nonvanishing scalar spin chirality $\sim \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$. Indeed, we find that on every tetrahedron, any set of three spins gives a nonzero scalar spin chirality. The prospect of this chiral symmetry breaking carrying over to the QSL phase in the $S = 1/2$ model [78–80] sets the stage for a first realization in an insulator of a chiral spin liquid in three dimensions (see Refs. [81, 82] for a metallic context). While we are unable to address this issue within the current implementation of PFFRG [56], an alternative route might be to proceed through a projective symmetry group classification of chiral spin liquids along with a variational Monte Carlo analysis [83, 84].

While our DFT calculations show that Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ is well approximated by a Heisenberg Hamiltonian, it merely serves as an effective minimal model. Indeed, a DM interaction term $\sim \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j)$ [85] is also symmetry allowed. The Moriya rules [86] constrain this interaction to be one of two types, called “direct” or “indirect” [87, 88]. Our DFT calculations of the DM term [89] find it to be “indirect” and estimate its magnitude to be $\sim 0.08$–$0.1 J_1$ (for a certain range of $U$ values). Within PFFRG, a treatment of the DM interaction for the pyrochlore lattice would be computationally expensive [64].

FIG. 3. First row: $S = 1/2$ PFFRG simulation of the model Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] for Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$. The magnetic susceptibility (in units of $1/J_1$) is shown at $T = |\Theta_{CW}|/100$ in the (a) full Brillouin zone, (b) [hhl] plane, and (c) [hkl] plane. (d) The RG flow of the dominant susceptibility. Second row: (e)–(g) Susceptibility profiles of the corresponding classical spin model obtained from (e) $S \rightarrow \infty$ limit of PFFRG, (f) iterative energy minimization, also shown along (g) selected cuts. (h) Brillouin zone of the pyrochlore lattice, a truncated octahedron, illustrating the high-symmetry points.
However, a classical optimization calculation at $T = 0$ shows that a $8\% - 10\%$ DM interaction does not significantly alter the nature of the classical state of the pure Heisenberg model (1). Indeed, we are unable to detect any shift in the Bragg peak positions within the available k-space resolution, while only a minor redistribution of the spectral weight is observed.

**Conclusion.** We have shown that Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ is well described by an “extended” Heisenberg model. Our PF-FRG analysis shows that the system remains paramagnetic down to a temperature that is at least two orders of magnitude smaller compared to the Curie-Weiss temperature $\theta_{CW}$. The spin susceptibility profile displays momentum-dependent features forming a pattern of gearwheels. These signatures lend support to the view that the supposed quantum spin liquid could be viewed as a molten version of a parent classical magnetic order, which is found to be a noncoplanar incommensurate spiral. Our work provides a theoretical prediction for the characteristic spin susceptibility profile which should ultimately be compared with future neutron scattering experiments on single crystals. We hope that our work motivates further experimental investigations of the potentially very interesting Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ which may prove to be the first realization of a quantum spin liquid based on a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet, as our work here suggests by building on the report of Ref. [47].
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—Supplemental Material—

Signatures of a gearwheel quantum spin liquid in a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ pyrochlore molybdate

Heisenberg antiferromagnet

**Structure**— We base our calculations on the Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ structure as determined by Clark et al. [S1] using powder neutron diffraction at $T = 4$ K. Both 48$f$ and 8$b$ positions of the pyrochlore structure are partially occupied by oxygen and nitrogen [see Fig. S1]. Rietveld refinement yielded O/N occupation numbers of 0.663/0.257 and 0.831/0.169 for the two Wyckoff positions. While the 8$b$ occupations add to one, the refinement indicates slight O/N deficiency on 48$f$. It is easily determined that ideal occupations of 48$f$ providing a 5:2 oxygen to nitrogen ratio would be 0.6948/0.3052. In our calculations, we neglect the possible O/N deficiency and adopt these ideal occupations of the 48$f$ position. Furthermore, we model the random O/N occupation of 48$f$ and 8$b$ sites using the virtual crystal approximation [S2]. This means that we assign nuclear charges of $Z = 7.6948$ and $Z = 7.831$ to 48$f$ and 8$b$, respectively.

**Electronic structure**— We perform electronic structure calculations for Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ using the full potential local orbital (FPLO) code [S4] using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional in its Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) form [S5]. We correct for the strong correlations on the Mo$^{5+}$ 4$d$ orbitals using the GGA+$U$ method [S6]. Fig. S2 shows the electronic structure for a ferromagnetic solution calculated with GGA+$U$. The Hund’s rule coupling is fixed at a value of $J_H = 0.6$ eV, which is typical for 4$d$ transition metal ions. The onsite interaction is chosen to be $U = 2.5$ eV because the Heisenberg Hamiltonian parameters estimated at this value yield a Curie-Weiss temperature which is close to the experimentally observed value $\Theta_{CW} = -121(1)$ K. There are many bands as the primitive cell contains two formula units of Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$. Per Mo$^{5+}$ ion, there is one occupied band of 4$d$ character in the majority channel (↑), corresponding to a magnetic moment of precisely $S = 1/2$. The narrow bands around $-2$ eV are the occupied Lu 4$f$ states. The other occupied bands are O/N 2$p$. At this value of $U$, Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ is a semiconductor with a small gap of $E_g = 0.15$ eV.

**Exchange couplings**— Next, we calculate the total energies for 25 different spin configurations of a 3 × 1 × 1 supercell of the primitive cell of Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$. An example for this procedure is illustrated in Fig. S3. We obtain the estimates for the Heisenberg exchange parameters listed in Table S1 by fitting the DFT+$U$ total energies against the classical energies of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. The evolution of exchange couplings with onsite interaction $U$ is shown in Fig. 2. While the next nearest neighbor coupling $J_3$ is negligibly small, the two inequivalent third neighbor couplings $J_{3b}$ (connecting two Mo$^{5+}$ sites with with a nearest neighbor in between) and $J_{3b}$ (across an empty hexagon in one of the three interpenetrating kagome lattices of the pyrochlore structure) are substantial and of different sign; $J_{3a}$ is antiferromagnetic like $J_1$, and $J_{3b}$ is ferromagnetic. We do not expect exchange couplings at Mo-Mo distances of 8 Å or more to play a major role. The 3 × 1 × 1 supercell does not allow us to resolve $J_4$ ($d_{Mo-Mo} = 8.02$ Å) but we can determine $J_5$ ($d_{Mo-Mo} = 9.49$ Å) and find it to be very small. We derived the anisotropic exchange couplings in the framework of a combination of relativistic DFT calculations with exact diagonalization of a generalized Hub-

![FIG. S1. Structure of Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$. Note that sites partially occupied by oxygen and nitrogen are shown by partly red, partly blue balls.](Image)

![FIG. S2. Band structure and density of states of Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ calculated with GGA+$U$ functional at $U = 2.5$ eV and $J_H = 0.6$ eV for the ferromagnetic state.](Image)
cal spin operator at each site in terms of Abrikosov presentation of spins, which amounts to rewriting the physical spin [S16]. It is formulated in the SU(2) fermionic representation of both diagonal and off-diagonal type [S14, S15], with any given angular momentum for the on-site level repulsion terms $-A\sum_i \hat{S}_i^2$ to the Hamiltonian, where $A$ is a positive constant [S16]. Such terms lower the energy of the physical states but do not affect the unphysical ones. As a consequence, at sufficiently large $A$ the low energy degrees of freedom of $H$ are entirely within the physical sector of the Hilbert space. For a wide class of spin systems (including the models considered here) one finds that even for $A = 0$, the ground state of the fermionic Hamiltonian obeys the one-fermion-per-site constraint [S16]. This is because unphysical occupations effectively act like a vacancy in the spin lattice, and are associated with a finite excitation energy of the order of the exchange couplings. As a consequence, the ground state of the fermionic system is identical to the ground state of the original spin model where each site is singly occupied.

Within PFFRG, a step-like infrared frequency cutoff $\Lambda$ along the Matsubara frequency axis is introduced in the bare fermion propagator $G_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega}$, i.e., $G_0(\omega)$ is replaced by

$$G_0^{A}(\omega) = \frac{\Theta(\omega - \Lambda)}{\omega}.$$  

Implanting this modification into the generating functional of the one-particle irreducible vertex function and taking the derivative with respect to $\Lambda$ yields an exact but infinite hierarchy of coupled flow equations for the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U$ (eV)</th>
<th>$J_1$ (K)</th>
<th>$J_2$ (K)</th>
<th>$J_{3a}$ (K)</th>
<th>$J_{3b}$ (K)</th>
<th>$J_5$ (K)</th>
<th>$\Theta_{CW}$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>102.4(6)</td>
<td>-0.1(5)</td>
<td>23.2(5)</td>
<td>-7.8(4)</td>
<td>-1.4(2)</td>
<td>-168(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>88.1(6)</td>
<td>0.5(4)</td>
<td>19.9(4)</td>
<td>-6.6(4)</td>
<td>-1.1(2)</td>
<td>-147(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>74.8(5)</td>
<td>0.6(4)</td>
<td>17.2(4)</td>
<td>-5.8(3)</td>
<td>-0.99(11)</td>
<td>-125(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>62.0(5)</td>
<td>0.6(3)</td>
<td>15.0(4)</td>
<td>-5.2(3)</td>
<td>-0.89(10)</td>
<td>-104(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>49.8(5)</td>
<td>0.6(4)</td>
<td>13.2(4)</td>
<td>-4.8(3)</td>
<td>-0.81(11)</td>
<td>-84(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>37.8(5)</td>
<td>0.6(4)</td>
<td>11.7(4)</td>
<td>-4.6(4)</td>
<td>-0.74(11)</td>
<td>-65(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>26.0(6)</td>
<td>0.6(4)</td>
<td>10.4(4)</td>
<td>-4.4(4)</td>
<td>-0.69(13)</td>
<td>-46(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>14.2(6)</td>
<td>0.5(5)</td>
<td>9.3(5)</td>
<td>-4.5(4)</td>
<td>-0.64(14)</td>
<td>-26(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE S1. Exchange coupling constants for the oxynitride phase Lu$_2$Mo$_2$O$_5$N$_2$ determined from total energies of 25 spin configurations in a 3x1x1 supercell using an 8x8x8 k-mesh [see Fig. 1]. The parameters corresponding to $U = 2.5$ eV (marked in bold) are used for the PFFRG simulations. We adopt the convention in which each pair $(i,j)$ in the summation in the exchange Hamiltonian [Eq. 1] is counted only once. Accordingly, the formula for the Curie-Weiss temperature is $\Theta_{CW} = -\frac{1}{3}S(S+1)\sum_n z_n J_n$, where the summation extends over all neighbors with which a given spin interacts, and $z_n$ is the coordination number at the $n$th-nearest-neighbor [S3].
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FIG. S3. Example for a set of 25 spin configurations of the considered 3x1x1 supercell calculated with GGA+U functional at $U = 2.5$ eV and $J_H = 0.6$ eV. The quality of the fit to the Heisenberg model is very good.
where $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ is truncated to keep only the self-energy $\Sigma$ terms of the form $1/S$ representing the large $S$ promoted from SU(2) to SU($N$); $\Lambda$ is the self-energy into the two-particle vertex, the approach and the spin index $\alpha$ containing the frequency variable $\omega$ and propagators denote multi indices $"1 \leftrightarrow 3"$. Such terms are essential for probing such terms [fourth line of Eq. (S4)] characterizing the system with respect to non-magnetic resonating valence bond or dimer crystal phases. This allows for an unbiased investigation of the competition between magnetic ordering tendencies and quantum paramagnetic behavior. Approximations due to the neglect of the three-particle vertex $\Gamma_3^A$ concern subleading orders in $1/S$ and $1/N$. Such terms are essential for probing possible chiral correlations in paramagnetic phases, e.g., in chiral spin liquids with a scalar chiral order parameters of the form $\sim \langle (s_i \times s_j) \cdot s_k \rangle$. Therefore, the current implementation of the PFFRG does not allow to describe the possibility of a spin system to form chiral spin liquids.

The two-particle vertex in real space is related to the static spin-spin correlator

$$\chi_{ij}^{h\nu} = \int_0^\infty d\tau \langle \hat{S}_{ij}^{\mu}(\tau) \hat{S}_{ij}^{\nu}(0) \rangle$$

where $\hat{S}_{ij}^{\mu}(\tau) = e^{-i\hat{H}_{ij}^*} S_i^{\mu} e^{-i\hat{H}_{ij}}$. As a finite-size approximation, correlators $\chi_{ij}^{h\nu}$ are only calculated up to a maximal separation between sites $i$ and $j$. The main physical outcome of the PFFRG are the Fourier-transformed correlators, i.e., the static susceptibility $\chi^{h\nu,A}(k)$ evaluated as a function of the RG scale $\Lambda$, which in three dimensions (for a $S = 1/2$ system) is related to a temperature $T = (\frac{k_B}{\pi}) \Lambda$ [S18]. In our case, the maximal distance of the correlators is $\sim 11.5$ lattice spacings corresponding to a total volume of 2315 correlated sites which ensures a proper $k$-space resolution. We implement an approach in which despite spatially limited vertices the system size is assumed to be, in principle, infinitely large. The frequency dependence of the two-particle vertex function is discretized over 64 points. If a system develops magnetic order, the corresponding two-particle vertex channel anomalously grows upon decreasing $\Lambda$ and eventually causes the flow to become unstable. Otherwise, a smooth flow behavior of the susceptibility down to $\Lambda \to 0$ signals the absence of magnetic order.

**Iterative minimization of the classical Hamiltonian**—The ground state of a classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian is found using an iterative minimization scheme which preserves the fixed spin length constraint at every site [S19]. In contrast, within the Luttinger-Tisza method the fixed spin length constraint is only enforced globally, i.e., $\sum_i |S_i^0|^2 = S^2 N$, where $N$ is the total number of lattice sites, implying that local moment fluctuations which are now permissible take us beyond the classical approximation by approximately incorporating some aspects of the quantum Hamiltonian [S20]. Starting from a random spin configuration on a lattice with periodic boundary conditions, we choose a random lattice point and rotate its spin to point antiparallel to its local field defined by

$$\mathbf{h}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial S_i} = \sum_{j \neq i} J_{ij} S_j.$$  

This results in the energy being minimized for every spin update and thereby converging to a local minimum. We choose a lattice with $L = 32$ cubic unit cells in each direction, and thus a single iteration consists of $16L^3$ sequential single spin updates. This iterative scheme is repeated many times starting from different random initial configurations to maximize the likelihood of having found a global minimum. From the minimal energy spin configuration, the spin structure factor

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{16L^4} \left| \sum_i S_i e^{ik \cdot r_i} \right|^2$$

is computed.
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