Higher Order Supersymmetric Truncated Oscillators

DJ Fernández C[1] and VS Morales-Salgado[2]
Departamento de Física, Cinvestav, A.P. 14-740, *07000 Mexico City, México*
[1]david@fis.cinvestav.mx, [2]vmorales@fis.cinvestav.mx

February 4, 2022

arXiv:1705.06297v4 [math-ph] 17 Oct 2017

Abstract

We study the supersymmetric partners of the harmonic oscillator with an infinite potential barrier at the origin and obtain the conditions under which it is possible to add levels to the energy spectrum of these systems. It is found that instead of the usual rule for non-singular potentials, where the order of the transformation corresponds to the maximum number of levels which can be added, now it is the integer part of half the order of the transformation which gives the maximum number of levels to be created.

1 Introduction

The so called spectral design in quantum mechanics basically consists in producing a Hamiltonian with a prescribed spectrum, departing from an initial one whose spectrum is already known. Among the various techniques available to implement the spectral design, supersymmetric quantum mechanics has proven to be a powerful one, since its defining equation gives the relation between the energy spectrum of the initial and new Hamiltonians (usually called supersymmetric partners) in a straightforward manner [1–31]. While first investigations focused on non-singular potentials, it is important to study the way that supersymmetric quantum mechanics works for potentials with singular terms, e.g. infinite walls, centrifugal barriers, etc. [4,9,14,17,25,29]. In this paper we will see that the so-called truncated oscillator plays a special role for this kind of studies.

Let us note that a truncation for the harmonic oscillator was introduced in [32], where the infinite-dimensional matrices representing the position and momentum operators were replaced by the corresponding finite-dimensional matrices generated from the first Fock states. Later, in [33, 34] its parasupersymmetric partners were studied and its quasicoherent states were obtained, respectively. On the other hand, a different truncation, in the domain of definition of the potential, is also possible: in [14] it was shown that an infinite potential barrier added to the harmonic oscillator modifies the domain of its supersymmetric partners, according with the position where the infinite barrier is placed. In [35–37] we started to study the possibilities of spectral design for the harmonic oscillator with an infinite potential barrier at the origin, or *truncated oscillator* for short. It was found that a first order supersymmetric transformation produces only isospectral partners, while a second order transformation allows to add at most one level to the spectrum of the new Hamiltonian. Let us recall that a non-singular higher order supersymmetric transformation can be decomposed as an iteration of first and second order non-singular transformations [38–40]. This suggests that, in order to add n new levels to the spectrum of the truncated oscillator, it is necessary to use a supersymmetric transformation of order $2n$ at least.

In this work we continue the study of spectral design for the supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator, by generalizing the conditions under which such singular systems can acquire additional energy levels in the case of a transformation of arbitrary order. However, instead of iterating lower order transformations we will use a straightforward method that employs a single transformation of high order, and then we will analyze the fulfillment of the boundary conditions.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the supersymmetric transformation, relying on the truncated oscillator to exemplify the technique. In Section 3 and 4 we recall the results found previously for the first and second order cases, respectively. In Section 5 we present the novel results of this work, regarding the higher order supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator and how it turns out that only a subset of the possible eigenfunctions for these systems actually satisfy the boundary conditions. Finally, in section 6 we present our concluding remarks.

2 Supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator

A supersymmetry transformation in quantum mechanics relates two Schrödinger Hamiltonians H and $\tilde{H}$ through the intertwining equation

$$\tilde{H}Q = QH, \tag{1}$$

where Q is a non-singular k-th order differential operator known as *intertwining operator*. Once the intertwining relation has been established, H and $\tilde{H}$ are called supersymmetric partners of each other.

From (1) it follows that if ψ_n is a solution of the stationary Schrödinger equation $H\psi_n = E_n\psi_n$, associated to the value E_n, then

$$\tilde{\psi}_n \propto Q\,\psi_n \tag{2}$$

is a solution of $\tilde{H}\tilde{\psi}_n = E_n\tilde{\psi}_n$, associated to the same value E_n. If ψ_n and $\tilde{\psi}_n$ both satisfy the corresponding boundary conditions, then we can say that there are eigenvalues E_n in the spectrum of H which are also in the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$ and that their corresponding eigenfunctions are related by equation (2). However, the functions $\tilde{\psi}_n$ in general do not form a complete set, since it is possible to find functions which are orthogonal to them [3].

Indeed, suppose that ϕ is one of such functions, i.e.,

$$\langle\phi|\tilde{\psi}_n\rangle = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle\phi|Q\psi_n\rangle = \langle Q^\dagger\phi|\psi_n\rangle = 0. \tag{3}$$

Since the set of eigenfunctions of H is complete, it turns out that the only vector which is orthogonal to all $|\psi_n\rangle$ is null. From this, we can see that the only *missing eigenfunctions* are those contained in the kernel of the intertwining operator $Q^\dagger$. Since it is a k-th order linear differential operator, the dimension of such a kernel is precisely k. Even more, we can readily see that $\tilde{H}$ and $Q^\dagger$ commute in said kernel, thus they can be diagonalized simultaneously.

Hence, a possible non-isospectral eigenfunction ϕ_ϵ of $\tilde{H}$ is obtained as a zero mode of the adjoint of the intertwining operator Q, i.e.,

$$Q^\dagger\,\phi_\epsilon = 0, \tag{4}$$

corresponding to the eigenvalue ϵ. These are regarded as eigenvalues added to the initial energy spectrum as a result of the technique. We can conclude that the number of non-isospectral eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$ is less than or equal to the order k of the intertwining operator. This shows that the spectral values of $\tilde{H}$ are exhausted by two sets of possible eigenfunctions, the isospectral ones $\tilde{\psi}_n$ given by Eq. (2) and the k non-isospectral solutions satisfying Eq. (4), depending on whether they satisfy the boundary conditions [9, 19, 23, 24, 27].

Let us suppose now that $H = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + V$ is the Hamiltonian for the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with an infinite potential barrier at the origin, which from now on we shall call *truncated oscillator*, where the potential is given by

$$V = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2}{2} & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases} . \tag{5}$$

The spectrum of H consists of the set of values E_n associated to those solutions ψ_n of the stationary Schrödinger equation

$$H\,\psi_n = E_n\,\psi_n \tag{6}$$

such that $\psi_n(x)$ is square-integrable in the domain $(0,\infty)$ and it satisfies the boundary conditions, i.e. $\psi_n(0) = \psi_n(\infty) = 0$. Therefore, the eigenvalues of H are given by $E_n = 2n + 3/2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with corresponding eigenfunctions

$$\psi_n(x) = C_n\,e^{-x^2/2}\,H_{2n+1}(x)\,, \tag{7}$$

where $C_n = \left[\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2n}\,(2n+1)!\right]^{-1/2}$ is a normalization constant and $H_m(x)$ is the m-th Hermite polynomial. These are the odd eigenfunctions of the standard one-dimensional harmonic oscillator now normalized in the domain $(0,\infty)$.

The even eigenfunctions of the standard harmonic oscillator, normalized in $(0,\infty)$ and associated to the values $\mathcal{E}_n = 2n + \frac{1}{2}$ are given by

$$\chi_n(x) = B_n\,e^{-x^2/2}\,H_{2n}(x)\,, \tag{8}$$

where $B_n = \left[\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2n-1}\,(2n)!\right]^{-1/2}$. These are solutions to the equation (6) but they do not satisfy the boundary condition at $x = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{E}_n$ are not elements of the spectrum of H. Nonetheless, we will see that they play an important role in the further development of the supersymmetric technique for the truncated oscillator.

Figure 1 contains a plot of the potential V of the truncated oscillator. It also shows the eigenfunctions of the ground state $\psi_0(x)$ and the first three excited states. As is customary when depicting the potential and eigenfunctions in the same plot, the x-axis for each eigenfunction is shifted up to the energy of its corresponding eigenvalue.




Figure 1 - Potential for the truncated oscillator and the eigenfunctions of its first four energy levels.

3 First-order supersymmetric partners

Suppose that Q is a first-order differential operator defined as

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + \alpha(x)\right], \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is a real function of x which can be obtained by substituting equation (9) into equation (1). Moreover, if one assumes that $\alpha = u'/u$, where $u(x)$ is called the *seed solution*, it turns out that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2u}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + Vu = \epsilon u, \tag{10}$$

being ϵ a parameter called *factorization energy*, which we shall consider real. This is the stationary Schrödinger equation defined by H, but u is not required to be physical, i.e. to satisfy the boundary conditions. However, u must be such that α, and thus Q, is non-singular. If this is the case, then V and $\tilde{V}$ can be related by

$$\tilde{V} = V - \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}\ln(u). \tag{11}$$

The general expression for the seed solution is a linear combination of two functions with opposite parity given by

$$u(x) = e^{-x^2/2}\left[b_1\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, x^2\right) + b_2\,x\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x^2\right)\right], \tag{12}$$

where b_1 and b_2 are real constants and ${}_1F_1(a, b; x)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. A simple way to fulfill the appropriate boundary conditions for the eigenfunctions of the new Hamiltonian is to proceed by cases, choosing a definite parity of u.

3.1 Odd seed solution

By choosing $b_1 = 0$ and $b_2 = 1$ we obtain the odd seed solution

$$u(x) = xe^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x^2\right), \tag{13}$$

and from equation (11) we obtain in particular that

$$\tilde{V} = V + 1 + \frac{1}{x^2} - \left\{ \ln \left[{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x^2 \right) \right] \right\}'' . \tag{14}$$

We can see that the terms V and $1/x^2$ have a singularity at $x = 0$, which is allowed since it lays outside the domain $(0, \infty)$. On the other hand, no singularities are added inside the domain $(0, \infty)$ as long as $\epsilon < \frac{3}{2}$, which defines the allowed values for the factorization energy. In the limit where $\epsilon = \frac{3}{2}$ this value is removed from the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$.

Therefore $\tilde{H}$ is isospectral to H and its eigenfunctions are obtained using (2) as

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) = \frac{C_n\, x^2 e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{E_n - \epsilon}} \left[\frac{4}{3}\, {}_1F_1\Big(1-n, \frac{5}{2}, x^2\Big) + \Big(1 - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon\Big) \frac{{}_1F_1(\frac{7-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{5}{2}, x^2)}{{}_1F_1(\frac{3-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x^2)}\, {}_1F_1\Big(-n, \frac{3}{2}, x^2\Big) \right]. \tag{15}$$

3.2 Even seed solution

Next we choose $b_1 = 1$ and $b_2 = 0$ such that

$$u(x) = e^{-x^2/2}\, {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, x^2 \right) \tag{16}$$

leading now to the potential

$$\tilde{V} = V + 1 - \left\{ \ln \left[{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, x^2 \right) \right] \right\}'' . \tag{17}$$

This potential has a singularity at $x = 0$, due to the barrier in the term V, while the allowed values for the factorization energy are such that $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

When studying the way in which the eigenfunctions of equation (6) are transformed we find that $Q\,\psi_n$ fails to satisfy the boundary conditions, where ψ_n is given by equation (7). In fact, it is $Q\,\chi_n$ which solves the stationary Schrödinger equation for $\tilde{H}$ and also satisfies the boundary conditions. Thus, the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$ are given by

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) = \frac{B_n\, x\, e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_n - \epsilon}} \left[4n\, {}_1F_1\left(1-n, \frac{3}{2}, x^2\right) + (1-2\epsilon) \frac{{}_1F_1(\frac{5-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x^2)}{{}_1F_1(\frac{1-2\epsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, x^2)}\, {}_1F_1\left(-n, \frac{1}{2}, x^2\right) \right].$$

They are associated to the eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_n$, which are the elements of the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$.

It is worth mentioning that in both cases of the first order intertwining, H and $\tilde{H}$ are isospectral, up to an overall shift in the energy.

4 Second-order supersymmetric partners

Now, let us consider a second-order intertwining operator defined as

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - \eta(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + \gamma(x) \right], \tag{18}$$

where $\eta(x)$ and $\gamma(x)$ are real functions of the position x. This realization of the supersymmetric technique can be obtained using a pair of seed solutions of equation (10), $u_i(x)$ associated to ϵ_i, $i = 1, 2$, in the form given by equation (12).

Once the seed solutions have been fixed, the potential of $\tilde{H}$ becomes

$$\tilde{V} = V - \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \ln\left[W\left(u_1, u_2\right) \right]. \tag{19}$$

As it was done previously, we shall proceed by cases according to the parity of $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$. For definiteness, we order the factorization energies as $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$; then, there are four non-equivalent identifications of these functions consistent with expressions (13) and (16).

4.1 Odd-odd seed solutions

Consider the identification

$$u_1 = xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon_1}{4},\frac{3}{2};x^2\right), \qquad u_2 = xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon_2}{4},\frac{3}{2};x^2\right). \tag{20}$$

Then, the new potential is given by

$$\tilde{V} = V + \frac{3}{x^2} + 2 - [\ln w_1(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2;x)]'', \tag{21}$$

where w_1 must not have zeros in the domain $(0,\infty)$. To achieve this, the factorization energies must be such that $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < E_0$ or $E_n < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < E_{n+1}$.

The eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$ are obtained as the action of the intertwining operator on the eigenfunctions of H:

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) = \frac{Q\,\psi_n(x)}{\sqrt{(E_n-\epsilon_1)(E_n-\epsilon_2)}}, \tag{22}$$

corresponding to the eigenvalues $E_n = 2n + 3/2$. In the limit when $\epsilon_2 = E_0$, $E_n = \epsilon_1$ or $\epsilon_2 = E_{n+1}$, the energy level E_0, E_n or E_{n+1} is erased, respectively; however, no new levels can be added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$ in this case.

4.2 Even-odd seed solutions

By choosing now

$$u_1 = e^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon_1}{4},\frac{1}{2};x^2\right), \qquad u_2 = xe^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon_2}{4},\frac{3}{2};x^2\right), \tag{23}$$

it is obtained the potential

$$\tilde{V} = V + 2 - [\ln w_2(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2;x)]'', \tag{24}$$

where w_2 has no zeros in $(0,\infty)$ as long as $\mathcal{E}_n < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < E_n$. These are the allowed values for the factorization energies for this even-odd choice of seed solutions.

The eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$ are again given by expression (22), and they are associated to the eigenvalues $E_n = 2n + 3/2$. In the limit when $\epsilon_2 = E_n$, the level E_n disappears from the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$. On the other hand, the level $\epsilon_1 \neq \mathcal{E}_n$ is indeed an eigenvalue of $\tilde{H}$ associated to the eigenfunction

$$\phi_{\epsilon_1} \propto \frac{u_2}{W(u_1,u_2)}. \tag{25}$$

It means that, through the supersymmetric technique, we were able to add a new energy level to the initial spectrum of the truncated oscillator.

4.3 Odd-even seed solutions

Now we consider the identification

$$u_1 = xe^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{3-2\epsilon_1}{4},\frac{3}{2};x^2\right), \qquad u_2 = e^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon_2}{4},\frac{1}{2};x^2\right). \tag{26}$$

From this choice we obtain that $\tilde{V}$ is of the form given by equation (24), with a different function w_3 instead of w_2. By applying the same considerations to the function w_3 as in the previous case, we arrive at the condition that the factorization energies must be such that $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \mathcal{E}_0$ or $E_n < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ in order to avoid singularities in the domain of the problem. Notice that this condition is different from those in the previous cases.

The spectrum of $\tilde{H}$ is given again by the set of values $E_n = 2n + 3/2$, which are associated to the eigenfunctions of equation (22). It is possible to erase now the energy level E_n by choosing $\epsilon_1 = E_n$, and a new energy level ϵ_2 can be added by choosing $\epsilon_2 \neq \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$, associated to the eigenfunction

$$\phi_{\epsilon_2} \propto \frac{u_1}{W(u_1,u_2)}. \tag{27}$$

4.4 Even-even seed solutions

Finally, let us choose the seed solutions as

$$u_1 = e^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon_1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; x^2\right), \qquad u_2 = e^{-x^2/2}\,{}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-2\epsilon_2}{4}, \frac{1}{2}; x^2\right), \tag{28}$$

to obtain that the new potential is given by

$$\tilde{V} = V + \frac{1}{x^2} + 2 - \left[\ln w_4(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; x)\right]''. \tag{29}$$

This potential is non-singular for $x > 0$ whenever that $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \mathcal{E}_0$ or $\mathcal{E}_n < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$.

For this choice of seed solutions, the eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$ are given this time by the action of the intertwining operator on the solutions $\chi_n(x)$ (see eq. (8)):

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) = \frac{Q\,\chi_n}{\sqrt{(\mathcal{E}_n - \epsilon_1)(\mathcal{E}_n - \epsilon_2)}} \tag{30}$$

which are associated to the eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_n = 2n + 1/2$. By choosing $\epsilon_2 = \mathcal{E}_0$, $\epsilon_1 = \mathcal{E}_n$ or $\epsilon_2 = \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ the energy levels $\mathcal{E}_0$, $\mathcal{E}_n$ or $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ can be erased, respectively. In this case, no new levels can be added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$.

Opposite to what happened for the first-order supersymmetric technique, the second order method allows to add energy levels for the supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator. This is made possible by means of a particular choice of the parities for the seed solutions employed in the procedure. The work done in [38–40] indicates that a non-singular supersymmetry transformation of arbitrary order can be obtained as iterations of first- and second-order nonsingular transformations. Thus, in order to add more levels to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$ one should iterate the procedures described in this section and the previous one. This approach would require intermediate steps whose final result, however, should be achieved by a direct procedure involving only the initial and final expressions. In the rest of this paper we will describe how a non-singular supersymmetry transformation of arbitrary order k can be performed, to add more than one level to the spectrum of the supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator.

5 k-th order supersymmetric partners

The generalization of the supersymmetric technique for an intertwining operator Q of arbitrary order k leads to the following relation between the potentials V and $\tilde{V}$ [18]:

$$\tilde{V} = V - \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}\ln\left[W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)\right], \tag{31}$$


where the u_j, $j = 1, ..., k$, are k seed solutions of equation (10) associated to the factorization energies $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < ... < \epsilon_k$, respectively. We can see that equation (31) appropriately generalizes equations (11) and (19). On the other hand, a generalization of equations (25) and (27) indicates that if there are new levels added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$, then these become a subset of the ϵ_j's associated to solutions of the form

$$\phi_{\epsilon_j} \propto \frac{W\left(u_1, ..., u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, ..., u_k\right)}{W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)} \tag{32}$$

such that $\tilde{H}\phi_{\epsilon_j} = \epsilon_j\,\phi_{\epsilon_j}$. It is the boundary conditions of the system which prevent the k solutions (32) from becoming actual eigenfunctions. While the condition at $x \to \infty$ is satisfied naturally, the boundary condition at $x = 0$ needs to be studied carefully. In what follows we are interested in finding under which conditions a supersymmetric transformation yields the maximum number of non-isospectral eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$.

In order to produce nonsingular transformations with normalizable solutions (32), we shall assume that the seed solutions possess definite parity, so that the u_j's are of the form (13) or (16). Thus, let us define the parity P of an even function as $+1$ and that of an odd functions as -1, i.e., $P(f) = +1$ if f is even and $P(f) = -1$ if f is odd.

Now, let us split the domain of the ϵ_j's in connected subsets belonging to one of two classes: class $\mathcal{A}$ given by intervals of the form $(-\infty, \mathcal{E}_0)$ or $(E_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1})$; class $\mathcal{B}$ given by intervals of the form $(\mathcal{E}_n, E_n)$. Such domains are illustrated in the following diagram:



In what follows, we will describe how to add energy levels to the supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator in a single interval of class $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$. If one wishes to add energy levels to distinct intervals, one must consider the results obtained here in each of these domains, separately.

Since the seed solutions have definite parity, then the function ϕ_{ϵ_j} given by equation (32) has definite parity too. This in turn means that the fulfillment of the boundary condition at the origin will depend on the parity of ϕ_{ϵ_j}. With this in mind, let us establish first an important result.

Let $\prod_{k|c} u_j$ be the product of k functions u_j with definite parity, such that to c of them we have inverted their parity, e.g., in a term of a Wronskian the inversion of parities comes from differentiations. If c is even, then there is no change in the parity of the product, i.e.

$$P\left(\prod_{k|c} u_j\right) = P\left(\prod_{k|0} u_j\right) \quad \text{for } c \text{ even.} \tag{33}$$

This result implies that in order to have the maximum number of non-isospectral eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$ for a fixed supersymmetric transformation, the difference between the number of even and odd seed solutions u_j should be either one or zero. If said difference is greater than one, then two seed solutions with the same parity can be identified giving place to an intermediate second-order transformation such that their corresponding solutions ϕ_ϵ are not eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$, as in the previous section, thus reducing the number of non-isospectral eigenfunctions of $\tilde{H}$. Such a choice of parities for the u_j's can be used to produce supersymmetric partners which have less than $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$ non-isospectral energy levels. In any case, the isospectral part remains the same up to an overall shift in the energy.

If the factorization energies are chosen in an interval of class $\mathcal{A}$, the transformation is non-singular whenever

$$P(u_k) = 1, \quad P(u_{k-1}) = -1, \quad P(u_{k-2}) = 1, \ldots, \tag{34}$$

while if the factorization energies belong to an interval of class $\mathcal{B}$ the transformation is non-singular whenever

$$P(u_k) = -1, \quad P(u_{k-1}) = 1, \quad P(u_{k-2}) = -1, \ldots. \tag{35}$$

Also, let us keep in mind that if the factorization energies ϵ_j are chosen above the ground level of the truncated oscillator ($E_0 = 3/2$), then the supersymmetry transformation is non-singular as long as k is even [10], while for $\epsilon_j < E_0$ there is no restriction on such an order k.

Now let us proceed by cases, according to the class of interval which is being considered.

5.1 Domain of class $\mathcal{A}$

In an interval of class $\mathcal{A}$ we have that $W(u_1, ..., u_k)$ is the sum of products of functions with definite parity. Each of these terms involves a change of parity of $c = \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$ functions with respect to the parity of the product $u_1...u_k$, due to the action of the differential operators $\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^i$, $i = 0, 1, ..., k-1$ onto the functions u_j, $j = 1, ..., k$, i.e.,

$$P\left(\prod_{k|c} u_j\right) = (-1)^c P\left(\prod_{k|0} u_j\right). \tag{36}$$

Since

$$P\left(\prod_{k|0} u_j\right) = (-1)^c, \tag{37}$$

it turns out that

$$P\left(\prod_{k|c} u_j\right) = (-1)^{2c} = 1. \tag{38}$$

Therefore

$$P\left(W(u_1, ..., u_k)\right) = P\left(\prod_{k|c} u_j\right) = 1. \tag{39}$$

Now, the parity of the Wronskian of the $k-1$ functions resulting from deleting the j-th one is required. In order to find it, let us notice first of all that $P(u_j) = (-1)^{k-j}$. Moreover, the parity of the k-term product $u_1...u_k$ factorizes as the product of the parity of the deleted function u_j times the parity of the $(k-1)$-term product $u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k$, which implies that:

$$P(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k) = (-1)^{k-j} P(u_1...u_k) = (-1)^{c+k-j}. \tag{40}$$

As previously, the Wronskian $W(u_1, ..., u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, ..., u_k)$ is a sum of terms whose parities change due to a change of parity of $\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]$ functions in each product, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} P(W(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k)) &= (-1)^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]} P(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k) && (41)\\ &= (-1)^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]+k-j} && (42)\\ &= (-1)^{2k-j-1} = (-1)^{-(j+1)}. && (43) \end{aligned}$$

Depending on the parity of the functions u_j, two different cases arise:

(i) If $P(u_j) = 1$ then $j = k - 2m$, where $m = 0, 1, ..., \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]$. Thus:

$$P(W(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k)) = (-1)^{2m-k-1} = (-1)^{k+1} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ -1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

(ii) If $P(u_j) = -1$ then $j = k - (2m+1)$, where $m = 0, 1, ..., \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]$, and then one has:

$$P(W(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k)) = (-1)^{2m-k} = (-1)^k = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

Now we can conclude that if we choose the factorization energies in an interval of class $\mathcal{A}$, then for an intertwining of even order k the solutions ϕ_{ϵ_j} such that u_j is even obey

$$P\left(\phi_{\epsilon_j} \propto \frac{W(u_1, ..., u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, ..., u_k)}{W(u_1, ..., u_k)}\right) = \frac{-1}{1} = -1, \tag{46}$$

i.e., they satisfy the boundary condition at $x = 0$. On the other hand, if the order k is odd then the solutions ϕ_{ϵ_j} such that u_j is odd obey

$$P\left(\phi_{\epsilon_j} \propto \frac{W\left(u_1, ..., u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, ..., u_k\right)}{W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)}\right) = \frac{-1}{1} = -1, \tag{47}$$

i.e., they comply the boundary condition at the origin. The remaining cases lead to solutions such that $P(\phi_{\epsilon_j}) = 1/1 = 1$ that, in general, do not satisfy the boundary condition at the origin, although it is possible to have that $\phi_{\epsilon_j}(0) = 0$ with ϕ_{ϵ_j} even, but this would happen for special and isolated values of ϵ_j.

5.2 Domain of class $\mathcal{B}$

In intervals of class $\mathcal{B}$ once again it is seen that $W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)$ is the sum of terms which are products of functions with definite parity, each term involving a change of parity of $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] = c$ functions compared to the parity of the product $u_1...u_k$, i.e.,

$$P\left(\prod_{k|c} u_j\right) = (-1)^c P\left(\prod_{k|0} u_j\right). \tag{48}$$

Since now

$$P\left(\prod_{k|0} u_j\right) = (-1)^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]}, \tag{49}$$

it is obtained that

$$P\left(\prod_{k|c} u_j\right) = (-1)^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]} = (-1)^k, \tag{50}$$

i.e.,

$$P\left(W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)\right) = (-1)^k = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

On the other hand, since now $P(u_j) = (-1)^{k-j+1}$ one arrives at:

$$P(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k) = (-1)^{k-j+1+\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]}. \tag{52}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} P(W(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k)) &= (-1)^{\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]} P(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k) & (53) \\ &= (-1)^{2\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]-2+k-j} = (-1)^{k-j}. & (54) \end{aligned}$$

Once again, two different cases arise which depend on the parity of the function u_j:

(i) If $P(u_j) = 1$ then $j = k - (2m + 1)$ with $m = 0, ..., \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]$ and thus:

$$P(W(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k)) = (-1)^{2m+1} = -1. \tag{55}$$

(ii) If $P(u_j) = -1$ then $j = k - 2m$ with $m = 0, ..., \left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right]$. Hence:

$$P(W(u_1...u_{j-1}u_{j+1}...u_k)) = (-1)^{2m} = 1. \tag{56}$$

We can conclude that, for even k and removing a seed solution u_j which is even leads to

$$P(\phi_{\epsilon_j}) = \frac{-1}{1} = -1, \tag{57}$$

which satisfies the boundary condition at the origin. However, if we remove and odd seed solution u_j it turns out that

$$P(\phi_{\epsilon_j}) = \frac{1}{1} = 1, \tag{58}$$

which, in general, does not satisfy the boundary condition at $x = 0$.

On the other hand, for k odd and removing an even seed solution u_j it is obtained that

$$P(\phi_{\epsilon_j}) = \frac{-1}{-1} = 1. \tag{59}$$

Nonetheless, the boundary condition $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \phi_{\epsilon_j}(x) = 0$ is satisfied. If we remove an odd seed solution u_j it turns out that

$$P(\phi_{\epsilon_j}) = \frac{1}{-1} = -1, \tag{60}$$


which at first sight may look like if it obeys the boundary condition at the origin. However, it can be seen that the odd Wronskian in the denominator induces a singularity at $x = 0$ which avoids that ϕ_{ϵ_j} will satisfy indeed that boundary condition.

As we can see from these results, for an intertwining transformation of order k with factorization energies ϵ_j inside intervals of class $\mathcal{B}$, the solutions given by

$$\phi_{\epsilon_j} \propto \frac{W\left(u_1, ..., u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, ..., u_k\right)}{W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)}, \tag{61}$$

such that u_j is even satisfy the boundary condition at the origin regardless the parity of k.

5.3 Illustrative examples



(a) (b)

Figure 2 - Supersymmetric partner potentials of the truncated oscillator, where two levels have been added below the ground state through a 4-th order transformation.

As an example of this behavior consider a fourth order supersymmetric transformation with factorization energies $\epsilon_1 = -11/2$, $\epsilon_2 = -9/2$, $\epsilon_3 = -7/2$, $\epsilon_4 = -5/2$, which belong to an interval of class $\mathcal{A}$. To add the maximum number of levels allowed by this transformation, that is two new levels, the corresponding seed solutions are chosen such that $P(u_1) = -1$, $P(u_2) = 1$, $P(u_3) = -1$ and $P(u_4) = 1$. Thus, by means of equation (31), we obtain the following supersymmetric partner potential

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{256x^{18} - 4096x^{16} + 28416x^{14} - 99328x^{12} + 172512x^{10} - 224640x^8 + 91440x^6 + 86400x^4 - 127575x^2 - 16200}{2\left(16x^8 - 64x^6 + 120x^4 + 45\right)^2}. \tag{62}$$

The two new eigenvalues added to the spectrum of the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ corresponding to this potential are $\epsilon_2 = -9/2$ and $\epsilon_4 = -5/2$ with eigenfunctions given by

$$\phi_{\epsilon_2} = -\frac{4\sqrt{3}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}x\left(8x^6 - 4x^4 + 10x^2 + 15\right)}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}\left(8\left(2x^4 - 8x^2 + 15\right)x^4 + 45\right)}, \tag{63}$$

$$\phi_{\epsilon_4} = -\frac{2e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}x\left(16x^8 + 72x^4 - 135\right)}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt[4]{\pi}\left(8\left(2x^4 - 8x^2 + 15\right)x^4 + 45\right)}, \tag{64}$$

respectively (see equation (32)).

A plot of this potential and the eigenfunctions of the first six levels of $\tilde{H}$, including the two levels $-9/2$ and $-5/2$ generated below the ground state, can be found in figure 2(a), depicted in a similar fashion as in figure 1. As for an example of a new potential with levels added in an interval of class $\mathcal{B}$, figure 2(b) shows a supersymmetric partner of the truncated oscillator, such that the factorization energies are chosen to be $\epsilon_1 = 0.6$, $\epsilon_2 = 0.9$, $\epsilon_3 = 1$, $\epsilon_4 = 1.3$. This time the eigenvalues added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$ are $\epsilon_1 = 0.6$ and $\epsilon_3 = 1$. In this case we omitted to show the expressions for $\tilde{V}$, ϕ_{ϵ_1} and ϕ_{ϵ_3} since they result lengthy and impractical. However, as in the previous example, they can be obtained through equations (31) and (32), respectively.

6 Conclusions

In this article we have studied the supersymmetric partners of the truncated oscillator, obtained through an intertwining transformation of arbitrary order. As a result of this analysis we have found that in order to add new levels to the energy spectrum of these systems the conditions that one must impose to the transformation are the following.

For a supersymmetric transformation of order k the set of values from which we can choose the k factorization energies $\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_k$ can be split into two classes of intervals given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \left\{ \left(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right), \left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{9}{2}\right), ..., \left(\frac{3+4n}{2}, \frac{5+4n}{2}\right), ... \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right), \left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}\right), ..., \left(\frac{1+4n}{2}, \frac{3+4n}{2}\right), ... \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we shall assume that the factorization energies are ordered as $\epsilon_1 < \cdots < \epsilon_k$ and that they are chosen in a single interval either of class $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$.

Under such assumptions we have found that in an interval of class $\mathcal{A}$ the seed solutions must have parities given by $P(u_j) = (-1)^{k-j}$ while in an interval of class $\mathcal{B}$ they must have parities given by $P(u_j) = (-1)^{k-j+1}$. Moreover, it is possible to add $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$ new levels ϵ_j to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$, associated to the corresponding eigenfunctions

$$\phi_{\epsilon_j} \propto \frac{W\left(u_1, ..., u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, ..., u_k\right)}{W\left(u_1, ..., u_k\right)}, \tag{65}$$

according to the following rules:

- In intervals of class $\mathcal{A}$, if k is even the values ϵ_j such that u_j is even will be added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$, while if k is odd and $\epsilon_1 < \cdots < \epsilon_k < 3/2$, the values ϵ_j such that u_j is odd will be added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$.
- In intervals of class $\mathcal{B}$, if k is even the values ϵ_j such that u_j is even will be added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$, while if k is odd the same values will be added to the spectrum of $\tilde{H}$ whenever $\epsilon_1 < \cdots < \epsilon_k < 3/2$.

We have seen that the truncated oscillator realizes the so called spectral design of supersymmetric quantum mechanics in a peculiar manner, since the number of levels that can be added is bounded by $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$, where k is the order of the supersymmetry transformation. Such behavior can be understood as a consequence of the singularity induced by the infinite barrier which, in turn, imposes the boundary condition at the origin.

7 Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Spanish MINECO (project MTM2014-57129-C2-1-P) and Junta de Castilla y León (VA057U16). VS Morales-Salgado also acknowledges the Conacyt fellowship 243374.

References

[1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 513

[2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 202 (1982) 253

[3] B. Mielnik, J. Math. Phys. 25 (1984) 3387

[4] A. Arai, J. Funct. Anal. 60 (1985) 378

[5] A.P. Veselov, A.B. Shabat, Funct. Anal. Appl. 27 (1993) 81

[6] V.E. Adler, Physica D 73 (1994) 335

[7] V.M. Eleonskii, V.G. Korolev, N.E. Kulagin, Chaos 4 (1994) 583

[8] F. Cooper, A. Khare, U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. 251 (1995) 267

[9] A.A. Andrianov, M.V. Ioffe, F. Cannata, J.P. Dedonder, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 2683

[10] V.G. Bagrov, B.F. Samsonov, Phys. Part. Nucl. 28 (1997) 374

[11] U.P. Sukhatme, C. Rasinariu, A. Khare, Phys. Lett. A 234 (1997) 401

[12] D.J. Fernández, V. Hussin, B. Mielnik, Phys. Lett. A 244 (1998) 309

[13] G. Junker, P. Roy, Ann. Phys. 270 (1998) 155

[14] I.F. Márquez, J. Negro, L-M. Nieto, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 (1998) 4115

[15] C. Quesne, N. Vansteenkiste, Helv. Phys. Acta 72 (1999) 71

[16] B.F. Samsonov, Phys.Lett. A 263, (1999) 274

[17] D. Ashok, S.A. Pernice, Nucl. Phys. B 561 (1999) 357

[18] A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, M.V. Ioffe, D. Nishnianidze, Phys. Lett. A 266 (2000) 341

[19] B.K. Bagchi, Supersymmetry in quantum and classical mechanics, Chapman & HallCRC, 2001

[20] J.F. Cariñena, A. Ramos D.J. Fernández, Ann. Phys. 292 (2001) 42

[21] H. Aoyama, M. Sato, T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B 619 (2001) 105

[22] B. Mielnik, O. Rosas-Ortiz, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004) 10007

[23] A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, J. Phys A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004) 10297

[24] D.J. Fernández, AIP Conf. Proc. 1287 (2010) 3

[25] D.J. Fernández, M. Gadella, L.M. Nieto, SIGMA 7 (2011) 029

[26] C. Quesne, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 26 (2011) 1843

[27] A.A. Andrianov, M.V. Ioffe, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 (2012) 503001

[28] I. Marquette, J. Math. Phys. 53 (2012) 012901

[29] I. Marquette, SIGMA 8 (2012) 063

[30] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati, R. Milson, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47 (2014) 015203

[31] D. Baye, J.M. Sparenberg, A.M. Pupasov-Maksimov, B.F. Samsonov, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47 (2014) 243001

[32] H. Buchdahl, Am. J. Phys. 35 (1967) 210

[33] B. Bagchi, S.N. Biswas, A. Khare, P.K. Roy, Pramana 49 (1997) 199

[34] F. Bagarello J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007) 013511

[35] D.J. Fernández, V.S. Morales-Salgado, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47 (2014) 035304

[36] D.J. Fernández, V.S. Morales-Salgado, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 624 (2015) 012017

[37] D.J. Fernández, V.S. Morales-Salgado, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49 (2016) 195202

[38] A.A. Andrianov, A.V. Sokolov, J. Math. Sci. 143 (2007) 2707

[39] A.V. Sokolov, J. Math. Sci. 151 (2008) 2924

[40] A.V. Sokolov, J. Math. Sci. 168 (2010) 881