**VB-Courant algebroids, E-Courant algebroids and generalized geometry**

Honglei Lang¹, Yunhe Sheng² and Aissa Wade³

¹Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn 53111, Germany
²Department of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, Jilin, China
³Mathematics Department, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA.

email: hllang@mpim-bonn.mpg.de, shengyh@jlu.edu.cn, wade@math.psu.edu

Abstract

In this paper, we first discuss the relation between VB-Courant algebroids and E-Courant algebroids and construct some examples of E-Courant algebroids. Then we introduce the notion of a generalized complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid, unifying the usual generalized complex structures on even-dimensional manifolds and generalized contact structures on odd-dimensional manifolds. Moreover, we study generalized complex structures on an omni-Lie algebroid in detail. In particular, we show that generalized complex structures on an omni-Lie algebra gl(V) ⊕ V correspond to complex Lie algebra structures on V.

1 Introduction

The theory of Courant algebroids was first introduced by Liu, Weinstein and Xu [17] providing an extension of Drinfeld’s double for Lie bialgebroids. Precisely, the double of a Lie bialgebroid is a special Courant algebroid [17, 20]. Jacobi algebroids are natural extensions of Lie algebroids and Courant-Jacobi algebroids. They were considered by Grabowski and Marmo [7] and they can be viewed as generalizations of Courant algebroids. Both Courant algebroids and Courant-Jacobi algebroids have been extensively studied in the last decade since these are crucial geometric tools in Poisson geometry and mathematical physics. It is known that they both belong to a more general framework, namely that of E-Courant algebroids. Indeed, E-Courant algebroids were introduced by Chen, Liu and the second author in [5] as a differential geometric object encompassing Courant algebroids [17], Courant-Jacobi algebroids [7], omni-Lie algebroids [4], conformal Courant algebroids [2] and AV-Courant algebroids [14]. It turns out that E-Courant algebroids are related to more geometric structures such as VB-Courant algebroids [15].

The aim of this paper is two-fold. Firstly, we illuminate the relationship between VB-Courant algebroids and E-Courant algebroids. Secondly, we study generalized complex structures on E-
Courant algebroids. Recall that a generalized almost complex structure on a manifold $M$ is an endomorphism $J$ of the generalized tangent bundle $\mathbb{T}M := TM \oplus T^*M$ which preserves the natural pairing on $\mathbb{T}M$ and such that $J^2 = -\text{id}$. If, additionally, the $\sqrt{-1}$-eigenbundle of $J$ in the complexification $\mathbb{T}M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is involutive relative to the Dorfman (equivalently, the Courant) bracket, then $J$ is said to be integrable, and $(M, J)$ is called a generalized complex manifold. See [3, 6, 8, 9, 23] for more details.

Given a vector bundle $E \longrightarrow M$, we consider its gauge Lie algebroid $\mathcal{D}E$, i.e. the gauge Lie algebroid of the frame bundle $F(E)$. It is known that $\mathcal{D}E$ is a transitive Lie algebroid over $M$ and the first jet bundle $\mathcal{J}E$ is its $E$-dual bundle. In fact, $\mathfrak{o}(E) = \mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E$ is called an omni-Lie algebroid [4], which is a generalization of Weinstein’s concept of an omni-Lie algebra [26]. In particular, the line bundle case where $E$ comes from a contact distribution brings us to the concept of a generalized contact bundle. To have a better grasp of the concept of a generalized contact bundle, we briefly review the line bundle approach to contact geometry. By definition, a contact structure on an odd-dimensional manifold $M$ is a maximal non-integrable hyperplane distribution $H \subset \mathbb{T}M$. In a dual way, any hyperplane distribution $H$ on $M$ can be regarded as a nowhere vanishing 1-form $\theta : \mathbb{T}M \rightarrow \Lambda$ (its structure form) with values in the line bundle $L = \mathbb{T}M/H$, such that $H = \ker \theta$. Replacing the tangent algebroid with the Atiyah algebroid of a line bundle in the definition of a generalized complex manifold, we obtain the notion of a generalized contact bundle.

In this paper, we extend the concept of a generalized contact bundle to the context of $E$-Courant algebroids.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains basic definitions used in the sequel. Section 3 highlights the importance and naturality of the notion of $E$-Courant algebroids. Explicitly, the fat Courant algebroid associated to a VB-Courant algebroid (see the definition of a VB-Courant algebroid below) is an $E$-Courant algebroid. We observe the following facts:

- Given a crossed module of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$, we get an $\mathfrak{m}$-Courant algebroid $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, which was given in [13] as a generalization of an omni-Lie algebra.

- The omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E) = \mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E$ is the linearization of the VB-Courant algebroid $TE^* \oplus T^*E^*$. This generalizes the fact that an omni-Lie algebra is the linearization of the standard Courant algebroid.

- For a Courant algebroid $C$, $TC$ is a VB-Courant algebroid. The associated fat Courant algebroid $\mathcal{J}C$ is a $T^*M$-Courant algebroid. The fact that $\mathcal{J}C$ is a $T^*M$-Courant algebroid was first obtained in [5, Theorem 2.13].

In Section 4, we introduce generalized complex structures on $E$-Courant algebroids and provide examples. In Sections 5, we describe generalized complex structures on omni-Lie algebroids. In Section 6, we show that generalized complex structures on the omni-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with complex Lie algebra structures on $V$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $M$ is a smooth manifold, $d$ is the usual differential operator on forms, and $E \longrightarrow M$ is a vector bundle. In this section, we recall the notions of $E$-Courant algebroids introduced in [5], omni-Lie algebroids introduced in [4], generalized complex structures introduced in [8, 9] and generalized contact structures introduced in [24].

2
2.1 E-Courant algebroids and omni-Lie algebroids

For a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, its gauge Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{D}E$ with the commutator bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is just the gauge Lie algebroid of the frame bundle $\mathcal{F}(E)$, which is also called the covariant differential operator bundle of $E$ [see (18, Example 3.3.4)]. The corresponding Atiyah sequence is as follows:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(E) \overset{i}{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{D}E \overset{j}{\rightarrow} TM \rightarrow 0.
$$

In [4], the authors proved that the jet bundle $\mathfrak{J}E$ may be considered as an $E$-dual bundle of $\mathfrak{D}E$:

$$
\mathfrak{J}E \cong \{ \nu \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{D}E, E) \mid \nu(\Phi) = \Phi \circ \nu(\text{id}_E), \quad \forall \Phi \in \mathfrak{gl}(E) \}.
$$

Associated to the jet bundle $\mathfrak{J}E$, there is a jet sequence given by:

$$
0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(TM, E) \overset{e}{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{J}E \overset{\mathfrak{D}}{\rightarrow} E \rightarrow 0.
$$

Define the operator $\mathfrak{d} : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E)$ by:

$$
\mathfrak{d}(u) := \partial(u), \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(E), \quad \partial \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}E).
$$

An important formula which will be often used is

$$
\mathfrak{d}(fu) = df \otimes u + f \mathfrak{d}u, \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(E), \quad f \in C^\infty(M).
$$

In fact, there is an $E$-valued pairing between $\mathfrak{J}E$ and $\mathfrak{D}E$ by setting:

$$
\langle \mu, \partial \rangle_E \triangleq \partial(u), \quad \forall \mu \in (\mathfrak{J}E)_m, \quad \partial \in (\mathfrak{D}E)_m,
$$

where $u \in \Gamma(E)$ satisfies $\mu = [u]_m$. In particular, one has

$$
\langle \mu, \Phi \rangle_E = \Phi \circ \mathfrak{p}(\mu), \quad \forall \Phi \in \mathfrak{gl}(E), \quad \mu \in \mathfrak{J}E;
$$

$$
\langle \eta, \partial \rangle_E = \eta \circ j(\partial), \quad \forall \eta \in \text{Hom}(TM, E), \quad \partial \in \mathfrak{D}E.
$$

For vector bundles $P, Q$ over $M$ and a bundle map $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$, we denote the induced $E$-dual bundle map by $\rho^*$, i.e.

$$
\rho^* : \text{Hom}(Q, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, E), \quad \rho^*(\nu)(k) = \nu(\rho(k)), \quad \forall k \in P, \quad \nu \in \text{Hom}(Q, E).
$$

Definition 2.1. (18) An E-Courant algebroid is a quadruple $(\mathcal{K}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{K}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{E}, \rho)$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a vector bundle over $M$ such that $(\Gamma(\mathcal{K}), [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{K}})$ is a Leibniz algebra, $(\cdot, \cdot)_E : \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E$ is a nondegenerate symmetric $E$-valued pairing, which induces an embedding: $\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{K}, E)$ via $Y(X) = 2\langle X, Y \rangle_E$, and $\rho : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}E$ is a bundle map called the anchor, such that for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K})$, the following properties hold:

(EC-1) $\rho[X, Y]_{\mathcal{K}} = [\rho(X), \rho(Y)]_{\mathfrak{D}}$;

(EC-2) $[X, X]_{\mathcal{K}} = \rho^* i(X, X)_E$;

(EC-3) $\rho(X)(Y, Z)_E = ([X, Y]_{\mathcal{K}}, Z)_E + (Y, [X, Z]_{\mathcal{K}})_E$;

(EC-4) $\rho^*(\mathfrak{J}E) \subset \mathcal{K}$, i.e. $(\rho^*(\mu), X)_E = \frac{1}{2}[\mu, \rho(X)], \quad \forall \mu \in \mathfrak{J}E$;

(EC-5) $\rho \circ \rho^* = 0$. 

3
Obviously, a Courant algebroid is an \( E \)-Courant algebroid, where \( E = M \times \mathbb{R} \), the trivial line bundle. Similar to the proof for Courant algebroids (\cite{20} Lemma 2.6.2), we have

**Lemma 2.2.** For an \( E \)-Courant algebroid \( \mathcal{K} \), one has

\[
[X, \rho^* du]_\mathcal{K} = 2\rho^* d(X, \rho^* du)_E, \quad [\rho^* du, X]_\mathcal{K} = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{K}), \ u \in \Gamma(E).
\]

An omni-Lie algebroid, which was introduced in \cite{4}, is a very interesting example of \( E \)-Courant algebroids. Let us recall it briefly. There is an \( E \)-valued pairing \( (\cdot, \cdot)_E \) on \( \mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E \) defined by

\[
(\partial + \mu, t + \nu) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (\mu, t)_E + (\nu, \partial)_E \right), \quad \forall \partial + \mu, \ t + \nu \in \mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E.
\]

Furthermore, \( \Gamma(\mathcal{J}E) \) is invariant under the Lie derivative \( \mathcal{L}_\partial \) for any \( \partial \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}E) \) which is defined by the Leibnitz rule:

\[
(\mathcal{L}_\partial \mu, \partial') \equiv \partial (\mu, \partial') - (\mu, [\partial, \partial'])_\mathcal{D}, \quad \forall \mu \in \Gamma(\mathcal{J}E), \ \partial' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}E).
\]

On the section space \( \Gamma(\mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E) \), we can define a bracket as follows

\[
[\partial + \mu, r + \nu] \equiv [\partial, r]_\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{L}_\partial \nu - \mathcal{L}_\partial \mu + d\mu(r).
\]

**Definition 2.3.** (\cite{3}) The quadruple \( (\mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot, \cdot)_E, \rho) \) is called an \( \text{omni-Lie algebroid} \), where \( \rho \) is the projection from \( \mathcal{D}E \oplus \mathcal{J}E \) to \( \mathcal{D}E \), \( (\cdot, \cdot)_E \) and \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) are given by \( \mathcal{S} \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) respectively.

We will denote an omni-Lie algebroid by \( \mathfrak{o}(E) \).

### 2.2 Generalized complex structures and generalized contact structures

The notion of a Courant algebroid was introduced in \cite{17}. A Courant algebroid is a quadruple \( (\mathcal{C}, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho) \), where \( \mathcal{C} \) is a vector bundle over \( M \), \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) is a bracket operation on \( \Gamma(\mathcal{C}) \), \( (\cdot, \cdot)_+ \) is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on \( \mathcal{C} \), \( \rho : \mathcal{C} \to TM \) is a bundle map called the anchor, such that some compatibility conditions are satisfied. See \cite{20} for more details. Consider the generalized tangent bundle

\[
\mathcal{T}M := TM \oplus T^* M.
\]

On its section space \( \Gamma(\mathcal{T}M) \), there is a Dorfman bracket:

\[
[[X + \xi, Y + \eta]] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - i_Y d\xi, \quad \forall X + \xi, \ Y + \eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}M).
\]

Furthermore, there is a canonical nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on \( \mathcal{T}M \):

\[
(X + \xi, Y + \eta)_+ = \frac{1}{2} (\eta(X) + \xi(Y)).
\]

We call \( (\mathcal{T}M, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{T}M}) \) the standard Courant algebroid.

**Definition 2.4.** A generalized complex structure on a manifold \( M \) is a bundle map \( \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{T}M \to \mathcal{T}M \) satisfying the algebraic properties:

\[
\mathcal{J}^2 = -\text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{J}(u), \mathcal{J}(v))_+ = (u, v)_+
\]

and the integrability condition:

\[
[[\mathcal{J}(u), \mathcal{J}(v)] - [u, v] - \mathcal{J}([u, \mathcal{J}(v)] + [\mathcal{J}(u), v]) = 0, \quad \forall u, \ v \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}M).
\]

Here, \( (\cdot, \cdot)_+ \) and \( [\cdot, \cdot] \) are given by \( \mathcal{S} \) and \( \mathcal{R} \) respectively.
See [8, 9] for more details. Note that only even-dimensional manifolds can have generalized complex structures. In [24], the authors give the odd-dimensional analogue of the concept of a generalized complex structures extending the definition given in [10]. We now recall the definition of a generalized contact bundle from [24]. A generalized contact bundle is a line bundle \( L \to M \) equipped with a generalized contact structure, i.e., a vector bundle endomorphism \( I : \mathcal{D}L \oplus J L \to \mathcal{D}L \oplus J L \) such that

- \( I \) is almost complex, i.e., \( I^2 = -\text{id} \),
- \( I \) is skew-symmetric, i.e., \((I\alpha, \beta)_L + (\alpha, I\beta)_L = 0, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}L \oplus J L)\),
- \( I \) is integrable, i.e., 
  \[
  [ [I\alpha, I\beta] - [\alpha, \beta] - [I\alpha, \beta] - [\alpha, I\beta] = 0, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}L \oplus J L).\]

Let \((L \to M, I)\) be a generalized contact bundle. Using the direct sum \( \mathcal{D}(L) = \mathcal{D}L \oplus J L \) and the definition, one can see that

\[
I = \begin{pmatrix}
\phi & J^2 \\
\omega & -\phi^1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( J \) is a Jacobi bi-derivation, \( \phi \) is an endomorphism of \( \mathcal{D}L \) compatible with \( J \), and the 2-form \( \omega : \wedge^2 \mathcal{D}L \to L \) and its associated vector bundle morphism \( \omega : \mathcal{D}L \to J L \) satisfy additional compatibility conditions [24].

3 VB-Courant algebroids and E-Courant algebroids

In this section, we highlight the relation between VB-Courant algebroids and E-Courant algebroids and give more examples of E-Courant algebroids.

Denote a double vector bundle

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & B \\
\pi_A & & \downarrow q_B \\
A & \xleftarrow{q_A} & M
\end{array}
\]

with core \( C \) by \((D; A, B; M)\). The space of sections \( \Gamma_B(D) \) is generated as a \( C^\infty(B) \)-module by core sections \( \Gamma_B^c(D) \) and linear sections \( \Gamma_B^l(D) \). See [19] for more details. For a section \( c : M \to C \), the corresponding core section \( c^c : B \to D \) is defined as

\[
c^c(b_m) = \hat{0}_{b_m} + A c(m), \quad \forall m \in M, b_m \in B_m,
\]

where \( \hat{\cdot} \) means the inclusion \( C \hookrightarrow D \). A section \( \xi : B \to D \) is called linear if it is a bundle morphism from \( B \to M \) to \( D \to A \) over a section \( a \in \Gamma(A) \). Given \( \psi \in \Gamma(B^* \otimes C) \), there is a linear section \( \tilde{\psi} : B \to D \) over the zero section \( 0^A : M \to A \) given by

\[
\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{b}_m) = \hat{0}_{b_m} + A \tilde{\psi}(b_m).
\]

Note that \( \Gamma_B^l(D) \) is locally free as a \( C^\infty(M) \)-module. Therefore, \( \Gamma_B^l(D) \) is equal to \( \Gamma(\hat{A}) \) for some vector bundle \( \hat{A} \to M \). Moreover, we have the following short exact sequence of vector bundles over \( M \)

\[
0 \to B^* \otimes C \to \hat{A} \to A \to 0. \tag{9}
\]
Example 3.1. Let \( E \) be a vector bundle over \( M \).

(a) The tangent bundle \((TE; TM, E; M)\) is a double vector bundle with core \( E \). Then \( \hat{A} \) is the gauge bundle \( D E \) and the exact sequence \([9]\) is exactly the Atiyah sequence \([11]\).

(b) The cotangent bundle \((T^*E; E^*, E; M)\) is a double vector bundle with core \( T^*M \). In this case, \( \hat{A} \) is exactly the jet bundle \( J^*E^* \) and the exact sequence \([9]\) is indeed the jet sequence \([3]\).

Definition 3.2. \([15]\) A VB-Courant algebroid is a metric double vector bundle

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & B \\
\pi_A & & q_B \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \xrightarrow{q_A} & M,
\end{array}
\]

with core \( C \) such that \( E \to B \) is a Courant algebroid and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) The anchor map \( \Theta : E \to TB \) is linear. That is
\[
\Theta : (E; A, B; M) \longrightarrow (TB; TM, B; M)
\]
is a morphism of double vector bundles.

(ii) The Courant bracket is linear. That is
\[
\left[ \Gamma^I_B(E), \Gamma^I_B(E) \right] \subseteq \Gamma^I_B(E), \quad \left[ \Gamma^I_B(E), \Gamma^I_B(E) \right] \subseteq \Gamma^I_B(E), \quad \left[ \Gamma^I_B(E), \Gamma^I_B(E) \right] = 0.
\]

For a VB-Courant algebroid \( E \), we have the exact sequence \([9]\). Note that the restriction of the pairing on \( E \) to linear sections of \( E \) defines a nondegenerate pairing on \( \hat{A} \) with values in \( B^* \), which is guaranteed by the metric double vector bundle structure; see \([11]\). Coupled with the fact that the Courant bracket is closed on linear sections, one gets the following result.

Proposition 3.3. \([11]\) The vector bundle \( \hat{A} \) inherits a Courant algebroid structure with the pairing taking values in \( B^* \), which is called the fat Courant algebroid of this VB-Courant algebroid.

Alternatively, we have

Proposition 3.4. For a VB-Courant algebroid \((E; A, B; M)\), its associated fat Courant algebroid is a \( B^* \)-Courant algebroid.

Example 3.5. (Standard VB-Courant algebroid over a vector bundle) For a vector bundle \( E \), there is a standard VB-Courant algebroid

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
TE^* \oplus T^*E^* & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & E^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
TM \oplus E & \xrightarrow{q_B} & M
\end{array}
\]

with base \( E^* \) and core \( E^* \oplus T^*M \to M \). The corresponding exact sequence is given by
\[
0 \to \text{gl}(E) \oplus T^*M \otimes E \to \hat{A} \longrightarrow TM \oplus E \to 0.
\]

Actually, by Example 3.1, the corresponding fat Courant algebroid \( \hat{A} \) here is exactly the omni-Lie algebroid \( \mathfrak{ol}(E) = DE \oplus JE \). So the omni-Lie algebroid is the linearization of the standard VB-Courant algebroid.
Example 3.6. (Tangent VB-Courant algebroid) The tangent bundle $TC$ of a Courant algebroid $\mathcal{C} \to M$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
TC & \longrightarrow & TM \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & M
\end{array}
$$
carries a VB-Courant algebroid structure with base $TM$ and core $\mathcal{C} \to M$. The associated exact sequence is

$$0 \to T^*M \otimes \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \to 0.$$  

Actually the fat Courant algebroid $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{C}$, which is a $T^*M$-Courant algebroid by Proposition 3.3. So we get that on the jet bundle of a Courant algebroid, there is a $T^*M$-Courant algebroid structure. This result was first given in [5].

A crossed module of Lie algebras consists of a pair of Lie algebras $(m, g)$, an action $\triangleright$ of $g$ on $m$ and a Lie algebra morphism $\phi : m \to g$ such that

$$\phi(\xi) \triangleright \eta = [\xi, \eta]_m, \quad \phi(x \triangleright \xi) = [x, \phi(\xi)]_g, \quad \forall \ x \in g, \ \xi, \eta \in m.$$  

Given a crossed module, there is an action $\rho : g \ltimes g^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(m^*)$ of the natural quadratic Lie algebra $g \ltimes g^*$ on $m^*$ given by

$$\rho(u + \alpha) = u \triangleright \alpha + \phi^* \alpha,$$

where $u \triangleright \alpha \in \text{gl}(m)$ is viewed as a linear vector field on $m^*$ and $\phi^* \alpha \in m^*$ is viewed as a constant vector field on $m^*$. Note that this action is coisotropic. We get the action Courant algebroid [10] $(g \ltimes g^*) \times m^*$ over $m^*$ with the anchor given by $\rho$ and the Dorfman bracket given by

$$[e_1, e_2] = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} e_2 - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} e_1 + [e_1, e_2]_{g \ltimes g^*} + \rho^*(\text{de}_1, e_2),$$  

for any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma((g \ltimes g^*) \times m^*)$. Here $\text{de}_1 \in \Omega^1(m^*; g \ltimes g^*)$ is given by Lie derivatives: $(\text{de}_1)(X) = \mathcal{L}_X e_1$ for $X \in \mathfrak{X}(m^*)$. Moreover, it is a VB-Courant algebroid

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(g \ltimes g^*) \times m^* & \longrightarrow & m^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
g & \longrightarrow & \ast
\end{array}
$$

with base $m^*$ and core $g^*$. See [15] for details. The associated exact sequence is

$$0 \to m \otimes g^* \cong \text{Hom}(g, m) \longrightarrow \hat{A} \longrightarrow g \to 0.$$  

Since the double vector bundle is trivial, we have $\hat{A} = \text{Hom}(g, m) \oplus g$.

Moreover, applying [10], we get the Dorfman bracket on $\text{Hom}(g, m) \oplus g$.

**Proposition 3.7.** With the above notations, $(\text{Hom}(g, m) \oplus g, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot, \cdot)_m, \rho = 0)$ is an $m$-Courant algebroid, where the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_m$ is given by

$$(A + u, B + v)_m = \frac{1}{2}(Av + Bu),$$

and the Dorfman bracket is given by

$$[u, v] = [u, v]_g;$$

$$[A, B] = A \circ \phi \circ B - B \circ \phi \circ A;$$

$$[A, v] = A \circ \text{ad}^0_v - \text{ad}^1_v \circ A + \triangleright Av + \phi(Av);$$

$$[v, A] = \text{ad}^1_v \circ A - A \circ \text{ad}^0_v.$$  


for all $A, B \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}), u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here $\text{ad}^0_u \in \text{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{ad}^1_u \in \text{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ are given by $\text{ad}^0_u = [v, u]_\mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{ad}^1_u = v \triangleright a$ respectively and $\triangleright Av \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ is defined by $(\triangleright Av)(u) = u \triangleright Av$.

**Proof.** By (10), it is obvious that $[u, v] = [u, v]_\mathfrak{g}$.

For $A, B \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}), v \in \mathfrak{g}$, applying (10), we find

$$[A, B] = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(A)}B - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(B)}A = \rho(A)B - \rho(B)A = A \circ \phi - B \circ \phi \circ A.$$ 

Observe that $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(v)}A = \text{ad}^1_v(A) = \text{ad}^1_v \circ A$ and $[A, v]_{\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*} = -(\text{ad}^0_A)^* A = A \circ \text{ad}^0_v$. We have

$$[A, v] = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(A)}v - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(v)}A + [A, v]_{\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*} + \rho^* \langle dA, v \rangle$$

$$= 0 - \text{ad}^0_v \circ A + A \circ \text{ad}^0_v + \triangleright Av + \phi(A),$$

where we have used

$$\rho^* \langle dA, v \rangle (u + B) = \rho(u + B)(Av) = u \triangleright Av + B(\phi(A)).$$

Finally, we have

$$[v, A] = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(v)}A - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(A)}v + [v, A]_{\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*} + \rho^* \langle dv, A \rangle$$

$$= \text{ad}^1_v \circ A + 0 - A \circ \text{ad}^0_v + 0.$$

This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 3.8.** This bracket can be viewed as a generalization of an omni-Lie algebra. See [13, Example 5.2] for more details.

More generally, since the category of Lie 2-algebroids and the category of VB-Courant algebroids are equivalent (see [15]), we get an $\mathfrak{e}$-Courant algebroid from a Lie 2-algebroid. This construction first appeared in [11, Corollary 6.9]. Explicitly, let $(A_0 \oplus A_{-1}, \rho_{A_0}, l_1, l_2 = l_0^1 + l_2^1, l_3)$ be a Lie 2-algebroid. Then we have an $A_{-1}$-Courant algebroid structure on

$$\text{Hom}(A_0, A_{-1}) \oplus A_0,$$

where the paring is given by

$$(D + u, D' + v)_{A_{-1}} = \frac{1}{2}(Dv + D'u), \quad \forall D, D' \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(A_0, A_{-1})), \ u, v \in \Gamma(A_0)$$

the anchor is

$$\rho : \text{Hom}(A_0, A_{-1}) \oplus A_0 \to \mathcal{D}A_{-1}, \quad \rho(D + u) = D \circ l_1 + l_2^1(u, \cdot),$$

and the Dorfman bracket is given by

$$[u, v] = l_0^1(u, v) + l_3(u, v, \cdot);$$

$$[D, D'] = D \circ l_1 \circ D' - D' \circ l_1 \circ D;$$

$$[D, v] = -l_2^1(v, D(\cdot)) + D(l_0^1(v, \cdot)) + l_2^1(\cdot, D(v)) + l_1(D(v));$$

$$[v, D] = l_2^1(v, D(\cdot)) - D(l_0^1(v, \cdot)).$$

8
4 Generalized complex structures on E-Courant algebroids

In this section, we introduce the notion of a generalized complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid. We will see that it unifies the usual generalized complex structure on an even-dimensional manifold and the generalized contact structure on an odd-dimensional manifold.

Definition 4.1. A bundle map $J : K \to K$ is called a generalized almost complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid $(K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E, \rho)$ if it satisfies the algebraic properties

$$J^2 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad (J(X), J(Y))_E = (X, Y)_E.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Furthermore, $J$ is called a generalized complex structure if the following integrability condition is satisfied:

$$[J(X), J(Y)]_K = [X, Y]_K - J([J(X), Y]_K + [X, J(Y)]_K) = 0, \quad \forall \ X, Y \in \Gamma(K).$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

Proposition 4.2. Let $J : K \to K$ be a generalized almost complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid $(K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E, \rho)$. Then we have

$$J^*|_K = -J.$$

Proof. By (11), for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(K)$, we have

$$J^*(J(Y))(X) = J(Y)(J(X)) = 2(J(X), J(Y))_E = 2(X, Y)_E = Y(X).$$

Since $X \in \Gamma(K)$ is arbitrary, we have

$$J^*(J(Y)) = Y, \quad \forall \ Y \in \Gamma(K).$$

For any $Z \in \Gamma(K)$, let $Y = -J(Z)$. By (11), we have $Z = J(Y)$. Then we have

$$J^*(Z) = J^*(J(Y)) = Y = -J(Z),$$

which implies that $J^*|_K = -J$. \hspace{1cm} ■

Remark 4.3. Generalized complex structures on an E-Courant algebroid $(K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E, \rho)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with Dirac sub-bundles $S \subset K \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that $K \otimes \mathbb{C} = S \oplus \overline{S}$. By a Dirac sub-bundle of $K$, we mean a sub-bundle $S \subset K$ which is closed under the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_K$ and satisfies $S = S^\perp$. The pair $(S, \overline{S})$ is an E-Lie bialgebroid in the sense of [2].

Remark 4.4. Obviously, the notion of a generalized contact bundle associated to $L$, which was introduced in [24], is a special case of Definition 4.1 where $E$ is the line bundle $L$. In particular, if $E$ is the trivial line bundle $L = M \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}L^\circ = TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{J}L^\circ = T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{E}^1(M) = \mathcal{D}L^\circ \oplus \mathcal{J}L^\circ$. Thus, a generalized complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid unifies generalized complex structures on even-dimensional manifolds and generalized contact bundles on odd-dimensional manifolds.

Example 4.5. Consider the E-Courant algebroid $A^* \otimes E \oplus A$ given in [3] Example 2.9 for any Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a)$ and an $A$-module $E$. Twisted by a 3-cocycle $\Theta \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*, E)$, one obtains the AV-Courant algebroid introduced in [14] by Li-Bland. Consider $J$ of the form $J_D = \begin{pmatrix} -R_D & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$, where $D \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)$ and $R_D : A^* \otimes E \to A^* \otimes E$ is given by $R_D(\phi) = \phi \circ D$. We get that $J$ is a
generalized complex structure on the E-Courant algebroid $A^* \otimes E \oplus A$ if and only if $D$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebroid $A$ and $D^2 = -1$.

Actually, $D^2 = -1$ ensures that condition (11) holds. The Dorfman bracket on $\mathcal{K} = A^* \otimes E \oplus A$ is given by

$$[u + \Phi, v + \Psi]_\mathcal{K} = [u, v]_A + \mathcal{L}_u \Psi - \mathcal{L}_v \Phi + \rho^*(d\Phi)(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \Gamma(A), \Phi, \Psi \in \Gamma(A^* \otimes E),$$

where $\rho^*: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{A}$ is the dual of the $A$-action $\rho: A \to \mathcal{K}$ on $E$. Then it is straightforward to see that the integrability condition (12) holds if and only if $D$ is a Nijenhuis operator on $A$.

Any generalized complex structure on a Courant algebroid induces a Poisson structure on the base manifold (see e.g. [1]). Similarly, any generalized complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid induces a Lie algebroid or a local Lie algebra structure ([12]) on $E$.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ be a generalized complex structure on an E-Courant algebroid $(\mathcal{K}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathcal{K}, (\cdot, \cdot)_E, \rho)$. Define a bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_E: \Gamma(E) \wedge \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ by

$$[u, v]_E \triangleq 2(\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du, \rho^*dv)_E = (\rho \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \rho^*)(du)(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \Gamma(E).$$

Then $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, j \circ \rho \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \rho^* \circ d)$ is a Lie algebroid when rank$(E) \geq 2$ and $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E)$ is a local Lie algebra when rank$(E) = 1$.

**Proof.** The bracket is obviously skew-symmetric. By the integrability of $\mathcal{J}$, we have

$$[\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du), \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dv)]_\mathcal{K} - [\rho^*du, \rho^*dv]_\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{J}([\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du), \rho^*dv]_\mathcal{K} + [\rho^*du, \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dv)]_\mathcal{K}) = 0.$$ 

Pairing with $\rho^*dw$ for $w \in \Gamma(E)$, by (EC-3) in Definition 2.1 and the first equation in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$([\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du), \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dv)]_\mathcal{K}, \rho^*dw)_E = \rho(\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du, \rho^*dv)_E - \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dv, [\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du, \rho^*dv)]_\mathcal{K})_E - 2(\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du, \rho^*dv)_E - 2(\mathcal{J}(\rho^*dv, \rho^*d(J(\rho^*du, \rho^*dw)_E)_E = \frac{1}{2}[u, [v, w]]_E - \frac{1}{2}[v, [u, w]]_E.$$

By (EC-1) and (EC-5) in Definition 2.1, we have

$$([\rho^*du, \rho^*dv]_\mathcal{K}, \rho^*dw)_E = 0.$$ 

Finally, using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$([\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du), \rho^*dw]_\mathcal{K} + [\rho^*du, \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dv)]_\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dw)_E = 2(\rho^*d(\mathcal{J}(\rho^*du, \rho^*dv)_E, \mathcal{J}(\rho^*dw)_E + 0 = \frac{1}{2}[w, [u, v]]_E.$$ 

Thus we get the Jacobi identity for $[\cdot, \cdot]_E$. To see the Leibniz rule, by definition, we have

$$[u, fv]_E = f[u, v]_E + j \rho \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \rho^* \circ d(u)(f)v.$$ 

So it is a Lie algebroid structure if and only if $j \circ \rho \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \rho^* \circ d: E \to TM$ is a bundle map, which is always true when rank$(E) \geq 2$ (see the proof of [4, Theorem 3.11]).
5 Generalized complex structures on omni-Lie algebroids

In this section, we study generalized complex structures on the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E)$. We view $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ as a sub-bundle of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{o}(E), E)$ by the nondegenerate $E$-valued pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$, i.e.

$$e_2(e_1) \triangleq 2\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_E, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{o}(E)).$$

By Proposition 4.2, we have

**Corollary 5.1.** A bundle map $J: \mathfrak{o}(E) \to \mathfrak{o}(E)$ is a generalized almost complex structure on the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$J^2 = -\text{id}, \quad J^*|_{\mathfrak{o}(E)} = -J. \quad (14)$$

Since $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{d}E$ and $\mathfrak{N}E$, we can write a generalized almost complex structure $J$ in the form of a matrix. To do that, we need some preparations.

Vector bundles $\operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{d}E, E)_{\mathfrak{N}E}$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{N}E, E)_{\mathfrak{d}E}$ are introduced in [5] and [21] to study deformations of omni-Lie algebroids and deformations of Lie algebroids respectively. More precisely, we have

$$\text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{d}E, E)_{\mathfrak{N}E} \triangleq \{ \mu \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{d}E, E) \mid \text{Im}(\mu) \subset \mathfrak{N}E \}, \quad (k \geq 2),$$

$$\text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{N}E, E)_{\mathfrak{d}E} \triangleq \{ \sigma \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{N}E, E) \mid \text{Im}(\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{d}E \}, \quad (k \geq 2),$$

in which $\mu_k : \mathfrak{d}E \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{N}E, E)$ is given by

$$\mu_k(\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{k-1})(\partial_k) = \mu(\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{k-1}, \partial_k), \quad \forall \partial_1, \cdots, \partial_k \in \mathfrak{d}E,$

and $\mathfrak{d}^\sharp$ is defined similarly. By [2], for any $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{d}E, E)_{\mathfrak{N}E}$, we have

$$\mu(\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{k-1}, \Phi) = \Phi \circ \mu(\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{k-1}, \text{id}_E). \quad (15)$$

Furthermore, $(\Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{d}E, E)_{\mathfrak{N}E}), \partial)$ is a subcomplex of $(\Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{d}E, E), \partial)$, where $\partial$ is the coboundary operator of the gauge Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{d}E$ with the obvious action on $E$.

**Proposition 5.2.** Any generalized almost complex structure $J$ on the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ must be of the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\
\sigma_\sharp & -N^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where $N : \mathfrak{d}E \to \mathfrak{d}E$ is a bundle map satisfying $N^*(\mathfrak{N}E) \subset \mathfrak{N}E$, $\pi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{N}E, E)_{\mathfrak{d}E})$, $\sigma \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{d}E, E)_{\mathfrak{N}E})$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$\pi^\sharp \circ \sigma_\sharp + N^2 = -\text{id}, \quad N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ N^*, \quad \sigma_\sharp \circ N = N^* \circ \sigma_\sharp.$$

**Proof.** By Corollary 5.1 for any generalized almost complex structure $J$, we have $J^*|_{\mathfrak{o}(E)} = -J$. Thus $J$ must be of the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} N & \phi \\
\psi & -N^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $N : \mathfrak{d}E \to \mathfrak{d}E$ is a bundle map satisfying $N^*(\mathfrak{N}E) \subset \mathfrak{N}E$, $\phi : \mathfrak{N}E \to \mathfrak{d}E$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{d}E \to \mathfrak{N}E$ are bundle maps satisfying

$$-\langle \phi(\mu), \nu \rangle_E = \langle \mu, \phi(\nu) \rangle_E, \quad -\langle \psi(\partial), t \rangle_E = \langle \partial, \psi(t) \rangle_E.$$

Therefore, we have $\phi = \pi^\sharp$, for some $\pi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{N}E, E)_{\mathfrak{d}E})$, and $\psi = \sigma_\sharp$ for some $\sigma \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{d}E, E)_{\mathfrak{N}E})$. This finishes the proof of the first part. As for the second part, it is straightforward to see that the conditions follow from the fact that $J^2 = -\text{id}$. ■
Remark 5.3. For a line bundle $L$, we have $\mathfrak{J}L = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{D}L, L)$ and $\mathfrak{D}L = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{J}L, L)$. Therefore, the condition $N^*(\mathfrak{J}L) \subset \mathfrak{J}L$ always holds.

Theorem 5.4. A generalized almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ given by (16) is a generalized complex structure on the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{a}(E)$ if and only if

(i) $\pi$ satisfies the equation:

\[
\pi^2([\mu, \nu]) = [\pi^2(\mu), \pi^2(\nu)]_\mathfrak{D}, \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E),
\]

where the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E)$ is defined by

\[
[\mu, \nu]_\pi = \mathfrak{L}_{\pi^2(\mu)}\nu - \mathfrak{L}_{\pi^2(\nu)}\mu - d\pi(\pi^2(\mu), \nu).
\]

(ii) $\pi$ and $N$ are related by the following formula:

\[
N^*([\mu, \nu]) = \mathfrak{L}_{\pi^2(\mu)}(N^*(\nu)) - \mathfrak{L}_{\pi^2(\nu)}(N^*(\mu)) - d\pi(N^*(\mu), \nu).
\]

(iii) $N$ satisfies the condition:

\[
T(N)(\mathfrak{d}, t) = \pi^2(i_{\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{t}}d\sigma), \quad \forall \mathfrak{d}, t \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}E),
\]

where $T(N)$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of $N$ defined by

\[
T(N)(\mathfrak{d}, t) = [N(\mathfrak{d}), N(t)]_\mathfrak{D} - N([N(\mathfrak{d}), t]_\mathfrak{D} + [\mathfrak{d}, N(t)]_\mathfrak{D} - N[\mathfrak{d}, t]_\mathfrak{D}).
\]

(iv) $N$ and $\sigma$ are related by the following condition

\[
d\sigma(N(\mathfrak{d}), t, \mathfrak{t}) + d\sigma(\mathfrak{d}, N(t), \mathfrak{t}) + d\sigma(\mathfrak{d}, t, N(\mathfrak{t})) = d\sigma_N(\mathfrak{d}, t, \mathfrak{t}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{d}, t, \mathfrak{t} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}E),
\]

where $\sigma_N \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\Lambda^2\mathfrak{D}E, E)_{\mathfrak{J}E})$ is defined by

\[
\sigma_N(\mathfrak{d}, t) = \sigma(N(\mathfrak{d}), t).
\]

Proof. Consider the integrability condition (12). In fact, there are two equations since $\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(E))$ has two components $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D}E)$ and $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E)$. First let $e_1 = \mu$, $e_2 = \nu$ be elements in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E)$, we have $\mathcal{J}(\mu) = \pi^2(\mu) - N^*(\mu)$, $\mathcal{J}(\nu) = \pi^2(\nu) - N^*(\nu)$ and $[\mu, \nu] = 0$. Therefore, we obtain

\[
\mathfrak{J}(\mu)=\mathfrak{J}(\nu)=\mathfrak{J}(\mu)\mathfrak{J}(\nu).
\]

Thus we get conditions (17) and (19).

Then let $e_1 = \mathfrak{d} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}E)$ and $e_2 = \mu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E)$, we have $\mathcal{J}(e_1) = N(\mathfrak{d}) + \sigma_2(\mathfrak{d})$ and $\mathcal{J}(e_2) = \pi^2(\mu) - N^*(\mu)$. Therefore, we obtain

\[
\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{d})=\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{d})\mathfrak{J}(\mu).
\]


Thus we have

\[
[N(\mathfrak{d}), \pi^t(\mu)]_\mathcal{D} = N[\mathfrak{d}, \pi^t(\mu)]_\mathcal{D} + \pi^t(\mathcal{L}_N(\mathfrak{d})\mu - \mathcal{L}_N N^*(\mu)), \tag{22}
\]

\[
N^*(\mathcal{L}_N(\mathfrak{d})\mu - \mathcal{L}_N N^*(\mu)) = \mathcal{L}_N N^*(\mu) + i_\pi^t(\mu) d\sigma_2(\mathfrak{d}) + \mathcal{L}_N \mu + \sigma_2[\mathfrak{d}, \pi^t(\mu)]_\mathcal{D}. \tag{23}
\]

We claim that (22) is equivalent to (19). In fact, applying (22) to $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{J})$ and (19) to $\mathfrak{d} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$, we get the same equality.

Next let $e_1 = \mathfrak{d}$ and $e_2 = t$ be elements in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$, we have $\mathcal{J}(e_1) = N(\mathfrak{d}) + \sigma_2(\mathfrak{d})$ and $\mathcal{J}(e_2) = N(t) + \sigma_2(t)$. Therefore, we have

\[
[[N(\mathfrak{d}), N(t)]_\mathcal{D} - [\mathfrak{d}, t]]_\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}) = \pi^t(\mathcal{L}_N \sigma_2(t) - i_\pi^t d\sigma_2(\mathfrak{d})) + N^*(\mathcal{L}_N \sigma_2(t) - i_\pi^t d\sigma_2(\mathfrak{d})),
\]

\[
\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0.
\]

Thus we have

\[
[N(\mathfrak{d}), N(t)]_\mathcal{D} - [\mathfrak{d}, t]]_\mathcal{D} - N([N(\mathfrak{d}), t]]_\mathcal{D} + [\mathfrak{d}, N(t)]_\mathcal{D} = \pi^t(\mathcal{L}_N \sigma_2(t) - i_\pi^t d\sigma_2(\mathfrak{d})), \tag{24}
\]

\[
\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0.
\]

We claim that (23) and (21) are equivalent. In fact, applying (23) and (21) to $t \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$ respectively, we get the same equality

\[
\langle [N(\mathfrak{d}), N(t)]_\mathcal{D} - [\mathfrak{d}, t]]_\mathcal{D} - N([N(\mathfrak{d}), t]]_\mathcal{D} + [\mathfrak{d}, N(t)]_\mathcal{D}, \mu \rangle_E = \mathfrak{d} (\pi^t \sigma_2(t), \mu)_E + \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0,
\]

\[
\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}) = 0.
\]

By the equality $\pi^t \sigma_2 + N^2 = -\text{id}$ and (21), we have

\[
[N(\mathfrak{d}), N(t)]_\mathcal{D} + N^2[\mathfrak{d}, t]]_\mathcal{D} - N([N(\mathfrak{d}), t]]_\mathcal{D} + [\mathfrak{d}, N(t)]_\mathcal{D} = \pi^t(\mathcal{L}_N \sigma_2(t) - i_\pi^t d\sigma_2(\mathfrak{d}) - \sigma_2(\mathfrak{d}, t)]_\mathcal{D},
\]

which implies that $T(N)(\mathfrak{d}, t) = \pi^t(i_{\mathfrak{d}} \wedge d\sigma)$. Thus (24) is equivalent to (20).

At last, we consider condition (27). Acting on an arbitrary $t \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$, we have

\[
N(\mathfrak{d}) \langle \sigma_2(t), t]]_\mathcal{D} - \langle \sigma_2(t), [N(\mathfrak{d}), t]]_\mathcal{D} + \langle [N(\mathfrak{d}), t]]_\mathcal{D} + N(t) \sigma_2(t), t]]_\mathcal{D} E = 0.
\]

Note that the following equality holds:

\[
\sigma(\mathfrak{d}, N(t)) = -\langle \sigma_2(N(t)), \mathfrak{d} \rangle_E = -\langle N^*(\sigma_2(t)), \mathfrak{d} \rangle_E = -\langle \sigma_2(t), N(\mathfrak{d}) \rangle_E = \sigma(\mathfrak{d}, N(t)),
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
(i_{N(\mathfrak{d})} d\sigma)(\mathfrak{d}, t, t) = d\sigma(N(\mathfrak{d}, t, t),
\]

which implies that (25) is equivalent to (21).
Remark 5.5. Let $\mathcal{J} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} N & \pi^2 \\ \sigma & -N^* \end{array} \right)$ be a generalized complex structure on the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E)$. Then $\pi$ satisfies (17). On one hand, in [4], the authors showed that such $\pi$ will give rise to a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_E$ on $\Gamma(E)$ via

$$[u, v]_E = \pi^2(du)(v), \quad \forall \, u, v \in \Gamma(E).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.6, the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ will also induce a Lie algebroid structure on $E$ by (13). By the equality

$$\pi^2 = \rho \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \rho^*,$$

these two Lie algebroid structures on $E$ are the same.

Remark 5.6. Recall that any $b \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathcal{D}E, \mathfrak{e}_E)$ defines a transformation $\epsilon^b : \mathfrak{o}(E) \to \mathfrak{o}(E)$ defined by

$$\epsilon^b \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta \\ \mu \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{id} & 0 \\ b_2 & \text{id} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta \\ \mu \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \delta \\ \mu + i_\beta \end{array} \right).$$

Thus, $\epsilon^b$ is an automorphism of the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{id}^2 = 0$. In this case, $\epsilon^b$ is called a B-field transformation. Genuinely, an automorphism of the omni-Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ is just the composition of an automorphism of the vector bundle $E$ and a B-field transformation. In fact B-field transformations map generalized complex structures on $\mathfrak{o}(E)$ into new generalized complex structures as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}^b = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{id} & 0 \\ b_2 & \text{id} \end{array} \right) \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{id} & 0 \\ -b_2 & \text{id} \end{array} \right).$$

Example 5.7. Let $D : E \to E$ be a bundle map satisfying $D^2 = \mathfrak{id}$. Define $R_D : \mathcal{D}E \to \mathcal{D}E$ by $R_D(\mathfrak{d}) = \mathfrak{d} \circ D$ and $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{J}E \to \mathcal{J}E$ by $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}u) = \mathfrak{d}(D(u))$ for $u \in \Gamma(E)$. Then

$$\mathcal{J} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} R_D & 0 \\ 0 & -D \end{array} \right),$$

is a generalized complex structure on $\mathfrak{o}(E)$. In fact, since

$$\langle R_D(\mathfrak{d}u), \mathfrak{d} \rangle_E = \langle \mathfrak{d}u, \mathfrak{d} \circ D \rangle_E = \mathfrak{d}(D(u)) = \langle \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{d}u), \mathfrak{d} \rangle_E,$$

we have $R_D^2 = \mathcal{J}$. It is direct to check that the Nijenhuis tensor $T(R_D)$ vanishes and the condition $D^2 = \mathfrak{id}$ ensures that $R_D^2 = -\mathfrak{id}$.

Let $\pi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{J}E, \mathcal{D}E))$ and suppose that the induced map $\pi^2 : \mathcal{J}E \to \mathcal{D}E$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles. Then the rank of $\mathcal{J}$ is 1 or equals to the dimension of $M$. We denote by $(\pi^2)^{-1}$ the inverse of $\pi^2$ and by $\pi^{-1}$ the corresponding element in $\Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{J}E, \mathcal{D}E))$.

Lemma 5.8. With the above notations, the following two statements are equivalent:

(i) $\pi \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathcal{J}E, \mathcal{D}E))$ satisfies (17);

(ii) $\pi^{-1}$ is closed, i.e. $d\pi^{-1} = 0$.

Proof. The conclusion follows from the following equality:

$$\langle \pi^2([\mu, \nu])_\pi - [\pi^2(\mu), \pi^2(\nu)]_\pi, \gamma \rangle_E = -d\pi^{-1}(\pi^2(\mu), \pi^2(\nu), \pi^2(\gamma)), \quad \forall \, \mu, \nu, \gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{J}E),$$

$$14.$$
Let \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\) be a Lie algebroid. Define \(\pi^\sharp : \mathfrak{J}E \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}E\) by
\[
\pi^\sharp(du)(\cdot) = [u, \cdot]_E, \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(E).
\] (28)
Then \(\pi^\sharp\) satisfies (17). Furthermore, \((\mathfrak{J}E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi^\sharp} \circ \pi^\sharp)\) is a Lie algebroid, where the bracket \([\cdot, \cdot]_\pi\) is given by (18). By Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.8 we have

**Corollary 5.9.** Let \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\) be a Lie algebroid such that the induced map \(\pi^\sharp : \mathfrak{J}E \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}E\) is an isomorphism. Then
\[
\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^\sharp \\ -\pi^\sharp & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
is a generalized complex structure on \(\mathfrak{a}(E)\).

**Example 5.10.** Let \((TM, [\cdot, \cdot]_{TM}, \text{id})\) be the tangent Lie algebroid. Define \(\pi^\sharp : \mathfrak{J}(TM) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(TM)\) by
\[
\pi^\sharp(du) = [u, \cdot]_{TM}.
\]
Then \(\pi^\sharp\) is an isomorphism. See [4] Corollary 3.9 for details. Then
\[
\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^\sharp \\ -\pi^\sharp & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
is a generalized complex structure on the omni-Lie algebroid \(\mathfrak{a}(TM)\).

**Example 5.11.** Let \((M, \omega)\) be a symplectic manifold and \((T^*M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\omega^{-1}}, (\omega)^{-1})\) the associated natural Lie algebroid. Define \(\pi^\sharp : \mathfrak{J}(T^*M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(T^*M)\) by
\[
\pi^\sharp(du) = [u, \cdot]_{\omega^{-1}},
\]
which is an isomorphism (see [4] Corollary 3.10). Then
\[
\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^\sharp \\ -\pi^\sharp & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
is a generalized complex structure on the omni-Lie algebroid \(\mathfrak{a}(T^*M)\).

At the end of this section, we introduce the notion of an algebroid-Nijenhuis structure, which can give rise to generalized complex structures on the omni-Lie algebroid \(\mathfrak{a}(E)\).

**Definition 5.12.** Let \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\) be a Lie algebroid, \(N : \mathfrak{D}E \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}E\) a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebroid \((\mathfrak{D}E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{D}, j})\) satisfying \(N^*(\mathfrak{J}E) \subset \mathfrak{J}E\) and \(\pi : \mathfrak{J}E \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}E\) given by (28). \(N\) and \(\pi\) are said to be compatible if
\[
N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ N^*, \quad \text{and} \quad C(\pi, N) = 0,
\]
where
\[
C(\pi, N)(\mu, \nu) \triangleq [\mu, \nu]_\pi - ([N^*(\mu), \nu]_\pi + [\mu, N^*(\nu)]_\pi - N^*[\mu, \nu]_\pi), \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E).
\] (29)
Here \(\pi_N \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2\mathfrak{J}E, E)_{\mathfrak{D}E})\) is given by
\[
\pi_N(\mu, \nu) = \langle \nu, N\pi^\sharp(\mu) \rangle_E, \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{J}E).
\]
If \(N\) and \(\pi\) are compatible, we call the pair \((\pi, N)\) an algebroid-Nijenhuis structure on the Lie algebroid \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\).
The following lemma is straightforward, we omit the proof.

**Lemma 5.13.** Let \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\) be a Lie algebroid, \(\pi\) given by (28) and \(N : \mathcal{D}E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}E\) a Nijenhuis structure. Then \((\pi, N)\) is an algebroid-Nijenhuis structure on the Lie algebroid \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\) if and only if \(N \circ \pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp \circ N^\star\) and

\[
N^\star[\mu, \nu]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi(\mu)}N^\star(\nu) - \mathcal{L}_{\pi(\nu)}N^\star(\mu) + d\pi(N^\star(\mu), \nu).
\]

By Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.13, we have

**Theorem 5.14.** Let \((E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, a)\) be a Lie algebroid, \(\pi\) given by (28) and \(N : \mathcal{D}E \rightarrow \mathcal{D}E\) a Nijenhuis structure. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. \((\pi, N)\) is an algebroid-Nijenhuis structure and \(N^2 = -\text{id}\);
2. \(J = \begin{pmatrix} N & \pi^\sharp \\ 0 & -N^\star \end{pmatrix}\) is a generalized complex structure on the omni-Lie algebroid \(\mathfrak{o}(E)\).

**Remark 5.15.** An interesting special case is that where \(E = L\) is a line bundle. Then \((\pi, N)\) becomes a Jacobi-Nijenhuis structure on \(M\). Jacobi-Nijenhuis structures are studied by Luca Vitagliano and the third author in [25]. In this case, \(\pi\) defines a Jacobi bi-derivation \([\cdot, \cdot]\) of \(L\) (i.e. a skew-symmetric bracket which is a first order differential operator, hence a derivation, in each argument). Moreover, this bi-derivation is compatible with \(N\) in the sense that \(\pi^\sharp \circ N^\star = N \circ \pi^\sharp\) and \(C(\pi, N) = 0\). It defines a new Jacobi bi-derivation \([\cdot, \cdot]_N\). Furthermore, \([\cdot, \cdot], [\cdot, \cdot]_N\) and \([\cdot, \cdot] + [\cdot, \cdot]_N\) are all Jacobi brackets.

### 6 Generalized complex structures on omni-Lie algebras

In this section, we consider the case that \(E\) reduces to a vector space \(V\). Then we have

\[
\mathcal{D}V = \mathfrak{gl}(V), \quad \mathfrak{J}V = V.
\]

Furthermore, the pairing (29) reduces to

\[
\langle A, u \rangle_V = Au, \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{gl}(V), \ u \in V. \quad (30)
\]

Any \(u \in V\) is a linear map from \(\mathfrak{gl}(V)\) to \(V\),

\[
u(A) = \langle A, u \rangle_V = Au.
\]

Therefore, an omni-Lie algebroid reduces to an omni-Lie algebra, which is introduced by Weinstein in [26] to study the linearization of the standard Courant algebroid.

**Definition 6.1.** An omni-Lie algebra associated to \(V\) is a triple \((\mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot], \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V)\), where \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V\) is a nondegenerate symmetric pairing given by

\[
\langle A + u, B + v \rangle_V = \frac{1}{2}(Av + Bu), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}(V), \ u, v \in V, \quad (31)
\]

and \([\cdot, \cdot]\) is a bracket operation given by

\[
[A + u, B + v] = [A, B] + Av. \quad (32)
\]

We will simply denote an omni-Lie algebra associated to a vector space \(V\) by \(\mathfrak{o}(V)\).
Lemma 6.2. For any vector space $V$, we have
\[
\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \text{gl}(V), V)_V = 0, \\
\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 V, \text{gl}(V))_V = \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 V, V).
\]

Proof. In fact, for any $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \text{gl}(V), V)_V$ and $A, B \in \text{gl}(V)$, by (15), we have
\[
\phi(A \wedge B) = B \circ \phi(A \wedge \text{id}_V) = -B \circ A \circ \phi(\text{id}_V \wedge \text{id}_V) = 0.
\]
Therefore, $\phi = 0$, which implies that $\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \text{gl}(V), V)_V = 0$. The second equality is obvious. ■

Proposition 6.3. Any generalized almost complex structure $J : \text{gl}(V) \oplus V \rightarrow \text{gl}(V) \oplus V$ on the omni-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ is of the following form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-R_D & \pi^2 \\
0 & D
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 V, V)$, $D \in \text{gl}(V)$ satisfying $D^2 = -\text{id}_V$ and $\pi(Du, v) = \pi(u, Dv)$, and $R_D : \text{gl}(V) \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ is the right multiplication, i.e. $R_D(A) = A \circ D$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 6.2, we can assume that a generalized almost complex structure is of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N & N^* \\
0 & -N^*
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where $N : \text{gl}(V) \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ satisfies $N^* \in \text{gl}(V)$ and $N^2 = -\text{id}_\text{gl}(V)$, and $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 V, V)$. Let $D = -N^*$, then we have
\[
(Dv)(A) = -N^*(v)(A) = -v(N(A)) = -N(A)v.
\]
On the other hand, we have $(Du)(A) = ADv$, which implies that $N(A) = -R_D(A)$. It is obvious that $N^2 = -\text{id}_\text{gl}(V)$ is equivalent to that $D^2 = -\text{id}_V$. The proof is finished. ■

Theorem 6.4. A generalized almost complex structure $J : \text{gl}(V) \oplus V \rightarrow \text{gl}(V) \oplus V$ on the omni-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ given by (33) is a generalized complex structure if and only if

(i) $\pi$ defines a Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi}$ on $V$;

(ii) $D^2 = -\text{id}_V$ and $D[u, v]_{\pi} = [u, Dv]_{\pi}$ for $u, v \in V$.

Thus, a generalized complex structure on the omni-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ gives rise to a complex Lie algebra structure on $V$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4 we have
\[
[u, v]_{\pi} = \pi^2(u)(v) = \pi(u, v).
\]
Condition (17) implies that $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi}$ gives a Lie algebra structure on $V$. Condition (19) implies that $D[u, v]_{\pi} = [u, Dv]_{\pi}$. The other conditions are valid.

The conditions $D^2 = -\text{id}_V$ and $D[u, v]_{\pi} = [u, Dv]_{\pi}$ say by definition that $D$ is a complex Lie algebra structure on $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi})$. This finishes the proof. ■
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