

Searching for Dark Matter with Neutron Star Mergers and Quiet Kilonovae

Joseph Bramante,^{1,2} Tim Linden,³ and Yu-Dai Tsai^{2,4}

¹*CPARC and Department of Physics, Engineering Physics,
and Astronomy, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada*

²*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada*

³*CCAPP and Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA*

⁴*Laboratory for Elementary Particle Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA*

We identify new astrophysical signatures of dark matter that implodes neutron stars (NSs), which could decisively test whether NS-imploding dark matter is responsible for missing pulsars in the Milky Way galactic center, the source of some r -process elements, and the origin of fast-radio bursts. First, NS-imploding dark matter forms $\sim 10^{-10}$ solar mass or smaller black holes inside neutron stars, which proceed to convert neutron stars into ~ 1.5 solar mass BHs. This decreases the number of neutron star mergers seen by LIGO/Virgo (LV) and associated merger kilonovae seen by telescopes like DES, BlackGEM, and ZTF, and instead, producing a population of “black mergers” containing ~ 1.5 solar mass black holes. Second, dark matter-induced neutron star implosions may create a new kind of kilonovae that lacks a detectable, accompanying gravitational signal, which we call “quiet kilonovae.” Using DES data and the Milky Way’s r -process abundance, we constrain quiet kilonovae. Third, the spatial distribution of neutron star merger kilonovae and quiet kilonovae in galaxies can be used to detect dark matter. NS-imploding dark matter destroys most neutron stars at the centers of disc galaxies, so that neutron star merger kilonovae would appear mostly in a donut at large radii. We find that as few as ten neutron star merger kilonova events, located to ~ 1 kpc precision could validate or exclude dark matter-induced neutron star implosions at 2σ confidence, exploring dark matter-nucleon cross-sections 4-10 orders of magnitude below current direct detection experimental limits. Similarly, NS-imploding dark matter as the source of fast radio bursts can be tested at 2σ confidence once 20 bursts are located in host galaxies by radio arrays like CHIME and HIRAX.

I. INTRODUCTION

Uncovering the identity and interactions of dark matter would deepen our understanding of fundamental physics and the origin of our universe. Because dark matter is abundant in galactic halos, it may be revealed through its impact on stars. Indeed, a number of ongoing astrophysical searches may be unveiling dark matter that forms black holes inside and implodes old neutron stars [1–5], thereby creating r -process elements [6], and possibly fast radio bursts [7].

Traditional neutron star-based searches for asymmetric dark matter have relied on old pulsars in the Milky Way, whose old age bounds the capture rate of asymmetric dark matter, which can eventually form black holes inside of neutron stars. Finding old pulsars in the Milky Way’s galactic center, where dark matter is denser, more dark matter is captured, and therefore pulsars potentially implode faster, would advance the frontier of asymmetric dark matter detection. However, extensive radio surveys of the Milky Way galactic center have not found enough pulsars to conclusively strengthen or invalidate the hypothesis that dark matter implodes neutron stars in that region. Fortuitously, the unprecedented sensitivity of laser interferometer gravitational wave detectors at LIGO/Virgo [8], the broad optical purview of DES [9, 10], BlackGEM [11], and other optical telescopes, as well as kilo-channel radio reception at CHIME [12] and HIRAX [13], are better scrutinizing the dynamics of neutron stars and black holes. Indeed, recently a neutron star merger

was found both in gravitational waves [14] and follow-on telescope observations [15].

This article demonstrates how the combined statistics of neutron star mergers observed in galaxies can be used to unmask dark matter with nucleon scattering cross-sections orders of magnitude smaller than the present reach obtained from neutron stars in the Milky Way, and up to ten orders of magnitude beyond any planned underground dark matter detection experiment. This new search method applies to many asymmetric dark matter models [16, 17], including keV – PeV mass bosons [1–5, 18–24], keV – EeV mass self-interacting fermions [2, 25, 26], and \gtrsim PeV mass bosons and fermions [4, 6, 27, 28].

Additionally, this article details distinct signatures of dark matter-induced neutron star implosions, which can be discovered by upcoming gravitational, optical, and radio surveys. NS-imploding dark matter may produce detectable quiet kilonovae: a kilonova powered by the ejection of neutron star fluid during the dark matter-induced implosion of a neutron star. These *quiet kilonovae* would be “quiet” and distinct from the now-observed neutron star *merger kilonovae*, in that they would not produce gravitational waves detectable by LIGO/Virgo. The remainder of this document is structured as follows: in Section II we review asymmetric dark matter that implodes neutron stars and introduce the normalized implosion time. Section III details how neutron star populations in disc galaxies are altered by NS-imploding dark matter. Section IV details prospects for finding primordial black holes (PBHs) using neutron star mergers and

quiet kilonova. Section V provides the first constraint on “quiet kilonovae.” Section VI details a major result of this work: that NS mergers can be used as an incisive new search for dark matter. A location and rate analysis testing whether fast radio bursts originate from dark matter-induced neutron star implosions is presented in Section VII. In section VIII, we conclude. Appendix A presents the cumulative distributions functions employed in Section VII and VI and Appendix B provides details of neutron star implosions induced by heavy asymmetric dark matter.

II. DARK MATTER-INDUCED NEUTRON STAR IMPLOSIONS

Once enough dark matter has accumulated in a neutron star’s interior, dark matter may collapse into a small ($\lesssim 10^{-10} M_\odot$) black hole that subsequently consumes the neutron star [1, 3, 6]. We begin by defining a useful variable combination, the “normalized implosion time,” which relates dark matter-induced NS implosions occurring at different radii from a galactic center, where the local dark matter density ρ_x and velocity dispersion v_x will be different, see Figure 1. The maximum mass accumulation rate of dark matter into a NS is [29]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{m}_x &= \pi \rho_x \frac{2GM R}{v_x} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{R}\right)^{-1} \\ &\simeq \frac{10^{26} \text{ GeV}}{\text{s}} \left(\frac{\rho_x}{\text{GeV/cm}^3}\right) \left(\frac{200 \text{ km/s}}{v_x}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where M and R are the mass and radius of the neutron star and G is Newton’s constant. The time until NS implosion scales inversely with the mass accumulation rate, $t_c \propto \dot{m}_x^{-1}$; therefore t_c is proportional to the dark matter velocity dispersion divided by density, $t_c \propto v_x/\rho_x$. Furthermore, v_x and ρ_x are the only quantities in \dot{m}_x that depend on the galactocentric radius r . It follows that for dark matter which implodes NSs in time t_c , the quantity

$$t_c \frac{\rho_x}{v_x} = \text{Constant} \times \left[\text{Gyr} \frac{\text{GeV/cm}^3}{200 \text{ km/s}} \right], \quad (2)$$

which we call the normalized implosion time,¹ is independent of r . Throughout we will normalize $t_c \rho_x/v_x$ to a typical dark matter density (GeV/cm^3) and velocity dispersion (200 km/s) for a disc galaxy.

The value of $t_c \rho_x/v_x$ for a specific dark matter model can be determined by calculating the time for dark matter with local density ρ_x and relative velocity v_x to implode a neutron star. While many asymmetric dark matter models implode neutron stars [1–6, 18–20, 25–27], we

will focus on heavy $m_x \gtrsim \text{PeV}$ asymmetric dark matter as a simple example. In the case of heavy asymmetric dark matter, the critical mass of dark matter required to form a small black hole is $M_{\text{crit}}^f \sim \frac{m_{\text{pl}}^3}{m_x^2}$ for dark fermions with mass m_x [27], and $M_{\text{crit}}^b \sim 0.12 \sqrt{\lambda} \frac{m_{\text{pl}}^3}{m_x^2}$ for dark scalars with self-interaction potential $V(\phi) = \lambda |\phi|^4$ [30]. In these models, the neutron star will implode shortly after it collects a critical mass of dark matter at time $t_c \simeq M_{\text{crit}}/\dot{m}_x$, where this expression assumes all dark matter passing through the neutron star is captured – see Appendix B for details and for the scaling of dark matter-nucleon cross-section with $t_c \rho_x/v_x$. Then the value of the galactic radial invariant $t_c \rho_x/v_x$ is

$$\begin{aligned} t_c \frac{\rho_x}{v_x} \Big|_f &= \left(\frac{10 \text{ PeV}}{m_x}\right)^2 15 \text{ Gyr} \frac{\text{GeV/cm}^3}{200 \text{ km/s}} \\ t_c \frac{\rho_x}{v_x} \Big|_b &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{1}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{3 \text{ PeV}}{m_x}\right)^2 20 \text{ Gyr} \frac{\text{GeV/cm}^3}{200 \text{ km/s}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for heavy asymmetric fermions and bosons, respectively.

III. BLACK MERGERS, QUIET KILONOVAE, AND R-PROCESS DONUTS

NS-imploding dark matter creates an unexpected population of low mass $\sim 1.5 M_\odot$ black holes (BHs), depleting the expected population of NSs. This in turn would alter the number of merging neutron stars that would be seen by LIGO/Virgo, along with their accompanying merger kilonovae, which are the days-long luminous outbursts from beta decaying neutrons ejected when NSs fall into a BH or another NS [31, 32].

We now determine the number and position of neutron stars converted to BHs by dark matter in a Milky Way-like galaxy. With some subtleties that we will address, our findings for a typical 13 Gyr old $\sim 10^{12} M_\odot$ disc galaxy can be applied to events in different galaxies, using a Milky Way equivalent galaxy (MWEG) volumetric conversion for merger and kilonova rates, *i.e.* one MWEG per $(4.4 \text{ Mpc})^3$ [33].

The number of neutron stars converted into BHs by dark matter in an MWEG will depend on the historic neutron star formation rate in the galaxy, the dynamics and final positions of neutron stars after formation, the dark matter halo density profile, and the relative velocity of dark matter with respect to neutron stars. We model the historic star formation rate $\dot{M}^*(t)$ using a global fit to astronomical data ([34], Table 1, Column 2). While we use $\dot{M}^*(t)$ to determine the relative historic rate of neutron star formation, we normalize the total rate to 10^9 neutron star births over the MWEG lifetime [35–37]. At birth, it has been observed that neutron stars receive natal kicks which result in an initial velocity boost of $\sim 250 \text{ km/s}$ [38, 39]. A recent study of neutron star dynamics in an MWEG has found that most ($\gtrsim 80\%$)

¹ The units given in square brackets in Eq.(2) might be read as “A neutron star will implode in one gigayear for local dark matter density GeV/cm^3 and dark matter velocity dispersion 200 km/s.”




Figure 1. The dark matter density over velocity ρ_x/v_x and NS surface density Σ in an MWEG (top), the total fraction of imploded neutron stars (middle), and the rate for quiet kilonovae, aka dark matter-induced NS implosions, per yr per kpc (bottom), are given as a function of distance from the center of a Milky Way-like galaxy for two asymmetric dark matter models ADM1 and ADM2, defined by $t_c \rho_x/v_x = 3$ and $15 \text{ Gyr GeV/cm}^3 (200 \text{ km/s})^{-1}$. PBH_{max} is a maximally NS-imploding model described in Appendix B. For visibility, the PBH curve has been augmented by 3 and 4 orders of magnitude.

neutron stars are retained within a \sim kiloparsec of the MWEG disc plane, with a NS surface density $\Sigma(r)$. We therefore model the MWEG neutron star distribution as a thin disk with surface density $\Sigma(r)$ given by models 1B* (and 1C* as indicated for comparison) in [37].

To model dark matter in an MWEG, we use an NFW dark matter halo density profile [40], $\rho_x(r) = \rho_0(r/R_s)^{-1}(1+r/R_s)^{-2}$, with dark matter density normalization $\rho_0 = 0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$ and scale factor $R_s = 20 \text{ kpc}$. To approximate the dark matter velocity dispersion in an MWEG, we match the phenomenological fit of Sofue to stellar velocities in the Milky Way ([41], Figure 11). With the star formation rate, neutron star distribution and dark matter properties specified, the fraction of neutron stars at radius r converted to solar mass BHs is given by $F_{\text{BH}}(r) = \frac{\int_0^{\text{Max}[t_u - t_c(r), 0]} \dot{M}^*(t) dt}{\int_0^{t_u} \dot{M}^*(t) dt}$,

where $t_u \sim 13.8 \text{ Gyr}$ is the lifetime of the universe and $t_c(r)$ is the collapse time at radius r , obtainable from Eq. (3). Similarly, the rate of neutron star implosions (and also quiet kilonovae) per unit galactocentric radius is given by $R_{\text{qk}} = 2\pi r \Sigma(r) \dot{M}^*(t_u - t_c(r))$. In Figure 1, we plot the fraction of neutron stars converted to BHs along with the rate of neutron star implosions per year per kpc, both as a function of galactocentric radius, for a 13 Gyr old MWEG. In Table I, we show how standard rates for compact object mergers would be altered, and display dark matter-induced neutron star implosion rates, for a few values of $t_c \rho_x/v_x$. Table I also gives the maximum rate for PBH implosion of NSs, which we address in the next section.

Model	NS-NS	NS-BH	BH-BH	LM-BH	NS Im.	Im./ t_u
Non-Imp.	1e-4	3e-6	4e-7	0	0	0
ADM1	3e-5	9e-7	4e-7	7e-5	4e-2	7e8
ADM2	7e-5	2e-6	4e-7	3e-5	3e-2	3e8
PBH_{max}	1e-4	3e-6	4e-7	4e-11	1e-7	400

Table I. The first five columns give the rate for compact object mergers and dark matter-induced neutron star implosions per MWEG per year ($AeB \equiv A \times 10^B$), for both “Non-Imploding” and NS-imploding dark matter. ADM1 and ADM2 are defined by $t_c \rho_x/v_x = 3$ and $15 \text{ Gyr GeV/cm}^3 (200 \text{ km/s})^{-1}$ respectively, and PBH_{max} is a maximally NS-imploding primordial BH model defined in Section IV. NS-NS, NS-BH, and BH-BH indicate standard NS and BH mergers, while LM-BH indicates a BH-BH merger with at least one $\sim 1.5 M_\odot$ BH (Black Merger). We use the average BH and NS merger rates predicted in [33]; actual merger rates may be 100-fold larger or smaller. The final column shows the number of NS implosions expected in a $t_u \sim 13 \text{ Gyr}$ old MWEG hosting 10^9 1B*-distributed NSs.

IV. RARE NEUTRON STAR IMPLOSIONS FROM PRIMORDIAL BLACK HOLES

Black holes formed from primordial perturbations during the radiation-dominated expansion of the early universe [42, 43], with masses between $\sim 10^{41} - 10^{50} \text{ GeV}$, can be captured inside and subsequently consume neutron stars [44, 45]. As this work was being completed [46] appeared, which addresses PBH-induced NS implosions, and following [6], considers r-process elements and kilonovae produced by NS implosions. The maximum PBH-induced NS implosion rate for an MWEG found here differs markedly from [46], because we use the realistic, standard values for the NS population density, PBH density, and PBH velocity dispersion. We will find that NS implosions from primordial BHs (PBHs) in a typical Milky Way-like galaxy are rare.

PBHs with halo density ρ_{pbh} are captured by neutron




Figure 2. Bounds on dark matter-induced quiet kilonovae as a function of the neutron fluid mass ejected M_{ej} during a NS implosion. The DES bounds assume kilonovae with an ejection velocity $\beta = 0.3c$ [9], and assume 10^9 NSs in an MWEG. Milky Way r -process elements produced from M_{ej} per NS implosion imply the indicated bounds [6], for either 10^8 or 10^9 total NSs, assumed to have spatial distribution 1B* or 1C* given in [37] (arrows indicate the direction of excluded regions). Old pulsars in the Milky Way [1, 2] also set constraints. The x-axis indicates dark matter that implodes NSs in time t_c for background dark matter density ρ_x with velocity dispersion v_x , expressed in units of $t_c \rho_x / v_x$, see Eq. (2).

stars at a rate [44]

$$C_{\text{pbh}} = \sqrt{6\pi} \frac{\rho_{\text{pbh}}}{m_{\text{pbh}}} \left(\frac{2GM/R}{v_x} \right) \frac{1 - \text{Exp} \left[-\frac{3E_{\text{loss}}}{m_{\text{pbh}} v_x^2} \right]}{1 - \frac{2GM}{R}}, \quad (4)$$

where the energy loss of a PBH transiting the NS is $E_{\text{loss}} \simeq \frac{4G^2 m_{\text{pbh}}^2 M}{R^2} \left\langle \frac{\ln \Lambda}{2GM/R} \right\rangle$, and for a typical neutron star density profile $\left\langle \frac{\ln \Lambda}{2GM/R} \right\rangle \sim 14.7$. With Eq. (4) it can be verified that PBH capture in NSs is maximized for PBH masses $m_{\text{pbh}} \sim 10^{44} - 10^{47}$ GeV. Assuming $m_{\text{pbh}} \sim 10^{45}$ GeV PBHs make up the entire dark matter density, $\rho_{\text{pbh}} \simeq \rho_x$, we find that the PBH NS implosion rate appears too low to be detectable by next generation astronomical surveys, as shown in Figure 11 and Table I.

V. MILKY WAY R-PROCESS ENRICHMENT AND DES BOUNDS ON QUIET KILONOVAE

NSs imploded by dark matter may eject a substantial amount of neutrons into the interstellar medium. Ejected neutron fluid will decompress, beta decay, and form a portion of the r -process elements observed in the Milky Way [6, 31, 32, 47]. R -process elements are heavy elements with atomic masses around 80, 130, 195, formed from neutron rich fluid at an as-yet undetermined astrophysical site. While core collapse supernovae have been

historically favored as candidate sites for r -process production, recent observations of a high r -process abundance in Reticulum II, and low r -process abundance in other ultra faint dwarf galaxies, favors r -process production from rare events like a NS merger [48] or NS implosion [6]. In the case of a NS implosion, the amount of NS fluid ejected will likely depend on tidal forces during the implosion [6], which require a complete hydrodynamical simulation to be properly modelled. However, it is known that in total, $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$ of r -process elements must be formed to match the abundance seen in the Milky Way [49–51]. Therefore, the amount of neutron fluid ejected per NS implosion can be bounded, by limiting the total NS mass ejected to $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$ in the Milky Way. In Figure 2, we present such bounds, as a function of $t_c \rho_x / v_x$. This can be compared to the final column of Table I, which shows the expected number of NS implosions after ~ 13 Gyr.

Quiet kilonovae produced by NS-imploding dark matter can be searched for using state-of-the-art optical surveys. DES has recently published a null wide field optical search for kilonovae [9], which are the days-long luminous outbursts of beta-decaying neutron fluid ejected from NSs falling into BHs or other neutron stars. Because this search does not rely on a gravitational signature and instead seeks out beta decay emission from NS fluid flung into outer space, its findings can be used to constrain quiet kilonovae, *i.e.* NS fluid ejected from a NS implosion. Because kilonovae light curves depend mainly on the mass and velocity of NS fluid ejected [32], bounds obtained for NS merger kilonovae can be applied to quiet kilonovae from NS implosions. We set this bound in Figure 2, computing the quiet kilonova rate for each $t_c \rho_x / v_x$ model point, assuming an MWEG containing 10^9 NSs.

VI. SEARCHING FOR DARK MATTER WITH NS MERGERS

Here we show how the galactocentric radial positions of ~ 10 merger kilonovae would be sufficient to explore asymmetric dark matter-nucleon cross-sections orders of magnitude smaller than those presently probed using old pulsars in the Milky Way. The current generation of LV instrumentation is sensitive to gravitational strains on the order of 10^{-23} at an optimal frequency of 400 Hz, allowing for the observation of double neutron star (NS) binaries out to distances of ~ 70 Mpc [8]. Anticipated upgrades will significantly expand this reach, as the amplitude of gravitational wave events is inversely proportional to the source distance, while the expected merger rate increases as the distance cubed. In the coming decade, up to hundreds of NS merger events are anticipated. Once a NS merger event is located to within ~ 10 square degrees by LIGO/Virgo, wide field telescopes like BlackGEM [11] and the Zwicky Transient Factory [54] are poised to image any subsequent kilonovae. The number of kilonovae found using this method will depend on their peak bright-




Figure 3. (Top) The number of NS mergers found by LIGO/Virgo, located to within ~ 1 kpc in a host galaxy by optical imaging of a kilonova, required to exclude dark matter that implodes NSs in time t_c for background dark matter density ρ_x with velocity dispersion v_x , expressed in units of $t_c \rho_x / v_x$, see Eq. 1 in the main text. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed at each model point against the standard hypothesis that NS mergers track a standard distribution of NSs (see Ref. [37], model 1B*) in a disc galaxy, for four hundred randomly generated merger data sets, allowing for up to 10^3 NS merger events per data set. Dotted bands indicate number of events needed for 2σ significance, for the upper and lower quartile of randomly generated merger data sets; the solid line indicates the median. (Bottom) The fermionic asymmetric dark matter-nucleon cross-section sensitivity obtainable with future observation of ~ 10 and ~ 100 NS merger kilonovae is shown, along with the MW pulsar bound [2], Xenon 1T bound [52], and the xenon neutrino floor [53], below which atmospheric neutrinos provide an irreducible background to dark matter scattering. Note that for fermionic dark matter masses $\gtrsim 10^{10}$ GeV, the black holes formed in NSs are too small and evaporate via Hawking radiation [19].

ness, predictions for which range from -10 to -16 AB magnitude [9], while *e.g.* BlackGEM will perhaps probe a 100 degree field of view down to -14 AB magnitude for

200 Mpc distant mergers. As shown in Figure 1, galaxies with NS-implosion dark matter will have fewer NS merger kilonovae in their centers, where most NSs will have been converted to BHs. Therefore, the spatial distribution of merger kilonovae that can be used to test for dark matter. In the top panel of Figure 3, we show the results of a cumulative distribution test, where the standard (model “1B*”) NS distribution defined Section 2, is tested against the distribution expected if dark matter is imploding neutron stars.

The altered NS merger distribution is calculated by taking the fraction of NSs converted into black holes shown in Figure 1, and applying this conversion fraction to the 1B* expected distribution of NS mergers. The expected and dark matter-modified cumulative distribution functions of NS mergers in an MWEg are plotted in Appendix A. Statistical results were obtained by running 400 random Kolmogorov-Smirnov cumulative distribution trials, for each neutron star normalized implosion time ($t_c \rho_x / v_x$), to determine how many merger kilonovae located in galaxies would be necessary to detect NS-implosion dark matter at 2σ significance. Using the same methodology, in Section VII we find that ~ 20 FRBs localized in galaxies would determine whether FRBs are a byproduct of NS implosions.

In practice, merger kilonovae occur in galaxies that are somewhat different from the Milky Way. To convert a measured galactocentric radius in a NS-merger-containing (non-Milky Way) galaxy, r_{NMW} , to a Milky Way equivalent radius r_{MW} , one can solve the formula

$$\frac{\rho_x^{\text{MW}}(r_{\text{MW}})}{v_x^{\text{MW}}(r_{\text{MW}})} = \frac{\rho_x^{\text{nMW}}(r_{\text{nMW}})}{v_x^{\text{nMW}}(r_{\text{nMW}})} \quad (5)$$

for r_{MW} , where ρ_x and v_x are the dark matter density and velocity dispersion of the MWEg and non-Milky Way galaxies, as indicated. For example, the recently detected NS merger in NGC 4993 occurred in a $\sim 10^{10.9} M_\odot$ galaxy which would have an NFW profile defined by $\rho_0 = 0.34 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$ and scale factor $R_s = 7.5 \text{ kpc}$. The NS merger in NGC 4993 occurred at $\sim 2 - 3 \text{ kpc}$ from its center [15]. Solving Eq. (5), this corresponds to an Milky Way equivalent radius of 5-8 kpc. Note that this analysis also assumes that most identified NS mergers will have an age of $\sim 10 \text{ Gyr}$ – indeed, the NS merger progenitor found in NGC 4993 is projected to be this old [55].

In Figure 3, the per-nucleon cross-section sensitivity obtainable for heavy, asymmetric, fermionic dark matter is shown, as calculated using the capture rate and collapse conditions presented in [27, 56] and Appendix B in this document. Lighter asymmetric dark matter can also be found using these methods, as in Refs. [1–5, 18–24].

VII. FAST RADIO BURSTS FROM DARK MATTER

Fast radio bursts (FRBs) are a newly-discovered class of millisecond-length $\sim \text{GHz}$ radio pulses found to dis-




Figure 4. *Left*: The NS implosion rate in a Milky Way equivalent galaxy for dark matter that implodes NSs in time t_c for background dark matter density ρ_x with velocity dispersion v_x expressed in units of $\rho_x t_c / v_x$. The dotted lines indicate high, median, and low fast radio burst rate estimates from surveys [57, 58]. Implosion rates are shown for 10⁸ and 10⁹ NSs with a 1B* spatial distribution [37]. *Right*: Number of fast radio bursts, localized to ~ 1 kpc in a host galaxy, required to test whether fast radio bursts originate from NS-implosion dark matter, against the hypothesis that FRBs come from a non-implosion population of NSs, at 2 σ significance.

tances of 2 Gpc with an all sky rate $\sim 10^4$ /day, whose source is unknown [59, 60]. In [7] it was proposed that dark matter-induced NS implosions may be the source of FRBs. The electromagnetic energy released by a NS implosion matches what is required for an FRB [61–63]. Ref. [7] calculated the per MWEG NS implosion rate assuming a constant star formation history and a NS population that tracked the baryonic density in an MWEG. We improve on these rate calculations by using a realistic star formation history [34] and NS distribution [37], see Figure 4.

The rate of NS collapse due to dark matter accumulation in the Milky Way can be estimated in several limits. In the limit of arbitrarily rapid dark matter accumulation, all NSs collapse to BHs soon after formation and the NS collapse rate is equal to the supernova rate (approximately 0.02 yr^{-1} [64]). This scenario is ruled out by observations of Gyr old neutron stars. Intriguingly, in the case of less rapid dark matter-induced NS implosions, the overall present-day NS implosion rate actually increases due to enhanced star formation rate in the *young* Milky Way. While the star-formation rate of the Milky Way is currently $\sim 0.68 - 1.45 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ [65], the rate at redshift $z=2$ was approximately $14 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ [66–68]. If dark matter induces most ~ 10 Gyr old NSs to implode in the present epoch, then the current implosion rate will reflect the high-redshift star formation rate. Because the dark matter density diminishes with increasing distance from the galactic center, the delay-time between NS formation and NS collapse varies considerably as a function of galactocentric radius, as evident in Figure 1 in the main text. In Figure 4 we plot the number of NS implosions expected per MWEG as a function of $t_c \rho_x / v_x$. Upper, median, and lower FRB rates are also indicated for comparison [57, 58].

VIII. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM A NEUTRON STAR IMPLOSION IN THE MILKY WAY

We have identified new signatures of neutron star-implosion dark matter, and fashioned qualitatively new methods for uncovering this dark sector using imminent astronomical observations. Specifically, our proposed analysis of NS merger kilonova locations has the potential to explore dark matter-nucleon scattering cross-sections up to ten orders of magnitude beyond present direct detection experiments. Finally, we note that the collapse of a neutron star into a BH could be detected directly at advanced LV, if the NS resides in the Milky Way. As we have calculated in Section 2, NS implosion event rates may be as large as 0.05 per year. Reference [69] finds the following strain for a NS collapsing to a BH, $h_c \sim 5 \times 10^{-22} \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right) \left(\frac{10 \text{ kpc}}{D} \right) @ 531 \text{ Hz}$, so that advanced LV [70] may find an implosion out to ~ 1 Mpc. We leave additional gravitational signatures of NS-implosion dark matter to future work, along with the application of the spatial kilonova analysis introduced here, to electromagnetic transients from exotic compact object mergers [56, 71].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Asimina Arvanitaki, Masha Baryakhtar, Shaon Ghosh, Robert Lasenby, Shirley Weishi Li, and Luis Lehner for useful discussions and correspondence. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development & Innovation. J. B. thanks the Aspen Cen-

ter for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1066293. T. L. is partially supported by NSF Grant PHY-1404311 to John Beacom.

Appendix A: Cumulative Distribution Functions

Figure 5 shows the cumulative distribution functions used in the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, whose results are displayed in Figures 3 and 4. As indicated, the displayed CDFs here were generated using the neutron star distribution model 1B* in [37]. However, note that we have found that Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests utilizing other pulsar distribution models in [37] require a similar number of NS mergers to achieve sensitivity to asymmetric dark matter comparable to the results shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Appendix B: Dark matter-induced Neutron Star Implosion Time

The analysis of NS implosions in Section 1 assumed that the longest timescale in the NS implosion process is the time for a NS to accrete a BH-forming mass of dark matter. Here we justify this assumption by computing timescales for all dynamical processes leading up to dark matter-induced NS implosions. As an example, we consider fermionic dark matter in the PeV mass range with dark matter-nucleon cross-section $\sigma_{nx} \sim 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2$. For $\sigma_{nx} \gtrsim 10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2$, all PeV mass dark matter passing through the NS will be captured [56], as assumed in Section 1. Extending this work to PeV mass bosonic dark matter requires minor modifications discussed around Eq. (3) of the main text, with similar results obtained. Similar results arise for low mass dark matter [4, 19, 21, 25, 27], where sensitivity to σ_{nx} scales inversely with $t_c \rho_x / v_x$ – so that the $t_c \rho_x / v_x$ reach of this study results in up to a 100-fold improvement in σ_{nx} sensitivity.

A small BH may form when a NS accumulates so much dark matter, that the dark matter cannot support its own weight with degeneracy pressure. This critical mass for fermionic dark matter is $M_{\text{crit}}^f \sim \frac{m_{\text{pl}}^3}{m_x^2}$, as reported in the main text. In principle (*e.g.* for lower mass dark matter) dark matter may accumulate to M_{crit}^f size in a NS, yet not implode. This is because until dark matter in a NS “self-gravitates” or equivalently forms a bulk whose density exceeds the NS density, it will remain stable.² We find the self-gravitating mass M_{sg} [19] for PeV mass dark matter, and determine that $M_{\text{crit}} \gg M_{\text{sg}}$, which justifies our assumption in the main text, that a BH will form once M_{crit} dark matter accumulates. For the limiting

case of a younger NS with temperature $T_{\text{NS}} \sim 10^5 \text{ K}$,

$$M_{\text{sg}} \simeq 5 \times 10^{37} \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{T_{\text{NS}}}{10^5 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\text{PeV}}{m_x} \right)^{3/2}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

and one can see that M_{crit} is at least 10^6 times larger than M_{sg} for PeV mass dark matter.

Next we review the dynamical timescales for a NS to become converted to a BH by accumulated dark matter. First the dark matter particles thermally equilibrate with the neutron star, through repeated scattering; we denote this thermalization time scale by τ_{th} . Once an unstable mass M_{crit} of dark matter has thermalized into a small volume, the dark matter will collapse, cool, and form a BH, over a time τ_{co} . Lastly, the small BH formed of dark matter accretes the surrounding neutron star in a time τ_{Bondi} . We will see that each process occurs much faster than the $t_c \gtrsim \text{Myr}$ time scale, and conclude that the neutron star implosion time is determined by t_c .

We first consider the thermalization. Dark matter particles captured and accumulated in the neutron star thermalize with the neutrons and cool to temperature $T \simeq 10^5 \text{ K}$, same as the neutron star, before it starts to lose more kinetic energy and eventually collapse into a BH. The time scale τ_{th} is determined by the neutron-dark matter collisions [21], in particular

$$\tau_{\text{th}} \simeq 8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ yr} \left(\frac{m_x}{\text{PeV}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2}{\sigma_{nx}} \right) \left(\frac{10^5 \text{ K}}{T_{\text{NS}}} \right)^2. \quad (\text{B2})$$

After thermalization, the dark matter particles form a spherical configuration of radius $r_0 \sim (9T/8\pi G\rho_c m_x)^{1/2}$, where the dark matter density at collapse is equal to the NS density $\rho_c \sim \rho_n$. The dark matter particles can further lose more of their energy and collapse into a BH. There are several mechanisms that contribute to this cooling, with associated time scales. Here we focus on cooling via dark matter-neutron scattering, while other cooling mechanisms can be found in *e.g.* [3]. The dark matter cooling and collapse time is approximately the time for dark matter to lose $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of its kinetic energy to surrounding neutrons,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{co}} &\simeq \frac{1}{n\sigma_{nx}v_{\text{xc}}} \left(\frac{p_F}{\Delta p} \right) \left(\frac{m_x}{2m_n} \right) \\ &\simeq 4 \times 10^5 \text{ yrs} \left(\frac{m_x}{\text{PeV}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-45} \text{ cm}^2}{\sigma_{nx}} \right) \left(\frac{r_x}{r_0} \right), \quad (\text{B3}) \end{aligned}$$

where n is the number density of the neutrons. The first term $1/n\sigma_{nx}v_{\text{xc}}$ is the time for a single collision. In addition, Pauli blocking has to be taken into account, as it reduces cross-section by a factor of $\Delta p/p_F$, hence the second term. Here $p_F \sim 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ is the neutron Fermi momentum in a NS and $\Delta p \sim m_n v_{\text{xc}}$. The factor of v_{xc} here is the velocity of the dark matter sphere as it collapses through radius r_x , which can be written as $v_{\text{xc}} \sim (Gm_x/r_x)^{1/2}$. The final factor takes into account

² In the case of dark matter with substantial self-interactions, this computation is different [2, 25, 26].




Figure 5. Cumulative distribution functions corresponding to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests shown in Figures 3 and 4 are given, for merger kilonovae (left) and fast radio bursts (right). Both merger kilonovae and FRBs are assumed to follow the distribution of NSs in an MWEG (dotted purple line labeled 1B*). This distribution can be compared with distributions for representative NS-imploding dark matter models, ADM1, ADM2, and ADM3, defined by $t_c \rho_x / v_x = 3, 15,$ and $100 \text{ Gyr GeV/cm}^3 (200 \text{ km/s})^{-1}$ respectively, see Eq. (2) of the main text.

that in each collision only a fraction $\sim 2m_n/m_x$ of the dark matter kinetic energy is transferred, so one requires $\sim \left(\frac{m_x}{2m_n}\right)$ collisions for an order-one energy loss.

With the BH formed, assuming it accretes the remainder of the NS, the time for which depends on the BHBH

mass M_{crit} (e.g. [6, 23]).

$$\tau_{\text{Bondi}} \sim 0.1 \text{ yrs} \left(\frac{m_x}{\text{PeV}}\right)^2 \quad (\text{B4})$$

We find that $\tau_{\text{th}}, \tau_{\text{co}}, \tau_{\text{Bondi}}$ are much shorter than $\sim t_c$, which therefore determines the time until a NS implodes.

-
- [1] Joseph Bramante and Tim Linden, “Detecting Dark Matter with Imploding Pulsars in the Galactic Center,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 191301 (2014), arXiv:1405.1031 [astro-ph.HE].
- [2] Joseph Bramante and Fatemeh Elahi, “Higgs portals to pulsar collapse,” *Phys. Rev.* **D91**, 115001 (2015), arXiv:1504.04019 [hep-ph].
- [3] I. Goldman and S. Nussinov, “Weakly Interacting Massive Particles and Neutron Stars,” *Phys. Rev.* **D40**, 3221–3230 (1989).
- [4] Arnaud de Lavallaz and Malcolm Fairbairn, “Neutron Stars as Dark Matter Probes,” *Phys. Rev.* **D81**, 123521 (2010), arXiv:1004.0629 [astro-ph.GA].
- [5] Chris Kouvaris and Peter Tinyakov, “Constraining Asymmetric Dark Matter through observations of compact stars,” *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 083512 (2011), arXiv:1012.2039 [astro-ph.HE].
- [6] Joseph Bramante and Tim Linden, “On the r -Process Enrichment of Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies,” *Astrophys. J.* **826**, 57 (2016), arXiv:1601.06784 [astro-ph.HE].
- [7] Jim Fuller and Christian Ott, “Dark Matter-induced Collapse of Neutron Stars: A Possible Link Between Fast Radio Bursts and the Missing Pulsar Problem,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **450**, L71–L75 (2015), arXiv:1412.6119 [astro-ph.HE].
- [8] Benjamin P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), “Upper Limits on the Rates of Binary Neutron Star and Neutron Star - Black Hole Mergers From Advanced Ligo’s First Observing Run,” *Astrophys. J.* **832**, L21 (2016), arXiv:1607.07456 [astro-ph.HE].
- [9] Z. Doctor *et al.* (DES), “A Search for Kilonovae in the Dark Energy Survey,” *Astrophys. J.* **837**, 57 (2017), arXiv:1611.08052 [astro-ph.HE].
- [10] M. Soares-Santos *et al.* (DES), “A Dark Energy Camera Search for an Optical Counterpart to the First Advanced LIGO Gravitational Wave Event GW150914,” *Astrophys. J.* **823**, L33 (2016), arXiv:1602.04198 [astro-ph.CO].
- [11] Shaon Ghosh and Gijs Nelemans, “Localizing gravitational wave sources with optical telescopes and combining electromagnetic and gravitational wave data,” *Astrophys. Space Sci. Proc.* **40**, 51–58 (2015), arXiv:1406.0343 [gr-qc].
- [12] Philippe Berger *et al.*, “Holographic Beam Mapping of the CHIME Pathfinder Array,” *Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.* **9906**, 99060D (2016), arXiv:1607.01473 [astro-ph.IM].
- [13] L. B. Newburgh *et al.*, “HIRAX: A Probe of Dark Energy and Radio Transients,” *Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.* **9906**, 99065X (2016), arXiv:1607.02059 [astro-ph.IM].
- [14] Benjamin P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo, LIGO Scientific), “GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017), arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-qc].
- [15] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (GROND, SALT Group, OzGrav, DFN, INTEGRAL, Virgo, Insight-Hxmt, MAXI Team, Fermi-LAT, J-GEM, RATIR, IceCube, CAAS-TRO, LWA, ePESSTO, GRAWITA, RIMAS, SKA South Africa/MeerKAT, H.E.S.S., 1M2H Team, IKI-GW Follow-up, Fermi GBM, Pi of Sky, DWF (Deeper Wider

- Faster Program), Dark Energy Survey, MASTER, AstroSat Cadmium Zinc Telluride Imager Team, Swift, Pierre Auger, ASKAP, VINROUGE, JAGWAR, Chandra Team at McGill University, TTU-NRAO, GROWTH, AGILE Team, MWA, ATCA, AST3, TOROS, Pan-STARRS, NuSTAR, ATLAS Telescopes, BOOTES, CaltechNRAO, LIGO Scientific, High Time Resolution Universe Survey, Nordic Optical Telescope, Las Cumbres Observatory Group, TZAC Consortium, LOFAR, IPN, DLT40, Texas Tech University, HAWC, ANTARES, KU, Dark Energy Camera GW-EM, CALET, Euro VLBI Team, ALMA), “Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger,” *Astrophys. J.* **848**, L12 (2017), arXiv:1710.05833 [astro-ph.HE].
- [16] Kalliopi Petraki and Raymond R. Volkas, “Review of asymmetric dark matter,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1330028 (2013), arXiv:1305.4939 [hep-ph].
- [17] Kathryn M. Zurek, “Asymmetric Dark Matter: Theories, Signatures, and Constraints,” *Phys. Rept.* **537**, 91–121 (2014), arXiv:1308.0338 [hep-ph].
- [18] Samuel D. McDermott, Hai-Bo Yu, and Kathryn M. Zurek, “Constraints on Scalar Asymmetric Dark Matter from Black Hole Formation in Neutron Stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 023519 (2012), arXiv:1103.5472 [hep-ph].
- [19] Joseph Bramante, Keita Fukushima, and Jason Kumar, “Constraints on bosonic dark matter from observation of old neutron stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 055012 (2013), arXiv:1301.0036 [hep-ph].
- [20] Nicole F. Bell, Andrew Melatos, and Kalliopi Petraki, “Realistic neutron star constraints on bosonic asymmetric dark matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 123507 (2013), arXiv:1301.6811 [hep-ph].
- [21] Bridget Bertoni, Ann E. Nelson, and Sanjay Reddy, “Dark Matter Thermalization in Neutron Stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 123505 (2013), arXiv:1309.1721 [hep-ph].
- [22] Tolga Gver, Arif Emre Erkoca, Mary Hall Reno, and Ina Sarcevic, “On the capture of dark matter by neutron stars,” *JCAP* **1405**, 013 (2014), arXiv:1201.2400 [hep-ph].
- [23] Chris Kouvaris and Peter Tinyakov, “Growth of Black Holes in the interior of Rotating Neutron Stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 043512 (2014), arXiv:1312.3764 [astro-ph.SR].
- [24] Yasunari Kurita and Hiroyuki Nakano, “Gravitational waves from dark matter collapse in a star,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 023508 (2016), arXiv:1510.00893 [gr-qc].
- [25] Chris Kouvaris, “Limits on Self-Interacting Dark Matter,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 191301 (2012), arXiv:1111.4364 [astro-ph.CO].
- [26] Joseph Bramante, Keita Fukushima, Jason Kumar, and Elan Stopnitzky, “Bounds on self-interacting fermion dark matter from observations of old neutron stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 015010 (2014), arXiv:1310.3509 [hep-ph].
- [27] Joseph Bramante, “Dark matter ignition of type Ia supernovae,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 141301 (2015), arXiv:1505.07464 [hep-ph].
- [28] Joseph Bramante and James Unwin, “Superheavy Thermal Dark Matter and Primordial Asymmetries,” *JHEP* **02**, 119 (2017), arXiv:1701.05859 [hep-ph].
- [29] Masha Baryakhtar, Joseph Bramante, Shirley Weishi Li, Tim Linden, and Nirmal Raj, “Dark Kinetic Heating of Neutron Stars and An Infrared Window On WIMPs, SIMPs, and Pure Higgsinos,” (2017), arXiv:1704.01577 [hep-ph].
- [30] M. Colpi, S. L. Shapiro, and I. Wasserman, “Boson Stars: Gravitational Equilibria of Selfinteracting Scalar Fields,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2485–2488 (1986).
- [31] J. M. Lattimer, F. Mackie, D. G. Ravenhall, and D. N. Schramm, “The decompression of cold neutron star matter,” *Astrophys. J.* **213**, 225–233 (1977).
- [32] Daniel Kasen, N. R. Badnell, and Jennifer Barnes, “Opacities and Spectra of the r -process Ejecta from Neutron Star Mergers,” *Astrophys. J.* **774**, 25 (2013), arXiv:1303.5788 [astro-ph.HE].
- [33] J. Abadie *et al.* (VIRGO, LIGO Scientific), “Predictions for the Rates of Compact Binary Coalescences Observable by Ground-based Gravitational-wave Detectors,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27**, 173001 (2010), arXiv:1003.2480 [astro-ph.HE].
- [34] Andrew M. Hopkins and John F. Beacom, “On the normalisation of the cosmic star formation history,” *Astrophys. J.* **651**, 142–154 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0601463 [astro-ph].
- [35] B. Paczynski, “A test of the galactic origin of gamma-ray bursts,” *Astrophys. J.* **348**, 485–494 (1990).
- [36] O. Blaes and P. Madau, “Can we observe accreting, isolated neutron stars?” *Astrophys. J.* **403**, 690–705 (1993).
- [37] N. Sartore, E. Ripamonti, A. Treves, and R. Turolla, “Galactic neutron stars. I. Space and velocity distributions in the disk and in the halo,” **510**, A23 (2010), arXiv:0908.3182.
- [38] George Hobbs, D. R. Lorimer, A. G. Lyne, and M. Kramer, “A Statistical study of 233 pulsar proper motions,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **360**, 974–992 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0504584 [astro-ph].
- [39] Claude-Andre Faucher-Giguere and Victoria M. Kaspi, “Birth and evolution of isolated radio pulsars,” *Astrophys. J.* **643**, 332–355 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0512585 [astro-ph].
- [40] Julio F. Navarro, Carlos S. Frenk, and Simon D. M. White, “A Universal density profile from hierarchical clustering,” *Astrophys. J.* **490**, 493–508 (1997), arXiv:astro-ph/9611107 [astro-ph].
- [41] Yoshiaki Sofue, “Rotation Curve and Mass Distribution in the Galactic Center — From Black Hole to Entire Galaxy —,” *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jap.* **65**, 118 (2013), arXiv:1307.8241 [astro-ph.GA].
- [42] S. W. Hawking, “Black hole explosions,” *Nature* **248**, 30–31 (1974).
- [43] Bernard J. Carr and S. W. Hawking, “Black holes in the early Universe,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **168**, 399–415 (1974).
- [44] Fabio Capela, Maxim Pshirkov, and Peter Tinyakov, “Constraints on primordial black holes as dark matter candidates from capture by neutron stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 123524 (2013), arXiv:1301.4984 [astro-ph.CO].
- [45] Fabio Capela, Maxim Pshirkov, and Peter Tinyakov, “Constraints on Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter Candidates from Star Formation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 023507 (2013), arXiv:1209.6021 [astro-ph.CO].
- [46] George M. Fuller, Alexander Kusenko, and Volodymyr Takhistov, “Primordial Black Holes and r -Process Nucleosynthesis,” (2017), arXiv:1704.01129 [astro-ph.HE].
- [47] D. Eichler, M. Livio, T. Piran, and D. N. Schramm, “Nucleosynthesis, neutrino bursts and gamma-rays from coalescing neutron stars,” *Nature (London)* **340**, 126–128 (1989).
- [48] A. P. Ji, A. Frebel, A. Chiti, and J. D. Simon, “R-

- process enrichment from a single event in an ancient dwarf galaxy,” *Nature (London)* **531**, 610–613 (2016), arXiv:1512.01558.
- [49] F. van de Voort, E. Quataert, P. F. Hopkins, D. Kereš, and C.-A. Faucher-Giguère, “Galactic r-process enrichment by neutron star mergers in cosmological simulations of a Milky Way-mass galaxy,” **447**, 140–148 (2015), arXiv:1407.7039.
- [50] S. Shen, R. J. Cooke, E. Ramirez-Ruiz, P. Madau, L. Mayer, and J. Guedes, “The History of R-Process Enrichment in the Milky Way,” *Astrophys. J.* **807**, 115 (2015), arXiv:1407.3796.
- [51] B. Wehmeyer, M. Pignatari, and F. K. Thielemann, “Galactic evolution of rapid neutron capture process abundances: the inhomogeneous approach,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **452**, 1970–1981 (2015), arXiv:1501.07749 [astro-ph.GA].
- [52] E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON), “First Dark Matter Search Results from the XENON1T Experiment,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 181301 (2017), arXiv:1705.06655 [astro-ph.CO].
- [53] F. Ruppin, J. Billard, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, and L. Strigari, “Complementarity of dark matter detectors in light of the neutrino background,” *Phys. Rev.* **D90**, 083510 (2014), arXiv:1408.3581 [hep-ph].
- [54] E. Bellm, “The Zwicky Transient Facility,” in *The Third Hot-wiring the Transient Universe Workshop*, edited by P. R. Wozniak, M. J. Graham, A. A. Mahabal, and R. Seaman (2014) pp. 27–33, arXiv:1410.8185 [astro-ph.IM].
- [55] P. K. Blanchard *et al.*, “The Electromagnetic Counterpart of the Binary Neutron Star Merger LIGO/VIRGO GW170817. VII. Properties of the Host Galaxy and Constraints on the Merger Timescale,” *Astrophys. J.* **848**, L22 (2017), arXiv:1710.05458 [astro-ph.HE].
- [56] Joseph Bramante, Antonio Delgado, and Adam Martin, “Multiscatter stellar capture of dark matter,” *Phys. Rev.* **D96**, 063002 (2017), arXiv:1703.04043 [hep-ph].
- [57] A. Rane, D. R. Lorimer, S. D. Bates, N. McManis, M. A. McLaughlin, and K. Rajwade, “A search for rotating radio transients and fast radio bursts in the Parkes high-latitude pulsar survey,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **455**, 2207–2215 (2016), arXiv:1505.00834 [astro-ph.HE].
- [58] Scott Vander Wiel, Sarah Burke-Spolaor, Earl Lawrence, Casey J. Law, and Geoffrey C. Bower, “Rare Event Statistics Applied to Fast Radio Bursts,” (2016), arXiv:1612.00896 [astro-ph.IM].
- [59] D. R. Lorimer, M. Bailes, M. A. McLaughlin, D. J. Narkevic, and F. Crawford, “A bright millisecond radio burst of extragalactic origin,” *Science* **318**, 777 (2007), arXiv:0709.4301 [astro-ph].
- [60] D. Thornton *et al.*, “A Population of Fast Radio Bursts at Cosmological Distances,” *Science* **341**, 53–56 (2013), arXiv:1307.1628 [astro-ph.HE].
- [61] Carlos Palenzuela, “Modeling magnetized neutron stars using resistive MHD,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **431**, 1853–1865 (2013), arXiv:1212.0130 [astro-ph.HE].
- [62] Kyriaki Dionysopoulou, Daniela Alic, Carlos Palenzuela, Luciano Rezzolla, and Bruno Giacomazzo, “General-Relativistic Resistive Magnetohydrodynamics in three dimensions: formulation and tests,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88**, 044020 (2013), arXiv:1208.3487 [gr-qc].
- [63] Heino Falcke and Luciano Rezzolla, “Fast radio bursts: the last sign of supramassive neutron stars,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **562**, A137 (2014), arXiv:1307.1409 [astro-ph.HE].
- [64] Roland Diehl *et al.*, “Radioactive Al-26 and massive stars in the galaxy,” *Nature* **439**, 45–47 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0601015 [astro-ph].
- [65] T. P. Robitaille and B. A. Whitney, “The Present-Day Star Formation Rate of the Milky Way Determined from Spitzer-Detected Young Stellar Objects,” *ApJL* **710**, L11–L15 (2010), arXiv:1001.3672 [astro-ph.GA].
- [66] Pieter G. van Dokkum *et al.*, “The Assembly of Milky Way-like Galaxies Since $z \approx 2.5$,” *Astrophys. J.* **771**, L35 (2013), arXiv:1304.2391 [astro-ph.CO].
- [67] O. N. Snaith, M. Haywood, P. Di Matteo, M. D. Lehnert, F. Combes, D. Katz, and A. Gómez, “The Dominant Epoch of Star Formation in the Milky Way Formed the Thick Disk,” *ApJL* **781**, L31 (2014), arXiv:1401.1835.
- [68] A. Marasco, V. P. Debattista, F. Fraternali, T. van der Hulst, J. Wadsley, T. Quinn, and R. Roškar, “The effect of stellar feedback on a Milky Way-like galaxy and its gaseous halo,” *MNRAS* **451**, 4223–4237 (2015), arXiv:1506.00652.
- [69] Luca Baiotti, Ian Hawke, and Luciano Rezzolla, “On the Gravitational radiation from the collapse of neutron stars to rotating black holes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, S187–S206 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0701043 [gr-qc].
- [70] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (VIRGO, LIGO Scientific), “Prospects for Observing and Localizing Gravitational-Wave Transients with Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo,” (2013), 10.1007/lrr-2016-1, [Living Rev. Rel.19,1(2016)], arXiv:1304.0670 [gr-qc].
- [71] Gian F. Giudice, Matthew McCullough, and Alfredo Urbano, “Hunting for Dark Particles with Gravitational Waves,” *JCAP* **1610**, 001 (2016), arXiv:1605.01209 [hep-ph].