

Generalized Bottom-Tau unification, neutrino oscillations and dark matter: predictions from a lepton quarticity flavor approach

Salvador Centelles Chuliá,^{1,*} Rahul Srivastava,^{1,†} and José W. F. Valle^{1,‡}

¹*AHEP Group, Institut de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C./Universitat de València, Parc Científic de Paterna.
C/ Catedrático José Beltrán, 2 E-46980 Paterna (Valencia) - SPAIN*

We propose an A_4 extension of the Standard Model with a Lepton Quarticity symmetry correlating dark matter stability with the Dirac nature of neutrinos. The flavor symmetry predicts (i) a generalized bottom-tau mass relation involving all families, (ii) small neutrino masses are induced *a la seesaw*, (iii) CP must be significantly violated in neutrino oscillations, (iv) the atmospheric angle θ_{23} lies in the second octant, and (v) only the normal neutrino mass ordering is realized.

arXiv:1706.00210v1 [hep-ph] 1 Jun 2017

* salcen@ific.uv.es
† rahulsri@ific.uv.es
‡ valle@ific.uv.es

I. INTRODUCTION

Probably the number one mystery in particle physics is the understanding of the pattern of fermion masses and mixings from first principles. Indeed, the charged fermion mass pattern is not described in the theory: the Standard Model only allows us the freedom to fit the observed charged fermion masses, while lacking the masses of neutrinos altogether. An approach towards addressing, at least partially, the charged fermion mass problem, is the possibility of relating quarks and lepton masses as a result of a flavor symmetry [1], i.e.

$$\frac{m_b}{\sqrt{m_d m_s}} = \frac{m_\tau}{\sqrt{m_e m_\mu}}. \quad (1)$$

Notice that this mass relation constitutes a consistent flavor-dependent generalization of the conventional bottom-tau SU(5) prediction, but does not require grand-unification. It provides a partial solution to the charged fermion mass problem, which can be shown to hold in some theories of flavor based on the A_4 [1–3] and T_7 [4] symmetries.

Turning to neutrinos, the origin of their mass, the understanding of their mixing properties and the puzzle of whether they are their own anti-particles continue to defy theorists. Underpinning the solution to such neutrino puzzles may not only write a new chapter of particle physics, but also shed light on astrophysical and cosmological puzzles. One of the latter is the puzzle of Dark Matter, believed to be associated to the existence of a new absolutely or nearly stable neutral particle.

There have been attempts at formulating joint solutions to the above shortcomings of the standard model. For example, in scotogenic models dark matter is introduced as a messenger of radiative neutrino mass generation [5–7] whose stability follows from the radiative nature of the neutrino mass. Several alternative ideas have come out, invoking non-Abelian flavor symmetries [8–10], such as the A_4 symmetry [11–13]. For example, dark matter could be stable as a result of some remnant of the flavor symmetry associated to the pattern of neutrino mixing [14, 15]. In all these models neutrinos are Majorana type.

However, recently there has been a renewed interest in Dirac neutrinos [16–31] which may attain naturally small masses in many scenarios. For example, it can happen that the same flavor symmetry which presumably sheds light on the pattern of neutrino oscillation parameters, also implies that neutrinos are Dirac fermions [18]. Specially tantalizing is the idea that the stability of dark matter can be directly traced to the Dirac nature of neutrinos [16, 17, 19, 20]. One way to realize this idea is by means of a Z_4 Lepton Quarticity symmetry [16, 17]. Within such approach the same Z_4 discrete lepton number symmetry ensures the stability of dark matter and the absence of all the Majorana mass terms. Thus owing to Lepton Quarticity, the Dirac nature of neutrinos and the stability of dark matter are intimately related: the breakdown of this symmetry will simultaneously imply loss of dark matter stability as well as the *Diracness* of neutrinos.

Here we focus on the Lepton Quarticity models of dark matter, along the lines pursued in [16, 17]. The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sect. II we sketch in some detail the extended particle content required to realize the non-Abelian flavor symmetry of the model, and show how the Dirac nature of neutrinos and the smallness of their seesaw-induced masses both follow from our non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetry. We also briefly discuss the appearance of a viable WIMP dark matter candidate in this model. In Sect. III we present our predictions for the current and future neutrino oscillation experiments. We find that the atmospheric angle θ_{23} and the CP phase δ_{CP} , whose current experimental determination is still rather poor, are tightly related to each other within our model. Finally we summarize our results in Sect. IV.

II. THE MODEL SETUP

Here we describe the model in some detail. The particle content of our model along with the $SU(2)_L \otimes Z_4 \otimes A_4$ charge assignments of the particles are given in Table I. Note that in Table I the $L_i = (\nu_i, l_i)^T$, $i = e, \mu, \tau$ denote the lepton doublets, transforming as indicated under the flavor symmetry.

Fields	$SU(2)_L$	A_4	Z_4	Fields	$SU(2)_L$	A_4	Z_4
\bar{L}_i	2	3	\mathbf{z}^3	$\nu_{e,R}$	1	1	\mathbf{z}
$\bar{N}_{i,L}$	1	3	\mathbf{z}^3	$\nu_{\mu,R}$	1	1'	\mathbf{z}
$N_{i,R}$	1	3	\mathbf{z}	$\nu_{\tau,R}$	1	1''	\mathbf{z}
$l_{i,R}$	1	3	\mathbf{z}	$d_{i,R}$	1	3	\mathbf{z}
$\bar{Q}_{i,L}$	2	3	\mathbf{z}^3	$u_{i,R}$	1	3	\mathbf{z}
Φ_1^u	2	1	1	χ_i	1	3	1
Φ_2^u	2	1'	1	η	1	1	\mathbf{z}^2
Φ_3^u	2	1''	1	ζ	1	1	\mathbf{z}
Φ_i^d	2	3	1				

Table I. Charge assignments for leptons, quarks, scalars (Φ_i^u , Φ_i^d and χ_i) as well as “dark matter sector” (ζ and η). Here \mathbf{z} is the fourth root of unity, i.e. $\mathbf{z}^4 = 1$.

Apart from the Standard Model fermions, the model also includes three right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{i,R}$ which are singlets under the $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge group, singlets under A_4 , but carry charge \mathbf{z} under Z_4 . We also add three gauge singlet Dirac fermions $N_{i,L}, N_{i,R}$; $i = 1, 2, 3$ transforming as triplets of A_4 and with charge \mathbf{z} under Z_4 , as shown in Table I. Notice that in the scalar sector we have two different sets of fields Φ_i^u, Φ_i^d ; $i = 1, 2, 3$, which are all doublets under the $SU(2)_L$ gauge group, both sets transforming trivially under Z_4 . Under the A_4 flavor symmetry, Φ_i^d transforms as a triplet, while Φ_i^u transform as singlets. In addition to the above symmetries we also impose an additional Z_2 symmetry¹. Under this Z_2 symmetry, all the fields transform as 1 except for $\Phi_i^d, l_{i,R}$ and $d_{i,R}$, which transform as -1 . The role of this Z_2 symmetry is to prevent the Higgs doublets Φ_i^d from coupling the up-type quarks and neutrino sector, and the Φ_i^u Higgs doublets from the down-type quarks and charged leptons.

In addition we need scalar singlets, for example the χ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. These are gauge singlets transforming as a triplet under the A_4 and trivially under Z_4 . We also add two other gauge singlet scalars ζ and η both of which transform trivially under A_4 but carry Z_4 charges \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{z}^2 respectively. Notice that, since under the Z_4 symmetry the field η carries a charge $\mathbf{z}^2 = -1$, it follows that η can be taken to be real.

As discussed in [16, 17] the lepton quarticity symmetry Z_4 serves a double purpose. It not only ensures that neutrinos are Dirac particles, but also guarantees the stability of the scalar particle ζ , making it a viable dark matter WIMP. If the quarticity symmetry is broken either by an explicit soft term or spontaneously, through non-zero vacuum expectation values (vevs) to any of the scalars η, ζ which carry a non-trivial Z_4 charge, then both the Dirac nature of neutrinos and stability of dark matter is simultaneously lost.

We now turn our attention to the Yukawa sector of our model. In the neutrino sector the Yukawa terms relevant

¹ This additional Z_2 symmetry is only required in a non-supersymmetric variant. Clearly the model can be easily supersymmetrized, in which case this additional Z_2 symmetry is no longer required.

for generating masses for the neutrinos and the heavy neutral fermions N_L, N_R are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk},\nu} = & y_1 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}_e \\ \bar{L}_\mu \\ \bar{L}_\tau \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,R} \\ N_{2,R} \\ N_{3,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_1 \otimes (\Phi_1^u)_1 + y_2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}_e \\ \bar{L}_\mu \\ \bar{L}_\tau \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,R} \\ N_{2,R} \\ N_{3,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{1''} \otimes (\Phi_2^u)_{1'} \\
& + y_3 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{L}_e \\ \bar{L}_\mu \\ \bar{L}_\tau \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,R} \\ N_{2,R} \\ N_{3,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{1'} \otimes (\Phi_3^u)_{1''} + y'_1 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{1,L} \\ \bar{N}_{2,L} \\ \bar{N}_{3,L} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_1 \otimes (\nu_{e,R})_{1'} \\
& + y'_2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{1,L} \\ \bar{N}_{2,L} \\ \bar{N}_{3,L} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{1''} \otimes (\nu_{\mu,R})_{1'} + y'_3 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{1,L} \\ \bar{N}_{2,L} \\ \bar{N}_{3,L} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{1'} \otimes (\nu_{\tau,R})_{1''} \\
& + M \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{1,L} \\ \bar{N}_{2,L} \\ \bar{N}_{3,L} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,R} \\ N_{2,R} \\ N_{3,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_1 + c_1 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{1,L} \\ \bar{N}_{2,L} \\ \bar{N}_{3,L} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,R} \\ N_{2,R} \\ N_{3,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{3S} \Bigg]_1 \\
& + c_2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_{1,L} \\ \bar{N}_{2,L} \\ \bar{N}_{3,L} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} N_{1,R} \\ N_{2,R} \\ N_{3,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{3A} \Bigg]_1 + h.c. \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $3S$ and $3A$ denote the symmetric and antisymmetric A_4 triplet combinations obtained from the tensor product of two A_4 triplets. Notice also that $3S$ and $3A$ are not two different irreducible representations of A_4 , which only has one triplet, but simply different contractions with the same transformation rule. Also, $y_i, y'_i, c_1, c_2; i = 1, 2, 3$ are the Yukawa couplings which, for simplicity, are taken to be real. The parameter M is the gauge and flavor-invariant mass term for the heavy leptons. Here we like to highlight the important role played by the A_4 flavor symmetry. Owing to the A_4 charges of the left and right handed neutrinos, a tree level Yukawa coupling between them of type $y_\nu \bar{L}_L \nu_R \Phi_i^u$ is forbidden. Thus neutrino masses can only appear through type-I Dirac seesaw mechanism as we now discuss.

After symmetry breaking the scalars χ_i and Φ_i^u acquire vevs $\langle \chi_i \rangle = u_i; \langle \Phi_i^u \rangle = v_i^u; i = 1, 2, 3$. The invariant mass term M can be naturally much larger than the symmetry breaking scales, i.e. $M \gg v_i^u, u_i$. In this limit, for any numerical purpose the last two terms in Eq. 2 can be safely neglected. Under this approximation the 6×6 mass matrix for the neutrinos and the heavy neutral fermions in the basis $(\bar{\nu}_{e,L}, \bar{\nu}_{\mu,L}, \bar{\nu}_{\tau,L}, \bar{N}_{1,L}, \bar{N}_{2,L}, \bar{N}_{3,L})$ and $(\nu_{e,R}, \nu_{\mu,R}, \nu_{\tau,R}, N_{1,R}, N_{2,R}, N_{3,R})^T$ is given by

$$M_{\nu,N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a'_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a'_3 \\ y'_1 u_1 & y'_2 u_1 & y'_3 u_1 & M & 0 & 0 \\ y'_1 u_2 & \omega y'_2 u_2 & \omega^2 y'_3 u_2 & 0 & M & 0 \\ y'_1 u_3 & \omega^2 y'_2 u_3 & \omega y'_3 u_3 & 0 & 0 & M \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where ω is the third root of unity, with $\omega^3 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
a'_1 &= y_1 v_1^u + y_2 v_2^u + y_3 v_3^u \\
a'_2 &= y_1 v_1^u + \omega y_2 v_2^u + \omega^2 y_3 v_3^u \\
a'_3 &= y_1 v_1^u + \omega^2 y_2 v_2^u + \omega y_3 v_3^u \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

As mentioned before, owing to the A_4 symmetry, a direct coupling between ν_L and ν_R is forbidden, leading to the vanishing of all entries in the upper left quadrant of Eq. 3. The mass matrix in Eq. 3 can be rewritten in a more

compact form, as

$$M_{\nu,N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{diag}(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3) \\ \text{diag}(u_1, u_2, u_3)\sqrt{3}U_m \text{diag}(y'_1, y'_2, y'_3) & M \text{diag}(1, 1, 1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Where U_m is the usual magic matrix,

$$U_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Note that, in the limit $M \gg v_i^u, u_i$ the mass matrix in Eq. (3) can be easily block diagonalized by the perturbative seesaw diagonalization method given in Ref. [32]. The resulting 3×3 mass matrix for light neutrinos can be viewed as the Dirac version of the well known type-I seesaw mechanism. The above mass generation mechanism can also be represented diagrammatically as shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1. Feynman view of type-I Dirac seesaw mechanism in the model where the indices $i, j, k, m, l = 1, 2, 3$.

The 3×3 matrix for the light neutrinos is

$$M_\nu = \frac{1}{M} \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3)\sqrt{3}U_m \text{diag}(y'_1, y'_2, y'_3), \quad (7)$$

where $a_i = a'_i u_i$. We take the alignment $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = u$ for the vev of the A_4 triplet scalars χ_i similar to [11, 12]. In this alignment limit of A_4 triplet scalars we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= (y_1 v_1^u + y_2 v_2^u + y_3 v_3^u)u \\ a_2 &= (y_1 v_1^u + \omega y_2 v_2^u + \omega^2 y_3 v_3^u)u \\ a_3 &= (y_1 v_1^u + \omega^2 y_2 v_2^u + \omega y_3 v_3^u)u \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which simplifies the notation, although it does not change the form of the neutrino mass matrix in Eq. (7). Notice that we have not imposed any alignment for the vevs of the A_4 singlet scalars Φ_i^u .² The light neutrino mass matrix of Eq. 7 with the simplified a_i of Eq. 8 can be diagonalized by a bi-unitary transformation

$$U_\nu^\dagger M_\nu V_\nu = D_\nu, \quad (9)$$

where D_ν is diagonal, real and positive. Owing to the A_4 flavor symmetry, the resulting rotation matrix acting on left handed neutrinos U_ν in the standard parametrization (for both hierarchies), leads to $\theta_{23}^\nu = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\delta^\nu = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ while the other two angles can be arbitrary. Thus, owing to the A_4 symmetry, U_ν in standard parameterization leads to following mixing angles

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{23}^\nu &= 45^\circ, & \delta^\nu &= \pm 90^\circ \\ \theta_{12}^\nu &= \text{arbitrary} & \theta_{13}^\nu &= \text{arbitrary} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

² Doing so for the different A_4 singlet scalar vevs is not very natural. Indeed, unlike the case of A_4 triplet scalars, a priori the vevs of different A_4 singlet scalars have no reason to obey any mutual alignment.

Similar features of maximal θ_{23} and δ have been obtained previously in the context of Majorana neutrinos [12, 33]. Although the angles θ_{12}^ν and θ_{13}^ν can take arbitrary values they are strongly correlated with each other.

We have performed an extensive numerical scan for both type of hierarchies in the whole parameter range taking all Yukawa couplings in the perturbative range of $[-1, 1]$. We find that in the whole allowed range for either type of hierarchy, one cannot simultaneously fit both θ_{12}^ν and θ_{13}^ν in the current global experimental range obtained from neutrino oscillation experiments [34]. This implies that in our model U_ν alone cannot explain the current neutrino oscillation data.

However, the lepton mixing matrix U_{LM} which is probed by neutrino oscillation experiments is the product of the charged lepton rotation matrix U_l with the neutrino transformation matrix U_ν [35] i.e.

$$U_{LM} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu \quad (11)$$

In our model the charged lepton mixing matrix U_l is also non-trivial and contributes to the full leptonic mixing matrix U_{LM} . We now move to discuss the structure of mass matrices and mixing matrices for charged leptons as well as the up and down type quarks.

We now turn to the discussion with up type quark mass matrix. The invariant Yukawa Lagrangian relevant to generating up type quark mass matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk,u}} = & y_1^u \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}_1 \\ \bar{Q}_2 \\ \bar{Q}_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ c_R \\ t_R \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_1 \otimes (\Phi_1^u)_1 + y_2^u \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}_1 \\ \bar{Q}_2 \\ \bar{Q}_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ c_R \\ t_R \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{1''} \otimes (\Phi_2^u)_{1'} \\ & + y_3^u \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}_1 \\ \bar{Q}_2 \\ \bar{Q}_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u_R \\ c_R \\ t_R \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{1'} \otimes (\Phi_3^u)_{1''} + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where y_i^u ; $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the Yukawa couplings which for simplicity we take to be all real. After spontaneous symmetry breaking Eq. 12 leads to a diagonal mass matrix given by

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^u v_1^u + y_2^u v_2^u + y_3^u v_3^u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1^u v_1^u + \omega y_2^u v_2^u + \omega^2 y_3^u v_3^u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1^u v_1^u + \omega^2 y_2^u v_2^u + \omega y_3^u v_3^u \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, the Yukawa Lagrangian relevant to down type quarks mass generation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk,d}} = & y_1^d \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}_1 \\ \bar{Q}_2 \\ \bar{Q}_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} q_{d,R} \\ q_{s,R} \\ q_{b,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1^d \\ \Phi_2^d \\ \Phi_3^d \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{3S} \right]_1 \\ & + y_2^d \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}_1 \\ \bar{Q}_2 \\ \bar{Q}_3 \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \left[\begin{pmatrix} q_{d,R} \\ q_{s,R} \\ q_{b,R} \end{pmatrix}_3 \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1^d \\ \Phi_2^d \\ \Phi_3^d \end{pmatrix}_3 \right]_{3A} \right]_1 + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where y_i^d ; $i = 1, 2$ are the Yukawa couplings which for simplicity are taken to be real. The resulting mass matrix for down type quarks after spontaneous symmetry breaking is given by

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_d \alpha & b_d \\ b_d \alpha & 0 & a_d r \\ a_d & b_d r & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

where $\langle \Phi_i^d \rangle = v_i^d$; $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $a_d = (y_1^d - y_2^d)v_2^d$, $b_d = (y_1^d + y_2^d)v_2^d$. Moreover, α and r are ratios of the vevs of Φ_i^d and are given as $\alpha = v_3^d/v_2^d$ and $r = v_1^d/v_2^d$.

Finally, the invariant Yukawa terms for the charged leptons is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk},l} = & y_1^l \left[\left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{L}_1 \\ \bar{L}_2 \\ \bar{L}_3 \end{array} \right)_3 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} l_{e,R} \\ l_{\mu,R} \\ l_{\tau,R} \end{array} \right)_3 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} \Phi_1^d \\ \Phi_2^d \\ \Phi_3^d \end{array} \right)_3 \right]_{3S} \Big|_1 \\ & + y_2^l \left[\left(\begin{array}{c} \bar{L}_1 \\ \bar{L}_2 \\ \bar{L}_3 \end{array} \right)_3 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} l_{e,R} \\ l_{\mu,R} \\ l_{\tau,R} \end{array} \right)_3 \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} \Phi_1^d \\ \Phi_2^d \\ \Phi_3^d \end{array} \right)_3 \right]_{3A} \Big|_1 + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where y_i^l , $i = 1, 2$, are the Yukawa couplings which, for simplicity, we take to be real. After symmetry breaking the charged lepton mass matrix is given by

$$M_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_l \alpha & b_l \\ b_l \alpha & 0 & a_l r \\ a_l & b_l r & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

where, just as in the down quark case, here also $a_l = (y_1^l - y_2^l)v_2^d$, $b_l = (y_1^l + y_2^l)v_2^d$. The parameters α, r which are the ratios of the vevs of Φ_i^d i.e. $\alpha = v_3^d/v_2^d$ and $r = v_1^d/v_2^d$ are the same as those defined after Eq. 15. This matrix is completely analogous to the down-type quark mass matrix. Note that while α and r are the same both in the quark and in the lepton sector, as they are simply ratios between the vevs of Φ_i^d , while a_f and b_f , $f \in \{l, q\}$, are different.

These mass matrices for charged leptons and down-type quarks correspond to those discussed in [1–4] and lead to the generalized bottom-tau relation of 1. In section III we show that there is enough freedom to fit the charged lepton and down type quark masses within their 1- σ range. Apart from fitting all the masses as well as leading to the generalized bottom-tau relations, the charged lepton mass matrix 17 also leads to non-trivial charged lepton rotation matrix U_l . As we show in section III this non-trivial contribution from U_l results in a lepton mixing matrix U_{LM} consistent with the current global fits to neutrino oscillation data [34]. The lepton mixing matrix obtained from our model also implies normal hierarchy for neutrino masses and leads to an interesting correlation between the atmospheric mixing angle and CP violating phase, the two most ill-determined parameters in leptonic mixing matrix.

III. FLAVOR PREDICTIONS: NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we discuss the phenomenological implications of our model. The important predictions emerging in our model are: a) the flavor-dependent bottom-tau unification mass relation of Eq. (1) b) a correlation between the two poorly determined oscillation parameters: the atmospheric angle θ_{23} and δ_{CP} and c) a normal hierarchy for the neutrinos. In this section we discuss these numerical predictions in some detail, given the experimentally measured “down-type” fermion masses, solar and reactor mixing angles as well as neutrino squared mass differences.

A. Charged lepton and down-type quark masses

We start our discussion by looking in more detail at the down type quark and charged lepton mass matrices discussed previously in Eqs. 15 and 17. This structure for the down type quark and charged lepton mass matrices has been previously discussed in several works [1–4]. In this section for illustration purpose we first discuss the results obtained in previous works by closely following the approach taken in previous works like in [36]. Subsequently, we will generalize the analysis of previous works and discuss how the same results can be obtained using a more general setup and more detailed considerations.

We start from the charged lepton mass matrix obtained in Eq. 17. The correct charged lepton masses are reproduced if the vevs of the A_4 triplet fields Φ_i^d satisfy the alignment limit $v^d(1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, where $v^d \gg \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$. Then, in similar notation and spirit as in Ref. [36], we extract the three invariants of the Hermitian matrix $S = M_l M_l^\dagger$: $Det(S)$, $Tr(S)$

and $Tr(S)^2 - Tr(S^2)$. We then compute their values in the diagonal basis in terms of the charged lepton masses, m_e , m_μ and m_τ . The equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}S &= (m_e m_\mu m_\tau)^2 \\ \text{Tr}S &= m_e^2 + m_\mu^2 + m_\tau^2 \\ (\text{Tr}S)^2 - \text{Tr}S^2 &= 2m_e^2 m_\mu^2 + 2m_e^2 m_\tau^2 + 2m_\mu^2 m_\tau^2 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The expressions in Eq.18 can be readily solved in the vev alignment limit $v^d(1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ discussed before. This amounts to the approximation

$$v_1^d \gg v_3^d \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_1^d}{v_2^d} \gg \frac{y_1^l + y_2^l}{y_1^l - y_2^l} \gg 1,$$

or equivalently

$$r \gg \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad r \gg \frac{b_l}{a_l} \gg 1.$$

The solutions for r , a_l and b_l are given as

$$r = \frac{m_\tau}{\sqrt{m_e m_\mu}} \sqrt{\alpha} \quad (19)$$

$$a_l = \frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau} \sqrt{\frac{m_e m_\mu}{\alpha}} \quad (20)$$

$$b_l = \sqrt{\frac{m_e m_\mu}{\alpha}} \quad (21)$$

Owing to the A_4 symmetry, the charged lepton mass matrix in Eq. 17 and down type quark mass matrix in Eq. 15 have the same structure. As a result the down quark mass matrix can also be decomposed using equations analogous to Eq. 18. For down type mass matrix of 15 we obtain

$$r = \frac{m_b}{\sqrt{m_d m_s}} \sqrt{\alpha} \quad (22)$$

$$a_d = \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sqrt{\frac{m_d m_s}{\alpha}} \quad (23)$$

$$b_d = \sqrt{\frac{m_d m_s}{\alpha}} \quad (24)$$

Note that the parameters α and r are common for both the charged lepton sector as well as in the down-type quark sector, as they are simply ratios between vevs of the fields Φ_i^d . Thus comparing Eqs. 19 and 22 we obtain the following mass relation

$$\frac{m_\tau}{\sqrt{m_e m_\mu}} = \frac{m_b}{\sqrt{m_s m_d}} \quad (25)$$

The procedure sketched above can be performed in a more general way by solving the equations numerically. The relevant equations for the case of charged leptons are

$$\begin{aligned} (m_e m_\mu m_\tau)^2 &= a_l^6 r^2 \alpha^2 + 2a_l^3 b_l^3 r^2 \alpha^2 + b_l^6 r^2 \alpha^2 \\ m_e^2 + m_\mu^2 + m_\tau^2 &= (a_l^2 + b_l^2)(1 + r^2 + \alpha^2) \\ 2m_e^2 m_\mu^2 + 2m_e^2 m_\tau^2 + 2m_\mu^2 m_\tau^2 &= (a_l^2 + b_l^2)^2 (1 + r^2 + \alpha^2)^2 - (a_l^2 + b_l^2 r^2)^2 - (b_l^2 + a_l^2 \alpha^2)^2 - (a_l^2 r^2 + b_l^2 \alpha^2)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Taking as input parameters the best fit values (at M_Z scale) for the charged lepton masses [37] and imposing $r > \frac{b}{a}$, there is a one-parameter family of solutions to these equations. These are related to the approximate solution described before. We build the functions $r(\alpha)$, $a_l(\alpha)$ and $b_l(\alpha)$ taking α as a free parameter. In the correct range for

the parameter α , the unique solution is found to be near the limit $r \gg \frac{b}{a} \gg 1$ and therefore it again leads to the mass relation in Eq. 1. Since the (α, r, a_l, b_l) are solutions of Eqs. 26, the charged lepton masses are fitted exactly to their best-fit values. In order to underpin the relevant solution for down type quark masses, we also need to take into account not only the mass relation 1 and the charged lepton masses, but also the constraints for the experimental measurements (along with renormalization group evolution to M_Z scale) of all the down-type quark masses [37]. Here, we will impose the rather stringent 1- σ bounds³ on the down-type quarks masses at Z boson energy scale [37].

Then, for each valid (α, r, a_l, b_l) we take a_q and b_q as

$$a_q = \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sqrt{\frac{m_d m_s}{\alpha}} (1 + \epsilon_1) \quad (27)$$

$$b_q = \sqrt{\frac{m_d m_s}{\alpha}} (1 + \epsilon_2), \quad (28)$$

where ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are expected to be small.

Using this procedure gives sets of parameters $(\alpha, r, a_l, b_l, a_q, b_q)$ which give at the same time best fit values for charged lepton masses, down-type quarks inside the 1σ range and the mass relation in Eq. 1. In figure 2 we show the family-dependent bottom-tau mass prediction of our model for the s and d masses, along with their allowed 1- σ ranges.




Figure 2. Prediction for the s and d quark masses (at M_Z scale) in our model. The dark blue area is the allowed region from our model for the s and d quark masses, while varying the mass of the b quark in its 1- σ range. The light blue area is the allowed 1- σ range (at M_Z scale) for the mass of the quarks s and d [37].

B. The charged piece of the lepton mixing matrix U_l

The charged lepton mass matrix Eq. 17 not only leads to correct lepton masses but also to non-trivial charged lepton rotation matrix U_l as we discuss now. Just like the neutrino mass matrix, the charged lepton mass matrix can

³ Imposing 1- σ is in fact rather stringent, and can easily be relaxed to a more conservative criterium e.g. 3- σ . We have deliberately imposed the stringent 1- σ bound in order to highlight the high precision obtained from our results.

also be diagonalized by a bi-unitary transformation as

$$U_l^\dagger M_l V = \text{diag}(m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau) \quad (29)$$

The charged lepton mixing matrix U_l in standard parameterization can be written as

$$U_l = P U_{23}(\theta_{23}^l, 0) U_{13}(\theta_{13}^l, \delta^l) U_{12}(\theta_{12}^l, 0) P' \quad (30)$$

where P and P' are diagonal matrix of phases and U_{ij} is the usual complex rotation matrix appearing in the symmetrical parametrization of fermion mixing given in [35], e.g.

$$U_{12}(\theta_{12}, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & e^{-i\delta} \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -e^{i\delta} \sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

with an analogous definitions for U_{13} and U_{23} . For the charged lepton mass matrix 17, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta_{12}^l &= \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_\mu}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \approx \mathcal{O}(\lambda_C) \\ \sin \theta_{13}^l &= \frac{m_u}{m_\tau^2} \sqrt{m_e m_\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-5}) \\ \sin \theta_{23}^l &= \frac{m_e m_\mu^2}{m_\tau^3} \frac{1}{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-7}) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Where $\lambda_C \approx 0.22$ is the sine of the Cabbibo angle. In order to reproduce adequate values for the CKM matrix elements we may introduce a vector-like quark mixing with the up-type quarks, along the lines followed recently in [38].

The diagonal phases in P and P' are all are exactly 0 except for one which is π . Performing the numerical computation reconfirms the results obtained in Eq. 32 for the charged lepton mass matrix i.e. θ_{12}^l is finite and its value depends on the value of α in an inverse way, while θ_{13}^l and θ_{23}^l are both negligible (in particular, $\theta_{13}^l \sim 10^{-5}$ and $\theta_{23}^l \sim 10^{-7}$). Then, the charged lepton mixing matrix for our model is given as

$$U_l \approx \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12}^l & \sin \theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12}^l & \cos \theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

Thus in our model the lepton mixing matrix $U_{LM} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu$ receives significant charged lepton corrections which have interesting phenomenological consequences as we discuss in next section.

C. The lepton mixing matrix and neutrino mass ordering

As mentioned before in Section II, the light neutrino mass matrix in Eq. 7 leads to the neutrino mixing matrix U_ν which in standard parameterization [35] leads to

$$U_\nu = P U_{23}(\pi/4, 0) U_{13}(\theta_{13}^\nu, \pi/2) U_{12}(\theta_{12}^\nu, 0) P' \quad (34)$$

As mentioned before, owing to the A_4 symmetry, we have that $\theta_{23}^\nu = 45^\circ$ and $\delta_{CP}^\nu = 90^\circ$ for both types of mass ordering: normal hierarchy (NH) or inverted hierarchy (IH). Since neutrinos in our model are Dirac fermions, the phases in the right in Eq. 34 i.e. P' , are unphysical, while θ_{13}^ν and θ_{12}^ν are strongly correlated between each other. This result is completely general and follows from the A_4 symmetry, independently of the mass hierarchy, NH or IH. However, the behavior of the correlation between θ_{12}^ν and θ_{13}^ν does depend on the choice of NH or IH.

Taking into account the results in the previous sections, the lepton mixing matrix is

$$U_{LM} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu = U_{12}(\theta_{12}^l, 0)^\dagger \cdot U_\nu \quad (35)$$

One can regard the matrix U_l as a correction to the neutrino mixing parameters obtained just by diagonalizing the neutrino mass matrix. For the NH case, the angle θ_{12}^l has to be big enough ($\sim > 15^\circ$) so as to account for the correct mixing angles of the lepton mixing matrix, but at the same time it has to remain controlled ($< 20^\circ$) otherwise the down-type quark masses cannot be fitted. This means that the parameter α has to be between 0.04 and 0.08. This lepton mixing matrix can fit the neutrino oscillation parameters within 3σ at the same time as the mass matrices fit the down-type quarks and the neutrino squared mass differences in the 1σ range and the charged lepton masses exactly. Once the lepton mixing matrix is written in the standard parametrization, two interesting features arise. On the one hand, $\theta_{23} > 45^\circ$ and, on the other, a strong correlation appears between the atmospheric angle θ_{23} and δ_{CP} , as shown in figure 3.




Figure 3. CP violation and θ_{23} predictions within the model. Left panel: δ_{CP} vs θ_{23} . The green regions are the 1σ (dark) and 3σ (light) regions for θ_{23} from current oscillation fit. Right panel: Same correlation, now showing J_{CP} vs $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and zooming in the region allowed by the model, fully consistent in the 2σ experimental range.

For IH, a different scenario arises. As in the case for NH, lepton corrections cannot be very big otherwise the down-type quark masses will not be fitted. However, the structure of the correlation between θ_{12}^l and θ_{13}^l implies that for allowed charged lepton corrections, the reactor angle θ_{13} is always outside the 3σ allowed range. Note that the model does not include any a priori theoretical bias in favour of normal hierarchy but it is a prediction of the model once one impose experimental constraints.

IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

We have proposed a $A_4 \otimes Z_4 \otimes Z_2$ flavor extension of the Standard Model with naturally small Dirac neutrino masses. Our lepton quarticity symmetry simultaneously forbids Majorana mass terms and provides dark matter stability. The flavor symmetry plays a multiple role, providing : (i) a generalized family-dependent bottom-tau mass relation, Eq. (1) and Fig. 2, (ii) a natural realization of the type-I seesaw mechanism for Dirac neutrino masses, as the tree level Dirac Yukawa term between left and right handed neutrinos is forbidden, (iii) a very predictive flavor structure to the lepton mixing matrix. The latter directly correlates the CP phase δ_{CP} and the atmospheric angle θ_{23} , as shown in Fig. 3. This implies that (iv) CP must be significantly violated in neutrino oscillations, and the atmospheric angle θ_{23} lies in the second octant, (v) only the normal neutrino mass ordering is realized.

Our approach provides an adequate pattern of neutrino mass and mixing as well as a viable stable dark matter. This is achieved while providing testable predictions concerning the currently most relevant oscillation parameters, the atmospheric angle θ_{23} and the CP phase δ_{CP} , as well as a successful family generalization of bottom-tau unification, despite the absence of an underlying Grand Unified Theory. Our lepton quarticity approach also leads to other

interesting phenomena such as neutrinoless quadruple beta decay ($0\nu 4\beta$), which has recently been probed by the NEMO collaboration [39]. The intimate connection between the Dirac nature of neutrinos and dark matter stability constitutes a key feature of our model. Other phenomenological implications will be taken up elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by the Spanish grants FPA2014-58183-P, Multidark CSD2009-00064, SEV-2014-0398 (MINECO) and PROMETEOII/2014/084 (Generalitat Valenciana). The feynman diagram in Fig.1 was drawn using Jaxodraw [40].

-
- [1] S. Morisi, E. Peinado, Y. Shimizu and J. W. F. Valle, *Relating quarks and leptons without grand-unification*, Phys.Rev. **D84** (2011) 036003, arXiv:1104.1633 [hep-ph].
 - [2] S. King, S. Morisi, E. Peinado and J. W. F. Valle, *Quark-Lepton Mass Relation in a Realistic A_4 Extension of the Standard Model*, Phys. Lett. B **724** (2013) 68–72, arXiv:1301.7065 [hep-ph].
 - [3] S. Morisi et al., *Quark-Lepton Mass Relation and CKM mixing in an A_4 Extension of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model*, Phys.Rev. **D88** (2013) 036001, arXiv:1303.4394 [hep-ph].
 - [4] C. Bonilla, S. Morisi, E. Peinado and J. W. F. Valle, *Relating quarks and leptons with the T_7 flavour group*, Phys. Lett. **B742** (2015) 99–106, arXiv:1411.4883 [hep-ph].
 - [5] E. Ma, *Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter*, Phys.Rev. **D73** (2006) 077301, arXiv:hep-ph/0601225 [hep-ph].
 - [6] M. Hirsch et al., *WIMP dark matter as radiative neutrino mass messenger*, JHEP **1310** (2013) 149, arXiv:1307.8134 [hep-ph].
 - [7] A. Merle et al., *Consistency of WIMP Dark Matter as radiative neutrino mass messenger*, JHEP **07** (2016) 013, arXiv:1603.05685 [hep-ph].
 - [8] M. Hirsch, D. Meloni et al., *Proceedings of the first workshop on Flavor Symmetries and consequences in Accelerators and Cosmology (FLASY2011)* (2012), arXiv:1201.5525 [hep-ph].
 - [9] S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino masses and mixing: a flavour symmetry roadmap*, Fortsch.Phys. **61** (2013) 466–492, arXiv:1206.6678 [hep-ph].
 - [10] S. F. King et al., *Neutrino Mass and Mixing: from Theory to Experiment*, New J.Phys. **16** (2014) 045018, arXiv:1402.4271 [hep-ph].
 - [11] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, *Softly broken $A(4)$ symmetry for nearly degenerate neutrino masses*, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 113012, hep-ph/0106291.
 - [12] K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, *Underlying $A(4)$ symmetry for the neutrino mass matrix and the quark mixing matrix*, Phys. Lett. **B552** (2003) 207–213, arXiv:hep-ph/0206292 [hep-ph].
 - [13] S. Morisi, D. Forero, J. C. Romao and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino mixing with revamped A_4 flavour symmetry*, Phys.Rev. **D88** (2013) 016003, arXiv:1305.6774 [hep-ph].
 - [14] M. Hirsch, S. Morisi, E. Peinado and J. Valle, *Discrete dark matter*, Phys.Rev. **D82** (2010) 116003, arXiv:1007.0871 [hep-ph].
 - [15] M. Boucenna et al., *Predictive discrete dark matter model and neutrino oscillations*, Phys.Rev. **D86** (2012) 073008, arXiv:1204.4733 [hep-ph].
 - [16] S. Centelles Chuliá, E. Ma, R. Srivastava and J. W. F. Valle, *Dirac Neutrinos and Dark Matter Stability from Lepton Quarticity*, Phys. Lett. **B767** (2017) 209–213, arXiv:1606.04543 [hep-ph].
 - [17] S. Centelles Chuliá, R. Srivastava and J. W. F. Valle, *CP violation from flavor symmetry in a lepton quarticity dark matter model*, Phys. Lett. **B761** (2016) 431–436, arXiv:1606.06904 [hep-ph].
 - [18] A. Aranda et al., *Dirac neutrinos from flavor symmetry*, Phys. Rev. **D89** (2014) 3 033001, arXiv:1307.3553 [hep-ph].
 - [19] C. Bonilla, E. Ma, E. Peinado and J. W. F. Valle, *Two-loop Dirac neutrino mass and WIMP dark matter*, Phys. Lett. **B762** (2016) 214–218, arXiv:1607.03931 [hep-ph].

- [20] E. Ma and O. Popov, *Pathways to Naturally Small Dirac Neutrino Masses*, *Phys. Lett.* **B764** (2017) 142–144, arXiv:1609.02538 [hep-ph].
- [21] E. Ma and R. Srivastava, *Dirac or inverse seesaw neutrino masses from gauged BL symmetry*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A30** (2015) 26 1530020, arXiv:1504.00111 [hep-ph].
- [22] E. Ma, N. Pollard, R. Srivastava and M. Zakeri, *Gauge $B - L$ Model with Residual Z_3 Symmetry*, *Phys. Lett.* **B750** (2015) 135–138, arXiv:1507.03943 [hep-ph].
- [23] C. Bonilla and J. W. F. Valle, *Naturally light neutrinos in Diracon model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B762** (2016) 162–165, arXiv:1605.08362 [hep-ph].
- [24] J. W. F. Valle and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo, *Dynamical seesaw mechanism for Dirac neutrinos*, *Phys. Lett.* **B755** (2016) 363–366, arXiv:1601.05237 [hep-ph].
- [25] G. Abbas, M. Z. Abyaneh and R. Srivastava, *Precise predictions for Dirac neutrino mixing*, *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017) 7 075005, arXiv:1609.03886 [hep-ph].
- [26] G. Abbas, S. Gupta, G. Rajasekaran and R. Srivastava, *High Scale Mixing Unification for Dirac Neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 11 111301, arXiv:1312.7384 [hep-ph].
- [27] W. Wang and Z.-L. Han, *Naturally Small Dirac Neutrino Mass with Intermediate $SU(2)_L$ Multiplet Fields* (2016), arXiv:1611.03240 [hep-ph].
- [28] W. Wang, R. Wang, Z.-L. Han and J.-Z. Han, *The $B - L$ Scotogenic Models for Dirac Neutrino Masses* (2017), arXiv:1705.00414 [hep-ph].
- [29] H. Okada, *Two loop Induced Dirac Neutrino Model and Dark Matters with Global $U(1)'$ Symmetry* (2014), arXiv:1404.0280 [hep-ph].
- [30] D. Borah and A. Dasgupta, *Common Origin of Neutrino Mass, Dark Matter and Dirac Leptogenesis*, *JCAP* **1612** (2016) 12 034, arXiv:1608.03872 [hep-ph].
- [31] D. Borah and A. Dasgupta, *Naturally Light Dirac Neutrino in Left-Right Symmetric Model* (2017), arXiv:1702.02877 [hep-ph].
- [32] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Decay and Spontaneous Violation of Lepton Number*, *Phys. Rev.* **D25** (1982) 774.
- [33] E. Ma, *Transformative A_4 mixing of neutrinos with CP violation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 5 051301, arXiv:1504.02086 [hep-ph].
- [34] D. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino oscillations refitted*, *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014) 9 093006, arXiv:1405.7540 [hep-ph].
- [35] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories*, *Phys.Rev.* **D22** (1980) 2227.
- [36] S. Morisi and E. Peinado, *An A_4 model for lepton masses and mixings*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 113011, arXiv:0910.4389 [hep-ph].
- [37] Z.-z. Xing, H. Zhang and S. Zhou, *Impacts of the Higgs mass on vacuum stability, running fermion masses and two-body Higgs decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 013013, arXiv:1112.3112 [hep-ph].
- [38] C. Bonilla, M. Nebot, R. Srivastava and J. W. F. Valle, *Flavor physics scenario for the 750 GeV diphoton anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 7 073009, arXiv:1602.08092 [hep-ph].
- [39] R. Arnold et al., *Search for neutrinoless quadruple- β decay of ^{150}Nd with the NEMO-3 detector* (2017), arXiv:1705.08847 [hep-ex].
- [40] D. Binosi and L. Theussl, *JaxoDraw: A Graphical user interface for drawing Feynman diagrams*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **161** (2004) 76–86, arXiv:hep-ph/0309015 [hep-ph].