A model of spontaneous CP breaking at low scale

Gauhar Abbas

Theoretical Physics Division, Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380 009, India

Abstract

We introduce a CP symmetric model where masses of fermions are given by dimensional-5 operators, and CP is spontaneously broken at TeV scale. The unique feature of the model is that new CP symmetric gauge sector coexists with new CP symmetric fermions simultaneously at TeV scale. An ultraviolet completion of the model is also proposed. It is observed that the fine-tuning of the SM Higgs boson mass in this model is softened in a relatively small amount approximately up to 6 TeV. Other interesting consequences are presence of a possible dark matter candidate whose mass may be bounded from above by the SM Higgs mass. The model may also provide an explanation of recently observed flavour anomalies.

Keywords: CP symmetry, extension of the standard model

CP-violation can distinguish matter and antimatter in an absolute and convention-independent way. In this sense, CP-violation is more fundamental ingredient of nature in comparison to P or C violation. Furthermore, it is essential to explain matter-antimatter asymmetry of Universe. However, the amount of CP-violation in the standard model (SM) is too small to explain matter-antimatter asymmetry of Universe. The observation of a small CP-violation in the SM may be a sign that CP could be a good symmetry of a larger underlying theory, and only broken by the vacuum of that theory.

There are many successful models in the literature where parity is spontaneously broken at a high scale [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. However, models of spontaneous CP breaking are theoretically very different from the models based on spontaneous parity breaking in the sense that there is no

Email address: gauhar@prl.res.in (Gauhar Abbas)

gauge extension of the SM in these models [14, 15]. In these models, spontaneous CP breaking is achieved through certain terms in the scalar potential which depend on a common non-vanishing vacuum phase [15].

The problematic feature of models of spontaneous CP-violation is the appearance of scalar-pseudoscalar mixing[16, 17]. This gives rise to one-loop contribution to electric dipole moments of fermions which needs a careful fine-tuning[18].

In this paper, we discuss a model of spontaneous CP breaking inspired by the model proposed in Ref.[19] which avoids scalar-pseudoscalar mixing. In the model discussed in Ref.[19], the SM gauge symmetry is extended to $SU(3) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_L \otimes U(1)_{Y'}$ where $SU(2)'_L$ is the CP counter-part of the SM gauge group $SU(2)_L$. The fermionic fields transformation under $SU(3) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_L \otimes U(1)_{Y'}$ is given as,

$$\begin{split} l_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 2, 1, -1) \quad , \quad e_R \sim (1, 1, 1, -2) \quad , \quad \nu_{eR} \sim (1, 1, 1, 0); \\ q_L &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3, 2, 1, \frac{1}{3}) \quad , \quad u_R \sim (3, 1, 1, \frac{4}{3}) \quad , \quad d_R \sim (3, 1, 1, -\frac{2}{3}); \\ l'_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu' \\ e' \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 1, 2, -1) \quad , \quad e'_R \sim (1, 1, 1, -2) \quad , \quad \nu'_{eR} \sim (1, 1, 1, 0); \\ q'_L &= \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3, 1, 2, \frac{1}{3}) \quad , \quad u'_R \sim (3, 1, 1, \frac{4}{3}) \quad , \quad d'_R \sim (1, 1, 1, -\frac{2}{3}); \end{split}$$

where l_L , q_L are the SM doublets of fermions, and e_R , ν_{eR} , d_R and u_R are singlets under the SM. l'_L , q'_L , e'_R , ν'_{eR} , d'_R and u'_R are *CP* counter-parts of the SM fermions. The quantum numbers for second and third family fermions can be defined exactly as discussed above for the first family.

The transformation of fermionic fields under CP can be written as,

$$\psi_L \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CP}} \gamma^0 C \overline{\psi'_L}^T, \ \psi_R \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CP}} \gamma^0 C \overline{\psi'_R}^T,$$
 (2)

where ψ_L is a doublet of the gauge groups $SU(2)_L$, and ψ'_L is a doublet of the gauge group $SU(2)'_L$. ψ_R and ψ'_R are singlets under $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)'_L$.

In the model discussed in Ref.[19], the Yukawa Lagrangian, for instance for electron and its CP counter-part, can be written as,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \Gamma \bar{l_L} \varphi_L e_R + \Gamma^* \bar{l'_L} \varphi'_L e'_R + \text{H.c.}, \qquad (3)$$

where Γ is 3 × 3 matrix in family space, and φ_L is the scalar doublet Higgs field charged under the SM gauge group $SU(2)_L$ and singlet under the gauge group $SU(2)'_L$. Similarly, the scalar doublet Higgs field φ'_L is charged under the gauge group $SU(2)'_L$ and singlet under the SM gauge group $SU(2)_L$.

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) has not found these new fermions at TeV scale yet. Hence, mass of the lightest charged new fermion should be at least at TeV. The mass of CP counter-part of the electron is $m_{e'} = m_e \langle \varphi'_L \rangle / \langle \varphi_L \rangle$ where m_e is mass of the electron, $\langle \varphi_L \rangle = 246$ GeV is the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the SM Higgs field, and $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle$ is VEV of the Higgs field which is CP counter-part of the SM Higgs field. Now, for electron mass $m_e = 0.511$ MeV, and for instance $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle = 5 \times 10^8$ GeV, the mass of the e' fermion is 1038.65 GeV which could be searched at the LHC. Moreover, there are interaction terms between the SM fermions and their CP counter-parts. These interaction terms may bring down scale of $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle$ slightly. However, since we need to recover small masses of the SM neutrinos ($\approx 10^{-12}$ GeV) without fine-tuning of the neutrino Yukawa couplings, we again require a very high scale of order $10^7 - 10^8$ GeV or so for $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle$. In Ref. [19], neutrinos are treated as massless.

The unusually high value of $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle$ increases the masses of gauge bosons corresponding to the gauge group $SU(2)'_L$ to $10^7 - 10^8$ GeV or so, thus creating a disparity of scale in the gauge sector of the model. Thus, being so heavy, the new gauge sector is practically inaccessible to any experiment in near future.

This problem also occurs in models based on mirror fermions and mirror symmetries [5, 20, 21], and is elegantly solved in Refs. [6, 22]. The model presented in this work is in fact inspired by the models discussed in Refs. [6, 19, 22].

The spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB) in the model follows the pattern:

$$SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_L \otimes U(1)_{Y'} \to SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \to U(1)_{EM}.$$
 (4)

For achieving the SSB, we introduce two Higgs doublets which transform in the following way under $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_L \otimes U(1)_{Y'}$:

$$\varphi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^0 \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 2, 1, 1), \ \varphi'_L = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi'^+ \\ \varphi'^0 \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 1, 2, 1), \tag{5}$$

and behave under CP as follows:

$$\varphi_L \longleftrightarrow \varphi_L'^* \tag{6}$$

Since our aim is to have new gauge sector and new fermionic sector at TeV scale simultaneously, we add two real scalar singlets to provide masses to fermions which will be explained in the following discussion. The quantum numbers of singlet scalar fields under $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_L \otimes U(1)_{Y'}$ are,

$$\chi: (1, 1, 1, 0), \ \chi': (1, 1, 1, 0), \tag{7}$$

and they transform under CP as,

$$\chi \longleftrightarrow \chi'. \tag{8}$$

For lowering down the scale of spontaneous CP breaking such that new gauge bosons and fermions ψ' are simultaneously at TeV scale, we impose a pair of discrete symmetries \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}'_2 on the fermionic fields ψ_L , ψ'_L and scalar singlets χ , χ' as shown in Table 1. All other fields transform trivially under these symmetries.

Fields	\mathcal{Z}_2	\mathcal{Z}_2'
ψ_L	+	-
χ	+	-
ψ'_L	-	+
χ'	-	+

Table 1: The charges of fermionic and singlet scalar fields under \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}'_2 symmetries.

The Yukawa Lagrangian is forbidden by the discrete symmetries \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}'_2 , and masses of the first family leptons are given by the dimension-5 operator as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[\Gamma_1 \bar{l_L} \varphi_L \chi e_R + \Gamma_1^* \bar{l'_L} \varphi'_L \chi' e'_R + \Gamma_2 \bar{l_L} \tilde{\varphi}_L \chi \nu_{e_R} + \Gamma_2^* \bar{l'_L} \tilde{\varphi}'_L \chi' \nu'_{e_R} \right]$$
(9)
+
$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[\rho \ \bar{l_L} \varphi_L \chi e'_R + \rho^* \ \bar{l_L}' \varphi'_L \chi' e_R + \kappa \bar{\ell_L} \tilde{\varphi}_L \chi \nu'_{e_R} + \kappa^* \bar{l'_L} \tilde{\varphi}'_L \chi' \nu_{e_R} \right] + \text{H.c.},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_L = i\tau_2 \varphi_L^*$, $\tilde{\varphi}'_L = i\tau_2 \varphi'_L^*$, τ_2 is the second Pauli matrix, and Γ_i (i = 1, 2), ρ and κ are 3×3 matrices in family space. A similar Lagrangian can



Figure 1: The additional new contribution to the process $\mu \to e\gamma$ where h_L denotes the SM Higgs boson, S is the scalar particle corresponding to the field χ , and blob represents an effective interaction.

be written for quarks of the first family and fermions of other families in general.

Since ρ is a 3 × 3 matrix in family space, this Lagrangian gives rise to flavour mixing in family space of fermions resulting in an additional contribution to flavour violating processes like $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$. This additional new contribution to this process, as shown in Fig.1, effectively occurs at two loops, and hence highly suppressed in this model.

The above Lagrangian provides one of the main features of the model. For keeping the mass of the gauge bosons corresponding to the gauge group $SU(2)'_L$ at TeV scale, the VEV of the field φ'_L should be such that $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle >> \langle \varphi_L \rangle = 246$ GeV. For instance, if VEV of the field φ'_L is such that $\langle \varphi'_L \rangle = 1$ TeV which is much larger than the SM Higgs field VEV $\langle \varphi_L \rangle$, the masses of gauge bosons corresponding to the gauge group $SU(2)'_L$ should be around 1 TeV. Now, mass of the *CP* counter-part of the electron is $m_{e'} = m_e \langle \varphi'_L \rangle \langle \chi' \rangle / \langle \varphi_L \rangle \langle \chi \rangle$. Thus, for having the lightest new charged lepton e' at TeV scale, the pattern of the spontaneous breaking of *CP* should be such that $\langle \chi' \rangle >> \langle \varphi'_L \rangle = 1$ TeV, $\langle \chi \rangle = 100$ GeV, electron mass $m_e = 0.511$ MeV and $\langle \chi' \rangle = 5 \times 10^7$ GeV, the mass of the lightest new charged lepton e' is 1038.62 GeV. The scale of the VEV $\langle \chi' \rangle$ may be lower when terms having interactions among the SM fermions and their *CP* counter parts are included in the masses of fermions.



Figure 2: The fine tuning required to recover the 125 GeV Higgs mass in the SM with a cut-off scale 6 TeV.

The Majorana mass term for neutrinos is given as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{Majorana}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[c_1 \ l_L^{\bar{c}} \tilde{\varphi}_L^* \tilde{\varphi}_L^{\dagger} l_L + c_1^* \ l_L^{\bar{c}} \tilde{\varphi}_L'^* \tilde{\varphi}_L'^{\dagger} l_L' \right] + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[c_2 \ l_L^{\bar{c}} \tilde{\varphi}_L^* \tilde{\varphi}_L'^{\dagger} l_L' + c_2^* \ l_L^{\bar{c}} \tilde{\varphi}_L'^* \tilde{\varphi}_L^{\dagger} l_L \right] + M \nu_R^T C^{-1} \nu_R + M^* \nu_R'^T C^{-1} \nu_R' + c_3 \nu_R^T C^{-1} \nu_R' + \text{H.c.}$$
(10)

We observe that this model has an explanation for small neutrino masses using type-I see-saw mechanism.

The most general scalar potential of the model can be written as,

$$V = -\mu_L^2 \varphi_L^{\dagger} \varphi_L - \mu_L'^2 \varphi_L'^{\dagger} \varphi_L' - \mu_{\chi}^2 \chi^2 - \mu_{\chi'}^2 \chi'^2 + \lambda_1 \Big((\varphi_L^{\dagger} \varphi_L)^2 + (\varphi_L'^{\dagger} \varphi_L')^2 \Big) 11)$$

+ $\lambda_2 \varphi_L^{\dagger} \varphi_L \varphi_L'^{\dagger} \varphi_L' + \lambda_3 \Big(\chi^4 + \chi'^4 \Big) + \lambda_4 \chi^2 \chi'^2 + \lambda_5 \Big(\varphi_L^{\dagger} \varphi_L \chi^2 + \varphi_L'^{\dagger} \varphi_L' \chi'^2 \Big)$
+ $\lambda_6 \Big(\varphi_L^{\dagger} \varphi_L \chi'^2 + \varphi_L'^{\dagger} \varphi_L' \chi^2 \Big).$

It should be noted that we have introduced soft CP breaking terms in the scalar potential which are essential to provide spontaneous CP breaking such that $\langle \chi' \rangle = \omega'/\sqrt{2} \rangle \langle \varphi'_L \rangle = v'_L/\sqrt{2} \rangle \langle \varphi_L \rangle = v_L/\sqrt{2}$ and $\langle \chi' \rangle \rangle \langle \chi \rangle = \omega/\sqrt{2}$. As discussed in Ref.[19], this model solves the strong CP problem naturally. This conclusion still holds even after introducing real singlet scalar fields.

This model mitigates the fine-tuning of the SM Higgs boson mass in a relatively small amount approximately up to 6 TeV by avoiding the one-loop



Figure 3: The production of the scalar singlet S with the SM Higgs boson h_L in the gluon fusion at the LHC. Here, blob shows an effective interaction.

contribution to the SM Higgs boson mass due to the top quark. For being on a concrete ground, let us assume that the SM is valid up to a cutoff scale of 6 TeV. Then, the main three quadratic contribution at one loop to the mass of the SM Higgs boson at the scale of 6 TeV are $-3y_t^2 \Lambda^2/8\pi^2 \approx 87.5(125)^2 \text{GeV}^2$ from the top quark loop, $g^2 \Lambda^2/16\pi^2 \approx 11.3(125)^2 \text{GeV}^2$ from the gauge loop, and $\lambda \Lambda^2 / 16\pi^2 \approx 5.76(125)^2 \text{GeV}^2$ from the Higgs loop[23]. Thus, the approximate mass of the SM Higgs boson is $m_h^2 \approx m_{tree}^2 - (87.5 - 11.3 - 5.76)$ (125GeV)². This is depicted in Fig.2. In order to recover the 125 GeV SM Higgs mass, approximately 1% fine-tuning is required. In the model discussed in this paper, one loop contribution due to top quark is absent. Moreover, the LHC data is showing that this discovered Higgs boson is behaving like the SM Higgs boson. Hence, one loop contributions to its mass from the scalar fields φ'_L , χ and χ' are expected to be small. Therefore, we can safely take the one loop contribution to the mass of the SM Higgs in this model at the scale of 6 TeV to be $m_{h_L}^2 \approx m_{tree}^2 - (-11.3 - 5.76) (125 \text{GeV})^2$. Thus, we see that there is relatively less fine-tuning of the SM Higgs boson mass in this model at the scale of 6 TeV. Moreover, it is possible that there is a further cancellation of the above quadratic divergences by the one loop contributions from the scalar fields φ'_L , χ and χ' . This will be studied in future.

We remark that for cancelling the quadratic divergence of the SM Higgs boson in the supersymmetric framework, one needs a supersymmetric particle in the vicinity of the discovered Higgs boson. However, there is no sign of such a particle in the LHC run 1 or 2. Besides this, the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ which is particularly sensitive to supersymmetry, does not provide any evidence of such a particle too[24, 25]. Hence, any alternative idea to deal with the fine tuning of the SM Higgs mass is worth exploring.

It should be noted that physical particles corresponding to the singlet scalar fields χ and χ' are a mixture of scalar fields χ , χ' , φ_L and φ'_L after the

SSB. The lighter physical scalar singlet particle S which can be mapped onto the singlet scalar field χ could be a possible dark matter candidate if its mass is less than mass of the discovered SM Higgs boson (125 GeV). The reason is that being singlet under the whole gauge symmetry of the model, it can only interact with fermions and the SM Higgs field through the Eq.(9), and other scalars of the model through couplings given in the scalar potential. We need to assume that scalar particles corresponding to scalar fields φ_L' and χ' are heavier than the scalar particle corresponding to the singlet scalar field χ . Hence, the decay of the scalar particle, S, corresponding to the singlet scalar field χ , which is a mixture of scalar fields χ , χ' , φ_L and φ'_L , may occur through its interaction with fermions and the SM Higgs boson given by the Eq.(9). Thus if its mass is lighter than the mass of the discovered SM Higgs boson, its decay to any final states through the Eq.(9) is forbidden by kinematics, and this particle can decay only at loop level. Thus, there is an inbuilt upper bound on the mass of the possible dark matter candidate in this model. This particle is testable at the LHC in the process of gluon fusion $gg \to h_L S$ as shown in Fig.3. The physical scalar singlet particle S' which can be mapped onto the singlet scalar field χ' , being the heaviest particle, is expected to decay into lighter particles. Hence, it is less probable a candidate for dark matter. However, a thorough investigation is required in future.

Besides this, the SM right handed neutrinos and new neutrinos ν' may be dark matter candidates. However, these neutrinos also interact with gauge sector. Hence, they are allowed to decay via a loop having a charged gauge boson and a charged fermion to a neutrino and a photon: $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_j \gamma$ where $\nu_{i,j}$ is either the SM right handed neutrinos or new neutrinos ν' , and sub-script shows the conversion of one type neutrino to other type. Because of mixing of the SM fermions and their *CP* counter-parts, there will be additional contribution to this process having the SM and new ψ' fermions in the loop. Whether neutrinos are dark matter candidate, will be determined by the rate of the process $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_j \gamma$.

The gauge interactions of the scalar fields are given by the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GS} = \left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu,L} \varphi_L \right)^{\dagger} \left(\mathcal{D}_L^{\mu} \varphi_L \right) + \left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu,L}' \varphi_L' \right)^{\dagger} \left(\mathcal{D}_L'^{\mu} \varphi_L' \right), \qquad (12)$$

where, the covariant derivatives are,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu,L}(\mathcal{D}'_{\mu,L}) = \partial_{\mu} + ig \frac{\tau_a}{2} \mathcal{W}^a_{\mu,L}(\mathcal{W}'^a_{\mu,L}) + ig' \frac{Y'}{2} B_{\mu}, \qquad (13)$$

where, τ_a 's are the Pauli matrices, and g corresponds to the common coupling of the gauge groups $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)'_L$. The coupling constant of the gauge group $U(1)_{Y'}$ is g'.

The masses of charged gauge bosons after the SSB are given by,

$$M_{W_L^{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2}gv_L, \quad M_{W_L'^{\pm}} = \frac{1}{2}gv'_L. \tag{14}$$

The mass matrix of the neutral gauge bosons in the basis $(W_L^3, W_L'^3, B)$ can be written as,

$$M = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} g^2 v_L^2 & 0 & -gg' v_L^2 \\ 0 & g^2 v_L'^2 & -gg' v_L'^2 \\ -gg' v_L^2 & -gg' v_L'^2 & g'^2 (v_L^2 + v_L'^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (15)

The weak eigenstates of neutral gauge bosons $(W_L^3, W_L'^3, B)$ can be converted into the physical mass eigenstates (Z_L, Z'_L, γ) through an orthogonal transformation \mathcal{T} given as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_L^3 \\ W_L'^3 \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{T} \begin{pmatrix} Z_L \\ Z'_L \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (16)

The masses of the physical neutral gauge bosons are given as,

$$M_{Z_L}^2 = \frac{1}{4} v_L^2 g^2 \frac{g^2 + 2g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} \left[1 - \frac{g'^4}{\left(g^2 + g'^2\right)^2} \epsilon \right], \\ M_{Z_L}^2 = \frac{1}{4} v_L'^2 \left(g^2 + g'^2\right) \left[1 + \frac{g'^4}{\left(g^2 + g'^2\right)^2} \epsilon \right],$$
(17)

where $\epsilon = v_L^2/v_L^2$, and terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ are ignored since $v_L' >> v_L$.

We can parametrize the orthogonal matrix \mathcal{T} in Eq.(16) in terms of the mixing angle θ_{W_L} given as,

$$\cos^2 \theta_{W_L} = \left(\frac{M_{W_L}^2}{M_{Z_L}^2}\right)_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 + 2g'^2}.$$
(18)

Thus, the transformation matrix \mathcal{T} is given as,

$$\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\theta_{W_L} & -\frac{\sqrt{\cos2\theta_{W_L}}\tan^2\theta_{W_L}}{\cos\theta_{W_L}}\epsilon & \sin\theta_{W_L} \\ \sin\theta_{W_L}\tan\theta_{W_L} \left[1 + \frac{\cos2\theta_{W_L}}{\cos^4\theta_{W_L}}\epsilon\right] & -\frac{\sqrt{\cos2\theta_{W_L}}}{\cos\theta_{W_L}} \left[1 - \tan^4\theta_{W_L}\epsilon\right] & \sin\theta_{W_L} \\ \sin\theta_{W_L}\frac{\sqrt{\cos2\theta_{W_L}}}{\cos\theta_{W_L}} \left[1 - \frac{\tan^2\theta_{W_L}}{\cos\theta_{W_L}}\epsilon\right] & \tan\theta_{W_L} \left[1 + \tan^2\theta_{W_L}\frac{\cos2\theta_{W_L}}{\cos^2\theta_{W_L}}\epsilon\right] & \sqrt{\cos2\theta_{W_L}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(19)

It should be noted that there are further terms of order ϵ^2 in the transformation matrix \mathcal{T} , however the third column of that matrix is unchanged by those further terms.

The following relations are obtained between couplings of the gauge symmetries of the model and electric charge:

$$g = \frac{e}{\sin\theta_{W_L}}, \quad g' = \frac{e}{\sqrt{\cos 2\theta_{W_L}}}, \quad \frac{1}{e^2} = \frac{2}{g^2} + \frac{1}{g'^2}.$$
 (20)

Eqs.(9) and (10) provide masses of fermions. For instance, we can write the Lagrangian for the down type quark and its CP counter-part as,

$$\mathcal{L}_{d} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\Gamma_{d} \bar{q}_{L} \varphi_{L} d_{R} \chi + \Gamma_{d}^{*} \bar{q}_{L}^{\prime} \varphi_{L}^{\prime} d_{R}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \right) + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[\rho_{d} \ \bar{q}_{L} \varphi_{L} \chi d_{R}^{\prime} + \rho_{d}^{*} \ \bar{q}_{L}^{\prime} \varphi_{L}^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} d_{R} \right] + \text{H.c.}$$

$$= \left(\bar{d}_{L} \ \bar{d}_{L}^{\prime} \right) \left(\frac{\frac{\Gamma_{d} v_{L} \omega}{2\Lambda}}{2\Lambda} \ \frac{\frac{\rho_{d} v_{L} \omega}{2\Lambda}}{2\Lambda} \right) \left(\frac{d_{R}}{d_{R}^{\prime}} \right) + \text{H.c.}$$
(21)

The mass matrix in above equation is in general 6×6 .

The bi-diagonalization of the mass matrices can be achieved as discussed in Ref. [19]. The following transformations should be used:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u'_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_u \\ Y_u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ u'_L \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} d_L \\ d'_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_d \\ Y_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_L \\ d'_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where $X_{u,d}$ and $Y_{u,d}$ are 3×6 , and CKM matrices are given by $V_{CKM} = X_u^{\dagger} X_d$ and $V'_{CKM} = Y_u^{\dagger} Y_d$.

Now we turn our attention towards phenomenological signatures and consequences of this model. Due to mixing of the SM and new fermions, the charged and neutral current Lagrangians allow new fermions ψ' to decay into



Figure 4: The pair production of new quarks at the LHC and their subsequent decay to the SM Z_L boson and a quark.



Figure 5: New box diagrams contributing to K and B meson mixing.

a SM W_L or Z_L boson in association with a SM quark. New fermions are charged under the colour gauge group $SU(3)_c$. Due to this, we can, for instance, produce new fermions ψ' via gluon-gluon and quark-antiquark initial states as shown in Fig.4 at the LHC.

There are flavour changing neutral current interactions at tree level in this model. Hence, K and B mesons mixing, as shown in Fig.5, is expected to place non-trivial constraints on the masses of the new gauge bosons and fermions. For instance, diagrams which may play a non-trivial role are the ones which have a W_L or W'_L with new fermions in the box.

A simple UV completion of this model can come from vector-like isosinglet quarks and leptons. We observe that at least two vector-like isosinglet quarks of up and down type, one iso-singlet vector-like charged lepton, one iso-singlet vector-like neutrino, and their *CP*-counterparts are sufficient to provide UV completion of this model. Their quantum numbers under $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)'_L \otimes U(1)_{Y'}$ and discrete symmetries \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}'_2



Figure 6: The explicit realization of the SM and new fermions ψ' in Eq.(9) by the UV completion of the model. Here, q_L , q_R and h_L are the SM quarks and Higgs boson, q'_L , q'_R and h'_L are CP counter parts, Q and Q' are vector-like iso-singlet quarks, S and S' are scalar particles corresponding to the scalar field χ and χ' .

given as follows:

$$Q = U_{L,R} : (3, 1, 1, \frac{4}{3})_{+-}; D_{L,R} : (3, 1, 1, -\frac{2}{3})_{+-}, L = E_{L,R} : (1, 1, 1, -2)_{+-}; N_{L,R} : (1, 1, 1, 0)_{+-}, (23)_{+-} : (23)_{+-}$$

and their CP-counter parts are,

$$Q' = U'_{L,R} : (3, 1, 1, \frac{4}{3})_{-+}; D'_{L,R} : (3, 1, 1, -\frac{2}{3})_{-+}, L' = E'_{L,R} : (1, 1, 1, -2)_{-+}; N'_{L,R} : (1, 1, 1, 0)_{-+}, (24)_{-+} : (1, 1, 1, 0)_{-+} : (1, 1, 1, 0)_{-+}, (24)_{-+} : (1, 1, 1, 0)_{-+} : (1$$

where charges of \mathcal{Z}_2 and \mathcal{Z}'_2 are given in sub-script.

The mass terms for vector-like fermions are the following:

$$\mathcal{L}_{V} = \left(M_{U}\bar{U}_{L}U_{R} + M_{U}^{*}\bar{U}_{L}^{\prime}U_{R}^{\prime} \right) + \left(M_{D}\bar{D}_{L}D_{R} + M_{D}^{*}\bar{D}_{L}^{\prime}D_{R}^{\prime} \right)$$

$$+ \left(M_{E}\bar{E}_{L}E_{R} + M_{E}^{*}\bar{E}_{L}^{\prime}E_{R}^{\prime} \right) + \left(M_{N}\bar{N}_{L}N_{R} + M_{N}^{*}\bar{N}_{L}^{\prime}N_{R}^{\prime} \right) + \text{H.c.}.$$
(25)

The interactions of the vector-like fermions with the SM and mirror fermions are given by,

$$\mathcal{L}'_{Vff'} = \left[y' \bar{q}_L \varphi_L Q_R + y'^* \bar{q}'_L \varphi'_L Q'_R \right] + \left[c' \bar{l}_L \varphi_L L_R + c'^* \bar{l}'_L \varphi'_L L'_R \right] + \text{H.c.} \quad (26)$$

The interactions of singlet SM and mirror fermions with vector-like fermions are described by the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Vff'}'' = \left[y'' \bar{q}_R Q_L \chi + y''^* \bar{q}_R' Q_L' \chi' \right] + \left[c'' \bar{l}_R L_L \chi + c''^* \bar{l}_R' L_L' \chi' \right] + \text{H.c.}$$
(27)

The Eqs.(25), (26) and (27) provide a realization of the masses of the SM and mirror fermions given in Eq.(9) as shown in Fig.6.

Now we discuss consequences of this model for recently observed anomalies in flavour physics. The first deviation is observed in $B \to K l l$ and



Figure 7: The contribution of new heavy gauge bosons to $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B^+ \to D^* \tau \nu$ at tree-level in the model discussed in this paper.

 $B \to K^* ll$ decays which proceed through $b \to sl^+l^-$ transition. The optimised observable P'_5 [26] is showing a deviation of 3.7 σ from the SM as measured by the LHCb [27]. Moreover, the ratio $R_K = \mathcal{B}_{B\to K\mu^+\mu^-}/\mathcal{B}_{B\to Ke^+e^-}$ measured by the LHCb is hinting towards lepton flavour universality (LFU) violation [28]. Furthermore, the ratio $R_{K^*} = \mathcal{B}_{B\to K^*\mu^+\mu^-}/\mathcal{B}_{B\to K^*e^+e^-}$ is recently measured by the LHCb, and this is also showing significant deviation from the SM prediction and lepton-flavour universality [29]. Moreover, there is one more deviation from the SM expectation in the $b \to cl\nu$ transition having different final state leptons leading to the LFU violation in the observable $R_{D^*} = \Gamma(B \to D^*\tau\nu)/\Gamma(B \to D^*l\nu)$. The most recent average of this observable is 4σ away from the SM expectation [30].

In this model, LFU violation is introduced via mixing of the SM fermions with new fermions, and anomalies may be explained simultaneously due to a contribution coming from a new neutral and a charged gauge boson as shown in Fig.7. Anomalies in $B \to Kll$ and $B \to K^*ll$ decays may be explained via additional contribution of the Z'_L boson. The ratio R_{D^*} may be explained by observing couplings of W'_L to a charged lepton and a neutrino. This will be investigated in a future study.

It should be noted that this model is not constructed to explain above mentioned anomalies. The aim of this model is to restore CP symmetry, which is a more fundamental discrete symmetry than C or P in the sense that it can distinguish matter and antimatter in an absolute and conventionindependent way. However, this model may explain above mentioned anomalies simultaneously which is not ad hoc at all. The model also alleviates the fine tuning of the SM Higgs boson relatively in a small amount and provide possible dark matter candidates in the form of a real scalar particle (and the SM right-handed neutrinos and new neutrinos) corresponding to scalar field χ which is testable, for instance, in the process of gluon fusion $gg \to h_L S$ at the LHC.

Acknowledgements

I thank to the anonymous reviewer for several important comments and suggestions.

References

- J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974) [Phys. Rev. D 11, 703 (1975)].
- [2] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566 (1975).
- [3] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1502 (1975).
- [4] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.912
- [5] G. Abbas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31**, no. 19, 1650117 (2016) doi:10.1142/S0217732316501170 [arXiv:1605.02497 [hep-ph]].
- [6] G. Abbas, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 1, 015029 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.015029 [arXiv:1609.02899 [hep-ph]].
- [7] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Lett. B 272, 67 (1991). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(91)91013-L
- [8] Z. K. Silagadze, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 60, 272 (1997) [Yad. Fiz. 60N2, 336 (1997)] [hep-ph/9503481].
- Z. G. Berezhiani and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 52, 6607 (1995) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.52.6607 [hep-ph/9505385].
- [10] J. W. Cui, H. J. He, L. C. Lu and F. R. Yin, Phys. Rev. D 85, 096003 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.096003 [arXiv:1110.6893 [hep-ph]].
- [11] J. W. Cui, H. J. He, L. C. L and F. R. Yin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. 10, 21 (2012) doi:10.1142/S2010194512005727 [arXiv:1203.0968 [hepph]].

- [12] P. H. Gu, arXiv:1410.5753 [hep-ph].
- [13] P. H. Gu, arXiv:1706.07706 [hep-ph].
- [14] T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 8, 1226 (1973). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.8.1226
- [15] G. C. Branco, Phys. Rev. D 22, 2901 (1980). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.22.2901
- [16] N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 16, 1583 (1977). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.1583
- [17] L. Lavoura and J. P. Silva, Phys. Rev. D 50, 4619 (1994) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.50.4619 [hep-ph/9404276].
- [18] V. D. Barger, A. K. Das and C. Kao, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7099 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.7099 [hep-ph/9611344].
- [19] L. Lavoura, Phys. Lett. B 400, 152 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00344-4 [hep-ph/9701221].
- [20] S. Chakdar, K. Ghosh, S. Nandi and S. K. Rai, Phys. Rev. D 88, no. 9, 095005 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.095005 [arXiv:1305.2641 [hepph]].
- [21] P. H. Gu, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 485 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.06.042 [arXiv:1201.3551 [hep-ph]].
- [22] G. Abbas, arXiv:1706.01052 [hep-ph].
- [23] M. Schmaltz, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 117, 40 (2003) doi:10.1016/S0920-5632(03)01409-9 [hep-ph/0210415].
- [24] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, no. 2, 021801 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.021801 [arXiv:1211.2674 [hep-ex]].
- [25] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS and LHCb Collaborations], Nature **522**, 68 (2015) doi:10.1038/nature14474 [arXiv:1411.4413 [hep-ex]].
- [26] S. Descotes-Genon, T. Hurth, J. Matias and J. Virto, JHEP 1305 (2013)
 137 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2013)137 [arXiv:1303.5794 [hep-ph]].

- [27] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 191801 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.191801 [arXiv:1308.1707 [hep-ex]].
- [28] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 151601 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.151601 [arXiv:1406.6482 [hep-ex]].
- [29] S. Bifani. Search for new physics with b s decays at LHCb 2017. (on behalf of the LHCb collaboration) seminar presented at CERN on 18 Apr.
- [30] Y. Amhis *et al.* [Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG)], arXiv:1412.7515 [hep-ex].