NILPOTENT ELEMENTS OF OPERATOR IDEALS AS SINGLE COMMUTATORS
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Abstract. For an arbitrary operator ideal \( \mathcal{I} \), every nilpotent element of \( \mathcal{I} \) is a single commutator of operators from \( \mathcal{I}^t \), for an exponent \( t \) that depends on the degree of nilpotency.

1. Introduction

By operator ideal we mean a proper, nonzero, two-sided ideal of the algebra \( B(\mathcal{H}) \) of bounded operators on a separable, infinite Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \). These ideals consist of compact operators. For a compact operator, \( A \) on \( \mathcal{H} \), let \( s(A) = (s_1(A), s_2(A), \ldots) \) be the sequence of singular numbers of \( A \). This is the non-increasing sequence of nonzero eigenvalues of \( |A| := (A^*A)^{1/2} \), listed in order of multiplicity, with a tail of zeros in case \( A \) has finite rank. As Calkin showed [3], an operator ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) is characterized by \( s(\mathcal{I}) = \{s(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{I}\} \). (See also, e.g., [4] for expositions). For a positive real number \( t \) and an operator ideal \( \mathcal{I} \), we let \( \mathcal{I}^t \) denote the operator ideal generated by \( \{|A|^t \mid A \in \mathcal{I}\} \).

Questions about additive commutators \( [B, C] := BC - CB \) involving elements of operator ideals have been much studied. One of the questions asked in [7], by Pearcy and Topping, is whether every compact operator \( A \) is a single commutator \( A = [B, C] \) of compact operators \( B \) and \( C \). This question is still open. Important results about single commutators in operator ideals were obtained in [7] and by Anderson [1]. Further results are found in Section 7 of [4]. More recently, Beltita, Patnaik and Weiss [2] have made progress on the above mentioned question.

Our purpose in this note is to show that every nilpotent compact operator is a single commutator of compact operators. In fact, we show (Theorem 3.2) that for a general operator ideal \( \mathcal{I} \), every nilpotent element \( A \in \mathcal{I} \) is a single commutator \( A = [B, C] \) of \( B, C \in \mathcal{I}^t \), where the value of \( t > 0 \) depends on the value of \( n \) for which \( A^n = 0 \). Except in the case \( n \leq 4 \), we don’t know if we have found the optimal value of \( t \).
2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Nothing in this section is new, but we include proofs for convenience.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose $x, y \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$.

(i) If $t(x^* x) \geq y^* y$, then there exists $r \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|r\| \leq \sqrt{t}$ and $y = rx$.

(ii) If $t(x x^*) \geq y y^*$, then there exists $r \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|r\| \leq \sqrt{t}$ and $y = xr$.

**Proof.** The assertion (ii) follows from (i) by taking adjoints. If we prove the assertion (i) when $t = 1$, then the case of arbitrary $t$ follows, by replacing $x$ with $\sqrt{t}x$. So it will prove (i) in the case $t = 1$.

Suppose $x^* x \geq y^* y$. Given $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|y \xi\|^2 = \langle y^* y \xi, \xi \rangle \leq \langle x^* x \xi, \xi \rangle = \|x \xi\|^2.$$  

Thus, we may define a contractive linear operator from $\text{ran}(x)$ into $\mathcal{H}$ by $x \xi \mapsto y \xi$.

This extends uniquely to a contractive linear operator, which we call $r_0$, from $\text{ran}(x)$ into $\mathcal{H}$. We have $r_0 x = y$. Letting $p$ be the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\text{ran}(x)$, we set $r = r_0 p$. Thus, $r \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction and $rx = y$. \qed

For $n \geq 1$, we make the natural identifications

$$B(\mathcal{H}^{\oplus n}) = M_n(B(\mathcal{H})) = B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

and we let $(e_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be the usual system of matrix units in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Recall that $\mathcal{H}$ is assumed to be infinite dimensional (and here we do not need to assume it is separable.)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $A^n = 0$. Then there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^{\oplus n}$ such that $UAU^*$ is a strictly upper triangular element of $M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))$.

**Proof.** We will first show that $\dim \ker A = \dim \mathcal{H}$. Consider $B = A|_{\ker A^2}$. Note that $\ker A = \ker B$. If $\dim \ker A^2 = \dim \mathcal{H}$, then either $\dim \ker B = \dim \mathcal{H}$, or $\dim \text{ran } B = \dim \mathcal{H}$. But $\text{ran } B \subset \ker B$, so $\dim \ker A^2 = \dim \mathcal{H}$ implies $\dim \ker A = \dim \mathcal{H}$. Since $A$ is nilpotent, we have $\dim \ker A^{2k} = \dim \mathcal{H}$, for some $k$. Thus, (arguing by induction on $k$), we must have $\dim \ker A = \dim \mathcal{H}$.

Let

$$V_1 = \ker A,$$

$$V_k = \ker A^k \ominus \ker A^{k-1}, \quad (2 \leq k \leq n)$$
We will construct subspaces
\[ \mathcal{W}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{W}_n = \mathcal{H} \]
with
\[ \mathcal{W}_k \subseteq \ker A^k \]
such that, letting \( \mathcal{W}_0 = \{0\} \), we have, for every \( 1 \leq k \leq n \),
\[ \dim(\mathcal{W}_k \ominus \mathcal{W}_{k-1}) = \dim \mathcal{H} \tag{2} \]
and for every \( k \leq n - 1 \),
\[ \dim((\ker A^{k+1}) \ominus \mathcal{W}_k) = \dim \mathcal{H}, \tag{3} \]
\[ A(\mathcal{V}_{k+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_k. \tag{4} \]

Fixing \( k = 1 \), if \( \dim \mathcal{V}_2 = \dim \mathcal{H} \), then let \( \mathcal{W}_1 = \ker A \). We know \( \dim \ker A = \dim \mathcal{H} \), so (2) holds. Moreover, \( \ker A^2 \ominus \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 \), so (3) holds and \( A(\mathcal{V}_2) \subseteq A(\ker A^2) \subseteq \ker A \), so (4) holds. Otherwise, if \( \dim \mathcal{V}_2 < \dim \mathcal{H} \), then choose \( \mathcal{W}_1 \) so that
\[ A(\mathcal{V}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_1 \subseteq \ker A \]
and
\[ \dim \mathcal{W}_1 = \dim \mathcal{H} = \dim(\ker A \ominus \mathcal{W}_1). \]
This choice is possible because we know \( \dim \ker A = \dim \mathcal{H} \) and by hypothesis \( \dim A(\mathcal{V}_2) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}_2 < \dim \mathcal{H} \). Then (2) and (4) (for \( k = 1 \)) hold by construction. We have
\[ \dim \mathcal{H} \geq \dim((\ker A^2) \ominus \mathcal{W}_1) \geq \dim((\ker A) \ominus \mathcal{W}_1) = \dim \mathcal{H}, \]
so (3) holds.

Now suppose \( 2 \leq k \leq n - 1 \) and \( \mathcal{W}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_{k-1} \) have been constructed with the required properties. If \( \dim \mathcal{V}_{k+1} = \dim \mathcal{H} \), then let \( \mathcal{W}_k = \ker A^k \). Then (2) for \( k \) is just (3) for \( k - 1 \) while (3) for \( k \) is just the hypothesis \( \dim(\mathcal{V}_{k+1}) = \dim \mathcal{H} \). Moreover, \( A(\mathcal{V}_{k+1}) \subseteq A(\ker A^{k+1}) \subseteq \ker A^k \), so (4) holds for this \( k \) as well.

Otherwise, if \( \dim \mathcal{V}_{k+1} < \dim \mathcal{H} \), then choose \( \mathcal{W}_k \) so that
\[ A(\mathcal{V}_{k+1}) + \mathcal{W}_{k-1} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_k \subseteq \ker A^k \]
and
\[ \dim(\mathcal{W}_k \ominus \mathcal{W}_{k-1}) = \dim \mathcal{H} = \dim((\ker A^k) \ominus \mathcal{W}_k). \]
This is possible because, by hypothesis (namely, (3) for \( k - 1 \),
\[ \dim((\ker A^k) \ominus \mathcal{W}_{k-1}) = \dim \mathcal{H} \]
and \( \dim(A(\mathcal{V}_{k+1})) \leq \dim \mathcal{V}_{k+1} < \dim \mathcal{H} \). Then (2) and (4) hold by construction, while for (3), we use
\[ \dim \mathcal{H} \geq \dim((\ker A^{k+1}) \ominus \mathcal{W}_k) \geq \dim((\ker A^k) \ominus \mathcal{W}_k) = \dim \mathcal{H}. \]

Finally, set \( \mathcal{W}_n = \mathcal{H} = \ker A^n \). Then (2) for \( k = n \) follows from (3) for \( k = n - 1 \).
Using (4), we get
\[ A(W_k) \subseteq A(\ker A^k) = A(V_1) + \cdots + A(V_k) \subseteq W_{k-1}. \]

Let \( \mathcal{H}_1 = W_1 \), and \( \mathcal{H}_k = W_k \oplus W_{k-1}, 2 \leq k \leq n \). Then \( \dim \mathcal{H}_k = \dim \mathcal{H} \) for all \( k \) and
\[
A(\mathcal{H}_1) = \{0\}
\]
\[
A(\mathcal{H}_k) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_j, \quad (2 \leq k \leq n).
\]

Choosing unitaries \( U_k : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathcal{H} \) yields a unitary \( U = \oplus_{k=1}^n U_j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^{\oplus n} \) so that \( UAU^* \) is a strictly upper triangular matrix. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.3.** We work in \( B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) and suppose
\[
A = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{i,j} \otimes e_{i,j}
\]
for \( a_{i,j} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \). If
\[
B = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i \otimes e_{i,i+1}, \quad C = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq n} c_{i,j} \otimes e_{i,j}
\]
with \( b_i, c_{i,j} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \), then the condition \( A = BC - CB \), is equivalent to
\[
a_{1,j} = b_1 c_{2,j} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n)
\]
\[
a_{i,j} = b_i c_{i+1,j} - c_{i,j-1} b_{j-1} \quad (2 \leq i < j \leq n)
\]
or, equivalently,
\[
b_1 c_{2,j} = a_{1,j} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n) \quad (5)
\]
\[
b_i c_{i+1,j} = a_{i,j} + c_{i,j-1} b_{j-1} \quad (2 \leq i < j \leq n). \quad (6)
\]

## 3. Nilpotents in operator ideals

Let \( I \) be an operator ideal. It is well known and easy to see that, under any identification of \( B(\mathcal{H}) \) with \( M_n(B(\mathcal{H})) \) as in (1), the ideal \( I \) is identified with \( M_n(I) \).

We first prove the following easy result, whose proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.2 of [5].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( I \) be an operator ideal and suppose \( A \in I \) is nilpotent. Then there exist \( B \in B(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( C \in I \) such that \( A = BC - CB \).

**Proof.** Let \( n \geq 2 \) be such that \( A^n = 0 \). By Lemma 2.2 we may work in \( B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) and suppose
\[
A = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{i,j} \otimes e_{i,j}
\]
for $a_{i,j} \in I$. We need only find elements $b_i \in B(H)$ and $c_{i,j} \in I$, as in Remark 2.3 so that [5] and [6] hold. This is easily done by setting $b_i = 1$ for all $i$ and recursively assigning

$$c_{2,j} = a_{1,j} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n)$$

$$c_{i+1,j} = a_{i,j} + c_{i,j-1}, \quad (2 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $I$ be an operator ideal and suppose $A \in I$ satisfies $A^n = 0$, for some integer $n \geq 4$. Then there exist $B, C \in I^{1/2^{n-3}}$ such that $A = BC - CB$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2 we may work in $B(H) \otimes M_n(C)$ and suppose

$$A = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{i,j} \otimes e_{i,j}$$

for $a_{i,j} \in I$. We will find elements $b_i$ and $c_{i,j}$ of $I^{1/2^{n-3}}$, as in Remark 2.3, so that [5] and [6] hold.

**Step 1: assign values to $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-2}$.**

Let

$$b_1 = \left( \sum_{j=2}^{n} |a_{1,j}^*|^2 \right)^{1/4} \in I^{1/2}$$

$$b_i = \left( b_{i-1}^2 + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |a_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/4} \in I^{1/2^i}, \quad (2 \leq i \leq n-3)$$

$$b_{n-2} = \left( b_{n-3}^4 + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |a_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/4} \in I^{1/2^{n-3}}.$$  

Since for every $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and every $i < j \leq n$, we have $b_i^4 \geq |a_{i,j}^*|^2$, by Lemma 2.1 there exists $r_{i,j} \in B(H)$ such that

$$b_i^2 r_{i,j} = a_{i,j} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2, i < j \leq n).$$

Moreover, for every $2 \leq i \leq n-3$, since $b_i^4 \geq b_{i-1}^2$, by the same lemma there exists $x_i \in B(H)$ such that

$$b_i^2 x_i = b_{i-1} \quad (2 \leq i \leq n-3).$$

Furthermore, since $b_{n-2}^4 \geq b_{n-3}^4$ and the square root function is operator monotone, we have $b_{n-2}^2 \geq b_{n-3}^2$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1 there exists $z \in B(H)$ so that

$$b_{n-2} z = b_{n-3}.$$  

**Step 2: assign values to $y_{2,j}$ and $c_{2,j}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and verify [5].**
Let
\[ y_{2,j} = r_{1,j}, \quad c_{2,j} = b_1y_{2,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n). \]
Thus, \( c_{2,j} \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2} \). Then we have
\[ b_1c_{2,j} = b_1^2r_{1,j} = a_{1,j}, \quad (2 \leq j \leq n), \]
namely, (5) holds.

**Step 3:** assign values to \( y_{p,j} \) and \( c_{p,j} \) for \( 3 \leq p \leq n - 2 \) and \( p \leq j \leq n - 1 \) and verify the equality in (6) for \( 2 \leq i \leq n - 3 \) and \( i < j \leq n - 1 \).

We let \( p \) increase from 3 to \( n - 2 \) and for each such \( p \) we define (recursively in \( p \)) for every \( j \in \{p, p+1, \ldots, n-1\} \),
\[ y_{p,j} = r_{p-1,j} + x_{p-1,y_{p-1,j}}b_{j-1}, \quad c_{p,j} = b_{p-1}y_{p,j}. \]
Thus, \( c_{p,j} \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2p-1} \) and we have
\[ b_ic_{i+1,j} = b_i^2r_{i,j} + b_i^2x_ii_{y_{i,j}-1}b_{j-1} \]
\[ = a_{i,j} + b_{i-1}y_{i,j-1}b_{j-1} \]
\[ = a_{i,j} + c_{i,j-1}b_{j-1}, \quad (2 \leq i \leq n - 3, \ i < j \leq n - 1) \]
and the equality in (6) holds for these values of \( i \) and \( j \).

**Step 4:** assign a value to \( c_{n-1,n-1} \) and verify the equality in (6) for \( i = n - 2 \) and \( j = n - 1 \).

Let
\[ c_{n-1,n-1} = b_{n-2}r_{n-2,n-1} + zy_{n-2,n-2}b_{n-2}. \]
Then \( c_{n-1,n-1} \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2n-3} \) and
\[ b_{n-2}c_{n-1,n-1} = b_{n-2}^2r_{n-2,n-1} + b_{n-2}zy_{n-2,n-2}b_{n-2} \]
\[ = a_{n-2,n-1} + b_{n-3}y_{n-2,n-2}b_{n-2} \]
\[ = a_{n-2,n-1} + c_{n-2,n-2}b_{n-2}. \]
Thus, the equality in (6) holds for \( i = n - 2 \) and \( j = n - 1 \).

**Step 5:** assign a value to \( b_{n-1} \).

Let
\[ b_{n-1} = \left( |a_{n-1,n}^m|^2 + |c_{n-1,n-1}^m|^4 \right)^{1/4}. \]
Then \( b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2n-3} \). Since \( b_{n-1}^4 \geq |a_{n-1,n}^m|^2 \), by Lemma 2.1 there is \( r_{n-1,n} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \) so that
\[ b_{n-1}^2r_{n-1,n} = a_{n-1,n}. \]
Since \( b_{n-1}^2 \geq |c_{n-1,n-1}^m|^4 \), we have \( b_{n-1}^2 \geq |c_{n-1,n-1}^m|^2 \) and, from Lemma 2.1 we have \( s \in B(\mathcal{H}) \) so that
\[ b_{n-1}s = c_{n-1,n-1}. \]

**Step 6:** assign values to \( c_{p,n} \) for all \( 3 \leq p \leq n - 2 \) and verify the equality in (6) for all \( 2 \leq i \leq n - 3 \) and \( j = n \).
Let
\[ c_{p,n} = b_{p-1}r_{p-1,n} + b_{p-1}x_{p-1,y_{p-1,n-1}b_{n-1}}. \]
Then \( c_{p,n} \in I^{1/2^{p-1}} \) and
\[ b_i c_{i+1,n} = b_i^2 r_{i,n} + b_i^2 x_i y_{i,n-1} b_{n-1} \]
\[ = a_{i,n} + b_{i-1} y_{i,n-1} b_{n-1} \]
\[ = a_{i,n} + c_{i,n-1} b_{n-1}, \quad (2 \leq i \leq n-3), \]
namely, the equality in (6) holds for these values of \( i \) and for \( j = n \).

**Step 7:** assign a value to \( c_{n-1,n} \) and verify the equality in (6) for \( i = n-2 \) and \( j = n \).

Let
\[ c_{n-1,n} = b_{n-2} r_{n-2,n} + z y_{n-2,n-1} b_{n-1}. \]
Then \( c_{n-1,n} \in I^{1/2^{n-3}} \) and
\[ b_{n-2} c_{n-1,n} = b_{n-2}^2 r_{n-2,n} + b_{n-2} z y_{n-2,n-1} b_{n-1} \]
\[ = a_{n-2,n} + b_{n-3} y_{n-2,n-1} b_{n-1} \]
\[ = a_{n-2,n} + c_{n-2,n-1} b_{n-1}, \]
namely, the equality in (9) holds for \( i = n-2 \) and for \( j = n \).

**Step 8:** assign a value to \( c_{n,n} \) and verify the equality in (6) for \( i = n-1 \) and \( j = n \).

Let
\[ c_{n,n} = b_{n-1} r_{n-1,n} + s b_{n-1}. \]
Then \( c_{n,n} \in I^{1/2^{n-3}} \) and
\[ b_{n-1} c_{n,n} = b_{n-1}^2 r_{n-1,n} + b_{n-1} s b_{n-1} = a_{n-1,n} + c_{n-1,n-1} b_{n-1}, \]
as required. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( I \) by any operator ideal such that \( I^t \subseteq I \) for every \( t > 0 \). Then for every nilpotent element \( A \) of \( I \), there exist \( B, C \in I \) such that \( A = BC - CB \).

Examples of operator ideals \( I \) satisfying the conditions of Corollary 3.3 include
(a) the ideal \( K \) of all compact operators;
(b) the ideal of all operators \( A \) whose singular numbers have polynomial decay: \( s_n(A) = O(n^{-t}) \) for some \( t > 0 \); note that this ideal is equal to the union of all Schatten \( p \)-class ideals, \( p \geq 1 \);
(c) the ideal of all operators \( A \) whose singular numbers have exponential decay: \( s_n(A) = O(r^n) \) for some \( 0 < r < 1 \);
(d) the ideal of all finite rank operators.

**Question 3.4.** Is \( 1/2^{n-3} \) the optimal exponent of \( I \) in Theorem 3.2? Clearly, the answer is yes when \( n = 4 \). But as far as we know, it is possible that the best exponent is \( 1/2 \) for arbitrary \( n \).
References


Ken Dykema, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

E-mail address: ken.dykema@math.tamu.edu

Amudhan Krishnaswamy–Usha, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

E-mail address: amudhan@math.tamu.edu