DIVERGENCE OF SHAPE FLUCTUATION FOR GENERAL DISTRIBUTIONS
IN FIRST PASSAGE PERCOLATION
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Abstract. We study the shape fluctuation in the first passage percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. It is known that it diverges when the distribution obeys Bernoulli in [Yu Zhang. The divergence of fluctuations for shape in first passage percolation. Probab. Theory Related. Fields. 136(2) 298–320, 2006]. In this paper, we extend the result to general distributions.

1. Introduction

First Passage Percolation is a random growth model, which was first introduced by Hammersley and Welsh in 1965. The model is defined as follows: The vertices are the elements of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let us denote by $E^d$ the set of edges:

$$E^d = \{(v, w) \mid v, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d, |v - w|_1 = 1\},$$

where we set $|v - w|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |v_i - w_i|$ for $v = (v_1, \cdots, v_d)$, $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_d)$. Note that we consider non-oriented edges in this paper, i.e., $\{v, w\} = \{w, v\}$ and we sometimes regard $\{v, w\}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with a slight abuse of notation. We assign a non-negative random variable $\tau_e$ on each edge $e \in E^d$, called the passage time of the edge $e$. The collection $\tau = \{\tau_e\}_{e \in E^d}$ is assumed to be independent and identically distributed with common distribution $F$.

A path $\gamma$ is a finite sequence of vertices $(x_1, \cdots, x_l) \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that for any $i \in \{1, \cdots, l-1\}$, $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E^d$. It is customary to regard a path as a subset of edges as follows: given an edge $e \in E^d$, we write $e \in \gamma$ if there exists $i \in \{1, \cdots, l-1\}$ such that $e = (x_i, x_{i+1})$.

Given a path $\gamma$, we define the passage time of $\gamma$ as

$$T(\gamma) = \sum_{e \in \gamma} \tau_e.$$ 

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set $[x] = ([x_1], \cdots, [x_d])$ where $[a]$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to $a$.

Given two vertices $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the first passage time between vertices $v$ and $w$ as

$$T(v, w) = \inf_{\gamma : [v] \rightarrow [w]} T(\gamma),$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite paths $\gamma$ starting at $[v]$ and ending at $[w]$. A path $\gamma$ from $v$ to $w$ is said to be optimal if it attains the first passage time, i.e., $T(\gamma) = T(v, w)$.

By Kingman’s subadditive ergodic theorem, if $\mathbb{E}\tau_e < \infty$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a non-random constant $g(x) \geq 0$ such that

$$g(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1} T(0, tx) = \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1} \mathbb{E}[T(0, tx)] \quad a.s.$$ (1.1)

This $g(x)$ is called the time constant. Note that, by the subadditivity, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, then $g(x) \leq \mathbb{E} T(0, x)$ and moreover for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $g(x) \leq \mathbb{E} T(0, x) + 2d \mathbb{E} \tau_e$. It is easy to check the homogeneity and convexity: $g(\lambda x) = \lambda g(x)$ and $g(rx + (1-r)y) \leq rg(x) + (1-r)g(y)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It is well-known that if $F(0) < p_c(d)$, then $g(x) > 0$ for any $x \neq 0$ [12]. Therefore,
if \( F(0) < p_c(d) \), then \( g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) is a norm.

1.1. Background and related works. We define \( B(t) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid T(0, x) \leq t \} \) as the fluid region starting from the origin at time \( t \). Let \( \mathbb{B}_d = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid g(x) \leq 1 \} \). Cox and Durrett proved the following shape theorem [6]: If \( F(0) < p_c(d) \) and \( \mathbb{E} \tau_\epsilon < \infty \), for any \( \epsilon > 0 \),

\[
(1.2) \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(t(1 - \epsilon)\mathbb{B}_d \subset B(t) \subset t(1 + \epsilon)\mathbb{B}_d) = 1.
\]

Since the result of (1.2) corresponds to the law of large number of \( B(t) \), the next step is to consider the rate of the convergence, that is the maximum value \( f(t) \) satisfying \( (t - f(t))\mathbb{B}_d \subset B(t) \subset (t + f(t))\mathbb{B}_d \), which is called the shape fluctuation (see Figure 1).

Due to the works of Kesten [13] and Alexander [1], the shape fluctuation is \( \mathcal{O}((\log t)^{1/2}) \) for any dimension. The first attempt for the lower bound was due to Pemantle and Peres [16] where they proved that if \( F \) is exponential distribution and \( d = 2 \), then the shape fluctuation diverges. This statement was strengthened by Chatterjee under mild smoothness and decay assumptions on the edge weight distribution if \( d = 2 \) that it grows at least \( t^{1/8 - o(1)} \) in [5].

On the other hand, these problems also have interesting features in higher dimensions. Some physicists predicted that if \( d \) is sufficiently large, the fluctuation does not diverge in some sense. See the introduction of [14]. The scaling limits in higher dimensions are controversial issues even in physics and there are some candidates. See [14] and references therein. However, Zhang showed that if \( \tau \) obeys the Bernoulli distribution, the shape fluctuation diverges [17]. Indeed he showed that for any sufficiently small \( c > 0 \) there exists \( C > 0 \) such that for any \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
(1.3) \quad \mathbb{P}(F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t) \leq C t^{-d+2-2c \log p},
\]

where \( p = \mathbb{P}(\tau_\epsilon = 0) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\tau_\epsilon = 1) \). Note that the bound is meaningful only when \( d > 2 \). Although (1.3) is stated without any restriction to \( \Gamma \), it seems that a certain restriction is required as in Theorem [11]. His method relies on Russo’s formula and it seems not easy to extended directly to general distributions. In this paper, a different approach is taken to overcome this problem. Indeed, we apply a variant of the resampling argument introduced by van den Berg and Kesten [4] and use it inductively to get the stretched-exponential bound. As a result, we prove the statement not only for general useful distributions but also the stronger estimate. It is worth noting that our models include Eden and Richardson model.

We consider the fluctuation from general convex sets following [17].

**Definition 1.** For \( l > 0 \) and a subset \( \Gamma \) of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) containing the origin, let

\[
\Gamma_l^- = \{ v \in \Gamma \mid d(v, \Gamma^-) \geq l \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_l^+ = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid d(v, \Gamma) \leq l \},
\]
where $d$ is the Euclidean distance. Given three sets $A, B, C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the fluctuation of $A$ from $B$ inside $C$ as

$$F_C(A, B) = \inf\{\delta > 0 \mid B_\delta^+ \cap C \subset A \cap C \subset B_\delta^+ \cap C\}.$$

**Remark 1.** If $A, B, C$ are convex subsets, $F_C(A, B)$ is coincide with the Hausdorff distance $d_H(A \cap C, B \cap C)$. Although they do not coincide in general, the same proofs still work with a suitable modification and the results below hold even when we replace $F_C(A, B)$ by $d_H(A \cap C, B \cap C)$.

When $A = B(t), B = tB_d, C = \mathbb{R}^d$, the fluctuation $F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), tB_d)$ is simply the shape fluctuation mentioned above. To consider the directional shape fluctuation, we define the following cone.

**Definition 2.** Given $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, let

$$L(\theta, r) = \{a \cdot \mathbf{v} \mid a \in [0, \infty), \ \mathbf{v} \in B(\theta, r)\},$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the closed ball whose center is $x$ and radius is $r$.

Note that if $r > 2$, $L(\theta, r)$ is the entire $\mathbb{R}^d$. We restrict ourselves to the following class of distributions. A distribution $F$ is said to be useful if

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_e = F^-) < \begin{cases} p_c(d) & \text{if } F^- = 0 \\ \tilde{p}_c(d) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $p_c(d)$ and $\tilde{p}_c(d)$ stand for the critical probabilities for $d$-dimensional percolation and oriented percolation model, respectively and $F^-$ is the infimum of the support of $F$. Note that if $F$ is continuous, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(\tau_e = a) = 0$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $F$ is useful.

1.2. Main results.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that $F$ is useful and there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}e^{\alpha \tau_e} < \infty$. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| = 1\}$ and $r > 0$, there exist $c, C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and closed convex set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ containing 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{L(\theta, r)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t \leq C \exp(-t^c)).$$

We can weaken the exponential moment condition as follows:

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that $F$ is useful and $\mathbb{E}[\tau_e^{2m}] < \infty$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $r > 0$, there exist $c, C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and closed convex set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ containing 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(F_{L(\theta, r)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t \leq C t^{-2d m}).$$

**Remark 2.** Since $B_d$ is convex and contains 0, the main result holds for $\Gamma = tB_d$.

**Remark 3.** In fact, the above theorem holds even for a shrinking cone. More precisely, one can see from the proofs below that the following holds: under the condition of Theorem 2 there exists $c > 0$ such that for any increasing function $r : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ with $r(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $r(t) \leq t$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{\Gamma \in \mathbb{B}_0} \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{P}(F_{L(\theta, r(t))}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log r(t)) = 0,$$

where $\Gamma$ runs over all closed convex set containing 0. This implies that the fluctuation diverges in any fixed direction.

1.3. Notation and terminology. This subsection collects useful notations and terminologies for the proof.

- Given two vertices $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and a set $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we set the restricted first passage time as

$$T_D(v, w) = \inf_{\gamma \subset D} T(\gamma),$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths $\gamma$ from $v$ to $w$ and $\gamma \subset D$. If such a path does not exist, we set it to be the infinity instead.

- Let us define the length of $\gamma = (x_i)_{i=1}^l$ as $|\gamma| = l$. 

• It is useful to extend the definition of Euclidean distance $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ as
  \[
  d(A, B) = \inf \{d(x, y) \mid x \in A, \ y \in B\} \quad \text{for } A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d.
  \]
  When $A = \{x\}$, we write $d(x, B)$.

• Given a set $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, let us define the inner boundary of $D$ as
  \[
  \partial^- D = \{v \in D \mid \exists w \notin D \text{ such that } |v - w|_1 = 1\}.
  \]

• In the proof, we often modify the configuration $\tau$ on a path $\gamma$. We denote the modified configuration by $\tau^{(\gamma)} = \{\tau^{(\gamma)}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ and the corresponding first passage time by $T^{(\gamma)}(v, w)$.

• Let $F^-$ and $F^+$ be the infimum and supremum of the support of $F$, respectively:
  \[
  F^- = \inf \{\delta \geq 0 \mid \mathbb{P}(\tau_\gamma < \delta) > 0\}, \quad F^+ = \sup \{\delta \geq 0 \mid \mathbb{P}(\tau_\gamma > \delta) > 0\}.
  \]

1.4. **Heuristic behind the proof.** For the simplicity, we suppose that $F(0) > 0$. We assume that the following probability does not go to zero.

(1.6) \[
P(F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t).
\]

First, we take $m (:= [t^{1/2}])$ disjoint paths $(\gamma_i)_{i=1}^m$ from $\Gamma_{c \log t}$ to $(\Gamma_{c \log t})^c$ whose length $l_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is at most $2e^{\log t}$. We write $\gamma_i = (\gamma_i(j))_{j=1}^{l_i}$. Let us pretend as if the passage times of $\gamma_i$’s were independently conditionally on the event $\{F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t\}$. Then it is expected that one of the passage times of $\gamma_i$’s is exactly zero with high probability on this event since $(1 - F(0)^{2e^{\log t}})^m$ is very small if we take $t$ sufficiently large and $c > 0$ sufficiently small. Note that if $F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t$ and $T(\gamma_i) = 0$, since $T(0, \gamma_i[1]) \leq t$, we get $T(0, \gamma_i[1]) \leq t$ and $F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), \Gamma) > c \log t$, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, (1.6) is small.

The above strategy does not actually work because the passage times are not independent conditionally on the event $\{F_{\mathbb{R}^d}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c \log t\}$. However, a variant of van den Berg-Kesten’s resampling argument in [4] allows us to convert it into a rigorous argument.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We begin with a basic property of convex sets.

**Lemma 1.** Given a convex set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for any $\delta > 0$, $B = (B_\delta^+)_{\delta}^-$.

**Proof.** If $x \in B$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta) \subset B_\delta^+$. Thus $d(x, (B_\delta^+)\supseteq \delta$, which implies $x \in (B_\delta^-)\supseteq \delta$. It follows that $B \subset (B_\delta^-)\supseteq \delta$. On the other hand, if $x \notin B$, then there exists $y \in (B_\delta^-)\supseteq \delta$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$, which implies $x \notin (B_\delta^+)\supseteq \delta$. It follows that $B \supset (B_\delta^+)\supseteq \delta$. \hfill $\Box$

Set $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $r > 0$.

**Lemma 2.** There exists $D > 0$ such that for sufficiently large $t > 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{t}{2}B_d \subset B(t)\right) \geq 1 - \exp(-Dt).
$$

**Proof.** From Theorem 3.13 of [2], there exists $D > 0$ such that for any $t > 1$ and $x \in \frac{t}{2}B_d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$,

(2.1) \[
\mathbb{P}(T(0, x) < t) \leq \exp(-2Dt).
\]

Since $\frac{t}{2}B_d \not\subset B(t)$ implies that there exists $x \in \frac{t}{2}B_d$ such that $T(0, x) > t$. Therefore, we obtain

(2.2) \[
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{t}{2}B_d \not\subset B(t)\right) \leq \sum_{x \in \frac{t}{2}B_d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{P}(T(0, x) > t) \leq C t^d \exp(-2Dt) \leq C \exp(-Dt).
\]

$\square$

First we consider the case $\frac{t}{3}B_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \not\subset \Gamma$. Then, it follows from Lemma 1 that $\frac{t}{3}B_d \cap L(\theta, r) \not\subset \Gamma_{\log t}^+$. If $B(t) \cap L(\theta, r) \subset \Gamma_{\log t}^+$, then $\frac{t}{3}B_d \not\subset B(t)$, which implies

$$
\mathbb{P}(F_{L(\theta, r)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq \log t) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{t}{2}B_d \not\subset B(t)\right) \leq \exp(-Dt).
$$

Thus without loss of generality, we can restrict ourselves to $\Gamma$’s that satisfy $\frac{t}{3}B_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \subset \Gamma$. The proof is completed.
Take a positive constant $\epsilon$ less than $1/2$ arbitrary. Hereafter, we sometimes omit $\lfloor t \rfloor$ and simply write $t^*$ instead of $\lfloor t \rfloor$ with some abuse of notation. For any sufficiently large $t$, there exist $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{c_2} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \cap L(\theta, r/4)$ such that for any $i \neq j$,

\begin{equation}
(2.3)
\delta(\partial \Gamma \cap L(\alpha_i, 0), \partial \Gamma \cap L(\alpha_j, 0)) \geq t^*.
\end{equation}

Note that $\Gamma(\alpha_i, 0, \partial \Gamma_{c_2 \log t}^{-})$ is a single point since $\Gamma$ is a convex set. For any $i$, set

\begin{equation}
(2.4)
y_i = y_{\alpha_i} = [L(\alpha_i, 0) \cap \partial \Gamma_{c_2 \log t}^{-}] \in \mathbb{Z}^d.
\end{equation}

We crucially use the following property of useful distributions.

**Lemma 3.** If $F$ is useful, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $D > 0$ such that for any $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(T(v, w) < (F^{-} + \delta)|v - w|_1) \leq e^{-D|v - w|_1}.
$$

For a proof of this lemma, see Lemma 5.5 in [4]. We fix $\delta > 0$ in Lemma 3.

**Definition 3.** An $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is said to be black if the following hold:

1. For any two vertices $v, w \in B(y, 2d(\log t)^2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|v - w|_1 \geq \sqrt{\log t}$ and a path $\pi : v \rightarrow w \subset B(y, 2d(\log t)^2)$,

$$
T(\pi) \geq (F^{-} + \delta)|v - w|_1,
$$

2. For any $e \in E^d$ with $e \subset B(y, 2d(\log t)^2)$,

$$
\tau_e \leq (\log t)^{2d}.
$$

We state the following lemma with a slightly general moment condition to use even in the proof of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 4.** If $E\tau^2 \leq \infty$,

$$
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathbb{P}(\alpha \text{ is black}) = 1.
$$

**Proof.** Note that there exists $C > 0$ independent of $t$ and $\alpha$ such that

$$
\sharp\{e \in E^d | e \subset B(y, 2d(\log t)^2)\} \leq C(\log t)^{2d}.
$$

By Lemma 3 and the union bound, we have

\begin{equation}
(2.5)
\mathbb{P}(\{\alpha \text{ is black}\}) \\
\leq \sum_{v, w \in B(y, 2d(\log t)^2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d |v - w|_1 \geq \sqrt{\log t}} \mathbb{P}(T(v, w) \leq (F^{-} + \delta)|v - w|_1) + \sum_{e \subset B(y, 2d(\log t)^2)} \mathbb{P}(\tau_e > (\log t)^{2d})
\leq C^2(\log t)^{4d}E[\tau^2](\log t)^{-2d} + C(\log t)^{2d}e^{-D\sqrt{\log t}}.
\end{equation}

The last term goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $\alpha$, we complete the proof.

---

**Figure 2.**

Schematic picture of the proof of Theorem 1.
Definition 4.  
(1) Let $W_1$ be the event that for any $v, w \in [-t^2, t^2] \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|v - w|_1 \geq t'^2$,  
\[ T(v, w) \geq (F^- + \delta)|v - w|_1. \]

(2) Let $W_2$ be the event that  
\[ \sharp\{i \in \{1, \cdots, t'\} \mid \alpha_i \text{ is black} \} \geq \frac{1}{2} t'. \]

(3) Denote the intersection of $W_1$ and $W_2$ as $W = W_1 \cap W_2$.

Lemma 5. There exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for any sufficiently large $t > 0$  
\[ \mathbb{P}(W^c) \leq c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2}). \]

Proof. It is easy to check from Lemma 3 that $\mathbb{P}(W_1^c) \leq c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2})$ with some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Note that $\mathbb{1}_{\{\alpha_i \text{ is black} \}}$ depends only on the configurations on $B(y_i, 2d(\log t)^2)$ and $B(y_i, 2d(\log t)^2) \cap B(y_j, 2d(\log t)^2) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Therefore $\mathbb{1}_{\{\alpha_i \text{ is black} \}}$ and $\mathbb{1}_{\{r_i \text{ is black} \}}$ are independent if $i \neq j$, which easily yields $\mathbb{P}(W_2^c) \leq c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2})$ by Lemma 3.

Definition 5. We say that $\alpha_i$ is good if $F_{L(y_i, (\log t)^3)}(B(t), \Gamma) > c^2 \log t$. Otherwise, we say that $\alpha_i$ is bad. Given $I \subset \{1, \cdots, t'\}$, we define an event $A_I$ as  
\[ A_I = \{I = \{i \in \{1, \cdots, t'\} \mid \alpha_i \text{ is good }\}. \]

The reason why we have used $(\log t^4)$ is just $(\log t)^2 \ll (\log t)^4 \ll t$ and not important.

Lemma 6. Let $K_i^c = (\Gamma_{c, \log t}^+ \setminus \Gamma_{c, \log t}^-) \cap B(y_i, (\log t)^2)$. For sufficiently large $t$ depending on $c$, if $\alpha_i$ is bad and black, there exists $x \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$ such that $T_{K_i^c \cup \{x\}}(0, x) \leq t - |x - y_i|_1(F^- + \delta)$, where $K_i^c$ is the complement of $K_i$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary optimal path $\gamma_0, y_i = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$ from 0 to $y_i$. Since $\alpha_i$ is bad, we have $F_{L(y_i, (\log t)^3)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c^2 \log t$, which implies $t(0, y_i) \leq t$. Let $x$ be the first intersecting point of $\gamma_0, y_i$ and $\partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$, i.e., $m = \min\{i \in \{1, \cdots, l\} \mid x_i \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d] \neq \emptyset\}$ and $x = x_m$. Since $|x - y_i|_1 \geq \frac{c}{2} \log t$ and $\alpha_i$ is black, we have  
\[ T_{K_i^c \cup \{x\}}(0, x) \leq t - |x - y_i|_1(F^- + \delta). \]

Given $z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$, we take $\text{End}(z) \in (\Gamma_{c, \log t}^+ \cap L(\theta, r) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and a path $\gamma_z : z \rightarrow \text{End}(z)$ such that $\gamma_z \subset K_i$ and $\sharp \gamma_z \leq (|y_i - z|_1 + 2dc^2 \log t) \land 2dc \log t$ with deterministic rules to break ties.

Lemma 7. Let $A_k = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{A}_I} A_I$, where the union runs over all subset $I \subset \{0, \cdots, n\}$ with $\sharp I = k$. For any $k \in \{0, \cdots, t'^2/2\}$,  
\[ t'^{c/2} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap W) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_k + 1). \]

We postpone the proof of the lemma and first complete the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Combining it with Lemma 5, we have that for any $k, l < t'^2/2$,  
\[ \mathbb{P}(A_k + l) \geq t'^{c/8} \mathbb{P}(A_k + l - 1) - \mathbb{P}(W^c) \]
\[ \geq t'^{c/8} \mathbb{P}(A_k + l - 1) - c_1 t'^{c/8} \exp(-t^{c_2}) \]
\[ \geq t^{2c/8} \mathbb{P}(A_k + l - 2) - c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{2} t^{nc/8} \exp(-t^{c_2}). \]
Continuing this procedure, for sufficiently large $t > 0$, if $k \leq t^{c_2}/2$ and $l \leq t^{c_2}/2$, we have
\[
\mathbb{P}(A^{k+l}) \geq t^{c_2/8}\mathbb{P}(A^k) - c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{l} t^{n/8}\exp(-t^{c_2})
\]
(2.8)
\[
\geq t^{c_2/8}\mathbb{P}(A^k) - c_1 t^{l/4}\exp(-t^{c_2})
\]
\[
\geq t^{c_2/8}\mathbb{P}(A^k) - c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2}/2).
\]

Applying it with $k = 0$ and $l = t^{c_2}/2$ yields
\[
\mathbb{P}(A^0) \leq \mathbb{P}(A^{t^{c_2}/2})t^{-t^{c_2}/2} + c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2}/2)
\]
\[
\leq 2c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2}/2).
\]

Since $F_{L(\theta, \tau)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c^2 \log t$ implies that $A^0$ occurs, it follows that
\[
\mathbb{P}(F_{L(\theta, \tau)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq c^2 \log t) \leq 2c_1 \exp(-t^{c_2}/2),
\]
as desired. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Lemma 7.** Let $z_i$ be a random variable on $\partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$ with uniform distribution which is independent of $\tau = \{\tau_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}^d}$. Let $(\hat{P}_i, \hat{\Omega}_i)$ be its probability space.

Let $\tau^* = \{\tau^*_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}^d}$ be independent copy of $\{\tau_e\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}^d}$ and also independent of $z_i$. We enlarge the probability space so that it can measure the event both for $\tau$ and $\tau^*$ and we still denote the joint probability measure by $\mathbb{P}$. Given a path $\gamma$, we define a resampled configuration $\tau^*(\gamma) = \{\tau^*_e(\gamma)\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}^d}$ as

$$
\tau^*_e(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 
\tau_e^* & \text{if } e \in \gamma \\
\tau_e & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Note that the distributions of $\tau$ and $\tau^*(\gamma_i)$ are the same under $\hat{P}_i \otimes \mathbb{P}$ since $\tau$, $\tau^*$, $z_i$ are independent. We simply write $\gamma_i$ for $\gamma_{z_i}$ hereafter.

Let $I \subset \{1, \cdots, t\}$ be such that $|I| = k$ and take $i \notin I$. Given $z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$, we set
\[
\mathcal{G}(z) = \{T_{K_i \cup \{z\}}(0, z) \leq t - |z - y_i|_1(F^- + \delta)\}
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{S}(z) = \{\forall e \in \gamma_{z_i}, \tau^*_e \leq F^- + \delta/2\}.
\]

Then we define the event as
\[
\hat{A}_{I,i} = A_I \cap W \cap \mathcal{G}(z_i) \cap \mathcal{S}(z_i) \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}.
\]
We will show that $\hat{A}_{I,i}$ implies
(2.10)
\[
I \cup \{i\} = I^{(\gamma_i)},
\]
where $I^{(\gamma_i)} = \{i \in \{1, \cdots, t\} | \alpha_i \text{ is good for } \tau^{(\gamma_i)}\}$. Under the conditions $\mathcal{G}(z_i)$ and $\mathcal{S}(z_i)$, by the construction of $\gamma_z$, we have
\[
T^{(\gamma_i)}(0, \text{End}(z_i)) \leq T^{(\gamma_i)}(0, z_i) + T^{(\gamma_i)}(\Gamma_i) \leq t - |z_i - y_i|(F^- + \delta) + (F^- + \delta)|y_i - z_i|_1 = t.
\]

Thus, $\alpha_i$ is good for $\tau^{(\gamma_i)}$. On the other hand, if $\mathbb{1}_{\{\alpha_j \text{ is good for } \tau\} \neq \mathbb{1}_{\{\alpha_j \text{ is good for } \tau^{(\gamma_i)}\}}$ for some $j \neq i$, then there exists $w \in K_j$ and a path $\Gamma = (x_i)_{i=1}^t : 0 \to w$ with $\Gamma \cap K_j \neq \emptyset$ such that $T(\Gamma) \leq t$ or $T^{(\gamma_i)}(\Gamma) \leq t$. Indeed any $w \in (B(t) \cap K_j) \Delta (B^{(\gamma_i)}(t) \cap K_j)$ has such property, where $A \Delta B$ is a symmetric difference of $A$ and $B$. Let $m = \min\{i \in \{1, \cdots, I\} | x_i \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d] \neq \emptyset\}$ and $x = x_m$. Then by the condition of $W_1$ and (2.3), we have
\[
T_{K_i \cup \{x\}}(0, x) \leq t - t^{c_2}/2.
\]

Since $\alpha_i$ is black (in particular for any $e \in E^d$ with $e \in B(y_i, 2d(\log t)^2)$, $\tau_e \leq (\log t)^{2d}$) and there exists a path $\gamma \subset K_i$ from $x$ to some $\bar{x} \in (\Gamma^c \cap L^c)$ whose length is at most $2d(\log t)$, we obtain
\[
T(0, \bar{x}) \leq t - t^{c_2} + 2d(\log t)^{2d+1} \leq t.
\]
Therefore $\alpha_i$ is good for $\tau$, which contradicts that $i \notin I$. Therefore we have $\mathbb{1}_{\{\alpha_j \text{ is good for } \tau\} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\alpha_j \text{ is good for } \tau^{(\gamma_i)}\}$ and (2.10) follows.
From this observation, we have
\[
\mathbb{P}(A^{k+1}) = \sum_{I \cup \{i\}} \mathbb{P}(A_I)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{I \cup \{i\}} \mathbb{P}(A_{I \cup \{i\}})
\]
(2.11)
\[
\geq \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{I \cup \{i\}} \tilde{P}_I \otimes \mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_{I,i}).
\]

Since \(\tau, \tau^*\) and \(z_i\) are independent, \(\tilde{P}_I \otimes \mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_{I,i})\) can be bounded from below as
\[
\tilde{P}_I \otimes \mathbb{P}(\tilde{A}_{I,i}) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap G(z) \cap S(z) \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\})
\]
(2.12)
\[
= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap G(z) \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) \mathbb{P}(S(z))
\]
\[
\geq \frac{\min_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{P}(S(z))}{k+1} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) | A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}]]
\]

Lemma \( \[ \) implies \( \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} G(z) \geq 1 \) under the event \( A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\} \). Combining it with the condition that \( |\gamma_z| \leq 2dc \log t \) for any \( z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d] \), (2.12) is bounded from below by
\[
\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) \mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\} | A_I \cap W).
\]
(2.13)

Thus if \( c \) is sufficiently small depending on \( \epsilon \) and \( \delta \), (2.11) is bounded from below by
\[
\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) | A_I \cap W]]
\]
(2.14)
\[
\geq t^{-3c/4} \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) | A_I \cap W]]
\]

Since \( \sum_{z \in \partial^-[K_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]} \mathbb{P}(A_I \cap W \cap \{\alpha_i \text{ is black for } \tau\}) \geq t^c/2 - k \) on the event \( A_I \cap W \), this is further bounded from below by
\[
t^{-3c/4} \left( t^c/2 - k \right) \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap W) \geq t^{c/8} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap W),
\]
(2.15)

as desired. \( \square \)

3. Proof of Theorem 2

We take \( K > 0 \) sufficiently large but independent of \( t \) to be chosen later. If \( \frac{t}{2} \mathbb{B}_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \subset \Gamma \), the proof is the same as before. Suppose \( \frac{t}{2} \mathbb{B}_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \not\subset \Gamma \). Then, by Lemma 1, if \( t \) is sufficiently large, \( \frac{t}{2} \mathbb{B}_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \nabla \Gamma^+ \mathbb{B}_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \) is non-empty and we take an arbitrary vertex \( x \) of this set. If \( T(0, x) \leq t \), then \( x \in B(t) \), which yields that \( B(t) \cap L(\theta, r) \not\subset \Gamma^+ \mathbb{B}_d \cap L(\theta, r/2) \). Now we consider \( 2d \) disjoint paths \( \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{2d} \) from 0 to \( x \) so that
\[
\max\{|r_i| : i = 1, \ldots, 2d\} \leq |x|_1 + 8
\]
as in \[\text{[12, p 135]}\]. We take \(K > 0\) so that \(2E[\tau_e](|x| + 8) < t\) for any \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Then, it follows from the Chebyshev inequality that there exists \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\mathbb{P}(T(0, x) > t) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{2d} \mathbb{P}(T(r_i) > t)
\]

\[
\leq \prod_{i=1}^{2d} \mathbb{P}(|T(r_i) - \mathbb{E}[T(r_i)]| > t/2)
\]

\[
\leq \prod_{i=1}^{2d} ((t/2)^{-2m} (|x| + 8)^m \mathbb{E}[\tau_e^{2m}]) \leq Ct^{-2dm}.
\]

It yields that

\[
\mathbb{P}(F_{L(\theta, r)}(B(t), \Gamma) \leq \log t) \leq \mathbb{P}(B(t) \cap L(\theta, r) \subset \Gamma^t_{\log t})
\]

\[
\leq \mathbb{P}(T(0, x) > t) \leq Ct^{-2dm}.
\]
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