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#### Abstract

In this paper, three different proofs to a result of Wang, Peng and Yang (2013) which related to the joint mixability of elliptical distributions with the same characteristic generator are present. Moreover, we generalize this result to any distributions with finite second moments. An open problem proposed by Wang (2015) is solved by constructing a bimodal-symmetric distribution. The joint mixability of slash-elliptical distributions and skew-elliptical distributions is studied and the extension to multivariate distributions is also investigated.
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## 1 Introduction

A standard problem in variance reduction and simulation is to minimize the variance of the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ of random variables $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ with given marginal distributions $F_{i}$ 's:

$$
\min _{X_{i} \sim F_{i}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) .
$$

See Fishman (1972), Gaffke and Rüscherndorf (1981) and Knott and Smith (2006) for more details. This problem has been considered, in a slightly different form, by Rüschendorf and Uckelmann (1997, 2002). More generally, one solves the convex minimization problem

$$
\min _{X_{i} \sim F_{i}} \mathrm{E} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)
$$

where $f$ is a convex function. It is obvious that if there exist a constant $c$ such that $P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=c\right)=1$, then the above two problems have optimal solutions. These solutions are related to the concept of complete mixabilitiy and joint mixability of distributions. For more details on the solutions of these problems, the relevant applications and a brief history of the concept of the complete mixability and joint mixability we refer the reader to Wang and Wang $(2011,2016)$ and Wang et al. (2013), Puccetti and Wang (2015). In recent years, the problem of studying complete mixability and joint mixability of distributions has received considerable attention. Complete mixability and joint mixability describe whether it is possible to generate random variables (or vectors) from given distributions with constant sum. The formally definition of complete mixability for a distribution was first introduced in Wang and Wang (2011) and then extended to an arbitrary set of distributions in Wang, Peng and Yang (2013), although the concept has been used in variance reduction problems earlier (see Gaffke and Rüschendorf (1981), Knott and Smith (2006), Rüschendorf and Uckelmann (2002)). The properties are particularly of interest in quantitative risk management and optimization problems in the theory of optimal couplings, where dependence between risks is usually unknown or partially unknown.

Throughout the paper, we write $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ if the random variables (or vectors) $X$ and $Y$ have the same distribution. For a cumulative distributions function $F$, we write $X \sim F$ to denote $F(x)=P(X \leq x)$. By convention, all vectors will be written in bold and will be considered as column vectors, with the superscript $T$ for transposition. Next we introduce the concepts of completely mixable and jointly mixable distributions. Suppose
$n$ is a positive integer. We say the univariate distribution functions $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$ are jointly mixable (JM) if there exist $n$ random variables $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ with distribution functions $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}=C\right)=1, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. If (1.1) holds with $F_{j}=F, 1 \leq j \leq n$, the distribution $F$ is said to be $n$-completely mixable ( $n$-CM). Any such $C$ is called a joint center of ( $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$ ). Accordingly, we say $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are jointly mixed; see Wang, Peng and Yang (2013). Clearly, Equation (1.1) is equivalent to $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)=0$ given the variance exists. For a brief history of the concept of the complete mixability, we refer to Wang (2015) and Wang and Wang (2016). Existing results on complete mixability and joint mixability are summarized in Wang and Wang (2011), Puccetti, Wang and Wang (2012), Wang and Wang (2016) and Puccetti et al. (2019). As pointed out in Puccetti and Wang (2015b), as a full characterization of completely mixable distribution is still out of reach, there are even less results concerning sufficient conditions for joint mixable distributions. The only available ones are given in the paper of Wang and Wang (2016). Most studies in the above literature concerns the shape of marginal density to justify the existence of joint mixability. Bignozzi and Puccetti (2015) extended the concept of joint mixability and introduce the concept of $\phi$-joint mixability. Recent paper of Xiao and Yao (2020) studies the dependence of joint mix random vectors from the perspective of covariance matrix. In this paper, we further develop the theory of complete mixability and joint mixability for symmetric distributions, elliptical distributions and related families.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the conditions on a result of Rüschendorf and Uckelmann (2002) related to complete mixability of continuous distribution function having a symmetric and unimodal density. Section 3 is dedicated to joint mixability of elliptical distributions and slash/skew-elliptical distributions, respectively. Section 4 extended the result to the class of multivariate elliptical distributions. Finally, Section 5 gives a conclusion.

## 2 Symmetric Distributions

It would be of interest to characterize the class of completely mixable distributions. Only partial characterizations are known in the literature. One nice result for the complete
mixability is given by Rüschendorf and Uckelmann (2002), which is equivalent to state that any continuous distribution function having a symmetric and unimodal density is $n$ CM for any $n \geq 2$. Wang (2014) provided a new proof using duality representation. The property was also extended to multivariate distributions by Rüschendorf and Uckelmann (2002).

Lemma 2.1. (Rüschendorf and Uckelmann (2002)). Any continuous distribution function having a symmetric and unimodal density is $n$-CM for any $n \geq 2$.

We remark that the inverse of Lemma 2.1 is not necessarily true. For example, the density of Pearson type II distribution

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}, & \text { if } x \in(-1,1),  \tag{2.1}\\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin(-1,1),\end{cases}
$$

is convex, bimodal and symmetric, so that Lemma 2.1 can not applicable. Note that $f$ is $n$-CM for any integer $n \geq 2$; see Puccetti, Wang and Wang (2012) for more details. Wang and Wang (2016) generalizes Lemma 2.1 and studied the joint mixability of unimodalsymmetric distribution based on a different technical approach.

Lemma 2.2. (Wang and Wang (2016)). Suppose that $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$ are distributions with unimodal-symmetric densities from the same location-scale family with scale parameters $\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{n}$, respectively. Then $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$ is JM if and only if the scale inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \geq 2 \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \theta_{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied.

Proof Using Theorem 3.1 in Wang and Wang (2016), we can give a more simple proof to "if part". In fact, $X_{i} \sim F_{i}$ can be written as $X_{i} \stackrel{d}{=} \theta_{i} R U_{i}+\mu$, where $\mu$ is a constant, $R$ is a random variable on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $U_{i}$ is uniformly distributed on $(-1,1)$ independent of $R$. The result follows from Theorem 3.1 in Wang and Wang (2016) since $\theta_{i} U_{i} \sim U\left(-\theta_{i}, \theta_{i}\right), i=1, \cdots, n$. This ends the proof.

Suppose that $Y$ has a distribution function $F$ and that $\theta$ has a distribution function $H$ on $(0, \infty)$ and, $Y$ and $\theta$ are independent. Then the distribution of $X=\theta Y$ is referred to as a scale mixture of $F$ with a scale mixing distribution $H$. The following corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. The scale mixture of an unimodal-symmetric continuous distribution with center $\mu$ is $n$-CM ( $n \geq 2$ ) with center $\mu$.

The complete mixability and joint mixability is a concept of negative dependence (cf. Puccetti and Wang (2015a)) and not all univariate distributions $F$ are $n$-CM. If the supports of $F_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ are unbounded from one side, then $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is not JM for any $n \geq 1$; see Remark 2.2 in Wang and Wang (2016). Now we list more examples (The proof learned largely from Ruodu Wang).

Example 2.1. Assume $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}$ are $2 n+1$ univariate distribution functions with symmetric densities on the same interval $[-a, a](a>0)$, if $F_{i}\left(\frac{n}{n+1} a\right) \leq \frac{n+1}{2 n+1}(i=$ $1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1)$, then $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}\right)$ is not JM.

Proof For any $X_{i} \sim F_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1)$, the conditions $F_{i}\left(\frac{n}{n+1} a\right) \leq \frac{n+1}{2 n+1}(i=$ $1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1)$ imply that

$$
P\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>\frac{n}{n+1} a\right)>\frac{2 n}{2 n+1}, i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\left|X_{1}\right|>\frac{n a}{n+1}, \cdots,\left|X_{2 n+1}\right|>\frac{n a}{n+1}\right) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} P\left(\left|X_{i}\right|>\frac{n a}{n+1}\right)-2 n \\
& >(2 n+1) \frac{2 n}{2 n+1}-2 n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} X_{i} \neq 0\right\} \supseteq\left\{\left|X_{1}\right|>\frac{n a}{n+1}, \cdots,\left|X_{2 n+1}\right|>\frac{n a}{n+1}\right\} .
$$

Hence

$$
P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} X_{i} \neq 0\right)>0 .
$$

Thus $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}\right)$ is not JM.
Corollary 2.2. (Necessary Condition) Assume $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}$ are $2 n+1$ univariate distribution functions with symmetric densities on the same interval $[-a, a](a>0)$, if $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}\right)$ is JM, then there exists some $i(1 \leq i \leq 2 n+1)$ such that

$$
P\left(\left|X_{i}\right| \leq \frac{n}{n+1} a\right)>\frac{1}{2 n+1}
$$

The interval $[-a, a]$ in Example 2.1 can be changed as $(-\infty, \infty)$.
Example 2.2. Assume $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}$ are $2 n+1$ univariate distribution functions with symmetric densities on the same interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, if there exists $a>0$ such that

$$
F_{i}(a)-F_{i}\left(\frac{n}{n+1} a\right) \geq \frac{n}{2 n+1}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1
$$

then $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}\right)$ is not JM.

Proof For any $X_{i} \sim F_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1)$, the conditions

$$
F_{i}(a)-F_{i}\left(\frac{n}{n+1} a\right) \geq \frac{n}{2 n+1}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1,
$$

imply that

$$
P\left(\left|X_{i}\right| \in\left[\frac{n}{n+1} a, a\right]\right)>\frac{2 n}{2 n+1}, i=1,2, \cdots, 2 n+1 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{2 n+1}\left\{\left|X_{i}\right| \in\left[\frac{n a}{n+1}, a\right]\right\}\right) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} P\left(\left|X_{i}\right| \in\left[\frac{n a}{n+1}, a\right]\right)-2 n \\
& >(2 n+1) \frac{2 n}{2 n+1}-2 n=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} X_{i} \neq 0\right\} \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{2 n+1}\left\{\left|X_{i}\right| \in\left[\frac{n a}{n+1}, a\right]\right\}
$$

Hence

$$
P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} X_{i} \neq 0\right)>0
$$

Thus $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{2 n+1}\right)$ is not JM.

The following example tells us the symmetry of $F$ does not implied $F$ is 3-CM and that the unimodality assumption on the density can not removed.

Example 2.3 Assume that $F$ has the following bimodal symmetric density

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{2 r+1}{2 a^{2 r+1}} x^{2 r}, & \text { if } x \in[-a, a], \\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin[-a, a],\end{cases}
$$

where $r$ is a positive integer. The distribution is given by

$$
F(x)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } x<-a \\ \frac{1}{2 a^{2 r+1}}\left(x^{2 r+1}+a^{2 r+1}\right), & \text { if }-a \leq x<a \\ 1, & \text { if } x \geq a\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
F\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{2 r+2}}<\frac{2}{3}
$$

Thus $(F, F, F)$ is not JM. Or, equivalently, $F$ is not 3-CM.

Example 2.4 Assume that $F$ has the following bimodal symmetric density

$$
f_{m}(x)= \begin{cases}C_{m} \frac{x^{2 m}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}, & \text { if } x \in(-1,1), \\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin(-1,1),\end{cases}
$$

where $C_{m}$ is a normalizing constant and $m \geq 0$ is an integer. When $m=0, f_{m}$ is the density of Pearson type II distribution, thus it is $n$-CM for any integer $n \geq 2$. When $m \geq 1$, using Example 2.1 one can check that $F$ is not $(2 n+1)$-CM for not very large $n$. The $(2 n+1)$-complete mixability of this distribution is not covered by any known theoretical results for large $n$. Further we consider a distribution $F$ with density

$$
f(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} f_{m}(x)
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ is a sequence of positive values with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{m}=1$. This distribution is not $(2 n+1)$-completely mixable for any $n \geq 1$. Thus we give a counterexample to the following open problem:

Open Problem (Wang (2015)). Are all absolutely continuous distributions on a bounded interval $n$-CM for large enough $n$ ?

## 3 Elliptical Distributions and Related Families

### 3.1 Elliptical Distributions

Let $\Psi_{n}$ be a class of functions $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that function $\psi\left(|\mathbf{t}|^{\mathbf{2}}\right), \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is an $n$ dimensional characteristic function. It is clear that $\Psi_{n} \subset \Psi_{n-1} \cdots \subset \Psi_{1}$. Denote by $\Psi_{\infty}$ the set of characteristic generators that generate an $n$-dimensional elliptical distribution for arbitrary $n \geq 1$. That is $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\infty}=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{n}$.

Defination 3.1 A random vector $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)^{\top}$ is said to have an elliptical distribution with parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, written as $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \psi)$, if its characteristic
function can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})=\exp \left(i \mathbf{t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \psi\left(\mathbf{t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{t}^{\top}\right), \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some column-vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}, n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and for some function $\psi \in \Psi_{n}$ with $\psi(0)=1$, which is called the characteristic generator. In general, elliptical distributions can be bounded or unbounded, unimodal or multimodal. When $\psi(u)=$ $\exp (-u / 2), \mathbf{E}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \psi)$ is the normal distribution $\mathbf{N}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and when $n=1$ the class of elliptical distributions consists of the class of symmetric distributions. It is well known that an $n$-dimensional random vector $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \psi)$ if and only if for any vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, one has (Cambanis et al. (1981)) $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \psi\right)$. In particular, $X_{i} \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}, \psi\right)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{e}_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}, \psi\right)$.

The next result is due to Wang, Peng and Yang (2013), see also Wang and Wang (2016). Here we present three another proofs by finding the exact dependence structure and by using Lemma 2.2, respectively.

Theorem 3.1. (Wang, Peng and Yang (2013)) Suppose $F_{i} \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}, \psi\right)$, where $\mu_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma_{i}>0, \psi$ is a characteristic generator for an $n$-elliptical distribution. Then $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is JM if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \geq 2 \max \left\{\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof The proof of the only-if-part is the same as that of Wang, Peng and Yang (2013) with a minor revision. Without loss of generality, we assume $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{n}$. If there exist $X_{1} \sim F_{1}, \cdots, X_{n} \sim F_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)= & V \operatorname{ar}\left(X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)}-\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
0=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)} \geq \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1}\right)}-\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)},
$$

from which we get

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sigma_{i} \geq \sigma_{1}
$$

as desired.

For the if-part we will present three another proofs.

First proof. Assume $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{E}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \psi)$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right)^{\top}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$. Here

$$
\sigma_{i j}= \begin{cases}\sigma_{i}^{2}, & \text { if } i=j, \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)}\left(\sigma_{k}^{2}-\sum_{l \neq k} \sigma_{l}^{2}\right), & \text { if } k \neq i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is positive semidefinite under condition (3.2) and the summation of all entries in $\Sigma$ is zero. Each component $X_{i}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ has distribution $\mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}, \psi\right), i=1,2, \cdots, n$. The characteristic function of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}(t)=\exp \left(i t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}\right) \psi(0)=\exp \left(i t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}\right), t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}\right)=1,
$$

and thus $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is JM.

Second proof. Considering the same $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ as in the first proof, obviously, the summation of each row in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is zero. The if-part follows from Proposition 5 in Xiao and Yao (2020) which is says that if $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ has a covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, then, it is a joint mix if and only if each row sum of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is 0 .

Third proof. We remark that if $F_{i} \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}, \psi\right)$ has a density and $\psi$ is a characteristic generator for an $n$-elliptical distribution $(n \geq 2)$, then $F_{i}$ is unimodal and symmetric. Thus the if-part is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2.

From the second proof of Theorem 3.1, it can be seen that the conclusion of the theorem also holds for general random variables. So we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose distributions $F_{i}$ have finite variances $\sigma_{i}>0, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is JM if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \geq 2 \max \left\{\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}\right\}
$$

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. Suppose $F \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \psi\right)$ with $\psi \in \mathbf{\Psi}_{\infty}$. Then $F$ is $n$-CM for any $n \geq 2$.
Remark 3.1. Theorem 2.21 in Fang, Kotz and $N g$ (1990) shows that $\psi \in \Psi_{\infty}$ if and only if $F \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \psi\right)$ is a mixture of normal distributions. Some such elliptical distributions are normal distribution, $T$-distribution, Cauchy distribution, stable laws distribution and Pearson type VII distribution; see Andrews and Mallows (1974) and Kano (1994).

Note that there are continuous, unimodal and symmetric densities do not belong to the class of normal scale mixtures; see West (1987). Thus Corollary 3.1 is a special case of Corollary 2.1. In the sequel, we list more examples.

Example 3.1 Consider the generalized logistic distribution with density

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=C \frac{\exp \left(-\alpha x^{\beta}\right)}{\left(1+\exp \left(-x^{\beta}\right)\right)^{2 \alpha}},-\infty<x<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0, \alpha>0, \beta>0$ are constants. If $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=2$, (3.4) is 1 -dimensional logistic distribution which is unimodal and symmetric but not a scale mixture of normal densities; see Gómez-Sánchez-Manzano et al. (2006). If $\alpha=\beta=1$, (3.4) is standard logistic distribution which is unimodal and symmetric and can be represented as a scale mixture of normal densities; see Stefanski (1990).

Example 3.2 Kotz type distributions with density generator

$$
g(r)=C r^{N-1} \exp \left(-m r^{\beta}\right), m, \beta>0, N>1
$$

have symmetric and bimodal densities, the $(2 n+1)$-complete mixability of those Kotz type distributions is not covered by any known theoretical results for $n \geq 1$.

### 3.2 Slash-Elliptical Distributions

In this subsection, we investigate joint mixability of slash-elliptical distributions. We say that a random variable $X$ follows a slash elliptical distribution if it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{Z}{U^{\frac{1}{q}}}+\mu \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z \sim \mathbf{E}_{1}\left(0, \sigma^{2}, \psi\right)$ is independent of $U \sim U(0,1)$ and $q>0$ is the parameter related to the distribution kurtosis. We use the notation $X \sim \mathbf{S E}_{1}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \psi ; q\right)$. Similarly, we say
that a random vector $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ has slash-elliptical multivariate distribution with vector location parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, positive semidefinite matrix scale parameter $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, and tail parameter $q>0$, if it can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}=\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{U^{\frac{1}{q}}}+\boldsymbol{\mu} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbf{E}_{p}(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \psi)$ is independent of $U \sim U(0,1)$ and kurtosis parameter $q>0$. We denote this as $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{S E}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \psi ; q)$. Properties of this family are discussed in Gómez, Quintana and Torres (2007) and Bulut and Arslan (2015).

Using the representation (3.5), the following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $F_{i} \sim \mathbf{S E}_{1}\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}, \psi ; q\right)$, where $\mu_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma_{i}>0$, $\psi$ is a characteristic generator for an n-variate slash-elliptical distribution. Then $\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is JM if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \geq 2 \max \left\{\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}\right\}
$$

### 3.3 Skew-Elliptical Distributions

A univariate random variable $Z$ has a skew-elliptical distribution if its probability density function (pdf) is

$$
2 g(z) \pi(\lambda z),-\infty<z<\infty
$$

where $g$ is a pdf of univariate elliptical distribution with center $0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi$ is the distribution function of $g$. We write $Z \sim S E_{1}(0, g, \pi, \lambda)$. In particular, if $g$ is the pdf of $N(0,1)$, then $Z$ is called has a skew-normal distribution and write $Z \sim S N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \lambda\right)$. A random variable $X$ follows a skew scale mixture of normal distribution with location parameter $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, scale parameter $\sigma^{2}$ and skewness parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if its pdf is given by

$$
g(x)=2 g_{0}(x) \Phi\left(\lambda \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right), x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \Phi$ is the distribution function of $N(0,1)$ and $g_{0}$ is the pdf of scale mixture of normal distribution defined as

$$
g_{0}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi\left(x ; \mu, v^{2} \sigma^{2}\right) d H(v)
$$

Here $H$ is a (unidimensional) probability distribution function such that $H(0)=0$. We use the notation $X \sim \operatorname{SSMN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \lambda, H\right)$. For more details see Andrews and Mallows (1974) and Gómez-Sánchez-Manzano et al. (2006).

For complete mixability of skew-normal distribution, we have the following result.
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $F$ has the distribution $\operatorname{SN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \lambda\right)$, then $F$ is not n-CM $(n \geq 2)$ for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$.

Proof Assume random variable $X_{\lambda}$ has the distribution $F$. Since $X_{\lambda} \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma X+\mu$, where $X \sim S N(0,1, \lambda)$, we prove the theorem for the case $X_{\lambda} \sim S N(0,1, \lambda)$ only. It follows from Henze (1986) that if $X_{\lambda} \sim S N(0,1, \lambda)$, then it has the stochastic representation

$$
X_{\lambda} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}|U|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} V
$$

where $U$ and $V$ are independent $N(0,1)$ random variables. Moreover,

$$
E\left(X_{\lambda}\right)=\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\lambda>0$. For any $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ are independent $N(0,1)$ random variables, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|U_{i}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}>n E\left(X_{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
& \geq P\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|U_{i}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}>n E\left(X_{\lambda}\right), \bigcap_{i=2}^{n}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}\left|U_{i}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} V_{i} \geq 0\right\}\right) \\
& \geq P\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}\left|U_{1}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} V_{1}>n E\left(X_{\lambda}\right), \bigcap_{i=2}^{n}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}\left|U_{i}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} V_{i} \geq 0\right\}\right) \\
& \geq 1-P\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}\left|U_{1}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} V_{1} \leq n E\left(X_{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=2}^{n} P\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}\left|U_{i}\right|+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}} V_{i}<0\right)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $\lambda$. This shows that the distribution $S N(0,1, \lambda)$ is not $n$-CM $(n \geq 2)$ for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$.

Remark 3.2. For $F \sim S N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \lambda\right)$, we conjecture that there exists an integer $n_{0}(\lambda)$ such that $F$ is not $n-C M$ for $n \leq n_{0}(\lambda)$ and, $F$ is $n-C M$ for $n>n_{0}(\lambda)$; For an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a $\lambda_{0}(n) \geq 0$ such that $F$ is $n$-CM whenever $|\lambda| \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}(n)\right]$ and, $F$ is not $n$-CM whenever $|\lambda| \in\left(\lambda_{0}(n), \infty\right)$.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $F$ has the distribution $\operatorname{SSM}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \lambda, H\right)$, then $F$ is not $n-C M(n \geq 2)$ for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$.

Proof For any $X_{i} \sim \operatorname{SSMN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}, \lambda, H\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ and $V \sim H$ such that $V$ is independent of $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$. By the definition of skew scale mixture of normal distribution, we have

$$
X_{i} \mid V=v \sim S N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} v^{2}, \lambda v\right)
$$

Then for any constant $C$ and sufficiently large $|\lambda|$, using Theorem 3.3,

$$
P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=C\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=C \mid V=v\right) d H(v)<\int_{0}^{\infty} d H(v)=1 .
$$

Thus $F$ is not $n$-CM for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$.
Remark 3.3. It seems we can guess that as long as $F$ is asymmetric on $(-\infty, \infty)$ with unbounded support from two sides, then $F$ is not n-CM. But it is wrong. The following is a counterexample. Assume $P$ is continuous distribution on interval $(-1,1)$ having an asymmetric concave density and centered at $0, Q$ is normal $N(0,1)$. Then for any $\lambda \in(0,1), \lambda P+(1-\lambda) Q$ is asymmetric and by the additivity (see Proposition 2.1 (3) in Wang and Wang (2011)) it is $n-C M$ for $n \geq 3$.

## 4 Extensions to multivariate distributions

In this section we extent some results in Section 3 to the class of $n$-variate elliptically contoured distributions. We first introduce some notions. The notation $\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{A})$ denotes the vector $\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\top}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\top}\right)^{\top}$, where $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ denotes the $i$ th column of $p \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. we use $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$ to denote the Kronecker product of the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$; We use $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ to denote the trace of the square matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and $\operatorname{etr}(\mathbf{A})$ to denote $\exp (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}))$ if $\mathbf{A}$ is a square matrix. We use the following definition given in Gupta, Varga and Bodnar (2013).

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a random matrix of dimensions $p \times n$. Then, $\mathbf{X}$ is said to have a matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution if its characteristic function has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(e \operatorname{tr}\left(i \mathbf{T}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)\right)=\operatorname{etr}\left(i \mathbf{T}^{\top} \mathbf{M}\right) \Psi\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{T}: p \times n, \mathbf{M}: p \times n, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}: p \times p, \boldsymbol{\Phi}: n \times n, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \geq 0$ (positive semidefinite), $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \geq 0$, and $\Psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This distribution will be denoted by $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p, n}(\mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \Phi, \Psi)$.

The important special case of matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution is the matrix variate normal distribution $\left(\mathbf{X} \sim N_{p, n}(\mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi})\right.$ ), its characteristic function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\operatorname{etr}\left(i \mathbf{T}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)\right)=\operatorname{etr}\left(i \mathbf{T}^{\top} \mathbf{M}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma shows that linear functions of a random matrix with matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution have elliptically contoured distributions also (see Theorem 2.2 in Gupta, Varga and Bodnar (2013)).

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p, n}(\mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \Psi)$. Assume $\mathbf{C}: q \times m, \mathbf{A}: q \times p$, and $\mathbf{B}: n \times m$ are constant matrices. Then,

$$
\mathbf{A X B}+\mathbf{C} \sim E_{p, n}\left(\mathbf{A M B}+\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{B}, \Psi\right)
$$

The next lemma gives the marginal distributions of a matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution (see Theorem 2.9 in Gupta, Varga and Bodnar (2013)).

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p, n}(\mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \Psi)$, and partition $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{M}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ as

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}\right), \mathbf{M}=\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{\Phi}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{11} & \Phi_{12} \\
\Phi_{21} & \Phi_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is $p \times m, \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is $p \times m$, and $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ is $m \times m, 1 \leq m<n$. Then

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim E_{p, m}\left(\mathbf{M}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Phi}_{11}, \Psi\right) .
$$

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $F \sim \mathbf{E}_{p}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \Psi)$, where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \geq 0$ is a $p \times p$ matrix, $\Psi$ is a characteristic generator for a $p \times n$ matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution ( $n \geq$ 2). Then there exist $n$ p-dimensional random vectors $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{X}_{n}$ identically distributed as $F$ such that

$$
P\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{X}_{n}=\mathbf{0}\right)=1 .
$$

Proof Using Lemma 4.2 we can choose $\mathbf{X} \sim E_{p, n}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \Psi)$ with all marginals $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ 's (the $i$ th column of $\mathbf{X}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$ ) have the same $p$-elliptical distribution $\mathbf{E}_{p}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \Psi)$, where $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \geq 0$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with diagonal elements are 1 . Using Lemma 4.1 one finds that $\mathbf{X}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{X}_{n}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}_{n} \sim \mathbf{E}_{n}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{t}} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}\right), \Psi\right)$. Taking $\boldsymbol{\Phi}=(1-\rho) E_{n}+\rho \mathbf{e}_{n} \mathbf{e}_{n}^{T}$ with $\rho=-\frac{1}{n-1}, E_{n}$ is $n \times n$ identity matrix. It follows that

$$
P\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{X}_{n}=\mathbf{0}\right)=1 .
$$

Corollary 4.1. If $F \sim \mathbf{E}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \Psi)$, where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \geq 0$ is a $p \times p$ matrix, $\Psi$ is a characteristic generator for a $p \times n$ matrix variate elliptically contoured distribution, then for any $n \geq 2$, there exist p-dimensional random vectors $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{X}_{n}$ identically distributed as $F$ such that

$$
P\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}+\cdots+\mathbf{X}_{n}=n \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)=1 .
$$

## 5 Conclusions and future work

We present three new proofs to a result due to Wang, Peng and Yang (2013) on JM of elliptical distributions with the same characteristic generator. We generalize this result to any distributions with finite second moments. Moreover, we solve an open problem proposed by Wang (2015). We also extent some results to a class of multivariate elliptically contoured distributions. A full characterization of complete or joint mixability is still open. In particular, find necessary and sufficient conditions for complete mixability or joint mixability of bounded distributions or aymmetric distributions or multimodal distributions there are still a lot of work to do. Further open questions in this field are collected in Wang (2015).
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