Neutron star dynamics under time dependent external torques

Erbil Gügercinoğlu¹* and M. Ali Alpar¹†

¹Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabancı University, Orhanlı, Tuzla, 34956 Istanbul, Turkey

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

The two component model describes neutron star dynamics incorporating the response of the superfluid interior. Conventional solutions and applications involve constant external torques, as appropriate for radio pulsars on dynamical timescales. We present the general solution of two component dynamics under arbitrary time dependent external torques, with internal torques that are linear in the rotation rates, or with the extremely non-linear internal torques due to vortex creep. The two-component model incorporating the response of linear or non-linear internal torques can now be applied not only to radio pulsars but also to magnetars and to neutron stars in binary systems, with strong observed variability and noise in the spin-down or spin-up rates. Our results allow the extraction of the time dependent external torques from the observed spin-down (or spin-up) time series, $\hat{\Omega}(t)$. Applications are discussed.

Key words: stars: neutron — pulsars: general — stars: magnetars — X-rays: binaries

times after pulsar glitches.

neutron stars, the electrons that coexist with the neutron and proton superfluids in the interior are already coupled to the rigid rotation of

the crust on timescales much shorter than the observed relaxation

ferent relaxation times are observed after pulsars glitches (Alpar et

al. 1996; Akbal et al. 2017; Gügercinoğlu 2017). After exponen-

tial relaxation is over the response to the glitch continues with a

constant second derivative $\tilde{\Omega}_c$. Such power law behavior indicates

the presence of nonlinear internal torques. Alpar et al. (1984a) explained this nonlinear behavior in terms of vortex creep, the thermally activated motion of quantized vortices in the neutron star su-

possibly indicating a change in the external torque (Akbal et al.

2015; Archibald et al. 2016)). Hence the observed glitch associated

offsets $\Delta \dot{\Omega}_c$ in the crust spindown rate and the subsequent relax-

ation give a measure of the ratio of moments of inertia of the crust

The fact that $\Delta \dot{\Omega}_c / \dot{\Omega}_c \cong I_s / I_c \cong I_s / I \ll 1$ (where $I = I_c + I_s$ is the

total moment of inertia) indicates that most of the neutron star's

moment of inertia must be tightly coupled to the observed crust

on timescales shorter than the postglitch relaxation timescales. The

superfluid core that carries the bulk of the moment of inertia is in-

deed expected to couple to the outer crust and other normal (nonsuperfluid) components via the scattering of electrons from the neutron vortices, which carry a significant spontaneous magnetization

due to induced currents of superconducting protons around the neu-

There is no evidence that the external torque is changing in pulsar glitches (this may not be the case for the exceptional glitch of PSR J 1119–6127 where the pulsar signature changes at the glitch

perfluid against inhomogeneities that can pin vortices.

and the superfluid components involved:

 $\frac{\Delta \dot{\Omega}_{\rm c}}{\dot{\Omega}_{\rm c}} \cong \frac{I_{\rm s}}{I_{\rm c}}.$

Typically several distinct components of relaxation with dif-

1 INTRODUCTION

Pulsar glitches are typically followed by exponential relaxation with timescales of days to weeks and nonlinear relaxation that can extend to the next glitch. After the earliest observed glitches of the Crab and Vela pulsars Baym et al. (1969) pointed out that such slow relaxation indicates the presence of superfluid components of the neutron star, and proposed the two-component model for the dynamics of the neutron star crust coupled to a superfluid internal component:

$$I_{\rm c}\dot{\Omega}_{\rm c} + I_{\rm s}\dot{\Omega}_{\rm s} = N_{\rm ext} \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm s} = -\frac{\Omega_{\rm s} - \Omega_{\rm c}}{\tau_0} = -\frac{\omega}{\tau_0} \tag{2}$$

where I_c and I_s are the moments of inertia and Ω_c and Ω_s are the rotation rates of the crust and the superfluid, respectively, and $\omega \equiv \Omega_s - \Omega_c$ is the rotational velocity lag between the two components. The internal torque that the superfluid exerts on the crust is

$$N_{\rm int} \equiv -I_{\rm s}\Omega_{\rm s}.\tag{3}$$

This model explains the prompt exponential relaxation after a glitch. Note that the superfluid interior and solid crust in the neutron star bring about a fundamentally different structure to the rotational dynamics, depending on the lag $\omega \equiv \Omega_s - \Omega_c$ between the two components. By contrast, the rotational dynamics of a normal fluid star is described by the Navier-Stokes equation where the angular momentum transport by viscosity depends on the local gradients of the rotational velocity, $\nabla \Omega(r)$. In the two component models for

^{*} Contact e-mail: egugercinoglu@sabanciuniv.edu

[†] Contact e-mail: alpar@sabanciuniv.edu

2 Gügercinoğlu & Alpar

tron vortex lines (Alpar et al. 1984b). Because I_s/I_c is small one can treat the effect of each individual superfluid layer with its particular coupling to the crust separately and then add the effects of the different superfluid components on the dynamics of the crust. Taking into account the neutron effective mass in the inner crust lattice (Chamel 2012) increases the I_s values inferred from the observations somewhat, but it remains true that $I_s/I_c \leq 10\%$.

The two component model is generalized by replacing Equation (2) with

$$\Omega_{\rm s} = -f(\omega) \tag{4}$$

to include nonlinear coupling. The original linear two component model is obtained when the coupling is linear in the lag, $f(\omega) = \omega/\tau_0$, while in the nonlinear regime of the vortex creep model $f(\omega) = C \exp(\omega/\varpi)$, C and ϖ being constants that depend on the temperature, pinning energy, average vortex density in the superfluid and steady state spindown rate (Alpar et al. 1984a).

The equation for the evolution of the lag $\omega \equiv \Omega_s - \Omega_c$ is

$$\dot{\omega} = -\frac{I}{I_{\rm c}}f(\omega) - \frac{N_{\rm ext}}{I_{\rm c}}.$$
(5)

In the linear case we have

$$\dot{\omega} = -\frac{I}{I_c} \frac{\omega}{\tau_0} - \frac{N_{\text{ext}}}{I_c}$$
$$= -\frac{\omega}{\tau} - \frac{N_{\text{ext}}}{I_c},$$
(6)

defining the relaxation time $\tau \equiv \frac{I_c}{I} \tau_0$ of the system in terms of the coupling time τ_0 .

The two component system has a steady state defined by

$$\dot{\omega} = 0$$

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm s} = \dot{\Omega}_{\rm c} = \frac{N_{\rm ext}}{I}.$$
 (7)

The steady state value ω_{∞} of the lag is determined by

$$f(\omega_{\infty}) = -\frac{N_{\text{ext}}}{I}.$$
(8)

In the linear case this gives

$$\omega_{\infty} = -\frac{N_{\text{ext}}}{I}\tau_0. \tag{9}$$

In a glitch the crust's rotation rate increases by $\Delta\Omega_c$. The superfluid rotation rate will decrease by $\delta\Omega_s$ if vortices unpinned in an avalanche in the glitch move through that superfluid region. The lag will be offset from the steady state by $\delta\omega = \Delta\Omega_c + \delta\Omega_s$. The subsequent post-glitch evolution back towards steady state is given by the solution of Equation (5) with the appropriate $f(\omega)$, i.e. with $f(\omega) = C \exp(\omega/\varpi)$ for nonlinear vortex creep and the solution of Equation (6) in the linear case. The postglitch relaxation of the superfluid rotation rate is then derived from Equation (4) (or Equation (2) in the linear case). Finally the postglitch relaxation of the observed crust rotation rate is calculated using Equation (1).

This program has been developed for the effects of superfluid regions with both linear or nonlinear coupling to the crust, in particular detail for the Vela (Alpar et al. 1984a, 1993; Akbal et al. 2017) and Crab pulsar glitches (Alpar et al. 1996). Model fits to postglitch and interglitch timing behaviour yields information on the fractional moments of inertia and physical properties of the superfluid regions. The resulting understanding of internal torques allows one to predict the time of the next glitch with some accuracy. Furthermore, by subtracting the effect of internal torques one can extract the braking index n that characterizes the external torque

(Akbal et al. 2017). All applications of the two component model so far were made for radio pulsars, for which the external torque was taken to be constant, with good justification, since the secular timescale for changes in the pulsar external torque, the characteristic spindown time $\tau_{sd} \equiv \Omega/(2|\dot{\Omega}|)$, is much longer than observed dynamical timescales of postglitch relaxation or the observed intervals between subsequent glitches. Low level pulsar timing noise could be neglected without significant effect on the model fits.

By contrast, neutron stars in X-ray binaries, magnetars and transients exhibit strong variations in the observed spin-down or spin-up rates indicating variability in the external torque, including strong torque noise. The most comprehensive study on timing noise in pulsars by Hobbs et al. (2010) has revealed that for pulsars with characteristic ages $\tau_c = 10^5$ yr the dominant contribution to timing noise comes during the recovery from glitches. Some radio pulsars and magnetars show cyclic or oscillating components in their spin parameters (Lyne et al. 2010; Biryukov et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2016) which can be interpreted as evidence for changing external torques. Livingstone et al. (2011) have reported that for the high magnetic field pulsar PSR J1846-0258 the level of the timing noise increased following its magnetar-like outburst. Magnetars do not have a stable spin down (Kaspi & Beloborodov 2017). Variations in spindown rate are most pronounced following the outbursts and the glitches (Dib & Kaspi 2014). High mass X-ray binaries also typically display strong variability and noise in their timing properties (Lewin & van der Klis 2006).

In this paper, we present the general solution of two component dynamics under arbitrary time dependent external torques, in conjunction with linear internal torques or with the extremely nonlinear internal torques for non-linear vortex creep. Our work generalizes and extends the linear two component neutron star models developed by Baym et al. (1969) to explain postglitch evolution with constant external torques and by Lamb et al. (1978a,b); Baykal et al. (1991) to interpret timing noise in X-ray binaries in terms of transient epochs of accretion and sporadic unpinning events that give rise to time dependent torque variations and the nonlinear two component model of Alpar et al. (1984a). In Section 2 we summarize earlier results with linear or nonlinear internal torques and constant external torques. In Sections 3 and 4 we present the general solutions for time dependent external torques with linear and non-linear internal torques, respectively. In Section 5 we discuss applications with variable external torques, including timing noise, for neutron stars in binaries, magnetars and transients. Section 6 presents our conclusions.

2 TWO-COMPONENT MODELS WITH CONSTANT EXTERNAL TORQUE

Linear internal torques, with $f(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{\tau_0}$ realize in many different physical contexts like mutual friction, drag forces between superfluid and normal matter components, or the linear regime of the vortex creep model. Equation (6) leads to the solution

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm c} = \dot{\Omega}_{\rm c}(0) - \frac{I_{\rm s}}{I} \frac{\delta\omega(0)}{\tau} e^{-t/\tau},\tag{10}$$

under constant external torques. The linear response is simple exponential relaxation with an amplitude that is linear in the glitch induced perturbation $\delta\omega(0)$, and proportional to the moment of inertia fraction I_s/I . For each specific model, τ depends on the physical parameters of the model. In a region of superfluid where no glitch induced vortex motion takes place the offset $\delta\omega$ is simply the glitch $\Delta\Omega_c$ in the crust rotation rate.

In the vortex creep model a superfluid component with vortex pinning spins down by the thermally activated flow (creep) of vortices against pinning potentials. The spindown rate is

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm s} = -\frac{4\Omega_{\rm s}v_0}{r} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\rm p}}{kT}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{\varpi}\right),\tag{11}$$

where

$$\varpi \equiv \frac{kT}{E_{\rm p}}\omega_{\rm cr}.\tag{12}$$

Here E_p is the pinning energy, *T* denotes the temperature, the distance *r* of the vortex lines from the rotational axis is approximately equal to the neutron star radius *R* in crustal layers and $v_0 \approx 10^7$ cm/s is the microscopic vortex velocity around pinning centres (Alpar et al. 1984a; Gügercinoğlu & Alpar 2016). Depending on the external torque and the values of E_p/kT , Equation (11) can indicate a linear regime when $\sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{\varpi}\right) \cong \frac{\omega}{\varpi}$ or a nonlinear regime, both of which seem to occur in neutron stars (Alpar et al. 1989; Gügercinoğlu & Alpar 2014). In the linear regime, the response to a glitch is the linear response given in Equation (10), with relaxation time

$$\tau = \frac{I_{\rm c}}{I} \tau_{\rm l} \equiv \frac{I_{\rm c}}{I} \frac{kT}{E_{\rm p}} \frac{R\omega_{\rm cr}}{4\Omega_{\rm s} v_0} \exp\left(\frac{E_{\rm p}}{kT}\right). \tag{13}$$

In the nonlinear regime, the values of the parameters, in particular of E_p/kT are such that Equation (11) requires $\sinh(\omega/\varpi) \gg 1$, so that $\sinh(\omega/\varpi) \simeq (1/2)\exp(\omega/\varpi)$, and

$$f(\omega) = \frac{\varpi}{2\tau_1} \exp(\omega/\varpi).$$
(14)

Equations (1) and (4) then yield

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm c} = \frac{N_{\rm ext}}{I_{\rm c}} + \frac{I_{\rm s}}{I_{\rm c}} \frac{\varpi}{2\tau_{\rm l}} \exp(\omega/\varpi). \tag{15}$$

For a constant external torque $N_{\text{ext}} = I\dot{\Omega}_{\infty}$ the solution for the observed crust spindown rate is

$$\dot{\Omega}_{c}(t) = \frac{I}{I_{c}}\dot{\Omega}_{\infty} - \frac{I_{s}}{I_{c}}\dot{\Omega}_{\infty} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left[\exp\left(\frac{t_{0}}{\tau_{nl}}\right) - 1\right]\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{nl}}\frac{I}{I_{c}}\right)}\right], \quad (16)$$

with a nonlinear creep relaxation time

$$\tau_{\rm nl} \equiv \frac{kT}{E_{\rm p}} \frac{I\omega_{\rm cr}}{N_{\rm ext}} = \frac{kT}{E_{\rm p}} \frac{\omega_{\rm cr}}{|\dot{\Omega}|_{\infty}},\tag{17}$$

and recoupling (waiting) timescale

$$t_0 \equiv \frac{I\delta\omega}{N_{\text{ext}}} = \frac{\delta\omega}{|\dot{\Omega}|_{\infty}}.$$
(18)

When the external torque is taken to be constant during a glitch angular momentum conservation leads to $\delta\Omega_s = (I_c/I_s)\Delta\Omega_c \gg \Delta\Omega_c$, and $\delta\omega \approx \delta\Omega_s$. Nonlinear creep regions are responsible for glitches through vortex unpinning avalanches and creep restarts after a waiting time $t_0 \approx \delta\Omega_s/|\dot{\Omega}|_{\infty}$. The approximately constant second derivative $\ddot{\Omega}_c$ interglitch timing behaviour observed in the Vela and other pulsars (Akbal et al. 2017; Yu et al. 2013) corresponds to a uniform density of vortices unpinned at the glitch leading to a range of waiting times throughout the superfluid regions.

Two component models with linear or nonlinear internal torques have so far been applied to post-glitch or inter-glitch timing behaviour of radio pulsars (Alpar et al. 1993, 1996; Akbal et al. 2015, 2017; Gügercinoğlu 2017), where the external torque, with a secular (characteristic) timescale $\tau_c \equiv \Omega_c/2|\dot{\Omega}_c| \sim 10^3 - 10^6$ yr is constant for timescales of observed postglitch relaxation.

3 LINEAR TWO-COMPONENT MODEL WITH A TIME DEPENDENT EXTERNAL TORQUE

Neutron stars in (especially high mass) X-ray binaries and magnetars display strongly variable timing behaviour on observational timescales. The postglitch response with a linear internal torque and a time varying external torque is described by

$$\dot{\omega} = -\frac{\omega}{\tau} - \frac{N_{\text{ext}}(t)}{I_{\text{c}}}.$$
(19)

The relaxation time τ is given by the physical processes of the internal torque. On multiplying both sides with an integration factor $e^{t/\tau}$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\omega e^{t/\tau} \right] = -\frac{N_{\text{ext}}(t)}{I_{\text{c}}} e^{t/\tau},\tag{20}$$

which gives

$$\omega(t) = e^{-t/\tau} \left[\omega(0) - \frac{1}{I_c} \int_0^t e^{t'/\tau} N_{\text{ext}}(t') dt' \right].$$
 (21)

Equations (1), (19), and (21) yield

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm c}(t) = \frac{N_{\rm ext}(t)}{I_{\rm c}} + \frac{I_{\rm s}}{I} \left(\frac{e^{(-t/\tau)}}{\tau} \left[\omega(0) - \frac{1}{I_{\rm c}} \int_0^t e^{(t'/\tau)} N_{\rm ext}(t') dt' \right] \right).$$
(22)

4 NONLINEAR VORTEX CREEP MODEL WITH A TIME DEPENDENT EXTERNAL TORQUE

For nonlinear vortex creep Equations (5) and (11) lead to

$$\dot{\omega} = -\frac{I\varpi}{2I_{\rm c}\tau_{\rm l}}e^{\omega/\varpi} - \frac{N_{\rm ext}(t)}{I_{\rm c}},\tag{23}$$

with a time dependent external torque. Defining $y \equiv \exp(-\omega/\varpi)$, Equation (23) becomes a Bernoulli type equation:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} - \frac{N_{\text{ext}}(t)}{I_c \varpi} y - \frac{I}{2I_c \tau_1} = 0.$$
(24)

This equation has an integration factor $\exp\left(-\frac{X(t)}{L_{\alpha}}\right)$ where

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = N_{\text{ext}}(t) \Longleftrightarrow X(t) = \int_0^t N_{\text{ext}}(t')dt'.$$
(25)

The angular velocity lag ω displays an exponential dependence on the glitch induced changes in $\omega(0)$ and on X(t), the cumulative angular momentum transfer by the external torque:

$$e^{-(\omega/\varpi)} = e^{-\omega(0)/\varpi} \exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{I_c \varpi}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{I_c \varpi}\right) \int_0^t \frac{I}{2I_c \tau_1} \exp\left(-\frac{X(t')}{I_c \varpi}\right) dt'.$$
 (26)

The most general response of nonlinear creep to a glitch in the presence of a time dependent external torque is obtained from Equations (1), (23), and (26):

$$\dot{\Omega}_{c}(t) = \frac{N_{ext}(t)}{I_{c}} + \frac{I_{s}}{I_{c}} \frac{\varpi}{2\tau_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{I_{c}} - \omega(0)}{\frac{1}{\varpi}}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{X(t)}{I_{c}\varpi}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{I}{2I_{c}\tau_{1}} \exp\left(-\frac{X(t')}{I_{c}\varpi}\right) dt'} \right]$$
(27)

By introducing the external torque averaged over the period of

time t as $\langle N_{\text{ext}}(t) \rangle = X(t)/t$ the nonlinear creep timescale and the waiting time can be expressed by

$$\tau_{\rm nl}(t) \equiv \frac{kT}{E_{\rm p}} \frac{I\omega_{\rm cr}}{\langle N_{\rm ext}(t) \rangle},\tag{28}$$

and

$$t_0(t) \equiv \frac{I\delta\omega(0)}{\langle N_{\text{ext}}(t) \rangle},\tag{29}$$

for the case of a time dependent external torque. In contrast to the case of constant external torque, Equations (17) and (18), the nonlinear creep time scales themselves are now time dependent, involving the running time average of the external torque.

5 APPLICATIONS

The neutron star core superfluid contains most of the moment of inertia of the star so that $I_{s,core}/I \sim 1$. This makes the 'direct' and integrated response terms in Equations (22) and (27) of comparable magnitude. The internal torques coupling the core superfluid to the crust are expected to display linear response. The expected coupling times are (10 - 200)P in the case of electron scattering from spontaneously magnetized neutron vortex lines (Alpar et al. 1984b; Sidery & Alpar 2009) and therefore do not contribute to the observed response except possibly in the longest period neutron stars. When flux tube-vortex line interactions are taken into account the coupling times become much shorter (Sidery & Alpar 2009; Gügercinoğlu & Alpar 2016). Thus, core superfluid effects will not be observable. Indeed, all changes in $\dot{\Omega}_c$ remain within $\frac{\Delta \dot{\Omega}_c}{\dot{\Omega}_c} \lesssim 10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$. This indicates that only the crustal superfluid and toroidal field region in the outer core can take part in observable phenomena (Gügercinoğlu & Alpar 2014).

For the crust and outer core toroidal field region superfluids the ratio I_s/I is of order 0.1 or less as deduced from the glitch data with constant external torque (Gügercinoğlu & Alpar 2014; Gügercinoğlu 2017). The direct term $N_{\text{ext}}(t)/I_c$ therefore dominates in the solution Equations (22) and (27). This fact allows us to follow a simple strategy: model fits can start by fitting the direct term to the data first to extract $N_{\text{ext}}(t) = I\dot{\Omega}_c(t)$. The model can then be checked by comparing the integrated (second) term to the residuals of the first fit. For the linear response case we have exact analytical expressions. The nonlinear coupling case is more complicated, and has to be handled numerically. In any case, the same strategy applies.

We will consider three particular cases for time dependent external torques; (i) an exponentially decaying external torque, (ii) power law time dependence and (iii) timing noise.

Evaluating Equation (22) for an exponentially decaying torque with a time scale τ_d added to the preglitch external torque N_0 yields

$$N_{\text{ext}}(t) = N_0 + \delta N e^{-t/\tau_{\text{d}}} = I \dot{\Omega}_{\infty} + \delta N e^{-t/\tau_{\text{d}}}.$$
(30)

For linear internal torques Equation (22) gives the solution

$$\dot{\Omega}_{c}(t) = \dot{\Omega}_{\infty} + \frac{\delta N}{I_{c}} e^{-t/\tau_{d}} \left[1 - \frac{I_{s}}{I} \frac{\tau_{d}}{\tau_{d} - \tau} \right] + \frac{I_{s}}{I} \left[e^{-t/\tau} \left(\frac{\omega(0)}{\tau} + \frac{I}{I_{c}} \dot{\Omega}_{\infty} + \frac{\delta N}{I_{c}} \frac{\tau_{d}}{\tau_{d} - \tau} \right) \right].$$
(31)

For nonlinear creep the solution given in Equation (27) depends on the integrated angular momentum transfer X(t) which is

$$X(t) = N_0 t + \delta N \tau_{\rm d} [1 - e^{-t/\tau_{\rm d}}], \qquad (32)$$

for the exponentially decaying external torque. Substituting this in Equation (27) gives the solution.

Second, we evaluate Equation (22) for a power law torque with index α added to the preglitch torque:

$$N_{\text{ext}}(t) = N_0 + \frac{\delta N t_0^{\alpha}}{(t+t_0)^{\alpha}} = I \dot{\Omega}_{\infty} + \frac{\delta N t_0^{\alpha}}{(t+t_0)^{\alpha}}.$$
(33)

Equation (22) gives

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Omega}_{c}(t) &= \dot{\Omega}_{\infty} + \frac{\delta N}{I_{c}} \frac{t_{0}^{\alpha}}{(t+t_{0})^{\alpha}} \left[1 - \frac{I_{s}}{I} \frac{(t+t_{0})}{(1-\alpha)\tau} \right] \\ &+ \frac{I_{s}}{I} \left[e^{-t/\tau} \left(\frac{\omega(0)}{\tau} + \frac{I}{I_{c}} \dot{\Omega}_{\infty} + \frac{\delta N}{I_{c}} \frac{t_{0}}{(1-\alpha)\tau} + \frac{I_{s}}{I} \frac{\delta N}{I_{c}} \frac{t_{0}^{\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\tau^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{t'/\tau} dt'}{(t+t_{0})^{\alpha-1}} \right) \right]$$
(34)

for linear internal torques. The integrated angular momentum transfer X(t) is

$$X(t) = N_0 t + \frac{\delta N t_0}{\alpha - 1} \left[1 - \frac{t_0^{\alpha - 1}}{(t + t_0)^{\alpha - 1}} \right],$$
(35)

defining the response with nonlinear internal torques through Equation (27).

To illustrate noise processes, we choose white torque noise in the linear response regime. Noise processes are best described in terms of power spectra. White noise corresponds to spikes occurring at random times in the data, and is expressed in terms of Dirac delta functions as

$$N_{\text{ext}} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \delta(t - t_{i}), \qquad (36)$$

where α_i are amplitudes of torque variations. For linear coupling Equations (22) and (36) lead to the power spectrum

$$P(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\frac{2 < \alpha^2 >}{I_c^2} + \left(\frac{I_s/I}{1 + (2\pi\tau f)^2} \right) \left(\frac{<\alpha > <\omega >}{I_c} - \frac{<\alpha^2 >}{I_c^2} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\left(\frac{(I_s/I)^2}{1 + (2\pi\tau f)^2} \right) \left(\frac{2 < \alpha^2 >}{I_c^2} + 2 < \omega^2 > - \frac{<\alpha > <\omega >}{I_c} \right) \right],$$
(37)

where $< \alpha >$ is the mean of external torque variation amplitude and $< \omega >$ denotes the mean value of the angular velocity lag. The solution (37) can be compared with the timing data. To lowest order we find the model power spectrum, a constant P(f) for white noise. To order I_s/I we find the power spectrum of the integrated process, which is flat at low f and a random walk spectrum, $P(f) \propto f^{-2}$, at high frequencies $f \gg \tau^{-1}$. In line with our strategy, the first fit will give the strength of the noise process, the term proportional to $< \alpha^2 >$. The residuals, to order I_s/I will yield information on the other parameters $<\alpha><\omega>$ and $<\omega^2>$. The term proportional to $(I_{\rm s}/I)^2$ can be neglected to a good approximation. For other noise processes, we expect to find the power spectrum of the torque noise from the lowest order fit to the observed power spectrum of $\dot{\Omega}_c$, and as far as the resolution of the timing data allows, the residuals to order I_s/I would contain the power spectrum of the corresponding integrated process. Comparison of power spectra before and after a glitch can yield information on the glitch-noise correlation.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented, for the first time, the general solution of the temporal behaviour of a two component neutron star under time dependent torques. Both linear and nonlinear internal torques are taken into consideration. Analytical expressions are obtained with arbitrary time dependent external torques. We have explored the specific examples of exponentially decaying and power law external torques as well as white torque noise. To the lowest approximation, the observed $\dot{\Omega}_{c}(t)$ reflects the external torque. Contributions of the integrated superfluid response are of the order of the moment of inertia fraction of the superfluid component, $I_s/I \leq 10^{-1}$. The superfluid parameters can be extracted from the data after removing the external torque term $N_{\text{ext}}(t)/I_{\text{c}}$ as the lowest order fit to $\dot{\Omega}_{\text{c}}(t)$. The residuals reflect the contribution in proportion to I_s/I of the integrated response of internal superfluid torque and its coupling to the variation in the external torque. The amount of superfluid participating in the event, the strength of the superfluid-crust coupling and parameters of the superfluid relaxation process can be inferred from the observations. In the linear response case the creep relaxation timescale decouples from the external torque so that it is a constant solely dependent on superfluid-normal matter interaction parameters in the inner crust. Linear response allows for analytical solutions for spindown rate. Numerical calculations are needed in the case of nonlinear coupling. Nonlinear relaxation timescales involve mean spin-down rates and thus change along with the data span used. Information on the superfluid components, and therefore on the neutron star structure can thus be extracted for neutron stars under variable external torques. The model can be tested for consistency as the residuals of order I_8/I are correlated with the

lowest order term $N_{\text{ext}}(t)/I_{\text{c}}$ in specified ways. The form of the external torque is determined from the preglitch epoch and picked up from the post event data. Our results are applicable to noisy radio pulsars, to the timing behaviour of magnetars and high mass X-ray binaries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) under the grant 113F354. M.A.A. is a member of the Science Academy (Bilim Akademisi), Turkey. We thank the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and for clarifying comments.

REFERENCES

- Akbal, O., Alpar, M. A., Buchner, S., & Pines, D., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 4183
- Akbal, O., Gügercinoğlu, E., Şaşmaz Muş, S., & Alpar, M. A., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 933
- Alpar, M. A., Anderson, P. W., Pines, D., & Shaham, J., 1984a, ApJ, 276, 325
- Alpar, M. A., Chau, H. F., Cheng, K. S., & Pines, D., 1993, ApJ, 409, 345
- Alpar, M. A., Chau, H. F., Cheng, K. S., & Pines, D., 1996, ApJ, 459, 706
- Alpar, M. A., Cheng, K. S., & Pines, D., 1989, ApJ, 346, 823
- Alpar, M. A., Langer, S. A., & Sauls, J. A., 1984b, ApJ, 282, 533
- Archibald, R. F., Kaspi, V. M., Tendulkar, S. P., & Scholz, P., 2016, ApJ, 829, L21
- Baykal, A., Alpar, M. A., & Kızıloğlu, Ü., 1991, A&A, 252, 664
- Baym, G., Pethick, C. J., Pines, D., & Ruderman, M., 1969, Nature, 224, 872
- Biryukov, A., Beskin, G., & Karpov, S., 2012, MNRAS, 420, 103

Chamel, N. 2012, Phys. Rev. C, 85, 035801

- Dib, R., & Kaspi, V. M., 2014, ApJ, 784, 37
- Gao, X. D., Zhang, S. N., Yi, S. X., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 459, 402
- Gügercinoğlu, E. 2017, MNRAS, 469, 2313
- Gügercinoğlu, E., & Alpar, M. A., 2014, ApJ, 788, L11
- Gügercinoğlu, E., & Alpar, M. A., 2016, MNRAS, 462, 1453
- Hobbs, G., Lyne, A. G., & Kramer, M., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 1027
- Kaspi, V. M., & Beloborodov, A., 2017, arXiv:1703.00068
- Lamb, F. K., Pines, D., & Shaham, J., 1978a, ApJ, 224, 969
- Lamb, F. K., Pines, D., & Shaham, J., 1978b, ApJ, 225, 582
- Lewin, W. H. G., & van der Klis, M., 2006, Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources (New York, Cambridge University Press)
- Livingstone, M. A., Ng, C. Y., Kaspi, V. M., et al. 2011, ApJ, 730, 66
- Lyne, A. G., Hobbs, G., Kramer, M., et al. 2010, Science, 329, 408
- Sidery, T., & Alpar, M. A. 2009, MNRAS, 400, 1859
- Yu M., Manchester R. N., Hobbs G., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 688

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/ ${\rm L\!A\!T}_{\!E\!X}$ file prepared by the author.