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Abstract

In this article the infinite product of bicomplex numbers is defined and the convergence and divergence of this product are discussed.
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1 Introduction

William Rowan Hamilton (1805 – 1865) discovered quaternions in 1843. In 1853 Hamilton introduced the idea of biquaternions. H. Hankel developed a general system of “complex numbers with several real generators” in 1867. Also the mathematicians like G. Berloty (1886), E. Study (1889), (1890), G. Scheffers (1891), (1893) and C. Segre (1892) continued their study in this area.

In 1882 Corrado Segre (1860 – 1924) introduced a new number system called bicomplex numbers. Futagawa (1928), (1932) has published two articles on the theory of functions of quadruples, which are equivalent to the couples of complex numbers. Scheffers developed the notion of holomorphic bicomplex function. L. Tchakalov (1924), F. Ringleb (1933), T. Takasu (1943), J. D. Riley (1953) and many other mathematicians applied some ideas from classical complex analysis of one complex variables for the study of the holomorphy in bicomplex algebra.
Though the two number systems are generalization of complex numbers by four real numbers, they are essentially different. Quaternions form a noncommutative division algebra but bicomplex numbers \cite{7} form a commutative ring with divisors of zero. Recently many researchers (\cite{1}, \cite{4}, \cite{6}, \cite{9}, \cite{10}, \cite{11} and \cite{12}) found different (algebraic, geometric, topological and dynamical) properties of bicomplex numbers.

In this article the infinite product of bicomplex numbers is defined and the convergence and divergence of this product are discussed.

\section{Preliminaries}

\subsection{Basic definitions}

The set of complex numbers \( \mathbb{C} \) forms an algebra generated by two real numbers and an imaginary element \( i \) with the property \( i^2 = -1 \). Similarly duplicating this process by the complex numbers and three imaginary elements \( i_1, i_2 \) and \( j \) governed by the rules:

\begin{align*}
    i_1^2 &= i_2^2 = -1, j^2 = 1 \\
    i_1i_2 &= i_2i_1 = j \\
    i_1j &= ji_1 = -i_2 \\
    i_2j &= ji_2 = -i_1.
\end{align*}

one can define the bicomplex numbers.

Let us denote \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) = \{ x + i_1y : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \} \); and \( \mathbb{C}(i_2) = \{ x + i_2y : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \} \). Each of \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) \) and \( \mathbb{C}(i_2) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C} \).

\textbf{Definition 1 (Bicomplex numbers) \cite{9}} \textbf{Bicomplex numbers are defined as}

\[ T = \{ z_1 + i_2z_2 : z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i_1) \}. \]

One can identify \( \mathbb{C} \) into \( T \) in two different ways by the sets

\[ \mathbb{C}(i_1) = \{ z_1 + i_20 \} \subset T, \]

and \( \mathbb{C}(i_2) = \{ z_1 + i_2z_2 : z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R} \} \subset T. \)

Although \( \mathbb{C}(i_1) \) and \( \mathbb{C}(i_2) \) are isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C} \) they are essentially different in \( T \).
Definition 2 (Duplex numbers) \[9\] If we put \(z_1 = x\) and \(z_2 = i_1 y\) with \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\) in \(z_1 + i_2 z_2\), then we obtain the following subalgebra of hyperbolic numbers, also called duplex numbers,

\[
\mathbb{D} = \{x + jy : j^2 = 1, x; y \in \mathbb{R}\}.
\]

Definition 3 (Bicomplex conjugates) \[9\] For bicomplex numbers, there are three possible conjugations. Let \(w \in \mathbb{T}\) and \(z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}\) such that \(w = z_1 + i_2 z_2\). Then the three conjugations are defined as:

\[
\begin{align*}
w^{\dagger_1} &= (z_1 + i_2 z_2)^{\dagger_1} = \overline{z}_1 + i_2 \overline{z}_2, \\
w^{\dagger_2} &= (z_1 + i_2 z_2)^{\dagger_2} = z_1 - i_2 z_2, \\
w^{\dagger_3} &= (z_1 + i_2 z_2)^{\dagger_3} = \overline{z}_1 - i_2 \overline{z}_2.
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\overline{z}_k\) is the standard complex conjugate of complex numbers \(z_k \in \mathbb{C}\).

Definition 4 (Bicomplex modulus) \[9\] Let \(z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 \in \mathbb{T}\), then we have that:

\[
\begin{align*}
|w|^{\dagger_1} &= w.w^{\dagger_2} \in \mathbb{C}(i_1) \\
|w|^{\dagger_2} &= w.w^{\dagger_1} \in \mathbb{C}(i_2) \\
|w|^{\dagger_3} &= w.w^{\dagger_3} \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{align*}
\]

Also the Euclidean \(\mathbb{R}^4\)-norm defined as

\[
\|w\| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} = \sqrt{\text{Re}(|w|^{\dagger_3})}.
\]

Definition 5 (Complex square norm) \[12\] The complex (square) norm \(CN(w)\) of the bicomplex number \(w\) is the complex number \(z_1^2 + z_2^2\); as \(w^{\dagger_2} = z_1 - i_2 z_2\), we can see that \(CN(w) = w.w^{\dagger_2}\). Then a bicomplex number \(w = z_1 + i_2 z_2\) is invertible if and only if \(CN(w) \neq 0\). Precisely,

\[
w^{-1} = \frac{w^{\dagger_2}}{CN(w)} \Rightarrow (z_1 + i_2 z_2)^{-1} = \frac{z_1}{z_1^2 + z_2^2} - i_2 \frac{z_2}{z_1^2 + z_2^2}.
\]

One should note that \(CN(w)\) is not a real number. Also \(CN(w) = 0\) if and only if \(z_2 = i_1 z_1\) or \(z_2 = -i_1 z_1\) i.e. if we consider \(z_1\) and \(z_2\) to be vector then they are of equal length and perpendicular to each other.

Definition 6 (Null cone) \[14\] The set of all zero divisors is called the null cone. That terminology comes from the fact that when \(w\) is written as \(z_1 + i_2 z_2\), zero divisors are such that \(z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 0\). These elements are also called singular (non-invertible) elements, otherwise it is nonsingular (invertible). The set of all singular elements of \(\mathbb{T}\) is denoted by \(\mathcal{N}C\) or \(\mathcal{O}_2\).
Definition 7 (Idempotent basis) A bicomplex number \( w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 \) has the following unique idempotent representation:

\[
w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 = (z_1 - i_1 z_2) e_1 + (z_1 + i_1 z_2) e_2
\]

where \( e_1 = \frac{1 + i}{2} \) and \( e_2 = \frac{1 - i}{2} \).

Also

\[
x_1 + x_2 i_1 + x_3 i_2 + x_4 j = ((x_1 + x_4) + (x_2 - x_3)) e_1 + ((x_1 - x_4) + (x_2 + x_3)) e_2.
\]

Definition 8 (Projection) Two projection operators \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are defined from \( \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}(i_1) \) as

\[
P_1(z_1 + i_2 z_2) = (z_1 - i_1 z_2)
\]

\[
P_2(z_1 + i_2 z_2) = (z_1 + i_1 z_2).
\]

Thus a bicomplex number \( w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 \) is written as

\[
w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 = P_1(z_1 + i_2 z_2) e_1 + P_2(z_1 + i_2 z_2) e_2 = P_1(w) e_1 + P_2(w) e_2.
\]

This representation is very useful as addition, subtraction, multiplication and division can be done term by term.

2.2 Trigonometric representation of bicomplex numbers

If \( w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 \notin \mathcal{NC} \), then

\[
w = z_1 + i_2 z_2 = \sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2} \left( \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}} + i_2 \frac{z_2}{\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}} \right)
\]

\[
= r_c (\cos \Theta_c + i_2 \sin \Theta_c)
\]

\[
= r_c e^{i_2 \Theta_c}.
\]

where \( r_c = \sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2} \) and \( \Theta_c \) is the complex argument of \( w \), denoted by \( \arg_i w \), which is obtained by solving the system

\[
\cos \Theta_c = \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}}
\]

\[
\sin \Theta_c = \frac{z_2}{\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}}
\]
If we denote by $\Theta_{c0} = \theta_{01} + i_1 \theta_{02}$ the principal value of the complex argument, then
$$\text{arg}_{i_1} w = \{\Theta_{c0} + 2m\pi : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$ 

The principal value of the complex argument is denoted by $\text{Arg}_{i_1} w$.

For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\text{arg}_{i_1,m} w = \Theta_{c0} + 2m\pi$, the $m$-th branch of complex argument. Thus
$$\text{arg}_{i_1} w = \{\text{arg}_{i_1,m} w : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$ 

Also
$$\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{\cos \Theta_c}{\sin \Theta_c} \Rightarrow \frac{z_1 - i_1 z_2}{z_1 + i_1 z_2} = \frac{\cos \Theta_c - i_1 \sin \Theta_c}{\cos \Theta_c + i_1 \sin \Theta_c} \Rightarrow \frac{P_1(w)}{P_2(w)} = e^{-2i_1 \Theta_c} \Rightarrow -2i_1 \Theta_c = \log \frac{P_1(w)}{P_2(w)} \Rightarrow \Theta_c = i_1 \log \sqrt{\frac{P_1(w)}{P_2(w)}}.$$

### 2.3 Bicomplex exponential function

If $w$ is any bicomplex number then the sequence $(1 + \frac{w}{n})^n$ converges to a bicomplex number denoted by $\exp w$ or $e^w$, called the bicomplex exponential function, i.e.,
$$e^w = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{w}{n}\right)^n.$$ 

If $w = z_1 + i_2 z_2$, then we get the bicomplex version of Euler formula
$$e^w = e^{z_1} (\cos z_2 + i_2 \sin z_2) = e^{|w|_{i_1}} (\cos \text{arg}_{i_1} w + i_2 \sin \text{arg}_{i_1} w).$$

The bicomplex exponential function have the following properties:
- $e^{z_1}$ is the complex modulus and $z_2$ is the complex argument of $e^w$.
- $e^0 = 1$.
- For any bicomplex number $w$, $e^w \notin \mathcal{NC}$.
- For any two bicomplex numbers $w_1, w_2$, $e^{(w_1+w_2)} = e^{w_1}e^{w_2}$.
- $e^{(i_2\pi)} = -1$.
- $e^w = e^{(w+2\pi(i_1+n_2))}$ where $m, n$ are two integers.
2.4 Bicomplex logarithm

Let $u = v_1 + i_2 v_2$ be a bicomplex number and $w$ be another bicomplex number such that $e^u = w$. Then $w \notin NC$. From the equation $e^u = w$ we get

$$e^{v_1} (\cos v_2 + i_2 \sin v_2) = |w|_{i_1} (\cos \text{arg}_{i_1} w + i_2 \sin \text{arg}_{i_1} w).$$

It follows that

$$v_1 \in \log |w|_{i_1},$$

the complex logarithm of the complex number $|w|_{i_1}$, and that

$$v_2 \in \text{arg}_{i_1} w = \{\text{arg}_{i_1,m} w : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\text{Arg}_{i_1,w} + 2m\pi : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The bicomplex logarithm of a non-singular bicomplex number $w$ is a set defined by

$$\log w = \log |w|_{i_1} + i_2 \text{arg}_{i_1} w$$

where $\log_{m,n} w = \log |w|_{i_1} + i_1 \text{Arg}_{i_1} |w|_{i_1} + i_2 \text{arg}_{i_1} w + \{i_1 2m\pi + i_2 2n\pi\}$ is called the $(m, n)$-th branch of the bicomplex logarithm of $w$. The principal branch bicomplex logarithm is defined by $\text{Log}_w = \log |w|_{i_1} + i_1 \text{Arg}_{i_1} |w|_{i_1} + i_2 \text{arg}_{i_1} w$. Although the bicomplex logarithm is not a (univalued) function, every branch of bicomplex logarithm is a function defined on $T - NC$. If we write $\log w$ as a function we always take it to be the principal branch of logarithm unless otherwise stated.

If the idempotent representation of $w$ is $w = P_1(w)e_1 + P_2(w)e_2 = w'e_1 + w''e_2$ then from Ringleb Decomposition Theorem we get

$$\log w = (\log w') e_1 + (\log w'') e_2.$$ 

Also if the idempotent representation of $u$ is $u = P_1(u)e_1 + P_2(u)e_2 = u'e_1 + u''e_2$ then the equation $e^u = w$ is equivalent to the system of two complex equations

$$e^{u'} = w'$$

$$e^{u''} = w''$$
which have the solutions in complex logarithm \( \log w \) and \( \log w' \) respectively. Therefore

\[
\log w = \log |w|_{i_1} + i_2 \arg_{i_1} w \\
= \log \sqrt{P_1(w)P_2(w)} + i_2 \left( i_1 \log \sqrt{\frac{P_1(w)}{P_2(w)}} \right) \\
= \log \sqrt{w'w''} + i_2 \left( i_1 \log \sqrt{\frac{w'}{w''}} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \log w'w'' + i_2 \left( i_1 \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{w'}{w''} \right).
\]

### 2.5 Power series of bicomplex numbers

An infinite series of bicomplex numbers \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \) is said to be convergent if the sequence of its partial sums \( S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \) is convergent, i.e., for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists integer \( N \) such that \( \| S_n - S_m \| < \varepsilon \) for all \( m, n > N \).

If \( c_n = c'_n e_1 + c''_n e_2 \) then \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \) is convergent if and only if \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c'_n \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c''_n \) are convergent in the ordinary sense.

The series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \) converges to a bicomplex number \( S \) if for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists integer \( N \) such that \( \| S_n - S \| < \varepsilon \) for all \( n > N \).

It can be easily checked that \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \) converges to \( S = S'_n e_1 + S''_n e_2 \) if and only if \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c'_n \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c''_n \) converge to \( S'_n \) and \( S''_n \) respectively.

A power series of bicomplex numbers \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n w^n \) is said to be convergent if and only if \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c'_n w^n \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c''_n w'^m \) converge as \( c_n w^n = (c'_n e_1 + c''_n e_2) \left( w' e_1 + w'' e_2 \right)^n = (c'_n e_1 + c''_n e_2) \left( w'^m e_1 + w'^m e_2 \right) = c'_n w'^m e_1 + c''_n w'^m e_2 \).

The set of all interior points of the set of points at which a power series is convergent will be termed the region of convergence of the power series.
The series of bicomplex numbers \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \) converges absolutely if \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|c_n\| \) converges. One can verify the necessary and sufficient condition for the absolute convergence of the series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \) is the absolute convergence of the component series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c'_n \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c''_n \).

3 Infinite product of bicomplex numbers

Definition 9 (Infinite product) If \( \{w_n\} \) is a sequence of bicomplex numbers and if \( w = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_k \) exists, then \( w \) is the infinite product of the numbers \( w_n \) and it is denoted by

\[
w = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n.
\]

If no one of the numbers \( w_n \in \mathcal{NC} \) and \( w = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) exists then \( w \notin \mathcal{NC} \).

Let \( p_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_k \) for \( n \geq 1 \); then no \( p_n \in \mathcal{NC} \) and \( \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} = w_n \). Since \( w \notin \mathcal{NC} \) and \( p_n \to w \) we have that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = 1 \). So the necessary condition for the infinite product \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) to be convergent is that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = 1 \) if no one of the numbers \( w_n \in \mathcal{NC} \). Observe that for \( w_n = a \neq 0 \) for all \( n \) and \( \|a\| < 1 \), then \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = 0 \) although \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = a \neq 0 \).

Henceforth we will discuss the infinitely products \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) with the supposition that no one of the numbers \( w_n \in \mathcal{NC} \), unless mentioned otherwise.

Since \( e^{w_1 + w_2} = e^{w_1} e^{w_2} \) for \( w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{T} \), let us discuss the convergence of an infinite product by considering the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) where log is the principal branch of the bicomplex logarithm. The series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) is meaningful if
log \( w_n \) is meaningful for all \( n \). As no one of the numbers \( w_n \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{C} \), log \( w_n \) is defined and consequently \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) is meaningful. Now suppose that the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) converges. If \( s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log w_k \) and \( s_n \to s \) then \( e^{s_n} \to e^s \). But 
\[
e^{s_n} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_k \]so that \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) is convergent to \( w = e^s \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{C} \).

**Theorem 1** Let \( w_n \notin \mathcal{N} \mathcal{C} \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). Then \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) converges to a non-singular number iff the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) converges.

**Proof.** Let \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) converges to \( w \notin \mathcal{N} \mathcal{C} \).

Let \( p_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_k = w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n, w = |w|_{i_1} e^{i_2 \Theta_{c_0}} \) where \( |w|_{i_1} \) is the complex modulus and \( \Theta_{c_0} \) is the principal value of the complex argument of \( w \). Also let \( l(p_n) = \log |p_n|_{i_1} + i_2 \Theta_{nc} \) where \( |p_n|_{i_1} \) is the complex modulus of \( p_n \) and \( \text{Re} \Theta_{c_0} - \pi < \text{Re} \Theta_{nc} \leq \text{Re} \Theta_{c_0} + \pi \).

If \( s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log w_k = w_1 + \log w_2 + \ldots + \log w_n \) then \( e^{s_n} = w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n = p_n \) so that \( s_n = \log |p_n|_{i_1} + i_2 \Theta_{nc} + 2\pi i_2 k_n = l(p_n) + 2\pi i_2 k_n \) for some integer \( k_n \).

Since \( p_n \to w \notin \mathcal{N} \mathcal{C} \) thus \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = 1 \) and consequently \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \log w_n = 0 \). So \( s_n - s_{n-1} = \log w_n \to 0 \); also \( l(p_n) - l(p_{n-1}) \to 0 \). Hence \( (k_n - k_{n-1}) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Since each \( k_n \) is an integer this gives that there is an \( n_0 \) and a \( k \) such that \( k_m = k_n = k \) for \( m, n \geq n_0 \). So \( s_n \to l(z) + 2\pi i_2 k \); that is, the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) converges.

Conversely, assume that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n \) converges. If \( s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log w_k \) and \( s_n \to s \) then \( e^{s_n} \to e^s \). But 
\[
e^{s_n} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_k \]so that \( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \) converges to \( w = e^s \notin \mathcal{N} \mathcal{C} \).
• Let \( w = w'_1e_1 + w''_2e_2 \) then

\[
1 + w = (e_1 + e_2) + (w'_1e_1 + w''_2e_2) = (1 + w'_1)e_1 + (1 + w''_2)e_2.
\]

Thus for \( \|w\| < 1 \) and by Ringleb Decomposition Theorem,

\[
\log (1 + w) = \log (1 + w'_1)e_1 + \log (1 + w''_2)e_2
= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} w^n \right) e_1 + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} w''_n \right) e_1
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} w'_n e_1 + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} w''_n e_1 \right)
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} w^n
= w - \frac{w'^2}{2} + \frac{w'^3}{3} - \ldots.
\]

If \( \|z\| < 1 \) then

\[
\left\| 1 - \frac{\log (1 + w)}{w} \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2}w - \frac{1}{3}w^2 + \ldots \right\|
\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|w\| + \|w\|^2 + \ldots)
= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|.
\]

If we further require \( \|w\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \) then

\[
\left\| 1 - \frac{\log (1 + w)}{w} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}.
\]

This gives that for \( \|w\| < \frac{1}{2} \)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \|w\| \leq \left\| \log (1 + w) \right\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|w\|.
\]

**Theorem 2** Let \( w_n = w'_ne_1 + w''_ne_2 \) such that \( \text{Re} w'_n > -1 \) and \( \text{Re} w''_n > -1 \); then the series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \log (1 + w_n) \) converges absolutely if and only if the series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n \) converges absolutely.
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Proof. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|w_n\|$ converges then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |w'_n|$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |w''_n|$ both converge. Thus $w'_n \to 0$ and $w''_n \to 0$. So eventually $|w'_n| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $|w''_n| < \frac{1}{2}$ and consequently $\|w_n\| < \frac{1}{2}$. By (I) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\log (1 + w_n)\|$ is dominated by a convergent series, and it must converge also.

Conversely if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\log (1 + w_n)\|$ converges, then it follows that $\|w_n\| < \frac{1}{2}$ for sufficiently large $n$. Again (I) allows us to conclude that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|w_n\|$ converges.

We wish to define the absolute convergence of the infinite product. Unlike the absolute convergence of infinite series the convergence of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \|w_n\|$ does allow the convergence of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n$. In fact if $w_n = -1$ for all $n$; then $\|w_n\| = 1$ for all $n$ so that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \|w_n\|$ converges to 1. However $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = \pm 1$ depending on whether $n$ is even or odd, so that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n$ does not converge.

Definition 10 If $w_n \notin \mathcal{NC}$ for all $n$ then the infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n$ is said to converge absolutely if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log w_n$ converges absolutely.

According to Theorem 1 and the fact that the absolute convergence of a series implies the convergence, we have that absolute convergence of a product implies the convergence of the product. Also any rearrangement of the terms of an absolutely convergent product follows the absolutely convergence. Combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 with the Definition 10 we get the following fundamental criterion for the convergence of an infinite product.

Corollary 1 If $w_n \notin \mathcal{NC}$ for all $n$ then the infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n$ converges absolutely if and only if the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (w_n - 1)$ converges absolutely.
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