STABILITY OF GROUP RELATIONS UNDER SMALL HILBERT-SCHMIDT PERTURBATIONS

DON HADWIN AND TATIANA SHULMAN

ABSTRACT. If matrices almost satisfying a group relation are close to matrices exactly satisfying the relation, then we say that a group is matricially stable. Here "almost" and "close" are in terms of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Using tracial 2-norm on II_1 -factors we similarly define II_1 -factor stability for groups. Our main result is that all 1-relator groups with non-trivial center are II_1 factor stable. Many of them are also matricially stable and RFD. For amenable groups we give a complete characterization of matricial stability in terms of the following approximation property for characters: each character must be a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations. This allows us to prove matricial stability for the discrete Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_3 and for all virtually abelian groups. For non-amenable groups the same approximation property is a necessary condition for being matricially stable. We study this approximation property and show that RF groups with character rigidity have it.

INTRODUCTION

Given an equation of noncommutative variables one can ask if it is "stable", meaning that each of its "almost" solutions is "close" to a solution.

Examples of stability questions are famous questions about almost commuting matrices, which ask whether almost commuting matrices are close to commuting ones. The answers depend very much on classes of matrices and on the matrix norm one uses to measure "almost" and "close". For instance for the operator norm those questions are due to Halmos ([18]). When matrices are two self-adjoint contractions the answer is positive by Lin's theorem ([19]) and when they are two unitaries or three self-adjoint contractions, the answer is negative ([31], [9]). For the normalized Hilbert-Schmidt norm the question was formulated by Rosenthal [26] and has an affirmative answer for almost commuting unitaries, self-adjoint contractions and normal contractions ([12], [11], [16]). In our recent work [17] we studied stability of not only commutator relations, but of general C^* -algebraic relations with respect to the normalized Hilbert-Schmidt norm and similar tracial norms on tracial C^* -algebras, in particular on II_1 -factors. There we obtained far reaching generalizations of all the previous results ([12], [11], [16]).

The interest to stability questions with respect to the normalized Hilbert-Schmidt norm also has appeared recently in group theory, in the context of sofic and hyperlinear groups ([12], [13], [2]). In particular one is interested in the question of whether permutation matrices almost satisfying a group relation are close to permutation matrices exactly satisfying the relation. Here "almost" and "close" are measured

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20Fxx; Secondary 46Lxx.

Key words and phrases. tracial ultraproduct, almost commuting matrices, 1-relator groups, character rigidity.

by the normalized Hamming distance. For relations defining a finitely-generated abelian group it was answered in the affirmative by Arzhantseva and Paunescu [2] (in fact they proved it not only with respect to the normalized Hamming distance but for arbitrary metrics). Although proving stability for permutations is not the same as for general unitary matrices and requires different techniques, however, as was noticed in [2], it has similar flavor because the normalized Hamming distance can be expressed using the Hilbert-Schmidt distance.

In this paper we focus on stability of group relations with respect to the normalized Hilbert-Schmidt norm and similar tracial norms.

Let G be a finitely presented discrete group, and let

$$G = \langle S | R \rangle = \langle g_1, ..., g_s | r_1, ..., r_l \rangle$$

be its presentation with g_i being generators and $r_j = r_j(g_1, ..., g_s)$ being relations. We will say that G is *matricially stable* if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$, such that if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U_1, ..., U_s$ are unitary $k \times k$ matrices satisfying

$$||1 - r_j (U_1, \ldots, U_s)||_2 \le \delta$$

for all j = 1, ..., l, then there are unitary $k \times k$ matrices $U'_1, ..., U'_s$ satisfying

$$r_j\left(U_1',\ldots,U_s'\right)=1$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots, l$, and $||U_i - U'_i||_2 \le \epsilon$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

This natural notion of stability can be easily generalized to arbitrary, not necessarily finitely presented, discrete groups using tracial ultraproducts (see section 2 for the details). It implies in particular that the property of being matricially stable does not depend on the choice of a generating set and a presentation.¹

Using tracial 2-norm on II_1 -factors we similarly define II_1 -factor stability for groups (and some other versions of stability, see section 2).

For amenable groups we give a complete characterization of matricial stability in terms of the following approximation property for characters: each character must be a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations (Theorem 4). This allows us to prove matricial stability for the discrete Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_3 (Theorem 6) and for all virtually abelian groups (Theorem 5). For non-amenable groups the same approximation property is a necessary condition for being matricially stable (Theorem 3). Thus it is very interesting for us to know what groups have this approximation property. Recall that a group *G* has character rigidity if the only extremal characters of *G* which are not induced from the center are the traces of finite-dimensional representations ([25]). In Corollary 1 we prove that RF groups with character rigidity have the approximation property above.

One of the main results of the paper is II_1 -factor stability for a big class of nonamenable groups, namely for all 1-relator groups with non-trivial center (Theorem 10). Many of those groups are also matricially stable (Theorem 8) and RFD (Theorem 11). By a group being RFD we mean that its full C^* -algebra is residually finite-dimensional (it is not the same as being residually finite (RF)).

¹In the context of sofic groups the fact that the stability of metric approximations (when a normalized bi-invariant metric is fixed on a class of approximating groups) does not depend on the choice of the generators and the presentation of a finitely presented groups is due to G. Arzhantseva and L. Paunescu [2].

Acknowledgements. The first author gratefully acknowledges a Collaboration Grant from the Simons Foundation. The research of the second-named author was supported by the Polish National Science Centre grant under the contract number DEC- 2012/06/A/ST1/00256, by the grant H2020-MSCA-RISE-2015-691246-QUANTUM DYNAMICS and from the Eric Nordgren Research Fellowship Fund at the University of New Hampshire.

1. Preliminaries

If a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{B} has a tracial state ρ , we define a seminorm $\|\cdot\|_2 = \|\cdot\|_{2,\rho}$ on \mathcal{B} by

$$\|b\|_{2} = \rho \left(b^{*}b\right)^{1/2}.$$

Suppose I is an infinite set and α is an ultrafilter on I. We say α is nontrivial if there is a sequence $\{E_n\}$ in α such that $\bigcap_n E_n = \emptyset$. Suppose α is a nontrivial ultrafilter on a set I and, for each $i \in I$, suppose \mathcal{A}_i is a unital C*-algebra with a tracial state ρ_i . By $\prod \mathcal{A}_i$ we will denote the C^* -product of the C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_i , that is the C^* -algebra

$$\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i = \{ (a_i)_{i \in I} \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}_i, \sup_{i \in I} ||a_i|| < \infty \}$$

with the norm given by $||(a_i)_{i \in I}|| = \sup_{i \in I} ||a_i||$. Note that sometimes one uses

another notation for that, $\oplus_{i\in I}^{l^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}_{i}$, see [24]. The tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{i\in I}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_{i}, \rho_{i})$ is the C*-product $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}$ modulo the ideal \mathcal{J}_{α} of all elements $\{a_{i}\}$ in $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}$ for which

$$\lim_{i \to \alpha} \|a_i\|_{2,\rho_i}^2 = \lim_{i \to \alpha} \rho_i (a_i^* a_i) = 0.$$

We denote the coset of an element $\{a_i\} \in \prod \mathcal{A}_i$ by $\{a_i\}_{\alpha}$.

Tracial ultraproducts for factor von Neumann algebras was first introduced by S. Sakai [27] where he proved that a tracial ultraproduct of finite factor von Neumann algebras is a finite factor. More recently, it was shown in [16] that a tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{i\in I}^{\sim} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)$ of C*-algebras is always a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state ρ_{α} defined by

$$\rho_{\alpha}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{\alpha}\right) = \lim_{i \to \alpha} \rho_{i}\left(a_{i}\right).$$

If there is no confusion, we will denote it just by ρ .

The C^{*}-algebra of all complex n by n matrices will be denoted by $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. It has a unique tracial state $tr_n = tr$. By τ_{α} we denote the corresponding tracial state on the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (M_n(\mathbb{C}), tr_n)$.

For a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , its unitary group will be denoted by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$.

2. Stability for groups

Let G be a finitely presented discrete group, and let

$$G = \langle S | R \rangle = \langle g_1, ..., g_s \mid r_1, ..., r_l \rangle$$

be its presentation with g_i being generators and $r_j = r_j(g_1, ..., g_s)$ being relations. We assume that the set $S = \{g_1, ..., g_s\}$ is symmetric, i.e. for every g_i it contains g_i^{-1} too.

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of C^* -algebras and let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ be unital with a tracial state ρ .

Definition 1. $f: S \to U(\mathcal{A})$ is an ϵ -almost homomorphism if

$$|1 - r_j (f(g_1), \dots, f(g_s))||_{2,\rho} \le \epsilon$$

for all j = 1, ..., l.²

4

Definition 2. *G* is *C*-tracially stable if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ with a tracial state ρ and for any δ -almost homomorphism $f: S \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ there is a homomorphism $\pi: G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\|\pi(g) - f(g)\|_{2,\rho} \le \epsilon$$

for any $g \in S$.

This natural notion of stability can easily be generalized for arbitrary discrete, not necessarily finitely presented, groups.

Definition 3. G is C-tracially stable if for any tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\sim}(\mathcal{A}_i,\rho_i)$ of unital C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}$ with a trace ρ_i , any homomorphism $f: G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A}_i,\rho_i)\right)$ is liftable, meaning that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a homomorphism $f_i: G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ such that $f(g) = \{f_i(g)\}_{\alpha}$.

We will show now that for a finitely presented group these two definitions of stability coincide. It will imply in particular that in the first definition the property of being stable does not depend on the choice of a generating set and a finite presentation.

Proposition 1. For a finitely presented group the two definitions of stability above coincide.

Proof. Let

$$G = \langle S | R \rangle = \langle g_1, ..., g_s | r_1, ..., r_l \rangle$$

To see that the first definition of stability implies the second one, assume that G is C-stable with respect to the first definition of stability and let $f : G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)\right)$ be a homomorphism. First of all we notice that any unitary in

²A similar notion was introduced in [20, 21] with the difference that there the operator norm was involved. One should distinguish ϵ -almost homomorphisms from completely different notions of group quasi-representations and δ -homomorphisms as in [28, 6], where almost multiplicativity is required on the whole group.

 $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i) \text{ can be lifted to a unitary in } \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i. \text{ Indeed let } u \in \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i) \text{ be a unitary. Let } \mathbb{T} \text{ be the unit circle. Since } C(\mathbb{T}) \text{ is the universal } C^*\text{-algebra generated by one unitary, there is a *-homomorphism } \phi : C(\mathbb{T}) \to \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i) \text{ such that } \phi(z) = u \text{ (here } z \in C(\mathbb{T}) \text{ is the identity function). By [[17], Th.5.3] applied to } \mathbb{T}, \phi \text{ can be lifted to a *-homomorphism } \psi : C(\mathbb{T}) \to \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i. \text{ Then } \psi(z) \text{ is a unitary lift of } u.$

Thus for each $1 \leq k \leq s$, we can write

$$f\left(g_{k}\right) = \left\{g_{k}\left(i\right)\right\}_{\alpha}$$

for some $g_k(i) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$. We then have, for each $j \leq l$,

$$0 = \|f(r_{j}(g_{1}, \dots g_{s})) - 1\|_{2,\rho_{\alpha}} = \|r_{j}(f(g_{1}), \dots f(g_{s})) - 1\|_{2,\rho_{\alpha}} = \lim_{i \to \alpha} \|r_{j}(g_{1}(i), \dots, g_{s}(i)) - 1\|_{2,\rho_{i}}$$

Since α is a nontrivial ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , there is a decreasing sequence $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \cdots$

in α such that $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k = \emptyset$. Since G is C-stable with respect to the first definition, for each positive integer m there is a number $\delta_m > 0$ such that, when

$$\|r_j(g_1(i),\ldots,g_s(i))-1\|_{2,o_i} < \delta_m,$$

 $j \leq l$, there is a homomorphism $\gamma_{m,i}: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ such that

$$\max_{1 \le k \le s} \|g_k(i) - \gamma_{m,i}(g_k)\|_{2,\rho_i} < 1/m.$$

Since $\lim_{i\to\alpha} \|r_j(g_1(i),\ldots,g_s(i))-1\|_{2,\rho_i} = 0$ we can find a decreasing sequence $\{A_n\}$ in α with $A_n \subset E_n$ such that, for every $i \in A_n$

$$\|r_{j}(g_{1}(i),\ldots,g_{s}(i))-1\|_{2,o_{i}} \leq \delta_{n}$$

For $i \in A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$ we define $f_i = \gamma_{n,i}$. For $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A_1$ we define f_i arbitrarily. We then have that $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a lifting of f.

On the other hand, if G is not C-stable with respect to the first definition of stability, then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for every positive integer n there is a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A}_n with a trace ρ_n and $g_1(n), \ldots, g_s(n) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_n)$ such that

$$||r_j(g_1(n),\ldots,g_s(n))-1||_{2,\rho_n} < 1/n,$$

but for every homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_n)$

is

$$\max_{1 \le k \le s} \left\| g_k\left(n\right) - \gamma\left(g_k\right) \right\|_{2,\rho_n} \ge \varepsilon.$$

If we let α be any free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , we have that the map f defined by

$$f\left(g_{k}\right) = \left\{g_{k}\left(n\right)\right\}_{\alpha}$$
a homomorphism from G into $\mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n},\rho_{n}\right)\right)$ that is not liftable

Given a discrete group G and a C*-algebra A, let $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(A)$ be a unitary representation of G on $\mathcal{U}(A)$. Let $\mathbb{C}G$ denote the group algebra of G. Then π induces a homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{C}G \to A$. Recall that the *full* C*-algebra C*(G) is the completion of $\mathbb{C}G$ with respect to the norm

$$||a|| := \sup\{||\pi(a)|| : \pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(A) \text{ is a homomorphism}\}.$$

The C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ has the following universal property (which determines it uniquely up to isomorphism of C^* -algebras). Given any C^* -algebra A and any unitary representation $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(A)$, there exists a unique *-homomorphism $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(G) \to A$ that satisfies $\tilde{\pi}(\delta(g)) = \pi(g)$ for every $g \in G$ (here $\delta : G \to \mathbb{C}G$ is the canonical embedding).

In [17] we introduced the following definition of C-tracial stability for C^* -algebras. We call a C^* -algebra $A \mathcal{C}$ -tracially stable if for any ultrafilter α on \mathbb{N} and any unital

 C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}$ with a trace ρ_i , any *-homomorphism $\phi : A \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\infty} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)$ is

liftable.

Our definition of stability for groups agrees with the definition of tracial stability for C^* -algebras in the following sense.

Proposition 2. A group G is C-stable iff its full C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ is C-tracially stable.

Proof. Assume G is C-stable and let $\phi : C^*(G) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)$ be a *-homomorphism, for some $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}$. Define a unitary representation $f : G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)\right)$ by

for some $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}$. Define a unitary representation $f : G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)\right)$ by $f(g) = \phi(\delta(g))$. Since G is \mathcal{C} -stable, f lifts to a unitary representation $f' : G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i\right)$. By the universal property of $C^*(G)$ there exists a *-homomorphism $\tilde{f}' : C^*(G) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $\tilde{f}'(\delta(g)) = f'(g)$, for all $g \in G$. It implies that for any $a \in \mathbb{C}G$, $\tilde{f}'(a)$ is a lift of $\phi(a)$. Since $\mathbb{C}G$ is dense in $C^*(G)$, it implies that \tilde{f}' is a lift of ϕ .

Now assume $C^*(G)$ is \mathcal{C} -tracially stable and let $f : G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)\right)$ be a homomorphism, for some $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{C}$. By the universal property of $C^*(G)$ there exists a *-homomorphism $\tilde{f} : C^*(G) \to \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)$ such that $\tilde{f}(\delta(g)) = f(g)$, for all $g \in G$. Since $C^*(G)$ is \mathcal{C} -tracially stable, we can lift \tilde{f} to a *-homomorphism

 $\psi: C^*(G) \to \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i$. Then a homomorphism $f': G \to \mathcal{U}(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_i)$ defined by $f'(g) = \psi(\delta(g))$ will be a lift of f.

Recall that a C^* -algebra has real rank zero (RR0) if each self-adjoint element can be approximated by self-adjoint elements with finite spectra.

In this paper the role of the class C will be played by the class of all matrix C^* -algebras, the class of all II_1 -factors, the class of all von Neumann factors and the class of all C^* -algebras of real rank zero.

Thus we will address matricial stability, II_1 -factor stability, W^* -factor stability and RR0-stability for groups respectively. Since every von Neumann algebra has real rank zero ([5]), RR0-stability implies W^* -factor stability, and of course W^* -stability implies both matricial and II_1 -factor stability.

From now on let G be a discrete countable group.

Theorem 1. The classes of matricially stable groups, II_1 -factor stable groups, W^* -factor stable groups, and RR0-stable groups are closed under finite free products and under the direct product with an abelian group.

Proof. This follows from [Th. 2.7 and Prop. 2.9 in [17]]. (In fact Th.2.7 in [17] is proved for the class of C-tracially stable C^* -algebras, where the class $C \subseteq RR0$ is closed under direct sums and unital corners, however for our proof it is sufficient that C is closed only under unital corners, and thus the theorem applies for matricial, II_1 -factor and W^* -factor stability too).

Of course besides W^* -factor stability one also can introduce W^* -stability meaning liftings from tracial ultraproducts of (not necessarily factorial) von Neumann algebras. In general we don't know if W^* -factor stability coincides with W^* -stability. However if a group is finitely presented, then they coincide as we show below. All necessary information about direct integrals and measurable cross-sections can be found in [1].

Theorem 2. Let G be a finitely presented group. Then G is W^* -factor stable if and only if it is W^* -stable.

Proof. We will give a proof for a group presented by one relation, because for finitely many relations it is absolutely similar. So let $G = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_s \mid \phi(x_1, \ldots, x_s) = 1 \rangle$. The "if" part is obvious, so let us assume that G is W^* -factor stable. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for all factors (\mathcal{M}, τ) , for all $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M})$ we have that if $\|\varphi(y_1, \ldots, y_s) - 1\|_{2,\tau} < \delta_0$, there is a homomorphism $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that

(2.1)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \|y_k - \pi(x_k)\|_{2,\tau}^2 < \varepsilon/37.$$

We are going to prove that then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists

(2.2)
$$\delta := \sqrt{\delta_0^2 \frac{\epsilon}{37s}}$$

such that, for all von Neumann algebras (\mathcal{M}, τ) , for all $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M})$ we have that if $\|\varphi(y_1, \ldots, y_s) - 1\|_{2,\tau} < \delta$, there is a homomorphism $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(M)$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \|y_{k} - \pi(x_{k})\|_{2,\tau}^{2} < \varepsilon.$$

So let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a von Neumann algebra, $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M})$,

(2.3) $\|\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_s) - 1\|_{2,\tau} < \delta.$

Without loss of generality we can assume that τ is faithful and also we can replace \mathcal{M} with $W^*(y_1, \ldots, y_s)$, so we can assume $\mathcal{M} = W^*(y_1, \ldots, y_s)$. Then \mathcal{M} acts faithfully on $L^2(W^*(y_1, \ldots, y_s), \tau)$, which is a separable Hilbert space. Thus we can write

$$\mathcal{M}=\int_{\Omega}^{\oplus}\mathcal{M}_{\omega}d\mu\left(\omega\right)$$

for some probability space (Ω, μ) , where each \mathcal{M}_{ω} is a factor von Neumann algebra with a unique faithful normal tracial state τ_{ω} , and such that, for every $y = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} y(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\tau\left(y\right) = \int_{\Omega} \tau_{\omega}\left(y\left(\omega\right)\right) d\mu\left(\omega\right).$$

Hence

$$||y||_{2,\tau}^{2} = \tau (y^{*}y) = \int_{\Omega} ||y(\omega)||_{2,\tau_{\omega}}^{2} d\mu (\omega).$$

Let

$$E = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \left\| \varphi \left(y_1 \left(\omega \right), \dots, y_s \left(\omega \right) \right) - 1 \right\|_{2, \tau_{\omega}} \ge \delta_0 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\left\|\varphi\left(y_{1},\ldots,y_{s}\right)-1\right\|_{2,\tau}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left\|\varphi\left(y_{1}\left(\omega\right),\ldots,y_{s}\left(\omega\right)\right)-1\right\|_{2,\tau_{\omega}}^{2}d\mu(w)$$
$$\geq\int_{E}\left\|\varphi\left(y_{1}\left(\omega\right),\ldots,y_{s}\left(\omega\right)\right)-1\right\|_{2,\tau_{\omega}}^{2}d\mu(w)\geq\delta_{0}^{2}\mu(E).$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that

$$\mu(E) \le \frac{1}{\delta_0^2} \|\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_s) - 1\|_{2,\tau}^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{37s}.$$

For each $\omega \in E$, we define $\pi_{\omega} : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{\omega})$ by $\pi_{\omega}(g) = 1$. Then, for $\omega \in E$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \|y_k(\omega) - \pi_{\omega}(x_k)\|_{2,\tau_{\omega}}^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^{s} 4 = 4s.$$

Hence

$$\int_{E} \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left\| y_{k} \left(\omega \right) - \pi_{\omega} \left(x_{k} \right) \right\|_{2,\tau_{\omega}}^{2} \leq 4s\mu\left(E \right) < \frac{4\varepsilon}{37}.$$

By W^* -factor stability of G, (2.1), for each $\omega \in \Omega \setminus E$, there is a representation $\pi_{\omega} : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_{\omega})$ so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left\| y_{k} - \pi_{\omega} \left(x_{k} \right) \right\|_{2,\tau_{\omega}}^{2} < \varepsilon/37$$

Standard measurable cross-section theorems allow us to choose π_{ω} so that, for every $g \in G$, the map $g \mapsto \pi_{\omega}(g)$ is weak* measurable. Define a representation $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$\pi\left(g\right) = \int_{\Omega}^{\oplus} \pi_{\omega}\left(g\right) d\mu\left(\omega\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left\| y_{k} - \pi \left(x_{k} \right) \right\|_{2,\tau}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \int_{\Omega} \left\| y_{k} \left(\omega \right) - \pi_{\omega} \left(x_{k} \right) \right\|_{2,\tau}^{2} =$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s} \int_{E} \left\| y_{k} \left(\omega \right) - \pi_{\omega} \left(x_{k} \right) \right\|_{2,\tau}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{s} \int_{\Omega \setminus E} \left\| y_{k} \left(\omega \right) - \pi_{\omega} \left(x_{k} \right) \right\|_{2,\tau}^{2} \leq$$

$$4\varepsilon/37 + \int_{\Omega \setminus E} \varepsilon/37 d\mu \left(\omega \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Remark. Using noncommutative continuous functions [14], one can rewrite this proof to show that any finitely generated C^* -algebra which has a unital 1-dimensional representation is W^* -factor tracially stable if and only if it is W^* tracially stable. In particular Theorem 2 holds for any finitely generated group, not necessarily finitely presented.

3. A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR MATRICIAL STABILITY AND A CHARACTERIZATION OF MATRICIAL STABILITY FOR AMENABLE GROUPS

Recall that a *character* of a group G is a positive definite function on G which is constant on conjugacy classes and takes value 1 at the unit.

We will say that a character τ is *embeddable* if it factorizes through a homomorphism to a tracial ultraproduct of matrices, that is if there is a non-trivial ultrafilter α on \mathbb{N} and a homomorphism $f: G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), tr_n)\right)$ such that $\tau_{\alpha} \circ f = \tau$.

This definition is analogous to the definition of embeddable trace on a C^* -algebra (see [17]). On an amenable group every character is embeddable. If Connes' embedding conjecture holds, then on any group every character is embeddable.

The following easy statement gives a necessary condition for matricial stability.

Theorem 3. If G is matricially stable, then each embeddable character of G is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations.

Proof. Let τ be an embeddable character on G. Then there is a non-trivial ultrafilter α on \mathbb{N} and a homomorphism $f: G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), tr_n)\right)$ such that

(3.1)
$$\tau_{\alpha} \circ f = \tau$$

By matricial stability of G, there exists homomorphisms $f_n : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $f(g) = \{f_n(g)\}_{\alpha}$. Together with (3.1) it implies that

(3.2)
$$\tau(g) = \lim_{\alpha} tr_n(f_n(g)),$$

for all $g \in G$. It easily implies that there is a subsequence n_j such that

(3.3)
$$\tau(g) = \lim_{j \to \infty} tr_{n_j}(f_{n_j}(g)),$$

for all $g \in G$. Indeed, since G is countable, we list all its elements as g_1, g_2, \ldots and then by (3.2) the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid |\tau(g_1) - tr_n(f_n(g_1))| < 1/2\}$ is in α and hence is not empty. So there is n_1 such that

$$|\tau(g_1) - tr_{n_1}(f_{n_1}(g_1))| < 1/2.$$

We continue inductively. Suppose $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_{k-1}$ such that

$$|\tau(g_i) - tr_{n_l}(f_{n_l}(g_i))| < \frac{1}{2^l}$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, l, l = 2, \ldots, k - 1$, are already found. The set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > n_{k-1}, \ |\tau(g_i) - tr_n(f_n(g_i))| < \frac{1}{2^k}, \ i = 1, \dots, k\}$$
$$= \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > n_{k-1}\} \bigcap \left(\bigcap_{i \le k} \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid |\tau(g_i) - tr_n(f_n(g_i))| < \frac{1}{2^k} \} \right)$$

is in α and hence is not empty. Thus there is $n_k > n_{k-1}$ such that

$$|\tau(g_i) - tr_{n_k}(f_{n_k}(g_i))| < \frac{1}{2^k},$$

 $i=1,\ldots,k.$

Now the statement follows from (3.3).

The next 2 statements are corollaries of our results in [17]. The first of them gives a complete characterization of matricial stability and of W^* -factor stability for amenable groups. II_1 -factor stability is automatic for amenable groups.

Theorem 4. Let G be an amenable group. The following are equivalent:

- (1) G is matricially stable
- (2) G is W^* -factor stable.
- (3) Each character of G is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations.

Proof. As is well known, a positive definite function on G extends in unique way to a state on $C^*(G)$ (see e.g. [8], p.188), and it is obvious that a positive definite function is constant on conjugacy classes if and only if the corresponding state is a trace. Thus (embeddable) characters of G are in 1-to-1 correspondence with (embeddable) tracial states on $C^*(G)$ and the condition (3) is equivalent to the condition that for each tracial state τ on $C^*(G)$ there are finite-dimensional representations π_n of $C^*(G)$ such that

$$\tau(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} tr \pi_n(a),$$

for each $a \in C^*(G)$. Since for any group G, $C^*(G)$ has a one-dimensional representation, the statement follows from [Theorem 3.8, [17]].

Theorem 5. The class of W^* -factor stable groups contains all virtually abelian groups.

Proof. As is well known, G is virtually abelian if and only if $C^*(G)$ is GCR ([29], [30]). Since $C^*(G)$ has a 1-dimensional representation, the statement follows from [Corollary 3.9, [17]].

We will use Theorem 4 to prove that the discrete Heisenberg group is W^* -factor stable. Recall that the discrete Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_3 is the group generated by u, vwith the relations that u and v commute with $uvu^{-1}v^{-1}$. It is known that \mathbb{H}_3 is amenable.

Lemma 1. If each extreme character is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations, then so is any character.

Proof. Let τ be a character, $\epsilon > 0$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$. Since the set of all characters of a group is convex and compact in *-weak topology, there are rational numbers $s_1/m, \ldots, s_l/m$ with $s_1 + \ldots + s_l = m$, and extreme characters $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l$ such that

(3.4)
$$|\tau(g_k) - \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{s_i}{m} \sigma_i(g_k)| \le \epsilon,$$

k = 1, ..., n. By the assumption, there exist representations $\pi_i : G \to M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(3.5) \qquad \qquad |\sigma_i(g_k) - tr\pi_i(g_k)| \le \epsilon.$$

Let $L \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{s_i}{n_i}L$ is an integer, for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let

$$\pi = \oplus_{i=1}^{l} \pi_i^{\left(\frac{s_i}{n_i}L\right)}$$

(here $\pi_i^{\left(\frac{s_i}{n_i}L\right)}$ denotes a direct sum of $\frac{s_i}{n_i}L$ copies of π_i). It is easy to check that

(3.6)
$$tr\pi(g_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{s_i}{m} tr\pi_i(g_k),$$

 $k = 1, \ldots, n.$ By (3.4), (3.5), (3.6),

$$|\tau(g_k) - tr\pi(g_k)| \le 2\epsilon.$$

Theorem 6. \mathbb{H}_3 is W^* -factor stable.

Proof. Suppose τ is an extreme point in the set of characters of \mathbb{H}_3 . Then it extends to an extreme tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{H}_3)$, i.e. a factor tracial state on $C^*(\mathbb{H}_3)$. We will denote it also by τ . Let $\pi : C^*(\mathbb{H}_3) \to B(H)$ be the GNS representation for τ . Let $U = \pi(u)$ and $V = \pi(v)$. Since $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$ is a factor and $UVU^{-1}V^{-1} = \pi(uvu^{-1}v^{-1})$ is in its center, there is a real number θ such that

$$UVU^{-1} = e^{2\pi i\theta}V$$

and

$$V^{-1}UV = e^{2\pi i\theta}U$$

First suppose θ is rational, then there is a positive integer n such that $n\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case we have

$$U^n V U^{-n} = V$$
 and $V^{-n} U V^n = U$,

which implies $U^n = \alpha$ and $V^n = \beta$ for scalars α and β . For every positive integer m there is a positive integer k such that m < kn. Thus

$$U^{-m} = U^{kn-m}U^{kn} = \alpha^k U^{kn-m} \text{ and } V^{-m} = \beta^k V^{kn-m}.$$

Since $UV = e^{2\pi i \theta} VU$, every monomial in U, V, U^{-1}, V^{-1} can be written as a scalar times $U^a V^b$ for integers a, b with $0 \le a, b < n$. Hence $C^*(U, V)$ is finitedimensional, which means $C^*(U, V) = C^*(U, V)''$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence τ is a matricial tracial state.

Next suppose θ is irrational. Then U, V give a representation of the irrational rotation C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_{θ} . Since \mathcal{A}_{θ} is simple, $C^*(U, V)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_{θ} and hence has a unique tracial state. In this case we can choose a sequence $\{\theta_k\}$ of rational numbers such that $\theta_k \to \theta$, and find finite-dimensional irreducible representations $\pi_k : \mathbb{H}_3 \to M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\pi_k (uvu^{-1}v^{-1}) = e^{2\pi i \theta_k}$. Let α be a non-trivial unltrafilter on \mathbb{N} , then in the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ we get $\hat{U} = \{\pi_k(u)\}_{\alpha}$ and $\hat{V} = \{\pi_k(v)\}_{\alpha}$ satisfy $\hat{U}\hat{V}\hat{U}^{-1}\hat{V}^{-1} = e^{2\pi i \theta}$. Thus $C^*(\hat{U}, \hat{V})$ is also isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_{θ} and hence has a unique tracial state which has to coincide with τ . Hence, for every $a \in C^*(\mathbb{H}_3)$

$$\tau(a) = \lim_{k \to \alpha} tr(\pi_k(a)).$$

It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 4 that \mathbb{H}_3 is W^* -factor stable.

Remark. It would be interesting to know if our characterization of matricial stability for amenable groups can be reformulated in terms of "separation properties" of groups. By this we mean properties like residual finiteness (which means that a group has a separating family of homomorphisms into finite groups), the property of being maximally almost periodic (which means that a group has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations), the property of being conjugacy separable (which means that homomorphisms to finite groups separate conjugacy classes), the property that finite-dimensional representations separate conjugacy classes, etc. For example, it is easy to see that for an amenable group matricial stability implies that the group is maximally almost periodic. We don't know if it is also a sufficient condition, and we believe that it is not. Otherwise for $C^*(G)$ to be nuclear and matricially tracially stable would be equivalent to be nuclear RFD (since an amenable group G is maximally almost periodic iff $C^*(G)$ is RFD by [4]) and in in [17] we constructed an example of nuclear RFD C^* -algebra which is not matricially tracially stable. This makes us think that for an amenable group being maximally almost periodic is probably not sufficient for matricial stability. Separation properties for conjugacy classes seem to us to be more relevant. For instance if a group is conjugacy separable, then the Stone-Weierstrass theorem leads to an easy proof that each character of G is a pointwise limit of linear combinations of two traces of finite-dimensional representations (which is close to the condition 3) in Theorem 4). In the opposite direction, by Theorem 4 the property that finitedimensional representations separate conjugacy classes would be necessary if the characters separate conjugacy classes.

Question: Let G be an amenable maximally almost periodic group. Do its characters separate conjugacy classes?

4. CHARACTER RIGIDITY AND THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY (*).

Below we will say that a group G has the approximation property (*) if any embeddable character of G is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations. Thus by Theorem 3, the approximation property (*) is necessary for being matricially stable, and by Theorem 4, if a group is amenable, then it is also sufficient.

Following [25] (also [3]) we will say that a character is *induced from the center* if it vanishes outside the center.

An example of a character induced from the center is a character δ_e defined by $\delta_e(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = e \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq e. \end{cases}$

Proposition 3. Let G be a maximally almost periodic group. Then δ_e is a pointwise limit of traces of some finite-dimensional representations of G.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G, g_i \neq 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since G is maximally almost periodic, we can find a finite-dimensional representation π such that $\pi(q_i) \neq 1$ 1 for all i = 1, ..., n. Let $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ be the trivial representation, $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \oplus \chi$. Then

$$\left|tr\tilde{\pi}(g_i)\right| = \left|\frac{(dim\pi)tr\pi(g_i) + 1}{dim\pi + 1}\right| < 1,$$

since this is absolute value of the average of numbers of absolute value not larger than 1, not all of which are equal.

Let $\tilde{\pi}^{\otimes N}$ be the N-th tensor power of the representation $\tilde{\pi}$. Then

$$tr\tilde{\pi}^{\otimes N}(g_i) = (tr\tilde{\pi}(g_i))^N < \epsilon$$

if N is big enough. Thus

$$|tr\tilde{\pi}^{\otimes N}(g_i) - \delta_e(g_i)| < \epsilon$$

for i = 1, ..., n.

Below we will show that when a group is residually finite (RF), the approximation property above holds not only for δ_e but for all characters induced from the center.

Lemma 2. Let G be a RF group and suppose its center Z(G) is finitely generated. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_N \in Z(G)$, $g_i \neq g_j$ when $i \neq j$. Let H be the subgroup generated by g_1, \ldots, g_N and χ be a 1-dimensional representation of H. Let $g'_1, \ldots, g'_m \notin Z(G)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a finite group G_0 , a surjective homomorphism $f: G \to G_0$ and a 1-dimensional representation $\tilde{\chi}$ of f(H) such that

$$\tilde{\chi}(f(g_i)) - \chi(g_i)| < \epsilon,$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $f(g'_i) \notin f(Z(G))$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N'$.

Proof. Since H is a finitely generated abelian group, it can be written as

$$H = \mathbb{Z}^s \times \Gamma,$$

where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and Γ is a finite abelian group. So we can write $g_j = (n_1^j, n_2^j, \dots, n_s^j, t)$ with $n_i^j \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \Gamma, j \leq N$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{(i)}$ denote the i-th copy of \mathbb{Z} in H. For each $i \leq s$ there is θ_i such that

(4.1)
$$\chi|_{\mathbb{Z}^{(i)}}(n) = e^{2\pi i n \theta_i}$$

Let

$$L^{(i)} = \max_{j \le N} |n_i^j|,$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, s$. For each $i \leq s$ there exists $k_{0,i}$ such that for any $k \geq k_{0,i}$, the k-th roots of unity form an $\frac{\epsilon}{s(L^{(i)}+1)}$ -net in the unit circle.

Since $g'_1, \ldots, g'_m \notin Z(G)$, there exist $g''_1, \ldots, g''_m \in G$ such that $g'_i g''_i \neq g''_i g'_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Since G is RF, there is a finite group G_0 and a surjective homomorphism $f: G \to G_0$ such that

$$(4.2) f(g'_ig''_i) \neq f(g''_ig'_i),$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and

(4.3)
$$f(n_1, \dots, n_s, t) \neq f(n'_1, \dots, n'_s, t'),$$

when $t \in \Gamma$, $n_i, n'_i \leq k_{0,i}$ and the tuples (n_1, \ldots, n_s, t) and (n'_1, \ldots, n'_s, t') do not coincide. It follows from (4.2) that

(4.4)
$$f(g'_i) \notin f(Z(G)),$$

 $i=1,\ldots,m.$

It is easy to see that $f(H) \cong (\prod_{i < s} f(\mathbb{Z}^{(i)})) \times f(\Gamma)$. Hence

$$f(H) = \mathbb{Z}_{k_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{k_s} \times \tilde{\Gamma},$$

for some $k_1, \ldots, k_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and some finite abelian group $\tilde{\Gamma}$. It follows from (4.3) that $k_i \geq k_{0,i}$ and that $|\tilde{\Gamma}| \geq |\Gamma|$. Since $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a homomorphic image of Γ , the latter

implies that $\tilde{\Gamma} \cong \Gamma$. The first inequality, $k_i \ge k_{0,i}$, implies that there is $l_i < k_i$ such that

(4.5)
$$|e^{2\pi i l_i/k_i} - e^{2\pi i \theta_i}| \le \frac{\epsilon}{s(L^{(i)}+1)}.$$

Define a 1-dimensional representation $\tilde{\chi}_i$ of \mathbb{Z}_{k_i} by

$$\tilde{\chi}_i(m) = e^{2\pi i m l_i/k_i},$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$. Using (4.5), for any $m \leq L^{(i)}$ we easily obtain by induction that

$$|\tilde{\chi}_i(m) - \chi|_{\mathbb{Z}^{(i)}}(m)| = |e^{2\pi i (m \mod k_i) l_i / k_i} - e^{2\pi i m \theta_i}| = |e^{2\pi i m l_i / k_i} - e^{2\pi i m \theta_i}| \le \frac{\epsilon(m+1)}{s(L^{(i)}+1)}.$$

In particular for any $m \leq L^{(i)}$ we obtain

(4.6)
$$|\tilde{\chi}_i(m) - \chi|_{\mathbb{Z}^{(i)}}(m)| \le \frac{\epsilon}{s}.$$

Define a 1-dimensional representation $\tilde{\chi}$ of f(H) by

$$\tilde{\chi}(f(n_1,\ldots,n_s,t)) = \tilde{\chi}_1(n_1)\ldots\tilde{\chi}_s(n_s)\chi(t),$$

for all $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \Gamma$. From (4.6) we deduce (estimating $|a_1 \dots a_s - b_1 \dots b_s|$ in a standard way) that for any $n_i \leq L^{(i)}, t \in \Gamma$

$$|\tilde{\chi}(f(n_1,\ldots,n_s,t)) - \chi(n_1,\ldots,n_s,t)| \le \epsilon.$$

Hence

$$|\tilde{\chi}(f(g_i)) - \chi(g_i)| < \epsilon,$$

for i = 1, ..., N. This, together with (4.4), completes the proof.

Theorem 7. Suppose G is RF. Then each character of G induced from the center of G is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations.

Proof. By Lemma 1 it will be sufficient to prove that each extreme point of the set of all characters induced from Z(G) is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations. Since an extreme point of the set of characters of an abelian group is a 1-dimensional representation, we should prove that if $\chi|_{Z(G)}$ is a 1-dimensional representation and χ vanishes outside Z(G), then χ is a pointwise limit of traces of finite-dimensional representations. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_N \in Z(G), g'_1, \ldots, g'_m \notin Z(G), \epsilon > 0$. We need to find a finite-dimensional representation π of G such that $|\chi(g_i) - tr(\pi(g_i))| \leq \epsilon, i = 1, \ldots, N$, and $|\chi(g'_i) - tr(\pi(g'_i))| \leq \epsilon, i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let H be the subgroup generated by g_1, \ldots, g_N . By Lemma 2 there is a finite group G_0 , a surjective homomorphism $f: G \to G_0$ and a 1-dimensional representation $\tilde{\chi}$ of f(H) such that

(4.7)
$$|\tilde{\chi}(f(g_i)) - \chi(g_i)| \le \epsilon,$$

i = 1, ..., N, and

$$(4.8) f(g'_i) \notin f(Z(G)).$$

i = 1, ..., m. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the representation of G_0 induced from the 1-dimensional representation $\tilde{\chi}$ of f(H). By Frobenius formula

$$tr\tilde{\pi}(f(g)) = \sum_{x \in G_0/f(H)} \hat{\chi}(x^{-1}f(g)x),$$

where

(4.9)
$$\hat{\chi}(k) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\chi}(k) & ; \quad k \in f(H) \\ 0 & ; \quad k \notin f(H). \end{cases}$$

Since f(H) is a central subgroup of G_0 , it implies easily that

(4.10)
$$tr\tilde{\pi}(f(g)) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\chi}(f(g)) & ; \quad f(g) \in f(H) \\ 0 & ; \quad f(g) \notin f(H). \end{cases}$$

Let $\pi = \tilde{\pi} \circ f$. Then, by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.10), for each $i \leq N$

$$|\chi(g_i) - tr(\pi(g_i))| = |\chi(g_i) - \tilde{\chi}(f(g_i))| \le \epsilon,$$

and for each $i \leq m$

$$|\chi(g'_i) - tr(\pi(g'_i))| = 0.$$

A group G has *character rigidity* if the only extremal characters of G which are not induced from the center of G are the traces of finite-dimensional representations ([25]).

Corollary 1. If G is RF and has character rigidity, then G has the approximation property (*).

As was proved by Bekka [3] $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ has character rigidity. Thus, by Corollary 1, the necessary condition for matricial stability from Theorem 3 holds. Since $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is non-amenable, we don't know if it is also sufficient.

Question: Is $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ matricially stable?

5. One-relator groups with center.

Recall that a *one-relator group* is a group G with a presentation $G = \langle S|R \rangle$ where the generating set S is finite and R is a single word on $S^{\pm 1}$. All 1-relator groups but the Baumslag-Solitar groups BS(1,m) are non-amenable ([7]).

We are going to prove that any one-relator group with a non-trivial center is II_1 -factor stable. All such groups are known to be residually finite ([10]).

It was shown in [23] that every such non-cyclic group is presentable in one of two ways: as

(5.1)
$$G = \left\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_1^{a_1} = x_2^{b_1}, x_2^{a_2} = x_3^{b_2}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} = x_n^{b_{n-1}} \right\rangle$$

where $a_i, b_i \ge 2$ and $(a_i, b_j) = 1$ for i > j (when the commutator quotient group is not free abelian of rank two); or as

$$G = \left\langle u, x_1, \dots, x_m \mid u x_1 u^{-1} = x_m, \ x_1^{a_1} = x_2^{b_1}, \ x_2^{a_2} = x_3^{b_2}, \ \dots, \ x_{m-1}^{a_{m-1}} = x_m^{b_{m-1}} \right\rangle$$

where $a_i, b_i \ge 2, a_1 \dots a_{m-1} = b_1 \dots b_{m-1}, (a_i, b_j) = 1$ for i > j (when the commutator quotient group is free abelian of rank two).

Since cyclic groups are II_1 -factor (even RR0) stable, we are left with the two cases above. We are not going to use anywhere that $(a_i, b_j) = 1$.

We will need a lemma from [17] adjusted for the case of full group C^* -algebras. It states that pointwise $\| \|_2$ -limits of liftable homomorphisms are liftable.

Lemma 3. ([17], Lemma 2.2) Suppose G is a group, $\{(\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a family of tracial C*-algebras, α is a nontrivial ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , and $\pi : G \to \mathcal{U}\left(\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_i, \rho_i)\right)$

is a homomorphism such that, for each $g \in G$,

$$\pi\left(g\right) = \left\{g\left(i\right)\right\}_{\alpha}.$$

The following are equivalent:

- (1) π is liftable
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite subset $F \subset G$, there is a set $E \in \alpha$ and for every $i \in E$ there is a homomorphism $\pi_i : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ such that, for every $g \in F$ and every $i \in E$,

$$\left\|\pi_{i}\left(g\right)-g\left(i\right)\right\|_{2,\rho_{i}}<\varepsilon.$$

5.1. Groups of the form (5.1).

Lemma 4. Suppose $\{(\mathcal{A}_n, \rho_n)\}$ is a sequence of tracial C^* -algebras of real rank zero, α is a non-trivial ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , and $r_1, \ldots, r_N, q \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_n, \rho_n)$ are projections such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i = q$. Suppose projections $Q_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $\{Q_n\}_{\alpha} = q$. Then there exist projections $R_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, such that $\{R_{i,n}\}_{\alpha} = r_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i,n} = Q_n$.

Proof. All r_i 's belong to the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} \left(Q_n \mathcal{A}_n Q_n, \frac{1}{\rho_n(Q_n)} \rho_n \right)$. q is the unit element in this ultraproduct. Since projections with sum 1 generate a

the unit element in this ultraproduct. Since projections with sum 1 generate a commutative C^* -algebra, hence RR0-stable by [Th. 2.5, [17]], the statement follows.

Theorem 8. Let G be as in (5.1). Then G is RR0-stable.

Proof. To avoid notational nightmare we will prove *RR*0-tracial stability for the case $G = \langle x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^3, y^5 = z^7 \rangle$, and the proof for the general case is absolutely similar.

Suppose $\{(\mathcal{A}_n, \rho_n)\}$ is a sequence of tracial C^* -algebras of real rank zero, α is a non-trivial ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , and $X, Y, Z \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_n, \rho_n) =_{def} (\mathcal{A}, \rho)$ are unitary and $X^2 = Y^3, Y^5 = Z^7$. Then $X^{10} = Y^{15} = Z^{21} =_{def} W$. We can write $X = \{X_n\}_{\alpha}$, $Y = \{Y_n\}_{\alpha}, Z = \{Z_n\}_{\alpha}$. Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$. Since X, Y and Z commute with W, they commute with every spectral projection of W, and since \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, the spectral projections of W are in \mathcal{A} . We can choose an orthogonal family of nonzero spectral projections $\{P_1, \ldots, P_s\}$ of W whose sum is 1 and we can choose $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{T}$ such that if $\Omega = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k P_k$, then

$$\left\|W^m - \Omega^m\right\|_2 < \varepsilon,$$

for $m \in \{1, 1/10, 1/15, 1/21\}$. Here and below by $W^{1/10}, W^{1/15}$, etc., we mean the normal operators obtained by applying the Borel functions $z^{1/10} =_{def} |z|^{1/10} e^{\frac{iArgz}{10}}$, etc., to W.

Let $X' = XW^{-1/10}, Y' = YW^{-1/15}, Z' = ZW^{-1/21}$. Then X', Y' and Z' are unitary and

(5.3)
$$(X')^2 = (Y')^3$$

(5.4)
$$(Y')^5 = (Z')^7,$$

(5.5)
$$(X')^{10} = (Y')^{15} = (Z')^{21} = 1$$

Moreover,

(5.6)
$$||X - X'\Omega^{1/10}||_2 < \varepsilon_1$$

(5.7)
$$||Y - Y'\Omega^{1/15}||_2 < \varepsilon$$

(5.8)
$$||Z - Z'\Omega^{1/21}||_2 < \varepsilon.$$

Clearly

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{s} P_k T P_k$$

for $T \in \{X, Y, Z, X', Y', Z', W, \Omega\}$. For each *n* we can find an orthogonal family $\{P_{n,1}, \ldots, P_{n,s}\}$ of projections in \mathcal{A}_n whose sum is 1 such that, for $1 \leq k \leq s$,

$$P_k = \{P_{n,k}\}_{\alpha} .$$

It is clear that $\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_k \mathcal{A} P_k$ is the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n P_{n,k}, \rho_n)$ and that each $\left(P_k \mathcal{A} P_k, \frac{1}{\rho(P_k)}\rho\right)$ is the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} \left(P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n P_{n,k}, \frac{1}{\rho_n(P_{n,k})}\rho_n\right)$. By (5.5) X', Y', Z' can be written in the form

$$X' = \sum_{j=1}^{10} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{10}} q_j, \ Y' = \sum_{j=1}^{15} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{15}} r_j, \ Z' = \sum_{j=1}^{21} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{21}} s_j,$$

where $\{q_j\}, \{r_j\}, \{s_j\}$ are families of projections in $\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_k \mathcal{A} P_k$ which sum to 1. It is easy to see that (5.3) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$q_{1} + q_{6} = r_{1} + r_{6} + r_{11}$$

$$q_{2} + q_{7} = r_{2} + r_{7} + r_{12}$$

$$q_{3} + q_{8} = r_{3} + r_{8} + r_{13}$$

$$q_{4} + q_{9} = r_{4} + r_{9} + r_{14}$$

$$q_{5} + q_{10} = r_{5} + r_{10} + r_{15}$$

and (5.4) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$r_1 + r_4 + r_7 + r_{10} + r_{13} = s_1 + s_4 + s_7 + s_{10} + s_{13} + s_{16} + s_{19}$$

$$r_2 + r_5 + r_8 + r_{11} + r_{14} = s_2 + s_5 + s_8 + s_{11} + s_{14} + s_{17} + s_{20}$$

$$r_3 + r_6 + r_9 + r_{12} + r_{15} = s_3 + s_6 + s_9 + s_{12} + s_{15} + s_{18} + s_{21}.$$

Since $C^*(q_1, \ldots, q_{10})$ is commutative, it is *RR*0-stable, so we can find projections $Q_1 = \{Q_{n,1}\}, \ldots, Q_{10} = \{Q_{n,10}\} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n P_{n,k})$ with sum 1

such that $q_1 = \{Q_{n,1}\}_{\alpha}, \dots, q_{10} = \{Q_{n,10}\}_{\alpha}$. By Lemma 4 we can find projections $R_1 = \{R_{n,1}\}, \dots, R_{15} = \{R_{n,15}\} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n P_{n,k})$ such that $r_1 = \{R_{n,1}\}_{\alpha}, \dots, r_{15} = \{R_{n,15}\}_{\alpha}$ and $Q_1 + Q_6 = R_1 + R_6 + R_{11}$ $Q_2 + Q_7 = R_2 + R_7 + R_{12}$ $Q_3 + Q_8 = R_3 + R_8 + R_{13}$ $Q_4 + Q_9 = R_4 + R_9 + R_{14}$ $Q_5 + Q_{10} = R_5 + R_{10} + R_{15}.$ Again by Lemma 4 we can find projections $S_1 = \{S_{n,1}\}, \dots, S_{21} = \{S_{n,21}\} \in \prod_{\alpha}^{\alpha} (\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_k P_{n,k})$ such that $s_1 = \{S_{n,1}\}, \dots, S_{21} = \{S_{n,21}\} \in \prod_{\alpha}^{\alpha} (\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_k P_{n,k})$ such that $s_1 = \{S_{n,1}\}, \dots, S_{21} = \{S_{n,21}\}$

 $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n P_{n,k} \right) \text{ such that } s_1 = \{S_{n,1}\}_{\alpha}, \dots, s_{15} = \{S_{n,15}\}_{\alpha} \text{ and}$ $R_1 + R_4 + R_7 + R_{10} + R_{13} = S_1 + S_4 + S_7 + S_{10} + S_{13} + S_{16} + S_{19}$ $R_2 + R_5 + R_8 + R_{11} + R_{14} = S_2 + S_5 + S_8 + S_{11} + S_{14} + S_{17} + S_{20}$

$$R_3 + R_6 + R_9 + R_{12} + R_{15} = S_3 + S_6 + S_9 + S_{12} + S_{15} + S_{18} + S_{21}$$

Let

$$X'_{n} = \sum_{j=1}^{10} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{10}} Q_{n,j}, \ Y'_{n} = \sum_{j=1}^{15} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{15}} R_{n,j}, \ Z'_{n} = \sum_{j=1}^{21} e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{21}} S_{n,j}.$$

For each n, let $\Omega_n = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k P_{n,k}$. Then $\Omega = \{\Omega_n\}_{\alpha}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a unital *-homomorphism $\pi_n : C^*(G) \to \mathcal{A}_n$ such that

$$\pi_n(x) = X'_n \Omega_n^{1/10}, \ \pi_n(y) = Y'_n \Omega_n^{1/15}, \ \pi_n(z) = Z'_n \Omega_n^{1/21}.$$

(Here again by $\Omega_n^{1/10}$, etc., we mean the normal operator obtained by applying the Borel function $z^{1/10} =_{def} |z|^{1/10} e^{\frac{iArgz}{10}}$, etc., to Ω_n . Since Ω_n has finite spectrum, $\Omega_n^{1/10}$, etc., belong to \mathcal{A}_n .) Clearly,

$$\{\pi_n(x)\}_{\alpha} = X'\Omega^{1/10}, \{\pi_n(y)\}_{\alpha} = Y'\Omega^{1/15}, \{\pi_n(z)\}_{\alpha} = Z'\Omega^{1/21}.$$

By (5.6), (5.7), (5.8) and Lemma 3, G is RR0-stable.

5.2. Groups of the form (5.2). We will need a few easy lemmas. The first lemma is folklore.

Lemma 5. Let \mathcal{M} be a II_1 -factor, $p \in \mathcal{M}$ be a projection and $0 \leq \beta \leq \tau(p)$. Then there is a projection $p' \in p\mathcal{M}p$ such that $\tau(p') = \beta$.

Proof. It follows from folklore fact that in II_1 -factor one can find a projection with prescribed trace.

Lemma 6. Let $p \in \prod_{\alpha} (\mathcal{M}_i, \rho_i)$ be a projection. Then p can be lifted to a projection $\{P_i\} \in \prod \mathcal{M}_i \text{ with } \rho_i(P_i) = \rho(p), \text{ for all } i.$

Proof. Lift p to a projection $\{\tilde{P}_i\}$. Then

$$\rho_i(\tilde{P}_i) - \rho(p) \to_\alpha 0.$$

If $\rho_i(\tilde{P}_i) \ge \rho(p)$, then by Lemma 5 there is a projection $Q_i \in \tilde{P}_i \mathcal{M}_i \tilde{P}_i$ such that $\rho_i(Q_i) = \rho_i(\tilde{P}_i) - \rho(p)$. Let

$$P_i = \dot{P}_i - Q_i.$$

If $\rho_i(\tilde{P}_i) \leq \rho(p)$, then $\rho_i(1-\tilde{P}_i) \geq \rho(1-p)$. By Lemma 5 there is a projection $Q_i \in (1-\tilde{P}_i)\mathcal{M}_i(1-\tilde{P}_i)$ such that $\rho_i(Q_i) = \rho_i(1-\tilde{P}_i) - \rho(p)$. In this case let

$$P_i = P_i + Q_i$$

Either way P_i is a projection and $\rho_i(P_i) = \rho(p)$. We have

$$\rho_i(P_i - P_i) = \rho(p) - \rho_i(P_i) \to_\alpha 0$$

and hence $\{P_i\}$ is a lift of p.

Lemma 7. Let $p, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \prod_{\alpha} (\mathcal{M}_i, \rho_i)$ be projections and $\sum_{k=1}^n q_k = p$. Suppose p is lifted to a projection $\{P_i\}$ with $\rho_i(P_i) = \rho(p)$. Then each q_k can be lifted to a projection $\{Q_{k,i}\}$ such that for all i

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{k,i} = P_i$$

and for all i, k

$$\rho_i(Q_{k,i}) = \rho(q_k).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6 we can lift q_1 to $\{Q_{1,i}\} \in \prod_{\alpha} (P_i \mathcal{M}_i P_i, \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i(P_i)})$ with $\rho_i(Q_{1,i}) = \rho(q_1)$. Now, again by Lemma 6, we can lift q_2 to

$$\{Q_{2,i}\} \in \prod_{\alpha} \left((P_i - Q_{1,i}) \mathcal{M}_i (P_i - Q_{1,i}), \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i (P_i - Q_{1,i})} \right)$$

with $\rho_i(Q_{2,i}) = \rho(q_2)$. Then we lift q_3 to

$$\{Q_{3,i}\} \in \prod_{\alpha} \left(\left(P_i - \sum_{k=1}^2 Q_{k,i} \right) \mathcal{M}_i \left(P_i - \sum_{k=1}^2 Q_{k,i} \right), \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i \left(P_i - \sum_{k=1}^2 Q_{k,i} \right)} \right)$$

with $\rho_i(Q_{3,i}) = \rho(q_3)$. Continuing this process we obtain pairwisely orthogonal lifts $\{Q_{k,i}\} \in \prod_{\alpha} (P_i \mathcal{M}_i P_i, \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i(P_i)})$ of $q_k, k \leq n-1$, such that $\rho_i(Q_{k,i}) = \rho(q_k)$. Now we lift q_n to the projection $\{P_i - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k,i}\} \in \prod_{\alpha} (P_i \mathcal{M}_i P_i, \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i(P_i)})$. Then for all i

$$\rho_i\left(P_i - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{k,i}\right) = \rho(p) - \rho\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_k\right) = \rho(q_n)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{k,i} = P_i.$$

Theorem 9. Let G be as in (5.2). Then G is II_1 -factor stable.

We would like to warn the reader that the notation in the proof below differs slightly from the notation in the proof of Theorem 8: $\tilde{\Omega}$ now plays the role of Wand Q_i 's play the role of P_i 's.

Proof. Suppose $\{(\mathcal{A}_n, \rho_n)\}$ is a sequence of II_1 -factors, α is a non-trivial ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , and $u, x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A}_n, \rho_n) =_{\text{def}} (\mathcal{A}, \rho)$ are unitaries satisfying the group relations. Then

 $x_1^{a_1...a_{m-1}} = x_2^{b_1a_2...a_{m-1}} = \ldots = x_m^{b_1...b_{m-1}} =_{\text{def}} \tilde{\Omega}.$

Obviously x_1, \ldots, x_m commute with $\tilde{\Omega}$. Let

$$N_i = b_1 \dots b_{i-1} a_i \dots a_{m-1}.$$

Since $N_1 = N_m$,

$$u\tilde{\Omega}u^{-1} = (ux_1u^{-1})^{N_1} = x_m^{N_1} = x_m^{N_m} = \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Thus u, x_1, \ldots, x_m commute with $\tilde{\Omega}$ and hence with every spectral projection of $\tilde{\Omega}$, and since \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, the spectral projections of $\tilde{\Omega}$ are in \mathcal{A} .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We can choose an orthogonal family of nonzero spectral projections $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_s\}$ of $\tilde{\Omega}$ whose sum is 1 and we can choose $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{T}$ such that if $\Omega = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k Q_k$, then

$$\left\|\tilde{\Omega}^{i} - \Omega^{i}\right\|_{2} < \varepsilon,$$

for $i \in \left\{1, \frac{1}{N_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{N_m}\right\}$. Here and below by Ω^t , $\tilde{\Omega}^t$ etc., we mean the normal operator obtained by applying the Borel function $z^t =_{def} |z|^t e^{itArgz}$, etc., to $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}$, etc. Clearly

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{s} Q_k T Q_k$$

for $T \in \left\{u, x_1, \dots, x_m, \tilde{\Omega}, \Omega\right\}$. For each n we can find an orthogonal family $\{Q_{n,1}, \dots, Q_{n,s}\}$ of projections in \mathcal{A}_n whose sum is 1 such that, for $1 \leq k \leq s$,

$$Q_k = \{Q_{n,k}\}_{\alpha} .$$

It is clear that $\sum_{k=1}^{s} Q_k \mathcal{A} Q_k$ is the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} (\sum_{k=1}^{s} Q_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k}, \rho_n)$ and that each $\left(Q_k \mathcal{A} Q_k, \frac{1}{\rho(Q_k)}\rho\right)$ is the tracial ultraproduct $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{\alpha} \left(Q_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k}, \frac{1}{\rho_n(Q_{n,k})}\rho_n\right)$.

Let

$$x_i' = x_i \tilde{\Omega}^{-\frac{1}{N_i}},$$

$$i = 1, \ldots, m$$
. Then

(5.9)
$$||x_i - x_i' \Omega^{1/N_i}||_2 < \varepsilon,$$

and

(5.10)
$$ux'_1u^{-1} = x'_m$$

(5.11)
$$(x'_1)^{a_1} = (x'_2)^{b_1}, (x'_2)^{a_2} = (x'_3)^{b_2}, \dots, (x'_{m-1})^{a_{m-1}} = (x'_m)^{b_{m-1}}$$

3

(5.12)
$$(x'_1)^{a_1...a_{m-1}} = \ldots = (x'_m)^{b_1...b_{m-1}} = 1.$$

We notice also that $u, x'_1 \Omega^{1/a_1...a_{m-1}}, \ldots, x'_m \Omega^{1/b_1...b_{m-1}}$ satisfy the group relations.

Now we are going to "lift" the relations (5.10) - (5.12) and we will do it in two steps.

STEP 1: To "lift" the relations (5.11) and (5.12) so that x'_1 and x'_m will be lifted to $\{X'^{(1)}_n\}, \{X'^{(m)}_n\} \in \prod (\sum_{k=1}^s Q_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k})$ unitarily equivalent to each other. (Possibly this unitary equivalence won't be a lift of u.)

To do STEP 1 we notice that the relation (5.12) implies that each x'_i can be written as a linear combination of projections

$$x_i' = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{N_i}} p_k^{(i)},$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, m$. In (5.11) each relation

$$(x_i')^{a_i} = (x_{i+1}')^{b_i}$$

now translates into a system of linear equations with some of $p_k^{(i)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_i$, in the left-hand sides and some of $p_k^{(i+1)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{i+1}$, in the right-hand sides. (We don't write out the details since we did it in the proof of Theorem 8).

Since each $p_k^{(i)}$ is the direct sum of the projections $Q_j p_k^{(i)} Q_j$, this system of linear equations translates into s systems of linear equations, one for each coordinate. Thus for each $j = 1, \ldots, s$ we have a system of linear equations with some of $Q_j p_k^{(i)} Q_j$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_i$, in the left-hand sides and some of $Q_j p_k^{(i+1)} Q_j$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{i+1}$, in the right-hand sides.

We notice also that (5.10) implies that

$$up_k^{(1)}u^{-1} = p_k^{(m)}$$

for all $k = 1, \ldots, N = N_1 = N_m$. In particular

(5.13)
$$\rho\left(Q_j p_k^{(1)} Q_j\right) = \rho\left(Q_j p_k^{(m)} Q_j\right)$$

for all k = 1, ..., N, j = 1, ..., s.

By Lemma 6 we can lift each projection $Q_j p_k^{(1)} Q_j$ to a projection $\{P_{k,n,j}^{(1)}\} \in \prod (Q_{n,j} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,j})$ of the same trace as $Q_j p_k^{(1)} Q_j$. By Lemma 7 we can lift each $Q_j p_k^{(2)} Q_j$ to a projection $\{P_{k,n,j}^{(2)}\} \in \prod (Q_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k})$ of the same trace as $Q_j p_k^{(2)} Q_j$ and such that the family

 $\left\{ \{P_{k,n,j}^{(1)}\}, \{P_{l,n,j}^{(2)}\} \mid k = 1, \dots, N, \ l = 1, \dots, N_2 \right\} \text{ would satisfy the same linear relations as the family } \{Q_j p_k^{(1)} Q_j, Q_j p_l^{(2)} Q_j \mid k = 1, \dots, N, \ l = 1, \dots, N_2 \}. \text{ We keep doing this. We end up with projections } \{P_{k,n,j}^{(i)}\}, \ i = 1, \dots, M, \ k = 1, \dots, N_i, \ j = 1, \dots, s \text{ of the same trace as } Q_j p_k^{(i)} Q_j \text{ and satisfying the same system of linear relations. In particular, by (5.13) we have }$

$$\rho_n(P_{k,n,j}^{(1)}) = \rho_n(P_{k,n,j}^{(m)}),$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \dots, N, j = 1, \dots, s$. Then there is unitary $\{W_{n,j}\} \in \prod (Q_{n,k}\mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k})$ such that

(5.14)
$$W_{n,j}P_{k,n,j}^{(1)}W_{n,j}^{-1} = P_{k,n,j}^{(m)}$$

for all n, k, j. Let for each k, n, i

$$P_{k,n}^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} P_{k,n,j}^{(i)}.$$

For each n let

$$W_n = \sum_{j=1}^s W_{n,j}$$

Then the projections $\{P_{k,n}^{(i)}\}, k = 1, ..., N_i, i = 1, ..., m$ are lifts of $p_k^{(i)}$ and satisfy the same system of linear equations. We have also

(5.15)
$$W_n P_{k,n}^{(1)} W_n^{-1} = P_{k,n}^{(m)}$$

for all n, k. Let

$$X_n^{\prime(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{N_i}} P_{k,n}^{(i)}$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, m, k = 1, \ldots, N_i, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the unitaries $\{X_n^{\prime(i)}\}, i = 1, \ldots, m$, are lifts of x_i 's and satisfy the relations (5.11) and (5.12). It follows from (5.15) that $\{X_n^{\prime(1)}\}, \{X_n^{\prime(m)}\} \in \prod (\sum_{k=1}^s Q_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k})$ are unitarily equivalent to each other. STEP 1 is done.

STEP 2: Given the lifts $\{X_n^{\prime(i)}\}$ of x_i^{\prime} , i = 1, ..., m, constructed in STEP 1, to find a lift of u which would conjugate $\{X_n^{\prime(1)}\}$ and $\{X_n^{\prime(m)}\}$.

At first we lift u to anything, say $\{X_n\} \in \prod (\sum_{k=1}^s Q_{n,k} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,k})$, that is

$$\{X_n\}_\alpha = u.$$

Let for each n

$$\tilde{X}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{k,n}^{(m)} X_n P_{k,n}^{(1)}$$

where $P_{k,n}^{(m)}$ and $P_{k,n}^{(1)}$ are projections constructed in STEP 1. Then

$$P_{k,n}^{(m)}\tilde{X}_n = \tilde{X}_n P_{k,n}^{(1)}$$

for all k, n and

$$\{\tilde{X}_n\}_\alpha = u,$$

because

$$\sum p_k^{(m)} u p_k^{(1)} = \sum u p_k^{(1)} p_k^{(1)} = \sum u p_k^{(1)} = u$$

We are going to show that the unitary from the polar decomposition of \tilde{X}_n also will conjugate $P_{k,n}^{(m)}$ and $P_{k,n}^{(1)}$, for all k's. By (5.15), for each n we have

(5.16)
$$\tilde{X}_n = W_n \sum_{k=1}^N P_{k,n}^{(1)} W_n^{-1} X_n P_{k,n}^{(1)}$$

For each k, n, j, $P_{k,n,j}^{(1)} \mathcal{A}_n P_{k,n,j}^{(1)}$ is a II_1 -factor. As is well known, in II_1 -factors a partial isometry in polar decomposition can always be chosen unitary. Since

$$P_{k,n}^{(1)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} Q_{n,j}\mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,j}\right) P_{k,n}^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} P_{k,n,j}^{(1)}\mathcal{A}_n P_{k,n,j}^{(1)},$$

for each k, n we have

$$P_{k,n}^{(1)} W_n^{-1} X_n P_{k,n}^{(1)} = V_{k,n} \left| P_{k,n}^{(1)} W_n^{-1} X_n P_{k,n}^{(1)} \right|$$

with $V_{k,n} \in P_{k,n}^{(1)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} Q_{n,j} \mathcal{A}_n Q_{n,j} \right) P_{k,n}^{(1)}$ being unitary. Let

$$V_n = \sum_{k=1}^{N} V_{k,n}$$

It is unitary and

(5.17)
$$V_n = \sum_{k=1}^N P_{k,n}^{(1)} V_n P_{k,n}^{(1)}.$$

We have, by (5.15) and (5.16),

(5.18)

$$\tilde{X}_{n} = W_{n}V_{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{k,n}^{(1)} W_{n}^{-1} X_{n} P_{k,n}^{(1)} \right| = W_{n}V_{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} W_{n}^{-1} P_{k,n}^{(m)} W_{n} W_{n}^{-1} X_{n} P_{k,n}^{(1)} \right| = W_{n}V_{n} \left| W_{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{k,n}^{(m)} X_{n} P_{k,n}^{(1)} \right| = W_{n}V_{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{k,n}^{(m)} X_{n} P_{k,n}^{(1)} \right| = W_{n}V_{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} P_{k,n}^{(m)} X_{n} P_{k,n}^{(1)} \right| = W_{n}V_{n} \left| X_{n}^{(1)} \right| = W_{n}V_$$

Let

$$\tilde{V}_n = W_n V_n$$

Then

$$\tilde{X}_n = \tilde{V}_n \left| \tilde{X}_n \right|$$

and since $\{\tilde{X}_n\}_{\alpha} = u$, we conclude that

$$\{\tilde{V}_n\}_\alpha = u.$$

By (5.17)

$$\tilde{V}_n = W_n \sum_{k=1}^N P_{k,n}^{(1)} V_n P_{k,n}^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^N P_{k,n}^{(m)} W_n V_n P_{k,n}^{(1)}$$

Hence

$$P_{k,n}^{(m)}\tilde{V}_n = \tilde{V}_n P_{k,n}^{(1)}$$

which implies that

$$X_n^{\prime(m)}\tilde{V}_n = \tilde{V}_n X_n^{\prime(1)}.$$

STEP 2 is done.

For each
$$n$$
, let $\Omega_n = \sum_{k=1}^s \lambda_k Q_{n,k}$. Then $\Omega = \{\Omega_n\}_{\alpha}$. Let $X_n^{(i)} = X_n'^{(i)} \Omega_n^{1/N_i}$.

Then by (5.9)

$$||x_i - \{X_n^{(i)}\}_{\alpha}||_2 \le \epsilon.$$

Since $\tilde{V}_n, X_n^{(1)}, \ldots, X_n^{(m)}$ satisfy the group relations, Lemma 3 completes the proof.

Theorems 8 and 9 imply that

Theorem 10. One-relator groups with a nontrivial center are II₁-factor stable.

5.3. **RFD.** Recall that a C^* -algebra is *residually finite-dimensional* (RFD) if it has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations.

Though property of being RFD is not directly related to stability, arguments similar to ones used in the proof of Theorem 8 can be applied to show that for groups of the form (5.1), $C^*(G)$ is RFD. We will need a lemma.

Lemma 8. Suppose $Q, R_1, \ldots, R_N \in B(H)$ are projections and $\sum_{i=1}^N R_i = Q$, $P_n \in B(H)$ are finite-rank projections and $P_n \uparrow 1$, $Q_n \in P_n B(H) P_n$ and SOTlim $Q_n = Q$. Then there exists projections $R_n^{(i)} \in P_n B(H) P_n$ such that SOTlim $R_n^{(i)} = R_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N R_n^{(i)} = Q_n$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{H} = Q(H)$. Then Q is the unit in $B(\tilde{H})$. Since projections with sum 1 generate a commutative C^* -algebra, hence RFD, the statement follows from [[15], Th. 11].

Theorem 11. Let G be of the form (5.1). Then $C^*(G)$ is RFD.

Proof. Again we will do it for the case $G = \langle x, y, z | x^2 = y^3, y^5 = z^7 \rangle$, and the proof for the general case is analogous. Let $0 \neq a \in C^*(G)$. Then there exists an irreducible representation π of $C^*(G)$ such that $\pi(a) \neq 0$. The representation π must factorize through the C^* -algebra

$$C^*(x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^3, y^5 = z^7, \ x^{10} = y^{15} = z^{21} = 1)$$
.

Indeed, $\pi(x^{10}) = \pi(y^{15}) = \pi(z^{21}) \in \pi(C^*(G))' = \mathbb{C}1$. Hence there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\pi(x^{10}) = \pi(y^{15}) = \pi(z^{21}) = \lambda$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\pi(C^*(G)) \cong C^*\left(x, y, z \mid x^2 = y^3, y^5 = z^7, \ x^{10} = y^{15} = z^{21} = 1\right)$$

given by

$$x' \mapsto \pi(x)\lambda^{-1/10}, \ y' \mapsto \pi(y)\lambda^{-1/15}, \ z' \mapsto \pi(z)\lambda^{-1/21}$$

Here by $\lambda^{-1/10}$ etc. we mean $|\lambda|^{-1/10}e^{-\frac{iArg\lambda}{10}}$ etc. By arguments used in the proof of Theorem 8, the latter algebra is isomorphic to the universal C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} of the relations

 $(5.19) \quad q_1 + q_6 = r_1 + r_6 + r_{11}$ $q_2 + q_7 = r_2 + r_7 + r_{12}$ $q_3 + q_8 = r_3 + r_8 + r_{13}$ $q_4 + q_9 = r_4 + r_9 + r_{14}$ $q_5 + q_{10} = r_5 + r_{10} + r_{15}$ $r_1 + r_4 + r_7 + r_{10} + r_{13} = s_1 + s_4 + s_7 + s_{10} + s_{13} + s_{16} + s_{19}$ $r_2 + r_5 + r_8 + r_{11} + r_{14} = s_2 + s_5 + s_8 + s_{11} + s_{14} + s_{17} + s_{20}$ $r_3 + r_6 + r_9 + r_{12} + r_{15} = s_3 + s_6 + s_9 + s_{12} + s_{15} + s_{18} + s_{21},$

where all $q_i, r_k, s_m, i = 1, ..., 10, k = 1, ..., 15, m = 1, ..., 21$, are projections.

Thus $\pi = \psi \circ j$, where $j : C^*(G) \to \mathcal{D}$ and ψ is a representation of \mathcal{D} . It follows from Lemma 8, that any representation of \mathcal{D} is a pointwise SOT-limit of finitedimensional representations. Hence there exists a finite-dimensional representation ϕ of \mathcal{D} such that $\phi(j(a)) \neq 0$. Thus there is a finite-dimensional representation (namely $\phi \circ j$) of $C^*(G)$ that does not vanish on a.

References

- W. Arveson, An invitation to C*-algebras, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39.
- [2] G. Arzhantseva and L. Paunescu, Almost commuting permutations are near commuting permutations, J Funct. Anal., Volume 269, Issue 3, 1 August 2015, 745–757.
- [3] B. Bekka, Operator superrigidity for $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), n \geq 3$, Invent. Math., 169 (2007), no.2, 401 425.
- [4] M. B. Bekka and N. Louvet, Some Properties of C*-Algebras Associated to Discrete Linear Groups, C*-algebras: Proceedings of the SFB-Workshop on C*-Algebras, pp.1 – 22, Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [5] L. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, C*-algebras of real rank zero, J. Funct. Anal. 9, 131 149 (1991).
- [6] M. Burger, N. Ozawa, A. Thom, On Ulam stability, Israel J. Math. 193 (2013), no. 1, 109-129.
- [7] T. G. Ceccherini Silberstein and R. I. Grigorchuk, Amenability and growth of one-relator groups, l'Enseignement math. 43 (1997), 337 – 354.
- [8] K. Davidson, C*-algebras by example, Fields Institute Monograph 6, AMS, 1996.
- [9] K. Davidson, Almost commuting Hermitean matrices, Math. Scand. 56, 222 (1985).
- [10] J. L. Dyer, Separating conjugates in amalgamated free products and HNN extensions, Australian Mathematical Society A, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 35 – 51, 1980.
- [11] N. Filonov and I. Kachkovskiy, A Hilbert-Schmidt analog of Huaxin Lin's Theorem, arXiv:1008.4002.
- [12] L. Glebsky, Almost commuting matrices with respect to normalized Hilbert-Schmidt norm, arXiv:1002.3082
- [13] L. Glebsky and L. M. Rivera, Almost solutions of equations in permutations, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics Vol. 13, No. 2A (April 2009), pp. 493–500.
- [14] D. Hadwin, L. Kaonga and B. Mathes, Noncommutative continuous functions, J. Korean Math. Soc. 40 (2003), No 5, 789 – 830.
- [15] D. Hadwin, A lifting characterization of RFD C*-algebras, Math. Scand. 115 (2014), no. 1, 85 – 95.
- [16] D. Hadwin and W. Li, A note on approximate liftings, Oper. Matrices 3 (2009), no. 1, 125 143.
- [17] D. Hadwin and T. Shulman, Tracial stability for C^* -algebras, preprint.
- [18] P. R. Halmos, Some unsolved problems of unknown depth about operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 76 (1976/77), no. 1, 67 – 76.
- [19] Huaxin Lin, Almost commuting self-adjoint matrices and applications, Filds Inst. Commun. 13, 193 (1995).
- [20] V.M.Manuilov, Almost representations and asymptotic representations of discrete groups. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 63 (1999) No 5, 159 – 178
- [21] V.M. Manuilov and A.S. Mischenko, Almost, asymptotic and frefholm representations of discrete groups, Acta Applicandae Mathematica, 68 (2001), Issue 1, 159 - 210
- [22] S. Meskin, A. Pietrowski and A. Steinberg, One-relator groups with center, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 16 (1973), 319 – 323.
- [23] A. Pietrowski, The isomorphism problem for one-relator groups with non-trivial centre, Math. Z. 136, 95 – 106 (1974).
- [24] V. G. Pestov, Hyperlinear and sofic groups: a brief guide, The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic Vol. 14, No. 4 (2008), 449-480.
- [25] J. Peterson and A. Thom, Character rigidity for special linear groups, J. Reine Angew. Math., 716 (2016), 207-228.
- [26] P. Rosenthal, Research problems: are almost commuting matrices near commuting matrices?, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76 (8) (1969), 925-926.

- [27] S. Sakai, The theory of W*-algebras, Lecture Notes, Yale University, 1962.
- [28] A. I. Shtern, Quasirepresentations and pseudorepresentations, Funct. Anal. Appl., 25(1991), 2, 70–73.
- [29] E. Thoma, Über unitäre Darstellungen abzählbarer diskreter Gruppen, Math. Ann. 153 (1964), 111-138. MR 28 #3332.
- [30] E. Thoma, Ein Charakterisierung diskreter Gruppen vom Typ I, Invent. Math. 6 (1968), 190-196. MR 40 #1540.
- [31] D. Voiculescu, Asymptotically commuting finite rank unitary operators without commuting approximants, Acta Sci. Math. 45 (1983), 429 431.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE *E-mail address:* operatorguy@gmail.com

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, POLAND *E-mail address*: tshulman@impan.pl