Possible Light-Induced Superconductivity in a Strongly Correlated Electron System
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Abstract

Using a nonequilibrium implementation of the Lanczos-based exact diagonalisation technique we study the possibility of the light-induced superconducting phase coherence in a solid state system after an ultrafast optical excitation. In particular, we investigate the buildup of superconducting correlations by calculating an exact time-dependent wave function reflecting the properties of the system in non-equilibrium and the corresponding transient response functions. Within our picture we identify a possible transient Meissner effect after dynamical quenching of the non-superconducting wavefunction and extract a characteristic superfluid density that we compare to experimental data. Finally, we find that the stability of the induced superconducting state depends crucially on the nature of the excitation quench: namely, a pure interaction quench induces a long-lived superconducting state, whereas a phase quench leads to a short-lived transient superconductor.
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1. Introduction

Recent developments of ultrashort laser pulses allow for optical control of the complex matter on picosecond time-scales. Intriguing experiments at mid-IR and THz frequency ranges reported controlling non-equilibrium superconductivity. Tailored excitation pulses tuned resonantly to specific phonon modes have been shown to induce transient superconducting states far above equilibrium transition temperature \( T_c \), which goes beyond the typical photo-doping experiments. On the one hand superconductivity could emerge after suppression of a competing order. Here, the key experiment was performed via phonon pumping on 1/8 doped LESCO, where superconductivity is fully suppressed due to the appearance of a competing stripe order stabilized by lattice distortion. Melting competing stripes with a light pulse allows for the reappearance and enhancement of the superconductivity. This picture was also supported by theoretical investigations, where the existing superconductivity was enhanced after suppressing competing orders by optical pulses. However, most intriguing are experiments that really induce superconducting coherence into the electron system. That allows stabilizing superconducting state far above \( T_c \); most prominent in YBCO that was possible throughout the pseudogap phase. Key to these experiments is the resonant pumping of the \( c \)-axis infrared-active CuO mode, which basically modulates apical oxygen displacement from the CuO planes, leading to a redistribution of the interlayer coherence between the planes. Although the evidence for the competing order of the incommensurate CDW in YBCO was found, the temperature and time dependent measurements rule out its melting as a key element of inducing superconductivity. A leading idea emphasizing the role of phonon pumping is based on the displacement of the lattice structure through non-linear phononics. This transient structure frozen at maximum lattice displacement suggests an enhanced superconducting coupling strength. However, comparing with theoretical expectations the transient displacement and therefore impact of this effect seems rather small to explain the observed enhanced \( T_c \). Explanations going beyond the structure dynamics are focusing on the amplification of the existing electron pairing either by changing of microscopic parameters responsible for superconductivity, by parametric cooling or by phononic squeezing. Though, none of them describe the possibility to induce superconducting coherence. An important experimental aspect, which was so far disregarded, is the possibility of the modulated effective interactions after an optical excitation. The significance of such modulations was experimentally shown on 1D organic molecules, where a controlled change in Coulomb interaction \( U \) was achieved, and most
Figure 1. (color online) (a) Inducing phase coherence with an optical pulse. Here, spatial distribution of electrons on a lattice before and after pulse corresponds to CDW and singlet SC, respectively. (b) Illustration of the equilibrium phase diagram for the 1D EHM at half filling (T=0) with $U$ and $V$ being the strength of the on-site and nearest neighbor interaction from Eq. (1), respectively. Each shaded region corresponds to a particular phase from EHM. The nonequilibrium transition between phases is initiated by an optical pulse following two scenarios: (I) an interaction quench or (II) a phase quench. (c) Illustration of the time-dependent Hamilton-Matrix. While after interaction quench the diagonal part of the Hamiltonian (green) is changed, phase quench modifies its off-diagonal part (blue).

spectacular is discussed as a key element for possible light-induced superconductivity in K-doped C$_{60}$. In the latter case, a transient superconducting state was observed after a tailored optical pulse, which modulates the local charge density. However, the understanding of the underlying microscopic mechanism in nonequilibrium is still missing.

Following that view we propose here a general concept to induce nonequilibrium electronic phase coherence after an optical excitation (see Fig. 1) by emphasizing the role of modulated correlations $U/t_h$. In particular, we show the possibility of light-induced superconducting coherence following two basic concepts: pulse stimulated changes in the interaction strength of the electron system (e.g. on-site $U$) and modification of the electron hopping $t_h \exp(iA(t))$ by the time-dependent vector potential $A(t)$ of the light field. To capture the essential physics we simulate an electron system in nonequilibrium by the extended Hubbard model (EHM) with an additional next neighbor interaction $V$ in one dimension (1D) at half-filling described by the time-dependent Hamiltonian:

$$
\hat{H}(t) = -t_h \sum_{i,\sigma} \left( e^{iA(t)} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + [U + \Delta U \Theta(t)] \sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}) + [V + \Delta V \Theta(t)] \sum_i (\hat{n}_i - 1)(\hat{n}_{i+1} - 1),
$$

(1)

where $\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$ ($\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$) creates (annihilates) an electron with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ at site $i$ and $\hat{n}_i = \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$ denotes density operator with $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$. Before the excitation ($t < 0$) we prepare the system in equilibrium ground state (GS) of the CDW (see Fig. 1(a)). Then, at around $t = 0$ the laser
pulse arises, which leads to either (I) abrupt changing interaction parameters on the diagonal of the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_1 \) (green part in Fig. 1(b) and (c)) or (II) to modification of the hopping by the time-dependent vector potential \( A(t) \) of the light field on its off-diagonals (blue part in Fig. 1(b) and (c)). We note that these excitations are tailored to dominantly change the effective correlation in case (I) (as also showed for the same system by DMRG calculations\(^{33} \)) and to effective transfer of the pulse energy to electrons in case (II)\(^{34} \). Hence, one drives the system out of equilibrium and can induce transient superconducting state (Fig. 1(a)).

2. Method

In case (I) one deals with the quench protocol\(^ {35} \) where at \( t = 0 \) the interaction parameters \( U \) and \( V \) are abruptly changed by \( \Delta U \) and \( \Delta V \). Recently this type of quenching was experimentally realized in 1D organic molecules\(^ {26,27} \). The situation (II) represents excitation with a light pulse with a finite duration time. The pulse is included into the Hamiltonian by means of the Peierls substitution and is modelled by a time-dependent vector potential \( A(t) = A_0 e^{-t^2/2\tau^2} \cos (\omega_{pump} t) \), with the amplitude \( A_0 \), the frequency \( \omega_{pump} \), and the full width at half maximum \( \tau \). The temporal evolution of the system obeys the Schrödinger equation, which we numerically solve by employing at each time step \( t + \delta t \) Lanczos method generating a tridiagonal matrix with the eigenvectors \( \phi_l \) and corresponding eigenvalues \( \epsilon_l \). These results are subsequently used to approximate the time-dependent wave function\(^ {34} \)

\[
|\psi(t+\delta t)\rangle \approx e^{-i\hat{H}(t)\delta t}|\psi(t)\rangle \approx \sum_{l=1}^{M} e^{-i\epsilon_l\delta t}|\phi_l\rangle\langle\phi_l|\psi(t)\rangle
\]  

Based on the knowledge of \( |\psi(t)\rangle \) we calculate correlation functions as well as optical conductivity, which are good quantities to examine a contrast between two neighboring CDW and SC phases. The temporal evolution of the charge order can be traced by the time-dependent density-density correlation function:

\[
C(j, t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \langle \hat{n}_{l+j} \hat{n}_l |\psi(t)\rangle
\]  

at lattice site \( j \) with lattice size \( L \). To investigate the dynamics of the singlet superconducting
correlations we introduce the on-site correlation function:

\[ P_1(j, t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \left\langle \psi(t) \left| \hat{c}_{l+j} \hat{c}_{l} \hat{c}_{l+j} \hat{c}_{l+j} \right| \psi(t) \right\rangle . \] (4)

The optical pump-probe conductivity \( \sigma(\Delta t, \omega) \), we calculate by using an additional weak probe pulse described by \( A_{pr}(\Delta t, t) \) at time delay \( \Delta t \).\(^{36,37}\) For a given pump we then sequentially calculate the time-dependent current density without and with the probe pulse. The difference in both results gives the current density \( j_{pr}(\Delta t, t) \) induced by the probing excitation. Finally, the optical conductivity is calculated from the Fourier transformation of \( j_{pr}(\Delta t, t) \) and the \( A_{pr}(\Delta t, t) \) with respect to \( t \):

\[ \sigma(\Delta t, \omega) = \frac{j_{pr}(\Delta t, \omega)}{i(\omega + i\eta)} L A_{pr}(\Delta t, \omega) . \] (5)

For the broadening of the spectral lines we added artificially a small number \( \eta = 1/L \).

For the numerical calculations, we use unless otherwise specified 10-site lattice with periodic boundary conditions and set hopping parameter \( t_{h} = 1 \). Further, we measure the energy and time in units \( t_{h} \) and \( t_{h}^{-1} \), respectively. For the Lanczos method we take \( M = 40 \) iterations and the time interval \( \delta t = 0.01 \).

3. Results

3.1. Induced phase coherence due to interaction quench

Light-induced abrupt change in the interaction strength of the electron system leads to the modification in its eigenstates and, in turn, to the redistribution of the electrons on a lattice. For visualization we have calculated the excitation spectrum of the system in nonequilibrium and compared it with its equilibrium counterparts before and after quenching (see Fig. 2(a)). At \( t < 0 \) we prepare the system in equilibrium GS of the CDW with \( U = -4, V = 0.25 \) and switch abruptly at \( t = 0 \) the interaction parameter \( V \) into the superconducting region with \( V = -0.25 \) (green part in Fig. 1). Analyzing the excitation spectrum in nonequilibrium (black line) in Fig. 2(a) we can draw some important conclusions. First of all, there are no peaks in spectrum, which could be identified with the equilibrium CDW phase (red area). Moreover, the most intensive peaks in spectrum correspond to the low-energy excited states in the equilibrium SC phase (blue area). Finally, since the interaction quench is a nonadiabatic process, the system does not appear in GS of the singlet...
SC phase after quenching, but in some nonequilibrium state $E^*$. Physically that means, that after quenching the system goes directly into a nonequilibrium state in the SC phase and the CDW is fully suppressed, as schematically summarized in Fig. 2(b). Moreover, this tailored excitation dominantly leads to an effective transfer of the applied energy to the changes of the correlation in the system, rather than to its heating. As shown below, the SC correlations in nonequilibrium are almost immediately induced after quenching (within few $t_h^{-1}$). Otherwise, the system would end up in a thermal state with a high effective temperature, where the superconducting correlations are not expected. Note that also experimentally no change of the kinetic energy was observed during interaction quench in 1D organic molecules.

To show how superconducting signatures emerges after interaction quench with time, we calculate correlation functions and more significantly the experimentally relevant time-dependent optical conductivity $\sigma(\Delta t, \omega)$ (see Eq. (5)). First, we plot in Fig. 3(a) and (b) time-dependent density-density $C(j, t)$ and on-site $P_1(j, t)$ correlation functions defined in Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively. Clearly, the CDW state prepared at $t < 0$ and showing characteristic “zigzag” structure in Fig. 3(a) is strongly suppressed after quenching. At the same time, $P_1(j, t)$ presented in Fig. 3(b) shows strong enhancement of the superconducting correlations in nonequilibrium. Moreover, a direct comparison of $P_1(j, t)$ in nonequilibrium with the result for equilibrium superconducting GS.
(blue dashed line) reveals a quite good agreement. Finally, we focus on the optical conductivity. Superconductivity is identified in the complex $\sigma(\Delta t, \omega)$ as follows: Due to the perfect diamagnetic response of a superconductor the imaginary part $\sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ diverges with a characteristic Landau $1/\omega$-behavior for $\omega \to 0$ indicating the Meissner effect. The perfect conductivity leads in the real part $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega)$ to the opening of a superconducting gap of the optical conductivity and a transfer of the spectral weight into the zero frequency $\delta$-peak. In Fig. 3(c) we show $\sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ and $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega)$ (inset) calculated in equilibrium superconducting state (blue dashed line) and after quenching (solid lines). Clearly, after quenching $\sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ shows disappearance of the CDW response visible as clear spectral feature $\omega \approx 1.8$ in the equilibrium spectra (red solid line) and the appearance of a clear inductive response with a superconducting-like $1/\omega$ behavior in the transient spectra (blue solid lines). That indicates the emergence of the superconducting correlations via the

Figure 3. (color online) Time-dependent (a) density-density and (b) on-site correlation functions for 14-site lattice obtained for the interaction quench ($U = -4$, $V = 0.25$, $\Delta V = -0.5$) at times: $t < 0$ (red solid line), $t = 2.5$ (light blue solid line) and $t = 9$ (blue solid line). Results for equilibrium SC phase are indicated by blue dashed lines. (c) The calculated corresponding imaginary optical conductivity $\sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$. Its real part $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega)$ is shown in inset.
Meissner effect. In order to characterize the induced superfluid density we calculated \( \langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2 \rangle \). Here, we found a sudden enhancement of its value after the quenching with the subsequent oscillations. Moreover, the transient value of \( \langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2 \rangle \) is comparable with its equilibrium counterpart for the superconducting phase, if the temperature due to the quench is taken into account. Equivalent indications were also found in the temporal evolution of \( \sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega) \), where the spectral weight of the low energy peak at \( \omega \approx 1.8 \) corresponding to the equilibrium CDW state is shifted after quenching into the low-energy peak at \( \omega \approx 0 \). We note that the finite low frequency tail in the equilibrium CDW state is an artefact of the finite-size calculation. In the induced non-equilibrium case all spectral weight that gets transferred into the low frequency peak is interpreted as spectral weight that forms the transient \( \delta \)-peak of the superfluid. Note that due to the finite size of our model system all this weight is below the low frequency cut off frequency. This weight tracks the equilibrium superconducting \( \delta \)-peak shown as blue dashed line in the inset of Figure 3c that also appear with finite width in our finite size model.

### 3.2. Realistic phase quench and comparison with experiment

Now, we investigate the second important case, where a light pulse modifies the electron hopping with the possibility to induce phase coherence between the electron pairs on the lattice. Since it is technically impossible to calculate the superconducting order parameter within our method, we use for our studies of the induced superconducting correlations some related quantities. First, we calculate the projection of the time-dependent wave function \( |\psi(t)\rangle \) from Eq. (2) to different ground states \( |0\rangle \) (see Fig. 4(a)). The system is initially prepared in the GS with \( U = -3, V = 0.5 \) (CDW phase) and at \( t = 0 \) gets exposed to the light field with a finite width (blue part in Fig. 1). The central frequency of the pulse is resonantly tuned to the first low-energy excited state in the CDW phase to guarantee an effective transfer of the pulse energy to electrons. Its duration time is set to \( \tau = 0.05 \) allowing to reach simultaneously different excited states of the system. Clearly, after pumping the CDW component in \( |\psi(t)\rangle \) is only partially suppressed (red solid line), whereas the projection to the superconducting GS (blue solid line) shows a temporal enhancement in the overlap function \( |\langle \psi(t)|0\rangle|^2 \). One should also note that the overlap of the initial CDW state with the superconducting one before quench is nonzero and indicates non-orthogonal ground states. However, at \( t \approx 7 \) the overlap with the superconducting GS is even larger, than with the initial state (gray dashed line). This might indicate excitation of the system to some joint state of the
CDW and SC, as illustrated in Fig. 4 (b). The interpretation of a dynamical coexistence of both phases is also supported by the excitation spectrum and correlation functions. Note that owing to the resonant driving of the system the pulse energy goes effectively to the changes of correlations. Otherwise, the corresponding thermal state would have rather high effective temperature, where the build up of the superconducting correlations is not expected.

Next, to explore the temporal dynamics of this joint state and to find further fingerprints of the superconducting phase coherence, we calculate the time-dependent optical conductivity (see Fig. 5). In Fig. 5 (a) we show first $\sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ where we observe a reduction of the equilibrium low-energy CDW response at both $t = 1$ (light red line) and $t = 2$ (red line) with the appearance of an in-gap state at $\omega \approx 0.7$. Subsequently, at $t = 3$ (blue line) the response from CDW is disappeared and the data can be fitted with $1/\omega$ (black dashed line). This characteristic Landau $1/\omega$-like behavior we attribute as the diamagnetic response emerging from the superconducting correlation due to the Meissner effect. We note that a $1/\omega$ behavior is a generic characteristics found throughout induced superconductors. For illustration, we plot in inset to Fig. 5 the induced inductive response exemplary for the cuprate YBCO 6.45 under phonon pumping (open black squares). These experimental data shows an induced $1/\omega$ behaviour and was also interpreted as an induced inductive response of a transient Meissner effect. Finally, to characterize the induced

Figure 4. (color online) (a) Time-dependent overlap function $|\langle \psi(t) | 0 \rangle|^2$ for: $U = -3$ and $V = 0.7$ (CDW), $-0.5$ (Singlet SC), and $0.5$ (initial state). The pulse has a Gaussian shape with parameters: $A_0 = 5$, $\omega = 2.38$, $\tau = 0.05$ and is indicated by the black region around $t = 0$. (b) Illustration of the excitation of a system by a strong light pulse.
superfluid density we calculate $\omega \Delta \sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ by fitting the $1/\omega$ divergence at low frequencies for each spectrum. Fig. 5(b) shows the extracted transient superfluid density as a function of time delay $\Delta t$. Clearly, pumping the system leads to an induction of a finite superfluid density with an onset between $\Delta t = 1$ to 3. On the other hand, the dynamical coexistence of the CDW and superconducting excitations leads to the characteristic oscillating behavior discussed above. This is also seen in the reappearing onset in $\omega \Delta \sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ between $\Delta t = 5$ to 7. Hence, the transient character of $|\psi(t)|$ (see Fig. 4(a)) describes a periodic decoherence and therefore leads to a short-lived transient superconducting state. While the onset behaviour of the above mentioned cuprate YBCO 6.45 (see Fig. 5(c)) shows clear similarities to our model calculations the stability of the light induced state has to be interpreted differently: The short-lived character of the experimentally induced state is due to dephasing of the light-induced superfluid by the loss of long range coherence into several decay and deposing channels, while in our model calculation the short lived character is due to the oscillatory behaviour between SC and CDW order in the model system without decay channels.

4. Conclusion

In the present paper we have studied the possibility that tailored light pulses that modulate correlations induce superconducting phase coherence in transient nonequilibrium states. The nonequilibrium dynamics in a solid state system we simulated using time-dependent Lanczos-
based exact diagonalization technique.

Calculating the transient ac-optical conductivity we found transferring of the spectral weight into the zero frequency peak in $\sigma_1$ indicating increase of the conductivity of the system. More importantly we observed within the low-frequency cut-off given by the dimensionality of our system $1/\omega$ divergence at $\omega \to 0$ in imaginary conductivity $\sigma_2$. In contrast to a conventional conductor, where one finds a Drude peak in $\sigma_1$ and a broad peak in $\sigma_2$ defined by the scattering rate, these signatures indicate a superconducting state. However, the optical properties of a superconductor cannot be distinguished from that of a perfect conductor. This is of particular importance, since the electron system that we consider here is dissipationless. In this case the $1/\omega$ behavior in $\sigma_2$ would not be a unique identification for the Meissner-effect. Therefore, to exclude the possibility of the photo-doping of the system, where the photo-doped electrons would lead to a metallic response, we calculated the double occupancy function$^{42}$ here we find the existence of paired electrons in the driven state, which is indicative for a superconductor. Metallic response would show instead single occupancies. We note that a similar dependence of the double occupancy function was observed for the Falicov-Kimball model and was attributed to the melting of CDW order.$^{43}$ However, the response of the CDW in our case in a nonequilibrium state is almost completely suppressed. Moreover, both in the correlation functions, excitation spectra and in the transient wavefunction we find further fingerprints for the transient superconducting response in the light induced state. Hence, our comprehensive picture allows interpreting the $1/\omega$ inductive response as a transient Meissner effect, since it clearly emerges from the induced superconducting correlations and excitations. The behavior of $\sigma_2$ allows us extracting the induced superfluid densities and a comparison with experimental quantities. Based on the quench processes the induced nonequilibrium states show distinct different transient behaviors. While for a pure interaction quench a long-lived superconducting state can be induced, for a phase quench a short-lived transient superconducting state emerges.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to A. Schnyder, A. Cavalleri, Y. Murakami, and H. Krull for helpful discussions. N.B. thanks the YITP in Kyoto, Japan for its hospitality. S.K. acknowledges support from the Ministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst Baden-Württemberg through the
Juniorprofessuren-Programm and support from the Daimler und Benz Stiftung.


[38] See Supplemental Material for the details to the temporal dynamics of $\omega \Delta \sigma_2$. 

13
[39] See Supplemental Material for the details of the dynamics of the low-energy peak at $\omega = 0$.

[40] See Supplemental Material for the details to the excitation spectrum and correlation functions.

[41] For real part of the optical conductivity see Supplemental Material.

[42] See Supplemental Material for the details to the double occupancy function.

Possible Light-Induced Superconductivity in a Strongly Correlated Electron System

Supplement material

Nikolaj Bittner\textsuperscript{1}, Takami Tohyama\textsuperscript{2}, Stefan Kaiser,\textsuperscript{1,3} and Dirk Manske\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Max–Planck–Institut für Festkörperforschung, D–70569 Stuttgart, Germany
\textsuperscript{2}Department of Applied Physics, Tokyo University of Science, Tokyo 125-8585, Japan
\textsuperscript{3,4}th Physics Institute, University of Stuttgart, D–70569 Stuttgart, Germany

A. INTERACTION QUENCH

Quench induced enhancement of the low energy peak in $\sigma_1$. In Fig. S.1 we plot the temporal evolution of the magnitude of the low energy peak measured at frequency $\omega = 0$ in the real part of the optical conductivity spectrum ($\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega = 0)$). Clearly, after quenching there is a sudden enhancement of $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega = 0)$ with the subsequent oscillations. At the same time, as discussed in the main text in connection to Fig.3, the CDW peak at $\omega \approx 1.8$ is completely disappeared. Thus, we can conclude that the spectral weight of the CDW peak is shifted into the low energy peak at $\omega = 0$.

Figure S.1. (color online) Temporal evolution of the magnitude of the low energy peak ($\omega = 0$) in the $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega)$ spectrum after an interaction quench.

Quench induced enhancement in $\omega \Delta \sigma_2$. For characterization of the induced superfluid density we calculated $\langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega) \rangle$ by fitting the $1/\omega$ divergence at low frequencies for each spectrum.

Figure S.2. (color online) Transient value of $\langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega) \rangle$ after an interaction quench (blue dashed line). The parameters of the quench are the same, as in the main text. The blue solid line corresponds to its equilibrium counterpart $\langle n \rangle_{sc}$ in the superconducting phase ($U = -4$, $V = -0.25$) at $T = 0$. The red solid line represents the value of $\langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2 \rangle = \langle n \rangle_{sc} \sqrt{1 - T_{\text{eff}}/T_c}$ with the numerically estimated ratio $T_{\text{eff}}/T_c = 0.8$.

We note that due to the finite size of our model we corrected $\sigma_2$ from the CDW contribution, i.e. $\Delta \sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega) = \sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega) - \sigma_{\text{eq,CDW}}$. The results are plotted in Fig. S.2 by the blue dashed line. As one can see from the figure, $\langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2 \rangle$ shows a sudden enhancement after the quenching with the subsequent oscillations. This temporal behavior demonstrates dynamics reminiscent of $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega = 0)$ (cf. Fig. S.1). Moreover, we compared the value of $\langle \omega \Delta \sigma_2 \rangle$ with its equilibrium counterpart $\langle n \rangle_{sc}$ at $T = 0$ for the superconducting phase with $U = -4$, $V = -0.25$ and $\langle n \rangle_{sc} \sqrt{1 - T_{\text{eff}}/T_c}$, which are shown in
Fig. S.2 by the blue and red solid lines, respectively. In the latter case we numerically estimated the ratio $T_{\text{eff}}/T_c = 0.8$. One can see that the temporal spectral weight of $\omega \times \sigma_2$ shows almost the same or even slightly larger values (at $\Delta t \approx 4, 7$ and 10) then in equilibrium, if the temperature due to the quench is taken into account ($T_{\text{eff}}$).

**Cluster size dependence.** Here, we compare the results of calculations for 10 and 14-sites lattice after identical quench excitation. We use the same quench protocol as described in the main text: the system is initially prepared in the CDW state with $U = -4$ and $V = 0.25$. At $t = 0$ the next-neighbour interaction strength is then suddenly changed by $\Delta V = -0.5$. The time-dependent results for density-density $C(j, t)$ and on-site $P_1(j, t)$ correlation functions are shown in Fig. S.3. Clearly, for both 10 and 14-sites lattices $C(j, t)$ shows suppression of the characteristic for CDW “zigzag” structure after quenching. At the same time $P_1(j, t)$ illustrates strong enhancement of the superconducting correlations in nonequilibrium. This on-site correlation function in nonequilibrium shows a quite good agreement with the results for equilibrium superconducting state (dashed lines in Fig. S.3). All in all, for both 10 and 14-sites lattices we found similar behavior after quenching showing the enhancement of the superconducting correlations together with the suppression of the CDW structure.

Figure S.3. (color online) Scaling dependence of (a), (c) the density-density and (b), (d) the on-site correlation functions obtained for the interaction quench ($U = -4, V = 0.25, \Delta V = -0.5$). Left panels correspond to the 14-site lattice, and right panels show results for the 10-site lattice. The color coding illustrates results at different times: red solid line corresponds to $t < 0$, light blue solid line represents $t = 3.5$ (14-site) and $t = 2.5$ (10-site), and blue solid line is for $t = 12$ (14-site) and $t = 9$ (10-site). Results for equilibrium SC phase are indicated by blue dashed lines.

Figure S.6. (color online) On-site correlation function versus lattice distance $j$ calculated for $U = -3$ and $V = 0.5$. The calculations are done exemplary for the first 3 eigenstates: ground state with $\Delta E = 0$ (blue dashed line), $\Delta E_1 = 1.6295$ (light red solid line), and $\Delta E_2 = 2.5479$ (red solid line).

Figure S.4. (color online) Density-density correlation function versus lattice distance $j$ calculated for $U = -3$ and $V = 0.5$. The calculations are done exemplary for the first 3 eigenstates: ground state with $\Delta E = 0$ (blue dashed line), $\Delta E_1 = 1.6295$ (light red solid line), and $\Delta E_2 = 2.5479$ (red solid line).
Figure S.6. (color online) Excitation spectrum of the system in nonequilibrium (black solid line) compared with the spectra in equilibrium singlet SC phase (blue region) and equilibrium CDW phase (red region).

**B. PHASE QUENCH.**

*Characterization of eigenstates.* For characterization of the initial phase with $U = -3$ and $V = 0.5$ in equilibrium, we plot in Figs. S.4 and S.5 on-site $P_1(j)$ and density-density $C(j)$ correlation functions for several low-energy eigenstates, respectively. Let us focus on the behavior of $C(j)$ (see Fig. S.5). Whereas in the ground state (blue dashed line) the density-density correlation function shows characteristic "zigzag" structure indicating alternating order of the electron density with mostly double occupied and empty sites, in the next two low-energy eigenstates (light red and red solid lines) it shows for $j > 2$ an almost constant behavior. On the other hand, the on-site correlation function show no significant changes in the low-energy eigenstates (see Fig. S.4).

It should be noted that we adjust the central frequency of the pulse used for the phase quench (see main text) to the eigenstate, which is indicated in Figs. S.5 and S.4 by the red solid line.

*Dynamical coexistence. Excitation spectrum and correlation function.* To calculate an excitation spectrum we use the spectral representation of the stress tensor operator $\hat{\tau}$:

$$-\text{Im} \chi_{\tau\tau}(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_n |\langle n | \hat{\tau} | \text{GS} \rangle|^2 \delta(\omega - (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_0)/\hbar)$$

where $\hat{\tau} = \sum_{j,\sigma} (t_h \hat{c}^+_j \hat{c}_{j+1}\sigma + \text{H.c.})$ and $|n\rangle$ is the $n$-th eigenstate with the energy $\varepsilon_n$. For broadening of the spectral lines we use an artificial small number $\eta = 1/L$.

In Fig. S.6 we plot the excitation spectrum for initial CDW phase (red line) and equilibrium SC phase (blue line) together with the excitation spectrum after pumping (black line). Latter shows several distinct peaks. The most intensive peaks in the nonequilibrium spectrum can be identified with the initial equilibrium CDW phase. In fact, low energy peaks at $\omega \approx 1.5, 2.5, 3.5$ and 5.5 match perfectly with excitation spectrum for the CDW phase. In addition, a peak at $\omega \approx 1.5$ might also represent an excited state of the singlet superconducting phase, since one of the most intensive peaks in the optical spectrum of the superconducting state appears at the same frequency. Additionally, a peak at $\omega \approx 1$ in the nonequilibrium spectrum

Figure S.7. (color online) Time–dependent density–density correlation function $C(j,t)$ as a function of the lattice site $j$ obtained after a pump pulse excitation of the Gaussian shape. The parameters of the pulse are: $A_0 = 5$, $\omega = 2.38$, $\tau = 0.05$. The function $C(j,t)$ is exemplary shown at times: $t = 1$ (light red solid line), $t = 3$ (light blue solid line), $t = 5$ (red solid line), $t = 7$ (blue solid line). For comparison, the correlation function in equilibrium CDW phase is shown by the red dashed line.
can be assigned to the second intensive peak in the spectrum of the superconducting phase. Finally, some less intensive peaks at $\omega \approx 5$ and around $\omega \approx 6$ can be assigned to the superconducting phase.

To explore temporal evolution of the charged order, we plot in Fig. S.7 the time–dependent

density–density correlation function $C(j, t)$. Before pumping the electron system is prepared in the equilibrium ground state of the CDW phase and $C(j, t)$ shows a characteristic “zigzag” structure (red dashed line). Clearly, the excitation of the system with the pulse leads to an effective partial suppression of the charge density wave correlations with the subsequent oscillations. It should be noted, that the system in nonequilibrium does not indicate any strong similarities with the first low-energy eigenstates of CDW (c.f. Fig. S.5).

**Optical conductivity.** Finally, in Fig. S.8 we show the time–dependent real part of the optical conductivity $\sigma_1(\Delta t, \omega)$. In agreement with the results for the imaginary conductivity $\sigma_2(\Delta t, \omega)$ [see Fig. 5 in the main text] we observe first a partial reduction of the spectral weight of the low energy peak corresponding to the equilibrium CDW state at both $\Delta t = 1$ (light red solid line) and $\Delta t = 2$ (red solid line) with the appearance of an in–gap state at $\omega \approx 0.7$. At $\Delta t = 3$ the response from CDW is disappeared and the spectral weight is shifted to a low–energy peak at $\omega \approx 0$ representing a transient $\delta$–peak.
C. DOUBLE OCCUPANCY FUNCTION

In order to get an additional insight into the charge dynamics after the interaction and the phase quench we plot in Figs. S.9 and S.10 time-dependent double occupation function. After the interaction quench double occupation function shows oscillations with a quite small magnitude (see Fig. S.9). Physically this behavior can be interpreted as a redistribution of the electron pairs on the lattice initially prepared in the CDW phase, which was initiated by the interaction quench.

In case of the pulse quench (see Fig. S.10) we find in the behavior of the double occupancy function an effective decrease with strong oscillations around a new reduced value. Physically, it means that the excitation of the electron system leads to a dynamical breaking and creation of the electron pairs on a lattice. Moreover, at some moments in time (e.g. at $t \approx 3$ etc.) one can observe a recovery of the double occupation function to its initial value. Since the charge density wave correlations are partially suppressed after pumping (see Fig. S.7), this behavior indicates a transient redistribution of the electron pairs on the lattice.