arXiv:1707.00101v1 [cs.FL] 1 Jul 2017

Church-Rosser Systems, Codes with Bounded Synchronization Delay and Local Rees Extensions

Volker Diekert Lukas Fleischer*

FMI, University of Stuttgart
Universitätsstraße 38, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany
{diekert,fleischer}@fmi.uni-stuttgart.de

In memoriam: Zoltàn Ésik (1951 – 2016)

What is the common link, if there is any, between Church-Rosser systems, prefix codes with bounded synchronization delay, and local Rees extensions? The first obvious answer is that each of these notions relates to topics of interest for WORDS: Church-Rosser systems are certain rewriting systems over words, codes are given by sets of words which form a basis of a free submonoid in the free monoid of all words (over a given alphabet) and local Rees extensions provide structural insight into regular languages over words. So, it seems to be a legitimate title for an extended abstract presented at the conference WORDS 2017. However, this work is more ambitious, it outlines some less obvious but much more interesting link between these topics. This link is based on a structure theory of finite monoids with varieties of groups and the concept of *local divisors* playing a prominent role. Parts of this work appeared in a similar form in conference proceedings [6, 11] where proofs and further material can be found.

Introduction

Ceci n'est pas une introduction.[1] The present paper does not claim to provide any new results. Its purpose is to give an overview on a theory developed over the past

*Supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under grant DI 435/6-1.

[1] Following "La trahison des images" by René Magritte.

twenty years, having its origins in a construction derived from the *Habilitationsschrift* of Thomas Wilke [27] which the first author was refereeing in 1997. Inspired by this construction (which also appears in [28]), he distilled the concept of a local divisor of a finite monoid without knowing that this concept existed long before in commutative algebra [15] (denoted by Kurt Meyberg as *local algebra*) and without giving any special name to it. The term *local divisor* was coined 2012 in [9] only.[2]

Originally, the concept was solely used as a tool to simplify existing proofs. Still, this was particularly helpful in [3] which introduced this proof technique to the semigroup community. However, over the last decade, it gave rise to new results. Amazingly, it was powerful enough to solve long-standing open problems. It is hard to formally pinpoint where the power of method comes from or why, on the other hand, it has clear limitations. Let us conclude with an *étale* statement: There are not enough local submonoids, so the role of local submonoids transfers to local divisors and there are plenty of them. This seems to be useful.

Preliminaries

Throughout the paper A denotes a finite alphabet and M, N denote monoids. If not stated otherwise, M and N will be finite. A *divisor* of a monoid M is a monoid N which is a homomorphic image of a subsemigroup of M. A *variety* of finite monoids is a nonempty family of finite monoids $\mathbf{V}$ which is closed under taking divisors and finite direct products. A variety of finite groups is a variety of finite monoids where each of the monoids is a group.

The largest group variety is $\mathbf{G}$, the variety of all finite groups. If $\mathbf{H}$ is a variety of finite groups, $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ denotes the class of finite monoids where all subgroups are members of $\mathbf{H}$. It turns out that for every group variety $\mathbf{H}$, the class $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ is a variety, see [12]. Actually, it is the greatest variety of finite monoids such that $\overline{\mathbf{H}} \cap \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}$. Clearly, $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ is the class of all finite monoids which we denote by $\mathbf{Mon}$. The most prominent subclass is $\overline{\mathbf{1}}$, the variety of aperiodic monoids $\mathbf{Ap}$. Here, $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the smallest group variety, containing the trivial group $\{1\}$ only.

Given a variety $\mathbf{V}$, we denote by $\mathbf{V}(A^*)$ the set of languages $L \subseteq A^*$ such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(L))$ for some homomorphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$ where $M \in \mathbf{V}$. From formal language theory, we know that $\mathbf{Mon}(A^*)$ is the set of all regular languages in A^*.

1 Church-Rosser Thue Systems

A *semi-Thue system* is a set of rewriting rules $S \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ over some alphabet A. (For simplicity, throughout this paper, semi-Thue systems are assumed to be finite.)

[2] The pointer to [15] is due to Benjamin Steinberg and that a "local divisor" is a monoid divisor in the usual sense was observed by Daniel Kirsten, first. Thanks!

A system S defines a finitely presented quotient monoid

$$A^*/S = A^*/\{\ell = r \mid (\ell, r) \in S\},$$

and the system is called *Church-Rosser* (with respect to the length function) if S is confluent and length-reducing. The interest in Church-Rosser systems stems from the fact that we can compute irreducible normal forms in linear time (as the system is finite and length-reducing) and that the irreducible normal forms of two words u, v are identical if and only if u and v represent the same word in A^*/S (as the system is confluent). Thus, if a monoid M has a presentation as $M = A^*/S$, then the word problem of M is solvable in linear time. The notion of a *Church-Rosser language* is an offspring of that observation and appeared first in Narendran's PhD thesis [16], followed by a systematic study of that concept in [14]. As a result, [14] defines a language class strictly larger than the the class of deterministic context-free languages for which the word problem is solvable in linear time. The authors of this work also define a restricted class which is incomparable with the class of (deterministic) context-free languages.

A language $L \subseteq A^*$ is called *Church-Rosser congruential*, if there exists a finite, confluent, and length-reducing semi-Thue system $S \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ such that L is a finite union of congruence classes modulo S. If, in addition, the index of S is finite (i.e., the monoid A^*/S of all congruence classes is finite) then L is called *strongly* Church-Rosser congruential. Strongly Church-Rosser congruential languages are necessarily regular. It was conjectured (but open for more than 25 years until 2012) that all regular languages are (strongly) Church-Rosser congruential. Some partial results were known before 2012 but commutativity in the syntactic monoid seemed to be a major obstacle. For example, it is easy to verify the conjecture provided the syntactic monoid is a finite non-Abelian simple group like $\mathcal{A}_5$. On the other hand, it is surprisingly hard to prove the result for the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Nevertheless, [7] proved a stronger result. Given a regular language $L \subseteq A^*$ and any weight function $\gamma : A \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a finite confluent and weight-reducing semi-Thue system S such the quotient monoid is A^*/S is finite and such that L is a (necessarily finite) union of congruence classes. This result is indeed stronger because the mapping $w \mapsto |w|$ is just one particular weight function.

2 Star-Freeness and Bounded Synchronization Delay

The class of *star-free languages* over some alphabet A, denoted by $\mathrm{SF}(A^*)$, is the least class of languages which contains all finite languages over A and which is closed under both Boolean operations (finite union and complementation) and concatenation. As the name suggests, we do not allow the Kleene star. Nevertheless, B^* is star-free for all $B \subseteq A$. A fundamental result of Schützenberger characterizes the class of star-free languages by aperiodic monoids [21]. That is, a regular language

belongs to $\mathrm{SF}(A^*)$ if and only if all subgroups in its syntactic monoid are trivial. By slight abuse of notation, one usually abbreviates this result by $\mathrm{SF} = \mathbf{Ap}$ as a short version of $\mathrm{SF}(A^*) = \mathbf{Ap}(A^*)$. Schützenberger found another, but less prominent characterization of SF: the star-free languages are exactly the class of languages which can be defined inductively by finite languages and closure under finite union, concatenation, and the Kleene star restricted to prefix codes of bounded synchronization delay [23]. This result is abbreviated by $\mathrm{SD} = \mathbf{Ap}$.

A language $K \subseteq A^+$ is called *prefix code* if it is *prefix-free*, i.e., $u \in K$ and $uv \in K$ implies $u = uv$. A prefix-free language K is a code since every word $u \in K^*$ admits a unique factorization $u = u_1 \cdots u_k$ with $k \geq 0$ and $u_i \in K$. A prefix code K has *bounded synchronization delay* if for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $u, v, w \in A^*$ with $uvw \in K^*$ and $v \in K^d$, we have $uv \in K^*$. Note that the condition implies that for all $uvw \in K^*$ with $v \in K^d$, we have $w \in K^*$, too. The idea is as follows: assume that a transmission of a code message is interrupted and we receive a fragment of the form $u''vw$ where $v \in K^d$ and $w \in K^*$. Then, we know that the original message was of the form $u'u''vw$ with $u'u'' \in K^*$ and $w \in K^*$. Hence, we can decode w as part of the original message. With a delay of d code words the decoding can be synchronized. For $B \subseteq A$ and $c \in A \setminus B$, the star-free language B^*c is a prefix code of delay 1 and $(B^*c)^+ = (B \cup \{c\})^*c$ is star-free. The block code A^2 is finite, but not of bounded synchronization delay. Moreover, $(A^2)^*$ is not star-free as its syntactic monoid is the cyclic group of order two.

Schützenberger's result $\mathbf{Ap} \subseteq \mathrm{SD}$ is actually stronger than the well-known $\mathrm{SF} = \mathbf{Ap}$ because proving the inclusions $\mathrm{SD} \subseteq \mathrm{SF} \subseteq \mathbf{Ap}$ is relatively easy, see [17, Chapter VIII], so $\mathrm{SF} = \mathbf{Ap}$ follows from $\mathbf{Ap} \subseteq \mathrm{SD}$. A simple proof for $\mathbf{Ap} = \mathrm{SD}$ including an extension to infinite words (which was not known before) was obtained much later in [4]. It could be achieved thanks to the same algebraic decomposition into submonoids and local divisors.

3 Local Divisors

In this section $e \in M$ denotes an idempotent, that is $e^2 = e$. For such an idempotent, the set $M_e = eMe$ forms a monoid with e as the identity element. It is called the *local monoid* at e. A *local divisor* generalizes this concept by considering any element $c \in M$ and the set $M_c = cM \cap Mc$. Note that $eMe = eM \cap Me$, so local monoids are indeed a special case of local divisors. The next step is to define a multiplication $\circ$ on $cM \cap Mc$ by letting

$$xc \circ cy = xcy$$

for all $x, y \in M$. A straightforward calculation shows that the structure $(M_c, \circ, c)$ defines a monoid with this operation where the neutral element of M_c is c. This works for every $c \in M$. If c is a unit, then M_c is isomorphic to M. If c is idempotent,

then M_c is the local monoid at the idempotent c. However, in general M_c does not appear as a subsemigroup in M.

The important fact is that M_c is always a divisor of M. Indeed, the mapping $\lambda_c : \{x \in M \mid cx \in Mc\} \to M_c$ given by $\lambda_c(x) = cx$ is a surjective homomorphism. Moreover, if c is not a unit, then $1 \notin cM \cap Mc$, hence $M_c \subsetneq M$. This makes the construction suitable for induction.

4 Rees Extensions

Let N, L be monoids and $\rho : N \to L$ be any mapping. The *Rees extension* over N, L, ρ is a classical construction for monoids [18, 20], frequently described in terms of matrices. It was used in the synthesis theory of Rhodes and Allen [19] which says that we can represent every finite monoid as a divisor of iterated Rees extensions, starting with groups. The "advantage" is that starting with a variety of groups $\mathbf{H}$, the construction produces monoids in $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$, only. This is not true for taking wreath products which are used in Krohn-Rhodes theory. For example, the symmetric group over three elements is not nilpotent, but appears as a subgroup of the wreath product of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Our definition of a Rees extension is similar, but not the same as the classical one. It avoids matrices and it is exactly as in [10]. The carrier set is

$$\mathrm{Rees(N, L, \rho)} = N \cup (N \times L \times N)\,.$$

Let $n_1, n_1', n_2, n_2' \in N$ and $m, m' \in L$. Then the multiplication $\cdot$ on $\mathrm{Rees(N, L, \rho)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot n' &= nn', \\ n \cdot (n_1, m, n_2) \cdot n' &= (nn_1, m, n_2 n'), \\ (n_1, m, n_2) \cdot (n_1', m', n_2') &= (n_1, m\rho(n_2 n_1')m', n_2'). \end{aligned}$$

For a variety $\mathbf{V}$ of finite monoids let $\mathrm{Rees}(\mathbf{V})$ be the least variety which contains $\mathbf{V}$ and which is closed under Rees extensions $\mathrm{Rees(N, L, \rho)}$. Almeida and Klíma called a variety $\mathbf{V}$ *bullet-idempotent* if $\mathbf{V} = \mathrm{Rees}(\mathbf{V})$, see [1]. They showed $\mathrm{Rees}(\mathbf{V}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{H}}$ where $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{V} \cap \mathbf{G}$ and asked whether all bullet-idempotent varieties are of that form. The answer is "yes" [11] and can be proved by showing the stronger result that so-called *local Rees extensions* suffice to capture all of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$. To define these objects, consider a finite monoid M (which is not a group), an element $c \in M$, and a smaller submonoid N of M such that N and c generate M. Then, let M_c be the local divisor at c and let ρ_c be the mapping $\rho_c : N \to M_c$ with $\rho_c(x) = cxc$. The *local Rees extension* $\mathrm{LocRees(N, M_c)}$ is defined as the Rees extension $\mathrm{Rees(N, M_c, \rho_c)}$. Thus, a local Rees extension is a special case of a Rees extension. Still the result in [11] shows that $\overline{\mathbf{H}} = \mathrm{LocRees}(\mathbf{H})$. Here, $\mathrm{LocRees}(\mathbf{H})$ denotes the least variety

which contains the group variety $\mathbf{H}$ and which is closed under local Rees extensions. Since $\mathrm{Rees}(\mathbf{V}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{H}}$ for $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{V} \cap \mathbf{G}$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{Rees}(\mathbf{V}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{H}} = \mathrm{LocRees}(\mathbf{H}) \subseteq \mathrm{Rees}(\mathbf{V}).$$

Hence, all varieties appearing in the line above coincide.

5 The Local Divisor Technique and Green's Lemma

For a survey on the local divisor technique we refer to [5]. In general, there are more local divisors than local monoids, so having information about the structure in all local divisors tells us more about the structure of M than just looking at the local monoids. Before we continue let us revisit Green's Lemma as sort of a "commercial break" for the local divisor technique in semigroup theory.

The following section is based on [2, 8] and closely follows the presentation in [8, Cor. 7.45] where full proofs are given. Green's relations are classical. There are three basic equivalence relations $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{R}$, and $\mathcal{J}$ which relate elements in a monoid M generating the same left- (resp. right-, resp. two-sided-) ideal.

$$x\mathcal{L}y \iff Mx = My, \quad x\mathcal{R}y \iff xM = yM, \quad x\mathcal{J}y \iff MxM = MyM.$$

The other two relations are defined by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. In particular,

$$x\mathcal{D}y \iff \exists z : x\mathcal{L}z \wedge z\mathcal{R}y.$$

A standard exercise shows that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ for finite monoids. (For infinite monoids this false, in general.) As $\mathcal{J}$ is symmetric, $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ implies $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$. The latter assertion is independent of that: $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ holds in infinite monoids, too. Therefore, all relations above are equivalence relations. If $\mathcal{G}$ is any of them and $s \in M$, then we write $\mathcal{G}(s) = \{t \in M \mid s\mathcal{G}t\}$ for the equivalence class of s.

In the following, we assume that M is finite. If G is a subgroup of M with neutral element e, then G is a subgroup in $\mathcal{H}(e)$; and $\mathcal{H}(e)$ itself is a group. Now, Green's Lemma says that the groups $\mathcal{H}(e)$ and $\mathcal{H}(f)$ are isomorphic if e and f are idempotents belonging to the same $\mathcal{D}$-class. The classical proof uses $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$. Hence, $e\mathcal{R}z\mathcal{L}f$ for some $z \in M$. Then one shows that the right multiplication $\cdot v$, mapping x to xv, induces a bijection $Me \to Mz$, $x \mapsto xv$. By symmetry, we obtain a bijection between $\mathcal{H}(e)$ and $\mathcal{H}(f)$ which turns out to be an isomorphism of groups.

The proof is somewhat "mysterious" because the isomorphism passes through $\mathcal{H}(z)$ which is not subgroup of M, in general. Using local divisors however, the proof becomes fully transparent and reveals a more general fact. For this, consider any two $\mathcal{R}$-equivalent (or symmetrically $\mathcal{L}$-equivalent) elements s and t. Whether or not s or t are idempotent, we can can define the local divisors M_s and M_t. For $s\mathcal{R}t$ we can write $t = sv$ and now, the right multiplication $\cdot v$ defines an isomorphism

$M_s \to M_t$. Moreover, as a set, $\mathcal{H}(s)$ is the group of units in M_s. In the case that $s = e$ is an idempotent $M_s = M_e$ is a local monoid and $\mathcal{H}(s) = \mathcal{H}(e)$ is a subgroup of M. Thus, as in the scenario of $e\mathcal{R}z\mathcal{L}f$ with idempotents e and f we see that three groups are isomorphic: $\mathcal{H}(e)$, $\mathcal{H}(z)$ as the group of units in $(zM \cap Mz, \circ, z)$, and $\mathcal{H}(f)$. There is no mystery in Green's Lemma if we view it from a more general perspective.

6 The Common Theme: Local Divisor Proofs

Let us now discuss the common theme in Church-Rosser systems, bounded synchronization delay, and Rees extensions. From an abstract viewpoint these deal with properties $\mathcal{P}$ which can be defined for regular languages. Assume we know that a property $\mathcal{P}$ of regular languages is true for all languages where the syntactic monoid belongs to some variety of groups $\mathbf{H}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ holds for all languages where the syntactic monoid belongs to $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ if and only if and we can show the following implication for local Rees extensions $\mathrm{LocRees}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M_c})$:

$$\mathcal{P}(N) \wedge \mathcal{P}(M_c) \implies \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{LocRees}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M_c})). \tag{1}$$

Actually, it is enough show an implication without mentioning $\mathrm{LocRees}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M_c})$:

$$\mathcal{P}(N) \wedge \mathcal{P}(M_c) \implies \mathcal{P}(M). \tag{2}$$

The reason that we mention the "complicated" implication (1) is that the power of the method lies in the underlying algebraic connection between N, M_c and M which is best reflected by the local Rees extension. For simplicity of notation we just focus on the equivalent condition (2). This implication is particularly appealing for aperiodic monoids. Indeed, any nontrivial property which is closed under taking submonoids must also hold for the trivial group $\{1\}$. So, the base for the induction is trivial for the variety $\mathbf{1}$. In order to prove that $\mathcal{P}$ holds for all aperiodic languages, one only needs to show (2). Sometimes this is very easy. Remember SF = $\mathbf{Ap}$, the probably most cited result of Schützenberger. The inclusion SF $\subseteq \mathbf{Ap}$ is rather straightforward and the assertion $\mathbf{1}(A^*) \subseteq \mathrm{SF}(A^*)$ is trivial since $\emptyset$ and its complement A^* are star-free. Now proving, (2) is possible within less than a page, see [13]. Almost the same holds for the less famous but more general result $\mathbf{Ap} = \mathrm{SD}$, see [4].

What about Krohn-Rhodes theory? It goes beyond $\mathbf{Ap}$, but the group case is built-in! The theory says that every monoid can be constructed by iterated wreath products, starting from finite simple groups and the so-called *reset monoid* U_2. According to [20, page 241] the monoid U_2 is "essentially junk" whereas the "groups are gems". Showing (2) for the Krohn-Rhodes property was done in [9] and led to a surprisingly easy proof of the Krohn-Rhodes decomposition theorem.

Returning to prefix codes of bounded synchronization delay, it is worth mentioning that Schützenberger did not stop this line of research by showing that $\mathbf{Ap} = \mathrm{SD}$.

In [22] he was able to prove an analogue of $\mathbf{Ap} = \mathrm{SD}$ for languages where syntactic monoids have Abelian subgroups, only. For several years, no such characterization was known beyond $\overline{\mathbf{Ab}}$.

6.1 Schützenberger's SD Classes

Let $\mathbf{H}$ be a variety of finite groups. Consider a prefix code K with bounded synchronization delay which can be written as a disjoint union $K = \bigcup \{K_g \mid g \in G\}$ where $G \in \mathbf{H}$ and each K_g is regular in A^*. The $\mathbf{H}$-*controlled star* (more precisely, the G-*controlled star*) associates with such a disjoint union the following language:

$$\{u_{g_1} \cdots u_{g_k} \in K^* \mid u_{g_i} \in K_{g_i} \wedge g_1 \cdots g_k = 1 \in G\}\,.$$

Another view of the G-controlled star of K is the following: Let $\gamma_K : K \to G$ be a mapping such that $K_g = \gamma_K^{-1}(g)$ and let $\gamma : K^* \to G$ denote the canonical extension of γ_K to a homomorphism from the free submonoid $K^* \subseteq A^*$ to G, then the G-controlled star of K is exactly the set $\gamma^{-1}(1)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any class of languages. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under $\mathbf{H}$-controlled star if for all K and for every group $G \in \mathbf{H}$, the following closure property holds: if $K = \bigcup \{K_g \mid g \in G\}$ is a prefix code with bounded synchronization delay such that $K_g \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $g \in G$, then the G-controlled star $\gamma^{-1}(1)$ is in $\mathcal{C}$ as well. By $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathbf{H}}(A^*)$ we denote the smallest class of regular languages containing all finite subsets of A^* and being closed under finite union, concatenation, and $\mathbf{H}$-controlled star.

Note that the definition of $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathbf{H}}(A^*)$ does not use any complementation. Using different notation, Schützenberger showed that $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathbf{H}}(A^*) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{H}}(A^*)$ in [22], but he proved the converse inclusion only for $\mathbf{H} \subseteq \mathbf{Ab}$. The main result in [11] states that $\mathrm{SD}_{\mathbf{H}}(A^*) = \overline{\mathbf{H}}(A^*)$ for all $\mathbf{H}$. In retrospective, it is hard to say why Schützenberger did not prove this general result. Perhaps he was not interested in that, but we believe that this is unlikely because he proved half of it. More likely, he tried to use the Krohn-Rhodes decomposition as in [22] which involves wreath products and they may take you outside $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$. Perhaps, Krohn-Rhodes theory was simply the wrong tool for this result. Local Rees extensions, on the other hand, are perfectly suitable for this kind of applications.

6.2 Church-Rosser Thue Systems Revisited

In the following M, denotes a finite monoid. The results in Section 1 have their origins in formal language theory and led to the notion of Church-Rosser congruential languages. As mentioned before, for more than 25 years it was open whether or not all regular languages are Church-Rosser congruential. A positive answer was given in [7], and the corresponding theorem has a purely algebraic formulation. It says that for each homomorphism φ from A^* to M factorizes through A^*/S where S

is a finite confluent and length-reducing semi-Thue system of finite index. Thus, $\varphi(\ell) = \varphi(r)$ and $|\ell| > |r|$ for all $(\ell, r) \in S$. Moreover, A^*/S is a finite monoid.

For the inductive argument, one crucial idea is to consider weight functions $\gamma : A \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. The statement then becomes "for every weight function and every every homomorphism $\varphi : A^* \to M$ there exists a finite confluent semi-Thue system S of finite index such that $\varphi(\ell) = \varphi(r)$ and $\gamma(\ell) > \gamma(r)$ for all $(\ell, r) \in S$". Instead of weight-reducing systems we can also define the notions of Parikh-reducing and subword-reducing systems. For a letter a and a word $w \in A^*$ we let $|w|_a$ be the number of a's which occur in w. This defines a canonical homomorphism $\pi : A^* \to \mathbb{N}^A$ by $\pi(w) = (a \mapsto |w|_a)$. The vector $\pi(w)$ is usually called the *Parikh-image* of w. We say that S is *Parikh-reducing* if $(\ell, r) \in S$ implies $|\ell|_a \geq |r|_a$ for all $a \in A$ and $|\ell|_a > |r|_a$ for at least one $a \in A$. Clearly, a *Parikh-reducing* system is weight-reducing for every weight function. In the following, when using the term "subword" we mean "scattered subword". More precisely, a word u is called a *subword* of w if there exists a factorization $u = a_1 \cdots a_k$ such that $w \in A^* a_1 A^* \cdots a_k A^*$. We say that S is *subword-reducing* if $(\ell, r) \in S$ implies $\ell \neq r$ and that r is a subword of ℓ. Clearly, a subword-reducing system is Parikh-reducing. The induction scheme (2) introduced in the beginning of Section 6 works for all variants, but the group case is quite different. The trivial group leads to the subword-reducing system $\{(a, 1) \mid a \in A\}$. Consequently, the result in [10] speaks about subword-reducing systems and this is the strongest result. The PhD thesis of Tobias Walter [26] shows that for all homomorphisms to Abelian groups there exists a Parikh-reducing Church-Rosser system as desired, thereby allowing him to construct such systems for all languages in $\overline{\mathbf{Ab}}$. Additionally, he proves that for all regular languages L over a two letter alphabet there exists a Parikh-reducing Church-Rosser system S of finite index such that L is recognized by A^*/S. This shows that the existence of Parikh-reducing presentations is not limited to the variety $\overline{\mathbf{Ab}}$.

7 Conclusion and Open Problems

This extended abstract deals with the recurring theme of proving results for varieties of finite monoids and their associated language classes. The most prominent example is the variety $\mathbf{Ap}$ of aperiodic monoids, but our methods go beyond. We have seen deep connections between apparently quite different objects where the technique allows to transfer results from a group variety $\mathbf{H}$ to its closure $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$.

Let us conclude with some open problems, starting with the new perspective on Church-Rosser systems given in the previous subsection.

For subword-reducing and Parikh-reducing Church-Rosser systems, only partial results are known. To date, it is still open whether subword-reducing (resp. Parikh-reducing) Church-Rosser systems exist for every regular language. It is tempting to believe that Parikh-reducing systems exist for all regular languages, but we refrain

from any conjecture in this case.

The notion of local Rees extensions gives rise to various interesting combinatorial problems concerning the complexity of Rees decompositions. For a finite monoid M, a *Rees decomposition tree* of M is a rooted node-labeled tree such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- The root has label M.
- Every inner node with label M' has two children labeled by N, M'_c such that M' is a divisor of the local Rees extension $\mathrm{LocRees}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}'_\mathrm{c})$.
- Every leaf is labeled by a group which divides M.

In [26], it was shown that if M is a monoid having n elements which are not units, then there exists a decomposition tree of M having at most $\mathcal{O}(3^{n/3})$ nodes. However, it is not clear whether this bound optimal. Actually, it is not even known whether the size of the tree be bounded by a polynomial function. Regardless of whether tight bounds can be obtained in the general case, it would also be interesting to analyze subclasses of **Mon**. For example, it is easy to see that for commutative monoids with a fixed number of generators, there indeed is a polynomial bound. What happens if the number of generators is not fixed?

The starting point of our journey was the characterization of SD and SF by aperiodic monoids. Having this theme in mind, another interesting question about the limits of the method arises. In [25], Straubing showed that the so-called Mal'cev product of **Ap** and a group variety **H**, denoted by $\mathbf{Ap} \circledcirc \mathbf{H}$, corresponds to the closure of $\mathbf{H}(A^*)$ under concatenation product. Following the proof of SD = **Ap** using local divisors, it is tempting to ask whether the local divisor technique can also be applied to obtain a new, possibly more general proof of Straubing's result. In particular, it would be interesting to see whether there is a natural language characterization of $\mathbf{Ap} \circledcirc \mathbf{H}$ that relies on prefix codes with bounded synchronization delay. A major obstacle to initial attempts is a result of Steinberg [24] that $\mathbf{Ap} \circledcirc \mathbf{H}$ is strictly contained in $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ for all non-trivial group varieties $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$.

References

[1] Jorge Almeida and Ondřej Klíma. On the irreducibility of pseudovarieties of semigroups. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 220(4):1517–1524, 2016.

[2] Alfredo Costa and Benjamin Steinberg. The Schützenberger category of a semigroup. *Semigroup Forum*, 91:543–559, 2014.

[3] Volker Diekert and Paul Gastin. Pure future local temporal logics are expressively complete for Mazurkiewicz traces. *Information and Computation*,

204:1597–1619, 2006. Conference version in LATIN 2004, LNCS 2976, 170–182, 2004.

[4] Volker Diekert and Manfred Kufleitner. Omega-rational expressions with bounded synchronization delay. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 56:686–696, 2015.

[5] Volker Diekert and Manfred Kufleitner. A survey on the local divisor technique. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 610:13–23, 2015.

[6] Volker Diekert, Manfred Kufleitner, Klaus Reinhardt, and Tobias Walter. Regular languages are Church-Rosser congruential. In Artur Czumaj, Kurt Mehlhorn, Andrew Pitts, and Roger Wattenhofer, editors, *ICALP 2012, Proceedings*, volume 7392 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 177–188. Springer-Verlag, 2012.

[7] Volker Diekert, Manfred Kufleitner, Klaus Reinhardt, and Tobias Walter. Regular languages are Church-Rosser congruential. *J. ACM*, 62:39:1–39:20, November 2015.

[8] Volker Diekert, Manfred Kufleitner, Gerhard Rosenberger, and Ulrich Hertrampf. *Discrete Algebraic Methods. Arithmetic, Cryptography, Automata and Groups*. Walter de Gruyter, 2016.

[9] Volker Diekert, Manfred Kufleitner, and Benjamin Steinberg. The Krohn-Rhodes theorem and local divisors. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 116(1-4):65–77, 2012.

[10] Volker Diekert, Manfred Kufleitner, and Pascal Weil. Star-free languages are Church-Rosser congruential. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 454:129–135, 2012.

[11] Volker Diekert and Tobias Walter. Characterizing classes of regular languages using prefix codes of bounded synchronization delay. In Ioannis Chatzigiannakis, Michael Mitzenmacher, Yuval Rabani, and Davide Sangiorgi, editors, *ICALP 2016, Proceedings*, Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 129:1—–129:13, 2016.

[12] Samuel Eilenberg. *Automata, Languages, and Machines*, volume B. Academic Press, New York and London, 1976.

[13] Manfred Kufleitner. Star-free languages and local divisors. In *DCFS 2014, Proceedings*, volume 8614 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 23–28. Springer, 2014.

[14] Robert McNaughton, Paliath Narendran, and Friedrich Otto. Church-Rosser Thue systems and formal languages. *J. ACM*, 35(2):324–344, 1988.

[15] Kurt Meyberg. Lectures on algebras and triple systems. Technical report, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1972.

[16] Paliath Narendran. *Church-Rosser and related Thue systems*. PhD thesis, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, USA, 1984.

[17] Dominique Perrin and Jean-Éric Pin. *Infinite words*, volume 141 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004.

[18] Jean-Éric Pin. *Varieties of Formal Languages*. North Oxford Academic, London, 1986.

[19] John L. Rhodes and Dennis Allen. Synthesis of the classical and modern theory of finite semigroups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 11(2):238–266, 1973.

[20] John L. Rhodes and Benjamin Steinberg. *The* $\mathfrak{q}$*-theory of finite semigroups.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, 2009.

[21] Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. On finite monoids having only trivial subgroups. *Information and Control*, 8:190–194, 1965.

[22] Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. Sur les monoides finis dont les groupes sont commutatifs. *Rev. Française Automat. Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle Sér. Rouge*, 8(R-1):55–61, 1974.

[23] Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. Sur certaines opérations de fermeture dans les langages rationnels. In *Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XV (Convegno di Informatica Teorica, INDAM, Roma, 1973)*, pages 245–253. Academic Press, 1975.

[24] Benjamin Steinberg. On Aperiodic Relational Morphisms. *Semigroup Forum*, 70(1):1–43, 2005.

[25] Howard Straubing. Aperiodic homomorphisms and the concatenation product of recognizable sets. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 15(3):319–327, 1979.

[26] Tobias Walter. *Local Divisors in Formal Languages*. Dissertation, Institut für Formale Methoden der Informatik, Universität Stuttgart , 2016.

[27] Thomas Wilke. *Classifying Discrete Temporal Properties*. Habilitationsschrift, Universität Kiel, April 1998.

[28] Thomas Wilke. Classifying discrete temporal properties. In Chr. Meinel and S. Tison, editors, *STACS 1999, Proceedings*, volume 1443 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 32–46, Heidelberg, 1999. Springer-Verlag. Invited Lecture.