

# Analytical solutions of the $\text{QCD} \otimes \text{QED}$ DGLAP evolution equations based on the Mellin transform technique in NLO approximation

Marzieh Mottaghizadeh<sup>a</sup>, Fatemeh Taghavi Shahri<sup>a,\*</sup>, Parvin Eslami<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran*

---

## Abstract

In this paper we present a new and efficient analytical solutions for evolving the  $\text{QCD} \otimes \text{QED}$  DGLAP evolution equations in mellin space and obtain the parton distribution functions (PDFs) in perturbative QCD including the QED corrections. The validity of our analytical solutions, which have done in the next to leading order QCD and the leading order QED approximations, are checked with the initial parton distributions from newly released CT14QED global analysis code (Phys. Rev.D93,114015(2016)). The evolved parton distribution functions are in good agreement with CT14QED PDFs set and also with those from APFEL (Computer Physics Communications 185, 1647 (2014)) program. Finally, we derived the impact of the NLO QED corrections to the  $\text{QCD} \otimes \text{QED}$  DGLAP evolution equations.

*Keywords:*  $\text{QCD} \otimes \text{QED}$  DGLAP evolution equations, Mellin moment, Parton distribution functions.

---

## 1. Introduction

The new search at the LHC demands knowledge of the photon distribution function at different values of  $x$  and  $Q^2$ . The well-known Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) integrate-differential evolution equations give the parton distribution functions in the perturbative QCD [1, 2, 3, 4]. There exists  
 5 many published papers attempting to give solutions of DGLAP equations in the realm of QCD, mostly based on the global parameterization of PDF's, but only a few have also included corrections coming from QED. The MRST group [5, 6] being the first known group to that effect.

Recently the NNPDF collaboration [7, 8] and CT14QED group [9] reported the new results on this issue. A precise knowledge of the parton distribution functions of the proton is presented in Refs. [10, 11]  
 10 in order to make predictions for the Standard Model and beyond the Standard Model processes at hadron

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* taghavishahri@um.ac.ir (Fatemeh Taghavi Shahri)

colliders. Recently, Florian et al. [12] have extended the available knowledge of the Altarelli-Parisi splitting functions to one order higher in QED. They have provided expressions for the splitting kernels up to  $O(\alpha\alpha_S)$ . Also, Florian et al. [13] have computed the two-loop QED corrections to the Altarelli-Parisi splitting functions by using a deconstructive algorithmic Abelianization of the NLO QCD corrections.

15 In the CT14QED global parametrization, the photon parton distribution function is explained by a two-parameter ansatz, coming from radiation off the valence quarks, and based on the CT14 next to leading order (NLO) PDFs. The APFEL global analysis code facilitates the determination of parton distribution functions with electroweak corrections. Its uncertainties extracted from the DIS and LHC hadronic data using the Monte Carlo approach adopted by the Neural Network PDF (NNPDF) methodology.

20 Recently, F. Giuli and XFitter Developer team [14] presented a determination of the photon PDF from fits to recent ATLAS measurements of high-mass Drell-Yan dilepton production at  $\sqrt{s} = 8TeV$ . Also, the photon distribution function of the proton,  $x\gamma(x, Q^2)$ , calculated in terms of inclusive lepton-proton deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) structure functions. The photon distribution function resulting from this strategy has been realized in LUXQED PDFs set [15], which is in the next to next leading order (NNLO) QCD and NLO QED approximations.

25 The DGLAP evolution equations can be solved numerically by different methods such as the Mellin and Laplace transform technique [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. The aim of this paper is to investigate the analytical solutions of the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations and present an approach based on the Mellin transform technique. The present theoretical abilities allow extensive calculations at the higher order corrections of QCD and QED.

30 This article is organized as follows: in section 2, we analytically solved the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations in N-moment space. We also investigated the NLO QED corrections to the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations in Mellin space in section 3. In section 4, we tested the validity of solutions and compared our theoretical predictions of the parton distribution functions with those from global analysis codes. Finally, section 5 is devoted to the conclusions.

## 2. Evolution Equations

The singlet parton distribution functions  $f_i(x, Q^2)$  obey the DGLAP evolution equations with QED corrections [25] in x space, as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \log Q^2} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & P_{14} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & P_{23} & P_{24} \\ P_{31} & P_{32} & P_{33} & P_{34} \\ P_{41} & P_{42} & P_{43} & P_{44} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

and the DGLAP evolution equations with QED corrections for the non-singlet parton distribution functions are as follows,

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \log Q^2} = P_{ii} \otimes f_i \quad i = 5, \dots, 9 \quad (2)$$

where  $P_{ij}$  and  $P_{ii}$  are the splitting functions and are given in Ref.[20] with details, and  $\otimes$  denotes the convolution integral

$$f \otimes g = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} f(y) g\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \quad (3)$$

We utilize a PDF basis for the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations. This basis define by the following singlet and non-singlet PDF combinations [26],

$$q^{SG} : \begin{pmatrix} f_1 = \Delta = \\ u + \bar{u} + c + \bar{c} - d - \bar{d} - s - \bar{s} - b - \bar{b} \\ f_2 = \Sigma = \\ u + \bar{u} + c + \bar{c} + d + \bar{d} + s + \bar{s} + b + \bar{b} \\ f_3 = g \\ f_4 = \gamma \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$q^{NS} : \begin{pmatrix} f_5 = d_v = d - \bar{d} \\ f_6 = u_v = u - \bar{u} \\ f_7 = \Delta_{ds} = d + \bar{d} - s - \bar{s} \\ f_8 = \Delta_{uc} = u + \bar{u} - c - \bar{c} \\ f_9 = \Delta_{sb} = s + \bar{s} - b - \bar{b} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Here in the next subsections we bring out the solutions of the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations with more details. Our solutions is done in the next to leading order QCD and the leading order QED approximations.

40 *2.1. The singlet PDFs with LO QED corrections*

In the singlet sector,  $f$  in equation (4) is a four component vector. The Mellin transform is defined as,

$$f^N = \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} f(x) \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the evolution equation (1) factorizes into the form

$$\frac{\partial f^N(Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} = P^N(Q^2) \cdot f^N(Q^2) \quad (7)$$

where  $P^N(Q^2)$  is the  $4 \times 4$  splitting matrix. We can expand this splitting matrix in the next-to-leading order QCD and the leading order QED approximations as follows,

$$P^N(Q^2) = a_s(Q^2)P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s^2(Q^2)P^{(2,0)}(N) + a(Q^2)P_0^{(0,1)}(N) \quad (8)$$

where  $a_s \equiv \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$  and  $a \equiv \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}$  are the QCD and QED running couplings, respectively. We can separated the splitting matrix into two parts of QCD and QED. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{QCD}^N(Q^2) &= a_s(Q^2)P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s^2(Q^2)P^{(2,0)}(N) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^3), \\ P_{QED}^N(Q^2) &= a(Q^2)P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + \mathcal{O}(a^2). \end{aligned}$$

45 The QED splitting matrix,  $P_0^{(0,1)}(N)$ , and the QCD splitting matrices  $P_0^{(1,0)}(N)$  and  $P^{(2,0)}(N)$  are represented as follows,

$$P_0^{(1,0)}(N) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{qq}^{(0)} & 0 & \frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} p_{qg}^{(0)} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{qg}^{(0)} & p_{gg}^{(0)} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{gq}^{(0)} & p_{gg}^{(0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{(2,0)}(N) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_+^{(1)} & \frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} (p_{qq}^{(1)} - p_+^{(1)}) & p_{qg}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{qq}^{(1)} & p_{qg}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{gq}^{(1)} & p_{gg}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
P_0^{(0,1)}(N) &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ \tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)} & \eta^- \tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)} & 0 & \delta_e^2 p_{q\gamma}^{(0)} \\ \eta^- \tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)} & \eta^+ \tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)} & 0 & e_{\Sigma}^2 p_{q\gamma}^{(0)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \eta^- p_{\gamma q}^{(0)} & \eta^+ p_{\gamma q}^{(0)} & 0 & p_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\Sigma}^2 &\equiv N_c \left( \frac{n_u e_u^2 + n_d e_d^2}{n_f} \right) \\
\delta_e^2 &\equiv N_c \left( \frac{n_u e_u^2 - n_d e_d^2}{n_f} \right) \\
\eta^{\pm} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} (e_u^2 \pm e_d^2)
\end{aligned}$$

The  $n_u$  and  $n_d$  parameters are the number of up and down-type active quark flavors, respectively, and  $n_f = n_u + n_d$ , where,  $n_f$  is the number of active flavors. The moments of Altraelli-Parisi function may be found in Refs. [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35], noting that  $P_i^z = +\gamma^{(i)z}/2$ . The running couplings are given by

$$a_s(Q^2) = \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} \log(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2})} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)^2} \log(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2})} \right) \tag{10}$$

$$a(Q^2) = \frac{a(\mu^2)}{1 + \beta_0^{(\alpha)} a(\mu^2) \log(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2})} \tag{11}$$

where,  $\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} = \frac{1}{3}(33 - 2n_f)$  and  $\beta_1 = 102 - \frac{38}{3}n_f$ .

The lowest-order QED beta function is

$$\beta_0^{(\alpha)} = -\frac{4}{3} \left( n_l + N_c \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} e_i^2 \right) \tag{12}$$

where,  $e_i$  is the relative electric charge of the quarks and  $N_c$  denotes the multiplicity due to color degrees

of freedom, i.e.,  $N_c = 3$  for quarks. The  $n_l$  parameter is the number of active lepton flavour.

The evolution equations of these couplings are given by the QCD and QED  $\beta$ -functions as

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial a_s}{\partial Q^2} = \beta_{QCD}(a_s), \quad Q^2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial Q^2} = \beta_{QED}(a),$$

where, the beta functions for the strong and electromagnetic couplings are expanded to the appropriate order, as

$$\beta_{QCD}(a_s) = -\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}(\alpha_s)a_s^2 - \beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}a_s^3 + \mathcal{O}(a_s^4), \quad (13)$$

$$\beta_{QED}(a) = -\beta_0^{(\alpha)}a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^3), \quad (14)$$

We obtain the solution of the equation (7) in a compact form,

$$f(N, Q^2) = E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)f(N, Q_0^2) \quad (15)$$

where  $E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$  is the evolution matrix, as

$$E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) = E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) \cdot E_{QED}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) \quad (16)$$

where  $E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$  and  $E_{QED}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$ , are the QCD and QED evolution matrices, respectively. The QCD evolution matrix,  $E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$ , is the solution of following equation

$$\frac{\partial E_{QCD}^N}{\partial \log Q^2} = a_s(Q^2)P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \cdot E_{QCD}^N \quad (17)$$

Defining  $t$  as,

$$t = \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \log \frac{a_s(Q_0^2)}{a_s(Q^2)} \quad (18)$$

The equation. (17) can be write as follows,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{QCD}(t) = (P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} R + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)) E_{QCD}(t) \quad (19)$$

where,  $R = P^{(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N)$ . Let us denote the leading log solution of equation (19) by  $E_{QCD}^{(0)}(t)$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{QCD}^{(0)}(t) = P_0^{(1,0)}(N) E_{QCD}^{(0)}(t) \quad (20)$$

Then the full solution of equation (19) can be write as a power series of  $\alpha_s$  as,

$$E_{QCD}(t) = (1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}U + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2))E_{QCD}^{(0)}(t) \quad (21)$$

Substituting the equation (21) into equation (19), we obtain the following equation for the new evolution matrix  $U$ :

$$\left[ U, P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \right] = \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}U + R \quad (22)$$

55 where,  $\left[ U, P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \right] = UP_0^{(1,0)}(N) - P_0^{(1,0)}(N)U$ . In the QCD singlet case, the equation (22) is less trivial, since  $\left[ U, P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \right]$  does not vanish in general. Usually, one solves equation (22) by going to a frame where the matrix  $P_0^{(1,0)}(N)$  is diagonal. It appears that it is then rather difficult to write the final solution in a compact matrix form. Here we present a rather simple matrix solution of equation (19) in the singlet case. It should be noted that all of the calculations are done in Mellin space. The eigenvalues  
60 of the  $4 \times 4$  matrix of  $P_0^{(1,0)}(N)$ , are given by

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}[p_{qq}^{(0)} + p_{gg}^{(0)} \pm \sqrt{(p_{qq}^{(0)} - p_{gg}^{(0)})^2 + 4p_{qg}^{(0)}p_{gq}^{(0)}}],$$

$$\lambda_3 = p_{qq}^{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_4 = 0.$$

Using the projection operators, we can write-down  $P_0^{(1,0)}(N)$  as

$$P_0^{(1,0)}(N) = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + \lambda_3 e_3 + \lambda_4 e_4 \quad (23)$$

where, the projection operators are given by

$$e_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} p_{gq}^{(0)} p_{qg}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - p_{qq}^{(0)})} & -\frac{\frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} p_{qg}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{p_{qg}^{(0)} p_{gq}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - p_{qq}^{(0)})} & -\frac{p_{qg}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & -\frac{(\lambda_1 - p_{qq}^{(0)})}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} p_{gq}^{(0)} p_{qg}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - p_{qq}^{(0)})} & \frac{\frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} p_{qg}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{p_{qg}^{(0)} p_{gq}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_2 - p_{qq}^{(0)})} & \frac{p_{qg}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & \frac{(\lambda_2 - p_{qq}^{(0)})}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{n_u - n_d}{n_f} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$e_4 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^2 &= e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4 \\ e_i e_j &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, j = 1, \dots, 4, i \neq j \\ e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 &= \mathbb{1} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where,  $\mathbb{1}$  is the unit  $4 \times 4$  matrix. The solution of the equation (20) can now be written as

$$E^{(0)}(t) = e^{P_0^{(1,0)}(N)t} = e_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} + e_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} + e_3 e^{\lambda_3 t} + e_4 e^{\lambda_4 t} \tag{25}$$

Since  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 = \mathbb{1}$ , we have an obvious identity matrix as,

$$\begin{aligned} U &= e_1 U e_1 + e_1 U e_2 + e_1 U e_3 + e_1 U e_4 \\ &\quad + e_2 U e_1 + e_2 U e_2 + e_2 U e_3 + e_2 U e_4 \\ &\quad + e_3 U e_1 + e_3 U e_2 + e_3 U e_3 + e_3 U e_4 \\ &\quad + e_4 U e_1 + e_4 U e_2 + e_4 U e_3 + e_4 U e_4 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Inserting the Eq. (23) into Eq. (22), yields

$$\begin{aligned} U &= -\frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (e_1 R e_1 + e_2 R e_2 + e_3 R e_3 + e_4 R e_4) \\ &\quad + \frac{e_1 R e_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_1 R e_3}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_1 R e_4}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_1 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{e_2 R e_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_2 R e_3}{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_2 R e_4}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_2 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{e_3 R e_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_3 R e_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_3 R e_4}{\lambda_4 - \lambda_3 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \end{aligned}$$



$$+\frac{e_4 Re_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_4 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_4 Re_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_4 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + \frac{e_4 Re_3}{\lambda_3 - \lambda_4 - \beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \quad (27)$$

Therefore, The final solution for the QCD evolution matrix,  $E_{QCD}^N(t)$ , in the next to leading order ap-  
70 proximation can be obtained from the equation (21) as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{QCD}^N(a_s, a_{s0}) = & \left\{ \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} \left( e_1 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} e_1 Re_1 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_1 Re_2 \right. \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_3 - \lambda_1} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_1 Re_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_4 - \lambda_1} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_1 Re_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} \left( e_2 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} e_2 Re_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_2 Re_1 \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_3 - \lambda_2} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_2 Re_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_4 - \lambda_2} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_2 Re_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_3}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} \left( e_3 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} e_3 Re_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_3} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_3 Re_1 \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_3 Re_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_4 - \lambda_3} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_3 Re_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_4}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} \left( e_4 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} e_4 Re_4 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_1 - \lambda_4} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_4 Re_1 \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_2 - \lambda_4} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_4 Re_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)/\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} - a_{s0} \right) e_4 Re_3 \right) \right\} \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

where,  $a_{s0}$  is  $a_s$  at a initial scale of  $Q_0^2$ .

Now, the leading order QED evolution matrix,  $E_{QED}^N(a, a_0)$  in terms of  $a$  and  $a_0$  at the scales of  $Q^2$  and  $Q_0^2$ , respectively, can be represented as

$$E_{QED}^N(a, a_0) = \tilde{e}_1 \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} + \tilde{e}_2 \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_2}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} + \tilde{e}_3 \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_3}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} + \tilde{e}_4 \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_4}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} \quad (29)$$

The matrices  $\tilde{e}_1$ ,  $\tilde{e}_2$ ,  $\tilde{e}_3$  and  $\tilde{e}_4$  denote the corresponding projectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_1 &= -\frac{-(P_0^{(0,1)}(N))^2 + P_0^{(0,1)}(N)(\tilde{\lambda}_2 + \tilde{\lambda}_3) - \tilde{\lambda}_2 \tilde{\lambda}_3 \mathbb{1} + \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_2 \tilde{\lambda}_3}{(\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2)(\lambda_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_3)} \\ \tilde{e}_2 &= -\frac{-(P_0^{(0,1)}(N))^2 + P_0^{(0,1)}(N)(\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_3) - \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_3 \mathbb{1} + \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_3}{(-\lambda_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2)(\lambda_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_3)} \\ \tilde{e}_3 &= -\frac{(P_0^{(0,1)}(N))^2 - P_0^{(0,1)}(N)(\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2) + \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2 \mathbb{1} - \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2}{(\lambda_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_3)(\lambda_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{e}_4 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where,  $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_2$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_3$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_4$  are the eigenvalues of QED matrix,  $P_0^{(0,1)}(N)$ .

With the well-known inverse Mellin transform [36] the parton distribution functions can be derived in x space,

$$f^k(x, Q^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \text{Im}[e^{i\phi} x^{-c-\omega e^{i\phi}} M_k(N = c + \omega e^{i\phi}, Q^2)] \quad (30)$$

where the contour of the integration lies on the right of all singularities of  $M_k(N = c + \omega e^{i\phi}, Q^2)$  in the  
80 complex N-plane.

## 2.2. The non-singlet PDFs with LO QED corrections

For the non-singlet distribution functions, all of the parameters in Eq. (2) are scalar. Since the Mellin transformation turns convolutions into products, the evolution equation for QCD part, in the next to leading order approximation, becomes

$$\frac{\partial f^N(Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} = (a_s(Q^2)P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s^2(Q^2)P_{ns}^{(2,0)}(N))f^N(Q^2) \quad (31)$$

With a change of variable  $a_s$  instead of  $\log Q^2$  in equation (31), we have

$$\frac{\partial f^N}{\partial a_s} = -\frac{P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s P_{ns}^{(2,0)}(N)}{a_s[\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} + \beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)} a_s]} f^N \quad (32)$$

Now we expand the right-hand side of equation (32) to get

$$\frac{\partial f^N}{\partial a_s} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} a_s} (P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s (P_{ns}^{(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N)) f^N) \quad (33)$$

Eq. (32) and Eq. (33) are formally solved by introducing an evolution operator,  $E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$ . In the non-singlet case this evolution operator is just a scalar function of N. This evolution operator satisfies the equation (33), then we have

$$\frac{\partial E_{QCD}^N}{\partial a_s} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} a_s} (P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s (P_{ns}^{(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N))) E_{QCD}^N \quad (34)$$

Solution of this evolution equation is straightforward in the non-singlet case; expanding beyond the leading terms, we obtain [37, 38, 39, 40, 41]

$$E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) = \left(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (P_{ns}^{(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N)) \left(\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}}\right)^{\frac{P_0^{(1,0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}}\right) \quad (35)$$

With the same procedure we have the QED evolution operator as,

$$E_{QED}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) = \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\frac{P_0^{(0,1)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} \quad (36)$$

Finally, we simply have the non-singlet PDFs including QED corrections as

$$f^N(Q^2) = E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) f^N(Q_0^2) \quad (37)$$

where

$$E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) = E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) E_{QED}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2) \quad (38)$$

The equation (38) has a boundary condition,  $E^N(Q_0^2, Q_0^2) = 1$ , that renders it an entirely perturbation object. Now by using this method we obtain operator  $E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$  for all of the distribution functions in equation (2). Our results for these functions presented in Table. (1).

### 85 3. The impact of NLO QED corrections

In this section, the discussion starts by generalizing the present analytical method for the singlet and non-singlet evolution equations with NLO QED corrections.

#### 3.1. The singlet PDFs with NLO QED corrections

Here, we study the impact of the NLO QED corrections to the singlet DGLAP evolution equations. These equations with NLO QED and QCD corrections include mixed terms of  $\alpha_s$  and  $\alpha$ . Therefore, the QCD and QED  $\beta$ -function are proportional to  $\alpha_s$  and  $\alpha$ . The evolution equations of couplings  $a_s = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}$  and  $a = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}$  are given by

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial a_s}{\partial Q^2} = \beta_{QCD}(a_s, a), \quad Q^2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial Q^2} = \beta_{QED}(a_s, a),$$

Table 1: The evolution operators,  $E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$ , for the non-singlet distribution functions

$f_i$	$E^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$
$f_5$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}(p_{qq}^{-(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)))(\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} (\frac{a}{a_0})^{\frac{e_u^2 \bar{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_6$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}(p_{qq}^{-(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)))(\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} (\frac{a}{a_0})^{\frac{e_u^2 \bar{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_7$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}(p_{qq}^{+(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)))(\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} (\frac{a}{a_0})^{\frac{e_u^2 \bar{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_8$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}(p_{qq}^{+(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)))(\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} (\frac{a}{a_0})^{\frac{e_u^2 \bar{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_9$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}(p_{qq}^{+(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)))(\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{p_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}} (\frac{a}{a_0})^{\frac{e_u^2 \bar{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$

where the beta functions can be expanded to the appropriate order, as follows

$$\beta_{QCD}(a_s, a) = -\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)} a_s^2 - \beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)} a_s^2 a - \beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)} a_s^3 + \mathcal{O}(a_s^4), \quad (39)$$

$$\beta_{QED}(a_s, a) = -\beta_0^{(\alpha)} a^2 - \beta_1^{(\alpha \alpha_s)} a^2 a_s - \beta_1^{(\alpha^2)} a^3 + \mathcal{O}(a^4), \quad (40)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)} &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} e_i^2 \\ \beta_1^{(\alpha \alpha_s)} &= -\frac{16}{3} N_c \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} e_i^2 \\ \beta_1^{(\alpha^2)} &= -4(n_l + N_c \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} e_i^2) \end{aligned}$$

Now, the splitting function matrix that determines the evolution of the singlet PDFs in NLO QCD and NLO QED approximations are as follows

$$P(N, Q^2) = a_s P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s^2 P^{(2,0)}(N) + a P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + a_s a P^{(1,1)}(N) + a^2 P^{(0,2)}(N) \quad (41)$$

where the pure QCD term is given by

$$\tilde{P}(N, Q^2) = a_s P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s^2 P^{(2,0)}(N) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^3) \quad (42)$$

and the terms including the QED coupling are given by

$$\bar{P}(N, Q^2) = a P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + a_s a P^{(1,1)}(N) + a^2 P^{(0,2)}(N) + \mathcal{O}(a^3) \quad (43)$$

The evolution kernels  $P^{(1,1)}$  and  $P^{(0,2)}$  are terms of order  $\mathcal{O}(a_s a)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ , respectively, that add to the DGLAP evolution equations. These evolution matrices are given by

$$P^{(1,1)}(N) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ p^{+(1,1)} & \eta^- p^{+(1,1)} & 2\delta_e^2 p_{qg}^{(1,1)} & 2\delta_e^2 p_{q\gamma}^{(1,1)} \\ \eta^- p^{+(1,1)} & \eta^+ p^{+(1,1)} & 2\Sigma_e^2 p_{qg}^{(1,1)} & 2\Sigma_e^2 p_{q\gamma}^{(1,1)} \\ \eta^- p_{gq}^{(1,1)} & \eta^+ p_{gq}^{(1,1)} & e_{\Sigma}^2 p_{gg}^{(1,1)} & e_{\Sigma}^2 p_{g\gamma}^{(1,1)} \\ \eta^- p_{\gamma q}^{(1,1)} & \eta^+ p_{\gamma q}^{(1,1)} & e_{\Sigma}^2 p_{\gamma g}^{(1,1)} & e_{\Sigma}^2 p_{\gamma\gamma}^{(1,1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (44)$$

$$P^{(0,2)}(N) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e_u^4 p_{uu}^{+(0,2)} + e_d^4 p_{dd}^{+(0,2)} + 2\eta^+ \delta_e^2 p_{qq}^{S(0,2)} & e_u^4 p_{uu}^{+(0,2)} - e_d^4 p_{dd}^{+(0,2)} + 2\eta^- \delta_e^2 p_{qq}^{S(0,2)} & 0 & 4\delta_e^4 p_{q\gamma}^{(0,2)} \\ e_u^4 p_{uu}^{+(0,2)} - e_d^4 p_{dd}^{+(0,2)} + 2\eta^- \Sigma_e^2 p_{qq}^{S(0,2)} & e_u^4 p_{uu}^{+(0,2)} + e_d^4 p_{dd}^{+(0,2)} + 2\eta^+ \Sigma_e^2 p_{qq}^{S(0,2)} & 0 & 4\Sigma_e^4 p_{q\gamma}^{(0,2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_u^4 p_{\gamma u}^{(0,2)} - e_d^4 p_{\gamma d}^{(0,2)} & e_u^4 p_{\gamma u}^{(0,2)} + e_d^4 p_{\gamma d}^{(0,2)} & 0 & 2e_{\Sigma}^4 p_{\gamma\gamma}^{(0,2)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (45)$$

where

$$e_{\Sigma}^4 \equiv N_c(n_u e_u^4 + n_d e_d^4) \\ \delta_e^4 \equiv N_c(n_u e_u^4 - n_d e_d^4)$$

The splitting functions corresponding to these evolution matrices can be found in Refs. [12, 13].

In the following, for calculating the NLO QCD evolution matrix,  $E_{QCD}^N(a_s, a_{s0})$ , we used the method represented in subsection (2.1).

Now, we consider the series expansion for splitting functions Eq. (41) and for the beta function Eq. (39) and sort everything in a power series in  $a_s$  and  $a$ , yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{QCD}(t) = \left[ P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s (P^{(2,0)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N)) - a \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \right] E_{QCD}(t) \quad (46)$$

Notice that in order to solve the above equation, we expand  $a$  in terms of  $a_s$ . Then we can choose  $a = A + Ba_s + \mathcal{O}(a_s^2)$ .

Therefore, we can rewrite Eq. (46) as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{QCD}(t) = \left[ \left( 1 - A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N) + a_s (P^{(2,0)}(N) - \left( \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \right) P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \right) \right] E_{QCD}(t) \quad (47)$$

Introducing further the abbreviations

$$R_0 = \left( 1 - A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \right), R_1 = P^{(2,0)}(N) - \left( \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} \right) P_0^{(1,0)}(N) \quad (48)$$

Finally, the solution of equation (47) at NLO QCD and QED approximations with the method represent  
90 in subsection(2.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{QCD}^N(a_s, a_{s0}) = & \left\{ \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{R_0 \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_0}} \left( e_1 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0} e_1 R_1 e_1 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_1 R_1 e_2 \right. \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_1} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_1 R_1 e_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_1} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_1 R_1 e_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{R_0 \frac{\lambda_2}{\beta_0}} \left( e_2 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0} e_2 R_1 e_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_2 R_1 e_1 \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_2} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_2 R_1 e_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_2} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_2 R_1 e_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{R_0 \frac{\lambda_3}{\beta_0}} \left( e_3 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0} e_3 R_1 e_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_1 - \lambda_3} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_3 R_1 e_1 \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_3 R_1 e_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_3} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_3 R_1 e_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{R_0 \frac{\lambda_4}{\beta_0}} \left( e_4 - \frac{(a_{s0} - a_s)}{\beta_0} e_4 R_1 e_4 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_1 - \lambda_4} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_4 R_1 e_1 \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_4} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_4 R_1 e_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4} \left( a_s \left( \frac{a_s}{a_{s0}} \right)^{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)/\beta_0} - a_{s0} \right) e_4 R_1 e_3 \right) \right\} \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

The QED part of evolution matrix satisfy in the following equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{QED}(\acute{t}) = \left[ P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + a(P^{(1,1)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} P_0^{(0,1)}(N)) + a_s (P^{(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} P_0^{(0,1)}(N)) \right] E_{QED}(\acute{t}) \quad (50)$$

where, the  $\acute{t}$  parameter is  $\frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} \log \frac{a(Q_0^2)}{a(Q^2)}$ . In this case, we expand  $a_s$  in terms of  $a$ . Therefore we choose  $a_s = Ca + \mathcal{O}(a^2)$ . We ignore the last terms in this expansion, because we work in NLO QED approximation.

We use this expansion and substitute it in equation (50), then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{QED}(t) = \left[ P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + a(CP^{(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + P^{(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}P_0^{(0,1)}(N)) \right] E_{QED}(t) \quad (51)$$

Now, in the same method with QCD part, we define the new following parameter

$$\tilde{R}_1 = CP^{(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}P_0^{(0,1)}(N) + P^{(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}P_0^{(0,1)}(N) \quad (52)$$

Therefore, the QED evolution matrix obtain in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{QED}^N(a, a_0) = & \left\{ \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} \left( \tilde{e}_1 - \frac{(a_0 - a)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_1 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_1)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_2 \right. \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_1)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_4 - \tilde{\lambda}_1} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_4 - \tilde{\lambda}_1)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_2}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} \left( \tilde{e}_2 - \frac{(a_0 - a)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} \tilde{e}_2 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_2 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_1 \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_2} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_2)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_2 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_4 - \tilde{\lambda}_2} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_4 - \tilde{\lambda}_2)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_2 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_3}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} \left( \tilde{e}_3 - \frac{(a_0 - a)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} \tilde{e}_3 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_3 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_3} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_3)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_3 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_1 \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_3} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_3)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_3 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_4 - \tilde{\lambda}_3} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_4 - \tilde{\lambda}_3)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_3 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_4 \\ & + \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_4}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}} \left( \tilde{e}_4 - \frac{(a_0 - a)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_4 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_4} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_4)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_1 \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_4} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_2 - \tilde{\lambda}_4)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_2 - \frac{1}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)} + \tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_4} \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{(\tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_4)/\beta_0^{(\alpha)}} - a_0 \right) \tilde{e}_4 \tilde{R}_1 \tilde{e}_3 \right\} \quad (53) \end{aligned}$$

We calculated the values of fixed parameters A, B and C for the different active flavour numbers. We listed them in Table (2).

Table 2: The parameters A, B and C for the different values of  $n_f$

$n_f$	A	B	C
3	0.000605	-0.000199	-0.740
4	0.000613	-0.000443	-1.013
5	0.000617	-0.000548	-1.159

### 3.2. The non-singlet PDFs with NLO QED corrections

95 In the non-singlet case, the evolution equations are scalar, so that the commutation relations in Eq. (22) are vanished. In the same procedure established in subsection (2.2), we calculate the evolution operators for QCD part in the next to leading order QCD and QED approximations for the non-singlet case. These QCD evolution operators for the non-singlet PDFs introduced in Eq. (5) are listed in Table (3).

Table 3: The QCD evolution operators,  $E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$ , for the non-singlet PDFs with NLO QED corrections

$f_i$	$E_{QCD}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$
$f_5$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (P_{qq}^{-(2,0)}(N) - (\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N))) (\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{(1-A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}$
$f_6$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (P_{qq}^{-(2,0)}(N) - (\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N))) (\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{(1-A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}$
$f_7$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (P_{qq}^{+(2,0)}(N) - (\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N))) (\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{(1-A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}$
$f_8$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (P_{qq}^{+(2,0)}(N) - (\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N))) (\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{(1-A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}$
$f_9$	$(1 + \frac{a_s - a_{s0}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} (P_{qq}^{+(2,0)}(N) - (\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}} + B \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N))) (\frac{a_s}{a_{s0}})^{\frac{(1-A \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha_s \alpha)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}) P_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha_s)}}$

100 With the same procedure, we can obtain the QED evolution operators for the non-singlet PDFs in Eq. (5) with NLO QED corrections. We give these operators in table (4).



Table 4: The QED evolution operators,  $E_{QED}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$ , for the non-singlet PDFs with NLO QED corrections

$f_i$	$E_{QED}^N(Q^2, Q_0^2)$
$f_5$	$(1 + \frac{a-a_0}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}})(Ce_d^2 p^{-(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N) + e_d^4 p_{qq}^{-(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N))(\frac{a}{a_0})^{e_d^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_6$	$(1 + \frac{a-a_0}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}})(Ce_u^2 p^{-(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N) + e_u^4 p_{qq}^{-(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N))(\frac{a}{a_0})^{e_u^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_7$	$(1 + \frac{a-a_0}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}})(Ce_d^2 p^{+(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N) + e_d^4 p_{qq}^{+(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N))(\frac{a}{a_0})^{e_d^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_8$	$(1 + \frac{a-a_0}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}})(Ce_u^2 p^{+(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N) + e_u^4 p_{qq}^{+(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N))(\frac{a}{a_0})^{e_u^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$
$f_9$	$(1 + \frac{a-a_0}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}})(Ce_d^2 p^{+(1,1)}(N) - C\frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha\alpha_s)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N) + e_d^4 p_{qq}^{+(0,2)}(N) - \frac{\beta_1^{(\alpha^2)}}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N))(\frac{a}{a_0})^{e_d^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_{qq}^{(0)}(N)}{\beta_0^{(\alpha)}}}$

#### 4. Test the accuracy of the solutions

In the section (2), we analytically solved the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations in NLO QCD and LO QED approximations. We obtain the singlet and non-singlet parton distribution functions with QED corrections in x space and for  $Q^2 > Q_0^2 (GeV^2)$ .

The main question here is: How we can check our analytical solutions? The best way to be sure about the correctness of these solutions is to take the initial PDFs from a public code such as the CT14QED global analysis code [9] at a fixed scale of  $Q_0^2$  and then we can calculate all of the parton distribution functions inside proton at some values of  $Q^2$ . In order to do this, we run the CT14QED program [9] with  $P_0^\gamma \leq 14\%$  for the inelastic photon PDF in initial scale of  $Q_0 = 1.295 GeV$ . Then, we evolved this initial PDFs with current solutions for the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations up to some values of  $Q^2$ . These PDFs are determined for the central QCD coupling of  $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118$ .

We perform the evolution equations in a fixed flavour number (FFN) scheme for all of the distributions. Since in this article we consider only five active flavours. The lowest order QED beta function in this case takes the value

$$\beta_0^{(\alpha)} = -\frac{80}{9} \quad (54)$$

where we suppose  $\mu = 1.777 GeV$ , then  $a(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{133.4}$  in equation. (11).

We also assumed the symmetry between quarks- anti quarks distributions. Then the corresponding  
115 valence distributions vanish and we have  $s = \bar{s}$ ,  $c = \bar{c}$  and  $b = \bar{b}$ .

The results of combined QCD $\otimes$ QED evolution are summarized on plots of Figs. (1) to (3), where we compare the evolution of valence quarks, sea quarks, gluon and photon PDFs with the solutions of two available codes, such as the QavD solutions implemented in the APFEL (NNPDF2.3QED) [7] program and solutions extracted from the CT14QED global analysis code.

120 Comparison between the APFEL (NNPDF2.3QED) predictions and the CT14QED evolution with our results for the valence quarks at different values of  $Q^2$  is shown in Fig. (1). An excellent agreement is found for all flavors. It is clear from Fig. (1) that increasing the value of  $Q^2$  would lead to a decrease in the value of decline rate the quark valence distribution functions. This means that the presence of photon distribution function influences on the decline rate.

125 The sea quarks, gluon and photon distribution functions are plotted in Fig. (2), where PDFs have been evolved from  $Q_0 = 1.295 GeV$  up to  $Q = 10^3 GeV$  and they are compared with the CT14QED and APFEL (NNPDF2.3QED) PDF sets. It is obvious that a good agreement is achieved.

One observation from Fig. (2) is that the sea-quark distributions, especially c and b quarks distributions, have more impact on the photon PDF than does the initial photon distribution at high  $Q^2$ .  
130 These photon distribution become more significant at high  $Q^2$  where more photon are produced through radiation of the quarks.

Figure (3) shows the sea quarks and photon distribution functions in x space at scale of  $Q^2 = 10^6 GeV^2$ . It is worth to notice that, the photon distribution functions are larger than the sea quarks distribution functions at high scale of energy and for the large values of x.

135 In tables (2) and (3) we present the Patron Evolution program [26] results and the CT14QED code [9] results for the singlet part of the parton distribution functions for  $Q = 100 GeV$  and the different values of x. we show our results for the singlet part of the PDFs in the same scale energy  $Q = 100 GeV$  and for the several values of x, in table (4). The comparison all of the parton distribution functions in table (4) with table (3) show that a very good agreement is between our results and the results extracted of  
140 CT14QED code. It is clear that the QED corrections can reduce the present errors for all of the values of x. More substantial differences appear for the photon PDF, in this case the solutions differ by up to 1%, both at small and large values of x, however this level of agreement is still acceptable in view of the technical differences between both programs.

In conclusion, we found a good level of agreement for all of the comparison performed in this section.  
145 These guarantees that we implements correctly the QCD $\otimes$ QED evolutions, therefore it can be used in

Table 5: The singlet part of the parton distribution functions obtained from the Parton Evolution program [26] at  $Q = 100\text{GeV}$ .

x	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-1}$	0.3	0.7
$\Delta$	-2.017	-1.255	-0.74	-0.312	0.125	0.1423	0.0113
$\Sigma$	66.613	29.466	11.947	4.321	1.2078	0.3670	0.0154
$g$	252.004	100.717	34.863	9.226	1.0559	0.0963	0.0004
$\gamma$	0.408	0.178	0.071	0.024	0.0042	0.0007	$1 \times 10^{-5}$

Table 6: The CT14QED code [9] results for the singlet part of the parton distribution functions at  $Q = 100\text{GeV}$ .

x	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-1}$	0.3	0.7
$\Delta$	-12.287	-5.228	-1.953	-0.578	0.126	0.1974	0.01226
$\Sigma$	70.09	31.564	12.889	4.613	1.3772	0.501	0.017
$g$	207.8	84.99	30.08	8.067	0.882	0.937	0.000346
$\gamma$	0.4254	0.2017	0.09187	0.03916	0.01069	0.002338	$4.18 \times 10^{-5}$

any global parametrization of PDFs with experimental data.

Our analytical solutions can be used to interpret the LHC data in a global fit parameterization. To do this, recent ATLAS measurement of high-mass Drell-yan dilepton production data combined with inclusive deep-inelastic scattering cross-section data can perform a solid determination of the proton PDFs including the photon PDF [14].

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

The analytical solution is performed based on the Mellin transforms to obtain the QCD $\otimes$ QED parton distribution functions. Our calculations are done in the NLO QCD and NLO QED approximations.

To be sure about the correctness of analytical solutions, we take the initial PDFs from the newly release CT14QED global parameterization at a fixed scale of  $Q_0 = 1.295\text{GeV}$ . Therefore, here we only checked the correctness of our solutions in the NLO QCD and LO QED approximations. Then we evolved all the parton distribution functions inside proton to some values of  $Q^2$ . We determine the parton distribution functions at the different values of  $Q^2$ , and compare them with APFEL (NNPDF2.3QED) and CT14QED PDFs set. Our results present a good agreement with them. The results show that with increasing the

Table 7: Our evolved singlet parton distribution functions at  $Q = 100\text{GeV}$ .

x	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-1}$	0.3	0.7
$\Delta$	-12.756	-5.812	-2.403	-0.788	0.098	0.201	0.013
$\Sigma$	71.889	32.553	13.489	4.871	1.424	0.498	0.0193
$g$	199.708	82.919	29.507	7.869	0.853	0.0892	0.000334
$\gamma$	0.29765	0.167	0.09226	0.0476	0.01615	0.00425	0.000149




Figure 1: The parton distribution  $xu_v(x, Q^2)$  and  $xd_v(x, Q^2)$  at the different values of  $Q^2$ . The solid line is our model, square scatter is the CT14QED global analysis code, circle scatter is the APFEL (NNPDF2.3QED) code.




Figure 2: The parton distribution functions in comparison with the CT14QED and APFEL (NNPDF2.3QED) global analysis codes at  $Q^2 = 10^6 GeV^2$ .




Figure 3: The Sea quarks and photon distribution functions at  $Q^2 = 10^6 GeV^2$  as a function of  $x$

160 value of  $Q^2$ , the contribution of valence quarks are decreased. Also it is found that the contribution of photon distribution function in comparison to the sea quark distribution functions is significant, especially at the large values of  $x$  and the high values of  $Q^2$ . Briefly, the most striking points in this paper are as follows,

1. This method can be generalized to  $N^2LO$  and the higher order corrections of QCD and QED.
- 165 2. The results show that we found the exact analytical solutions for the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP evolution equations in the Mellin space. Up to now less attention has been paid to such solutions for the QCD $\otimes$ QED DGLAP equations in the literature.
3. In our solutions, the QED running coupling is not constant and  $Q^2$  dependence of this parameter is considered.

170 Last, but not least, these analytical solutions can be used to determine the QCD $\otimes$ QED parton distribution functions via a global parameterization to the experimental data in the future.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank F. Arash for carefully reading the manuscript, fruitful discussion and critical remarks.

### 175 References

- [1] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B **126**, 298 (1977). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(77)90384-4

- [2] Y. L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP **46**, 641 (1977) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **73**, 1216 (1977)].
- [3] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15**, 438 (1972) [Yad. Fiz. **15**, 781 (1972)].
- [4] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **20**, 94 (1975) [Yad. Fiz. **20**, 181 (1974)].
- 180 [5] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, W. J. Stirling and R. S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C **4**, 463 (1998)  
doi:10.1007/s100529800904, 10.1007/s100520050220 [hep-ph/9803445].
- [6] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, W. J. Stirling and R. S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 155 (2005)  
doi:10.1140/epjc/s2004-02088-7 [hep-ph/0411040].
- [7] V. Bertone, S. Carrazza and J. Rojo, Comput. Phys. Commun. **185**, 1647 (2014)  
185 doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.03.007 [arXiv:1310.1394 [hep-ph]].
- [8] R. D. Ball *et al.* [NNPDF Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B **877**, 290 (2013)  
doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.10.010 [arXiv:1308.0598 [hep-ph]].
- [9] C. Schmidt, J. Pumplin, D. Stump and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 114015 (2016)  
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.114015 [arXiv:1509.02905 [hep-ph]].
- 190 [10] R. Placakyte, arXiv:1111.5452 [hep-ph].
- [11] H. Abramowicz *et al.* [H1 and ZEUS Collaborations], Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, no. 2, 2311 (2013)  
doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2311-3 [arXiv:1211.1182 [hep-ex]].
- [12] D. de Florian, G. F. R. Sborlini and G. Rodrigo, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 5, 282 (2016)  
doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4131-8 [arXiv:1512.00612 [hep-ph]].
- 195 [13] D. de Florian, G. F. R. Sborlini and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1610**, 056 (2016)  
doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2016)056 [arXiv:1606.02887 [hep-ph]].
- [14] F. Giuli *et al.* [xFitter Developers' Team], Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no. 6, 400 (2017)  
doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4931-5 [arXiv:1701.08553 [hep-ph]].
- [15] A. Manohar, P. Nason, G. P. Salam and G. Zanderighi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 24, 242002 (2016)  
200 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.242002 [arXiv:1607.04266 [hep-ph]].
- [16] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B **688**, 101 (2004)  
doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.03.030 [hep-ph/0403192].

- [17] A. Vogt, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **170**, 65 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2005.03.103 [hep-ph/0408244].
- [18] M. M. Block, L. Durand and D. W. McKay, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 094003 (2008)  
 205 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.094003 [arXiv:0710.3212 [hep-ph]].
- [19] M. M. Block, L. Durand, P. Ha and D. W. McKay, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **69**, 425 (2010)  
 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1413-4 [arXiv:1005.2556 [hep-ph]].
- [20] M. Mottaghizadeh, P. Eslami and F. Taghavi-Shahri, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **32**, no. 14, 1750065 (2017)  
 doi:10.1142/S0217751X17500658 [arXiv:1607.07754 [hep-ph]].
- [21] F. Taghavi-Shahri, A. Mirjalili and M. M. Yazdanpanah, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1590 (2011)  
 210 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1590-9 [arXiv:1005.4786 [hep-ph]].
- [22] S. Atashbar Tehrani, F. Taghavi-Shahri, A. Mirjalili and M. M. Yazdanpanah, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, no. 11, 114012 (2013) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no. 3, 039902 (2013)]. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.114012, 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.039902
- [23] M. Zarei, F. Taghavi-Shahri, S. Atashbar Tehrani and M. Sarbishei, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no. 7, 074046  
 215 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.074046 [arXiv:1601.02815 [hep-ph]].
- [24] S. Zarrin and G. R. Boroun, *Nucl. Phys. B* **922**, 126 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.06.016 [arXiv:1607.06243 [hep-ph]].
- [25] S. Carrazza, arXiv:1509.00209 [hep-ph].
- [26] M. Roth and S. Weinzierl, *Phys. Lett. B* **590**, 190 (2004) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.04.009 [hep-ph/0403200].  
 220
- [27] E. G. Floratos, D. A. Ross and C. T. Sachrajda, *Nucl. Phys. B* **152**, 493 (1979). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90094-4
- [28] E. G. Floratos, D. A. Ross and C. T. Sachrajda, *Nucl. Phys. B* **129**, 66 (1977) Erratum: [*Nucl. Phys. B* **139**, 545 (1978)]. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(78)90367-X, 10.1016/0550-3213(77)90020-7  
 225
- [29] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo, C. Lopez and F. J. Yndurain, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153**, 161 (1979). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90596-0, 10.1016/0550-3213(79)90466-8
- [30] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo and C. Lopez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **166**, 429 (1980). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(80)90207-2

- [31] E. G. Floratos, C. Kounnas and R. Lacaze, Nucl. Phys. B **192**, 417 (1981). doi:10.1016/0550-  
230 3213(81)90434-X
- [32] W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, Z. Phys. C **11**, 293 (1982). doi:10.1007/BF01578280
- [33] G. Curci, W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. B **175**, 27 (1980). doi:10.1016/0550-  
3213(80)90003-6
- [34] R. Hamberg and W. L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B **379**, 143 (1992). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(92)90593-  
235 Z
- [35] S. Moch and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. B **573**, 853 (2000) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00045-6  
[hep-ph/9912355].
- [36] D. Graudenz, M. Hampel, A. Vogt and C. Berger, Z. Phys. C **70**, 77 (1996) doi:10.1007/s002880050083  
[hep-ph/9506333].
- 240 [37] J. Blumlein, S. Riemersma, W. L. van Neerven and A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **51C**, 97 (1996)  
doi:10.1016/S0920-5632(96)90012-2 [hep-ph/9609217].
- [38] M. Gluck, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Z. Phys. C **48**, 471 (1990). doi:10.1007/BF01572029
- [39] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1343 (1973). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.30.1343
- [40] H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.30.1346
- 245 [41] D. R. T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. B **87**, 127 (1975). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(75)90256-4