Projected bounds on ALPs from \textit{Athena}
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Galaxy clusters represent excellent laboratories to search for Axion-Like Particles (ALPs). They contain magnetic fields which can induce quasi-sinusoidal oscillations in the X-ray spectra of AGNs situated in or behind them. Due to its excellent energy resolution, the X-ray Integral Field Unit (X-IFU) instrument onboard the \textit{Athena} X-ray Observatory will be far more sensitive to ALP-induced modulations than current detectors. As a first analysis of the sensitivity of \textit{Athena} to the ALP-photon coupling $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$, we simulate observations of the Seyfert galaxy NGC 1275 (hosting the radio source 3C 84) in the Perseus cluster using the SIXTE simulation software. We estimate that for a 200 ks exposure, a non-observation of spectral modulations will constrain $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$ GeV$^{-1}$ for $m_a \lesssim 10^{-12}$ eV, representing an order of magnitude improvement over constraints derived using the current generation of satellites.
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1 INTRODUCTION

X-ray astronomy provides a novel arena for fundamental physics. Thanks to exciting recent data, such as the observed excess at 3.5 keV (Bulbul et al. 2014; Boyarsky et al. 2014), there has been a renewed interest among particle physicists in the great promise of X-ray astronomy to shed light on physics beyond the Standard Model, including the existence of new particles.

One area for which X-ray astronomy is particularly suitable is in the search for Axion-Like Particles (ALPs). ALPs are light pseudo-scalars that are a well motivated extension of the Standard Model (Peccei & Quinn 1977; Wilczek 1978; Weinberg 1978) that arise generically in string compactifications, for example see (Conlon 2006; Svrcek & Witten 2006; Cicoli et al. 2012). A general review of ALPs is (Ringwald 2012). In the presence of a magnetic field ($B$) ALPs and photons interchange (Sikivie 1983; Raffelt & Stodolsky 1988), and this induces quasi-sinusoidal oscillations at X-ray energies in the spectra of sources in and around galaxy clusters (Wouters & Brun 2013; Conlon et al. 2016).

Searches for these oscillations can be used to constrain ALP parameter space. Current constraints on ALPs derived in this fashion (Wouters & Brun 2013; Berg et al. 2017; Marsh et al. 2017; Conlon et al. 2017) are based on data taken with CCD detectors, which have an energy resolution of $O(100 \text{ eV})$. A large improvement with sensitivity will be achieved once data becomes available from microcalorimeters with $O(\text{a few eV})$ energy resolution. Such microcalorimeters will be on board the Advanced Telescope for High ENergy Astrophysics (ATHENA), currently scheduled to launch in 2028. Its X-IFU instrument will have both large effective area, good imaging and energy resolution of $\sim 2.5 \text{ eV}$, greatly enhancing the discovery potential for ALPs.

In this paper we provide a first estimate for the experimental sensitivity of \textit{Athena} to ALPs. We do so using simulated data for a mock observation of NGC 1275, hosting the radio source 3C 84, which contains the central AGN of the Perseus cluster. This object was chosen as we have previously used it to place bounds on ALPs using \textit{Chandra} data (Berg et al. 2017).

2 REVIEW OF ALP-PHOTON INTERCONVERSION IN CLUSTERS

An ALP $a$ couples to electromagnetism through the Lagrangian term:

$$L = \frac{1}{4M} a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{M} a \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B},$$

(1)

where $M^{-1} = g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ parametrises the strength of the interaction, and $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields. As their potential and interactions are protected by shift symmetries, ALPs can naturally have very small masses $m_a$. The probability of ALP-photon interaction in the presence of an external magnetic field ($\mathbf{B}$) is a standard result (Sikivie 1983; Raffelt & Stodolsky 1988).

The full analytic expression for the probability of an ALP being converted to a photon after propagating through a single magnetic
field domain of length $L$ is:

$$P_{\text{e-}\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \Theta^2 \sin^2 \left( \Delta \sqrt{1 + \Theta^2} \right),$$

(2)

where

$$\Theta = 0.28 \left( \frac{B_\perp}{1\mu G} \right) \left( \frac{\omega}{1\text{keV}} \right) \left( \frac{10^{-3}\text{cm}^{-3}}{n_e} \right) \left( \frac{10^{11}\text{GeV}}{M} \right).$$

(3)

$$\Delta = 0.54 \left( \frac{n_e}{10^{-3}\text{cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{L}{10\text{kepc}} \right) \left( \frac{1\text{keV}}{\omega} \right).$$

(4)

Here $B_\perp$ denotes the magnetic field component perpendicular to the ALP wave vector, $\omega$ is the energy and $n_e$ is the electron density. In the limit $\Delta, \Theta \ll 1$, $P \propto B^2L^2/M^2$. However when $\Theta < 1$ but $\Delta > 1$, then $P \propto \Theta^2 \sin^2 \Delta$. This probability grows with energy, containing oscillations that are rapid at low energies and broader at higher energies. These oscillations leave a distinctive imprint on otherwise featureless spectra, and their absence allows us to constrain $g_{\gamma\gamma}$.

This photon-ALP interconversion is particularly efficient in galaxy clusters (e.g. see (Burrage et al. 2009; Conlon & Marsh 2013)). Clusters have B fields of order $\sim \mu G$ which extend over megaparsecs scales, within which the magnetic field coherence lengths reach tens of kiloparsecs. The relatively low electron densities ($\sim 10^{-3}\text{cm}^{-3}$) also implies that it is at X-ray energies that the ‘sweet spot’ of large $\Delta$, small $\Theta$, and quasi-sinusoidal energy-dependent $P_{\text{e-}\gamma}$ is located (Wouters & Brun 2013; Conlon & Marsh 2013; Angus et al. 2014; Conlon et al. 2016).

The 3D structure of intracluster magnetic fields is in general not known and so the precise form of the survival probability along any single line of sight cannot be determined. Figure 1 illustrates the energy-dependent survival probability for a photon passing across three hundred domains of a magnetic field, with the direction of the magnetic field randomised within each domain. The electron density and magnetic field strength in the model are based on those applicable in the Perseus cluster, but the pattern of smaller, rapid oscillations at low energies and slow oscillations with greater amplitude at high energies is generic.

Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs) situated in or behind galaxy clusters provide excellent X-ray sources to search for such spectral modulations. One outstanding example is the bright central AGN of the Perseus cluster, at the heart of the galaxy NGC 1275. Its intrinsic spectrum is well described by an absorbed power law (Churazov et al. 2003; Yamazaki et al. 2013; Balmaverde et al. 2006; Fabian et al. 2015), and dominates the background cluster emission. The central cluster magnetic field value is estimated at $\sim 25\mu G$ by (Taylor et al. 2006).

An analysis of archival data of observations of NGC 1275 by the Chandra and XMM-Newton satellites was done in (Berg et al. 2017) (see (Ajello et al. 2016) for a related analysis of NGC 1275 in gamma rays). Extending methods pioneered in (Wouters & Brun 2013), the constraint on the ALP-photon coupling $g_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ was found. For M87, a similar treatment was performed in (Marsh et al. 2017), finding a bound $g_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$. An analysis of Chandra data of other bright point sources in galaxy clusters was conducted in (Conlon et al. 2017), deriving bounds of $g_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ (for the Seyfert galaxy 2E 3140) and $g_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\lesssim 2.4 \times 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ (for the AGN NGC 3862). These bounds all hold for light ALPs with masses $m_a$ $\lesssim 10^{-12}\text{eV}$. This implies that these methods are not sensitive to an ordinary QCD axion, which for a photon couplings $g_{\gamma\gamma}$ $\sim 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ would typically have $m_a$ $\sim 10^{-3}\text{eV}$. However, unconventional models for the QCD axion where the photon coupling is significantly enhanced compared to naive expectation may be constrained using these techniques.

The bounds produced are superior to the bound on light ALPs derived from SN 1987A of $g_{\gamma\gamma}$ $< 5 \times 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ (Payez et al. 2015), and are similar to those projected for IAXO in this low mass region (Ivarson & Alves 2017). The bounds are also superior to those inferred from the absence of CMB distortions in COBE FIRAS data (Miziriz et al. 2009), which constrain the product $g_{\gamma\gamma}B < 10^{-11}\text{GeV}^{-1}\text{nG}$. Here $B$ is the strength of the cosmic magnetic field, which is limited to $B < \text{nG}$.

One major limiting constraint on existing data is the energy resolution of the detectors. If they exist, ALPs provide oscillatory structure all the way down to the lowest energies. However, as illustrated in Figure 1, detectors with energy resolutions of $O(100\text{eV})$ cannot resolve this structure at lower energies – but this does become accessible once a resolution of $O(2.5\text{eV})$ is achieved. We now discuss the future Athena X-ray observatory, whose greatly enhanced technical capabilities offer improved sensitivity to ALP-photon interconversion.

### 3 Athena

The Advanced Telescope for High ENergy Astrophysics (ATHENA) is an ESA mission to explore the Hot and Energetic Universe, due to launch in 2028 (Nandra et al. 2013). The mirror will have a $2\text{m}^2$ effective area and a 5 arcsec angular resolution. There are two instruments: the X-ray Integral Field Unit (X-IFU) and the Wide Field Imager (WFI). Here we focus on the former, which will consist of an array of TiAu Transition Edge Sensor (TES) micro-calorimeters sensitive to the energy range 0.2–12 keV (Barret et al. 2016). When operated at a temperature of 50 mK, these can achieve an energy resolution of $2.5\text{eV}$ below 7 keV (Gottardi et al. 2014), implying X-IFU will be able to resolve narrow spectral oscillations. A read-out time of $\sim 10\mu\text{s}$ will ensure pileup contamination is minimised.

Table 1 contains a summary of its properties, taken from the Athena Mission Proposal\(^1\), compared to properties of the Chandra ACIS-I detector, taken from the Chandra Proposer’s Guide\(^2\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Athena (X-IFU)</th>
<th>Chandra (ACIS-I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy range</td>
<td>0.2–12 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution at 6 keV</td>
<td>2.5 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution</td>
<td>5 arcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time resolution</td>
<td>10 $\mu$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.8 ms single row)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective area</td>
<td>$2\text{m}^2 \times 1\text{keV}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Parameters taken from the Athena Mission Proposal and the Chandra Proposer’s Guide.


---

has far more potential to detect ALP-induced oscillations than the best current satellites. The aim of this paper is to make the first quantitative estimate of the extent to which Athena will be able to improve constraints on $g_{\text{gy}}$.

4 ESTIMATE OF PROJECTED BOUNDS

In terms of estimating bounds on $g_{\text{gy}}$, we use the same method as previously applied with Chandra data (Berg et al. 2017). This allows for a direct comparison between the capabilities of Chandra and Athena in terms of placing bounds.

We simulate Athena observations of NGC 1275, using two models for the photon spectra of the AGN. The first is a standard spectrum without ALPs, and the second is a model with the same spectrum multiplied with the photon survival probability distribution as introduced in Section 2. Using simulations of the X-IFU detector response, we fit spectra generated assuming ALP-photon conversion with the model without ALPs (Model 0) and then we compare this fit to the fit of the spectrum generated without ALPs to the same model. To allow for the uncertainty in the magnetic field configuration along the line of sight, we repeat this analysis using many different randomly generated magnetic fields.

The two photon spectra that we model are:

(i) Model 0: An absorbed power law plus thermal background:

$$F_0(E) = (AE^{-\gamma} + \text{BAPEC}) \times e^{-n_H \sigma(E, z)}, \quad (5)$$

where $A$ and $\gamma$ are the amplitude and index of the power law, $E$ is the energy, $n_H$ is the equivalent hydrogen column, $\sigma(E, z)$ is the photo-electric cross-section at redshift $z$, and BAPEC is the standard plasma thermal emission model.

(ii) Model 1: An absorbed power law plus thermal background, multiplied by a table of survival probabilities for photons of different energies:

$$F_1(E, B) = (AE^{-\gamma} + \text{BAPEC}) \times e^{-n_H \sigma(E, z)} \times P_{\gamma \to \gamma}(E(1+z), B, g_{\text{gy}}).$$

The index of the power law was set based on the best fit value from the cleanest Chandra observations of NGC 1275, and its normalisation was determined based on the Hitomi 230 ks observation of Perseus in 2016 (Aharanian et al. 2017). As the AGN in 2016 was roughly twice as bright as in 2009 and it has previously exhibited large historical variation (Fabian et al. 2015), it may be again much brighter (or dimmer) in 2028, which would affect both the contrast against the cluster background and also the observation time required to achieve a certain constraint on $g_{\text{gy}}$.

The 2016 Hitomi observation also constrained the temperature, abundances and velocity dispersion of the cluster thermal emission to a high degree of accuracy (Aharonian et al. 2017). For the spectral shape of the cluster background, we used the single-temperature bapec model that was a good fit to the Hitomi spectrum across its field of view. While this single-temperature model is unlikely to be a good fit for the background contiguous to the AGN, it represents a useful proxy for the actual background that can only be determined at the time. The normalisation of the background was set by extracting a circular region of the cluster emission close to the AGN from the Chandra observations, of radius equal to the angular resolution of Athena, and determining the best fit. All model parameters are shown in Table 2.

As for the study with Chandra, we take the central magnetic field value as $B_0 \sim 25 \mu G$, following (Taylor et al. 2006). We also assume that $B$ decreases with radius as $B \propto r_0^{0.7}$. As there is not a direct measurement of the power spectrum and coherence length for the Perseus magnetic field, we base the model on those inferred for the cool core cluster A2199 (Vacca et al. 2012).

The electron density $n_e$ has the radial distribution found in (Churazov et al. 2003):

$$n_e(r) = \frac{3.9 \times 10^{-2}}{[1 + (r/80 \text{ kpc})^2]^{1.6}} + \frac{4.05 \times 10^{-3}}{[1 + (r/280 \text{ kpc})^2]^{0.87}} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (7)$$

The magnetic field is generated over 300 domains, whose

Figure 1: Above—A randomly generated photon survival probability along the line of sight from NGC 1275 to us: unconvolved (blue), convolved with a Gaussian with FWHM 150 eV (a typical energy resolution of Chandra’s ACIS-I detector (red)) and 2.5 eV for Athena’s X-IFU detector (orange). A central magnetic field of $B_0 = 25 \mu G$ was used, with a radial scaling of $B \sim r_0^{0.7}$, further details in Section 4. The ALP-photon coupling is $g_{\text{gy}} = 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$. Small, rapid oscillations at low energies, and larger oscillations at high energies, are generic features of these survival probabilities. At energies < 2 keV Chandra is unable to resolve oscillations while Athena performs much better. Left—The same photon survival probabilities, showing the sensitivity of X-IFU to oscillations at low energies.
lengths are drawn from a Pareto distribution between 3.5 kpc and 10 kpc with power 2.8. In each domain the magnetic field and electron density are constant, with a random direction of \( B \). We then calculate the survival probability of a photon passing through this region, as described in (Angus et al. 2014).

The simulations were performed using the Simulation of X-ray Telescopes (SIXTE) code, a multi-instrument simulation package. It aims to offer an end-to-end simulation, i.e. the full detector chain from the source to the final data. It models the telescope’s vignetting, ARF and PSF, and X-IFU’s response, event reconstruction and pileup (Wilms et al. 2014).

The spectrum of NGC 1275, and the cluster background, were modelled in XSPEC\(^3\) as an absorbed power law plus a thermal component, \( zwabs + \text{powerlaw} + \text{bpec} \). This spectrum, either multiplied with the photon survival probabilities or not, was converted to the SIMPUT\(^4\) file format using the command simputfile. The mirror and detector response were modelled with xifupipeline, using the ARF file athena_xifu_1469_onaxis_pitch249um_v20160401.arf and the RMF file athena_xifu_rmf_v20160401.rmf. This generated an event FITS file, which was then converted into a PHA file using makespec. We produced a fit to this spectrum in XSPEC, using the Levenberg-Marquardt fitting method to calculate the reduced \( \chi^2 \).

Figure 2 shows one simulation for 200 ks of data with the nominal mirror configuration, we derive a projected bound of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) at 95 per cent confidence and of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) at 99% confidence, as shown in Fig. 3 alongside published data limits. This represents an order of magnitude improvement over the bound derived from the 200 ks of Chandra ACIS-I observations in (Berg et al. 2017). We also find that even a short 10 ks observation will lead to an improved bound of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \lesssim 4.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \).

These bounds are substantially better than any current experimental or astrophysical bound, and also go beyond the capabilities of IAXO for ultralight ALP masses. The proposed DM halooscope ABRACADABRA has the potential to explore \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \) down to \( 10^{-17} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) for \( m_\alpha \in [10^{-14}, 10^{-6}] \text{eV} \) (Kahn et al. 2016), if ALPs constitute the Dark Matter. The existence of ALP-induced oscillations in galaxy clusters is independent of this. Proposed CMB experiments such as PIXIE (Kogut et al. 2011) and PRISM (Andre et al. 2013) could produce a constraint \( g_{\alpha\gamma} R < 10^{-16} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) nG which might be competitive with bounds from galaxy clusters if the cosmic magnetic field is close enough to saturation \( \sim \) nG (Tashiro et al. 2013).


4 http://hea-www.harvard.edu/heasarc/formats/simput-1.0.0.pdf

5 CONCLUSION

AGNs situated in galaxy clusters are excellent targets to search for ALP-photon interconversion. Athena’s groundbreaking new technology will be able to resolve AGN spectra very precisely.

Table 2: Parameters of the absorbed power law describing the spectrum of NGC 1275, and the thermal model of the cluster background.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>symbol</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zwabs</td>
<td>column density</td>
<td>( n_H )</td>
<td>( 0.24 \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>redshift</td>
<td>( z )</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>powerlaw</td>
<td>index</td>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normalisation</td>
<td>( A )</td>
<td>( 9 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpec</td>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>( kT )</td>
<td>3.48 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abundances</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.54 solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>velocity dispersion</td>
<td>( v )</td>
<td>178 m s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>normalisation</td>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>( 9 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: A simulated 200 ks dataset for NGC 1275 with \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \). Assuming the absence of ALPs, this represents the expected quality of the fit to the single real data set. If the actual data is a poor fit for some reason, then this will weaken the level of the resulting bounds that we can produce.

(vi) Generate 100 fake PHAs based on Model 0, and compute the average of their reduced chi-squareds \( \chi^2_g \). Assuming the absence of ALPs, this represents the expected quality of the fit to the single real data set. If the actual data is a poor fit for some reason, then this will weaken the level of the resulting bounds that we can produce.

(vii) Determine the percentage of fake data sets that have a reduced chi-squared \( \chi^2_g < \max(\chi^2_g, 1) \) if this is true for fewer than 5 per cent of the data sets, the value of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \) is excluded at 95 per cent confidence.

For a simulation of 200 ks of data with the nominal mirror configuration, we derive a projected bound of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) at 95 per cent confidence and of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) at 99% confidence, as shown in Fig. 3 alongside published data limits. This represents an order of magnitude improvement over the bound derived from the 200 ks of Chandra ACIS-I observations in (Berg et al. 2017). We also find that even a short 10 ks observation will lead to an improved bound of \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \lesssim 4.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \).

These bounds are substantially better than any current experimental or astrophysical bound, and also go beyond the capabilities of IAXO for ultralight ALP masses. The proposed DM halooscope ABRACADABRA has the potential to explore \( g_{\alpha\gamma} \) down to \( 10^{-17} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) for \( m_\alpha \in [10^{-14}, 10^{-6}] \text{eV} \) (Kahn et al. 2016), if ALPs constitute the Dark Matter. The existence of ALP-induced oscillations in galaxy clusters is independent of this. Proposed CMB experiments such as PIXIE (Kogut et al. 2011) and PRISM (Andre et al. 2013) could produce a constraint \( g_{\alpha\gamma} R < 10^{-16} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) nG which might be competitive with bounds from galaxy clusters if the cosmic magnetic field is close enough to saturation \( \sim \) nG (Tashiro et al. 2013).
Projected bounds on ALPs from ATHENA

Figure 3: Overview of exclusion limits on axion couplings vs mass. For axion masses \( m_a \sim 10^{-12} \text{eV} \) then ALP-photon conversion can enter a resonant regime, with the potential of stronger bounds around this critical mass. We do not perform a detailed study of the resonant regime in this work and focus only on the low-mass region. Full references can be found in the Particle Data Group review on Axions and other similar particles (Patrignani et al. 2016).

The bound \( g_{\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}^{-1} \) derived from simulations of 200 ks observations is an order of magnitude improvement over the bounds from current generation satellites. For the mass range \( m_a \lesssim 10^{-12} \text{eV} \), it will also be far better than the bounds obtainable from future experimental searches such as IAXO.

We stress that this is only a first estimate of the sensitivity of Athena to ALP-induced modulations. The final sensitivity will depend on the capabilities of the finished satellite, the brightness of the AGN in 2028 and the quality of the actual data. Telescopes such as the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) are likely to reduce the uncertainties in the magnetic field model (Braun et al. 2015), allowing for greater precision in \( g_{\gamma\gamma} \) bounds calculations by the time Athena launches. However, we have demonstrated that Athena will certainly improve on \( \gamma_{\gamma\gamma} \) substantively, and that X-ray astronomy will continue to be at the forefront of ultralight ALP searches in the coming decades.
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