

# Light scalars, $(g_\mu - 2)$ muon anomaly and dark matter in a model with a Higgs democracy

N.V. Krasnikov<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INR RAS, 117312 Moscow

<sup>2</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna

November 15, 2018

## Abstract

We consider isosinglet scalar extension of a model with a Higgs democracy - multihiggs extension of the SM where each quark and lepton has its own Higgs isodoublet. The addition of light isosinglet scalar allows to solve both muon  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly and dark matter problem. Also we point out that an extension of the model with  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  vector interaction allows not only explain muon  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly but also dark matter density.

keywords: light dark matter, muon anomaly, light vector and scalar messengers

# 1 Introduction

At present there are several signals that new physics beyond the SM exists. The most solid fact is the existence of dark matter [1] -[3]. The nature of dark matter is one of challenging questions in modern physics. There are a lot of candidates on the role of dark matter [1] - [3]. In particular, models with light vector(scalar) bosons with a mass  $m_d \leq O(10) GeV$  [4, 5] are rather popular now. The main idea is that new light vector(scalar) bosons are mediators connecting our world and the world of dark matter particles[5]. Other possible hint in favour of new physics beyond the SM is muon  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly, namely the precise measurement of the anomalous magnetic moment of the positive muon from the Brookhaven AGS experiment [6] gives a result which is  $3.6\sigma$  higher than the Standard Model (SM) prediction<sup>1</sup>

$$a_\mu^{exp} - a_\mu^{SM} = (288 \pm 80) \cdot 10^{-11}. \quad (1)$$

There are a lot of  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly explanations. In particular, one of the possible explanations assumes the existence of new relatively light(with a mass  $m_{Z'} \leq 1 GeV$ ) vector boson(dark photon) which couples very weakly with muon with the coupling constant  $\alpha_{Z'} \sim O(10^{-8})$  [7]- [14]. Recent experimental data [15]-[17] severely restrict<sup>2</sup> but not completely exclude this possibility of the  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly explanation. Recent claim [18] of the discovery of  $17 MeV$  vector particle observed as a peak in  $e^+e^-$  invariant mass distribution in nuclear transitions makes the question of possible light vector boson existence extremely interesting and enhance motivation for further experimental and theoretical studies. Other related explanation of  $g_\mu - 2$  assumes the existence of new relatively light scalar particle [19] - [26]. However, the simplest extension of the SM with additional scalar field  $\phi$  can't explain  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly and(or) current dark matter density. The reason is that in the SM the Yukawa coupling constant of the muon with the SM

---

<sup>1</sup>Here  $a_\mu \equiv \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2}$ .

<sup>2</sup>The model with light vector boson interacting with the SM electromagnetic current is excluded as an explanation of  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly. However, the model with vector boson interacting with  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  current survives for  $m_{Z'} \leq 210 MeV$  [14]. Also more exotic model with infinite number of light vector bosons[14] survives.

Higgs isodoublet  $h_\mu = \frac{m_\mu}{\langle H \rangle} \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-4}$  is extremely small. The Yukawa coupling  $g_\mu$  of muons with the scalar  $\phi$  is  $g_\mu = h_\mu \sin \theta_{h\phi}$ , where  $\theta_{h\phi}$  is the mixing angle of the scalar  $\phi$  with the Higgs field<sup>3</sup>  $h$ . Experimental bounds from  $K$ - and  $B$ - meson decays lead to upper bound  $|\sin \theta_{h\phi}| \leq O(10^{-3})$  [27, 28] that excludes this model.

In this paper we consider isosinglet scalar extension of the model with a Higgs democracy [29] - multihiggs extension of the SM where each quark and lepton has its own Higgs isodoublet. The existence of isosinglet scalar field allows to solve  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly and it also serves as a messenger between the SM matter and dark matter [4, 5]<sup>4</sup>. Due to small mixing of isosinglet scalar with the Higgs boson responsible for top quark mass the proposed model escapes bounds from rare  $K$ - and  $B$ -meson decays and solves both  $g_\mu - 2$  and dark matter problems. Also we point out that an extension of the model with  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  vector interaction of new light vector boson allows not only explain  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly but also dark matter density.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we describe model with three lepton Higgs isodoublets, scalar isosinglet and light dark matter. We show that proposed model is able to explain simultaneously both muon  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly and today dark matter density. In section 3 we point out that dark matter extension of the model with light vector boson interacting with  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  current can explain not only  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly but also today dark matter density. We present our conclusions in section 4. The appendices A and B collect main formulae for new physics  $g_\mu - 2$  contributions and dark matter annihilation cross sections correspondingly.

---

<sup>3</sup>In the unitaire gauge  $H = (0, \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} + \langle H \rangle)$ ,  $\langle H \rangle = 174 \text{ GeV}$ .

<sup>4</sup>In ref.[26] the SM extension with additional scalar field and Higgs isodoublet  $H_{ad}$  which couples only with leptons has been proposed. The model [26] can explain the  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly.

## 2 Model with three lepton Higgs isodoublets, scalar isosinglet and light dark matter

In this paper we are interested mainly in leptonic sector so we consider the particular case where all quark masses arise due to nonzero vacuum expectation value  $\langle H \rangle$  of the Higgs isodoublet  $H$ . In our model each lepton generation  $l = e, \mu, \tau$  has its own Higgs isodoublet  $H_l$ . Besides we introduce additional scalar field  $\phi$  and Dirac fermion dark matter field  $\psi_d$ . The Lagrangian of the model has the form

$$L_{tot} = L_{SM, h_l=0} + L_{Yuk, H_l} + L_{H_l} + L_{\phi\psi} + L_{HH_l\phi}, \quad (2)$$

where  $L_{SM, h_l=0}$  is the SM Lagrangian with all lepton Yukawa couplings equal to zero and

$$L_{Yuk, H_l} = - \sum_l h_l \bar{A}_l l_R H_l + H.c., \quad (3)$$

$$L_{H_l} = \sum_{l, l'} [\Delta^\mu H_l^+ \Delta_\mu H_{l'} - m_{h_l}^2 H_l^+ H_{l'} - \lambda_{ll'} (H_l^+ H_{l'}) (H_{l'}^+ H_{l'})], \quad (4)$$

$$L_{\phi\psi} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - \lambda_\phi (\phi^2 - c^2)^2 + i \bar{\psi}_d \hat{\partial} \psi_d - g_\psi \phi \bar{\psi}_d \psi_d, \quad (5)$$

$$L_{HH_l\phi} = \sum_l \sqrt{2} M_l H_l^+ H \phi + H.c.. \quad (6)$$

Here

$$(\nu, e)_L = A_e, (\nu', \mu)_L = A_\mu, (\nu'', \tau)_L = A_\tau,$$

$$l_R = e_R, \mu_R, \tau_R$$

and  $H_e = (H_e^+, H_e^0)$ ,  $H_\mu = (H_\mu^+, H_\mu^0)$ ,  $H_\tau = (H_\tau^+, H_\tau^0)$  are Higgs isodoublets responsible for  $e^-$ ,  $\mu^-$  and  $\tau^-$  lepton masses. The Lagrangian (2) is invariant under the symmetries

$$A_l \rightarrow -A_l, \quad l_R \rightarrow -l_R, \quad (7)$$

$$H_l \rightarrow -H_l, \quad \phi \rightarrow -\phi, \quad l_R \rightarrow -l_R, \quad \psi_d \rightarrow -\psi_d, \quad (8)$$

The interaction  $L_{HH_l\phi}$  is superrenormalizable, it does not generate new types of ultraviolet divergences and it is the single interaction connecting dark matter particles  $\psi_d$  with our world. The discrete symmetry (8) prohibits mixing terms like  $H_l^+ H$ ,  $\phi H^+ H$ ,  $\phi H_l^+ H_l$ .

Before the discrete symmetry breaking (8) the vacuum expectation values of the lepton isodoublets are equal to zero. After the symmetry breaking  $\langle \phi \rangle \neq 0$  and nonzero mixing terms  $\sqrt{2} \langle \phi \rangle M_l H^+ H_l$  are generated that leads to nonzero  $\langle H_l \rangle$ <sup>5</sup>

$$\langle H_l \rangle = \sqrt{2} \frac{M_l \langle H \rangle \langle \phi \rangle}{m_{h_l}^2} \quad (9)$$

and hence to nonzero lepton masses. As a result of the symmetry breaking the dark matter fermion  $\psi_d$  acquires a mass  $m_d = g_\psi \langle \phi \rangle$ . Trilinear term (6) leads to the mixing of the scalar field  $\phi' \equiv \phi - \langle \phi \rangle$  with leptonic Higgs scalars  $h_{ls}$ . As a consequence of  $\langle H \rangle \neq 0$  the mixings between scalar fields  $h_{ls}$  and  $\phi$  are generated. For small  $M_l \langle \phi \rangle \ll m_{h_l}^2$  and  $m_\phi^2 \ll m_{h_l}^2$  the mixings are

$$\theta_{hh_l} = -\frac{\sqrt{2} M_l \langle \phi \rangle}{m_{h_l}^2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\theta_{h\phi} = -\frac{\sqrt{2} M_l \langle H_l \rangle}{m_h^2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\theta_{h_l\phi} = -\frac{\sqrt{2} M_l \langle H \rangle}{m_{h_l}^2}, \quad (12)$$

As a consequence of the relations (11,12) we find that

$$\theta_{h\phi} = \frac{\langle H_l \rangle}{H} \frac{m_{h_l}^2}{m_h^2} \theta_{h_l\phi}. \quad (13)$$

Nonzero  $(\phi, h_l)$  mixing leads to induced Yukawa interaction

$$L_{U\phi_k^i} = \sum_l g_l \phi^i \bar{l} l, \quad (14)$$

where

$$g_l = h_l \sin \theta_{h_l\phi}. \quad (15)$$

Note that there are strong bounds on mixing angle  $\theta_{h\phi}$  [27, 28] derived from data on rare  $K$ -,  $B$ -meson decays and invisible Higgs boson decay  $h \rightarrow invisible$ . The most stringent bound

$$|\theta_{h\phi}| \leq 1.6 \cdot 10^{-4} \quad (16)$$

was obtained from the experimentally measured decay width of the  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  decay and it is valid for  $m_\phi < m_{K^+} - m_\phi$ .

---

<sup>5</sup> $H_l = (H_l^+, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_{ls} + ia_l))^T \langle H_l \rangle$ .

## 2.1 Muon anomalous magnetic moment

The precise measurement of the anomalous magnetic moment of the positive muon from the Brookhaven AGS experiment [6] gives a result which is  $3.6\sigma$  higher than the Standard Model (SM) prediction

$$a_\mu^{exp} - a_\mu^{SM} = (288 \pm 80) \cdot 10^{-11}, \quad (17)$$

where  $a_\mu \equiv \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2}$ . Additional contribution (33) allows to explain the Brookhaven AGS result. For instance, for  $m_\phi = 0.001 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_\phi = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_\phi = 1 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_\phi = 5 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_\phi = 10 \text{ GeV}$  the coupling constants  $\alpha_{s\mu} \equiv \frac{g_\mu^2}{4\pi} = (1.2 \pm 0.34) \cdot 10^{-8}$ ,  $\alpha_{s\mu} = (3.5 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-8}$ ,  $\alpha_{s\mu} = (4.7 \pm 1.3) \cdot 10^{-7}$ ,  $\alpha_{s\mu} = (6.2 \pm 1.7) \cdot 10^{-6}$ , and  $\alpha_{s\mu} = (2.0 \pm 0.57) \cdot 10^{-5}$  reproduce  $g_\mu - 2_\mu$  anomaly (1).

One can show that the bound on mixing angle  $\theta_{h\phi}$  does not contradict to the values of the mixing angle  $\theta_{h_\mu\phi}$  explaining muon  $(g - 2)_\mu$  anomaly. For instance, for Yukawa coupling  $h_\mu = 1$ ,  $m_{h_\mu} = 750 \text{ GeV}$  and  $m_\phi = 100 \text{ MeV}$  the mixing angle  $\theta_{h_\mu\phi} = 6.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$  explains the  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly. As a consequence of the relation (13) the mixing angle  $\theta_{h\phi} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$  that does not contradict to the experimental bound (16).

## 2.2 Dark matter

In proposed model fermion  $\psi_d$  is a dark matter field and the scalar field  $\phi$  plays a role of messenger. To estimate the dark matter density we assume that in the hot early Universe dark matter is in equilibrium with ordinary matter. During the Universe expansion the temperature decreases and at some temperature  $T_d$  the thermal decoupling of the dark matter starts to work [1] - [3]. Namely, at some freeze-out temperature the annihilation cross-section  $DMparticles \rightarrow SMparticles$  becomes too small to obey the equilibrium of dark matter particles with the SM particles and dark matter decouples. In the model with dark fermion and scalar mediator we have  $p$ -wave annihilation cross-section<sup>6</sup>. The requirement that today dark matter density is  $\Omega_d h^2 \approx 0.12$  leads to the  $p$ -wave cross section  $\langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle < v_{rel}^2 \rangle = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  (see formula (42) of the Appendix B). The

---

<sup>6</sup>CMB bound [30] excludes s-wave annihilation for  $m_d \leq 10 \text{ GeV}$ .

annihilation cross-section  $\sigma_{an}(\psi_d\bar{\psi}_d \rightarrow f\bar{f})$  ( $f = e, \mu$ ) for  $m_d \gg m_f$  and  $s \approx 4m_d^2$  is

$$\sigma_{an}(\psi_d\bar{\psi}_d \rightarrow f\bar{f})v_{rel} = \frac{g_d^2 g_f^2 m_d^2}{8\pi(m_\phi^2 - 4m_d^2)^2} v_{rel}^2, \quad (18)$$

where  $g_f = g_e, g_\mu$  and  $m_d$  is the mass of the dark matter fermion  $\psi_d$ . As a consequence of (18,42) we find that<sup>7</sup>

$$\alpha_d \alpha_{s\mu} = 0.41 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \left(\frac{m_d}{GeV}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{m_\phi}{m_d}\right)^2. \quad (19)$$

The requirement that one loop  $\phi$ -boson contribution explains muon  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly (1) allows to predict the dependence of the Yukawa coupling constant  $\alpha_{s\mu}$  on the scalar boson mass  $m_\phi$ . Besides if we require that the process of dark matter annihilation into  $\mu^- \mu^+$  pair dominates we can use the formulae (18,42) to predict the dependence of the dark matter coupling constant  $\alpha_d$  on the  $\phi$ -boson mass. As a numerical example take  $m_\phi = 10 GeV$ [1 GeV] and  $m_d = 4 GeV$ [0.4 GeV]. Using the formulae (18,33,42) one can find that  $\alpha_{s\mu} = (2.0 \pm 0.57) \cdot 10^{-4}$ [(4.7  $\pm$  1.3)  $\cdot 10^{-7}$ ] and  $\alpha_d = (0.017 \pm 0.05)$ [0.073  $\pm$  0.020] reproduce both the ( $g_\mu - 2$ ) anomaly and today dark matter density.

Note that in our model the scalar messenger field  $\phi$  interacts also with electron and  $\tau$ -lepton so we can use other annihilation channels

$$\psi_d\bar{\psi}_d \rightarrow e^+ e^-, \quad (20)$$

$$\psi_d\bar{\psi}_d \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \quad (21)$$

for today dark matter explanation.

For  $m_d < m_\mu$  the annihilation channel  $\psi_d\bar{\psi}_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  does not work and the annihilation  $\psi_d\bar{\psi}_d \rightarrow e^+ e^-$  into electron-positron pair can help. For electrons for  $m_d \gg m_e$  and  $m_\phi = 2.5m_d$  we find

$$\alpha_{e\phi} \alpha_d = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \left(\frac{m_d}{1 GeV}\right)^2. \quad (22)$$

As a consequence of the tree level unitarity  $\alpha_d \leq 1$  we obtain the lower bound

$$\alpha_{e\phi} \geq 2.1 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \left(\frac{m_d}{1 GeV}\right)^2 \quad (23)$$

on Yukawa coupling constant  $g_{e\phi}^2$ .

---

<sup>7</sup>Here  $\alpha_d = \frac{g_\psi^2}{4\pi}$  and  $\alpha_{s\mu} = \frac{g_\mu^2}{4\pi}$

### 3 Dark matter in a model with $L_\mu - L_\tau$ current

The model with  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  vector current interaction  $L_{Z'} = e_\mu Z'_\nu [\bar{\mu}\gamma^\nu\mu - \bar{\tau}\gamma^\nu\tau]$  of new abelian vector field  $Z'_\mu$  [31] with  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  leptons allows to explain  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly [7] - [14] and it does not contradict to existing experimental bounds for  $m_{Z'} \leq 2m_\mu$  [14]. Here we would like to mention that it is possible to construct dark matter extension of this model which explains today dark matter density. The simplest possibility is to add the complex scalar field<sup>8</sup>  $\phi_d$ . The charged dark matter field  $\phi_d$  interaction with the  $Z'_\mu$  field is  $L_{\phi Z'} = (\partial^\mu\phi - ie_d Z'^\mu\phi)^*(\partial_\mu\phi - ie_d Z'_\mu\phi) - m_d^2\phi^*\phi - \lambda_\phi(\phi^+\phi)^2$ . The annihilation cross section  $\phi_d\bar{\phi}_d \rightarrow \nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu, \nu_\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau$  for  $s \approx 4m_d^2$  has the form<sup>9</sup>

$$\sigma v_{rel} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{\epsilon^2 \alpha_d m_d^2 v_{rel}^2}{(m_{Z'}^2 - 4m_d^2)^2}, \quad (24)$$

As a consequence of (24) and (42) the estimate for  $\epsilon^2\alpha_d$  takes the form<sup>10</sup>

$$0.43 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \left(\frac{m_d}{GeV}\right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{m_{Z'}}{m_d} - 4\right)^2 = \epsilon^2\alpha_d. \quad (25)$$

For instance, for  $m_{A'} = 3m_d$  we find

$$1.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left(\frac{m_d}{1 GeV}\right)^2 = \epsilon^2\alpha_d. \quad (26)$$

For  $m_{Z'} \ll m_\mu$  the values  $\epsilon^2 = (2.5 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-6}$  and  $\alpha_d = (4.4 \pm 1.2) \cdot \left(\frac{m_d}{1 GeV}\right)^2$  explain both the  $g_\mu - 2$  muon anomaly and today dark matter density. For  $m_{Z'} = 300 MeV$ ,  $m_d = 100 MeV$  the values  $\epsilon^2 = (4.6 \pm 1.3) \cdot 10^{-5}$ ,  $\alpha_d = (2.4 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{-3}$  lead to correct values for  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly and dark matter density. It should be noted that for the model with additional scalar dark matter the BaBar bound [17]  $m_{Z'} \leq 212 MeV$  based on the use of visible decay  $Z' \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z'\mu^+\mu^-$  for the search for  $Z'$  boson does not work for  $m_{Z'} > 2m_d$  since the  $Z'$  decays mainly into invisible dark matter scalars  $Z' \rightarrow \phi_d\bar{\phi}_d$ . The single remaining bound  $m_{Z'} \leq 400 MeV$  comes from the study of trident muon events in the reaction  $\nu_\mu N \rightarrow \nu_\mu N \mu^+\mu^-$  [32].

---

<sup>8</sup>The annihilation cross-section for scalar dark matter has  $p$ -wave suppression that allows to escape CMB bound [30].

<sup>9</sup>Here we consider the case  $m_{Z'} > 2m_d$ .

<sup>10</sup>Here  $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\alpha_\mu}{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha_\mu = \frac{e_\mu^2}{4\pi}$  and  $\alpha_d = \frac{e_d^2}{4\pi}$ .

We can make an additional assumption that the interaction of the  $Z'$  with dark matter and the  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  is universal, i.e.  $e_\mu = e_d$ . This assumption allows to estimate the  $m_{Z'}$  value. We find that for all reasonable values of the ratio  $\frac{m_{Z'}^2}{m_d}$  the value of  $m_d$  is less than 1 MeV. For instance, for  $\frac{m_{Z'}}{m_d} = 1.1(2.2)$  we find that  $m_{Z'} = 120 \text{ KeV}(400 \text{ KeV})$ .

## 4 Conclusions

We have proposed renormalizable extension of the SM model based on the use of additional singlet lepton Higgs isodoublets, scalar isosinglet and dark fermion. Light singlet scalar mixes with lepton higgses that induces naturally small Yukawa interactions of scalar singlet with leptons. The induced Yukawa interaction of the isosinglet scalar with muons can explain both  $g_\mu - 2$  anomaly without conflict with existing bounds from rare  $K$ - and  $B$ -meson decays. Moreover, an additional light isosinglet fermion field interacting with singlet scalar can play a role of dark matter and explain today dark matter density. Also we have mentioned that the addition of light dark matter scalar in the model with vector boson interacting with  $L_\mu - L_\tau$  current allows to solve the dark matter problem. It should be noted that for the models with scalar or vector mediators interacting mainly with muons the perspectives to discover dark matter in direct underground experiments look very gloomy or even hopeless. One of the possibilities to test such models is the use of muon beams [33] at future CERN SPS NA64 experiment [33, 34]. Also future BELLE-2 experiment can use the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma(Z' \rightarrow \textit{invisible})$  to search for  $Z'$  boson [35].

I am indebted to my colleagues from INR Th department for useful discussions.

## 5 Appendix A: Muon $g - 2$ contributions

In this appendix we collect the main formulae for one loop contribution to muon  $g - 2$  anomalous magnetic moment due to existence of new vector(scalar) interactions. Vector boson (dark photon) which couples very weakly with muon with  $\alpha_{Z'} \sim O(10^{-8})$  can

explain  $(g_\mu - 2)$  anomaly [7] - [14]. Vectorlike interaction of  $Z'$  boson with muon

$$L_{Z'} = g_V^i \bar{\mu} \gamma^\mu \mu Z'_\mu \quad (27)$$

leads to additional contribution to muon anomalous magnetic moment [36]

$$\delta a = \frac{\alpha_V^i}{2\pi} F\left(\frac{m_{Z'}}{m_\mu}\right), \quad (28)$$

where

$$F(x) = \int_0^1 dz \frac{[2z(1-z)^2]}{[(1-z)^2 + x^2z]} \quad (29)$$

and  $\alpha_V^i = \frac{(g_V^i)^2}{4\pi}$ . Note that very often data are analyzed in terms of new variable  $\epsilon_V^2 = \frac{\alpha_V^i}{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1/137$ ). The use of formulae (28,29) allows to determine the coupling constant  $\alpha_V^i$  which explains the value (1) of muon anomaly. For  $m_{Z'} \ll m_\mu$  [36]

$$\alpha_V^i = (1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-8}. \quad (30)$$

For another limiting case  $m_{Z'} \gg m_\mu$  the  $\alpha_V^i$  is

$$\alpha_V^i = (2.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-8} \times \frac{m_{Z'}^2}{m_\mu^2}. \quad (31)$$

The Yukawa interaction of the scalar field with muon

$$L_{Yuk,\phi} = -g_{\mu\phi} \phi \bar{\mu} \mu. \quad (32)$$

leads to additional one loop contribution to muon anomalous magnetic moment [36]

$$\Delta a_\mu = \frac{g_{\mu\phi}^2 m_\mu^2}{8\pi^2 m_\phi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{x^2(2-x)dx}{(1-x)(1-\lambda^2x) + \lambda^2x}, \quad (33)$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{m_\mu}{m_\phi}$ . For heavy scalar  $m_\phi \gg m_\mu$

$$\Delta a_\mu = \frac{g_{\mu\phi}^2 m_\mu^2}{4\pi^2 m_\phi^2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{m_\phi}{m_\mu}\right) - \frac{7}{12} \right] \quad (34)$$

and for light scalar  $m_\mu \gg m_\phi$

$$\Delta a_\mu = \frac{3g_{\mu\phi}^2}{16\pi^2}. \quad (35)$$

## 6 Appendix B: Dark matter density computation

Here we collect the main formulae for the calculation of today dark matter density. To estimate the dark matter density we assume that in the hot early Universe dark matter is in equilibrium with ordinary matter. During the Universe expansion the temperature decreases and at some temperature  $T_d$  the thermal decoupling of the dark matter starts to work [1] -[3]. Namely, at some freeze-out temperature the annihilation cross-section  $\sigma(DMparticles \rightarrow SMparticles)$  becomes too small to obey the equilibrium of dark matter particles with the SM particles and dark matter decouples. To obtain quantitative estimates of the dark matter density [1] -[3] it is necessary to solve the Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{dn_d}{dt} + 3H(T)n_d = - \langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle (n_d^2 - n_{d,eq}^2). \quad (36)$$

The approximate solution of the Boltzmann equation can be represented in the form [37]

$$\Omega_d h^2 = 8.76 \times 10^{-11} GeV^{-2} \left[ \int_{T_0}^{T_d} (g_*^{1/2} \langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle) \frac{dT}{m_d} \right]^{-1} \quad (37)$$

The ratio of dark particle mass  $m_d$  and freeze-out temperature  $T_d$  depends logarithmically on the  $m_d$ ,  $\langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle$ ,  $T_d$ , namely [38]

$$\frac{m_d}{T_d} \approx 17 + \ln(\langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle / 10^{-26} cm^3 s^{-1}) + \ln(m_d/GeV) + \ln(\sqrt{m_d/T_d}). \quad (38)$$

The formulae (37,38) for dark matter density and for  $\frac{m_d}{T_d}$  allow to estimate the annihilation cross section. Numerically for  $\frac{m_d}{T_d} \approx 14$  and  $g_*^{1/2} = 3.7$  and  $\Omega_d h^2 = 0.12$  [39] the estimate<sup>11</sup> for the  $s$ -wave cross section ( $\sigma v_{rel} = const$ ) is

$$\langle \sigma v_{rel} \rangle = 0.28 \cdot 10^{-8} GeV^{-2} \quad (39)$$

For p-wave cross section  $\sigma v_{rel}^2 = Bv^2$

$$B = \langle \sigma v_{rel} / \rangle \langle v_{rel}^2 \rangle = 1.3 \cdot 10^{-8} GeV^{-2} \quad (40)$$

For the case where dark matter consists of dark matter particles and dark matter antiparticles  $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_{an}}{2}$ , where  $\sigma_{an}$  is the  $DM\bar{DM} \rightarrow SM\ particles$  annihilation cross

---

<sup>11</sup> The estimate  $g_*^{1/2} = 3.7$  is valid for  $5 MeV < m_d < 2000 MeV$ . For  $m_d < 5 MeV$  electrons don't give significant contribution into  $g_*^{1/2}$  and  $g_*^{1/2} \approx 2.7$ .

section. For numerical estimates we use the values

$$\langle \sigma_{an} v_{rel} \rangle = 0.56 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad (41)$$

for the  $s$ -wave cross section and

$$B_{an} = \langle \sigma_{an} v_{rel} \rangle / \langle v_{rel}^2 \rangle = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \quad (42)$$

for  $p$ -wave cross section.

## References

- [1] As a review, see for example:  
S.Profumo, TASI 2012 lectures on astrophysical probes of dark matter,  
arXiv:1301.0952.
- [2] As a review, see for example:  
S.Dodelson, “Modern cosmology”, Amsterdam, Netherlands: Academic Press(2003)  
440 p.
- [3] As a recent review, see for example:  
G.Arcadi et al., arXiv:1703.07364(2017).
- [4] C.Boehm, T.Ensslin and J.Silk, J.Phys. **G30** (2004) 279;  
G.Boehm and P.Fayet, Nucl.Phys. **B683** (2004) 219.
- [5] As a review of current and future efforts to discover light dark matter see:  
J.Alexander et al., arXiv:1608.08632 (2016).
- [6] J.Beringer et al., [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys.Rev. **D86** (2012) 010001  
and partial update for the 2014 edition (<http://pdg.lbl.gov>).
- [7] S.N.Gninenko and N.V.Krasnikov, Phys. Lett. **B513** (2001) 119.
- [8] S.Baek et al., Phys.Rev. **D64** (2001) 055006.
- [9] E.Ma, D.Roy and S.Roy, Phys.Lett. **B525** (2002) 101.
- [10] P.Fayet, Phys.Rev. **D75** (2007) 115017.
- [11] M.Pospelov, Phys.Rev. **D80** (2009) 095002.
- [12] J.Heeck, and W.Rodejohann, Phys.Rev. **D84** (2011) 075007.
- [13] W.Altmannshofer, M.Carena and A.Crivellin, Phys.Rev. **D94** (2016) 095026.
- [14] As a recent review, see for example: N.V.Krasnikov, arXiv:1702.04596(2017).

- [15] D.Banerjee et al.[NA64 Collaboration], Phys.Rev.Lett.**118** (2017) 011802.
- [16] J.P Lees et al.[BaBar Collaboration], arXiv:1702.03327(2017).
- [17] J.P.Lees et al. [BaBar Collaboration], Phys.Rev. **D 94** (2016) 011102.
- [18] A.Krasznahorsky et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. **116** (2016) 042501.
- [19] Sin Kyu Kang and Kang Young Lee, Phys.Lett. **B521** (2001) 61.
- [20] C.A. de S. Pieres and P.C. Rodrigues de Solvia, Phys.Rev. **D64** (2001) 117701.
- [21] D.Tucker-Smith and I.Yavin, Phys.Rev. **D 83** (2011) 101702.
- [22] Yu-Cheng Liu, David MvKeen and Gerald Miller, Phys.Rev.Lett. **117** (2016) 101801.
- [23] A.Crivellin, J.Heeck and P.Stoffer, Phys.Rev.Lett. **116** (2016) 081801.
- [24] S.N.Gninenko and N.V.Krasnikov, Mod.Phys.Lett. **31** (2016) 1650142.
- [25] S.N.Gninenko and N.V.Krasnikov, EPJ Web Conf. **125** (2016) 02001  
;arXiv:1605.03056.
- [26] Brian Batell et al., Phys.Rev. **D95** (2017) 075003.
- [27] M.J. Dolan et al., JHEP **1503** (2015) 171, arXiv:1412.5174 (2014).
- [28] G.Krnjaic, Phys.Rev. **D94** (2016) 073009.
- [29] N.V.Krasnikov, Phys.Lett. **B276** (1992) 127;  
N.V.Krasnikov, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A10** (1995) 2675.
- [30] P.A.R. Ade et al.(Planck), arXiv:1502.01589 (2015).
- [31] X.G.He et al., Phys.Rev. **D43** (1991) 22;  
X.G.He et al., Phys.Rev. **D44** (1991) 2118.
- [32] W.Almannsofer, S.Gori, M.Pospelov and I.Yavin, Phys.Rev.Lett. **113** (2014) 091801.
- [33] S.N.Gninenko, N.V.Krasnikov and V.A.Matveev, Phys.Rev. **D91** (2015) 095015.

- [34] S.Andreas et al., arXiv:hep-ph/1312.3309(2013).
- [35] Y.Kaneda and T.Shimomura, arXiv:1701.00156(2017);  
T.Araki et al., arXiv:1702.01497(2017).
- [36] As a review, see for example:  
F.Jegelehner and A.Nyffeler, Phys.Repts. **477**(2009) 1;  
F.S.Queiroz and W.Shepherd, Phys.Rev. **D89** (2014) 095024.
- [37] P.Gondolo and G.Gelmini, Nucl.Phys. **B360** (1991) 145.
- [38] K.Griest, Phys.Rev. **D 38**(1988) 2357;  
K.Griest, Phys.Rev. **D 39** (1989) 3802, Erratum;  
M.Drees and M.M.Nojiri, Phys.Rev. **D 47** (1993) 376.
- [39] Particle Data, C.Patrignori et al., Chi.Phys. **C 40** (2016) 1000001.