

A viable D-term hybrid inflation

Kenji Kadota^{1,*}, Tatsuo Kobayashi^{2,†} and Keigo Sumita^{3,‡}

¹*Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Science (IBS),
Daejeon 34051, Korea*

²*Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

³*Department of Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*

Abstract

We propose a new model of the D-term hybrid inflation in the framework of supergravity. Although our model introduces, analogously to the conventional D-term inflation, the inflaton and a pair of scalar fields charged under a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, we study the logarithmic and exponential dependence on the inflaton field, respectively, for the Kähler and superpotential. This results in a characteristic one-loop scalar potential consisting of linear and exponential terms, which realizes the small-field inflation dominated by the Fayet-Iliopoulos term. With the reasonable values for the coupling coefficients and, in particular, with the $U(1)$ gauge coupling constant comparable to that of the Standard Model, our D-term inflation model can solve the notorious problems in the conventional D-term inflation, namely, the CMB constraints on the spectral index and the generation of cosmic strings.

*E-mail address: kadota@ibs.re.kr

†E-mail address: kobayashi@particle.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

‡E-mail address: k.sumita@aoni.waseda.jp

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Conventional D-term inflation	2
3	New model for D-term inflation	3
4	Generic features	10
4.1	Dependence on λ	10
4.2	Inflation scale: H_{inf}	12
4.3	Dependence on (x, y)	12
5	Comments on the true vacuum	13
6	Conclusion and discussion	14

1 Introduction

The slow-roll inflation is a successful paradigm to describe our universe and various models have been proposed so far, along with actively updated data of Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) observations. In the context of supergravity, inflation models can be classified into two classes depending on whether the inflation energy scale is dominated by the F-term or D-term. An advantage of F-term models is that a wide variety of the forms of the inflaton potential and consequently the inflation dynamics are possible by varying the Kähler potential and superpotential. The F-term models, however, generically suffer from a notorious problem, the so called η problem. The central issue in the model building based on the F-term is how to protect the inflaton potential against supergravity corrections which give the inflaton a heavy mass at least of order the Hubble scale. On the other hand, the inflation models based on the D-term potential are not exposed to the η problem spoiling the flatness of the potential [1, 2]. The D-term inflation models are based on a $U(1)$ gauge theory with a Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term, and its D-term provides the dominant inflationary energy density. Recent CMB observations, however, contradict the predictions of the original D-term hybrid inflation model and, in particular, there exist persistent conflicts for the spectral tilt and the cosmic strings. The CMB spectral tilt for the conventional D-term inflation is predicted as

$$n_s \sim 1 - \frac{1}{N}.$$

Substituting typical values of the efolding number $N = 50 - 60$, we find that the predicted value of n_s lies outside of the 2σ range of the recent observations[3, 4]. The other problem is caused by the local cosmic strings which are formed at the end of the inflation when the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is broken [5, 6]. The cosmic strings contribute to the CMB anisotropies[7, 8], and a stringent restriction appears. The D-term hybrid model generically predicts the magnitude of the contributions much larger than the observational upper limit.

There have been many attempts to modify the inflationary dynamics in order to revive the D-term hybrid model by considering more complicated potentials or additional dynamics[9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Nevertheless it is not straightforward to simultaneously obtain the desirable spectral index and a sufficiently small cosmic string tension to rescue the D-term inflation model, and, in most cases, extremely small values of coupling constants including the gauge coupling are required. In view of an ever growing amount of cosmological data, the D-term inflation would be now on the verge of exclusion.

In this paper, we propose a new model for the D-term hybrid inflation, where all the observational constraints are satisfied by a reasonable choice of the parameter values. This paper is organized as follows. After reviewing the conventional D-term hybrid inflation model in Section 2, we present the essential features of our new model in Section 3 where we demonstrate that the model is capable of realizing the successful inflation along with concrete examples for the illustration. Section 4 investigates the sensitivity of the inflation dynamics on the model parameters. We will comment on the scalar potential after the inflation and its vacuum structure in Section 5, and Section 6 is devoted to the conclusion and discussion.

2 Conventional D-term inflation

We first briefly review the conventional D-term inflation model for comparison to clarify the notable features of our D-term inflation model. The conventional D-term inflation includes three fields, X and ϕ_{\pm} . The Kähler potential is written by

$$K = |X|^2 + |\phi_+|^2 + |\phi_-|^2,$$

and one uses the following superpotential:

$$W = \lambda X \phi_+ \phi_-.$$

We use the convention that the superfield and its scalar component are written by the same letter.

We introduce a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, and ϕ_{\pm} have the $U(1)$ charges, ± 1 , while X has a vanishing $U(1)$ charge. Then, the $U(1)$ D-term is given by

$$D = g (\xi + |\phi_+|^2 - |\phi_-|^2),$$

where g and ξ are the gauge coupling and the FI term. Such a FI term could be obtained by moduli fields after moduli fields are stabilized by a certain mechanism, or generated by some strong dynamics. We assume that $\xi > 0$.

The masses of ϕ_{\pm} are given by, for $X = \chi e^{i\theta}/\sqrt{2}$,

$$m_{\pm}^2 = \lambda^2 \chi^2 / 2 \pm g^2 \xi.$$

For a certain value of χ , both m_{\pm}^2 can be positive and the charged fields are stabilized as $\phi_{\pm} = 0$. The D-term, $D = g\xi$, then serves as the energy source of the inflation as long as $m_{\pm}^2 > 0$. The supersymmetry breaking effect produces the potential for the inflaton χ field through the quantum corrections. The inflation is viable when the loop-corrected potential allows the slow-rolling of the inflaton with $m_{\pm}^2 > 0$. The field ϕ_- is destabilized for $\chi < \chi_{\text{end}} = g\sqrt{2\xi}/\lambda$ signaling the end of inflation. That is, ϕ_- is the so called waterfall field.

The one-loop contributions of the mass splitting to the scalar potential are calculated by using the Coleman-Weinberg (CW) formula [15],

$$V_{\text{CW}} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \sum_i (-1)^{F_i} M_i^4 \log \left(\frac{M_i^2}{\Lambda^2} \right). \quad (1)$$

Λ and M_i denote the renormalization scale and the masses of component fields labeled by i which runs over both of the bosons and the fermions. Symbol $(-1)^{F_i}$ takes $+1$ and -1 for the bosonic and fermionic contributions, respectively. For $\chi \gg \chi_{\text{end}}$ in the conventional D-term inflation model, the CW potential at the leading order in $(\chi_{\text{end}}/\chi)^2$ leads to the inflaton potential

$$V = \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{2} + \frac{g^4 \xi^2}{16\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda \chi}{\Lambda} \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

The F-term potential vanishes during the inflation because of $\phi_{\pm} = 0$. The slow-roll parameters then read

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 \approx \frac{g^4}{32\pi^4 \chi^2}, \quad \eta \equiv \frac{V''}{V} \approx -\frac{g^2}{4\pi^2 \chi^2}.$$

The ratio $|\epsilon/\eta|$ is suppressed by a loop factor as $|\epsilon/\eta| \approx g^2/8\pi^2$. We can estimate the CMB spectral tilt

$$n_s - 1 = -6\epsilon + 2\eta \approx 2\eta \approx -1/N,$$

where the last equality holds if the cosmologically relevant scales leave the horizon when $\chi \gg \chi_{\text{end}}$ so that

$$N = \int_{\chi_{\text{end}}}^{\chi} \frac{V}{V'} d\chi = \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} (\chi^2 - \chi_{\text{end}}^2) \sim \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} \chi^2.$$

Then, putting typical values of $N = 50 - 60$, we obtain $n_s \gtrsim 0.98$ contradicting with the CMB data which prefers a smaller n_s .

The curvature perturbation amplitude is given by

$$A_s = \frac{V^3}{12\pi^2 (V')^2} = \frac{V}{24\pi^2 \epsilon} \approx \frac{\xi^2}{3(1 - n_s)}.$$

Using A_s we can see that the conventional D-term inflation leads to too big a value of string tension

$$G\mu = 2\pi \langle \phi_- \rangle^2 = 2\pi \xi \sim 4 \times 10^{-6} \times \left(\frac{1 - n_s}{0.03} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{A_s}{2 \times 10^{-9}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

The latest Planck observation found the upper limit of the string tension as $G\mu < 3.3 \times 10^{-7}$ [3, 4]. Thus, the above predicted value is too large. Note that, in the conventional D-term inflation model, ϵ and η are tightly correlated and their ratio is fixed for a given gauge coupling constant $|\epsilon/\eta| \approx g^2/8\pi^2$, so that the string tension is fixed once the CMB power spectrum is given as shown in Eq. (3). In the next section, we will propose a new D-term inflation model to resolve these problems.

3 New model for D-term inflation

We now present a new model for the D-term hybrid inflation. We study the following Kähler potential and superpotential,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -x \log(T + \bar{T}) + \frac{1}{(T + \bar{T})^y} (|\phi_+|^2 + |\phi_-|^2), \\ W &= \lambda e^{-aT} \phi_+ \phi_-, \end{aligned}$$

where x, y, a and λ are model parameters. Note that we adopt the unit of the reduced Planck mass scale, $M_{\text{P}} = 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV. The chiral superfield T will be identified as an inflaton field. We then consider a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry with a non-vanishing FI term under which T and ϕ_{\pm} have charges of 0 and ± 1 , respectively. We canonically normalize charged fields ϕ_{\pm} and denote them by $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}$. The D-term is then given by

$$D = g \left(\xi + |\tilde{\phi}_+|^2 - |\tilde{\phi}_-|^2 \right).$$

Although the forms of our Kähler and superpotential resemble those obtained within the framework of superstring theory, we present our analysis treating our new model as an effective supergravity model deferring the UV completion for the future work (we give a brief comment on the realization of our model in superstring theory in the discussion section). For instance, similarly to the conventional D-term inflation, our FI term could be induced by a modulus field, which is independent of T , or dynamically by strong interactions.¹

We assume the constant FI term and $\xi > 0$. The masses of $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}$ are given by

$$m_{\pm}^2 = \lambda^2 (T + \bar{T})^{-x+2y} e^{-a(T+\bar{T})} \pm g^2 \xi.$$

For a certain value of $T + \bar{T}$, m_{\pm}^2 can be positive and the charged fields are stabilized as $\phi_{\pm} = 0$. Then, similarly to the conventional D-term hybrid inflation, the inflation can be realized as long as $m_{\pm}^2 > 0$. The CW potential (1) with the same approximation as that used in Eq. (2) provides the inflaton potential of the form²,

$$V_{\text{inf}} = \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{2} + \frac{g^4 \xi^2}{16\pi^2} \log \left[(T + \bar{T})^{-x+2y} e^{-a(T+\bar{T})} \right],$$

where we have omitted the constant term such as $\log(\lambda/\Lambda)$. We define the canonically normalized real scalar field $\tilde{\tau}$ by using a Kähler metric $K_{T\bar{T}}$, as

$$\frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{d\tau} = \sqrt{K_{T\bar{T}}}, \quad T = \frac{\tau + i\sigma}{\sqrt{2}},$$

that is, $T + \bar{T} = \sqrt{2} \exp(\sqrt{2}\tilde{\tau}/\sqrt{x})$. In this paper, $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ are used to represent the field values at which the CMB fluctuation is created and the inflation ends, respectively. By using the normalized fields, m_{\pm}^2 and V_{inf} are expressed as

$$m_{\pm}^2 = \lambda^2 2^{\frac{-x+2y}{2}} \exp \left[-\sqrt{2} a e \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \tilde{\tau} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} (-x + 2y) \tilde{\tau} \right] \pm g^2 \xi, \quad (4)$$

¹Another string theory construction would be also possible where the modulus field plays a role of the inflaton and the FI term also depends on the same modulus [16].

² The successful inflation will be eventually realized in a small field regime in our scenario, where it is non-trivial that we can approximate the CW potential by the present form. We have examined the complete form of the CW potential (1) to confirm that the approximate potential presented in our discussions is satisfactory to reveal the essential features of the inflationary dynamics predicted by our model. Therefore, we will study the inflationary potential of this approximated form and the additional terms in the exact potential do not quantitatively affect our discussions within the parameter range of our interest.




Figure 1: We show m_-^2 and V_{inf} with different values of (x, y) and a , and the others are set as $g = 1$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\xi = 1$.

and

$$V_{\text{inf}} = \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{2} + \frac{g^4 \xi^2}{16\pi^2} \left[-\sqrt{2} a e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \tilde{\tau}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} (-x + 2y) \tilde{\tau} \right]. \quad (5)$$

The slope of the inflaton potential is dominated by the linear term for $\tilde{\tau} \lesssim 0$, where the slope is given by its coefficient proportional to $-x + 2y$. For the other region, $\tilde{\tau} \gtrsim 0$, the exponential term is dominant and its behavior drastically depends on the sign of a . Different signs of a and $-x + 2y$ would hence result in the inflation scenarios with totally different consequences.

The change of the sign from a positive to a negative value for the mass squared of $\tilde{\phi}_-$ can realize the hybrid inflation, and it is non-trivial whether this can happen in the present model because our CW potential is quite different from that in the conventional D-term inflation. We depict m_-^2 and V_{inf} as functions of the inflaton in Fig. 1, where we vary the values of (x, y) and a while fixing the other parameters as $g = 1.0$, $\lambda = 1.0$ and $\xi = 1.0$. Note that we have chosen these values just for the illustration. We will show their realistic values at the end of this section and in the following section. Several possible inflaton trajectories are shown in this figure. For example, in the case with $a = 1$, $x = 3$ and $y = 1$ (blue solid line), the inflaton can slowly roll down from $\tilde{\tau} < 0$ to $\tilde{\tau} > 0$ during which m_-^2 decreases. Note that only the decreasing behavior of m_-^2 is important here and we are not interested in the specific values of m_-^2 or the inflaton amplitude when the inflation ends because those are freely controlled by λ as seen from Eq. (4) (also see the quantitative discussions in Section 4).

We calculate the slow-roll parameters in our model as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \left(-\frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}} \exp\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \tilde{\tau}\right] + \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} (-x + 2y) \right) \right)^2, \\ \eta &\equiv \frac{V''}{V} = -\frac{g^2 a}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 x} \exp\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \tilde{\tau}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The spectral tilt and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are represented by these parameters as

$$\begin{aligned} n_s &= 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta, \\ r &= 16\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The curvature perturbation amplitude is given by

$$A_s = \frac{V^3}{12\pi^2(V')^2} = \xi^2 \times \frac{8\pi^2}{3g^2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}(-x+2y) - \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}} \right)^{-2}.$$

By using the Planck normalization ($A_s = 2.2 \times 10^{-9}$), we find

$$\xi = 9.14 \times 10^{-6} \times g \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}(-x+2y) - \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}} \right|. \quad (7)$$

The size of the cosmic string contributions to the CMB power spectrum is then estimated as

$$G\mu = 2\pi\langle\phi_- \rangle^2 = 2.29 \times 10^{-6} \times g \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}(-x+2y) - \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}} \right|, \quad (8)$$

where $\langle\phi_- \rangle^2 = \xi$ after the inflation. This expression is given in the unit of the Planck mass scale because it is convenient to compare with the observational data.

We first consider the case where the slope of the inflaton potential is dominated by the linear term. The cosmic string contribution is then approximated by

$$G\mu \sim 2.29 \times 10^{-6} \times g \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}(-x+2y) \right|,$$

and the current CMB bound requires $g \lesssim 0.1$ because x and y are of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ in general. In this case, the slow roll parameters are suppressed as

$$\epsilon \lesssim 8.0 \times 10^{-9}, \quad (9)$$

$$|\eta| \lesssim 1.3 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (10)$$

These cannot realize the observed value of n_s .

We next discuss the case with the dominant exponential term, that is,

$$\left| \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}} \right| > \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}(-x+2y) \right| \sim \mathcal{O}(1).$$

The contribution of cosmic strings is then given by

$$G\mu \sim 2.29 \times 10^{-6} \times g \left| \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}} \right|,$$

and we find

$$g \times \left| \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}} \right| \lesssim 0.1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g \lesssim 0.1.$$

By using this, we find the same upper limits on the slow-roll parameters as Eqs. (9) and (10) again, which cannot be consistent with the observed value of n_s .

The only way to realize the successful inflation is to consider a combination of the linear term and the exponential term, so that a cancellation between these two terms flattens the inflaton potential. Such a cancellation can happen only when the signs of $-x + 2y$ and a are the same. Otherwise, the slope of the potential would be enhanced. It is also important that the CW potential contains the linear term which contributes only to the slope of the potential and its second derivative vanishes. Only the exponential term contributes to the second derivative of the potential with respect to the inflaton while the slope is controlled by both terms. We can enhance the magnitude of V'' while keeping the flat slope. This is the crucial feature for the successful inflation in our model.

In generic hybrid inflation models, ϵ is trivially small enough to be neglected in the estimation of the spectral tilt. This is true also in the present model as demonstrated later. The value of η hence should be negative in order to realize the observed value of n_s . As a result, we find $a > 0$ because all the other factors appearing in Eq. (6), such as g^2 and x , are positive. Thus, the successful inflation is realized only when $-x + 2y > 0$ and $a > 0$, which corresponds to the red solid lines in Fig. 1. In this case, a hill-top like potential for the inflaton direction is obtained. The mass squared m_-^2 takes a maximum value at the top of the inflaton potential and it decreases as the inflaton is deviated from the peak. The hybrid inflation occurs when the inflaton is initially located around the top (we show the full potential with realistic values of the input parameters in Fig. 3).

A hill-top like potential for the inflaton is obtained for $-x + 2y > 0$ and $a > 0$. We find that the top of this potential is represented by

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = \sqrt{\frac{x}{2}} \log \left[\frac{-x + 2y}{\sqrt{2a}} \right],$$

which gives $V' = 0$ with $\tilde{\phi}_\pm = 0$. Around $\tilde{\tau}_0$, the potential is flattened to be suitable for the inflation. Fortunately, the inflaton amplitude at the end of inflation (where the inflation ends in a waterfall manner due to m_-^2 's sign change from a positive to a negative value) can be freely controlled by λ in our model without affecting the above discussions (the one-loop CW potential contains λ but that contributes only to the height of the potential and that is much smaller than the contribution of the tree-level D-term potential). It is then assured that the inflation can always take place around this flattened top of the potential by choosing a proper value of λ . We will come back to the evaluation of the specific model parameters for the successful inflation later and we first present the following qualitative discussions for the inflation which occurs around $\tilde{\tau}_0$. We can estimate an approximate value of the spectral tilt by evaluating it at $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_0$

$$n_s \sim 1 + 2\eta = 1 + \frac{g^2}{2\pi^2} \left(1 - 2\frac{y}{x} \right),$$

which depends only on g and y/x . We depict an allowed region on $(g, y/x)$ -plane in Fig. 2. In the pink (blue) region, the CMB constraint on n_s is satisfied at the 1σ (2σ)-level³. The

³Note that we assume $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} = \tilde{\tau}_0$ to draw this figure even though the actual $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$ should be slightly deviated from $\tilde{\tau}_0$. This simplification suffices for our purpose of illustrating the sensitivity of n_s on our model parameters. See the following discussions for more precise evaluation of our model parameters treating $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} \neq \tilde{\tau}_0$.




Figure 2: The dependence of n_s on g and y/x . In the pink (blue) region, the estimated value lies in the 1σ (2σ) range of the Planck observation.

values of g and y/x in the colored region satisfy the observations. The most important thing seen from this figure is that the gauge coupling must be of $\mathcal{O}(1)$. This is in stark contrast to the conventional D-term inflation which forces the gauge coupling to be unnaturally small to satisfy the CMB constraints.

Now let us go back to Eq. (8). The gauge coupling $g \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ requires a slight cancellation between the two terms in Eq. (8) to satisfy the CMB bound of $G\mu < 3.3 \times 10^{-7}$, which can be achieved when $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} \sim \tilde{\tau}_0$ because $G\mu$ is proportional to V' . Our model hence can vary $\lambda/\sqrt{\xi}$ and ξ , respectively, to obtain the desirable values of $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ and the inflation energy scale. This consequently indicates that our scenario can let, by adjusting our model parameters λ and ξ , the cosmologically interesting scales leave the horizon at any desirable number of e-folds N before the end of inflation, in a big contrast to the conventional D-term inflation model where the observed spectral index fixes N which cannot be varied by adjusting the model parameters as reviewed in Section 2. Further quantitative discussions are given in Section 4.

We now illustrate the above qualitative discussions more quantitatively by the following concrete parameter set

$$(x, y) = (1, 1), \quad g = 0.8, \quad a = 1.1, \quad \lambda = 1.4\sqrt{\xi}. \quad (11)$$

Fig. 3 shows the normalized potential V/ξ^2 . The top of the potential is given by $\tilde{\tau}_0 = -0.312$, where $\tilde{\phi}_-$ has a positive mass squared. It switches to a negative value as $\tilde{\tau}$ rolls away from $\tilde{\tau}_0$. We can find two transition points where $\tilde{\phi}_-$ becomes tachyonic around $\tilde{\tau}_0$. That is, two different inflationary trajectories are available on this potential. First we focus on the trajectory where




Figure 3: We show V/ξ^2 with $(x, y) = (1, 1)$, $a = 1.1$, $g = 0.8$, $\lambda = 1.4\sqrt{\xi}$, which contains the tree-level F-term and D-term terms and the one-loop CW potential.

the inflaton rolls in the negative direction to arrive at the waterfall point given by $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}} = -0.473$. In this case we find

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} = -0.388, \quad \sqrt{\xi} = 1.03 \times 10^{-3}, \quad n_s = 0.971, \quad r = 1.09 \times 10^{-5}, \quad G\mu = 2.63 \times 10^{-7}$$

for $N = 50$, and

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} = -0.378, \quad \sqrt{\xi} = 9.53 \times 10^{-4}, \quad n_s = 0.970, \quad r = 8.12 \times 10^{-6}, \quad G\mu = 2.27 \times 10^{-7}$$

for $N = 60$. In the other case, the inflaton rolls in the positive direction and arrive at $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}} = -0.163$. We find

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} = -0.250, \quad \sqrt{\xi} = 9.78 \times 10^{-4}, \quad n_s = 0.965, \quad r = 8.98 \times 10^{-6}, \quad G\mu = 2.39 \times 10^{-7}$$

for $N = 50$, and

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} = -0.260, \quad \sqrt{\xi} = 8.95 \times 10^{-4}, \quad n_s = 0.965, \quad r = 6.31 \times 10^{-6}, \quad G\mu = 2.00 \times 10^{-7}$$

for $N = 60$. For reference we list the constraints on our model parameters from the Planck observation [3, 4],

$$n_s = 0.9665 \pm 0.0062, \quad r < 0.11 \quad \text{and} \quad G\mu < 3.3 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (12)$$

It is worth emphasizing that the constraints on n_s and $G\mu$ are satisfied by the reasonable values of input parameters (11) in our model. The model realizes a similar consequence for any values of g and (x, y) , as long as they lie in the 1σ region of Fig. 2, because our freedom to tune the value of λ without affecting the potential shape lets us use the flattened part of the potential for the desirable inflation dynamics. We will investigate more generic features of our inflation model in the next section.




Figure 4: We represent the observational upper and lower limits on τ_{end} by the solid lines for $N = 40$ (Blue), 50 (Red) and 60 (Cyan). The parameters are set as $(x, y, g) = (1, 1, 0.8)$ and $(1, 2/3, 1.4)$ in the left and right panels, respectively. The green dashed line represents $\tilde{\tau}_0$.

4 Generic features

In this section, we consider two sets of typical values of (x, y) and g . One is given by $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ and $g = 0.8$, and the other is given by $(x, y) = (1, 2/3)$ and $g = 1.4$, both of which are located in the center of the 1σ region shown in Fig. 2. We use Eq. (12) as the observational constraints.

4.1 Dependence on λ

It is important that, as discussed at the end of the last section, the desirable inflationary potential around $\tilde{\tau}_0$ is always available because the required value of $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ can be realized by a certain value of λ . We investigate the specific value of λ required for the purpose of illustrating this point. First, we convert the observational constraints on (n_s, r) , A_s and $G\mu$ into the constraints on $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$ and identify the corresponding allowed range of $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$. Such an allowed range turn out to be $\tilde{\tau}_0 \pm \mathcal{O}(0.1)$. More precisely, we varied $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$ around $\tilde{\tau}_0$ for a given efolding number to find the allowed range of $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ as demonstrated in Fig. 4. The different values of (x, y) and g are used in the left and right panels. The solid lines represent upper and lower limits on $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ for $N = 40$ (Blue), 50 (Red) and 60 (Cyan), and $\tilde{\tau}_0$ is indicated by the green dashed line. The present model always has two inflationary trajectories available, where the inflaton goes in the positive or negative direction. When $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ is located above (below) the green dashed line, the inflaton rolls in the positive (negative) direction.

We further explain the meaning of the solid lines. For example, $N = 60$ is exactly required to satisfy the observational data on the cyan lines. In the inner region bounded by these lines, a smaller N is allowed but $N = 60$ still remains consistent with the CMB data unless the inflation energy scale becomes much smaller. Fig. 4 hence shows that there is no significant difference among these three values of the efolds, and in the rest of this subsection, we focus on the case with $N = 60$.

Next we estimate the value of λ required to realize the desirable value of $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ and it is shown in Fig. 5. The value of the parameters are the same as before. The rainbow colored contours express the value of $\lambda/\sqrt{\xi}$. The gray shaded regions are excluded by the CMB observations. (The edge of the regions corresponds to the cyan lines in the last figure.) The green dashed line represents $\tilde{\tau}_0$. The lower panels are magnification of a region where $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}} > 0$. We can conclude from these figures that the successful inflation can be realized by reasonable values of λ with $\lambda/\sqrt{\xi} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.




Figure 5: We represent the values of $\lambda/\sqrt{\xi}$ by the contours in the upper panels with the different values of (x,y) and g . The lower panels are the partial magnifications of the upper panels. The gray shaded regions are excluded by the CMB observations. The green dashed line represents $\tilde{\tau}_0$ for $V' = 0$.




Figure 6: We estimate the value of H_{inf} for $a = 1$. The left and right panels correspond to $(x, y, g) = (1, 1, 0.8)$ and $(x, y, g) = (1, 2/3, 1.4)$, respectively.

4.2 Inflation scale: H_{inf}

We estimate the inflation energy scale,

$$H_{\text{inf}} \sim g\xi/\sqrt{6} = 3.73 \times 10^{-6} \times g^2 \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}(-x + 2y) - \frac{2a}{\sqrt{x}} e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}} \right|, \quad (13)$$

where we have used Eq.(7). In the last section, we found $g \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and the factor in the absolute value symbol of Eq. (13) would be of $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ to suppress the cosmic string contributions (see Eqs. (8) and (12)). Those lead to the typical scale of H_{inf} as $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ or $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$.

We investigate H_{inf} also in a numerical analysis, where we adopt the two ansatzs for (x, y) and g with $a = 1$, and then, the remaining parameter in Eq. (13) is only $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$. We show its dependence on H_{inf} in Fig. 6. As mentioned in Section 4.1, the upper and lower bounds on $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}}$ are given by $\tilde{\tau}_0 \pm \mathcal{O}(0.1)$. Thus, we again find the typical scale of H_{inf} shown above, with $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} - \tilde{\tau}_0 = 0.1$. For $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} \sim \tilde{\tau}_0$, the inflaton potential is extremely flat and the inflation scale is drastically lowered (see, Eq. (7)). One can see from this figure that a low scale inflation, e.g. $H_{\text{inf}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1\text{TeV})$, can happen in a small range of $\tilde{\tau}$ slightly apart from $\tilde{\tau}_0$ by $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$, which would require the fine-tuning of the initial condition. In addition, note that a smaller H_{inf} requires a smaller $\lambda \sim \sqrt{\xi} \sim \sqrt{H_{\text{inf}}/M_{\text{P}}}$, and we may need some suppression mechanism of λ for the low scale inflation. Although we here focused on a positive deviation from $\tilde{\tau}_0$, the analogous result follows for a negative deviation ($\tilde{\tau}_{\text{CMB}} - \tilde{\tau}_0 < 0$).

4.3 Dependence on (x, y)

We discuss the impact of the value of (x, y) on the inflation. As mentioned above, the inflaton potential we have investigated is realized by $-x + 2y > 0$ and we have assumed in our discussions $y/x > 1/2$. If it is satisfied, the plausible inflationary potential can be realized by a proper value of g as shown in Fig. 2. It is also important that $\tilde{\tau}_0$ depends on x and y rather than y/x . We may expect that the inflation happens in a range of positive field value of $\tilde{\tau}$ or $\text{Re } T > 1$ when we embed the model into superstring frameworks. (Precisely, $\text{Re } T > 1$ implies $\tilde{\tau} > 0.245\sqrt{x}$.) In that case the value of a is constrained as $a < (-x + 2y)/\sqrt{2}$. Since the value of $-x + 2y$

can increase while fixing the ratio y/x , it is possible to realize the inflation with $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}} > 0$ for a wide range of a , although the flattened part of the potential or the allowed range of $\tilde{\tau}_{\text{end}}$ would become small for a large value of $-x + 2y$.

5 Comments on the true vacuum

We remark on some issues after the inflation, where ϕ_- develops its vacuum expectation value and the F-term potential consequently arises. For $\phi_+ = 0$ ($\xi > 0$), we find

$$V_F = e^{-a(T+\bar{T})+(T+\bar{T})^{-y}|\phi_-|^2} \lambda^2 (T + \bar{T})^{-x+y} |\phi_-|^2,$$

and

$$D_{\phi_+} W = \lambda e^{-aT} \phi_-, \quad D_T W = D_{\phi_-} W = 0.$$

This F-term potential is re-expressed by using the normalized fields $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_-$, and then, the complete form of the tree-level potential is given by

$$V_{\text{tree}} = \lambda^2 2^{\frac{-x+2y}{2}} \exp \left[-\sqrt{2} a e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \tilde{\tau}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} (-x + 2y) \tilde{\tau} + |\tilde{\phi}_-|^2 \right] |\tilde{\phi}_-|^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} (\xi - |\tilde{\phi}_-|^2)^2.$$

This potential was shown in Fig 3 for the parameters given in Eq. (11).

Since we cannot find a SUSY vacuum in this potential with $\langle \phi_- \rangle \neq 0$ (except for $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \pm\infty$), the CW potential remains non-vanishing after the inflation. The inflaton direction, whose slope is dominated by the CW potential, has to be uplifted somehow.

One simple way to solve this problem would be given by adding the following term to the superpotential,

$$W \supset \lambda' e^{-bT}. \quad (14)$$

We naively expect that the inflaton direction is uplifted without significant effects on the inflationary dynamics (for certain values of λ' and b). We demonstrate this expectation for the case discussed in Section 3 (where the parameters are set as Eq. (11) and the potential is shown in Fig. 3). In the left panel of Fig. 7, we fix the additional parameters as $\lambda'/\sqrt{\xi} = 0.3$ and $b = 8\pi$ and draw the inflaton potential ($\tilde{\phi}_{\pm} = 0$) with and without the above term (14) by using orange and blue lines, respectively. We see from this figure that the additional term can stabilize the inflaton direction without changing the inflationary dynamics. We also checked that the same result follows with another parameter set $\lambda'/\sqrt{\xi} = 0.01$ and $b = -1$ as shown in the right panel (this parameter set corresponds to the positive exponent ⁴).

Alternatively, the inflaton direction may be stabilized without the additional term, because the F-component of ϕ_+ cannot vanish and the SUSY breaking effect produces softmass terms for gaugino and sfermion fields. In that case, a new potential for the inflaton arises from quantum corrections only after the inflation so as to stabilize the inflaton. The details depend on specific models for the visible sector and we leave such a study for our future work.

⁴Such a term may be generated by non-perturbative effects, such that non-perturbative effects depend on two or more moduli, but the moduli except T are stabilized with heavy masses [17, 18].




Figure 7: The normalized potential with (without) the additional term given by Eq. (14) is shown by the orange (blue) line. The additional parameters are set as $(\lambda'/\sqrt{\xi}, b) = (0.3, 8\pi)$ and $(\lambda'/\sqrt{\xi}, b) = (0.01, -1)$ in the left and right panels, respectively.

6 Conclusion and discussion

We have proposed a new model for the D-term hybrid inflation. The CW potential possesses a flat enough direction around the top of a hill-like potential which can lead to the desirable spectral tilt and suppress the cosmic string contribution to the CMB. We have found that our model is consistent with the latest CMB data for a wide range of parameter space and, in particular, our model is consistent with the observations even when the parameters are set to natural and reasonable values of order unity. Notably, the $U(1)$ gauge coupling constant comparable to that of the Standard Model is possible in our scenario.

Our D-term inflation model can resolve the persistent inconsistencies among A_s, n_s and the string tension in the conventional D-term inflation scenarios for the following reasons. The crucial point is that our scenario can let ϵ and η vary independently, thanks to the presence of the linear term in the inflaton potential. This is in contrast to the conventional D-term inflation where ϵ and η are tightly related and their ratio is fixed for a given gauge coupling constant $|\epsilon/\eta| \approx g^2/8\pi^2$, so that the string tension is fixed once the CMB power spectrum is given as shown in Eq. (3). In our scenario, $A_s \sim V/(24\pi^2\epsilon) \sim g^2\xi^2/(48\pi^2\epsilon)$ can be matched to the observed value by adjusting ϵ , while $n_s - 1 \sim 2\eta$ can be tuned to the observed value by adjusting η without changing A_s . This lifting the tight relation between ϵ and η stems from the characteristic form of the CW potential in our scenario consisting of the linear and exponential terms. Our potential can enhance the second derivative of the potential while keeping the first derivative small (so that $\eta \gg \epsilon$ even with an order unity gauge coupling), and the string tension cannot be fixed anymore simply by fixing n_s and A_s as in the conventional D-term inflation model.

The string tension predicted in our model is consistent with the Planck data. However, such a string tension not too far from the current Planck bound in our scenario could potentially be probed with the future experiments such as the CMB and 21cm data achieving the sensitivity

of order $G\mu \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$ [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

There remains the CW potential even after the inflation, unless we design the additional term in the superpotential to induce a supersymmetric vacuum. The inflaton direction is unbounded because of the CW potential, which should be uplifted somehow. As discussed in the previous section, the uplifting is implemented by the additional terms to the superpotential. More interesting possibility is that the supersymmetry breaking is mediated to the visible sector and the mass splitting of the gauge superfields or matter superfields produces a one-loop potential for the inflaton. We will study these mechanisms in specific models in the future.

The inflation scale H_{inf} can be lowered by fine-tuning the initial condition and choosing a suppressed value of λ . The reheating temperature consequently can be lower, whose precise nature, however, would depend on the uplifting mechanism discussed above and couplings to the visible sector. We would study such aspects in concrete models elsewhere.

Finally, we comment on embedding our model into superstring theory. Our Kähler potential can be realized by four-dimensional low-energy field theory derived from superstring theory, where T would correspond to a modulus field. Such a description would be valid for $\text{Re} T > 1$. That is, the inflaton trajectory with $\tilde{\tau} > 0$ would be interesting. Also, the form of superpotential could be generated by non-perturbative effects such as D-brane instanton effects and gaugino condensation. The typical value of a would be 2π when D-brane instanton generates such a superpotential. On the other hand, a smaller value like $a \lesssim 1$ is required to lead to the successful inflation for $\tilde{\tau} > 0$. We need some mechanism to realize a smaller $a \lesssim 1$, e.g. by mixing moduli. The realization of a non-vanishing FI term would be another important issue. It may be generated by another modulus or induced dynamically by some strong interactions. Thus, stringy realization of our D-term inflation model would be of great interest and deserve further study.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. Evans and T. Sekiguchi for the useful discussions. K. K. was supported by IBS under the project code IBS-R018-D1. T. K. was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 26247042 and No. 17H05395 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology in Japan.

References

- [1] E. Halyo, Phys. Lett. B **387** (1996) 43 [hep-ph/9606423].
- [2] P. Binetruy and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **388** (1996) 241 [hep-ph/9606342].
- [3] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **594** (2016) A13 [arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **594** (2016) A20 [arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO]].

- [5] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Phys. Lett. B **412** (1997) 28 [hep-ph/9707273].
- [6] R. Jeannerot, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 6205 [hep-ph/9706391].
- [7] J. R. Gott, III, Astrophys. J. **288** (1985) 422.
- [8] N. Kaiser and A. Stebbins, Nature **310** (1984) 391.
- [9] J. Rocher and M. Sakellariadou, JCAP **0611** (2006) 001 [hep-th/0607226].
- [10] C. M. Lin and J. McDonald, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 063510 [hep-ph/0604245].
- [11] O. Seto and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 023508 [hep-ph/0508172].
- [12] M. Endo, M. Kawasaki and T. Moroi, Phys. Lett. B **569** (2003) 73 [hep-ph/0304126].
- [13] V. Domcke, K. Schmitz and T. T. Yanagida, Nucl. Phys. B **891** (2015) 230 [arXiv:1410.4641 [hep-th]].
- [14] J. L. Evans, T. Gherghetta, N. Nagata and M. Peloso, arXiv:1704.03695 [hep-ph].
- [15] S. R. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973) 1888.
- [16] T. Kobayashi and O. Seto, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 023510 (2004) [hep-ph/0307332].
- [17] H. Abe, T. Higaki and T. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 046005 (2006) [hep-th/0511160].
- [18] H. Abe, T. Higaki, T. Kobayashi and O. Seto, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 025007 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3229 [hep-th]].
- [19] R. J. Danos and R. H. Brandenberger, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **19**, 183 (2010) [arXiv:0811.2004 [astro-ph]].
- [20] R. Ciuca and O. F. Hernandez, arXiv:1706.04131 [astro-ph.CO].
- [21] L. Hergt, A. Amara, R. Brandenberger, T. Kacprzak and A. Refregier, JCAP **1706**, no. 06, 004 (2017) [arXiv:1608.00004 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [22] O. F. Hernandez and R. H. Brandenberger, JCAP **1207**, 032 (2012) [arXiv:1203.2307 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [23] R. H. Brandenberger, R. J. Danos, O. F. Hernandez and G. P. Holder, JCAP **1012**, 028 (2010) [arXiv:1006.2514 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [24] R. Khatri and B. D. Wandelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 091302 (2008) [arXiv:0801.4406 [astro-ph]].
- [25] A. Berndsen, L. Pogosian and M. Wyman, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **407**, 1116 (2010) [arXiv:1003.2214 [astro-ph.CO]].