

Two purity theorems and the Grothendieck–Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles

Ivan Panin*

December 12, 2021

Abstract

In a series of papers [Pan0], [Pan1], [Pan2], [Pan3] we give a detailed and better structured proof of the Grothendieck–Serre’s conjecture for semi-local regular rings containing a finite field. The outline of the proof is the same as in [P1],[P2],[P3]. If the semi-local regular ring contains an infinite field, then the conjecture is proved in [FP]. *Thus the conjecture is true for regular local rings containing a field.*

A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semi-local regular ring containing an arbitrary field is given in [P3]. That proof is *heavily based* on Theorem 1.3 stated below in the Introduction and proven in the present paper.

Theorem 1.3 itself is a consequence of *two purity theorems* 1.1 and 1.2 which are of completely independent interest and which are proven below in the present paper. For the case when the semi-local regular ring contains an infinite field those purity theorems are proved in [Pa2].

1 Main results

Recall [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn.2.7] that an R -group scheme G is called reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple), if it is affine and smooth as an R -scheme and if, moreover, for each ring homomorphism $s : R \rightarrow \Omega(s)$ to an algebraically closed field $\Omega(s)$, its scalar extension $G_{\Omega(s)}$ is connected and reductive (respectively, semi-simple; respectively, simple) algebraic group over $\Omega(s)$. *Stress that all the groups $G_{\Omega(s)}$ are connected.* The class of reductive group schemes contains the class of semi-simple group schemes which in turn contains the class of simple group schemes. This notion of a simple R -group scheme coincides with the notion of a simple semi-simple R -group scheme from Demazure–Grothendieck [D-G, Exp. XIX, Defn. 2.7 and Exp. XXIV, 5.3]. *Throughout the paper R denotes an integral noetherian domain and G denotes a reductive R -group scheme, unless explicitly stated otherwise.*

*The author acknowledges support of the RNF-grant 14-11-00456.

A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Se, Remarque, p.31], [Gr1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gr2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring R and its field of fractions K and given a reductive group scheme G over R the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K , has trivial kernel.

A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semi-local regular ring containing a finite field is given in [Pan3]. That proof is *heavily based* on Theorem 1.3 stated below in the Introduction and proven in the present paper.

Theorem 1.3 itself is a consequence of *two purity theorems* 1.1 and 1.2 which are of completely independent interest and which are proven below in the present paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem A). *Let k be a field. Let \mathcal{O} be the semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a k -smooth irreducible affine k -variety X . Let $K = k(X)$. Let*

$$\mu : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

be a smooth \mathcal{O} -morphism of reductive \mathcal{O} -group schemes, with a torus \mathbf{C} . Suppose additionally that the kernel of μ is a reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme. Then the following sequence

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{O})/\mu(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(K)/\mu(\mathbf{G}(K)) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{C}(K)/[\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \mu(\mathbf{G}(K))] \quad (1)$$

is exact, where \mathfrak{p} runs over the height 1 primes of \mathcal{O} and $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the natural map (the projection to the factor group).

Let \mathcal{O} and K be as in Theorem 1.1. Let G be a semi-simple \mathcal{O} -group scheme. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of the center $\text{Cent}(G)$. It is known that Z is of multiplicative type. Let $G' = G/Z$ be the factor group, $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$ be the projection. It is known that π is finite surjective and strictly flat. Thus the sequence of \mathcal{O} -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} G' \rightarrow \{1\} \quad (2)$$

induces an exact sequence of group sheaves in fppt-topology. Thus for every \mathcal{O} -algebra R the sequence (20) gives rise to a boundary operator

$$\delta_{\pi, R} : G'(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppt}}^1(R, Z) \quad (3)$$

One can check that it is a group homomorphism (compare [Se, Ch.II, §5.6, Cor.2]). Set

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fttp}}^1(R, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, R}). \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let k , \mathcal{O} and K be as in Theorem 1.1. The following sequence*

$$\frac{H_{\text{fttp}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)}{\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, \mathcal{O}})} \rightarrow \frac{H_{\text{fttp}}^1(K, Z)}{\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, K})} \xrightarrow{\sum \text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{H_{\text{fttp}}^1(K, Z)}{[H_{\text{fttp}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z) + \text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, K})]} \quad (5)$$

is exact.

These two theorems yield the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let k be a field. Let \mathcal{O} be the semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a k -smooth irreducible affine k -variety X . Let $K = k(X)$. Assume that for all semi-simple simply connected reductive \mathcal{O} -group schemes H the pointed set map*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, H) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(k(X), H),$$

induced by the inclusion of \mathcal{O} into its fraction field $k(X)$, has trivial kernel. Then for any reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme G the pointed set map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G),$$

induced by the inclusion of \mathcal{O} into its fraction field K , has trivial kernel.

Remark 1.4. The proof of the latter theorem is subdivided into two steps. Firstly, given a semi-simple \mathcal{O} -group scheme G we prove that the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture holds for \mathcal{O} -group scheme G providing it holds for its simply-connected cover G^{sc} and all inner forms of that simply-connected \mathcal{O} -group scheme G^{sc} .

Secondly, given a reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme G we prove that the Grothendieck–Serre conjecture holds for \mathcal{O} -group scheme G providing it holds for the derived \mathcal{O} -group scheme G_{der} of G and for all inner forms of that derived \mathcal{O} -group scheme G_{der} of G .

After the first articles [C-T/P/S] and [R] on purity theorems for algebraic groups, various versions of purity theorems were proved in [C-T/O], [PS], [Z], [Pan2]. The most general result in the so called constant case was given in [Z, Exm.3.3]. This result follows now from our Theorem (A) by taking G to be a k -rational reductive group, $C = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ and $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ a dominant k -group morphism. The papers [PS], [Z], [Pan2] contain results for the nonconstant case. However they only consider specific examples of algebraic scheme morphisms $\mu : G \rightarrow C$.

It seems plausible to expect a purity theorem in the following context. Let R be a regular local ring. Let $\mu : G \rightarrow T$ be a smooth morphism of reductive R -group schemes with an R -torus T . Let \mathcal{F} be the covariant functor from the category of commutative rings to the category of abelian groups given by $S \mapsto T(S)/\mu(G(S))$. Then \mathcal{F} should satisfy purity for R .

Let us point out that we use transfers for the functor $R \mapsto C(R)$, but *we do not use at all the norm principle* for the homomorphism $\mu : G \rightarrow C$. It is a big open question: whether the morphism μ from Theorem 1.1 satisfies the norm principle even for finite separable field extensions. So, we are not able to say that the functor \mathcal{F} is a presheaf with transfers in the sense of Voevodsky or in any other weaker sense. *That is the major trouble for any attempt to prove Theorem 1.1.*

Here is our approach. Given an element $\xi \in C(K)$ such that its image $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(K)$ is an \mathcal{O} -unramified element one can find a rather refined finite correspondence of the form

$$\mathbf{A}_0^1 \xleftarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{X}' \xrightarrow{q_X'} X \tag{6}$$

(see the diagram (10)) and use it in the "constant group" case to write down a good candidate $\bar{\xi}_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ (see (18)) for a lift of the element $\bar{\xi}$ to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$. In general, since the group-scheme G does not come from the ground field we need to equate two its pull-backs $(pr_{\mathcal{O}} \circ \sigma)^*(G)$ and $(q'_X)^*(G)$ over \mathcal{X}' . Here $pr_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{O}}^1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$ is the projection. The same we need to do with the torus C . Due to these requirements our construction of the finite correspondence and of a good candidate $\bar{\xi}_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ (see (19)) is quite involved. It is especially quite involved in the case of the finite base field. Surely, we use Bertini type results which are due to Poonen. Even this does not resolve all the difficulties. Nevertheless there is a construction of the desired finite correspondence as for the "constant group" case, so for the general case. It is done below in Theorem 4.1.

The finite surjective morphism σ of the \mathcal{O} -schemes has the following property: for the corresponding fraction field extension $K(u) \subset \mathcal{K}$ the element

$$\zeta_u := N_{\mathcal{K}/K(u)}((q'_X)^*(\bar{\xi})) \in C(K(u))$$

is such that its image $\bar{\zeta}_u \in \mathcal{F}(K(u))$ is $K[u]$ -unramified. The latter yields that the element $\bar{\zeta}_u$ is constant that is it belongs to $\mathcal{F}(K)$. Thus the evaluations of $\bar{\zeta}_u$ at $u = 0$ and at $u = 1$ coincide. Now simple computations show that the element $\bar{\xi}_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ is indeed a lift of the element $\bar{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}(K)$. Details are given in Section 8.

The article is organized as follows. In Sections 3 and 4 geometric results from [P] are used to prove stronger versions of some results from [Pan1]. In Section 5 the construction of norm maps is recalled. In Section 6 groups of unramified elements are defined and it is proved that in certain cases the norm map takes a specific unramified element to unramified one (see Lemma 6.4). In Section 7 specialization maps are defined and a homotopy invariance theorem for the group of unramified elements is proved (see Theorem 7.2). In Section 8 Theorem 1.1 is proved. In Section 9 Theorem 1.2 is proved. Finally, in section 10 Theorem 1.3 is proved.

The author thanks A. Suslin for his interest in the topic of the present article. He also thanks to A.Stavrova for paying his attention to Poonen's works on Bertini type theorems for varieties over finite fields. He thanks D.Orlov for useful comments concerning the weighted projective spaces tacitely involved in the construction of elementary fibrations. He thanks M.Ojanguren for many inspiring ideas arising from our joint works with him.

2 Equating group scheme morphisms

Let S be a regular semi-local *irreducible scheme*. Let $\mu_1 : G_1 \rightarrow C_1$ and $\mu_2 : G_2 \rightarrow C_2$ be two smooth S -group scheme morphisms with tori C_1 and C_2 . Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are reductive S -group schemes which are forms of each other and suppose that C_1 and C_2 are forms of each other. Let $T \subset S$ be a connected non-empty closed sub-scheme of S , and $\varphi : G_1|_T \rightarrow G_2|_T$, $\psi : C_1|_T \rightarrow C_2|_T$ be T -group scheme isomorphisms. By Theorem [Pan1, Thm. 3.1] there exists a finite étale morphism $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ with an *irreducible scheme* \tilde{S} and a section $\delta : T \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ of π over T and \tilde{S} -group scheme isomorphisms

$$\Phi : G_{1,\tilde{S}} \rightarrow G_{2,\tilde{S}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi : C_{1,\tilde{S}} \rightarrow C_{2,\tilde{S}}$$

such that $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$, $\delta^*(\Psi) = \psi$. For those \tilde{S} , T , δ , Φ and Ψ the following result holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be the regular semi-local irreducible scheme. Let $T \subset S$ be the connected non-empty closed sub-scheme of S . Suppose that the morphisms φ and ψ , $(\mu_1|_T)$ and $(\mu_2|_T)$ are such that the diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1|_T & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G_2|_T \\ \mu_1|_T \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_2|_T \\ C_1|_T & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C_2|_T. \end{array} \quad (7)$$

Then $\mu_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \mu_{1,\tilde{S}} : G_{1,\tilde{S}} \rightarrow C_{2,\tilde{S}}$ as the \tilde{S} -group scheme morphisms.

Proof. Recall that μ_r can be naturally presented as a composition

$$G_r \xrightarrow{\text{can}_r} \text{Corad}(G_r) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_r} C_r.$$

Since $\text{can}_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \Phi = \text{Corad}(\Phi) \circ \text{can}_{1,\tilde{S}}$ it remains to check that $\bar{\mu}_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \text{Corad}(\Phi) = \Psi \circ \bar{\mu}_{1,\tilde{S}}$.

The equality $(\mu_2|_T) \circ \varphi = \psi \circ (\mu_1|_T)$ holds by the assumption of the Theorem. It yields the equality $(\bar{\mu}_2|_T) \circ \text{Corad}(\varphi) = \psi \circ (\bar{\mu}_1|_T)$. The equality $\bar{\mu}_{2,\tilde{S}} \circ \text{Corad}(\Phi) = \Psi \circ \bar{\mu}_{1,\tilde{S}}$ follows now from [Pan1, Prop.3.5] since \tilde{S} is irreducible. Whence the theorem. \square

3 Nice triples and group scheme morphisms

See [PSV, Defn.3.1] for the definition of a nice triple and see [PSV, Defn.3.1] for the definition of a morphism between nice triples. Those definitions are reproduced in [P, Defn.3.1 and 3.3]. The notion of a special nice triple is given in [P, Defn.3.4]. We need in an extension of theorems [P, Thm. 3.9], [Pan1, Thm. 3.9].

For that it is convenient to give two definitions under the following set up. Let k be a field and \mathcal{O} be the semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a k -smooth irreducible affine k -variety X . Let $U = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$. Let (\mathcal{X}, f, Δ) be a special nice triple over U and let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a reductive \mathcal{X} -group scheme and $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $G_{\text{const}} := q_U^*(G_U)$. Let $\theta : (q' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow U, f', \Delta') \rightarrow (q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U, f, \Delta)$ be a morphism between nice triples over U . The following definition is from [Pan1, Defn. 4.1]

Definition 3.1. We say that the morphism θ equates the reductive \mathcal{X} -group schemes $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ and G_{const} , if there is an \mathcal{X}' -group scheme isomorphism $\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ with $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$.

Further, let $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ be an \mathcal{X} -tori and $C_U := \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $C_{\text{const}} := q_U^*(C_U)$. Let $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} : G_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{X}}$ be an \mathcal{X} -group scheme morphism smooth as a scheme morphism. Let $\mu_U = \Delta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\mu_{\text{const}} : G_{\text{const}} \rightarrow C_{\text{const}}$ be the pull-back of μ_U to \mathcal{X} .

Definition 3.2 (Equating morphisms). We say that the morphism θ *equates* the reductive \mathcal{X} -group scheme morphisms μ_{const} and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$ if there are \mathcal{X}' -group schemes isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$$

with $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$, $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = \text{id}_{C_U}$ and $\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}})$. Clearly, if the morphism θ *equates* morphisms μ_{const} and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$, then it *equates* G_{const} with $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ and C_{const} with $C_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Remark 3.3. Let $\rho : (\mathcal{X}'', f'', \Delta'') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ and $\theta : (\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ be morphisms of nice triples over U . If θ equates μ_{const} with $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$, then $\theta \circ \rho$ equates μ_{const} and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$ also.

Theorem 3.4. Let U be as above in this section. Let (\mathcal{X}, f, Δ) be a special nice triple over U . Let $G_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a reductive \mathcal{X} -group scheme and $G_U := \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $G_{\text{const}} := q_U^*(G_U)$. Let $C_{\mathcal{X}}$ be an \mathcal{X} -tori and $C_U := \Delta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $C_{\text{const}} := q_U^*(C_U)$. Let $\mu_{\mathcal{X}} : G_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{X}}$ be an \mathcal{X} -group scheme morphism smooth as a scheme morphism. Let $\mu_U = \Delta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\mu_{\text{const}} : G_{\text{const}} \rightarrow C_{\text{const}}$ be the pull-back of μ_U to \mathcal{X} . Then there exist a morphism $\theta'' : (q'' : \mathcal{X}'' \rightarrow U, f'', \Delta'') \rightarrow (q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U, f, \Delta)$ between nice triples over U such that

- (i) the morphism θ'' equates the reductive \mathcal{X} -group scheme morphisms μ_{const} and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$;
- (ii) the triple $(\mathcal{X}'', f'', \Delta'')$ is a special nice triple over U subjecting to the conditions (1*) and (2*) from [P, Defn. 3.7].

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let U be as in the theorem. Let (\mathcal{X}, f, Δ) be a special nice triple over U as in the theorem. By the definition of a nice triple there exists a finite surjective morphism $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ of U -schemes. The construction [P, Constr. 4.2] gives us now the data $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Y}, S, T)$, where $\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Y}, T$ are closed subsets as in \mathcal{X} finite over U . Particularly, they are semi-local. If y_1, \dots, y_n are all closed points of Y , then $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, y_1, \dots, y_n})$.

Further, let $G_U = \Delta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}})$ be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4 and let G_{const} be the pull-back of G_U to \mathcal{X} . Finally, let $\varphi : G_{\text{const}}|_T \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{X}}|_T$ be the canonical isomorphism. Recall that by assumption \mathcal{X} is U -smooth and irreducible, and thus S is regular and irreducible. By [Pan1, Thm. 3.1] and Theorem 2.1 there exists a finite étale morphism $\theta_0 : S' \rightarrow S$, a section $\delta : T \rightarrow S'$ of θ_0 over T and isomorphisms $\Phi_0 : \theta_0^*(G_{\text{const}, S}) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}|_S)$ and $\Psi_0 : \theta_0^*(C_{\text{const}, S}) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S)$ such that $\delta^*(\Phi_0) = \varphi$, $\delta^*(\Psi_0) = \psi$ and

$$\theta_0^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \circ \Phi_0 = \Psi_0 \circ \theta_0^*(\mu_{\text{const}, S}) : \theta_0^*(G_{\text{const}, S}) \rightarrow \theta_0^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_S) \quad (8)$$

where the scheme S' is irreducible. Consider now the diagram (4) from the construction [P, Constr. 4.2]. We may and will now suppose that the neighborhood \mathcal{V} of the points $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ from that diagram is chosen such that there are \mathcal{V}' -group schemes isomorphisms $\Phi : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}, \mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\Psi : \theta^*(C_{\text{const}, \mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{V}})$ with $\Phi|_{S'} = \Phi_0$ and $\Psi|_{S'} = \Psi_0$. Clearly, $\delta^*(\Phi) = \varphi$ and $\delta^*(\Psi) = \psi$. It is less clear, however it is still true that

$$\theta^*(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}, \mathcal{V}}) : \theta^*(G_{\text{const}, \mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{V}}) \quad (9)$$

Applying the second part of the construction [P, Constr. 4.2] and also the proposition [P, Prop. 4.3] to the finite étale morphism $\theta : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and to the section $\delta : T \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ of θ over T we get

- 1) firstly, a triple $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$;
- 2) secondly, the étale morphism of U -schemes $\theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$;
- 3) thirdly, inclusions of U -schemes $S \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $S' \subset \mathcal{X}'$.

Further we get

- (i) the special nice triple $(q_U \circ \theta : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow U, f', \Delta')$ over U ;
- (ii) the morphism θ is a morphism $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta') \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ between the nice triples and it equates the \mathcal{X} -group scheme morphisms μ_{const} and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$;
- (iii) the equality $f' = \theta^*(f)$.

To complete the proof of the theorem just apply the theorem [P, Thm. 3.9] to the special nice triple $(\mathcal{X}', f', \Delta')$ and use the remark 3.3. \square

4 A strong form of the theorem 5.1 from [Pan1]

Let X be an affine k -smooth irreducible k -variety, and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be closed points in X . Let $U = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}})$. Let \mathbf{G} be a reductive X -group scheme and let $\mathbf{G}_U = \text{can}^*(\mathbf{G})$ be the pull-back of \mathbf{G} to U . Let \mathbf{C} be an X -torus and let $\mathbf{C}_U = \text{can}^*(\mathbf{C})$ be the pull-back of \mathbf{C} to U . Let $\mu : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an X -group scheme morphism which is smooth as an X -scheme morphism. Let $\mu_U = \text{can}^*(\mu)$. The following result is a strong form of the theorem 5.1 from [Pan1].

Theorem 4.1. *Given a non-zero function $f \in k[X]$ vanishing at each point x_i , there is a diagram of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{A}^1 \times U & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{q_X} & X \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_U & \downarrow q_U & \searrow \Delta & \nearrow \text{can} \\ & & U & & \end{array} \quad (10)$$

with an irreducible **affine** scheme \mathcal{X} , a smooth morphism q_U , a finite surjective U -morphism σ and an essentially smooth morphism q_X , and a function $f' \in q_X^*(f)k[\mathcal{X}]$, which enjoys the following properties:

- (a) if \mathcal{Z}' is the closed subscheme of \mathcal{X} defined by the principal ideal (f') , the morphism $\sigma|_{\mathcal{Z}'} : \mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ is a closed embedding and the morphism $q_U|_{\mathcal{Z}'} : \mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow U$ is finite;
- (a') $q_U \circ \Delta = \text{id}_U$ and $q_X \circ \Delta = \text{can}$ and $\sigma \circ \Delta = i_0$
(the first equality shows that $\Delta(U)$ is a closed subscheme in \mathcal{X});
- (b) σ is étale in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{Z}' \cup \Delta(U)$;
- (c) $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{Z}')) = \mathcal{Z}' \amalg \mathcal{Z}''$ scheme theoretically for some closed subscheme \mathcal{Z}''
and $\mathcal{Z}'' \cap \Delta(U) = \emptyset$;

- (d) $\mathcal{D}_0 := \sigma^{-1}(\{0\} \times U) = \Delta(U) \coprod \mathcal{D}'_0$ scheme theoretically for some closed subscheme \mathcal{D}'_0 and $\mathcal{D}'_0 \cap \mathcal{Z}' = \emptyset$;
- (e) for $\mathcal{D}_1 := \sigma^{-1}(\{1\} \times U)$ one has $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}' = \emptyset$.
- (f) there is a monic polynomial $h \in \mathcal{O}[t]$ such that $(h) = \text{Ker}[\mathcal{O}[t] \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} k[\mathcal{X}] \xrightarrow{\bar{\cdot}} k[\mathcal{X}]/(f')]$, where $\mathcal{O} := k[U]$ and the map bar takes any $g \in k[\mathcal{X}]$ to $\bar{g} \in k[\mathcal{X}]/(f')$;
- (g) there are \mathcal{X} -group scheme isomorphisms $\Phi : p_U^*(\mathbf{G}_U) \rightarrow p_X^*(\mathbf{G})$, $\Psi : p_U^*(\mathbf{C}_U) \rightarrow p_X^*(\mathbf{C})$ with $\Delta^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}_U}$, $\Delta^*(\Psi) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}_U}$ and $p_X^*(\mu) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ p_U^*(\mu_U)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By [P, Prop. 3.6] one can shrink X such that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are still in X and X is affine, and then to construct a special nice triple $(q_U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U, \Delta, f)$ over U and an essentially smooth morphism $q_X : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $q_X \circ \Delta = \text{can}$, $f = q_X^*(f)$ and the set of closed points of $\Delta(U)$ is contained in the set of closed points of $\{f = 0\}$.

Set $\mathbf{G}_X = q_X^*(\mathbf{G})$, then $\Delta^*(\mathbf{G}_X) = \text{can}^*(\mathbf{G})$. Thus the U -group scheme \mathbf{G}_U from Theorem 3.4 and the U -group scheme \mathbf{G}_U from Theorem 4.1 are the same. By Theorem 3.4 there exists a morphism $\theta : (\mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}, f_{\text{new}}, \Delta_{\text{new}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, f, \Delta)$ such that the triple $(\mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}, f_{\text{new}}, \Delta_{\text{new}})$ is a special nice triple over U subject to the conditions (1*) and (2*) from [P, Defn. 3.7]. And, additionally, there are isomorphism

$$\Phi : (q_U \circ \theta)^*(\mathbf{G}_U) = \theta^*(G_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(G_X) = (q_X \circ \theta)^*(\mathbf{G}) \text{ with } (\Delta_{\text{new}})^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$$

$$\Psi : (q_U \circ \theta)^*(\mathbf{C}_U) = \theta^*(C_{\text{const}}) \rightarrow \theta^*(C_X) = (q_X \circ \theta)^*(\mathbf{G})$$

of \mathcal{X}_{new} -group schemes such that $(\Delta')^*(\Phi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$, $(\Delta')^*(\Psi) = \text{id}_{G_U}$ and

$$\theta^*(\mu_X) \circ \Phi = \Psi \circ \theta^*(\mu_{\text{const}}). \quad (11)$$

The triple $(\mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}, f_{\text{new}}, \Delta_{\text{new}})$ is a special nice triple **over** U subject to the conditions (1*) and (2*) from Definition [P, Defn. 3.7]. Thus by [P, Thm. 3.8] there is a finite surjective morphism $\mathbf{A}^1 \times U \xleftarrow{\sigma_{\text{new}}} \mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}$ of the U -schemes satisfying the conditions (a) to (f) from that Theorem. Hence one has a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{A}^1 \times U & \xleftarrow{\sigma_{\text{new}}} & \mathcal{X}_{\text{new}} & \xrightarrow{q_X \circ \theta} & X \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_U & \downarrow q_U \circ \theta & \nearrow \Delta' & \nearrow \text{can} \\ & & U & & \end{array} \quad (12)$$

with the irreducible scheme \mathcal{X}_{new} , the smooth morphism $q_{U,\text{new}} := q_U \circ \theta$, the finite surjective morphism σ_{new} and the essentially smooth morphism $q_{X,\text{new}} := q_X \circ \theta$ and with the function $f_{\text{new}} \in (q_{X,\text{new}})^*(f)k[\mathcal{X}_{\text{new}}]$, which after identifying notation enjoy the properties (a) to (f) from Theorem 4.1. The equality (11) shows that the isomorphisms Φ and Ψ subject to the condition (g). Whence the Theorem 4.1. \square

To formulate a consequence of the theorem 4.1 (see Corollary 4.2), note that using the items (b) and (c) of Theorem 4.1 one can find an element $g \in I(\mathcal{Z}'')$ such that

- (1) $(f') + (g) = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$,
- (2) $\text{Ker}((\Delta)^*) + (g) = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$,
- (3) $\sigma_g = \sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_g} : \mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_U^1$ is étale.

Here is the corollary. It is proved in [P, Cor. 7.2].

Corollary 4.2. *The function f' from Theorem 4.1, the polinomial h from the item (f) of that Theorem, the morphism $\sigma : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_U^1$ and the function $g \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ defined just above enjoy the following properties:*

- (i) *the morphism $\sigma_g = \sigma|_{\mathcal{X}_g} : \mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$ is étale,*
- (ii) *data $(\mathcal{O}[t], \sigma_g^* : \mathcal{O}[t] \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})_g, h)$ satisfies the hypotheses of [C-T/O, Prop.2.6], i.e. $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})_g$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[t]$ -algebra, the element $(\sigma_g)^*(h)$ is not a zero-divisor in $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})_g$ and $\mathcal{O}[t]/(h) = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})_g/h\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})_g$,*
- (iii) *$(\Delta(U) \cup \mathcal{Z}') \subset \mathcal{X}_g$ and $\sigma_g \circ \Delta = i_0 : U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \times U$,*
- (iv) *$\mathcal{X}_{gh} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{gf'} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{f'} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{q_X^*(f)}$,*
- (v) *$\mathcal{O}[t]/(h) = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/(f')$ and $h\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = (f') \cap I(\mathcal{Z}'')$ and $(f') + I(\mathcal{Z}'') = \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$.*

5 Norms

In sections 12, 13, 14 we prove few results which are used below to prove Theorems 8.1, 9.1 and 1.3.

Let $k \subset K \subset L$ be field extensions and assume that L is finite separable over K . Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K and $\sigma_i : K \rightarrow K^{sep}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ the different embeddings of K into L . Let C be a k -smooth commutative algebraic group scheme defined over k . One can define a norm map

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K} : C(L) \rightarrow C(K)$$

by $\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_i C(\sigma_i)(\alpha) \in C(K^{sep})^{\mathcal{G}(K)} = C(K)$. In [Pa2] following Suslin and Voevodsky [SV, Sect.6] we generalize this construction to finite flat ring extensions. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite flat morphism of affine schemes. Suppose that its rank is constant, equal to d . Denote by $S^d(X/Y)$ the d -th symmetric power of X over Y .

Let k be a field. Let \mathcal{O} be the semi-local ring of finitely many **closed** points on a smooth affine irreducible k -variety X . Let C be an affine smooth commutative \mathcal{O} -group scheme, Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite flat morphism of affine \mathcal{O} -schemes and $f : X \rightarrow C$ any \mathcal{O} -morphism. In [Pa2] the norm $N_{X/Y}(f)$ of f is defined as the composite map

$$Y \xrightarrow{N_{X/Y}} S^d(X/Y) \rightarrow S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(X) \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(f)} S_{\mathcal{O}}^d(C) \xrightarrow{\times} C \quad (13)$$

Here we write " \times " for the group law on C . The norm maps $N_{X/Y}$ satisfy the following conditions

(i') Base change: for any map $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ of affine schemes, putting $X' = X \times_Y Y'$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id \times f)^*} & C(X') \\ N_{X/Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{X'/Y'} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & C(Y') \end{array}$$

(ii') multiplicativity: if $X = X_1 \amalg X_2$ then the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) & \xrightarrow{(id \times f)^*} & C(X_1) \times C(X_2) \\ N_{X/Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{X_1/Y} N_{X_2/Y} \\ C(Y) & \xrightarrow{id} & C(Y) \end{array}$$

(iii') normalization: if $X = Y$ and the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is the identity then $N_{X/Y} = id_{C(X)}$.

6 Unramified elements

Let k be a field, \mathcal{O} be the k -algebra from Theorem 8.1 and K be the fraction field of \mathcal{O} . Let $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ be the morphism of reductive \mathcal{O} -group schemes from Theorem 8.1. We work in this section with *the category of commutative Noetherian \mathcal{O} -algebras*. For a commutative \mathcal{O} -algebra S set

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = C(S)/\mu(G(S)). \quad (14)$$

Let S be an \mathcal{O} -algebra which is a domain and let L be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of S -unramified elements of $\mathcal{F}(L)$* as

$$\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S)^{(1)}} \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)], \quad (15)$$

where $\text{Spec}(S)^{(1)}$ is the set of hight 1 prime ideals in S . Obviously the image of $\mathcal{F}(S)$ in $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$. In most cases $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ injects into $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ is simply the intersection of all $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$. For an element $\alpha \in C(S)$ we will write $\bar{\alpha}$ for its image in $\mathcal{F}(S)$. *In this section we will write \mathcal{F} for the functor (14).*

Theorem 6.1 ([Ni2]). *Let S be a \mathcal{O} -algebra which is discrete valuation ring with fraction field L . The map $\mathcal{F}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)$ is injective.*

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ be the above morphism of our reductive group schemes. Let $H = \ker(\mu)$. Then for an \mathcal{O} -algebra L , where L is a field, the boundary map $\partial : C(L)/\mu(G(L)) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(L, H)$ is injective.*

Let k , \mathcal{O} and K be as above in this Section. Let \mathcal{K} be a field containing K and $x : \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a discrete valuation vanishing on K . Let A_x be the valuation ring of x . Clearly, $\mathcal{O} \subset A_x$. Let \hat{A}_x and $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ be the completions of A_x and \mathcal{K} with respect to x . Let $i : \mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ be the inclusion. By Theorem 6.1 the map $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)$ is injective. We will identify $\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)$ with its image under this map. Set

$$\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K}) = i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\hat{A}_x)).$$

The inclusion $A_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ induces a map $\mathcal{F}(A_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ which is injective by Theorem 6.1. So both groups $\mathcal{F}(A_x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ are subgroups of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$. The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$ coincides with the subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{K})$ consisting of all elements *unramified* at x .

Lemma 6.3. $\mathcal{F}(A_x) = \mathcal{F}_x(\mathcal{K})$.

Let k , \mathcal{O} and K be as above in this Section.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $B \subset A$ be a finite extension of K -smooth algebras, which are domains and each has dimension one. Let $0 \neq f \in A$ and let $h \in B \cap fA$ be such that the induced map $B/hB \rightarrow A/fA$ is an isomorphism. Suppose $hA = fA \cap J''$ for an ideal $J'' \subseteq A$ co-prime to the ideal fA .*

Let E and F be the field of fractions of B and A respectively. Let $\alpha \in C(A_f)$ be such that $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ is A -unramified. Then, for $\beta = N_{F/E}(\alpha)$, the class $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ is B -unramified.

Proof. The only primes at which $\bar{\alpha}$ could be ramified are those which divide hA . Let \mathfrak{p} be one of them. Check that $\bar{\alpha}$ is unramified at \mathfrak{p} .

To do this we consider all primes $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ in A lying over \mathfrak{p} . Let \mathfrak{q}_1 be the unique prime dividing f and lying over \mathfrak{p} . Then

$$A \otimes_B \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \prod_{i \neq 1} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$$

with $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If F, E are the fields of fractions of A and B then

$$F \otimes_B \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} \times \prod_{i \neq 1} \hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$$

and $\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_1} = \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will write \hat{F}_i for $\hat{F}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ and \hat{A}_i for $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$. Let

$$\alpha \otimes 1 = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in C(\hat{F}_1) \times \dots \times C(\hat{F}_n).$$

Clearly for $i \geq 2$ one has $\alpha_i \in C(\hat{A}_i)$ and $\alpha_1 = \mu(\gamma_1)\alpha'_1$ with $\alpha'_1 \in C(\hat{A}_1) = C(\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\gamma_1 \in G(\hat{F}_1) = G(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now $\beta \otimes 1 \in C(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ coincides with the product

$$\alpha_1 N_{\hat{F}_2/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_2) \cdots N_{\hat{F}_n/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_n) = \mu(\gamma_1)[\alpha'_1 N_{\hat{F}_2/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_2) \cdots N_{\hat{F}_n/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_n)].$$

Thus $\overline{\beta \otimes 1} = \overline{\alpha'_1 N_{\hat{F}_2/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_2) \cdots N_{\hat{F}_n/\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\alpha_n)} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $i : E \hookrightarrow \hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the inclusion and $i_* : \mathcal{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the induced map. Clearly $i_*(\bar{\beta}) = \overline{\beta \otimes 1}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\hat{E}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Now Lemma 6.3 shows that the element $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(B_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence $\bar{\beta}$ is B -unramified. \square

7 Specialization maps

Let k be a field, \mathcal{O} be the k -algebra from Theorem 8.1 and K be the fraction field of \mathcal{O} . Let $\mu : G \rightarrow C$ be the morphism of reductive \mathcal{O} -group schemes from Theorem 8.1. We work in this section with *the category of commutative K -algebras* and with the functor

$$\mathcal{F} : S \mapsto C(S)/\mu(G(S)) \quad (16)$$

defined on the category of K -algebras. So, we assume in this Section that each ring from this Section is equipped with a distinguished K -algebra structure and each ring homomorphism from this Section respects that structures. Let S be an K -algebra which is a domain and let L be its fraction field. Define the *subgroup of S -unramified elements* $\mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(L)$ of $\mathcal{F}(L)$ by formulae (15).

For a regular domain S with the fraction field \mathcal{K} and each height one prime \mathfrak{p} in S we construct **specialization maps** $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$, where \mathcal{K} is the field of fractions of S and $K(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of R at the prime \mathfrak{p} .

Definition 7.1. Let $Ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : C(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow C(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ and $ev_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ be the maps induced by the canonical K -algebra homomorphism $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow K(\mathfrak{p})$. Define a homomorphism $s_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{F}_{nr,S}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ by $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) = ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\tilde{\alpha})$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a lift of α to $\mathcal{F}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Theorem 6.1 shows that the map $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is well-defined. It is called the *specialization map*. The map $ev_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called the *evaluation map at the prime \mathfrak{p}* .

Obviously for $\alpha \in C(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ one has $s_{\mathfrak{p}}(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{Ev_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{F}(K(\mathfrak{p}))$.

We need the following theorem and its corollary.

Theorem 7.2 (Homotopy invariance). Let $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the functor defined by the formulae (16) and let $\mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t))$ be defined by the formulae (15). Let $K(t)$ be the rational function field in one variable. Then one has

$$\mathcal{F}(K) = \mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t)).$$

Corollary 7.3. Let $S \mapsto \mathcal{F}(S)$ be the functor defined in (14). Let

$$s_0, s_1 : \mathcal{F}_{nr,K[t]}(K(t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)$$

be the specialization maps at zero and at one (at the primes (t) and $(t-1)$). Then $s_0 = s_1$.

8 A purity theorem

Let k be a field. The main result of the present section is the following

Theorem 8.1. Let \mathcal{O} be the semi-local ring of finitely many closed points on a k -smooth irreducible affine k -variety X . Let $K = k(X)$. Let

$$\mu : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

be a smooth \mathcal{O} -morphism of reductive \mathcal{O} -group schemes, with a torus \mathbf{C} . Suppose additionally that the kernel of μ is a reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme. Then the following sequence

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{O})/\mu(\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(K)/\mu(\mathbf{G}(K)) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathbf{C}(K)/[\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \mu(\mathbf{G}(K))] \quad (17)$$

is exact in the middle term, where \mathfrak{p} runs over the height 1 primes of \mathcal{O} and $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the natural map (the projection to the factor group).

Definition 8.2. Let $a \in \mathbf{C}(k(X))$. Its class $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X)) := \mathbf{C}(K)/\mu(\mathbf{G}(K))$ is called *unramified at a height 1 prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $k[X]$* , if the element \bar{a} is in the image of the group $\bar{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $S \subset k[X]$ be a multiplicative system. The class $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X))$ is called *$k[X]_S$ -unramified*, if it is unramified at any codimension one prime ideal of $k[X]_S$. **Particularly, the class $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X))$ is called X -unramified, if it is unramified at any codimension one prime ideal of $k[X]$.**

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 8.3. Let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism of smooth irreducible affine k -varieties. This morphism induces an obvious map $\bar{\varphi}^* : \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X)) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(Y))$, which takes X -unramified elements to Y -unramified elements. If $S \subset k[Y]$ be a multiplicative system, then the homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}^*$ takes X -unramified elements to $k[Y]_S$ -unramified elements.

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Assume firstly that μ is "constant", i.e there are a reductive group \mathbf{G}_0 , a torus \mathbf{C}_0 over the field k and an algebraic k -group morphism μ_0 and U -group schemes isomorphisms

$$\Phi : \mathbf{G}_{0,U} = \mathbf{G}_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} U \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi : \mathbf{C}_{0,U} = \mathbf{C}_0 \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

such that $\Psi \circ \mu_{0,U} = \mu \circ \Phi$.

Let $a_K \in \mathbf{C}(k(X))$ be such that its class in $\bar{\mathbf{C}}(K)$ is \mathcal{O} -unramified. Then there is a non-zero function $f \in k[X]$ such that the element a_K is defined over X_f , that is there is given an element $a \in \mathbf{C}(k[X_f])$ for a non-zero function $f \in k[X]$ such that the image of a in $\mathbf{C}(K)$ coincides with the element a_K . Shrinking X we may assume further that f vanishes at each x_i 's and the k -algebra $k[X]/(f)$ is **reduced**. Shrinking X once again we may and will assume also that $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k[X]_f)$ is $k[X]$ -unramified. By Theorem 4.1 there is a diagram of the form (10) together with with the scheme \mathcal{X} , the morphisms q_U , σ and q_X , and the function $f' \in q_X^*(f)k[\mathcal{X}]$, which enjoys the properties (a) to (g) from that Theorem. From now on and till the end of this proof we will use the notation from Theorem 4.1.

The morphism σ from that theorem is finite surjective and the schemes \mathbf{A}_U^1 and \mathcal{X} are regular. Thus by a theorem of Grothendieck [E, Thm. 18.17] the morphism σ is flat and finite. Thus any base change of σ is finite and flat. Set $\alpha := q_f^*(a) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ where $q_f : \mathcal{X}_f \rightarrow X_f$ is the restriction of f to \mathcal{X} and set

$$a_U := N_{\mathcal{D}_1/U}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \cdot N_{\mathcal{D}'_0/U}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}'_0})^{-1} \in \mathbf{C}(U). \quad (18)$$

Claim 8.4. *Let $\eta_U : \text{Spec}(k(X)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}) = U$ and $\eta : \text{Spec}(k(X)) \rightarrow X_f$ be the generic points of U and X_f respectively. Then $\eta_U^*(\bar{a}_U) = \eta^*(\bar{a}) \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X))$.*

Since $\eta^*(a) = a_K$, this Claim completes the proof of Theorem 8.1 in the constant case. To prove the Claim consider the scheme \mathcal{X} and its closed and open subschemes as U -schemes via the morphism q_U . Set $K = k(X)$. Taking the base change of \mathcal{X} , \mathbf{A}_U^1 and σ via the morphism $\eta_U : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow U$ we get a morphism of the K -shemes $\mathbf{A}_K^1 \xleftarrow{\sigma_K} \mathcal{X}_K$. Recall that the class $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(X_f)$ is X -unramified. By Lemma 8.3 the class $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ is \mathcal{X} -unramified. Hence its image \bar{a}_K in $\bar{\mathbf{C}}(K(\mathcal{X}_K))$ is X_K -unramified too. The items (ii), (v) of Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 6.4 show that for the element $\beta_t := N_{K(\mathcal{X}_K)/K(\mathbf{A}_K^1)}(\alpha_K) \in \mathbf{C}(K(t))$ the class $\bar{\beta}_t \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(K(t))$ is \mathbf{A}_K^1 -unramified. By Theorem 7.2 the class $\bar{\beta}_t$ is constant, t.e. it comes from the field K . By Corollary 7.3 its specializations at the K -points 0 and 1 of the affine line \mathbf{A}_K^1 coincide: $s_0(\bar{\beta}_t) = s_1(\bar{\beta}_t) \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(K)$. The properties (d),(c) and (e) and the equality $q_X \circ \Delta = \text{can}$ from Theorem 4.1 show that $\mathcal{D}_{1,K}, \mathcal{D}'_{0,K}, \Delta(\text{Spec}(K)) \subset (\mathcal{X}_f)_K$. Thus there is a Zariski open neighborhood V of the K -points 0 and 1 in \mathbf{A}_K^1 such that $W := (\sigma_K)^{-1}(V) \subset (\mathcal{X}_f)_K$. Hence for $\beta_V := N_{W/V}(\alpha|_W)$, one has the equality $\beta_V = \beta_t$ in $\mathbf{C}(K(t))$. Thus one has equalities

$$\overline{\beta(1)} = s_1(\bar{\beta}_t) = s_0(\bar{\beta}_t) = \overline{\beta(0)}$$

(see the remark at the end of Definition 7.1). By the properties (i'), (ii') and (iii') of the norm maps (see Section 5) one has equalities

$$N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/K}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) = \beta(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(0) = N_{\mathcal{D}_{0,K}/K}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}_{0,K}}) = N_{\mathcal{D}'_{0,K}/K}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}'_{0,K}}) \cdot s_K^*(\alpha_K)$$

By the base change property of the norm maps one has the equality

$$\eta_U^*(a_U) = N_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}/K}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}_{1,K}}) \cdot [N_{\mathcal{D}'_{0,K}/K}(\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}'_{0,K}})]^{-1}$$

Hence $s_K^*(\bar{a}_K) = \eta_U^*(\bar{a}_U)$ in $\bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X))$. Finally, the composite map $\text{Spec}(K) \xrightarrow{\Delta_K} (\mathcal{X}_f)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_f \xrightarrow{q_f} X_f$ coincides with the canonical map $\eta : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow X_f$. Hence $s_K^*(\bar{a}_K) = \eta^*(\bar{a})$, which proves the Claim. Whence the Theorem 8.1 in the constant case.

In the general case there are two functors on the category of \mathcal{X} -schemes. Namely, \bar{C} and ${}_U\bar{C}$. If $r : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a scheme morphism, then $\bar{C}(\mathcal{Y}) := C(\mathcal{Y})/(\mu(G(\mathcal{Y})))$ and ${}_U\bar{C}(\mathcal{Y}) := {}_UC(\mathcal{Y})/(\mu({}_UG(\mathcal{Y})))$. Here \mathcal{Y} is regarded as an X -scheme via the morphism $q_X \circ r$ and is regarded as an U -scheme via the morphism $q_U \circ r$. The \mathcal{X} -group scheme isomorphisms Φ and Ψ from Theorem 4.1 induce a group isomorphism

$$\bar{\Psi}_{\mathcal{Y}} : {}_U\bar{C}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \bar{C}(\mathcal{Y}),$$

which respect to \mathcal{X} -schemes morphisms. Moreover, if the scheme U is regarded as an \mathcal{X} -scheme via the morphism Δ , then the isomorphism $\bar{\Psi}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is the identity. And similarly for any U -scheme $g : W \rightarrow U$ regarded as an \mathcal{X} -scheme via the morphism $\Delta \circ g$ the the isomorphism $\bar{\Psi}_W$ is the identity.

Set $\alpha := q_f^*(a) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{X}_f)$ where $q_f : \mathcal{X}_f \rightarrow X_f$ is above in this proof. Let ${}_U\alpha \in {}_UC(\mathcal{X})$ be a unique element such that $\bar{\Psi}_X({}_U\alpha) = \alpha$. Set

$${}_Ua := N_{\mathcal{D}_1/U}(({}_U\alpha)|_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \cdot N_{\mathcal{D}'_0/U}(({}_U\alpha)|_{\mathcal{D}'_0})^{-1} \in {}_UC(U) \quad \text{and} \quad a_U := \Psi_U({}_Ua) \in \mathbf{C}(U) \quad (19)$$

We left to the reader to proof the following Claim

Claim 8.5. *Let $\eta_U : \text{Spec}(k(X)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}) = U$ and $\eta : \text{Spec}(k(X)) \rightarrow X_f$ be as above in this proof. Then*

$$\eta_U^*(\bar{a}_U) = \eta^*(\bar{a}) \in \bar{\mathbf{C}}(k(X))$$

Since $\eta^*(a) = a_K$, this Claim completes the proof of Theorem 8.1. □

9 One more purity theorem

The main result of this section is theorem 9.1. Let k , \mathcal{O} and K be as in Theorem 8.1. Let G be a semi-simple \mathcal{O} -group scheme. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of the center $\text{Cent}(G)$. **It is known that Z is of multiplicative type.** Let $G' = G/Z$ be the factor group, $\pi : G \rightarrow G'$ be the projection. It is known that π is finite surjective and faithfully flat. Thus the sequence of \mathcal{O} -group schemes

$$\{1\} \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} G' \rightarrow \{1\} \quad (20)$$

induces an exact sequence of group sheaves in fppt-topology. Thus for every \mathcal{O} -algebra R the sequence (20) gives rise to a boundary operator

$$\delta_{\pi,R} : G'(R) \rightarrow H_{\text{fppf}}^1(R, Z) \quad (21)$$

One can check that it is a group homomorphism (compare [Se, Ch.II, §5.6, Cor.2]). Set

$$\mathcal{F}(R) = H_{\text{fppf}}^1(R, Z) / \text{Im}(\delta_{\pi,R}). \quad (22)$$

Clearly we get a functor on the category of \mathcal{O} -algebras.

Theorem 9.1. *Let \mathcal{O} be the semi-local ring of finitely many **closed** points on a k -smooth irreducible **affine** k -variety X . Let G be a semi-simple \mathcal{O} -group scheme. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of the center $\text{Cent}(G)$. Let \mathcal{F} be the functor on the category \mathcal{O} -algebras given by (22). Then the sequence*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{can}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{F}(K) / \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)] \quad (23)$$

is exact, where \mathfrak{p} runs over the height 1 primes of \mathcal{O} and $\text{can}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the natural map (the projection to the factor group).

Proof of Theorem 9.1. The group Z is of multiplicative type. So we can find a finite étale \mathcal{O} -algebra A and a closed embedding $Z \hookrightarrow R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$ into the permutation torus $T^+ = R_{A/\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$. Let $G^+ = (G \times T^+)/Z$ and $T = T^+/Z$, where Z is embedded in $G \times T^+$ diagonally. Clearly $G^+/G = T$. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \{1\} & & \{1\} & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & G' & \xrightarrow{id} & G' & & \\
& & \uparrow \pi & & \uparrow \pi^+ & & \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{j^+} & G^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu^+} & T \longrightarrow \{1\} \\
& & \uparrow i & & \uparrow i^+ & & \uparrow id \\
\{1\} & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{j} & T^+ & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T \longrightarrow \{1\} \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & \{1\} & & \{1\} & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. By [Gr3, Thm.11.7] and Hilbert 90 for the semi-local \mathcal{O} -algebra A one has $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, T^+) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{G}_{m,A}) = \{*\}$. So, the latter diagram gives rise to a commutative diagram of pointed sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G') & \xrightarrow{id} & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G') & & \\
& & \uparrow \pi_* & & \uparrow \pi_*^+ & & \\
G^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}^+} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}^+} & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) & \xrightarrow{j_*^+} & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^+) \\
\uparrow i_*^+ & & \uparrow id & & \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow i_*^+ \\
T^+(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{O}}} & T(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{O}}} & H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \{*\} \\
& & \uparrow \delta_{\pi} & & & & \\
& & G'(\mathcal{O}) & & & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. It follows that π_*^+ has trivial kernel and one has a chain of group isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{O}, Z)/\text{Im}(\delta_{\pi, \mathcal{O}}) = \ker(\pi_*) = \ker(j_*^+) = T(\mathcal{O})/\mu^+(G^+(\mathcal{O})). \quad (24)$$

Clearly these isomorphisms respect \mathcal{O} -homomorphisms of semi-local \mathcal{O} -algebras.

The morphism $\mu^+ : G^+ \rightarrow T$ is a smooth \mathcal{O} -morphism of reductive \mathcal{O} -group schemes, with the torus T . The kernel $\ker(\mu^+)$ is equal to G and G is a reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme. Now by Theorem 8.1 the sequence (17) is exact. Thus the sequence (23) is exact too. \square

10 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof of the semi-simple case of Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{O} and G be the same as in Theorem 1.3 and assume additionally that G is semi-simple. We need to prove that

$$\ker[H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)] = *. \quad (25)$$

Let G^{sc} be the corresponding simply-connected semi-simple \mathcal{O} -group scheme.

Claim 10.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 for all semi-simple reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme G the map $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G^{sc})$ is injective.*

In fact, let $\xi, \zeta \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G^{sc})$ be two elements such that its images ξ_K, ζ_K in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G^{sc})$ are equal. Let ${}_{\xi}G^{sc}, {}_{\zeta}G^{sc}$ be the corresponding principal G^{sc} -bundles over \mathcal{O} and $G^{sc}(\zeta)$ be the inner form of the \mathcal{O} -group scheme G^{sc} corresponding to ζ . The \mathcal{O} -scheme $\underline{Iso}({}_{\xi}G^{sc}, {}_{\zeta}G^{sc})$ is a principal $G^{sc}(\zeta)$ -bundle over \mathcal{O} , which is trivial over K . Since $G^{sc}(\zeta)$ is simply-connected semi-simple reductive over \mathcal{O} , the \mathcal{O} -scheme $\underline{Iso}({}_{\xi}G^{sc}, {}_{\zeta}G^{sc})$ has an \mathcal{O} -point by the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. Whence the Claim.

To finish the proof of the semi-simple case of Theorem 1.3 it remains to repeat literally the arguments from the proof of the semi-simple case of [Pa2, Sect. 11, Proof of Thm. 1.0.3].

To continue the proof of Theorem 1.3 we need the following claim, which is proved exactly as the previous one.

Claim 10.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 for all semi-simple reductive \mathcal{O} -group scheme G the map $H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}, G) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, G)$ is injective.*

The end of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Just repeat literally the arguments from the respecting part of the proof of [Pa2, Section 11]. \square

References

- [A] *Artin, M.* Comparaison avec la cohomologie classique: cas d'un préschéma lisse, in *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas (SGA 4). Tome 3*. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 305, Exp. XI, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [Bh] *Bhatwadekar, S.M.* Analitic isomorphismsms and category of finitely generated modules, Comm. in Algebra, 16 (1988), 1949–1958.
- [C-T/O] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Ojanguren, M.* Espaces Principaux Homogènes Localement Triviaux, Publ. Math. IHÉS 75 (1992), no. 2, 97–122.
- [C-T/P/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Parimala, R.; Sridaran, R.* Un théorème de pureté local, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 309, ser.I, 857–862 (1989).

- [C-T/S] *Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.; Sansuc, J.-J.* Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: Applications, *Journal of Algebra* 106 (1987), 148–205.
- [SGA3] *Demazure, M.; Grothendieck, A.* Schémas en groupes, *Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. 151–153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [D-G] *Demazure, Grothendieck.* Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs. *Lect. Notes Math.*, vol 153.
- [E] *Eisenbud, D.* Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [FP] *Fedorov, R.; Panin, I.* A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semilocal regular ring containing an infinite field, Preprint, April 2013, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1211.2678v2>.
- [Ga] *Gabber, O.* announced and still unpublished.
- [Gil1] *Gille, Ph.* Torseurs sur la droite affine, *Transform. Groups*, 7(3):231–245, 2002.
- [Gil2] *Gille, Ph.* Le problème de Kneser-Tits, *Astérisque*, (326):Exp. No. 983, vii, 39–81 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.
- [Gr1] *Grothendieck, A.* Torsion homologique et section rationnelles, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.
- [Gr2] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer II, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [Gr3] *Grothendieck, A.* Le group de Brauer III: Exemples et compléments, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [EGAIII] *Grothendieck, A.* Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné) : III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Première partie, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* 11 (1961), 5–167.
- [EGAIV] *Grothendieck, A.* Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné) : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* 24 (1965), 5–231.
- [Gr2] *Grothendieck, A.* Le groupe de Brauer II, in *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie de schémas*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1968.
- [MV] *Morel, F.; Voevodsky V.* A^1 -homotopy theory of schemes, *Publ. Math. IHÉS*, 90 (1999), 45–143.

- [Ni1] *Nisnevich, E.A.* Affine homogeneous spaces and finite subgroups of arithmetic groups over function fields, *Functional Analysis and Its Applications* 11 (1977), no. 1, 64–66.
- [Ni2] *Nisnevich, Y.* Rationally Trivial Principal Homogeneous Spaces and Arithmetic of Reductive Group Schemes Over Dedekind Rings, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, 299 (1984), no. 1, 5–8.
- [OP1] *Ojanguren, M.; Panin, I.* A purity theorem for the Witt group, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4)* 32 (1999), no. 1, 71–86.
- [OP2] *Ojanguren, M.; Panin, I.* Rationally trivial hermitian spaces are locally trivial, *Math. Z.* 237 (2001), 181–198.
- [PSV] *Panin, I.; Stavrova, A.; Vavilov, N.* On Grothendieck—Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over reductive group schemes: I, *Compositio Math.* 151 (2015), 535–567.
- [Pa2] *Panin, I.* On Grothendieck-Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over reductive group schemes: II, *Izv. RAN: Ser. Mat.*, 2016, 80:4.
- [P] *Panin, I.* Nice triples and a moving lemma for motivic spaces, preprint, June 2017
- [P1] *Panin, I.* On Grothendieck-Serre conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over regular semi-local domains containing a finite field: I, arXiv:0905.1418
- [P2] *Panin, I.* On Grothendieck-Serre conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over regular semi-local domains containing a finite field: II, arXiv:0905.1423
- [P3] *Panin, I.* Proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal G -bundles over regular local rings containing a finite field, arXiv:1211.2678
- [Pan0] *Panin, I.* A theory of nice triples and a theorem due to O.Gabber, Preprint, June 2017.
- [Pan1] *Panin, I.* Nice triples and Grothendieck—Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over reductive group schemes, Preprint, June 2017.
- [Pan2] *Panin, I.* Two purity theorems and Grothendieck-Serre’s conjecture concerning principal G -bundles over regular semi-local rings, Preprint, June, 2017.
- [Pan3] *Panin, I.* Proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal G -bundles over semi-local regular domains containing a finite field, Preprint, June, 2017.
- [PS] *Panin, I.; Suslin, A.* On a conjecture of Grothendieck concerning Azumaya algebras, *St.Petersburg Math. J.*, 9, no.4, 851–858 (1998)

- [Poo] *Poonen, B.*, Bertini theorems over finite fields, *Annals of Mathematics*, 160 (2004), 1099–1127.
- [ChPoo] *Charles, F., Poonen, B.*, Bertini irreducibility theorems over finite fields, *arXiv:1311.4960v1*, 2013.
- [R1] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Principal bundles admitting a rational section, *Invent. Math.* 116 (1994), no. 1–3, 409–423.
- [R2] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Erratum: Principal bundles admitting a rational section, *Invent. Math.* 121 (1995), no. 1, 223.
- [R3] *Raghunathan, M.S.* Principal bundles on affine space and bundles on the projective line, *Math. Ann.* 285 (1989), 309–332.
- [RR] *Raghunathan, M.S.; Ramanathan, A.* Principal bundles on the affine line, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* 93 (1984), 137–145.
- [R] *Rost, M.* Durch Normengruppen definierte birationale Invarianten. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 10 (1990), ser.I, 189–192.
- [Se] *Serre, J.-P.* Espaces fibrés algébriques, in *Anneaux de Chow et applications*, Séminaire Chevalley, 2-e année, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1958.
- [SV] *Suslin, A., Voevodsky V.* Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties, *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996), no. 1, 61–94
- [Sw] *Swan, R.G.* Néron—Popescu desingularization, *Algebra and Geometry* (Taipei, 1995), *Lect. Algebra Geom.* 2, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998, 135–192.
- [Vo] *Voevodsky, V.* Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers, in *Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories*, *Ann. Math. Studies*, 2000, Princeton University Press.
- [Z] *Zainoulline, K.V.* On Grothendieck’s conjecture on principal homogeneous spaces for some classical algebraic groups, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 12 (2001), no. 1, 117–143.