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#### Abstract

We use the QCD sum rules for the three point correlation functions to compute the strong coupling constants of the meson vertices $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ and $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$. We consider perturbative and nonperturbative contributions, working up to dimension five on the OPE. The vertices were studied considering that each one of its three mesons are off-shell alternately. The vertex coupling constant is evaluated through the extrapolation of the three different form factors. The results obtained for the coupling constants are $g_{\eta_{c} D^{*} D}=5.23_{-1.38}^{+1.80}$ and $g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}}=5.55_{-1.55}^{+1.29}$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we add two more calculations of form factors and coupling constants to the set of charmonium processes: the $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ and $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ vertices. We use here the same technique described in the review of charmonium form factors and coupling constants, that were developed by our group [1]. Our technique, which uses the QCD Sum Rules method (QCDSR) [2, 3], was polished by us and allowed to improve the results with better control of the uncertainties that are typical of the QCDSR method.

The technique of our group takes advantage of the form factor calculation for all the different off-shell cases of a same vertex. The form factor, which is a function of the squared transferred momentum $\left(q^{2}\right)$, can be very different when this momentum is the one that represents the light meson or if it is the momentum that represents the heavy meson of the process. Nevertheless, the coupling constant of the process is unique for the vertex, independent of the meson that is considered off-shell. Our objective doing multiple calculations of the form factors to obtain an unique coupling constant is to minimize the uncertainties of the QCDSR technique, demanding that all form factors of a same vertex converge in the same coupling constant. In this work, we make the calculations of the three possible form factors of the three mesons of the vertices $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ and $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ obtaining a unique coupling constant for each vertex.

The $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ and $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ coupling constants are necessary in some calculations of decay processes, with different motivations. In the paper of Qian Wang et. al. 4], the properties of the $\eta_{c} \rightarrow V V$ decay are studied as an alternative process for the test of intermediate meson loop transition. The form factors and coupling constant are included in the calculation. The results are sensitive to the form factor parameters and the coupling constant used are obtained in the quiral and heavy quark limit.

In studies of $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow J / \psi \eta_{c}$ processes [5], where it was investigated the intermediate mesons loop contribution with $D$ mesons, monopolar form factors are used and for the coupling constant it was adopted the relation in the heavy quark limit.

In general, model predictions for the form factors can vary as much as $30 \%$ which in turn imply large uncertainties in the branching ratios. Besides that, more than two parameters can be necessary in the form factors without a clear reason.

We will take advantage of the similarities between the mesons $D^{(*)}$ and $D_{s}^{(*)}$ in order to
also calculate in this paper the $g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}}$ coupling constant for the $\eta_{c}, D_{s}^{*}$ and $D_{s}$ off-shell cases and we will compare both final coupling constants for each vertex with each other according to the $\mathrm{SU}(4)$ symmetry.

## II. THE THREE POINT CORRELATION FUNCTION

In the QCDSR approach, the coupling constants for three mesons vertices can be evaluated through the computation of the three point correlation function [1]. The correlation functions contain information about the quantum numbers of the mesons that are part of the vertex, and can be computed in two distinct manners: using hadronic degrees of freedom on the phenomenological side, and using quarks and gluons degrees of freedom on the OPE side. The QCDSR are obtained by applying the quark-hadron duality principle, which allows the matching of both sides of the correlation function, by applying a double Borel transformation, thus obtaining an analytic expression for the vertex form factor. In order to compute the numerical value of the coupling constant, the form factor is extrapolated to the meson pole $Q^{2}=-m^{2}$, where $m$ is the meson mass. In the case of vertices with three distinct mesons, this procedure allows to obtain three distinct vertex coupling constants, one for each off-shell meson. However, the vertex is the same regardless of which off-shell meson being considered, hence the three constant couplings obtained should be equal. Using this approach, that were introduced on Ref. [1], the uncertainties are minimized and we obtain only one coupling constant for the vertex.

Considering the case of the vertex $\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}^{(*)}=\left(D^{(*)}, D_{s}^{(*)}\right)$, it is possible to set up the following three different correlation functions, $\Gamma_{\mu}^{(M)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$, where $M$ is the off-shell meson $\left(M=\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}^{*}, \mathcal{D}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) & =\int\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right| T\left\{j_{5}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) j_{5}^{\eta_{c} \dagger}(y) j_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}^{*} \dagger}(0)\right\}\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle e^{i p^{\prime} x} e^{-i q y} d^{4} x d^{4} y,  \tag{1}\\
\Gamma_{\mu}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) & =\int\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right| T\left\{j_{5}^{\eta_{c}}(x) j_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}^{*} \dagger}(y) j_{5}^{\mathcal{D}}(0)\right\}\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle e^{i p^{\prime} x} e^{-i q y} d^{4} x d^{4} y,  \tag{2}\\
\Gamma_{\mu}^{(\mathcal{D})}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) & =\int\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right| T\left\{j_{5}^{\eta_{c}}(x) j_{5}^{\mathcal{D} \dagger}(y) j_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}^{*}}(0)\right\}\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle e^{i p^{\prime} x} e^{-i q y} d^{4} x d^{4} y, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is the non-trivial QCD vacuum, $q=p^{\prime}-p$ is the transferred four-momentum, $j_{5}^{\eta_{c}}$, $j_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}^{*}}$ and $j_{5}^{\mathcal{D}}$ are respectively the $\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ mesons' interpolating currents.

The first step to apply the QCDSR is to compute the phenomenological and OPE sides of the Eqs. (1)-(3).

## A. The phenomenological side

We initiate this section considering the Lagrangian of the hadronic process that is required to compute the phenomenological side of the QCDSR . For the vertex $\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}$, we use the following expression for the Lagrangian $(\mathcal{L})$ [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{* \mathcal{D}}}=-i g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}\left[\mathcal{D}^{*+\alpha}\left(\partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{-} \eta_{c}-\partial_{\alpha} \eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{-}\right)+\mathcal{D}^{*-\alpha}\left(\partial_{\alpha} \eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{+}-\eta_{c} \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{+}\right)\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the former expression, the following vertices are obtained for the cases of the offshell mesons $\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathcal{D}^{*}(p) \eta_{c}(q) \mid \mathcal{D}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=i g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)}\left(q^{2}\right) \epsilon^{\alpha}(p)\left(2 p_{\alpha}^{\prime}-p_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{5}\\
& \left\langle\mathcal{D}(p) \mathcal{D}^{*}(q) \mid \eta_{c}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=i g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)}\left(q^{2}\right) \epsilon^{\alpha}(q)\left(p_{\alpha}^{\prime}+p_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{6}\\
& \left\langle\mathcal{D}^{*}(p) \mathcal{D}(q) \mid \eta_{c}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=i g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\left(q^{2}\right) \epsilon^{\alpha}(p)\left(2 p_{\alpha}^{\prime}-p_{\alpha}\right), \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon^{\alpha}$ is the polarization vector and $g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(M)}\left(q^{2}\right)$ are the vertices' form factors with the off-shell mesons $M=\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}^{*}, \mathcal{D}$.

In order to obtain an expression with hadronic degrees of freedom for the phenomenological side, the intermediate states of the mesons are inserted in the correlation functions, Eqs. (1)-(3), and in which the following matrix elements are used:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle P(q)| j_{5}^{P}|0\rangle & =\langle 0| j_{5}^{P}|P(q)\rangle=f_{P} \frac{m_{P}^{2}}{m_{q_{1}}+m_{q_{2}}}  \tag{8}\\
\langle V(q)| j_{\mu}^{V}|0\rangle & =f_{V} m_{V} \epsilon_{\mu}^{*}(q) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is a pseudo-scalar meson $\left(P=\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}\right), V$ is a vector-meson $\left(V=\mathcal{D}^{*}\right), q$ is the four-momentum of the respective meson, $m_{P, V}$ is the meson mass, $f_{P, V}$ is the meson decay constant, and $m_{q_{1}}$ and $m_{q_{2}}$ are quark constituent masses of the meson $P$.

The expressions thus obtained for the correlation functions on the phenomenological side are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{\mu}^{p h e n\left(\eta_{c}\right)}=C \frac{g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)}\left(q^{2}\right)\left[\left(m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}+m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}-q^{2}\right) p_{\mu}-2 m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2} p_{\mu}^{\prime}\right]}{\left(p^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}\right)}+\text { h.r. },  \tag{10}\\
& \Gamma_{\mu}^{p h e n\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)}=C \frac{g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)}\left(q^{2}\right)\left[\left(m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}\right) p_{\mu}+\left(m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right) p_{\mu}^{\prime}\right]}{\left(p^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}\right)}+h . r .,  \tag{11}\\
& \Gamma_{\mu}^{p h e n(\mathcal{D})}=C \frac{g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\left(q^{2}\right)\left[\left(m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}+m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}-q^{2}\right) p_{\mu}-2 m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2} p_{\mu}^{\prime}\right]}{\left(q^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}\right)}+h . r ., \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where h.r. are the contributions from the resonances and the continuum and $C$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{f_{\eta_{c}} f_{\mathcal{D}^{*}} f_{\mathcal{D}} m_{\eta_{c}}^{2} m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}}{2 m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}} m_{c}\left(m_{c}+m_{q}\right)}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m_{q}=\left(m_{u}, m_{d}, m_{s}\right)$, depending if $\mathcal{D}$ corresponds to the meson $D$ or $D_{s}$.

## B. The OPE side

The OPE side is calculated by inserting the interpolating currents in terms of quark fields in the Eqs. (1)-(3). In this work, we use the following currents:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j_{5}^{\eta_{c}}=i \bar{c} \gamma_{5} c, \\
& j_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}^{*}+}=\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} c, \\
& j_{5}^{\mathcal{D}+}=i \bar{q} \gamma_{5} c,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q$ is a light quark ( $q=u, d, s$ ) whose flavor corresponds to the light quark of the given open charm meson, $\mathcal{D}=\left(D^{(*) \pm}, D_{s}^{(*) \pm}\right)$.

The OPE side is regarded as an ordinate series by the Wilson operators, obtained from the expansion of the correlation function. The series is dominated by the perturbative term $\left(\Gamma_{\mu}^{\text {pert }(M)}\right)$, followed by the non-perturbative contributions to the correlator $\left(\Gamma_{\mu}^{\text {non-pert }(M)}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{\mathrm{OPE}(M)}=\Gamma_{\mu}^{\operatorname{pert}(M)}+\Gamma_{\mu}^{\operatorname{non-pert}(M)} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the calculation of form factors for three mesons' vertices, such expansion usually presents a fast convergence of the series and can be truncated after a few terms. In this work, we
consider non-perturbative contributions on the OPE up to fifth order, which includes the quark-gluon mixed condensates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{\text {non-pert }}=\Gamma_{\mu}^{\langle\bar{q} q\rangle}+\Gamma_{\mu}^{m_{q}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle}+\Gamma_{\mu}^{\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle}+\Gamma_{\mu}^{\langle\bar{q} g \sigma G q\rangle}+\Gamma_{\mu}^{m_{q}\langle\bar{q} g \sigma G q\rangle} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagrams contributing to the correlation functions that are calculated in this work are shown in Fig. 1. Notice that there are suppressed diagrams that are omitted in this figure. The case of off-shell $\eta_{c}$ is the only one that has contributions from all the non-perturbative terms of the Eq. 15), this being an effect of the double Borel transformation that suppresses all the non-perturbative diagrams except for the gluon condensates (Fig. 1 (d-i)) for the cases of off-shell $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{*}$.

The perturbative term for a given off-shell meson $M$ (Fig. 1(a)) can be written in terms of a dispersion relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{\operatorname{pert}(M)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_{\mu}^{\operatorname{pert}(M)}(s, u, t)}{\left(s-p^{2}\right)\left(u-p^{\prime 2}\right)} d s d u \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{\mu}^{\operatorname{pert}(M)}(s, u, t)$ is the spectral density of the perturbative term, which is related to the imaginary part of the correlation function, $\rho_{\mu}^{\text {pert }(M)}(s, u, t)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left[\Gamma_{\mu}^{\text {pert }(M)}(s, u, t)\right]$. The following expression is obtained by applying the Cutkosky rules and by the use of Lorentz symmetries:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mu}^{\operatorname{pert}(M)}(s, u, t)=\frac{3}{2 \sqrt{\lambda}}\left[F_{p}^{(M)}(s, u, t) p_{\mu}+F_{p^{\prime}}^{(M)}(s, u, t) p_{\mu}^{\prime}\right], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=(u+s-t)^{2}-4 u s$, and the functions $F_{p}^{(M)}$ and $F_{p^{\prime}}^{(M)}$ are the invariant amplitudes. For the cases studied in this work, these amplitudes can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{p}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)} & =A(s-u-t)-u-\left(m_{q}-m_{c}\right)^{2},  \tag{18}\\
F_{p^{\prime}}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)} & =B(s-u-t)-s+\left(m_{q}-m_{c}\right)^{2},  \tag{19}\\
F_{p}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)} & =A(s+u-t)-u,  \tag{20}\\
F_{p^{\prime}}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)} & =B(s+u-t)-s+\left(m_{q}-m_{c}\right)^{2},  \tag{21}\\
F_{p}^{(\mathcal{D})} & =A(s-u-t)+u,  \tag{22}\\
F_{p^{\prime}}^{(\mathcal{D})} & =B(s-u-t)-s+\left(m_{q}-m_{c}\right)^{2}, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\frac{\bar{k}_{0}}{\sqrt{s}}-\frac{p_{0}^{\prime}|\overrightarrow{\vec{k}}| \overline{\cos \theta}}{\left|\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right| \sqrt{s}}\right], \quad B=\frac{|\overrightarrow{\vec{k} \mid}| \overline{\cos \theta}}{\left|\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right|}, \quad \bar{k}_{0}=\frac{s+\epsilon\left(m_{q}^{2}-m_{c}^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{s}}, \\
& \left\lvert\, \overrightarrow{\vec{k} \mid}=\sqrt{\bar{k}_{0}^{2}+\frac{(\epsilon-1)}{2} m_{c}^{2}-\frac{(\epsilon+1)}{2} m_{q}^{2}}\right., \quad \overline{\cos \theta}=\frac{2 p_{0}^{\prime} \bar{k}_{0}-u+\frac{1+\epsilon}{2}\left(m_{c}^{2}-m_{q}^{2}\right)}{2\left|\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right| \mid \overrightarrow{\vec{k} \mid}}, \\
& p_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{s+u-t}{2 \sqrt{s}}, \quad\left|\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \sqrt{s}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\epsilon=1(-1)$ for the off-shell $\eta_{c}\left(\mathcal{D}^{(*)}\right)$. The quantities $\bar{k}_{0},|\vec{k}|$, and $\overline{\cos \theta}$ are the centers of the $\delta$-functions that are present in the Cutkosky rules, and $s=p^{2}, u=p^{\prime 2}, t=q^{2}$ are the Mandelstam variables.

The first non-perturbative terms contributing to the correlation function is the quark condensate $\langle\bar{q} q\rangle$, shown on the (b)-diagram of Fig. 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{\langle\bar{q} q\rangle\left(\eta_{c}\right)}=\frac{m_{c}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle\left[p_{\mu}+p_{\mu}^{\prime}\right]}{\left(p^{2}-m_{c}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{c}^{2}\right)} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (c)- diagram shown on Fig. 1 represents the mass term of the quark condensate, $m_{q}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle$, which is numerically suppressed due to the low values of the light quark masses $m_{q}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{m_{q}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle\left(\eta_{c}\right)}=m_{q}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \frac{\left[2 m_{c}^{2}\left(p^{2}-p^{\prime} \cdot p-\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{2}\right)+p^{\prime 2}\left(2 p^{\prime} \cdot p-p^{2}\right)\right] p_{\mu}+m_{c}^{2}\left(2 m_{c}^{2}-p^{2}-p^{\prime 2}\right) p_{\mu}^{\prime}}{2\left(p^{2}-m_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contributions from the charm quark condensate are very small and can be safely neglected. The complete expressions for the contributions from gluon condensates ( $\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle$, Fig. 1 (d-i)), and from quark-gluons mixed condensates $(\langle\bar{q} g \sigma \cdot G q\rangle$, Fig. 1 (j-o)) can be found in the Appendix A for the case of the off-shell $\eta_{c}$.

## C. The sum rule

The sum rule is obtained using the quark-hadron duality principle, matching the phenomenological and OPE sides:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{\mu}^{O P E(M)}\right]\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{\mu}^{p h e n(M)}\right]\left(M, M^{\prime}\right), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the double Borel transform $(\mathcal{B B})$ was applied [6, 7], with the following variable transformations: $P^{2}=-p^{2} \rightarrow M^{2}$ and $P^{\prime 2}=-p^{\prime 2} \rightarrow M^{\prime 2}$, where $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are the Borel masses.

In order to eliminate the h.r. terms appearing on the phenomenological side of the Eqs. (10)-(12), the threshold continuum parameters, $s_{0}$ and $u_{0}$, are introduced in the limits of the integrals on the OPE side. These are cutoff parameters that satisfy the relations $m_{i}^{2}<s_{0}<m_{i}^{\prime 2}$ and $m_{o}^{2}<u_{0}<m_{o}^{\prime 2}$, where $m_{i}$ and $m_{o}$ are, respectively, the masses of the off-shell mesons that comes in and out of the diagrams shown on Fig. 1, and $m^{\prime}$ is the mass of the first excited state of such mesons. The application of the quark-hadron duality principle allows us to identify that the integrals from $s_{0}$ and $u_{0}$ up to infinity in the Eq. (16) correspond to the h.r. terms on the phenomenological side, thus canceling such terms from the sum rules.

After these two steps, the Eq. (26) can be used to compute the expressions for the form factors of each one of the off-shell mass cases. The expressions for the structures $p_{\mu}$ of the cases with off-shell $\eta_{c}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{*}$, and $p_{\mu}^{\prime}$ for the case with off-shell $\mathcal{D}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{-\frac{3}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{s_{\text {inf }}}^{s_{0}} \int_{u_{\text {inf }}}^{u_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} F_{p_{\mu}}^{\left(\eta_{c}\right)} e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}} e^{-\frac{u}{M^{\prime 2}}} d s d u+\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{p_{\mu}}^{\text {non-pert }}\right]}{\frac{-C\left(Q^{2}+m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}+m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right)}{\left(Q^{2}+m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}\right)} e^{-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2} / M^{2}} e^{-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} / M^{\prime 2}}},  \tag{27}\\
& g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{-\frac{3}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{s_{i n f}}^{s_{0}} \int_{u_{i n f}}^{u_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} F_{p_{\mu}}^{\left(\mathcal{D}^{*}\right)} e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}} e^{-\frac{u}{M^{\prime 2}}} d s d u+\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{p_{\mu}}^{\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle}\right]}{\frac{C\left(m_{\eta_{c}}^{2}-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}+m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right)}{\left(Q^{2}+m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}\right)} e^{-m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2} / M^{2}} e^{-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2} / M^{\prime 2}}},  \tag{28}\\
& g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(\mathcal{D})}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{-\frac{3}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{s_{i n f}}^{s_{0}} \int_{u_{i n f}}^{u_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} F_{p_{\mu}^{\prime}}^{(\mathcal{D})} e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}} e^{-\frac{u}{M^{\prime 2}}} d s d u+\mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}\left[\Gamma_{p_{\mu}^{\prime}}^{\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle}\right]}{\frac{2 C m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2}}{\left(Q^{2}+m_{\mathcal{D}}^{2}\right)} e^{-m_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}^{2} / M^{2}} e^{-m_{\eta_{c}}^{2} / M^{\prime 2}}}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constant $C$ is defined in the Eq. (13).
The coupling constant $g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}=\lim _{Q^{2} \rightarrow-m_{M}^{2}} g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(M)}\left(Q^{2}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is once again the off-shell meson.
The above expression implies that, in order to obtain the coupling constant, it is necessary to extrapolate the numerical results of the form factors to the region $Q^{2}<0$, outside of the deep Euclidean region where the QCDSR are valid. From the Eqs. (27), (28) and (29), it is clear that it is possible to evaluate the coupling constant $g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{* \mathcal{D}}}$ from three distinct form factors, one for each case of off-shell mass. However, these coupling constants must
present the same value, regardless of the extrapolated form factor. This condition is used to minimize the uncertainties existing in the coupling constant calculation, as it will be clear in the next section.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Eqs. 27), (28) and (29) shows the three different form factors that can be obtained for the vertices. In order to minimize the uncertainties that comes from the extrapolation of the QCD results, it is required that the three form factors lead to the same coupling constant for the limits $Q^{2}=-M^{2}\left(M=\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}^{*}, \mathcal{D}\right)$ [8]. The Table $\mathbb{I}$ shows the values of the masses of the mesons that were used in this work.

TABLE I: Masses of the mesons present in this work.

| Meson | $\eta_{c}$ | $D^{*}$ | $D$ | $D_{s}^{*}$ | $D_{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass $(\mathrm{GeV})[9]$ | 2.983 | 2.010 | 1.869 | 2.112 | 1.968 |

We consider that reliable results are obtained from the QCDSR if such results show good stability in relation to the Borel masses $M$ and $M^{\prime}$. The set of values of the Borel masses for which the QCDSR are stable are called the "Borel window". The window is defined by imposing that the pole contribution must be larger than the continuum contribution and that the contribution of the perturbative term of the OPE must be at least $50 \%$ of the total.

We use the ansatz $M^{\prime 2}=\frac{m_{o}^{2}}{m_{i}^{2}} M^{2}$, which relates the Borel masses $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, decreasing the computational effort for the QCDSR calculations. In such ansatz, $m_{o}$ and $m_{i}$ are the masses of the mesons related with the quadrimomenta $p^{\prime}$ and $p$ respectively. For each value of $Q^{2}$, the mean value of the form factors are computed within the Borel window, and thus it is not necessary to choose to work with a fixed value for the Borel mass, minimizing the uncertainties associated with this parameter [10, 11]. The standard deviation is then used to automate the analysis of the stability of the form factors related to the Borel mass and the continuum threshold parameters. The criterion of the Borel window stability establishes the optimal values that must be used for the continuum threshold and the Borel window parameters. Therefore, not only the stability will be assured within the Borel window, but also within the interval of values of $Q^{2}$ that will be used.

The continuum threshold parameters are defined as $s_{0}=\left(m_{i}+\Delta_{i}\right)^{2}$ and $u_{0}=\left(m_{o}+\Delta_{o}\right)^{2}$, where the quantities $\Delta_{i}$ and $\Delta_{o}$ are determined by the aforementioned criterion of stability of the QCDSR. The function of such parameters is to include the pole contribution and to simultaneously exclude the h.r. contributions of the QCDSR. For this purpose, the values of $\Delta_{\eta_{c}}, \Delta_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ should not be very far from the experimental values (when available) of the difference between the pole masses and the first excited state of each meson [9, 12, 13]. The values of $\Delta_{\eta_{c}}, \Delta_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ determined in our analysis were $\Delta_{\eta_{c}}=\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}=0.6 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}^{*}}=0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$. In the Fig. 2, it is possible to see that the use of these values leads to stable Borel windows for all the off-shell cases of both vertices. The plot for the case of the $\mathcal{D}$ off-shell were omitted from Fig. 2 due to the similarity with the $\mathcal{D}^{*}$ off-shell case.

In Fig. 2, it is also possible to verify that the perturbative term is in fact the leading term of the OPE, followed by the quark condensates (only for the case of the $\eta_{c}$ off-shell) and the gluon condensates. In the same figure, it is shown that the contribution from the terms $\langle\bar{s} g \sigma G s\rangle$ are small and could easily be neglected without significantly altering the results.

With regard of the choice of the tensorial structures used in this work, if it was possible to use the complete OPE series, both the structures $p_{\mu}$ and $p_{\mu}^{\prime}$ from the Eq. (26), would lead to a valid QCDSR. In the actual calculation however, in which the OPE series must be truncated at some order, some approximations are necessary to deal with the h.r. terms that appear in phenomenological side. It is not possible, therefore, to use both structures with equivalence. We use herein the $p_{\mu}$ structure for the cases in which $\eta_{c}$ or $\mathcal{D}^{*}$ are off-shell while using the $p_{\mu}^{\prime}$ structure in the $\mathcal{D}$ off-shell case. The $p_{\mu}$ structure for the case of $\mathcal{D}$ off-shell does not lead to coupling constants consistent with the other off-shell cases. The same applies to the $p_{\mu}^{\prime}$ structure of the $\eta_{c}$ off-shell case, while for the $\mathcal{D}^{*}$ off-shell case, this structure does not allow to obtain a valid Borel window.

In the Table II, it is presented the form factors $g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(M)}\left(Q^{2}\right)\left(M=\eta_{c}, \mathcal{D}^{*}, \mathcal{D}\right)$ obtained herein and their respective windows for $Q^{2}$ and $M^{2}$. It is also shown in this table the coupling constants $g_{\eta_{c} D^{*} D}$ and $g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}}$ obtained from these form factors with their error estimates. In order to obtain the form factors, the fit of the results were made using the monopolar $\left(\frac{A}{B+Q^{2}}\right)$ or exponential $\left(A e^{-} Q^{2} / B\right)$ curves in all cases, for simplicity and consistency with our previous works. The form factors for the case $\eta_{c}$ off-shell were well adjusted for both monopolar and exponential curves, but the monopolar adjustment presented the coupling constants more in line with other off-shell cases. The cases of $\mathcal{D}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ off-shell could
only be adjusted by exponential curves, while the monopolar adjustments led to divergences in the calculation of the coupling constant. The Fig. 3 shows the fits used for all three off-shell cases of both vertices included in this study. The coupling constants in this figure are represented by the points with error bars, where we see that for each vertex, the three off-shell cases lead to coupling constants compatible with each other within a confidence range of $1 \sigma$.

TABLE II: Parametrization of the form factors and numerical results for the coupling constant of this work. The calculation of $\sigma$ is explained in the text.

| Vertex | Off-shell meson | $Q^{2}\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ | $M^{2}\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ | $g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(M)}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ | $g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*} \mathcal{D}}^{(M)} \pm \sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\eta_{c}$ | $[1.0,4.0]$ | $[2.9,4.0]$ | $\frac{58.79}{20.07+Q^{2}}$ | $5.25_{-0.80}^{+0.75}$ |
| $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ | $D^{*}$ | $[1.0,3.5]$ | $[1.2,2.2]$ | $1.739 e^{-Q^{2} / 4.158}$ | $4.60_{-0.75}^{+0.77}$ |
|  | $D$ | $[1.0,3.0]$ | $[1.1,2.1]$ | $2.294 e^{-Q^{2} / 3.747}$ | $5.83_{-1.16}^{+1.20}$ |
|  | $\eta_{c}$ | $[1.0,4.0]$ | $[3.7,5.3]$ | $\frac{78.67}{21.46+Q^{2}}$ | $6.25_{-0.64}^{+0.59}$ |
| $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ | $D_{s}^{*}$ | $[1.0,4.0]$ | $[1.4,2.4]$ | $1.662 e^{-Q^{2} / 4.299}$ | $4.69_{-0.69}^{+0.71}$ |
|  | $D_{s}$ | $[1.0,3.5]$ | $[1.4,2.4]$ | $2.200 e^{-Q^{2} / 4.051}$ | $5.72_{-0.68}^{+0.71}$ |

We use in this work the same procedures shown in Ref. [14, 15] for estimating errors of coupling constants. This estimate has been done by studying the coupling constant behavior with the individual variation of each of the parameters involved in the calculations within their own uncertainties. All parameters considered in the estimation of the coupling constants errors are shown in Table III, as well as their values and their uncertainties. The masses, decay constants and condensates have their own errors from experiments or from theoretical calculations in the literature. The uncertainty due to the Borel mass $M^{2}$ was computed by the standard deviation of the form factor within the Borel window. The error due to the $Q^{2}$ window was estimated by calculating the effect that large variations in this window (variations of $\pm 20 \%$ in its width and its upper and lower limits) has on the constant coupling. The same was done with the continuum threshold parameters $\left(\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{o}\right)$, whose the studied variation was $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{GeV}(\sim 20 \%)$ in both parameters. In the error estimations it was also taken into account variations in the fitting parameters of the form factors shown in Table II.

Finally, we calculate the mean and standard deviation of all these parameter variations.

In the Table III, it is presented the deviations percentage of the coupling constant due to individual variation of each parameter for the two vertices studied and its respective three off-shell cases. In this table we can see that most of the parameters has little impact on the value of the coupling constant $\left(\Delta_{g_{n_{c} \mathcal{D}^{*}}}<10 \%\right)$. We believe that this good behavior makes unnecessary a more sophisticated analysis of the parameters of QCDSR.

From the results presented in Table II, we compute the mean value of the coupling constants obtained for both vertices and we obtain as our final results the following coupling constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\eta_{c} D^{*} D} & =5.23_{-1.38}^{+1.80}  \tag{31}\\
g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}} & =5.55_{-1.55}^{+1.29} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have obtained the constant coupling of the charmed meson vertices $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ and $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$, applying the QCDSR formalism for three different off-shell mesons. The advantage of this method is the minimization of the uncertainties of the calculations.

The numerical results obtained for the coupling constants are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\eta_{c} D^{*} D} & =5.23_{-1.38}^{+1.80}, \\
g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}} & =5.55_{-1.55}^{+1.29} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between the values of the coupling constants is expected due to the breaking of the $S U(4)$ symmetry. The effect is an increase of about $6 \%$ in the value of the coupling constant when the mass of the strange-quark is introduced in the calculations of the correlation functions. The parametrization of the form factors are similar to our previous works. The monopolar parametrization works for the cases with the heaviest meson off-shell $\left(\eta_{c}\right)$, while the gaussian exponential works for the lightest ones are off-shell.

We can also compare our results for the coupling constants with previous calculations, that are shown in Table IV.

The comparison with the coupling constants obtained from chiral and heavy quark limit relation (HQL) combined with the vector meson dominance (VMD) in Refs. [5, 27] shows that the values are compatible within the errors. The difference between them for our value

TABLE III: Percentage deviation of the coupling constants $\left(\Delta g_{\eta_{c} \mathcal{D} * \mathcal{D}}\right)$ coming from the propagation of the error in each parameter.

|  |  |  | ion | $\mathcal{D}^{*}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertex |  | $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ |  |  | $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ |  |
| Parameter / Off-shell meson | $\eta_{c}$ | $D^{*}$ | D | $\eta_{c}$ | $D_{s}^{*}$ | D |
| $f_{\eta_{c}}=394.7 \pm 2.4(\mathrm{MeV})$ [16] | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| $f_{D^{*}}=242_{-12}^{+20}(\mathrm{MeV})$ [17] | 5.32 | 5.32 | 5.33 | - | - | - |
| $f_{D}=206.7 \pm 8.5 \pm 2.5(\mathrm{MeV})$ [18] | 4.35 | 4.36 | 4.35 | - | - | - |
| $f_{D_{s}^{*}}=301 \pm 13(\mathrm{MeV})$ [19, 20] | - | - | - | 3.53 | 3.53 | 3.53 |
| $f_{D_{s}}=257.5 \pm 6.1(\mathrm{MeV})$ [21] | - | - | - | 1.93 | 1.93 | 1.93 |
| $m_{c}=1.27_{-0.09}^{+0.07}(\mathrm{GeV}) 21$ | 3.90 | 3.33 | 6.64 | 3.38 | 2.74 | 5.89 |
| $m_{s}=101_{-21}^{+29}(\mathrm{MeV})$ [21] | - | - | - | 3.61 | 0.40 | 3.24 |
| $M^{2}\left(\mathrm{MeV}^{2}\right)^{(a)}$ | 7.57 | 13.55 | 16.97 | 1.57 | 12.55 | 7.82 |
| $\Delta_{i} \pm 0.1(\mathrm{GeV}), \Delta_{o} \pm 0.1(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 6.43 | 4.63 | 3.14 | 5.25 | 4.82 | 3.06 |
| $Q^{2} \pm 20 \%\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)^{(a)}$ | 4.26 | 2.11 | 1.03 | 3.61 | 3.27 | 2.06 |
| $\langle\bar{u} u\rangle=\langle\bar{d} d\rangle=-(230 \pm 30)^{3}\left(\mathrm{MeV}^{3}\right)$ [22, 23] | 2.35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\langle\bar{s} s\rangle=-(290 \pm 15)^{3}\left(\mathrm{MeV}^{3}\right)$ [24] | - | - | - | 1.24 | - | - |
| $\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle=0.88 \pm 0.16\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{4}\right)$ [25] | 2.21 | 0.61 | 2.58 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 2.93 |
| $\langle\bar{q} g \sigma \cdot G q\rangle=(0.8 \pm 0.2)\langle\bar{q} q\rangle\left(\mathrm{GeV}^{5}\right)[26]$ | 3.63 | - | - | 1.33 | - | - |
| Fitting parameters | 3.84 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 3.31 | 0.28 | 0.16 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The intervals for these quantities are those of Table II
are of approximately $30 \%$. However, the values obtained in Ref. [28] using relativistic QCM are much bigger than our results and those from VMD, about $250-300 \%$ for the mean values. This approach the coupling constant is obtained using a Gaussian parametrization. In our case, QCDSR method, we use the convergence of three different form factors of the same vertex to obtain the coupling constant. The QCDSR method thus reduce the erros derived from the parametrization.

If we compare our results of the coupling constants using $S U(4)$ relations, $g_{\eta_{c} D^{*} D}$ and $g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}}$ with our previous QCDSR results for the couplings $g_{J / \psi D D}$ and $g_{J / \psi D_{s} D_{s}}$ [1]. There

TABLE IV: Values of the coupling constants computed with different approaches: Vector Meson Dominance (VMD) and relativistic Constituent Quark Model (CQM).

| Method \& References | $g_{\eta_{c} D^{*} D}$ | $g_{\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This work | $5.23_{-1.38}^{+1.80}$ | $5.55_{-1.55}^{+1.29}$ |
| VMD [27] | 7.68 | - |
| VMD [5] | 7.44 | - |
| relativistic CQM [28] | $15.51 \pm 0,45$ | $14.15 \pm 0.52$ |
| QCDSR and SU(4) [10, [22] | $5.8 \pm 0.8$ | $5.98_{-0.58}^{+0.67}$ |

are compatibility within $1 \sigma$ and varying approximately $10 \%$ from each other.
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## Appendix A

Here we present the full expressions for the contributions coming from the condensates $\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle$ and $\langle\bar{q} g \sigma G q\rangle$ to the correlator of Eq. (15) in the $\eta_{c}$ off-shell case.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{p_{\mu}}^{\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle}\right]= & \frac{\left\langle g^{2} G^{2}\right\rangle}{96 \pi^{2}} \int_{1 / M^{2}}^{\infty} d \alpha e^{\frac{t\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right)}{M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}}+\frac{\alpha\left(1+\frac{M^{\prime 2}}{M^{2}}\right)\left[m_{c}^{2}-m_{s}^{2}-\alpha^{2} m_{c}^{2} M^{\prime 2}\right]}{\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1}}\left(F_{(d)}+F_{(e)}\right. \\
& \left.+F_{(f)}+F_{(g)}+F_{(h)}+F_{(i)}\right) p_{\mu} \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(d)}= & \left(M ^ { \prime 6 } \left(\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}+\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4}-3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q} M^{4}+6 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q} M^{4}\right.\right. \\
& -3 m_{q} M^{4}+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} M^{4}-7 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c} M^{4}+3 m_{c} M^{4}+\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}+\alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{2} \\
& +\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{3} M^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{3} M^{2}-\alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{3} M^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} M^{2}-3 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c} M^{2}+M^{\prime 2} m_{c} M^{2}+\alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q}^{2}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{3}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{3} \\
& \left.\left.-\alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{3}\right)\right) /\left(\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right) M^{6}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{(e)}=\left(M ^ { \prime 2 } \left(2 \alpha^{2} m_{q}^{3} M^{8}+2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{8}-2 \alpha^{2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{8}+2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{q} M^{8}-8 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{q} M^{8}\right.\right. \\
& +10 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q} M^{8}-4 \alpha m_{q} M^{8}-\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} M^{8}+5 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} M^{8}-7 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} M^{8}+3 \alpha m_{c} M^{8} \\
& +2 \alpha^{5} M^{\prime 8} m_{q} t M^{6}-6 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{q} t M^{6}+8 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{q} t M^{6}-6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q} t M^{6}+2 \alpha m_{q} t M^{6} \\
& +4 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} t M^{6}-6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} t M^{6}+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} t M^{6}-\alpha m_{c} t M^{6}-2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{3} M^{6} \\
& +4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{3} M^{6}+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{3} M^{6}+2 \alpha m_{q}^{3} M^{6}+\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{6}+4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{6} \\
& -5 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{6}-2 \alpha^{5} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{6}+6 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{6}-8 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{6} \\
& +6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{6}-2 \alpha m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{6}-4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{q} M^{6}+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q} M^{6}+4 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q} M^{6} \\
& -4 m_{q} M^{6}+\alpha^{5} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{3} M^{6}-3 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{3} M^{6}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{3} M^{6}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{3} M^{6}+4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} M^{6} \\
& -8 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} M^{6}+4 \alpha M^{2} m_{c} M^{6}+2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{q} t M^{4}-2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{q} t M^{4}-2 \alpha^{2} M^{4} m_{q} t M^{4} \\
& +2 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q} t M^{4}-2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} t M^{4}+6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} t M^{4}-6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} t M^{4}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c} t M^{4} \\
& -4 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{q}^{3} M^{4}+8 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{3} M^{4}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{3} M^{4}+6 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{3} M^{4}+2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{4} \\
& +2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}-4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}-4 \alpha^{5} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4}+12 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4} \\
& -18 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4}+16 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4}-6 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{4}-2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{q} M^{4} \\
& +4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{q} M^{4}-10 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{q} M^{4}+16 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{q} M^{4}-8 M^{\prime 2} m_{q} M^{4}+2 \alpha^{5} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{3} M^{4} \\
& -6 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{3} M^{4}+6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{3} M^{4}-2 \alpha^{2} M^{4} m_{c}^{3} M^{4}+\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c} M^{4}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} M^{4} \\
& -\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} M^{4}+\alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c} M^{4}+2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{q} t M^{2}-4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{q} t M^{2}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{q} t M^{2} \\
& -2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c} t M^{2}+6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} t M^{2}-6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} t M^{2}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c} t M^{2}-2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{q}^{3} M^{2} \\
& +4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{q}^{3} M^{2}+6 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{3} M^{2}+\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{2} \\
& -2 \alpha^{5} M^{\prime 1} 2 m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}+6 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}-12 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}+14 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2} \\
& -6 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}-4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} m_{q} M^{2}+8 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{q} M^{2}-4 M^{\prime 4} m_{q} M^{2}+\alpha^{5} M^{\prime 1} 2 m_{c}^{3} M^{2} \\
& -3 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{3} M^{2}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{3} M^{2}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{3} M^{2}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{3}-2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} \\
& \left.\left.+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} m_{q}-2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} m_{q}-\alpha^{5} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} t M^{6}\right)\right) /\left(2\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right)^{4}\right) \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(f)}= & \left(M ^ { \prime 2 } ( M ^ { 2 } + M ^ { \prime 2 } ) \left(\alpha^{2} m_{q}^{3} M^{4}+\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}-\alpha^{2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}-2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q} M^{4}+2 \alpha m_{q} M^{4}\right.\right. \\
& -3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} M^{4}+6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} M^{4}-3 \alpha m_{c} M^{4}+\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{3} M^{2}+\alpha m_{q}^{3} M^{2}+\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{2} \\
& -\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}-\alpha m_{c}^{2} m_{q} M^{2}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q} M^{2}+m_{q} M^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{3}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} m_{q}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} m_{q}-\alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} m_{q}\right)\right) /\left(\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{4} M^{6}\right) \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(g)}= & \left(M ^ { \prime 2 } \left(3 \alpha^{2} m_{q}^{2} M^{8}+6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{8}-6 \alpha^{2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{8}+\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} M^{8}-2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} M^{8}+\alpha M^{8}-M^{6}\right.\right. \\
& +\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} t M^{6}-2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} t M^{6}+\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} t M^{6}+8 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{2} M^{6}+3 \alpha m_{q}^{2} M^{6}+15 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6} \\
& -12 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}-3 \alpha m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}-\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{6}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{6}+5 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} M^{6}-2 M^{\prime 4} M^{2} \\
& -3 \alpha m_{c}^{2} M^{6}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} M^{6}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 2} M^{6}+\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} t M^{4}-2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} t M^{4}+\alpha M^{\prime 2} t M^{4}+7 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{2} M^{4} \\
& +8 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}+12 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4}-6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4}-6 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4}-2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} M^{4} \\
& -4 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}+14 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}-8 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} M^{4}-\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} M^{4}+5 \alpha M^{\prime 4} M^{4} \\
& +2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}+7 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} m_{q} M^{2}-3 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{2}-\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2} M^{2} \\
& -5 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}+13 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}-7 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} M^{2}+4 \alpha M^{\prime 6} M^{2}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.-3 M^{\prime 2} M^{4}-2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2}+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2}-2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2}\right)\right) /\left(\alpha\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2} M^{2}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}\right) \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(h)}= & \left(M ^ { \prime 2 } \left(\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{2} M^{6}-3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}+\alpha M^{\prime 2} M^{6}-M^{6}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} t M^{4}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} t M^{4}\right.\right. \\
& -\alpha M^{\prime 2} t M^{4}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}+6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4}-6 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4} \\
& -5 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}+10 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}-5 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}+3 \alpha M^{\prime 4} M^{4}-3 M^{\prime 2} M^{4}+\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{2} M^{2} \\
& +4 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} m_{q} M^{2}-3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q} M^{2}-7 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}+14 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{2} \\
& -7 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} M^{2}-2 M^{\prime 4} M^{2}+2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{2}-2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2}+4 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.-2 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}\right)\right) /\left(\alpha\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right) M^{2}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}\right) \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{(i)}= & \left(M ^ { \prime 2 } \left(\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{2} M^{8}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{8}-3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{8}+2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} M^{8}-5 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} M^{8}-M^{\prime 2} M^{6}\right.\right. \\
& +4 \alpha M^{\prime 2} M^{8}-M^{8}+\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} t M^{6}-3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} t M^{6}+3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} t M^{6}-\alpha M^{\prime 2} t M^{6}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{2} M^{6} \\
& +2 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{q}^{2} M^{6}+6 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}-3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}-3 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c} m_{q} M^{6}-\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} M^{6} \\
& +3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{6}-2 \alpha M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} M^{6}+2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} M^{6}-5 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} M^{6}+4 \alpha M^{\prime 4} M^{6}-4 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{2} \\
& +7 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}+\alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}+5 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{q}^{2} M^{4}+3 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4}+3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4} \\
& -6 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c} m_{q} M^{4}-2 \alpha^{4} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}+8 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}-5 \alpha M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{4} \\
& +4 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{q}^{2} M^{2}+3 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 8} m_{c} m_{q} M^{2}-3 \alpha M^{\prime 6} m_{c} m_{q} M^{2}-\alpha^{4} M^{\prime 12} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}-2 \alpha^{3} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2} M^{2} \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha M^{\prime 8} m_{q}^{2}-\alpha^{3} M^{\prime 22} m_{c}^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} M^{\prime 10} m_{c}^{2}-\alpha M^{\prime 8} m_{c}^{2}\right)\right) /\left(\alpha\left(\alpha M^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2} M^{4}\left(M^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}\right) \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{p_{\mu}}^{\langle\bar{q} \sigma G q\rangle}\right]= & \frac{m_{c}\langle\bar{q} g \sigma G q\rangle}{4 M^{2} M^{\prime 2}} p_{\mu} e^{-\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M^{2}}} e^{-\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M^{\prime 2}}}\left[\left(m_{c}^{2}+M^{\prime 2}\right) M^{4}+\left(m_{c}^{2}-t\right) M^{2} M^{\prime 2}\right. \\
& \left.+m_{c}^{2} M^{\prime 4}\right] \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B B}\left[\Gamma_{p_{\mu}}^{m_{q}\langle\bar{q} g \sigma G q\rangle}\right]= & -\frac{m_{q}\langle\bar{q} g \sigma G q\rangle}{24 M^{\prime 6} M^{6}} p_{\mu} e^{-2 \frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M^{2}}}\left(2 m_{c}^{4} M^{6}+33 M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} M^{6}-40 M^{\prime 4} M^{6}-6 M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{2} t M^{4}\right. \\
& -10 M^{\prime 4} t M^{4}+6 M^{\prime 2} m_{c}^{4} M^{4}+10 M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{2} M^{4}-3 M^{\prime 6} t M^{2}+6 M^{\prime 4} m_{c}^{4} M^{2} \\
& \left.+15 M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} M^{2}-2 M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{2} t+2 M^{\prime 6} m_{c}^{4}-6 M^{\prime 6} M^{4}\right) \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$
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FIG. 1: Contributing OPE $\mathcal{E}^{0}$ diagrams for $\eta_{c}(\mathcal{D})$ off-shell.


FIG. 2: OPE contributions to the form factors of the vertices $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ for $\eta_{c}$ off-shell (panel (a)) and $D^{*}$ off-shell (panel (b)) and $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ for $\eta_{c}$ off-shell (panel (c)) and $D_{s}^{*}$ off-shell (panel (d)).


FIG. 3: Form factors of the $\eta_{c} D^{*} D$ vertex (panel (a)) and the $\eta_{c} D_{s}^{*} D_{s}$ vertex (panel (b)). Parametrizations are summarized in Table II. The coupling constants are represented by the points with the error bars.
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