

# Anomalous current from covariant Wigner functions

George Prokhorov<sup>1\*</sup> and Oleg Teryaev<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*

## Abstract

We consider accelerated and rotating media of weakly interacting fermions in local thermodynamic equilibrium. Kinetic properties of this media are described by covariant Wigner function calculated on the basis of relativistic distribution function of particles with spin. We obtain the formulae for axial current beyond the approximation of smallness of thermal vorticity tensor and chemical potential and calculate its divergence. In the massless limit higher order terms in vorticity and chemical potential compensate each other with only the low-order contributions surviving. It is shown, that axial current gets a topological component along the 4-acceleration vector. The similarity between different approaches to baryon polarisation is established.

PACS numbers:

---

\*Electronic address: prokhorov@theor.jinr.ru

†Electronic address: teryaev@theor.jinr.ru

## I. INTRODUCTION

Relativistic fermionic liquid is an unusual object for which the laws of quantum field theory become apparent in macroscopic phenomena. Thus two important effects from this series, chiral magnetic effect (CME) and chiral vortical effect (CVE), which are essentially quantum field effects [1](volume of Springer lectures in physics), [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], effectively modify equations of hydrodynamics [2]. Chiral effects can have different experimental consequences, in particular resulting in baryon polarisation [6, 8], approached also in seemingly different context [9], [10]. In particular, there are suggestions to investigate P-odd effects in heavy-ion collisions, strongly controlled by CVE [6, 8], at the Nuclotron-Based Ion Collider Facility (NICA) at the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research.

There are currently seemingly different approaches to polarisation relying either on relativistic thermodynamics [10] or on anomalous axial current and the respective charge [6, 8]. The use of that charge allows to address the baryonic phase performing the calculation of quark anomalies. The other approach to polarisation in confined phase was recently suggested by consideration of the vortices cores in pionic superfluid [11]. To compare the approaches and fill the gap between them we explore in detail the appearance of anomalous axial current (used in [6, 8]) in the framework of the approach [10].

To do so we will concentrate on the (axial) CVE. The existence of CVE was proven in hydrodynamic approximation as the result of joint consideration of triangle axial anomaly and second law of thermodynamics [2]. It also can be obtained from the calculation of triangle loop diagrams with external topological field [3], [6].

We will consider the media of fermions in approximation of local thermodynamic equilibrium. The basis of our analysis was laid in the series of papers [10],[12],[9],[13],[14],[15],[16], in which relativistic local distribution functions for massive particles with spin were introduced. In [10] it was shown, that these distribution functions lead to the corrections on the vorticity to the thermodynamic quantities, and the terms of the first orders over the vorticity were derived for energy-momentum tensor, vector current, spin tensor and recently in [16] for axial current. In this paper we continue to consider the consequences of these distribution functions and define accurate analytic formulae for axial beyond the approximation of the vorticity smallness.

At first, following to [16], we show that CVE obtained from quantum effective field theory [3], also follows from the consideration of relativistic distribution functions (notice, that this result was also obtained in [17],[18] for modified Wigner function), which already implies the similarity of two approaches. This may be explained by implicit appearance of triangle graph <sup>1</sup>. On the basis of the obtained accurate formula for axial current we show, that in massless limit higher order effects of the vorticity and chemical potential are compensated, leaving only the terms up to the third order. An intriguing consequence of the obtained formulae, is that axial current obtains a topological component along 4-acceleration vector, which gives a contribution to axial current divergence.

We use Minkowskian metric tensor in the form  $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$  and Levi-Civita symbol  $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$ . The contraction of the induces is sometimes denoted by dots  $\varpi^2 = \varpi_{\mu\nu}\varpi^{\mu\nu} = \varpi : \varpi$ . For Dirac matrices we take  $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ . We use the system of units  $\hbar = c = k = 1$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> We are indebted for V.I. Zakharov for pointing out of such an effect

## II. THERMAL VORTICITY TENSOR

Thermal vorticity tensor [10], [16] contains information about local acceleration, vorticity and temperature gradients in the media with local thermodynamic equilibrium. As it was noticed in [10] the form of this tensor is not strictly defined for local thermodynamic equilibrium and known up to the second order over the gradients  $\partial^2\beta$

$$\varpi_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\beta_\nu - \partial_\nu\beta_\mu) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2\beta), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\beta_\mu = \frac{u_\mu}{T}$  and  $T$  is a temperature in the comoving system. In the limit of global thermodynamic equilibrium  $\beta_\mu$  satisfies [16]

$$\beta_\mu = b_\mu + \varpi_{\mu\nu}x_\nu, \quad b_\mu = \text{const}, \quad \varpi_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}, \quad \varpi_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\beta_\nu - \partial_\nu\beta_\mu) \quad (2.2)$$

and relation of chemical potential to temperature in comoving frame is constant

$$\xi = \frac{\mu}{T} = \text{const}. \quad (2.3)$$

Fulfilment of (2.2), (2.3) transforms density operator to the form of global thermodynamic equilibrium density operator [16]. In most of the calculations we will not need the exact accurate form of  $\varpi$ . In fact it is sufficient to suppose that thermal vorticity is an antisymmetric tensor, as it follows already from the form of the distribution functions. But at the final stages, we will consider global thermodynamic equilibrium (2.2) as a particular case to illustrate the content and the consequences of the obtained formulae.

Similarly to electrodynamics it is convenient to decompose  $\varpi$  tensor to vector and pseudovector. Following to the paper [16] we introduce thermal acceleration vector  $\alpha_\mu$  and thermal vorticity pseudovector  $w_\mu$

$$\alpha_\mu = \varpi_{\mu\nu}u^\nu, \quad w_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u^\nu\varpi^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (2.4)$$

Linking to electrodynamics,  $\alpha_\mu$  vector corresponds to "electric" component in comoving frame and  $w_\mu$  corresponds to comoving "magnetic" component. Then tensor  $\varpi_{\mu\nu}$  can be decomposed to (2.4)

$$\varpi_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}w^\alpha u^\beta + \alpha_\mu u_\nu - \alpha_\nu u_\mu. \quad (2.5)$$

For global thermodynamic equilibrium temperature remains constant along flow direction [16]. This allows to prove, that  $\alpha_\mu$  and  $w_\mu$  will be proportional to 4-acceleration and vorticity in this case

$$\alpha_\mu = \frac{1}{T}u^\nu\partial_\nu u_\mu = \frac{a_\mu}{T}, \quad w_\mu = \frac{1}{2T}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u^\nu\partial^\alpha u^\beta = \frac{\omega_\mu}{T}. \quad (2.6)$$

In the local rest frame  $a$  and  $\omega$  are expressed by ordinary three-dimensional acceleration and rotation speed

$$a^\mu = (0, \vec{a}), \quad \omega^\mu = (0, \vec{\omega}), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{\omega}$  are 3-dimensional acceleration and angular velocity. In the limit (2.2),(2.3) the divergences and derivative of temperature will be [16]

$$\partial \cdot \alpha = \frac{1}{|\beta|}(2w^2 - \alpha^2), \quad w_\mu = -\frac{3}{|\beta|}(w \cdot \alpha), \quad \partial_\mu T = T^2 \alpha_\mu. \quad (2.8)$$

It is possible to construct tensor  $\tilde{\varpi}$ , which is dual to  $\varpi$  and gives vorticity vector after projection to 4-velocity

$$\tilde{\varpi}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\varpi^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\alpha^\alpha u^\beta - w_\mu u_\nu + w_\nu u_\mu, \quad w_\mu = \tilde{\varpi}_{\nu\mu}u^\nu. \quad (2.9)$$

Analogically to electrodynamics one scalar and one pseudoscalar can be constructed from the thermal vorticity tensor (2.1)  $\varpi^2 = \varpi : \varpi$  and  $\varpi : \tilde{\varpi}$ , respectively

$$\varpi^2 = 2(\alpha^2 - w^2), \quad \varpi : \tilde{\varpi} = -4(w \cdot \alpha) \quad (2.10)$$

Notice, that  $\varpi^2 = \text{const}$ ,  $\varpi : \tilde{\varpi} = \text{const}$  in the limit of global thermodynamic equilibrium as it follows from (2.2). Another scalar, which will appear, corresponds to Hamiltonian density of electromagnetic fields

$$\alpha^2 + w^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly to electrodynamics it is convenient to introduce complex vectors for (2.6)

$$\varphi_\mu = \frac{a_\mu}{2\pi} + \frac{i\omega_\mu}{2\pi}, \quad \psi_\mu = \varphi_\mu^*. \quad (2.12)$$

### III. COVARIANT WIGNER FUNCTION AND DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION FOR PARTICLES WITH SPIN

One of the methods to describe kinetic properties of the media, which allows one to take into account quantum effects, is based on covariant Wigner function. For the spin 1/2 particles this is a spinorial matrix, expressed by mean value of the operators of Dirac fields

$$W(x, k)_{AB} = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4y e^{-ik \cdot y} \langle : \Psi_A(x - y/2) \bar{\Psi}_B(x + y/2) : \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Brackets  $\langle : \rangle$  mean ensemble averaging with normal ordering. Wigner function (3.1) depends on distribution function [10]

$$W(x, k) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{\varepsilon} \left( \delta^4(k - p) U(p) f(x, p) \bar{U}(p) - \delta^4(k + p) V(p) \bar{f}^T(x, p) \bar{V}(p) \right) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $U(p) = (u_+(p), u_-(p))$ ,  $V(p) = (v_+(p), v_-(p))$  are  $4 \times 2$  matrices,  $\bar{U}(p) = U^\dagger(p)\gamma^0$ ,  $\bar{V}(p) = V^\dagger(p)\gamma^0$  are  $2 \times 4$  matrices,  $f(x, p)$  and  $\bar{f}(x, p)$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrices and  $u_+(p)$  and  $u_-(p)$  are spinors of free Dirac fields with different values of helicity, normalised as usually  $\bar{u}_r u_s = -\bar{v}_r v_s = 2m\delta_{rs}$ .

In [10] local equilibrium distribution functions  $f(x, p)$  and  $\bar{f}(x, p)$  for massive particles with spin in the accelerated media were introduced. They have the following form

$$f(x, p) = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \frac{1}{2m} \bar{U}(p) X(x, p) U(p), \quad \bar{f}(x, p) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^3} \frac{1}{2m} [\bar{V}(p) \bar{X}(x, p) V(p)]^T \quad (3.3)$$

(note the phase space factor  $\frac{1}{8\pi^3}$ , c.f. [21] and [16]). Functions  $X(x, p)$  and  $\bar{X}(x, p)$  have the form

$$\begin{aligned} X(x, p) &= \left( \exp[\beta \cdot p - \xi(x)] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \varpi(x) : \Sigma \right] + I \right)^{-1}, \\ \bar{X}(x, p) &= \left( \exp[\beta \cdot p + \xi(x)] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \varpi(x) : \Sigma \right] + I \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In (3.4)  $\xi(x) = \frac{\mu(x)}{T(x)}$ ,  $\mu(x)$  and  $T(x)$  are comoving local chemical potential and temperature and  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$  are the generators of Lorentz transformation of spinors.

Mean values can be now calculated with a help of Wigner functions  $W(x, p)$ , and we will now concentrate on the axial current.

## IV. AXIAL CURRENT

### A. Massive particles

In general, mean value of the current  $\langle : \bar{\Psi} A \Psi \rangle$ , where  $A$  is an operator, containing  $4 \times 4$  matrix, has the form [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : \bar{\Psi}(x) A \Psi(x) : \rangle &= \int d^4 k \operatorname{tr}(A W(x, k)) = \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p}{2\varepsilon} \operatorname{tr}_2(f(x, p) \bar{U}(p) A U(p)) - \operatorname{tr}_2(\bar{f}^T(x, p) \bar{V}(p) A V(p)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\operatorname{tr}_2$  means the trace of  $2 \times 2$  matrix, corresponding to sum over polarisations. For axial current  $j_\mu^5 = \bar{\Psi} \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 \Psi$  (4.1) leads to

$$\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle = -\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \int \frac{d^3 p}{\varepsilon} p^\alpha \left\{ \operatorname{tr}(X \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) - \operatorname{tr}(\bar{X} \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

The calculation of the traces in (4.2) can be made accurately as it is shown in Appendix A. The integral in (4.2) has a form  $\int \frac{d^3 p}{\varepsilon} p^\alpha f(\beta \cdot p)$ , where  $f(\beta \cdot p)$  is a scalar function of  $(\beta \cdot p)$ . From Lorenz-covariance one obtains

$$\int \frac{d^3 p}{\varepsilon} p^\alpha f(\beta \cdot p) = u^\alpha \int d^3 p f\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

After substitution of (A10) to (4.2), using (4.3) and after algebraic transformations, one can see that imaginary terms compensate each other and we obtain final expression for axial current

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle &= C_1 w_\mu + \operatorname{sgn}(\varpi : \widetilde{\varpi}) C_2 \alpha_\mu, \\ C_1 &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{g_2 \cosh(g_1) \sin(g_2) + g_1 \sinh(g_1) \cos(g_2)}{g_1^2 + g_2^2} (I_1(\xi) + I_1(-\xi)) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{g_1 \sinh(2g_1) + g_2 \sin(2g_2)}{g_1^2 + g_2^2} (I_2(\xi) + I_2(-\xi)), \\ C_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{g_2 \sinh(g_1) \cos(g_2) - g_1 \cosh(g_1) \sin(g_2)}{g_1^2 + g_2^2} (I_1(\xi) + I_1(-\xi)) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{g_2 \sinh(2g_1) - g_1 \sin(2g_2)}{g_1^2 + g_2^2} (I_2(\xi) + I_2(-\xi)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are scalars, depending on vorticity and given by (A8).  $I_1(\xi)$ ,  $I_2(\xi)$  denote one-dimensional integrals, which can be calculated numerically:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\xi) &= \int \frac{dp \vec{p}^2 \cosh(\frac{\varepsilon}{T} - \xi)}{\left( \cosh(\frac{\varepsilon}{T} - \xi - g_1) + \cos(g_2) \right) \left( \cosh(\frac{\varepsilon}{T} - \xi + g_1) + \cos(g_2) \right)}, \\ I_2(\xi) &= \int \frac{dp \vec{p}^2}{\left( \cosh(\frac{\varepsilon}{T} - \xi - g_1) + \cos(g_2) \right) \left( \cosh(\frac{\varepsilon}{T} - \xi + g_1) + \cos(g_2) \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The expression (4.4) contains two different terms. The first one with  $w_\mu$  corresponds to usual expression for CVE [2], [7], [16]. At the same time, in comparison to the usual formula for CVE, (4.5) also includes "electric" term, proportional to 4-vector  $\alpha_\mu$ , corresponding to acceleration vector, divided to temperature in the case of global thermodynamic equilibrium. As  $C_1 = O(1)$ , while  $C_2 = O(g_1 g_2) = O(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi})$ , the term with  $\alpha_\mu$  is of the third order over the vorticity.

## B. Massless limit

Massless limit is of special interest, as it corresponds to chiral invariance manifestation, and also because in this case the expressions (4.4), (4.5) can be significantly simplified and integrals (4.5) can be calculated analytically. For this purpose it is convenient to come back to the formulae (A10). Using (4.3), (4.2), (A10) and coming to the massless limit  $\varepsilon = |\vec{p}|$ , the integrals in (4.2) can be expressed through polylogarithmic functions  $\text{Li}_3(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle &= -\frac{T^3}{4\pi^2(g_1^2 + g_2^2)} \left( (g_1 + ig_2) \text{Li}_3(-e^{g_1 - ig_2 - \xi}) - (g_1 - ig_2) \text{Li}_3(-e^{-g_1 - ig_2 - \xi}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (g_1 + ig_2) \text{Li}_3(-e^{g_1 - ig_2 + \xi}) - (g_1 - ig_2) \text{Li}_3(-e^{-g_1 - ig_2 + \xi}) + c.c. \right) w_\mu + \\ &\quad \frac{\text{sgn}(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi}) T^3}{4\pi^2(g_1^2 + g_2^2)} \left( (g_2 + ig_1) \text{Li}_3(-e^{-g_1 - ig_2 - \xi}) - (g_2 - ig_1) \text{Li}_3(-e^{g_1 - ig_2 - \xi}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (g_2 + ig_1) \text{Li}_3(-e^{-g_1 - ig_2 + \xi}) - (g_2 - ig_1) \text{Li}_3(-e^{g_1 - ig_2 + \xi}) + c.c. \right) \alpha_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The polylogarithms  $\text{Li}_3(z)$  in (4.6) have the notable property [22]

$$\text{Li}_3(-e^{-x}) - \text{Li}_3(-e^x) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}x + \frac{1}{6}x^3, \quad (4.7)$$

which allows one to simplify (4.6) significantly. Using the definitions of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  (A8) and formulae (2.10) one obtains

$$\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle = \left( \frac{T^2}{6} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 - w^2}{4\pi^2} \right] + \frac{\mu^2}{2\pi^2} \right) T w_\mu + \frac{T^3}{12\pi^2} (w \cdot \alpha) \alpha_\mu. \quad (4.8)$$

In the limit of global thermodynamic equilibrium, using (2.6), (4.8) can be simplified

$$\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{6} \left[ T^2 + \frac{a^2 - \omega^2}{4\pi^2} \right] + \frac{\mu^2}{2\pi^2} \right) \omega_\mu + \frac{1}{12\pi^2} (\omega \cdot a) a_\mu. \quad (4.9)$$

The divergence of (4.8) in the case of global thermodynamic equilibrium can be defined in straightforward way, using the formulae from [16], in particular (2.8)

$$\partial^\mu \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} (\omega \cdot a) (a^2 + \omega^2). \quad (4.10)$$

The contribution to the right hand side of (4.10) comes from the last acceleration term in (4.9). This contribution is purely topological being proportional to  $(\omega \cdot a)$ , it is of the forth order over the vorticity and it vanishes in the limit  $a \rightarrow 0$ .

Formula (4.9) can be separated to three parts: thermal vortical current  $\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{Tvort}}$ , depending on temperature, chemical potential and vorticity, vortical term  $\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{vort}}$ , which doesn't depend on  $\mu$  and  $T$ , and topological term  $\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{top}}$ , expressed only through vorticity and acceleration

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle &= \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{Tvort}} + \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{vort}} + \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{top}}, \\ \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{Tvort}} &= \left( \frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\pi^2} \right) \omega_\mu, \quad \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{vort}} = \frac{a^2 - \omega^2}{24\pi^2} \omega_\mu, \\ \langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{top}} &= \frac{1}{12\pi^2} (\omega \cdot a) a_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

In the limit  $T, \mu \rightarrow 0$  the first term  $\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle_{\text{Tvort}}$  vanishes, while the last two terms in (4.11) form nonzero contribution. Such a structure of a current might be related to the vacuum effects in accelerated frames [23].

Let's notice, that introduction of complex vectors (2.11) allows one to diagonalize expression (4.9)

$$\langle : j_\mu^5 : \rangle = 2\pi \text{Im} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{6} (T^2 + \varphi^2) + \frac{\mu^2}{2\pi^2} \right) \varphi_\mu \right]. \quad (4.12)$$

Expression (4.9) for CVE in linear approximation over the vorticity and acceleration corresponds to the results from effective field theory calculations [3], [6]. Hence the coincidence of effective field theory and kinetic approaches is established (this fact was also shown in [16],[17]).

Equations (4.8),(4.9) were obtained as the result of accurate integration of distribution functions in (4.2) without expansion over the vorticity. But at the end we have obtained formulae (4.8),(4.9) with terms of only up to the third order over the vorticity and chemical potential. This means that higher order terms are compensated by each other. The origin of this fact can be seen from the formulae (4.7). The contributions of particles and antiparticles in (4.6) correspond to polylogarithms with opposite signs in the exponent factor: for example, there is the term of the form  $-(g_1 + ig_2) \text{Li}_3(-e^{-g_1 + ig_2 + \xi})$  and  $(g_1 + ig_2) \text{Li}_3(-e^{g_1 - ig_2 - \xi})$ . Both of these two terms can be expanded to infinite Taylor series over the vorticity and chemical potential. But from (4.7) one can see, that these two terms compensate each other starting from the fourth order. So the correspondence of (4.8),(4.9) to the low order expansion over the vorticity and chemical potential is the result of compensation between different contributions from the traces in (4.6).

The expression (4.9) can be compared to the existing results. In particular, in [4] axial current of right handed massless fermions was calculated for the system with constant rotation speed on the axis of rotation. In the limit  $a_\mu = 0$  (4.9) exactly corresponds to Eq.(27) from [4], where the term independent of  $T, \mu$  was first identified. But in comparison to [4]

we find the term along  $a_\mu$ , which in [4] equals zero, because rotation speed is perpendicular to centripetal acceleration. Also we find the component along  $\omega_\mu$ , which is quadratic over acceleration. In [16] axial current was calculated up to the first order over the vorticity which is also in accordance with (4.9).

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have considered the results, which can be obtained from the Wigner and distribution functions introduced in [10]. We have obtained analytic formulae for axial current both for massive (4.4) and massless particles (4.8), (4.9), proving the coincidence with effective field theory approach. For massless fermions it was possible to exceedingly simplify the expressions due to compensation of higher order terms over the vorticity and chemical potential. It is shown, that axial current remains nonzero in the limit  $T, \mu \rightarrow 0$  (4.11). In particular, it contains the new topological component along the vector  $\alpha_\mu$ . In the limit of global thermodynamic equilibrium this means that the current will be directed along the acceleration vector. Also we calculate the divergence of average axial current and suggest simple way of diagonalization of axial current through introduction of complex superposition of acceleration and vorticity.

Finally, we may conclude that various approaches to baryon polarizations are closely related and that found earlier numerical similarity between them [8] may be not an occasional one.

### Acknowledgments

Useful discussions with A.S. Sorin and V.I. Zakharov are gratefully acknowledged. The work was supported in part by Russian Science Foundation Grant No 16-12-10059.

- 
- [1] D. E. Kharzeev, K. Landsteiner, A. Schmitt and H. U. Yee, Lect. Notes Phys. **871** (2013) 1 doi:10.1007/978-3-642-37305-3\_1 [arXiv:1211.6245 [hep-ph]].
  - [2] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (2009) 191601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.191601 [arXiv:0906.5044 [hep-th]].
  - [3] A. V. Sadofyev, V. I. Shevchenko and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 105025 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.105025 [arXiv:1012.1958 [hep-th]].
  - [4] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979) 1807. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.20.1807
  - [5] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 3080. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.22.3080
  - [6] O. Rogachevsky, A. Sorin and O. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. C **82** (2010) 054910 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.82.054910 [arXiv:1006.1331 [hep-ph]].
  - [7] V. I. Zakharov, Lect. Notes Phys. **871** (2013) 295 doi:10.1007/978-3-642-37305-3\_11 [arXiv:1210.2186 [hep-ph]].
  - [8] M. Baznat, K. Gudima, A. Sorin and O. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. C **88**, no. 6, 061901 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.061901 [arXiv:1301.7003 [nucl-th]]; **93**, no. 3, 031902 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.93.031902 [arXiv:1507.04652 [nucl-th]]. EPJ Web Conf. **138** (2017) 01008. doi:10.1051/epjconf/201713801008; arXiv:1701.00923
  - [9] F. Becattini, I. Karpenko, M. Lisa, I. Upsal and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C **95** (2017) no.5, 054902 doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.054902 [arXiv:1610.02506 [nucl-th]].

- [10] F. Becattini, V. Chandra, L. Del Zanna and E. Grossi, *Annals Phys.* **338** (2013) 32 doi:10.1016/j.aop.2013.07.004 [arXiv:1303.3431 [nucl-th]].
- [11] O. V. Teryaev and V. I. Zakharov, arXiv:1705.01650 [hep-th].
- [12] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, *Annals Phys.* **325** (2010) 1566 doi:10.1016/j.aop.2010.03.007 [arXiv:0911.0864 [gr-qc]].
- [13] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 025013 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.025013 [arXiv:1101.5251 [hep-th]].
- [14] F. Becattini and L. Ferroni, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **51** (2007) 899 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0340-5 [arXiv:0704.1967 [nucl-th]].
- [15] F. Becattini and L. Ferroni, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **52** (2007) 597 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0403-7 [arXiv:0707.0793 [nucl-th]].
- [16] M. Buzzegoli, E. Grossi and F. Becattini, arXiv:1704.02808 [hep-th].
- [17] J. H. Gao, Z. T. Liang, S. Pu, Q. Wang and X. N. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 232301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.232301 [arXiv:1203.0725 [hep-ph]].
- [18] J. h. Gao, S. Pu and Q. Wang, arXiv:1704.00244 [nucl-th].
- [19] D. Kharzeev and A. Zhitnitsky, *Nucl. Phys. A* **797** (2007) 67 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2007.10.001 [arXiv:0706.1026 [hep-ph]].
- [20] Y. N. Obukhov, A. J. Silenko and O. V. Teryaev, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 064044 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.064044 [arXiv:0907.4367 [gr-qc]].
- [21] F. Cooper and G. Frye, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 186. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.10.186
- [22] Prudnikov, A. P., Brychkov, Yu. A., and Marichev, O. I., *Integrals and Series, Vol. 3, More Special Functions*, Gordon & Breach Sci. Publ., New York, 1990.
- [23] V. I. Zakharov, *EPJ Web Conf.* **95** (2015) 03040. doi:10.1051/epjconf/20149503040, 10.1051/epjconf/20159503040

## Appendix A: Trace calculation

The present goal is to obtain exact formulae for the traces in (4.2). For this purpose it is necessary to expand  $X(x, p)$  to Taylor series, take the trace in an every term and then sum the trace back. Function  $\frac{1}{1+x}$  can be expanded to Taylor series

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{t(n+l)}, \quad t, l \Rightarrow \begin{cases} t=1, l=0 & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ t=-1, l=1 & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A1})$$

Then for  $X(x, p)$  using (A1) we will have

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp \left[ t(n+l)(\beta \cdot p - \xi - \frac{1}{2}\varpi : \Sigma) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp \left[ t(n+l)(\beta \cdot p - \xi) \right] \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left( t(n+l)(-\frac{1}{2}\varpi : \Sigma) \right)^m \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The product  $\Sigma^{\alpha\beta}\Sigma^{\gamma\delta}$  can be decomposed to the basis of the space of  $4 \times 4$  matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\alpha\beta}\Sigma^{\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{4}(g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta} - g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\gamma})I + \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\gamma^5 - \\ &= \frac{i}{2}(g^{\beta\delta}\Sigma^{\alpha\gamma} + g^{\alpha\gamma}\Sigma^{\beta\delta} - g^{\alpha\delta}\Sigma^{\beta\gamma} - g^{\beta\gamma}\Sigma^{\alpha\delta}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

From (A3) the product  $(\varpi : \Sigma)^2$  can be defined. After extraction of chiral projective operators one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (\varpi : \Sigma)^2 &= \eta \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} + \theta \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2}, \\ \eta &= \frac{1}{2} \varpi : \varpi + \frac{i}{2} \varpi : \tilde{\varpi}, \quad \theta = \eta^* = \frac{1}{2} \varpi : \varpi - \frac{i}{2} \varpi : \tilde{\varpi}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Then the even power of  $(\varpi : \Sigma)$  can be calculated

$$(\varpi : \Sigma)^{2k} = \eta^k \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} + \theta^k \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{A5})$$

From (A5) and (A3) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}((\varpi : \Sigma)^{2k+1} \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) &= (\varpi^{\nu\beta} + i \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}) \eta^k + (\varpi^{\nu\beta} - i \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}) \theta^k, \\ \text{tr}((\varpi : \Sigma)^{2k} \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) &= 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

The traces in (4.2) now can be defined using decomposition (A2) and (A6)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(X \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp[t(n+l)(\beta \cdot p - \xi)] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left[(-\frac{1}{2})t(n+l)\right]^{2k+1} \\ &\quad \{(\varpi^{\nu\beta} + i \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}) \eta^k + (\varpi^{\nu\beta} - i \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}) \theta^k\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Square roots of  $\eta$  and  $\theta$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\eta} &= 2g_1 + 2i \text{sgn}(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi}) g_2, \quad \sqrt{\theta} = 2g_1 - 2i \text{sgn}(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi}) g_2, \\ g_1 &= \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{(\varpi : \varpi)^2 + (\varpi : \tilde{\varpi})^2} + \varpi : \varpi)^{1/2}, \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{(\varpi : \varpi)^2 + (\varpi : \tilde{\varpi})^2} - \varpi : \varpi)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

Now, the series over  $k$  in (A7) can be summed to sine functions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(X \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp[t(n+l)(\beta \cdot p - \xi)] \\ &\quad i \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta}} \sin\left(\frac{i}{2} t(n+l) \sqrt{\eta}\right) [\varpi^{\nu\beta} + i \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sin\left(\frac{i}{2} t(n+l) \sqrt{\theta}\right) [\varpi^{\nu\beta} - i \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

Sines in (A9) can be decomposed to exponents and after that the series over  $n$  can be summed back using (A1). Also we notice, that  $\text{sgn}(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi})$  can be extracted as a factor of  $\tilde{\varpi}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(X \Sigma^{\nu\beta}) &= \left\{ (\exp[(\beta \cdot p - \xi - g_1 + i g_2)] + 1)^{-1} - (\exp[(\beta \cdot p - \xi + g_1 - i g_2)] + 1)^{-1} \right\} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4(g_1 - i g_2)} [\varpi^{\nu\beta} - i \text{sgn}(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi}) \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}] + \\ &\quad \left\{ (\exp[(\beta \cdot p - \xi - g_1 - i g_2)] + 1)^{-1} - (\exp[(\beta \cdot p - \xi + g_1 + i g_2)] + 1)^{-1} \right\} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4(g_1 + i g_2)} [\varpi^{\nu\beta} + i \text{sgn}(\varpi : \tilde{\varpi}) \tilde{\varpi}^{\nu\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

The trace  $\text{tr}(\bar{X} \Sigma^{\nu\beta})$  can be formally obtained from (A10) by replacement  $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$  and change of overall sign.